Supporting information for

Effect of climate change on winter haze pollution in Beijing: uncertain and likely small

Lu Shen¹, Daniel J. Jacob¹, Loretta J. Mickley¹, Yuxuan Wang^{2,3}, Qiang Zhang³

¹School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA ²Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA ³Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Experiment #	Variables	Correlation of PC1 with	Predicted PM _{2.5} Trend (DIF 1973-2017	Predicted PM _{2.5} Trend (DIF 1993-2017	
	variables	(DJF, 2010-2017)	$\mu g m^{-3}a^{-1}$	$\mu g m^{-3} a^{-1}$	
1	RH, V850	0.90	-0.12 (p=0.63)	0.001 (p=0.99)	
2	RH, WS	0.88	-0.20 (p=0.37)	-0.64 (p=0.19)	
3	RH, δU500	0.85	0.12 (p=0.59)	0.034 (p=0.93)	
4	RH, V850, WS ^a	0.90	-0.21 (p=0.31)	-0.50 (p=0.27)	
5	RH, V850, δT _{925-1000hPa}	0.91	NA^b	-0.46 (p=0.33)	
6	RH, V850, WS, $\delta T_{925-1000hPa}$, $\delta U500$	0.90	NA	-0.65 (p=0.13)	
7	RH, V850, WS, δT _{925-1000hPa}	0.92	NA	-0.78 (p=0.10)	
Average			-0.08 (p=0.71)	-0.43 (p=0.33)	

Table S1. Correlations of the 2010-2017 $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing in winter with an array of first principal components (PC1s) constructed using different combinations of meteorological variables and the predicted trends of winter $PM_{2.5}$ implied by these PC1s.

^a WS is for surface wind speed.
^b Missing data because the observed δT_{925-1000hPa} from radiosonde is available only after 1993.

Model Name	Institute					
ACCESS1.0 ^{a,b}	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) and Bureau of Meteorology (BOM), Australia					
ACCESS1.3 ^{a,b}	CSIRO and BOM, Australia					
BCC-CSM1-1 ^{a,b}	Beijing Climate Center, China Meteorological Administration					
BNU-ESM ^{a,b}	College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University					
CanESM2 ^{a,b}	Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis					
CCSM4 ^a	National Center for Atmospheric Research					
CESM1-CAM5 ^a	Community Earth System Model Contributors					
CMCC-CM ^a	Centro Euro-Mediterraneo per i Cambiamenti Climatici					
CMCC-CMS ^{a,b}	Centro Euro-Mediterraneo per i Cambiamenti Climatici					
CNRM-CM5 ^{a,b}	Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques / Centre Européen de Recherche et Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique					
CSIRO-MK3-6-0 ^{a,b}	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization in collaboration with Queensland Climate Change Centre of Excellence					
FIO-ESM ^a	The First Institute of Oceanography, SOA, China					
GFDL-CM3 ^{a,b}	NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory					
GFDL-ESM2M ^{a,b}	NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory					
GFDL-ESM2G ^{a,b}	VOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory					
GISS-E2-H ^a	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies					
GISS-E2-R ^a	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies					
HadGEM2-AO ^a	Met Office Hadley Centre (additional HadGEM2-ES realizations contributed by Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais)					
HadGEM2-CC ^{a,b}	Met Office Hadley Centre (additional HadGEM2-ES realizations contributed by Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais)					
HadGEM2-ES ^a	Met Office Hadley Centre (additional HadGEM2-ES realizations contributed by Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais)					
INMCM4 ^{a,b}	Institute for Numerical Mathematics					
IPSL-CM5A-LR ^{a,b}	Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace					
IPSL-CM5A-MR ^{a,b}	Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace					
IPSL-CM5B-LR ^{a,b}	Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace					
	Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Atmosphere					
MIROC-ESM ^{a,b}	and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), and National Institute for Environmental Studies					
MIROC-ESM- CHEM ^{a,b}	Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), and National Institute for Environmental Studies					

Table S2. Models from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) used for this study.

	Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo),								
MIROC5 ^{a,b}	National Institute for Environmental Studies, and Japan Agency for								
	Marine-Earth Science	e and	Technology						
MDI ESM I D ^a	Max-Planck-Institut	für	Meteorologie	(Max	Planck	Institute	for		
	Meteorology)								
MDI ESM MD ^{a,b}	Max-Planck-Institut	für	Meteorologie	(Max	Planck	Institute	for		
WIFI-ESIVI-WIK	Meteorology)								
MRI-CGCM3 ^{a,b}	Meteorological Research Institute								
NORESM1-M ^a	Norwegian Climate Centre								
NORESM1-ME ^a	Norwegian Climate Centre								
9 571 00 11 1		•							

^a The 32 models, each with monthly archives of meteorology. ^b The 21 models, each with daily archives of meteorology.



Fig. S1. Slopes of winter RH with reconstructed wintertime $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing during 1973-2016 in (a) gridded global land and surface humidity dataset (HadISDH, <u>https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisdh/</u>) and (b) NCEP renanlysis. (c) Timeseries of mean DJF RH in Beijing from HadISDH and NCEP reanalysis. Because RH in the NCEP reanalysis is biased high, we reduce its magnitude by 23% in the plot.



Fig. S2. (a) The geographical distribution of the 13 observation sites from the GSOD network used here. The Beijing site is highlighted. (b) Timeseries of 1973-2017 mean relative humidity (RH) in winter at the Beijing site, and the 13 sites in the North China Plain. The correlation coefficient (R) of RH in Beijing with the North China Plain (NCP) average is 0.92, as shown inset.



Fig. S3. (a) Same as Fig. 1a, but for the zonal wind speed at 500 hPa. We define δ U500 as the difference between the mean zonal wind speed in the box north of Beijing (95°E-130°E, 47.5°N-55°N) and that in the box south of Beijing (120°E-145°E, 27.5°N-35°N). (b) Relationship of V850 and δ U500 during winter months of 1973-2017, with the correlation coefficient shown inset.



Fig. S4. Relationships of DJF monthly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations with local and regional variables: (a) V850, (b) observed surface wind speed, (c) observed surface relative humidity, (d) potential temperature gradient between 925 and 1000 hPa, and (e) δ U500. The correlation coefficients are shown inset. Definitions of V850 and δ U500 are found in Fig. 1a and Fig. S3a.



Fig. S5. Timeseries of PC1s calculated from seven different combinations of meteorological variables (dashed color lines). Details of these combinations are described in Table S1. The thick black line refers to the ensemble mean PC1. Shaded area denotes one standard deviation across ensemble members. The linear trend (blue line) of ensemble mean PC1 during 1993-2017 is insignificant (p = 0.50).



Fig. S6. (a) The geographical distribution of the 13 observation sites from the GSOD network used here. The Beijing site is highlighted. (b) Timeseries of 1973-2017 mean surface wind speed in winter at the Beijing site, the 13 sites in the North China Plain, and NCEP reanalysis. Because wind speed in the NCEP reanalysis is biased high in Beijing, we reduce its magnitude by 2 m s^{-1} in the plot.



Trend of potential temperature gradient (925-1000 hPa,1993-2017)

Fig. S7. (a) Observed trend of potential temperature gradient between 925 and 1000 hPa during the winters of 1993-2017. The sites with significant trend ($p \le 0.1$) are circled. (b) Same as (a), but for the NCEP reanalysis. Gridboxes with significant trend ($p \le 0.1$) are stippled. Panels (d-f) are same as (a-c), but for the trend of potential temperature gradient between 850 and 925 hPa.



Fig. S8. Slopes of sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in the preceding months with DJF PC1 in Beijing during 1973-2017. Gridboxes with statistically significant correlations (p<0.1) are stippled.



Slopes of SSTs in different months with normalized DJF PC1

Fig. S9. Slopes of sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in the preceding months with DJF PC1 in Beijing during 1973-2017. Only regions with significant slopes are shown. The wind anomalies at 850 hPa relative to the 1973-2017 mean are overlaid.



Fig. S10. (a) Correlations of DJF mean relative humidity in Beijing with DJF SSTs during 1973-2017. The black rectangle denotes the domain of Indian Ocean. (b) Correlations of mean SSTs in the Indian Ocean with relative humidity in East Asia. Only regions with significant correlations (p<0.1) are shown. All data are detrended by subtracting the 7-year moving average. The relative humidity is obtained from gridded global land surface humidity data (HadISDH, https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisdh/).



Fig. S11. Meteorological changes in DJF from 1970-1999 to 2079-2099 in the RCP8.5 scenario. These meteorological variables include (a) potential temperature gradient between 925 and 1000 hPa, (b) zonal wind speed at 500 hPa, (c) wind speeds at 500 hPa, (d) surface wind speeds, (e) precipitation, and (f) number of stagnant days. Gridboxes where more than 70% of the models show a consistent sign of changes are stippled. For panels (a-b), we use the 32 climate models that provide monthly archives (Table S2). For panels (c-f), we use the 21 climate models that provide daily archives (Table S2). The open triangle is the location of Beijing. We classify a day as stagnant when the daily mean near-surface wind speed is less than 3.2 m s⁻¹, daily mean mid-tropospheric wind speed is less than 13 m s⁻¹, and daily accumulated precipitation is less than 1 mm (Horton et al., 2012).



Fig. S12. Same as Fig. 5c, but using PC1s constructed from five different combinations of meteorological variables as listed in Table S1. δ T is for the potential temperature gradient between 925 and 1000 hPa (δ T_{925-1000hPa}). We have not included the two PC1s that use δ U500 (experiment #3 and #6 in Table S1). See text for more details.



Fig. S13. (a) Correlation of V850 and δ U500 in the winter months of 2000-2019 from an ensemble of 32 CMIP5 models. (b) The relative change of V850 from 2000-2019 to 2080-2089. (c) Same as (b) but for δ U500.