1 Secondary Organic Aerosol Production from Local Emissions Dominates the

2 Organic Aerosol Budget over Seoul, South Korea, during KORUS-AQ

3 Benjamin A. Nault^{1,2}, Pedro Campuzano-Jost^{1,2}, Douglas A. Day^{1,2}, Jason C. Schroder^{1,2}, Bruce

4 Anderson³, Andreas J. Beyersdorf^{3,*}, Donald R. Blake⁴, William H. Brune⁵, Yonghoon Choi^{3,6},

5 Chelsea A. Corr^{3,**}, Joost A. de Gouw^{1,2}, Jack Dibb⁷, Joshua P. DiGangi³, Glenn S. Diskin³, Alan

⁶ Fried⁸, L. Gregory Huey⁹, Michelle J. Kim¹⁰, Christoph J. Knote¹¹, Kara D. Lamb^{2,12}, Taehyoung

7 Lee¹³, Taehyun Park¹³, Sally E. Pusede¹⁴, Eric Scheuer⁷, Kenneth L. Thornhill^{3,6}, Jung-Hun Woo¹⁵,

- 8 and Jose L. Jimene $z^{1,2}$
- 9

10 Affiliations

- 11 1. Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO,
 USA
- 14 3. NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA
- 15 4. Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
- 16 5. Department of Meteorology and Atmospheric Science, Pennsylvania State University, University Park,
- 17 Pennsylvania, USA
- 18 6. Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Hampton, Virginia, USA
- 19 7. Earth Systems Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New
- 20 Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, USA
- 8. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- 22 9. School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
- 23 10. Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
- 24 11. Meteorologisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
- 25 12. Chemical Sciences Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric
- 26 Administration, Boulder, CO, USA
- 27 13. Department of Environmental Science, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Republic of Korea
- 28 14. Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
- 29 15. Department of Advanced Technology Fusion, Konkuk University, Seoul, Republic of Korea
- * Now at: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, California State University, San Bernardino,
 California
- 32 ** Now at: USDA UV-B Monitoring and Research Program, Natural Resource Ecology
- 33 Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 34
- 35 Correspondence to: J. L. Jimenez (jose.jimenez@colorado.edu)
- 36

37 Abstract

38 Organic aerosol (OA) is an important fraction of submicron aerosols. However, it is challenging 39 to predict and attribute the specific organic compounds and sources that lead to observed OA 40 loadings, largely due to contributions from secondary production. This is especially true for 41 megacities surrounded by numerous regional sources that create an OA background. Here, we 42 utilize *in-situ* gas and aerosol observations collected on-board the NASA DC-8 during the 43 NASA/NIER KORUS-AQ (KORea United States-Air Quality) campaign to investigate the 44 sources and hydrocarbon precursors that led to the secondary OA (SOA) production observed over 45 Seoul. First, we investigate the contribution of transported OA to total loadings observed over 46 Seoul, by using observations over the West Sea coupled to FLEXPART Lagrangian simulations. 47 During KORUS-AQ, the average OA loading advected into Seoul was $\sim 1 - 3 \mu g \text{ sm}^{-3}$. Second, 48 taking this background into account, the dilution-corrected SOA concentration observed over Seoul was ~140 μ g sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ at 0.5 equivalent photochemical days. This value is at the high 49 end of what has been observed in other megacities around the world $(20-70 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3} \ ppmv^{-1} \ at \ 0.5$ 50 51 equivalent days). For the average OA concentration observed over Seoul (13 µg sm⁻³), it is clear 52 that production of SOA from locally emitted precursors is the major source in the region. The 53 importance of local SOA production was supported by the following observations: (1) 54 FLEXPART source contribution calculations indicate any hydrocarbons with a lifetime less than 55 1 day, which are shown to dominate the observed SOA production, mainly originate from South 56 Korea. (2) SOA correlated strongly with other secondary photochemical species, including short-57 lived species (formaldehyde, peroxy acetyl nitrate, sum of acyl peroxy nitrates, dihydroxy toluene, 58 and nitrate aerosol). (3) Results from an airborne oxidation flow reactor (OFR), flown for the first 59 time, show a factor of 4.5 increase in potential SOA concentrations over Seoul versus over the 60 West Sea, a region where background air masses that are advected into Seoul can be measured. (4) 61 Box model simulations reproduce SOA observed over Seoul within 15% on average, and suggest 62 that short-lived hydrocarbons (i.e., xylenes, trimethylbenzenes, semi- and intermediate volatility 63 compounds) were the main SOA precursors over Seoul. Toluene, alone, contributes 9% of the 64 modeled SOA over Seoul. Finally, along with these results, we use the metric $\Delta OA/\Delta CO_2$ to examine the amount of OA produced per fuel consumed in a megacity, which shows less variability 65 across the world than $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$. 66

67 **1. Introduction**

68 Prior to 1950, 30% of the human population resided in urban areas (UNDESA, 2015). In 69 2007, the human population living in urban areas had increased to over 50% (making it the first 70 time in human history that more people reside in urban than rural areas), and it is predicted that 71 nearly 2/3 of the human population will be living in urban areas by 2050 (Monks et al., 2009; 72 UNDESA, 2015; Baklanov et al., 2016). Urban areas are large sources of anthropogenic emissions to the atmosphere (from sources including transportation, industry, cooking, personal care 73 74 products, and power produced from fossil fuels), and these emissions have important impacts on 75 local, regional, and global air pollution, climate, and human and ecological health (Hallquist et al., 76 2009; Monks et al., 2009; Myhre et al., 2013; Baklanov et al., 2016; WHO, 2016; Cohen et al., 77 2017; Landrigan et al., 2018; McDonald et al., 2018). Effects from urban emissions are strongly 78 modulated by the chemical evolution of the primary emissions (e.g., nitrogen oxides, 79 hydrocarbons, and primary organic aerosols) to secondary pollutants, including secondary organic 80 aerosols (SOA, produced from atmospheric reactions) and other aerosol (Monks et al., 2009). 81 These emissions and their chemical by-products significantly influence hemispheric climate and 82 air quality. They increase mortality in polluted urban areas, leading to over 3 million premature 83 deaths annually (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Baklanov et al., 2016; WHO, 2016). Finally, the emissions 84 and production of anthropogenic aerosol may strongly regulate cloud nucleation (Peng et al., 85 2014), which impacts the aerosols' direct and indirect effects on climate (Myhre et al., 2013).

Production of SOA is poorly understood (Hallquist et al., 2009; Shrivastava et al., 2017;
Tsimpidi et al., 2017), including in large urban environments (Volkamer et al., 2006; de Gouw et al., 2008, 2009; Hayes et al., 2015; Woody et al., 2016; Janssen et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017). It
has been shown that a large fraction (35 – 85%) of urban fine aerosol is composed of OA (Zhang

90 et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009), and a substantial fraction of this OA is typically SOA produced 91 through the chemical processing of urban hydrocarbon emissions (Kleinman et al., 2016, 2007, 92 2008, 2009, Dzepina et al., 2009, 2011; DeCarlo et al., 2010; Hodzic et al., 2010; Hersey et al., 93 2013; Zhao et al., 2014, 2016; Freney et al., 2014; Hayes et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2017). Also, 94 observations indicate the majority of urban SOA production is rapid and is nearly completed within 95 24 equivalent photochemical hours (a measure of OH exposure, assuming interactions in a volume 96 with 1.5×10^6 molecules/cm³ OH throughout a 24 h period; equivalent age enables comparison of 97 chemistry rates across different events or studies) (DeCarlo et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2013; Freney 98 et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016; Ortega et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2017), even during winter (Schroder et 99 al., 2018). This consistently rapid SOA production over urban areas around the world may be due 100 to the short lifetime (less than one day) of urban semi- and intermediate-volatile organic 101 compounds (S/IVOCs), that numerous studies suggest to be major SOA precursors, along with 102 aromatics (Robinson et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2014, 2016; Hayes et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2017; 103 McDonald et al., 2018). S/IVOCs and low volatility organic compounds (LVOCs—compounds 104 produced from the photooxidation of hydrocarbons (Robinson et al., 2007; Kroll and Seinfeld, 105 2008; Murphy et al., 2011; Palm et al., 2016)) are challenging to measure due to strong interaction 106 with inlet and instrument surfaces (e.g., Pagonis et al., 2017), limiting our knowledge of the 107 emission rates and concentrations of these species in the atmosphere (Zhao et al., 2014; Hunter et 108 al., 2017). It has also been recently shown that historical chamber SOA yields are biased low due 109 to unaccounted-for partitioning of S/IVOCs to walls (Matsunaga and Ziemann, 2010; Zhang et al., 110 2014; Krechmer et al., 2016, 2017). These missing or under-represented compounds and low-111 biased yields, along with uncertain emission inventories for SOA precursors (Shrivastava et al., 112 2008; Woody et al., 2016; Murphy et al., 2017), led SOA modeling efforts over urban areas using

pre-2007 models to under-predict observed SOA concentrations (de Gouw et al., 2005, 2009;
Volkamer et al., 2006; Dzepina et al., 2009; Freney et al., 2014; Woody et al., 2016). More recent
modeling efforts have achieved closure (and sometimes over-prediction) of the observed SOA, but
with some controversy about the real causes of the increased modeled SOA (Dzepina et al., 2009;
Hodzic et al., 2010; Tsimpidi et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2015; Cappa et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2017;
McDonald et al., 2018).

119 Another complexity in understanding SOA production over urban areas is addressing the 120 contributions of transport of SOA and its gas-phase precursors. Airborne observations of SOA and 121 SOA precursors upwind, over, and downwind of megacities around the world (Kleinman et al., 122 2007, 2008, 2009, 2016, DeCarlo et al., 2008, 2010; Bahreini et al., 2009; McMeeking et al., 2012; 123 Craven et al., 2013; Freney et al., 2014; Schroder et al., 2018) have constrained the role of regional 124 transport versus megacity emissions. In general, these studies show that there is often regional 125 background SOA, often due to biogenic compounds and regional pollution, transported into 126 megacities, but that rapid SOA production is always observed and is generally dominated by the 127 anthropogenic emissions from the urban area being studied.

128 The Seoul Metropolitan Area (SMA), as considered here, is a densely populated megacity, 129 extending beyond Seoul proper into the large Incheon and Gyeonggi cities. SMA has ~24 million 130 people, or ~50% of the South Korean population, living on ~12,000 km² of land (Park et al., 2017). 131 SMA has large anthropogenic emissions but is also often downwind of China, presenting the 132 challenge of separating local emissions and production of SOA versus transport of SOA and its 133 precursors from regions upwind (H. S. Kim et al., 2007; Heo et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2009, 2016; 134 Jeong et al., 2017; H. Kim et al., 2017; H. C. Kim et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2017; Seo et al., 2017; 135 Kim et al., 2018). Most of the studies in this region have used ground-based observations and/or 136 3D models to characterize the amount of aerosol, and aerosol precursors, transported to SMA and 137 South Korea, finding 50 to 80% of the aerosol load is due to international transport in the seasons 138 with favorable synoptic conditions (winter and spring). Though satellites are starting to be used to 139 investigate transport of aerosols into SMA and South Korea (Lee et al., 2013; Park et al., 2014; 140 Jeong et al., 2017), retrievals typically do not provide any chemical characterization or vertical 141 location of the aerosol (boundary layer versus free troposphere), and are typically strongly 142 influenced by larger aerosols (e.g., mineral dust). Airborne observations of the upwind transport 143 and local production of aerosol and aerosol precursors have the potential to directly assess the 144 impact of transport versus local emissions in this region.

145 In this study, we use observations collected on board the NASA DC-8 research aircraft 146 during the NASA/NIER (South Korean National Institute of Environmental Research) KORean 147 United States Air Quality (KORUS-AQ) field campaign. These data provided the opportunity to 148 investigate SOA production; as well as, the role of OA and SOA precursor transport on the OA concentration and SOA production over Seoul during the campaign. We evaluate the observed 149 150 SOA production over the SMA with source analysis models, correlation of secondary gas-phase 151 species with SOA, an oxidation flow reactor, and box modeling to constrain local versus transport 152 contributions. These results are discussed and placed into context of improving our knowledge 153 about SOA production and sources in urban environments.

154 **2. Methods**

Here, we introduce the KORUS-AQ campaign (Sect. 2.1), the key instruments for this study (2.2), additional measurements used in the analyses (2.3), and the airborne oxidation flow reactor (2.4). All linear fits, unless otherwise noted, use the least orthogonal distance regression fitting method (ODR).

159 **2.1 KORUS-AQ brief overview**

160 KORUS-AQ was conducted over South Korea and the West Sea during May – June, 2016. 161 This study focuses on the NASA DC-8 (Aknan and Chen, 2018) observations; however, there were 162 numerous other measurement platforms in operation (Al-Saadi et al., 2015). The DC-8 was 163 stationed in the Songtan area of Pyeongtaek, South Korea, approximately 60 km south of Seoul. 164 The DC-8 flew 20 research flights (RF) (Figure 1; Table S1). For each RF, the DC-8 would take-165 off from near Seoul, typically at 8:00 local time (LT), which is Korean Standard Time, and perform 166 a missed approach over Seoul Air Base, which is less than 15 km from the Seoul city center. This 167 pattern was typically conducted 2 more times during each flight, around 12:00 LT and prior to 168 landing (~15:00 LT), leading to 55 missed approaches over Seoul during the campaign. Each 169 missed approach involved flying near Seoul below 1000 m (above ground) for 15 - 45 minutes, 170 providing a large number of observations of the Seoul boundary layer. The observations collected 171 during this pattern, along with any other flights conducted within the coordinates defined as Seoul (Table S2, Fig. S1) are referred to as "Seoul" below. 172

173 Briefly, the SMA is bordered by the West Sea (i.e., the Yellow Sea) and Gyeonggi Bay to 174 the west and forests and mountainous regions to the north, south, and east (Park et al., 2017). 175 Within this region, nearly 30% of the land is used for human activities, ~21% is used for cropland, 176 pasture, and grassland, and ~36% is forested (Park et al., 2017). During the time period of KORUS-177 AQ, the wind was typically from the west to northwest, meaning that observations over the West 178 Sea represent typical background (inflow) air mass observations for Seoul (H. C. Kim et al., 2017). 179 May and June are typically characterized by low precipitation and rising temperatures prior to the 180 summer monsoon (Hong and Hong, 2016; H. C. Kim et al., 2017).

181 Besides the Seoul missed approaches, the DC-8 would fly either over the West Sea, the 182 Jeju jetway, or the Busan jetway at four different altitudes (nominally ~300 m, ~1000 m, ~1500 m, and ~7500 m above ground, depending on the height of the boundary layer, clouds, and 183 184 chemical forecasts). Many of the 3 lower elevation sampling legs around South Korea encountered 185 significant pollution, similar to the flight segments over Seoul. Similar to Seoul, the approximate 186 coordinates defining these regions are also included in Table S2. For this study, the observations 187 over the West Sea have been split into 2 categories: "clean," referring to the typical conditions 188 observed during KORUS-AQ, and "transport/polluted," referring to one RF (RF12, 24/May/2016) 189 that had direct transport of pollution from the Shanghai region over the West Sea. Also, RF11 190 (21/May/2016) is not included in the West Sea category, as this flight was sampling outflow from 191 the SMA (prevailing winds from the east).

192

2.2 CU-AMS sampling and analysis

193 A highly customized, high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-194 AMS, Aerodyne Research, Inc.) was flown on the NASA DC-8 during KORUS-AQ. Hereafter, 195 this instrument will be referred to as CU-AMS since there were two high-resolution AMSs on the 196 DC-8 during KORUS-AQ (see Sect. 2.4). Both AMSs measured non-refractory composition of 197 PM_1 (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters less than 1 μ m) (DeCarlo et al., 2006; 198 Canagaratna et al., 2007). The key differences between the two AMSs was the type of vaporizer 199 (standard for CU-AMS versus capture for the other AMS), which has been described in prior 200 publications (Jayne and Worsnop, 2015; Hu et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b; Xu et al., 2017) 201 and below and in Sect. 2.4. The basic concept, and operation, of the CU-AMS for aircraft sampling 202 has been described elsewhere (DeCarlo et al., 2006, 2008, 2010; Dunlea et al., 2009; Cubison et 203 al., 2011; Kimmel et al., 2011; Schroder et al., 2018), and a brief description of other important

details follow. For detailed information on field AMS calibrations, positive matrix factorization,
photochemical clock calculations, and model setups, see Supplemental Sect. S2 – S7.

206 Ambient particles were drawn into the airplane through an NCAR High-Performance 207 Instrumented Airborne Platform for Environmental Research Modular Inlet (HIMIL; Stith et al., 208 2009) at a constant standard (T = 273 K and P = 1013 hPa) flow rate of 9 L min⁻¹. The RAM 209 heating of the inlet dried the aerosol prior to entering the airplane, and the temperature of the cabin 210 (typically ~10°C higher than ambient) maintained the sampling line RH to less than 40%, ensuring 211 the aerosol remained dry prior to entering the AMS. The sample was introduced into the AMS 212 aerodynamic focusing lens (Zhang et al., 2002, 2004), operated at 1.5 Torr, through a pressure-213 controlled inlet, which was operated at 433 hPa (325 Torr) (Bahreini et al., 2008). The lens 214 transmission calibrations (SI Sect. 2 and Fig. S4) was conducted through the entire plumbing, 215 including the pressure-controlled inlet. There were minimal losses of ammonium nitrate and 216 sulfate through the pressure-controlled inlet during these calibrations. The focused particles were 217 then introduced after several differential pumping stages into a detection chamber, where they 218 impacted on an inverted cone porous tungsten vaporizer ("standard" vaporizer) held at 600°C. The 219 non-refractory species were flash-vaporized and the vapors were ionized by 70 eV electron 220 ionization. Finally, the ions were extracted, and analyzed by a high-resolution time-of-flight mass 221 spectrometer (HTOF, Tofwerk AG). The residence time from outside the inlet to the vaporizer was 222 ~0.4 s in the boundary layer and ~1.0 s at 7500 m during KORUS-AQ. Unless otherwise noted, 223 all aerosol data reported here is at standard temperature (273 K) and pressure (1013 hPa) (STP), leading to the notation $\mu g \ sm^{-3}$ (sm⁻³ is the standard volume, in m³, at STP). Notation in scm⁻³ is 224 225 also at STP.

226 For KORUS-AQ, the CU-AMS was operated in the Fast Mass Spectrum (FMS) mode 227 (Kimmel et al., 2011), in order to obtain high-time resolution measurements (1 Hz) continuously. 228 Each FMS 1 s "run" is either collected as chopper closed (background with particle beam blocked) 229 or chopper open (background plus ambient particles and air) position. For KORUS-AQ, the CU-230 AMS sampled with chopper closed for 6 s and chopper open for 46 s. For the remaining 8 s of the 231 1 min cycle, it sampled with the efficient particle time-of-flight (ePToF) mode which provides 232 particle sizing but with reduced sensitivity (Fig. S2). Also, once every 20 – 30 min, rapid sampling 233 (20 s) of outside air through a particle filter was used to ensure quality control of the instrument 234 background and detection limits. The filters provide data quality checks throughout the flight by 235 checking for leaks as the cabin changes pressure, to determine the response time of the different 236 species (typically less than 2 seconds), and to validate the real-time continuous detection limits 237 calculated by the Drewnick et al. (2009) method. The average of the two background signal periods 238 (chopper closed) before and after the open signal was subtracted from each 1 s open measurement. 239 In addition to the 1 s data, we reported a 1 min data product, in which we averaged raw mass 240 spectra prior to fitting the high-resolution ions, leading to improved signal-to-noise (SNR) from 241 reduced nonlinear fitting noise (beyond the expected increased SNR from averaging in an ion-242 counting noise regime). For this study, the 1 min data product is used since the additional spatial 243 resolution provided by the 1 s product was not required for the analysis of regional plumes. The 244 software packages Squirrel V1.60 and PIKA V1.20 within Igor Pro 7 (Wavemetrics) (DeCarlo et 245 al., 2006; Sueper, 2018) were used to analyze all AMS data.

The CU-AMS always used the "V-mode" ion path (DeCarlo et al., 2006), with a spectral resolution ($m/\Delta m$) of 2500 at m/z 44 and 2800 at m/z 184. The collection efficiency (CE) for the CU-AMS was estimated per Middlebrook et al. (2012), and ranged from 0.5 – 1 (Fig. S28), with

249 most of the values occurring around 0.5. Calibrations of the CU-AMS are discussed in the 250 supplement (Sect. S2), and detections limits for the 1 min data were 26, 12, 4, 10, and 115 ng sm⁻³ 251 for SO₄ (sulfate), NO₃ (nitrate), NH₄ (ammonium), Chl (chloride), and OA, respectively (Note that 252 the charge symbol is not included for the nominally inorganic species, as organic compounds may 253 make (typically small) contributions to these species (e.g., organonitrates, organosulfates, and 254 reduced organic nitrogen species) (Huffman et al., 2009; Farmer et al., 2010). On average, during 255 the campaign, organic nitrates were ~8% of the total CU-AMS NO₃ signal, and were only an important contribution to the NO₃ signal when NO₃ was less than 0.50 µg sm⁻³ (Fig. S38). pNO₃ 256 257 will be used throughout the rest of the paper to represent aerosol NO_3 and to ensure it is not 258 confused with radical NO₃. These detection limits are estimated for every data point per Drewnick 259 et al. (2009) and remained nearly constant during each flight and throughout the campaign. The 260 detection limits were scaled by ~ 0.8 , based on comparison with periodic filter blanks 261 (Campuzano-Jost et al., 2016), since the Drewnick et al. (2009) method only uses some ions to 262 determine the detection limits, while filters take all ions into account, and thus the latter provide a 263 more accurate estimate. It was found that the scaling with the periodic blanks from the filters were 264 not impacted by the length of sampling outside air through the filter. The low limit of detection for 265 these species remained nearly constant during the flight by using a cryogenic pump that lowered 266 the temperature of a surface surrounding the vaporizer region to 90 K. This freezes out most 267 background gases, and provided consistently low detection limits during the flight, when other 268 AMSs may suffer from increased detection limits after several hours into a flight due to pumping 269 out of large initial backgrounds. The cryogenic pump is necessary since the airplane had power 270 only 3 hours prior to take-off until 2 hours after landing; therefore, the CU-AMS was not constantly 271 being pumped. This leads to high backgrounds each time the instrument is started. The 2σ accuracy

for the CU-AMS of inorganic and organic species is estimated to be 35% and 38%, respectively (Bahreini et al., 2009). The O/C and H/C ratios were determined using the improved-ambient method (Canagaratna et al., 2015). The CU-AMS was fully operational during KORUS-AQ, except for 2 hours in RF01, leading to nearly 99% data collection coverage. Additional information on AMS data interpretation can be found in Jimenez et al. (2018) as well as the datafile headers for the KORUS-AQ AMS data (Aknan and Chen, 2018).

278 **2.3 Oxidation flow reactor sampling and analysis**

279 The Potential Aerosol Mass (PAM) oxidation flow reactor (OFR) measures the aerosol 280 mass that can be formed from the precursors that are present in ambient or laboratory air (Kang et 281 al., 2007; Lambe et al., 2011). The OFR has been successfully deployed in multiple urban and 282 forested locations to quantify potential SOA (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018; 283 Kang et al., 2018). The chemical regimes and comparability to ambient results of the OFR have 284 been characterized extensively by modeling, which indicate that SOA formation proceeds by 285 chemistry similar to the atmosphere, dominated by OH oxidation under low-NO conditions (Li et 286 al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015, 2016). The sampling schematic of the OFR during KORUS-AQ is 287 shown in Fig. S12. Briefly, it is a 13 L (45.7 cm length OD \times 19.7 cm ID) cylindrical aluminum 288 tubular vessel that uses two low-pressure mercury 185 and 254 nm lamps (BHK, Inc., model no. 289 82-904-03) to produce OH radical through the photolysis of ambient H_2O , O_2 , and O_3 (R1 – R5). 290 This mode of operation is referred to as "OFR185."

291
$$H_2O + hv (185 \text{ nm}) \to OH + H$$
 (R1)

292
$$O_2 + hv (185 \text{ nm}) \to 2 \text{ O}(^3\text{P})$$
 (R2)

293
$$O_2 + O(^3P) \rightarrow O_3$$
 (R3)

294
$$O_3 + hv (254 \text{ nm}) \to O_2 + O(^1\text{D})$$
 (R4)

295 $O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2 OH$

296 The KORUS-AQ study represents the first airborne operation of an OFR to our knowledge. 297 Unlike prior ground-based field studies (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018), the 298 UV lamps were typically maintained at one constant light setting since the OFR was sampling 299 more rapidly varying air masses. Since external OH reactivity (OHR) and water vapor 300 concentrations changed with air mass, a range of OH exposures (OH_{exp}) were reached inside the 301 OFR despite the constant photolytic flux (Peng et al., 2015). The OFR OH_{exp} was calibrated using 302 two different methods: (1) Using the removal of ambient CO in the OFR during flight (on-line 303 calibration in Fig. S14a). (2) While on the ground, injecting known amounts of humidified 304 (multichannel Nafion drier) CO from a zero air cylinder spiked with ~2 ppmv of CO (Scott 305 Marrin), and varying the light intensity to produce different amounts of OH (off-line calibration in 306 Fig. S14b) and thus CO reactive removal. Both the off- and on-line approach yielded a calibration 307 factor of $\times 0.4$ for the OH_{exp}, calculated using the parameterization of Peng et al. (2015), similar to 308 Palm et al. (2016). The OH_{exp} calculated with the calibrated equation is used for periods in which 309 the Picarro was not sampling the OFR output. A histogram of the other key parameters used to 310 calculate OH_{exp} with the Peng et al. (2015) equation—H₂O(g) (measured by DLH) and ambient 311 OH reactivity (measured by ATHOS)—are shown in Fig. S15. The OFR operating conditions were 312 in the "Safe" zone (Peng et al., 2015, 2016), meaning that they were consistent with tropospheric 313 chemistry.

A key difference between the operation of this OFR during KORUS-AQ and previous field studies (which did not use any inlet (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018)) was that the gas and aerosol passed through ~1.8 m of ~4.6 mm ID stainless steel tubing at 5 vlpm (residence time ~1.4 s through tubing). The residence time in the OFR was ~150 s. The gas and 318 aerosol sample entered the OFR through a $\frac{1}{2}$ press fitted stainless steel inlet that was coated in 319 SilcoNert (SilcoTek Co, Bellefonte, PA) and had 18 evenly spaced holes (Fig. S13), to promote 320 more even injection of the sample into the OFR flow cross-section (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et 321 al., 2017; Mitroo et al., 2018). The gas-phase output of the OFR was sampled by an 8.25 cm 322 diameter Teflon ring inside the OFR connected to 1/8" Teflon tubing, and sampled by two gas 323 analyzers for O₃ (Model 205, 2B Technologies, Boulder, CO, USA) and CO (Picarro, see above). 324 The aerosol was sampled by a 2 mm ID stainless steel tube. A constant flow through the OFR at 325 all times was maintained with a bypass flow (when the CU-AMS or CO instrument were not 326 sampling from the OFR) to always maintain a constant residence time in the OFR. The CU-AMS 327 sampled from the OFR for 12 - 15 seconds every three minutes (Fig. S2). This sampling scheme 328 was chosen to ensure the CU-AMS had a high sampling frequency for ambient aerosol, while also 329 sampling the OFR once each time the air inside it was replaced (given its residence time of ~150 330 s).

331 In prior ground-based studies the OFR was placed outside, leading to the ambient and OFR 332 temperature being within $1 - 2^{\circ}$ C (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018). During 333 KORUS-AQ the OFR was housed inside the DC-8 cabin, which was typically $\sim 10^{\circ}$ C (range 0 – 334 20° C) warmer than ambient air (Fig. S16). Since (NH₄)₂SO₄ is nonvolatile, there was little impact 335 on the amount of SO₄ entering and exiting the OFR (as confirmed when the UV lights were off 336 and OHexp was zero) (Fig. S17). For OA, which is typically a mixture of semivolatile and 337 nonvolatile compounds, and for pNO₃, which can be quite semivolatile (Huffman et al., 2009; 338 Cappa and Jimenez, 2010), the mass concentration exiting the OFR was significantly lower than 339 when entering, with lights off. This is due to evaporation of OA and pNO_3 at the warmer cabin 340 temperatures and longer residence times (~150 s). However, for ambient measurements, the

341 residence time was less than 1 s (Sect 2.2), which is rapid enough to prevent volatilization of OA 342 and pNO₃, as discussed in prior work (Guo et al., 2016, 2017; Shingler et al., 2016). Thus, the 343 average ratio of OA transmitted through the OFR versus bypassing the OFR with lights off is used 344 (slope in Fig. S17) as an approximate correction for the amount of OA that should have exited the 345 OFR without chemistry when the OFR was in oxidation mode. These values were highly correlated 346 $(R^2 = 0.94)$ and did not vary for the entire campaign, leading to confidence in the correction. This 347 corrects for the semi-volatile nature of ambient OA, but it does not correct for any temperature 348 dependence of SOA formation.

The average aerosol condensation sink (CS) inside the OFR is needed for the LVOC fate model described in Palm et al. (2016) to compute the amount of condensable vapors that do not form SOA in the OFR (due to residence-time limitations and surface losses), but that would be expected to form SOA in the atmosphere. Since there were no particle sizers available to estimate the changes in the aerosol surface area after the OFR (Palm et al., 2016), we used Eq. (1) to estimate the average aerosol surface area in the OFR and estimated condensational sink (CS_{est}).

355
$$CS_{est} = CS_{amb} \times (\frac{AMS \text{ Tot Mass Out} + AMS \text{ Tot Mass In}}{2 \times AMS \text{ Tot Mass In}})^{2/3} \times 2$$
(1)

The CS_{amb} (ambient condensational sink) is calculated using the LAS and SMPS measurements. The second term is a scaling factor to account for the observed increase in mass in OFR (with a power of 2/3 for approximate conversion to relative surface area). The third term is a scaling factor for relative increase in surface area due to strong nanoparticle formation in the OFR, as observed in Los Angeles during CalNex (Ortega et al., 2016). A hygroscopic growth factor is not included in the CS_{est} (Palm et al., 2016) as the aerosol in the OFR was dry (Sect. 2.1).

362 One simple way to confirm the validity of the OH exposures derived from the in-field 363 calibrations and measurements, is to compare the observed versus modeled sulfate enhancements in the OFR while traversing SO₂ plumes (Palm et al., 2016) (Fig. S18 and S19). Albeit the pointto-point comparison is noisy as expected, we find good agreement on average between the modeled and measured SO₄ enhancement (slope = 0.94), validating the quantification of the OFR for this study. We obtained results from the OFR for all flights except RF12 (24/May/2016), when a valve malfunction prevented measurements of O₃ and thus the ability to quantify OFR OH_{exp}. During this flight, the Picarro was also not sampling from the OFR.

370 **2.4 Co-located supporting measurements used in this study**

In addition, the CU-AMS measurements, this study utilizes several co-located gas- and
 aerosol-phase measurements collected on-board the DC-8.

373 **2.4.1 Gas-phase measurements**

374 NO, NO₂, NO_y, and O₃ were measured by the NCAR chemiluminescence instrument 375 (Weinheimer et al., 1994). For CO, the ambient measurements were made with the NASA Langley 376 tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (DACOM) (Sachse et al., 1987) while the 377 measurements for CO exiting the oxidation flow reactor (Sect. 2.3) were made with a Picarro 378 G2401-m. The Picarro was calibrated in flight with a WMO traceable gas standard. Gas-phase 379 H₂O was measured with the NASA Langley open-path tunable diode laser hygrometer (DLH) 380 (Diskin et al., 2002). The Pennsylvania State University Airborne Tropospheric Hydrogen Oxides 381 Sensor (ATHOS), based on laser-induced fluorescence, measured OH, HO₂, and OH reactivity 382 (Faloona et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2009). Hydrocarbons were measured by the University of 383 California-Irvine whole air sampler (WAS), followed by analysis with a gas-chromatography 384 followed by either a flame ionization detector or mass spectrometer (Blake et al., 2003), and also 385 by the University of Oslo proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-MS) 386 (Wisthaler et al., 2002; Müller et al., 2014). SO₂ and speciated acyl peroxy nitrates (e.g., PAN and

387 PPN) were measured by the Georgia Institute of Technology chemical ionization mass 388 spectrometer (GT-CIMS) (Huey et al., 2004; Slusher et al., 2004; S. Kim et al., 2007) The sum of 389 the total peroxy nitrates ($\Sigma ROONO_2$) and total alkyl and multifunctional nitrates ($\Sigma RONO_2$) were 390 measured by the University of California-Berkeley thermal-dissociation laser-induced 391 fluorescence (TD-LIF) technique (Day et al., 2002; Wooldridge et al., 2010). Formaldehyde was 392 measured with the University of Colorado-Boulder difference frequency absorption spectrometer 393 (CAMS, or Compact Atmospheric Multi-species Spectrometer) (Weibring et al., 2010; Richter et 394 al., 2015). Finally, HCN, HNO₃, and dihydroxy-toluene were measured by the California Institute 395 of Technology chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIT-CIMS) (Crounse et al., 2006; 396 Schwantes et al., 2017).

397 2.4.2 Supporting aerosol measurements

398 Refractory Black carbon (BC) mass concentrations in the accumulation mode size range measured by the NOAA Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2) (Schwarz et al., 2013). SO4²⁻ was 399 400 measured both by the University of New Hampshire mist-chamber ion-chromatograph (MC/IC, 401 fine mode only) (Talbot et al., 1997) and total particulate filters, analyzed off-line with ion 402 chromatography (fine and coarse mode with an estimated size cut of 4 μ m) (Dibb et al., 2003; 403 McNaughton et al., 2007; Heim et al., 2018). Besides the CU-AMS, the Hankuk University of 404 Foreign Studies operated an AMS onboard as well (hereinafter referred to as K-AMS); however, 405 using a "capture vaporizer" (see Sect. 2.2 above). Briefly, the geometry and material of the 406 vaporizer has been modified to reduce the amount of particle bounce, and this leads to a CE of ~1 407 for all ambient species, albeit with more thermal decomposition (Jayne and Worsnop, 2015; Hu et 408 al., 2017a, 2017b, 2018a, 2018b; Xu et al., 2017).

409 Finally, the physical concentration and properties of the aerosol were measured by the 410 NASA Langley Aerosol Research Group (LARGE). These included: (1) Size-resolved particle 411 number concentrations (values used to estimate surface area and volume) were measured by a TSI 412 Laser Aerosol Spectrometer (LAS, model 3340; TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN; calibrated with a range of 413 NIST traceable polystyrene latex spheres (PSL), size range 100 - 5000 nm PSL mobility diameter), 414 a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, composed of a differential mobility analyzer, TSI model 415 3081 long column with custom flow system and SMPS operated using TSI software) and a CPC 416 (TSI model 3010, size range 10 - 200 nm PSL mobility diameter). (2) Scattering coefficients at 417 450, 550 and 700 nm were measured with an integrating nephelometer (TSI, Inc. model 3563) and 418 corrected for truncation errors per Anderson and Ogren (1998). (3) Absorption coefficients at 470, 419 532 and 660 nm were measured with a Particle Soot Absorption Photometer (PSAP, Radiance 420 Research) and corrected for filter scattering per Virkkula (2010). In order to calculate extinction, 421 which is used in this study, the measured Angstrom exponent was used to adjust the scattering at 422 550 nm to 532 nm (Ziemba et al., 2013).

423 **3.** PM₁ comparisons, composition, and transport during KORUS-AQ

424 **3.1 Intercomparisons of airborne PM1 during KORUS-AQ**

The intercomparison of aerosol measurements less than 1 μ m are summarized here and shown in Table 1, and the full detailed intercomparison is found in SI 8. The AMS and the other aerosol measurements agree within their combined uncertainties, similar to prior studies (DeCarlo et al., 2008; Dunlea et al., 2009; Hayes et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017; Schroder et al., 2018).

429 **Table 1.** Overview of intercomparisons for KORUS-AQ CU-AMS versus other PM_1 430 measurements. Uncertainties listed are 1σ .

Instrument	What is being	Slope	Slope R ² Combined Uncertainty		Uncertainty of	
Comparison	Compared			of Instruments	Regression Slope	
MC//IC	SO ₄ Mass	0.95	0.76	$\pm 20\%$	$\pm 1\%$	
Filters	SO ₄ Mass	0.80	0.86	±24%	±2%	

AMS Scatter Plot	Total PM ₁	0.95		±27%	$\pm 1\%$
(Total Campaign) Mass/CE					
AMS Scatter Plot	Mass/CE/	1.02	0.91	$\pm 27\%$	$\pm 1\%$
(Lower PM ₁ Sizes) ^a	Transmission				
AMS Scatter Plot	Mass/	0.84	0.82	$\pm 27\%$	$\pm 1\%$
(Higher PM ₁ Sizes) ^b	Transmission				
Extinction	Total PM ₁	6.00	0.87	±31%	$\pm 3\%$
	Mass to 532				
	nm Extinction				
LAS (all data)	PM ₁ Volume	1.56	0.86	±43%	$\pm 1\%$
LAS (Conc. Filter) ^c	PM ₁ Volume	1.19	0.91	±43%	$\pm 1\%$
LAS (Mass Filter) ^c	PM ₁ Volume	1.00	0.79	±43%	$\pm 1\%$

^aComparison of K-AMS and CU-AMS for RFs 1 – 9, 11, 15, 19. ^bComparison of K-AMS and CU-AMS for RFs 10, 12 – 14, 16 – 18, 20. ^cUsing the lower concentration and mass filter. See SI 8 and Fig. S27.

435 There are two comparisons that show lower agreement. The slope between AMS SO₄ 436 versus filter SO₄ is 20% lower than unity. As previously mentioned, the filters collect aerosols 437 with diameters up to 4 µm, and Heim et al. (2018) concluded that the difference was due to supermicron SO₄²⁻ throughout the campaign from the transport of dust from continental Asia to 438 439 South Korea. Thus, the differences in the diameter cut-off between the AMS and filters and observations of supermicron SO_4^{2-} are the likely cause of the lower slope. The comparison of 440 441 calculated volume from AMS plus BC versus calculated volume from LAS indicate that the AMS 442 and BC calculated volume is higher. Part of the reason could be that LAS vaporizes BC (Kupc et 443 al., 2018); however, as shown in Figure 1, BC accounts for a small fraction of PM1. As discussed 444 in SI 8, the LAS detector saturated at high particle number concentrations/high mass 445 concentrations. This has also been observed by Liu et al. (2017) in a prior airborne campaign with the same instruments. Comparing the LAS and AMS plus BC volume at lower total mass 446 447 concentrations/particle number concentrations, the difference is reduced to ~10%, which is well 448 within the combined uncertainties.

449 **3.2 PM₁ concentration and composition over South Korea during KORUS-AQ**

450 We briefly describe the PM_1 composition observed over South Korea during the campaign 451 and compare it to prior observations in the same region and for other large urban areas around the 452 world. First, the comparison of PM1 in the boundary layer (estimated to be ~600 m from 453 temperature profiles measured on the DC-8 during the entire campaign, as shown in Figure 1) in 454 the KORUS-AQ domain is discussed. As shown in Figure 1, the highest average PM_1 during 455 KORUS-AQ were observed over the West Sea during the "transport/polluted" research flight (RF12, 24/May/2016), at 39 µg sm⁻³, and over Seoul, at 31 µg sm⁻³ (average of all flights over 456 457 Seoul). However, during the latter half of the mission, PM_1 was regularly greater than 60 µg sm⁻³, 458 and as high as 100 μ g sm⁻³, over Seoul. During the rest of the flights, the average mass 459 concentration over the West Sea was a factor of 3 lower (13 μ g sm⁻³). Also, the Seoul and West 460 Sea PM1 composition was different, where SO4 and more-oxidized oxidized organic aerosol (MO-461 OOA) dominated the West Sea PM₁ budget, indicative of transported, aged chemistry (Dunlea et 462 al., 2009; Lee et al., 2015). Also, the O/C ratio for the West Sea was 0.84 (WS Clean) to 0.88 (WS polluted). Seoul showed higher fractions of less-oxidized OOA (LO-OOA) than MO-OOA, and 463 464 higher pNO₃ than SO₄, which is more typical of fresher, urban chemistry, with an average O/C465 ratio of 0.70 (DeCarlo et al., 2008; Hennigan et al., 2008; Hayes et al., 2013; H. Kim et al., 2017; 466 Kim et al., 2018). The eastern side of South Korea had lower average PM_1 than observed over 467 Seoul. This region of South Korea is not as highly populated as around Seoul, reducing the sources 468 and production of PM1 and is more representative of PM1 background pollution/transport across 469 the country. The average PM₁ observed over the Jeju jetway was similar to what was observed 470 over Seoul. Also, this area had similar contributions from hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA) 471 and pNO₃ as Seoul, indicating local emissions, including industry, along with transport/background, are impacting the PM1 composition (e.g., Hayes et al., 2013). This part of 472

- 473 South Korea has some large population centers (e.g., Gwangju and Jeonju) and power plants (e.g.,
- 474 Boryeong Power Station), which are consistent with the observed impact.



475

Figure 1. Pie charts of the average boundary layer PM_1 composition by the different regions (defined in Table S2) sampled over South Korea during the campaign. The flight paths are shown in light red. The Busan jetway had no measurable Chl; therefore, Chl is not included in the pie chart. The pie charts area is proportional to PM_1 in each region. The average O/C for the OA is shown by each OA section in the pie charts. The map shows the DC-8 flight paths throughout KORUS-AQ. The average vertical profile of PM_1 species (along with temperature and continental PBL height) over all of South Korea is shown in the upper left.

483 The average PM₁ observed over Seoul during KORUS-AQ was similar to the mass 484 concentration measured in Seoul in previous years (37, 38, 37, 27, and 22 μ g m⁻³ for Choi et al., 485 2012, Kim et al., 2007, H. C. Kim et al., 2016, Park et al., 2012, and Kim and Zhang, 2017, 486 respectively). Also, the average PM_1 over the West Sea during clean conditions (13 µg sm⁻³) is in 487 line with what has been reported over Baengnyeong Island (Lee et al., 2015), located west of South 488 Korea in the West Sea (37°58'00" N, 124°37'04" S). Finally, the PM_{2.5} mass concentrations have 489 remained nearly constant for the last ~20 years (OECD, 2018).

The PM₁ composition over Seoul is dominated by pNO₃ and SOA, similar to what was observed on the ground during the same time period (Kim et al., 2018). The composition over Seoul is more similar to what has been observed over Mexico City during MILAGRO (DeCarlo et al., 2008), and in Los Angeles during CalNex (Hayes et al., 2013) than observed over large urban areas in Asia (Hu et al., 2016).

As the differences in PM_1 composition for the different regions mostly occurred in the boundary layer, we show the average PM_1 profile observed during all of KORUS-AQ in the inset of Figure 1, and the fractional contribution to the profile in Fig. S32. At low altitudes, the PM_1 mass is dominated by secondary PM_1 species that compose the largest fractions in the pie charts in Figure 1 (LO-OOA, MO-OOA, pNO₃, and SO₄). The fractional contributions of SO₄ and MO-OOA to PM_1 mass increase with altitude and become dominant above ~4 km, representative of more aged aerosol, away from sources (e.g., Dunlea et al., 2009).

502 **3.3** Analysis of background and transport influence on PM₁

Transport of aerosols and aerosol precursors from distant sources creates a larger-scale background that needs to be quantified in order to understand the impact of local emissions on aerosol production. Prior studies have shown the potential impact of long-distance transport in creating a background aerosol mass over Seoul (H. S. Kim et al., 2007; Heo et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2009, 2016, 2018; H. C. Kim et al., 2017; H. Kim et al., 2017; Jeong et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2017; Seo et al., 2017). To investigate the influence of background and transported air to Seoul, the FLEXPART Lagrangian model with WRF winds and meteorology is used. The application of FLEXPART to this study is described in SI 7. Briefly, the model uses back trajectories from the point where the DC-8 was sampling and calculates the amount of CO and NO₂ contributed by different emission regions for each sampled air parcel.

513 The CO concentration measured during KORUS-AQ can be described by Eq. (2). The 514 average CO mixing ratios observed during the campaign are used.

515
$$CO_{ambient} = CO_{hem. bckg.} + CO_{foreign} + CO_{South Korean}$$
 (2)

516 Here, CO_{hem. bckg.} is the hemispheric background of CO. In FLEXPART, the foreign emissions are 517 from China, Hong Kong, Japan, Laos, Macau, Myanmar, Mongolia, North Korea, Russia, Taiwan 518 and Vietnam. FLEXPART does not include the CO hemispheric background; therefore, that term 519 is estimated from upwind sites (Mt. Waliguan, China and Ulann Uul, Mongolia) (Novelli et al., 520 2017) to be 140 ppbv CO. The West Sea is the simplest case, as FLEXPART predicted that all the 521 CO originated from the foreign sources listed above (Figure 2a). Thus, CO_{ambient} in the West Sea 522 can be attributed to 140 ppbv CO_{hem. bckg.} and 125 ppbv CO_{foreign} (Figure 2b). The advection of CO 523 from the West Sea to Seoul will lead to dilution and mixing of the CO_{foreign} with air containing 524 only the CO_{hem. bckg.}. With an average wind speed of 4 m/s over the West Sea, and a distance of 525 ~300 km, the air takes ~1 actual day to move from where the DC-8 sampled over the West Sea to 526 Seoul. The results from FLEXPART are used to estimate the dilution rate, ~ 0.7 day⁻¹, comparable 527 to the values determined in prior studies (McKeen et al., 1996; Price et al., 2004; Arnold et al., 528 2007; Dzepina et al., 2011; Fried et al., 2011). CO_{foregin} over Seoul was determined by Eq. (3), where t = -1 day, $-k_{dil} = -0.7$ day⁻¹, and C(0) = 125 ppbv. Using the full equation from McKeen 529 530 et al. (1996), a similar value of 60 ppbv CO_{foreign} is derived.

531
$$C(0)=C(t)\times exp^{(-\kappa_{dil}\times t)}$$
(3)

23

With Eq. (2), the total CO background (CO_{foreign} + CO_{hem. bckg.}) is 200 ppbv, and the remainder of
the observed ambient CO is attributed to local South Korean emissions (on average, 165 ppbv
CO). Finally, results from FLEXPART show that the CO_{foreign} contribution (Figure 2d) remained
nearly constant throughout the campaign at all observed photochemical ages. Therefore, 200 ppbv
CO background for observations over Seoul will be used throughout this study.





```
545
```

537

From the observed dilution-corrected OA concentrations (OA concentration divided by the

- 546 hemispheric background subtracted CO mixing ratios) over the West Sea (13 and 40 μ g sm⁻³
- 547 ppmv⁻¹ for clean West Sea and RF12 West Sea, respectively), the CO_{foreign} over Seoul would
- 548 correspond to $1 3 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3}$ OA background (Eq. (4)).

549
$$OA_{background}(t) = CO_{foreign}(t) \times (\frac{OA}{CO})_{foreign}(0)$$
 (4)

The upper limit will be used for the remainder of the study. The corresponding observed background values for HOA, LO-OOA, and MO-OOA are 0, 1±1, and 2±2 µg sm⁻³, respectively. Finally, the background for key gas-phase and aerosol species (which are discussed below) are 1.05 ± 0.28 (CH₂O), 69±5 (O_x), 0.25±0.06 (PAN), and 0.30±0.10 (Σ ROONO₂) ppbv, 0.44±0.34 (Dihydroxy toluene) pptv, and 2±2 µg sm⁻³ (pNO₃). Thus, the increase in OA mass concentration from the background values (3 µg sm⁻³) to average Seoul values (13 µg sm⁻³) must be due to South Korean emissions of POA and production of SOA.



557

Figure 3. Binned fractional contribution (South Korea/(South Korea + Foreign)) of the FLEXPART contributions to (a and b) NO₂ (without any chemical losses) and (c and d) NO₂ (with chemical losses ($\tau = 1$ day)) versus the observed (aircraft) photochemical age. For (a) and (c), the West Sea bars are the average fractional contributions for RF12.

The contribution of foreign versus South Korean emissions of NO₂ from FLEXPART over Seoul and West Sea (Figure 3) is analyzed next. NO₂ is investigated since it has a photochemical lifetime of ~1 day (at OH $\approx 1.5 \times 10^6$ molecules/cm³). This lifetime is similar to short-lived hydrocarbons (e.g., xylene, S/IVOC, etc.) that are thought to dominate urban SOA production in this campaign and other megacities (de Gouw et al., 2005; Kleinman et al., 2007, 2008; DeCarlo et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2013, 2015, Hu et al., 2013, 2016; Ortega et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2017; Schroder et al., 2018). In general, $f(NO_2)_{foreign}$ and $f(NO_2)_{local}$ (with and without photochemical loss included in the FLEXPART model runs) is quite constant with photochemical age (Figure 3), like CO. Unlike CO, the contribution of local NO₂ is ~70% (if photochemical removal is not included) and ~90% (if photochemical removal is included). This strongly suggests that most short-lived hydrocarbons over Seoul, which are believed to dominate urban SOA production, are dominated by South Korean emissions and not transport from foreign sources.

574 **4. SOA production over the Seoul Metropolitan Area**

575 4.1 SOA production over Seoul during KORUS-AQ

576 The conceptual model for analysis of photochemical SOA production over and downwind 577 of megacities has been discussed in detail in de Gouw (2005) and DeCarlo et al. (2010) and 578 subsequent studies (Hayes et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2013, 2016; Freney et al., 2014; Schroder et al., 579 2018). An air mass with "background" values of OA and CO is advected over a megacity area, 580 where fresh emissions of POA, SOA precursors, and CO are emitted into the air mass. The SOA 581 precursors will oxidize to produce SOA and undergo dilution with the surrounding background air 582 masses. To correct for this dilution effect, the change of OA over background OA ($\Delta OA = OA -$ 583 background OA) is divided by the change of CO over background CO (Δ CO = CO – background 584 CO), and this term is the dilution-corrected concentration. CO has been used in prior studies as a 585 surrogate for primary pollution emissions as this compound has high signal-to-noise between 586 urban plumes and background and a long photochemical lifetime (meaning minimal CO is lost due 587 to chemistry or produced from VOC oxidation over a ~1 day timescale (Griffin et al., 2007)) (de 588 Gouw et al., 2005; DeCarlo et al., 2010). Finally, $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ is plotted versus estimated 589 photochemical age. The photochemical age approximately accounts for the chemical evolution of 590 precursors either into products which can be estimated from the time evolution of NO_x/NO_y , or the 591 differences in removal rates of two hydrocarbons (o-xylene or m+p-xylene to ethylbenzene). See 592 SI 5 for more information about the calculation of the photochemical age. The potential impact of 593 SOA precursors being advected into Seoul is addressed in Sect. 4.4.

594 Throughout the paper, the estimated photochemical age from NO_x/NO_y will be used as this 595 measurement has higher temporal coverage, and an emissions ratio is not needed to calculate the 596 photochemical age (SI 5), but note that ages estimated from hydrocarbon-based clocks are 597 consistent. For photochemical ages greater than 1 day (measurements over the West Sea), the 598 aromatic photochemical clock is used (SI 5) as the NO_x/NO_y clock does not work well past 1 599 equivalent day (SI 5). As discussed in Parrish et al. (2007), compounds used to calculate 600 photochemical ages should have lifetimes on the order of the range in ages to be quantified. For 601 example, photochemical age over Seoul is less than 1 equivalent day, which is equivalent to the 602 NO_x lifetime; whereas, the photochemical age over the West Sea is expected to be a few equivalent 603 days, which is bracketed by the lifetimes of benzene and toluene.





604

607 and the West Sea, the CO background is 200 (hemispheric plus foreign) and 140 ppbv (hemispheric 608 only), respectively. The vertical error bars for the observations during KORUS-AQ are the 609 standard error of $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ for each bin. Photochemical age is determined by the NO_x/NO_y. The dark grey bar at the bottom (22 µg sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹) is the observed POA over Seoul during the 610 campaign. Observations from other megacities (de Gouw et al., 2005; Kleinman et al., 2007, 2008; 611 612 DeCarlo et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2013, 2016; Ortega et al., 2016; Schroder et al., 613 2018) are also shown, as lines, for comparison, and have been updated, as described in Schroder 614 et al. (2018).

615 Similar to prior studies, OA over Seoul increased rapidly within the first photochemical 616 equivalent day from the emission source (Figure 4). The dilution-corrected SOA production is very 617 rapid for photochemical ages less than 0.7 equivalent days (note that this would be only 4 actual hours of exposure for average OH concentration of 6×10^6 molecules/cm³ observed during this 618 619 campaign). After that time, the dilution-corrected OA plateaus and remains nearly constant. 620 George et al. (2008) and Ortega et al. (2016) found that after $\sim 4 - 5$ equivalent days, OH 621 heterogeneous reactions start fragmenting the compounds in SOA, leading to a reduction in the 622 dilution-corrected OA mass with age. Compared to prior megacity studies, the dilution-corrected OA produced over Seoul is between $40 - 80 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3} \ ppmv^{-1}$ higher at ~0.5 equivalent days of 623 photochemical aging and $\sim 70 \ \mu g \ sm^{-3} \ ppmv^{-1}$ higher than in Chinese megacities (Hu et al., 2013, 624 625 2016). Qualitatively, the time scale for the production and plateauing of dilution-corrected OA is 626 similar for Seoul, Los Angeles, and Mexico City; however, the amount of OA produced per CO is 627 larger for Seoul compared to Los Angeles and Seoul. It also appears not to be significantly 628 influenced by the outflow of upwind Chinese megacities, since Seoul SOA formation is very rapid 629 and occurs much faster than air mass transport from those megacities, and since the dilution-630 corrected production is much larger in Seoul than in Chinese megacities.

Finally, though the absolute $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ value changes depending on background CO used, assuming a lower CO background does not change the general results that Seoul has higher and more rapid SOA production than has been observed in prior megacities. As shown in Fig. S34, the 634 OA mass concentration increases versus CO mixing ratios as photochemical age increase, and in 635 Fig. S35, even assuming a lower CO background (140 ppbv), the dilution-corrected OA 636 concentration is still ~100 μ g sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹. This value is still higher than what has been observed 637 in prior cities (Figure 4). If the CO background is higher than assumed here (200 pbbv), the OA 638 production would be even higher.

639 To further investigate the potential influence from upwind megacities, we compare 640 $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ over the West Sea versus that over Seoul (Figure 4). The average $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ over the West Sea during the "transport/polluted" conditions (RF12, 24/May/2016) is ~40 μ g sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹. 641 Since 40 μ g sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ has been observed in and downwind of China (Hu et al., 2013, 2016), 642 643 and observed over the West Sea during the "transport/polluted" event, this diluation-corrected OA 644 concentration is taken to be representative of transport events from China to South Korea. This 645 value has already been subtracted from the observations over Seoul. The fact that OA 646 concentrations are greater than POA concentrations at the youngest photochemical ages may be 647 due to (1) very rapid SOA production; (2) sunrise occurring 3 - 4 hours (sunrise between 5:10 -648 5:30 LT) prior to sampling air over Seoul in the morning; and, (3) the imperfect characterization 649 provided by the average photochemical age when fresh emissions have been recently injected into 650 an air parcel.



Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but normalized by ΔCO_2 . The ratios to CO_2 are calculated using $\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2$ emissions ratios from prior studies in each megacity that occur during the same campaign or for the same time of year, since direct measurements of the CO₂ enhancements above background during the aircraft studies of each megacity are very challenging. (Table S4).

651

656 Here, we introduce another dilution-correction method to investigate SOA production over 657 a megacity— $\Delta OA/\Delta CO_2$ (Figure 5). $\Delta OA/\Delta CO_2$ is a way to investigate the amount of OA 658 produced per unit mass of fuel burned in each megacity. Note that although some SOA precursors 659 are not emitted from combustion sources, such as volatile consumer products (McDonald et al., 660 2018), one can still define this ratio in an average sense for each megacity. It has been used previously for laboratory experiments (e.g., Gordon et al., 2013; Platt et al., 2013, 2017) and 661 662 biomass burning (e.g., Akagi et al., 2012; Collier et al., 2016); however, to the best of the authors' 663 knowledge, it has not been used for SOA production over a megacity. As noted above, CO has been typically used instead, given that it is always measured in pollution studies, and it typically 664 665 has a higher signal-to-background ratio than CO₂ in urban areas. Also, during spring and summer, 666 CO_2 is taken up by plants, which can reduce its signal-to-background ratio. However, the ratio of 667 other gases to CO can vary between urban areas depending on the average combustion efficiency 668 of the dominant sources (Silva et al., 2013). CO₂ better accounts for fuel consumption in an urban 669 area (Vay et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2018). Multiple recent studies have reported average emissions 670 ratios for different megacities based on high precision measurements of $\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2$ (Vay et al., 671 2009; Wang et al., 2010; Peischl et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2013; Tohjima et al., 2014; Tang et al., 672 2018). These results provide an ability to convert the $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ determined in prior studies to 673 $\Delta OA/\Delta CO_2$ (Table S4). We find that for most of the megacities studied, $\Delta OA/\Delta CO_2$ is very 674 similar, though Mexico City and Seoul show higher values (approximately factor of 2). The range 675 of observed $\Delta OA/\Delta CO_2$ versus photochemical age is narrower, compared to the spread for all 676 megacities observed for $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$. Both analyses suggest that Seoul has larger relative emissions 677 of SOA precursors compared to other megacities, which could be targeted for air quality 678 improvement. However, more observations across other megacities and additional comparative 679 analyses would be beneficial.

680 **4.2 Composition-based analysis of the foreign versus South Korean contribution to SOA**

681 precursors and SOA over Seoul

682 **4.2.1 Evolution of oxygenated organic aerosol over Seoul**

Here, we focus on the positive matrix factorization (PMF) (Ulbrich et al., 2009) factors for OA resolved during KORUS-AQ, whose evolution over Seoul is shown in Figure 6. Total OOA (LO-OOA plus MO-OOA) is used as a surrogate of total SOA. The fractional contribution of these two factors can be found in Fig. S36. Rapid production of OOA is observed, accounting for all of the observed growth in total OA over Seoul. LO-OOA, overall, is slightly more abundant than MO-OOA (Fig. S36). LO-OOA has lower O/C compared to MO-OOA (Fig. S10); thus, the faster production of LO-OOA likely represents the less oxidized OOA produced from the photooxidation 690 of SOA precursors (Hayes et al., 2013; Freney et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018), while 691 MO-OOA may represent the more oxidized species or those formed from later generations of 692 oxidation (Robinson et al., 2007; Miracolo et al., 2010; Tritscher et al., 2011; Ortega et al., 2016; 693 Sato et al., 2017; Schwantes et al., 2017).

694 The PMF factors have very different dilution-corrected concentrations over the West Sea during the "transport/polluted" event (Figure 6a). All Δ PMF factors/ Δ CO show much lower values 695 696 than for aged air over Seoul. The discontinuity between the three factors between Seoul and West 697 Sea indicate that transported OA, and transported SOA production, has limited impact on the OA 698 over Seoul.



699

700 Figure 6. Same as Figure 4, but for the PMF results of the OA evolution over (a) West Sea during 701 the polluted event (West Sea RF12 at ~1.75 equivalent days) and (b) over Seoul. For (b), fit to HOA and OOA are 23 μ g sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ and 150×(1–exp(–2.3×eq. day), respectively. For the OOA 702 equation, 150 equals the max SOA. Fractional contribution of the PMF factors over (c) West Sea 703 (RF12) and (d) over Seoul. 704

The slope of $\Delta HOA/\Delta CO$ versus age was nearly zero $\mu g \text{ sm}^{-3} \text{ ppmv}^{-1}$ equivalent day⁻¹, 705 706 indicating minimal evolution within these timescales. There are mixed results on whether HOA 707 changes with photochemical age (DeCarlo et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2013, 2016; Freney et al., 2014; Schroder et al., 2018); however, due to the uncertainty that comes from theCO background, it is difficult to determine whether these changes are real or not.

At the lowest photochemical ages, HOA is ~35% of the total $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ (Figure 6d). Since HOA remains approximately constant with age while OOA rapidly increases (Figure 6b), the HOA contribution to total $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ decreases to ~10% after ~1 equivalent day. It has been observed in prior urban campaigns that HOA contributes 10 – 50% and total POA (HOA + other primary OA factors in AMS) contributes 30 – 60% (Aiken et al., 2009; DeCarlo et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2013; Crippa et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016; H. Kim et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018). The fractional contribution of HOA in Seoul is within this range.

A more detailed discussion of the behavior of AMS OA source tracers can be found in SI 11. In general, the AMS OA source tracers behave similarly to other urban campaigns (e.g., Hayes et al., 2013; Freney et al., 2014). Some dilute biomass burning OA was evident, but this source was not major most of the KORUS-AQ. Similarly, isoprene oxidation chemistry was not a major contributor to SOA during this campaign.

722 **4.2.2** Correlation of SOA versus other fast photochemical products

Results above support that a major fraction of the SOA observed over Seoul is rapidly produced through photooxidation of South Korean SOA precursors. To further evaluate this result, we analyze the correlation of OOA with other secondary species known to be rapidly produced through photooxidation of organic precursors.

The other secondary species used in this study are odd oxygen (O_x) , formaldehyde (CH_2O) , peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN), the sum of all acyl peroxy nitrates ($\Sigma ROONO_2$), and pNO₃. O_x (approximated as NO₂ + O₃) is used instead of O₃ to account for titration of O₃ in the presence of fresh NO emissions. Prior studies have used O_x to provide insights into SOA production (Herndon 731 et al., 2008; Wood et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2013; Morino et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Hu et 732 al., 2016) since O_x has a similar lifetime to SOA (~1 week) (Jacob, 2000; Goldberg et al., 2015; 733 Hodzic et al., 2015; Ortega et al., 2016), and O_x is also produced through the photooxidation of 734 organic compounds. However, since both O_x and SOA have longer lifetimes, the correlation 735 observed between these two species may have a contribution from transport of polluted air masses. 736 To reduce the influence of transport on this analysis, the correlation of OOA with CH₂O, PAN, 737 and $\Sigma ROONO_2$ is also investigated. The benefit of these species is that they have estimated 738 lifetimes of less than 3 hours during daytime in KORUS-AQ (typical temperature for transported 739 air 17°C). Also, it has been shown that dilution-corrected pNO₃ decreases rapidly from urban 740 centers, possibly due to dilution with surrounding air low in HNO₃ and NH₃ and irreversible uptake 741 of HNO₃ onto coarser particles (e.g., DeCarlo et al., 2008).

Example time series of OOA with O_x , CH₂O, PAN, Σ ROONO₂, and pNO₃ during three different afternoon Seoul overpasses are shown in Figure 7a. All gas and aerosol species exhibit similar behavior, indicating that these species are undergoing photochemical production during these afternoon passes, similar to what has been observed in other urban environments during the afternoon (Perring et al., 2010; Fried et al., 2011; Parrish et al., 2012; Hayes et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). OOA also tracks the evolution of these species, consistent with OOA also being a secondary product from hydrocarbon photooxidation.

Analyzing the entire KORUS-AQ campaign, correlations with $R^2 > 0.50$ are observed between OOA and O_x, CH₂O, PAN, Σ ROONO₂, and pNO₃ for the overpass observations after 12:00 LT (Figure 7b-f). These correlations for these secondary species produced through the oxidation of hydrocarbons, in the afternoon, when photochemical production dominates over mixing and losses, further supports that the OOA production observed in Figure 4 and 6 isdominated by the photochemistry of locally emitted hydrocarbons.



755

756 Figure 7. (a) Time series of OOA (OOA = LO-OOA + MO-OOA), O_x , CH₂O, PAN, Σ ROONO₂, 757 and pNO₃ during RF09 (18/May/2016), and RF18 (05/June/2016) noon and afternoon overpasses. 758 Gaps in time series correspond to climbing out of the boundary layer. OOA versus (b) O_x ($O_x =$ 759 $O_3 + NO_2$), (c) CH₂O, (d) PAN, (e) Σ ROONO₂, and (f) pNO₃ over Seoul, South Korea, during 760 KORUS-AQ. For panels (b) - (f), the observations are after 12:00 local time (03:00 UTC), the 761 black dots are all data, and the slopes (red line) is an ODR fit to the data. (g - i) Same as Figure 6 for $\Delta OOA/\Delta CO$ versus photochemical age, and including the dilution-corrected production of O_x , 762 CH₂O, PAN, ΣROONO₂, and pNO₃. (g) is over the West Sea (RF12), (h) is over the West Sea 763 764 (normal conditions) and (i) is over Seoul. Similar to Figure 4, the Δ corresponds to subtracting the 765 background values for the respective species.

```
O_x, CH<sub>2</sub>O, PAN, \SigmaROONO<sub>2</sub>, and pNO<sub>3</sub>, when dilution-corrected with \DeltaCO, show a similar
trend as OOA (Figure 7i). From the lowest observed photochemical age (~0.1 equivalent day) to
the highest (~0.85 equivalent day), O_x, CH<sub>2</sub>O, PAN, \SigmaROONO<sub>2</sub>, and pNO<sub>3</sub> increase by factors of
4, 2, 7, 4, and 2, respectively. Over Mexico City, increases of factors of ~2 (CH<sub>2</sub>O) (Fried et al.,
```

2011) and ~3 (Σ ROONO₂) (Perring et al., 2010) were observed, which are comparable to the Seoul observations. These rapid increases can only be explained by photooxidation of South Korean primary emissions (hydrocarbons and NO_x).

773 The influence of the upwind, background air masses over the West Sea are investigated 774 and shown in Figure 7g and h. Over the West Sea, the dilution-corrected concentration of SOA, 775 O_x , CH₂O, PAN, Σ ROONO₂, and pNO₃ were all nearly constant. This indicates that the secondary 776 short-lived gas-phase species have reached steady state. Also, since dilution-corrected SOA 777 concentration is flat, this suggests that the SOA precursors have been depleted, and the SOA 778 production has ended, with SOA concentration reaching the plateau that is typically observed after 779 ~1 equivalent day (Ortega et al., 2016). The low PAN concentration and influence from transport 780 over the West Sea was also observed by Lee et al. (2012) over Baengyeoung Island, a regional 781 background monitoring location for Seoul and South Korea, during August 2010 and March – 782 April 2011. This further indicates low amounts of PAN are transported due to its thermal 783 decomposition and very short lifetime, and any production, and correlation, of PAN with OOA 784 would suggest local, photochemical production.

785 Besides the ubiquitous (but less specific) secondary species from organic compound 786 oxidation, OOA shows a robust correlation with dihydroxy toluene (DHT) (Figure 8), a known 787 SOA precursor from toluene photooxidation (Schwantes et al., 2017). DHT is very short lived, 788 with a photochemical lifetime of less than 1 hour, and it is formed under both low and high NO 789 conditions (Schwantes et al., 2017). The lower correlation, compared to the ubiquitous secondary 790 species, is possibly due to DHT forming from one precursor (toluene) instead of the broad range 791 of precursors that form OOA and O_x, PAN, and CH₂O. The correlation of OOA with a known 792 SOA precursor, that is very short-lived, again supports that OOA production is dominated by

photooxidation of locally emitted hydrocarbons, including toluene. The increasing ratio of OOA
to DHT also suggests that SOA production is fastest at low equivalent ages and is starting to
plateau at higher ages.



796



802 The correlation between OOA and secondary species that have very short lifetimes further 803 suggest that the observed OOA is dominantly due to photooxidation of local emissions to produce 804 SOA and the other secondary species and not transport. This is due to the fact that the short 805 photochemical lifetimes of PAN, CH₂O, DHT, and ΣROONO₂ would cause the secondary species 806 to be in steady state. The observations over the West Sea, which is mainly upwind of Seoul and 807 thus background air, show much lower ratios. These two observations further suggest that local 808 SMA emissions are the precursors that undergo the rapid photooxidation to produce SOA, pNO₃, 809 and the other secondary species.

810 4.2.3 Oxidation state of SOA

811 We investigate the oxidation state of the observed OA with the van Krevelen diagram 812 (Heald et al., 2010) in Figure 9a. The slope over Seoul (-0.69) is close to the average slope for 813 numerous studies summarized by Chen et al. (2015) (-0.60) and similar to the range of slopes 814 (-0.7 to -1.0) for studies impacted by urban pollution (Aiken et al., 2009; Docherty et al., 2011; 815 Ge et al., 2012), including Los Angeles during CalNex (ranges from -0.64 to -0.68 from Hayes 816 et al. (2013) and Ortega et al. (2016)) or chamber studies investigating the photooxidation of 817 combustion exhausts (Heald et al., 2010; Lambe et al., 2012; Jathar et al., 2013; Presto et al., 2014; 818 Tkacik et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). This generally indicates that the photochemistry controlling 819 the production of SOA is similar in urban areas, including photooxidation of diesel and gasoline 820 emissions, evaporative diesel and gasoline, and cooking emissions (Hayes et al., 2015; Woody et 821 al., 2016; Janssen et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018).



822

Figure 9. (a) Van Krevelen diagram for all of KORUS-AQ. OSc = $(O/C - 2 \times H/C)$ (Kroll et al., 2011). The observations are binned, into deciles, for observations over Seoul, South Korea, and binned, into 5 bins, for clean West Sea, and polluted West Sea. The teal line represents the average slope reported in Chen et al. (2015) of -0.60, and the light red line represents the slope (slope =

 $-0.69(\pm 0.15)$, y-intercept=2.07(± 0.11)) observed over Seoul, South Korea, during the campaign. (b) Binned O/C from observations versus photochemical age, over Seoul, South Korea, and averaged O/C versus photochemical clock over polluted West Sea. The light red line is the fit to the observations over Seoul during KORUS-AQ. The values of O/C versus photochemical age from Hodzic and Jimenez (2011), Dzepina et al. (2011), Hu et al. (2013), are updated with calibrations of Canagaratna et al. (2015); whereas, Hu et al. (2016), and Ortega et al. (2016) did not need updates.

The "transport/polluted" evolution of H/C versus O/C falls on the same slope as the observations over Seoul; however, the values lie at higher O/C ratios, indicative of more aged OOA. O/C versus H/C during the "transported/polluted" event over the West Sea is also comparable to the H/C versus O/C slope (-0.63) observed in Chinese outflow at Changdao (Hu et al., 2013). On the other hand, O/C versus H/C over the West Sea during "clean" events show distinctly lower values and a steeper evolution (slope = -1.1).

840 The evolution of O/C with photochemical age over Seoul and over the West Sea is shown 841 in Figure 9b, along with results from prior studies (Dzepina et al., 2011; Hodzic and Jimenez, 842 2011; Hu et al., 2013, 2016; Ortega et al., 2016). Note that older studies have been updated with 843 the calibration of Canagaratna et al. (2015). For the first 0.5 equivalent days, O/C is nearly identical 844 to the Mexico City observations (Hodzic and Jimenez, 2011); however, after 0.5 equivalent days, 845 the O/C ratio growth slows down. The O/C evolution then becomes more similar to that observed 846 when processing Los Angeles air in an OFR (Ortega et al., 2016). The evolution of O/C over Seoul 847 is at the low end of the range of values observed from prior megacities. The average O/C value 848 observed over the West Sea during RF12 is more similar to the values observed after 1 equivalent 849 day in two sites in China (Hu et al., 2013, 2016).

4.4 Influence of local versus transported SOA precursors to SOA production over Seoul

851 OFR results can be used to investigate the role of SOA production from South Korean and
852 Seoul emissions versus long-distance transported SOA precursors. As shown in prior studies
853 (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018), the SOA potential decreases drastically in the

daytime, as the most reactive compounds to OH have already oxidized and formed SOA. Thus, these results will not directly capture the full emitted SOA potential for Seoul, South Korea. Also, recent studies indicate that lower volatility species (e.g., S/IVOCs) can be lost to tubing walls, or their transfer can be greatly delayed (Pagonis et al., 2017; Deming et al., 2018). Thus, it is likely that the OFR inlet line on the DC-8 acted at least as a partial sink of S/IVOCs and thus reduced the measured potential SOA. As a reminder, a correction is included for the condensational sink (CS) of LVOC in the OFR based on Eq. (1).



861

Figure 10. (a) Comparison of OFR OA enhancement (OFR OA enhancement = OA exiting OFR 862 - ambient OA) over Seoul. South Korea, and the West Sea, corrected for evaporation losses. The 863 difference between the two stacked shaded bars is that the lighter (bottom) shade has no CS 864 865 correction whereas the darker shade does. (b) The lighter color represents the average dilutioncorrected observed ambient OA preexisting concentration corresponding to the OFR observations 866 867 of the same air mass. The darker color represents the dilution-corrected SOA production in the OFR. The average additional photochemical age added in the OFR is ~4 days (OH_{exp} ~ 5.4×10^{11} 868 molecules/cm³×s) for both over Seoul and West Sea. Also, the observations for the West Sea are 869 for all flights, not including RF12, where the average $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ was 13 µg sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹. For both 870 (a) and (b), the OFR observations over Seoul are split between lower and higher equivalent ages 871 872 (see x-axis). The average ambient ages for the two bars are 0.17 and 0.63 equivalent days. Error bars are the standard errors of the observations. 873

874 The average OA enhancement in the OFR (OA Enhancement = OA in OFR – Ambient 875 OA) in Seoul is slightly greater for the less aged ambient air $(7.1\pm1.6 \text{ versus } 6.5\pm0.8 \text{ }\mu\text{g sm}^{-3})$ but 876 both values lie within the range of the measurements (Figure 10a). The less aged ambient air show 877 slightly higher OA enhancement suggests that more SOA precursors might have been present and 878 available to form SOA mass (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018). The OA 879 enhancement observed over Seoul was a factor of 3.5 greater than observed over the West Sea (~7 μ g sm⁻³ over Seoul versus ~2 μ g sm⁻³ over the West Sea). The much higher SOA formation 880 881 potential observed over Seoul versus the West Sea indicates that the majority of the precursors that 882 led to the observed SOA and SOA production over Seoul originated from local emissions, 883 consistent with results above.

884 Plotting the OA enhancements as $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$, similar to Figure 4, the amount of ambient 885 SOA production, not including pre-existing OA, for ambient air that has aged less than 0.4 equivalent days is 27(±12) μ g sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹, a 50% increase compared to the average Δ OA/ Δ CO 886 887 observed over Seoul at the same ambient photochemical age (Figure 10b). For air older than 0.4 equivalent days, the increase is slightly smaller $(21(\pm 20) \ \mu g \ sm^{-3} \ ppmv^{-1}$ above ambient pre-888 889 existing OA) since a large fraction of the most reactive, high aerosol producing compounds have 890 already been depleted and produced ambient SOA (Ortega et al., 2016; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 891 2018).

Finally, there is still a small amount of SOA production potential in the air transported over the West Sea to Seoul. The average potential, not including pre-existing OA, is $5(\pm 4) \ \mu g \ sm^{-3}$ ppmv⁻¹. This is a factor of 4 – 5 less than the potential SOA production observed in the OFR for Seoul. Including the pre-existing dilution-corrected OA for the West Sea observation (18 $\mu g \ sm^{-3}$ ppmv⁻¹), the concentration is approximately a factor of 8.5 less than the maximum ambient dilution-corrected OA concentration and a factor of 6 - 8 less than the total dilution corrected OA concentration exiting the OFR. Some of this remaining production would have been further consumed during transport between the West Sea and Seoul (typically 1 day); therefore, it is not expected to significantly impact the SOA production over Seoul. This further indicates that, during this campaign, the transported SOA precursors to Seoul from foreign sources did not contribute significantly to the overall observed SOA production.

903 **4.5 Calculated precursor contributions to the SOA production over Seoul**

904 We use a simple SOA model (Dzepina et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2014) to calculate the 905 contribution of various precursors to SOA over Seoul (Figure 11, details in Sect. SI 6). Observed 906 hydrocarbons (Table 2), from WAS, along with estimated S/IVOC (Robinson et al., 2007; Dzepina 907 et al., 2009; Hayes et al., 2015) and SOA yields updated to account for vapor wall losses (Ma et 908 al., 2017) were used to estimate (SI Eq. (S3) and (S4)) the contribution of various precursors to 909 SOA production observed over Seoul. Dzepina et al. (2009) and Hayes et al. (2015) both found 910 that the "Robinson" parameterization of SOA from S/IVOC was consistent with SOA production 911 in Mexico City and Los Angeles for observations at 1 equivalent day or less; thus, the same 912 parameterizations are used here.

The percent difference between the modeled and measured total OA ranged between -24to 34% with an average value of the observations being 11% higher. This provides confidence that the calculation described in SI 6 captures the chemical production of SOA over Seoul. Also, the difference between the estimated and measured OA is comparable to, or better than, found in other studies that utilized a similar modeling approaches (Dzepina et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2014, 2016; Hayes et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2017), and within the uncertainty of the measured OA (38%, 2 σ). This box model does not explicitly consider volatile consumer products (VCPs) (Khare and Gentner, 2018; McDonald et al., 2018), S/IVOC from cooking emissions (e.g., Hayes et al., 2013; Ots et al., 2016), or glyoxal (Volkamer et al., 2006; Knote et al., 2014), although contributions from these components may be partially included in the empirical estimation of S/IVOC. Modeled SOA in Los Angeles, using estimates of S/IVOC from Δ HOA/ Δ CO, including ~2/3 of VCPs and not including glyoxal, were able to capture the observed SOA in the first 0.5 equivalent days (Hayes et al., 2015; McDonald et al., 2018), similar to the results here.



927

Figure 11. Calculated SOA production for KORUS-AQ. POA is from observations shown in Figure 6, and the observations of $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ are from Figure 4. The SOA precursor classes are defined in Table 2. Note, Toluene is part of Aromatics Class 1 (light purple), but it is shown separately for discussion. The error bars represent the uncertainty in OA (±38%). The OFR observations, and error bars, are from Figure 10.

Table 2. Definition of classes used in Figure 11. The VOCs listed in the table were all measuredby WAS.

	Class	Included Compounds or Parameterization					
	Terpenes	alpha-pinene, beta-pinene					
	Alkanes (C≤10)	methyl-cyclopentane, cyclohexane, methyl-cyclohexane, n-hetpane, n-					
		octane, n-nonane, n-decane,					
	Olefins Class 1	1-butene, i-butene, cis-butene, trans-butene					
	Olefins Class 2	Styrene, 1,3-butadiene					
	Aromatics Class 1	benzene, toluene, isopropylbenzene, n-propylbenzene, ethylbenzene,					
	Aromatics Class 2	m+p-xylene, o-xylene, 3-ethyltoluene, 4-ethyltoluene, 1,2,3-					
		trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene					
	Estimated S/IVOC	6.7^{a} ×ΔHOA/ΔCO (ΔHOA/ΔCO = 22 µg sm ⁻³ ppmv ⁻¹ from Figure 6)					
935	^a This value is taken f	from Dzepina et al. (2009), which is based on partitioning calculations.					
936	The most important calculated SOA precursors are S/IVOC and the most reactive						
937	aromatics (Table 2). These two classes of compounds comprise ~70% of the total modeled SOA						
938	over Seoul. The calculation further supports the conclusions from multiple previous studies						
939	(Dzepina et al., 2009	(Dzepina et al., 2009, 2011; Hodzic et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015; Hayes et al., 2015; Ma et al.,					
940	2017) that aromatics and primary S/IVOC dominate SOA formation over different urban						
941	environments. A consistent feature across most species in both classes of compounds is that they						
942	all have photochemical lifetimes of less than 1 equivalent day and less than 4 actual hours for the						
943	average observed daytime OH (6×10^6 molecules/cm ³) over Seoul. With the typical wind speeds						
944	during KORUS-AQ (~4 m/s), the lifetime of these species would limit their transport						
945	approximately 60 km during daytime. Since these compounds have short photochemical lifetimes,						
946	and they compose the majority of the calculated SOA budget, our conclusion that the SOA						
947	production over Seoul originates from local emissions is further supported.						
948	Numerous pr	Numerous prior studies have shown the importance of S/IVOC in order to explain the					
949	observed SOA production (Robinson et al., 2007; Dzepina et al., 2009, 2011; Grieshop et al., 2009						

950 Pye and Seinfeld, 2010; Hodzic et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2014; Jathar et al., 2014; Chen et al.,

951 2015; Hayes et al., 2015; Palm et al., 2016, 2017, 2018; Ortega et al., 2016; Janssen et al., 2017;

952 Ma et al., 2017). Until recently, it has been analytically challenging to measure these compounds 953 (Ait-Helal et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014; Hunter et al., 2017), and they can make up a small fraction 954 of the total measured, and speciated, hydrocarbons in an urban location (Ait-Helal et al., 2014; 955 Zhao et al., 2014). However, due to the higher initial molecular weight, S/IVOC already have a low saturation concentration (C* ~ 1 – 1000 μ g m⁻³ for SVOC and ~1×10⁴ – 1×10⁶ μ g m⁻³ for 956 IVOC), especially compared to aromatic compounds ($C^* \sim 10^7 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$); thus, any addition of 957 958 functional groups will more easily lead to the partitioning of oxidized S/IVOC to the particle phase 959 (Robinson et al., 2007; Hayes et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2017). In urban environments, S/IVOC 960 emissions come from numerous sources, including transportation, cooking, and VCPs (Robinson 961 et al., 2007; Hayes et al., 2015; Woody et al., 2016; Janssen et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017; McDonald 962 et al., 2018).

963 The next most important compound is toluene, composing $9(\pm 3)\%$ of the estimated SOA 964 production. Though this single compound is as important as the rest of Aromatics Class 1, Olefins 965 Class 1 and 2, alkanes, and terpenes (Table 2) combined, it does not contribute the majority of the 966 calculated SOA budget, as was recently suggested in another study (Wu et al., 2016). The average 967 aerosol yield for toluene used in this study ($Y \approx 0.30$) is similar to the value used in Wu et al. 968 (2016) and recommended by Hildebrandt et al. (2015). The aerosol yield is similar for all 969 aromatics; however, the more reactive aromatics will contribute more SOA per unit precursor at 970 shorter photochemical ages. The longer photochemical lifetime (factor of 2) for toluene decreases 971 the overall amount of SOA produced compared to the very reactive aromatics.

972 **4.6 Conceptual model representing rapid photochemical production**

A conceptual model representing rapid photochemical production of SOA, pNO₃, O_x, and
CH₂O is presented here. For the model, the flow is simplified to be from the west to the east. The

lateral and vertical dilution have been represented as the equivalent first order rate, constrained by
observations (~0.7 day⁻¹). Also, the hemispheric and foreign transport is accurate on average based
on observations, and is discussed in Sect. 3.3. For the production over Seoul, it is represented by
photochemical aging, constrained by observations (Figure 7i), as a first order rate. Thus, the
important processes are represented with realistic quantitative constraints, but in a simple enough
system to demonstrate the impact of the secondary chemistry from Seoul.

981 The results are shown in Figure 12. The figure summarizes and demonstrates the results 982 discussed throughout the paper. First, as discussed in Sect. 3.2 and 4.2, there is no clear net 983 production of the pollutants over the West Sea. Instead, they undergo dilution as the air travels 984 across the West Sea. Then, as the air enters SMA area, there are fresh injections of primary 985 emissions (CO, HOA, hydrocarbons, and NO_x). These primary emissions undergo rapid 986 photooxidation to produce SOA, pNO₃, O_x, and CH₂O, as detailed in Sect. 4.2. As demonstrated 987 in Figure 12, most of the production occurs with SMA prior to dilution taking over. This 988 demonstrates that the emissions and subsequent chemistry from SMA are directly impacting the 989 residents of SMA. Thus, control of the primary pollutants, including the SOA precursors discussed 990 in Sect. 4.5 (aromatics and S/IVOC), and NO_x, would substantially reduce concentration of the 991 secondary photochemical products impacting SMA, even during period of higher foreign transport 992 than observed during KORUS-AQ.



Figure 12. Conceptual model representing the transport of background into Seoul, and the
 emissions of primary species (CO and HOA) and photochemical production of secondary species
 (SOA, pNO₃, O_x, and CH₂O) impacting Seoul.

997 **5.** Summary

A suite of aerosol- and gas-phase measurements were made over Seoul and the West Sea
during May and June, 2016, as part of the KORUS-AQ campaign. The results from this study are
summarized below.

1001 (1) Using a combination of a Lagrangian backtrajectory model (FLEXPART) and 1002 observations, the hemispheric CO background was estimated to be 140 ppbv, the CO foreign 1003 background over Seoul was estimated to be 60 ppbv, and the remainder of the CO over Seoul was 1004 due to South Korean emissions. The CO background analysis allows estimating background values 1005 for other species used throughout this study. In particular, the OA background was estimated to be 1006 between $1 - 3 \mu g \text{ sm}^{-3}$.

(2) FLEXPART was also used for source analysis of NO₂, as a surrogate for SOA
precursors. NO₂ has a photochemical lifetime of less than 1 day (similar to the dominant urban
SOA precursors). Results from FLEXPART indicate that greater than 90% of NO₂ originates from
South Korea, consistent with most of the important SOA and pNO₃ precursors also originating
there.

(3) Factor analysis of OA showed that the OA growth over Seoul was dominated by OOA
(surrogate for SOA). This OOA (background subtracted) was low at low photochemical ages and
rapidly increased throughout the day as photochemistry occurred. This points to local emissions
controlling SOA production over Seoul.

1016 (4) OOA was correlated with secondary gas-phase species, including O_x ($O_3 + NO_2$), 1017 formaldehyde, peroxy acetyl nitrates, sum of acyl peroxy nitrates, dihydroxy toluene, and pNO₃. 1018 Correlation with these species indicates that the SOA was produced from local emissions and photochemistry since some of these compounds (CH₂O and PAN) had photochemical lifetimes ofless than three daytime hours during KORUS-AQ.

1021 (5) Using an airborne OFR for the first time, the amount of potential SOA produced from 1022 air sampled over Seoul was a factor of three higher than for air sampled over the West Sea (a 1023 background inflow location). This points to local SOA precursor emissions from Seoul, and 1024 subsequent rapid photochemistry, causing the increase in SOA observed over Seoul. The air 1025 sampled over West Sea did not have enough SOA precursors to cause the SOA production 1026 observed over Seoul.

(6) A simple box model showed good agreement with the measured SOA growth. This
allows an estimation of the contribution of various precursors to SOA over Seoul. Hydrocarbons
with a photochemical lifetime of less than one day dominate the production of SOA. Specifically,
short lived aromatic compounds (i.e., ethyltoluenes, xylenes, trimethylbenzenes) and S/IVOC are
the main precursors to SOA, accounting for 70% of the calculated SOA. Toluene was found to
contribute 9% of the calculated SOA.

1033 (7) Over Seoul, a large megacity with numerous sources of emissions, local emissions and 1034 their photochemical products overwhelm the foreign influence during KORUS-AQ. However, for 1035 smaller cities or more rural areas in South Korea that are not downwind of Seoul or other large 1036 sources, the foreign influence can more easily overwhelm the smaller local emissions. Thus, outside of the Seoul Metropolitan Area, the foreign influence has a greater impact on the air 1037 1038 quality. During periods in which the foreign influence is larger than during KORUS-AQ (due to 1039 more favorable transport conditions), it will be more comparable to the importance of the Seoul 1040 emissions. However, given the apparently stronger emissions of SOA precursors than in other 1041 megacities, reducing South Korean emissions should improve air quality under all conditions.

1042 Author Contribution

1043 BAN, PCJ, DAD, JCS, and JLJ collected the AMS data; BA, AJB, CAC, and KLT collected the 1044 data from LARGE; DRB collected the WAS data; WHB collected the OH, HO₂, and OHR 1045 data; YC and JPD collected the CO measurements from Picarro; JD and ES collected 1046 MC/IC and filter measurements; GD and SEP collected H₂O and ambient CO 1047 measurements; AF collected CH₂O measurements; LGH collected PAN, PPN, and SO₂ 1048 measurements; MJK collected HNO₃, DHT, and HCN measurements; CK ran the 1049 FLEXPART analysis; KDL collected the BC measurements; TL and TP collected the 1050 KAMS data; and, JHW provided the emissions for the FLEXPART analysis. JAdG assisted 1051 in the analysis of the photochemical clocks and SOA production. BAN and JLJ prepared 1052 the original manuscript, and all authors contributed to the review and editing of the 1053 manuscript.

1055 Acknowledgements

1056 This study was supported by NASA grants NNX15AT96G & 80NSSC18K0630, and EPA STAR 1057 83587701-0. It has not been formally reviewed by EPA. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of EPA. EPA 1058 does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication. MJK 1059 was funded by NSF award number 1524860. Joost de Gouw worked as a part-time 1060 1061 consultant for Aerodyne Research Inc during the preparation phase of this manuscript. The authors acknowledge Joshua Schwarz and Anne Perring for the use of their black carbon 1062 1063 data, Ronald Cohen, Paul Wooldridge, and Paul Romer for use of their $\Sigma RONO_2$ and 1064 Σ ROONO₂ data, Paul Wennberg and John Crounse for the use of their HCN, DHT, and HNO3 data, Armin Wisthaler for the use of their PTR-MS data, and Andrew Weinheimer 1065 1066 and Denise Montzka for the use of their O₃, NO_x, and NO_y data. Finally, the authors 1067 acknowledge the NOAA ESRL GMD CCGG for the use of the CO measurements in 1068 Mongolia and China for the background measurements.

1070 Data Availability

- 1071Measurements and FLEXPART results from the KORUS-AQ campaign are available at1072https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ArcView/korusaq.1073background are available at ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/co/flask/surface/.
- 1074

1069

1054

1075 **Competing Interests**

1076 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1 References

- Aiken, A. C., Salcedo, D., Cubison, M. J., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Docherty,
 K. S., Sueper, D., Kimmel, J. R., Worsnop, D. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Stone, E. A.,
 Schauer, J. J., Volkamer, R. M., Fortner, E., de Foy, B., Wang, J., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, V.,
 Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Gaffney, J., Marley, N. A., Paredes-Miranda, G., Arnott, W. P., Molina, L.
 T., Sosa, G. and Jimenez, J. L.: Mexico City aerosol analysis during MILAGRO using high
 resolution aerosol mass spectrometry at the urban supersite (T0) Part 1: Fine particle composition
- and organic source apportionment, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 9(9), 6633–6653, doi:10.5194/acpd-98377-2009, 2009.
- 10 Ait-Helal, W., Borbon, A., Sauvage, S., De Gouw, J. A., Colomb, A., Gros, V., Freutel, F., Crippa,
- 11 M., Afif, C., Baltensperger, U., Beekmann, M., Doussin, J.-F. F., Durand-Jolibois, R., Fronval, I.,
- 12 Grand, N., Leonardis, T., Lopez, M., Michoud, V., Miet, K., Perrier, S., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
- Schneider, J., Siour, G., Zapf, P. and Locoge, N.: Volatile and intermediate volatility organic compounds in suburban Paris: Variability, origin and importance for SOA formation, Atmos.
- 15 Chem. Phys., 14(19), 10439–10464, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10439-2014, 2014.
- 16 Akagi, S. K., Craven, J. S., Taylor, J. W., Mcmeeking, G. R., Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R.,
- 17 Urbanski, S. P., Wold, C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Coe, H., Alvarado, M. J. and Weise, D. R.: Evolution
- 18 of trace gases and particles emitted by a chaparral fire in California, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12,
- 19 1397–1421, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1397-2012, 2012.
- Aknan, A. and Chen, G.: KORUS-AQ DC-8 Aircraft Dataset, [online] Available from: https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ArcView/korusaq (Accessed 6 December 2018), 2018.
- 22 Al-Saadi, J., Carmichael, G., Crawford, J., Emmons, L., Korean, S. K., Group, S., Song, C.-K.,
- 23 Chang, L.-S., Lee, G., Kim, J. and Park, R.: NASA Contributions to KORUS-AQ: An International
- 24 Cooperative Air Quality Field Study in Korea, 2015.
- Anderson, T. L. and Ogren, J. A.: Determining Aerosol Radiative Properties Using the TSI 3563
 Integrating Nephelometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 29(1), 57–69,
 doi:10.1080/02786829808965551, 1998.
- Arnold, S. R., Methven, J., Evans, M. J., Chipperfield, M. P., Lewis, A. C., Hopkins, J. R.,
 Mcquaid, J. B., Watson, N., Purvis, R. M., Lee, J. D., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R. and Rappenglück,
 B.: Statistical inference of OH concentrations and air mass dilution rates from successive
- observations of nonmethane hydrocarbons in single air masses, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112,
 D10S40, doi:10.1029/2006JD007594, 2007.
- 33 Bahreini, R., Dunlea, E. J., Matthew, B. M., Simons, C., Docherty, K. S., DeCarlo, P. F., Jimenez,
- J. L., Brock, C. A. and Middlebrook, A. M.: Design and Operation of a Pressure-Controlled Inlet
- 35 for Airborne Sampling with an Aerodynamic Aerosol Lens, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 42(6), 465–
- 36 471, doi:10.1080/02786820802178514, 2008.
- 37 Bahreini, R., Ervens, B., Middlebrook, A. M., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F.,
- Jimenez, J. L., Brock, C. A., Neuman, J. A., Ryerson, T. B., Stark, H., Atlas, E., Brioude, J., Fried,
- 39 A., Holloway, J. S., Peischl, J., Richter, D., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Wollny, A. G. and
- 40 Fehsenfeid, F. C.: Organic aerosol formation in urban and industrial plumes near Houston and
- 41 Dallas, Texas, J. Geophys. Res., 114(16), D00F16, doi:10.1029/2008JD011493, 2009.
- 42 Baklanov, A., Molina, L. T. and Gauss, M.: Megacities, Air Quality and Climate, Atmos. Environ.,
- 43 126, 235–249, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.059, 2016.

- 1 Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Sive, B. C., Katzenstein, A. S., Meinardi, S., Wingenter, O. W., Atlas,
- E. L., Flocke, F., Ridley, B. A. and Rowland, F. S.: The seasonal evolution of NMHC's and light alkyl nitrates at middle to northern latitudes during TOPSE, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D4), 8359,
- 4 2003.
- 5 Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C. and Jimenez, J. L.: Temporal
- 6 Variability of the Pieber Effect and Some Notes on AMS Dection Limits, in 17th AMS Users'
- 7 Meeting, Portland. [online] Available from: http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-
- 8 group/UsrMtgs/UsersMtg17/10-14-2016_PCJ_AMSUsersMtg_Prez.pdf (Accessed 5 April 2018),
- 9 2016.
- 10 Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch,
- 11 T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A. M., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M.,
- 12 Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P. and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and
- 13 microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass
- 14 Spectrometer, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 26(2), 185–222, doi:10.1002/mas, 2007.
- 15 Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt
- 16 Ruiz, L., Fortner, E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J. T.
- 17 and Worsnop, D. R.: Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass
- 18 spectrometry: characterization, improved calibration, and implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 19 15(1), 253–272, doi:10.5194/acp-15-253-2015, 2015.
- Cappa, C. D. and Jimenez, J. L.: Quantitative estimates of the volatility of ambient organic aerosol,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(12), 5409–5424, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5409-2010, 2010.
- 22 Cappa, C. D., Jathar, S. H., Kleeman, M. J., Docherty, K. S., Jimenez, J. L., Seinfeld, J. H. and
- 23 Wexler, A. S.: Simulating secondary organic aerosol in a regional air quality model using the
- 24 statistical oxidation model Part 2: Assessing the influence of vapor wall losses, Atmos. Chem.
- 25 Phys., 16(5), 3041–3059, doi:10.5194/acp-16-3041-2016, 2016.
- 26 Chen, Q., Heald, C. L., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., He, L., Huang, X.-F., Campuzano-Jost,
- 27 P., Palm, B. B., Poulain, L., Kuwata, M., Martin, S. T., Abbatt, J. P. D., Lee, A. K. Y., Liggio, J.,
- Zhang, Q., He, L. and Huang, X.-F.: Elemental composition of organic aerosol: The gap between
 ambient and laboratory measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(10), 4182–4189,
 doi:10.1002/2015GL063693, 2015.
- 31 Choi, J. K., Heo, J. B., Ban, S. J., Yi, S. M. and Zoh, K. D.: Chemical characteristics of PM2.5 32 aerosol in Incheon Korea Atmos Environ 60, 583, 592 doi:10.1016/j.atmoseny.2012.06.078
- aerosol in Incheon, Korea, Atmos. Environ., 60, 583–592, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.078,
 2012.
- 34 Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K.,
- 35 Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A.,
- 36 Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K., Shaddick,
- 37 G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L. and Forouzanfar,
- 38 M. H.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air
- 39 pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015., Lancet (London,
- 40 England), 389(10082), 1907–1918, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017.
- 41 Collier, S., Zhou, S., Onasch, T. B., Jaffe, D. A., Kleinman, L., Sedlacek, A. J., Briggs, N. L., Hee,
- 42 J., Fortner, E., Shilling, J. E., Worsnop, D., Yokelson, R. J., Parworth, C., Ge, X., Xu, J.,
- 43 Butterfield, Z., Chand, D., Dubey, M. K., Pekour, M. S., Springston, S. and Zhang, Q.: Regional

- 1 Influence of Aerosol Emissions from Wildfires Driven by Combustion Efficiency: Insights from
- 2 the BBOP Campaign, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50(16), 8613-8622, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b01617, 3 2016.
- 4 Craven, J. S., Metcalf, A. R., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A., Hayes, P. L., Duong, H. T.,
- 5 Sorooshian, A., Jimenez, J. L., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Los Angeles Basin airborne
- 6 organic aerosol characterization during CalNex, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(19), 11,453-11,467,
- 7 doi:10.1002/jgrd.50853, 2013.
- 8 Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Lanz, V. A., Äijälä, M., Allan, J. D., Carbone, S., Capes, G., Ceburnis,
- D., Dall'Osto, M., Day, D. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ehn, M., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Hildebrandt Ruiz, 9
- 10 L. H., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Junninen, H., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kortelainen, A.-M., Kulmala,
- 11 M., Laaksonen, A., Mensah, A. A., Mohr, C., Nemitz, E., O'Dowd, C., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S.
- 12 N., Petäjä, T., Poulain, L., Saarikoski, S., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Worsnop, D. R.,
- Baltensperger, U. and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Organic aerosol components derived from 25 AMS data 13
- 14 sets across Europe using a consistent ME-2 based source apportionment approach, Atmos. Chem.
- 15 Phys., 14(12), 6159-6176, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6159-2014, 2014.
- 16 Crounse, J., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J. and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase 17 hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 78(19), 6726-6732,
- 18 doi:doi:10.1021/ac0604235, 2006.
- 19 Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D. A., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W.
- 20 H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny,
- 21 T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A. and
- 22 Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft
- 23 and laboratory studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(23), 12049-12064, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12049-
- 24 2011, 2011.
- 25 Day, D. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Dillon, M. B., Thornton, J. A. and Cohen, R. C.: A thermal 26 dissociation laser-induced fluorescence instrument for in situ detection of NO₂, peroxy nitrates,
- alkyl nitrates, and HNO₃, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D5-6), 4046, doi:10.1029/2001JD000779, 2002. 27
- 28 DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin,
- M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-Deployable, 29
- 30 High-Resolution, Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Anal. Chem., 78(24), 8281-8289,
- 31 doi:10.1021/ac061249n, 2006.
- 32 DeCarlo, P. F., Dunlea, E. J., Kimmel, J. R., Aiken, A. C., Sueper, D., Crounse, J., Wennberg, P.
- 33 O., Emmons, L., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., Zhou, J., Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., Knapp, D.,
- 34 Weinheimer, A. J., Montzka, D. D., Campos, T. and Jimenez, J. L.: Fast airborne aerosol size and
- 35 chemistry measurements above Mexico City and Central Mexico during the MILAGRO campaign,
- 36 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(14), 4027–4048, doi:10.5194/acp-8-4027-2008, 2008.
- 37 DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Crounse, J., de Foy, B., Dunlea, E. J., Aiken, A. C., Knapp, D.,
- 38 Weinheimer, A. J., Campos, T., Wennberg, P. O. and Jimenez, J. L.: Investigation of the sources
- 39 and processing of organic aerosol over the Central Mexican Plateau from aircraft measurements
- 40 during MILAGRO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(12), 5257-5280, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5257-2010,
- 41 2010.
- 42 Deming, B., Pagonis, D., Liu, X., Talukdar, R. K., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P. R., Krechmer, J. E.,
- 43 de Gouw, J. A., Jimenez, J. L. and Zieman, P. J.: Measurements of Delays of Gas-Phase

- 1 Compounds in a Wide Variety of Tubing Materials due to Gas-Wall Partitioning, Atmos. Meas.
- 2 Tech., In Prep., 2018.
- 3 Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W., Scheuer, E. M., Seid, G., Avery, M. A. and Singh, H. B.: Aerosol
- 4 chemical composition in Asian continental outflow during the TRACE-P campaign: Comparison
- 5 with PEM-West B, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D21), 8815, doi:10.1029/2002JD003111, 2003.
- 6 Diskin, G. S., Podolske, J. R., Sachse, G. W. and Slate, T. A.: Open-path airborne tunable diode
- 7 laser hygrometer, vol. 4817, edited by A. Fried, p. 196, International Society for Optics and
- 8 Photonics., 2002.
- 9 Docherty, K. S., Aiken, A. C., Huffman, J. A., Ulbrich, I. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Sueper, D., Worsnop,
- 10 D. R., Snyder, D. C., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J., Grover, B. D., Eatough, D. J., Williams, B. J.,
- Goldstein, A. H., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: The 2005 Study of Organic Aerosols at Riverside (SOAR-1): instrumental intercomparisons and fine particle composition, Atmos. Chem.
- 13 Phys., 11(23), 12387–12420, doi:DOI 10.5194/acp-11-12387-2011, 2011.
- 14 Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H. and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol 15 quantification with the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer: detection limits and ionizer 16 background effects, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2(1), 33–46, doi:10.5194/amt-2-33-2009, 2009.
- 17 Dunlea, E. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Aiken, A. C., Kimmel, J. R., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J., Tomlison,
- 18 J., Collins, D. R., Shinozuka, Y., McNaugthon, C. S., Howell, S. G., Clarke, A. D., Emmons, L.
- 19 K., Apel, E. C., Pfister, G. G., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Millett, D. B., Heald, C. L. and
- 20 Jimenez, J. L.: Evolution of Asian aerosols during transpacific transport in INTEX-B, Atmos.
- 21 Chem. Phys., 9(19), 7257–7287, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7257-2009, 2009.
- 22 Dzepina, K., Volkamer, R. M. R., Madronich, S., Tulet, P., Ulbrich, I. M., Zhang, Q., Cappa, C.
- 23 D., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of recently-proposed secondary organic aerosol
- 24 models for a case study in Mexico City, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(15), 5681–5709, doi:10.5194/acp-
- 25 9-5681-2009, 2009.
- Dzepina, K., Cappa, C. D., Volkamer, R. M., Madronich, S., DeCarlo, P. F., Zaveri, R. A. and
 Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling the Multiday Evolution and Aging of Secondary Organic Aerosol During
- 28 MILAGRO 2006, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45(8), 3496–3503, doi:10.1021/es103186, 2011.
- 29 Faloona, I. C., Tan, D., Lesher, R. L., Hazen, N. L., Frame, C. L., Simpas, J. B., Harder, H.,
- 30 Martinez, M., Di Carlo, P., Ren, X. and Brune, W. H.: A Laser-induced Fluorescence Instrument
- 31 for Detecting Tropospheric OH and HO₂: Characteristics and Calibration, J. Atmos. Chem., 47(2),
- 32 139–167, doi:10.1023/B:JOCH.0000021036.53185.0e, 2004.
- 33 Farmer, D. K., Matsunaga, A., Docherty, K. S., Surratt, J. D., Seinfeld, J. H., Ziemann, P. J. and
- 34 Jimenez, J. L.: Response of an aerosol mass spectrometer to organonitrates and organosulfates and
- 35 implications for atmospheric chemistry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 107(15), 6670–6675,
- 36 doi:10.1073/pnas.0912340107, 2010.
- 37 Freney, E. J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F.,
- 38 Crumeyrolle, S., Amarouche, N., Pichon, J.-M., Bourianne, T., Gomes, L., Prevot, A. S. H.,
- 39 Beekmann, M. and Schwarzenböeck, A.: Characterizing the impact of urban emissions on regional
- 40 aerosol particles: airborne measurements during the MEGAPOLI experiment, Atmos. Chem.
- 41 Phys., 14(3), 1397–1412, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1397-2014, 2014.
- 42 Fried, A., Cantrell, C., Olson, J., Crawford, J. H., Weibring, P., Walega, J., Richter, D.,

- 1 Junkermann, W., Volkamer, R., Sinreich, R., Heikes, B. G., O'Sullivan, D., Blake, D. R., Blake,
- 2 N., Meinardi, S., Apel, E., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D., Perring, A., Cohen, R. C., Fuelberg, H.,
- 3 Shetter, R. E., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Ren, X., Huey, L. G., Singh, H. B.,
- 4 Hair, J. W., Riemer, D., Diskin, G. and Sachse, G.: Detailed comparisons of airborne formaldehyde
- 5 measurements with box models during the 2006 INTEX-B and MILAGRO campaigns: Potential
- 6 evidence for significant impacts of unmeasured and multi-generation volatile organic carbon
- 7 compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(22), 11867–11894, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11867-2011, 2011.
- 8 Ge, X., Setyan, A., Sun, Y. and Zhang, Q.: Primary and secondary organic aerosols in Fresno,
- 9 California during wintertime: Results from high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117(D19), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2012JD018026, 2012.
- 10
- 11 George, I. J., Slowik, J. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Chemical aging of ambient organic aerosol from
- 12 heterogeneous reaction with hydroxyl radicals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(13), L13811,
- 13 doi:10.1029/2008GL033884, 2008.
- 14 Goldberg, D. L., Vinciguerra, T. P., Hosley, K. M., Loughner, C. P., Canty, T. C., Salawitch, R. .
- and Dickerson, R. R.: Evidence for an increase in the ozone photochemical lifetime in the eastern 15
- 16 United States using a regional air quality model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 12,778-12,793,
- 17 doi:10.1002/2015JD02390, 2015.
- 18 Gordon, T. D., Tkacik, D. S., Presto, A. A., Zhang, M., Jathar, S. H., Nguyen, N. T., Massetti, J.,
- 19 Truong, T., Cicero-Fernandez, P., Maddox, C., Rieger, P., Chattopadhyay, S., Maldonado, H.,
- 20 Maricq, M. M. and Robinson, A. L.: Primary Gas- and Particle-Phase Emissions and Secondary 21 Organic Aerosol Production from Gasoline and Diesel Off-Road Engines, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
- 22 47(24), 14137–14146, doi:10.1021/es403556e, 2013.
- 23 de Gouw, J. A., Middlebrook, A. M., Warneke, C., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Roberts, J. M.,
- 24 Fehsenfeld, F. C., Worsnop, D. R., Canagaratna, M. R., Pszenny, A. A. P., Keene, W. C.,
- 25 Marchewka, M. L., Bertman, S. B. and Bates, T. S.: Budget of organic carbon in a polluted
- 26 atmosphere: Results from the New England Air Quality Study in 2002, J. Geophys. Res., 110(16),
- D16305, doi:10.1029/2004JD005623, 2005. 27
- 28 de Gouw, J. A., Brock, C. A., Atlas, E. L., Bates, T. S., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Goldan, P. D., Holloway,
- 29 J. S., Kuster, W. C., Lerner, B. M., Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A. M., Onasch, T. B., Peltier,
- 30 R. E., Quinn, P. K., Senff, C. J., Stohl, A., Sullivan, A. P., Trainer, M., Warneke, C., Weber, R. J.
- 31 and Williams, E. J.: Sources of particulate matter in the northeastern United States in summer: 1.
- 32 Direct emissions and secondary formation of organic matter in urban plumes, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 33 113(8), D08301, doi:10.1029/2007JD009243, 2008.
- 34 de Gouw, J. A., Welsh-Bon, D., Warneke, C., Kuster, W. C., Alexander, L., Baker, A. K.,
- Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Canagaratna, M., Celada, a. T., Huey, L. G., Junkermann, W., 35
- 36 Onasch, T. B., Salcido, A., Sjostedt, S. J., Sullivan, A. P., Tanner, D. J., Vargas, O., Weber, R. J.,
- 37 Worsnop, D. R., Yu, X. Y. and Zaveri, R.: Emission and chemistry of organic carbon in the gas
- 38 and aerosol phase at a sub-urban site near Mexico City in March 2006 during the MILAGRO
- 39 study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(10), 3425–3442, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3425-2009, 2009.
- 40 Grieshop, A. P., Miracolo, M. A., Donahue, N. M. and Robinson, A. L.: Constraining the Volatility
- 41 Distribution and Gas-Particle Partitioning of Combustion Aerosols Using Isothermal Dilution and
- 42 Environ. Technol., Thermodenuder Measurements, Sci. 43(13), 4750-4756,
- 43 doi:10.1021/Es8032378, 2009.

- 1 Griffin, R. J., Chen, J., Carmody, K., Vutukuru, S. and Dabdub, D.: Contribution of gas phase
- 2 oxidation of volatile organic compounds to atmospheric carbon monoxide levels in two areas of
- 3 the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112(D10), doi:10.1029/2006JD007602, 2007.
- 4 Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Campuzano-Jost, P., Schroder, J. C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Dibb, J. E.,
- 5 Jimenez, J. L., Thornton, J. A., Brown, S. S., Nenes, A. and Weber, R. J.: Fine particle pH and the
- 6 partitioning of nitric acid during winter in the northeastern United States, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
- 7 121(17), 10,355-10,376, doi:10.1002/2016JD025311, 2016.
- 8 Guo, H., Liu, J., Froyd, K. D., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P. R., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Nenes, A.
- 9 and Weber, R. J.: Fine particle pH and gas-particle phase partitioning of inorganic species in
- 10 Pasadena, California, during the 2010 CalNex campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(9), 5703–5719,
- 11 doi:10.5194/acp-17-5703-2017, 2017.
- 12 Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen,
- 13 J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T.,
- 14 Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W.,
- 15 McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D.,
- 16 Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol:
- 17 current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(14), 5155–5236, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5155-
- 18 2009, 2009.
- 19 Hayes, P. L., Ortega, A. M., Cubison, M. J., Froyd, K. D., Zhao, Y., Cliff, S. S., Hu, W. W.,
- 20 Toohey, D. W., Flynn, J. H., Lefer, B. L., Grossberg, N., Alvarez, S., Rappenglück, B., Taylor, J.
- 21 W., Allan, J. D., Holloway, J. S., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Massoli, P., Zhang,
- 22 X., Liu, J., Weber, R. J., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Kreisberg,
- 23 N. M., Goldstein, A. H., Thalman, R., Waxman, E. M., Volkamer, R., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D.,
- 24 Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Dusanter, S., Griffith, S., Stevens, P. S., Brioude, J., Angevine,
- 25 W. M. and Jimenez, J. L.: Organic aerosol composition and sources in Pasadena, California, during
- 26 the 2010 CalNex campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 118(16), 9233–9257, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50530, 2013.
- Hayes, P. L., Carlton, A. G., Baker, K. R., Ahmadov, R., Washenfelder, R. A., Alvarez, S.,
 Rappenglück, B., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat,
 S., Kleindienst, T. E., Ma, P. K. and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling the formation and aging of secondary
 organic aerosols in Los Angeles during CalNex 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(10), 5773–5801,
- 31 doi:10.5194/acp-15-5773-2015, 2015.
- 32 Heald, C. L., Kroll, J. H., Jimenez, J. L., Docherty, K. S., Decarlo, P. F., Aiken, A. C., Chen, Q.,
- 33 Martin, S. T., Farmer, D. K. and Artaxo, P.: A simplified description of the evolution of organic
- 34 aerosol composition in the atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37(8), L08803, 35 doi:10.1029/2010GL042737, 2010.
- 36 Heim, E., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Jimenez, J. L., Peterson, D.,
- 37 Knote, C., Fenn, M., Hair, J., Beyersdorf, A. J. and Anderson, B. E.: Asian Dust Observed during
- 38 KORUS-AQ Facilitates the Uptake and Incorporation of Soluble Pollutants during Transport to
- 39 South Korea: The Hwangsa Anthropogenic Model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., submitted, 2018.
- 40 Hennigan, C. J., Sullivan, A. P., Fountoukis, C. I., Nenes, A., Hecobian, A., Vargas, O., Peltier,
- 41 R. E., Hanks, A. T. C., Huey, L. G., Lefer, B. L., Russell, A. G. and Weber, R. J.: On the volatility
- 42 and production mechanisms of newly formed nitrate and water soluble organic aerosol in Mexico
- 43 City, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(14), 3761–3768, doi:10.5194/acp-8-3761-2008, 2008.

- Heo, J.-B., Hopke, P. K. and Yi, S.-M.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 in Seoul, Korea, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 9(14), 4957–4971, doi:10.5194/acp-9-4957-2009, 2009.
- 3 Herndon, S. C., Onasch, T. B., Wood, E. C., Kroll, J. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Zavala,
- 4 M. A., Knighton, W. B., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M. K., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L., Seila, R., de
- 5 Gouw, J. A., de Foy, B., Fast, J., Molina, L. T., Kolb, C. E. and Worsnop, D. R.: Correlation of
- 6 secondary organic aerosol with odd oxygen in Mexico City, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(15),
- 7 doi:10.1029/2008GL034058, 2008.
- 8 Hersey, S. P., Craven, J. S., Metcalf, A. R., Lin, J., Lathem, T., Suski, K. J., Cahill, J. F., Duong,
- 9 H. T., Sorooshian, A., Jonsson, H. H., Shiraiwa, M., Zuend, A., Nenes, A., Prather, K. A., Flagan,
- 10 R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Composition and hygroscopicity of the Los Angeles Aerosol: CalNex, J.
- 11 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(7), 3016–3036, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50307, 2013.
- 12 Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Paciga, A. L., Cerully, K. M., Nenes, A., Donahue, N. M. and Pandis, S. N.:
- 13 Formation and aging of secondary organic aerosol from toluene: changes in chemical composition,
- 14 volatility, and hygroscopicity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(14), 8301-8313, doi:10.5194/acp-15-
- 15 8301-2015, 2015.
- 16 Hodzic, A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling anthropogenically controlled secondary organic aerosols
- 17 in a megacity: A simplified framework for global and climate models, Geosci. Model Dev., 4(4), 18 001 017 doi:10.5104/gmd 4.001.2011 2011
- 18 901–917, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-901-2011, 2011.
- 19 Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S., Canagaratna, M. R., DeCarlo, P. F., Kleinman, L. and
- 20 Fast, J.: Modeling organic aerosols in a megacity: Potential contribution of semi-volatile and
- 21 intermediate volatility primary organic compounds to secondary organic aerosol formation,
- 22 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(12), 5491–5514, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5491-2010, 2010.
- Hodzic, A., Madronich, S., Kasibhatla, P. S., Tyndall, G., Aumont, B., Jimenez, J. L., Lee-Taylor,
 J. and Orlando, J.: Organic photolysis reactions in tropospheric aerosols: effect on secondary
 organic aerosol formation and lifetime, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(16), 9253–9269, doi:10.5194/acp15-9253-2015, 2015.
- Hong, J.-W. and Hong, J.: Changes in the Seoul Metropolitan Area Urban Heat Environment with
 Residential Redevelopment, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 55, 1091–1106, doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-
- 29 15-0321.1, 2016.
- 30 Hu, W., Hu, M., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Yuan, B., Chen, W., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Chen, C., Wang,
- 31 Z., Peng, J., Zeng, L. and Shao, M.: Chemical composition, sources and aging process of sub-
- micron aerosols in Beijing: contrast between summer and winter, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
 doi:10.1002/2015JD024020, 2016.
- Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop,
- 35 D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer for aerosol mass spectrometers
- 36 (AMS) through field studies of inorganic species, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 51(6), 735–754,
- 37 doi:10.1080/02786826.2017.1296104, 2017a.
- Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Croteau, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop,
- 39 D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vapourizer for aerosol mass spectrometers
- 40 (AMS) through laboratory studies of inorganic species, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10(6), 2897–2921,
- 41 doi:10.5194/amt-10-2897-2017, 2017b.
- 42 Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P., Canagaratna,

- 1 M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the new capture vaporizer
- for Aerosol Mass Spectrometers: Characterization of organic aerosol mass spectra, Aerosol Sci.
 Technol., 52(7), 752–739, doi:10.1080/02786826.2018.1454584, 2018a.
- 4 Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Park, T., Lee, T., Croteau, P., Canagaratna,
- 5 M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Evaluation of the New Capture Vaporizer
- 6 for Aerosol Mass Spectrometers (AMS): Elemental Composition and Source Apportionment of
- 7 Organic Aerosols (OA), ACS Earth Sp. Chem., 2(4), 410–421,
- 8 doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.8b00002, 2018b.
- 9 Hu, W. W., Hu, M., Yuan, B., Jimenez, J. L., Tang, Q., Peng, J. F., Hu, W., Shao, M., Wang, M.,
- 10 Zeng, L. M., Wu, Y. S., Gong, Z. H., Huang, X. F. and He, L. Y.: Insights on organic aerosol aging
- 11 and the influence of coal combustion at a regional receptor site of central eastern China, Atmos.
- 12 Chem. Phys., 13(19), 10095–10112, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10095-2013, 2013.
- 13 Huang, C., Wang, H. L., Li, L., Wang, Q., Lu, Q., de Gouw, J. A., Zhou, M., Jing, S. A., Lu, J.
- 14 and Chen, C. H.: VOC species and emission inventory from vehicles and their SOA formation
- 15 potentials estimation in Shanghai, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(19), 11081–11096,
- 16 doi:10.5194/acp-15-11081-2015, 2015.
- 17 Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Slusher, D. L., Dibb, J. E., Arimoto, R., Chen, G., Davis, D., Buhr, M.
- 18 P., Nowak, J. B., Mauldin III, R. L., Eisele, F. L. and Kosciuch, E.: CIMS measurements of HNO3
- 19 and SO₂ at the South Pole during ISCAT 2000, Atmos. Environ., 38(32), 5411–5421,
- 20 doi:10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2004.04.037, 2004.
- 21 Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Aiken, A. C., Cubison, M. J., Ulbrich, I. M., DeCarlo, P. F.,
- 22 Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Chemically-resolved
- aerosol volatility measurements from two megacity field studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(1), 7161–
- 24 7182, doi:doi:10.5194/acp-9-7161-2009, 2009.
- 25 Hunter, J. F., Day, D. A., Palm, B. B., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Chan, A. W. H., Kaser, L., Cappellin,
- 26 L., Hayes, P. L., Cross, E. S., Carrasquillo, A. J., Campuzano-Jost, P., Stark, H., Zhao, Y., Hohaus,
- 27 T., Smith, J. N., Hansel, A., Karl, T., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A., Worsnop, D. R., Thornton,
- 28 J. A., Heald, C. L., Jimenez, J. L. and Kroll, J. H.: Comprehensive characterization of atmospheric
- 29 organic carbon at a forested site, Nat. Geosci., 10(10), 748–753, doi:10.1038/ngeo3018, 2017.
- Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2131–2159,
 doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8, 2000.
- 32 Janssen, R. H. H., Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pozzer, A., Lelieveld, J., Crippa, M., Prévôt,
- 33 A. S. H., Ait-Helal, W., Borbon, A., Sauvage, S. and Locoge, N.: Influence of local production
- and vertical transport on the organic aerosol budget over Paris, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 1–21,
 doi:10.1002/2016JD026402, 2017.
- 36 Jathar, S. H., Miracolo, M. A., Tkacik, D. S., Donahue, N. M., Adams, P. J. and Robinson, A. L.:
- 37 Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Photo-Oxidation of Unburned Fuel: Experimental
- 38 Results and Implications for Aerosol Formation from Combustion Emissions, Environ. Sci.
- 39 Technol., 47(22), 12886–12893, doi:10.1021/es403445q, 2013.
- 40 Jathar, S. H., Gordon, T. D., Hennigan, C. J., Pye, H. O. T., Pouliot, G., Adams, P. J., Donahue,
- 41 N. M. and Robinson, A. L.: Unspeciated organic emissions from combustion sources and their
- 42 influence on the secondary organic aerosol budget in the United States., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.

- 1 S. A., 111(29), 10473–10478, doi:10.1073/pnas.1323740111, 2014.
- 2 Jayne, J. T. and Worsnop, D. R.: Particle Capture Device Aerodyne Research, 2015.
- Jeong, U., Kim, J., Lee, H. and Lee, Y. G.: Assessing the effect of long-range pollutant transportation on air quality in Seoul using the conditional potential source contribution function method, Atmos. Environ., 150, 33–44, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.017, 2017.
- 6 Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H.,
- DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M.,
 Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin,
- 9 C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M.,
- 10 Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A.,
- 11 Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F.,
- 12 Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A.,
- 13 Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R.,
- 14 Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C.,
- 15 Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic
- 16 Aerosols in the Atmosphere, Science (80-.)., 326(5959), 1525-1529,
- 17 doi:10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.
- 18 Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C. and Cubison, M. J.:
- 19 Frequently AMS Questions for AMS Data Users, [online] Available from:
- $20 \qquad http://cires.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/wiki/index.php?title=FAQ_for_AMS_Data_Users,$
- 21 accessed month-year (Accessed 6 December 2018), 2018.
- Kang, E., Root, M. J., Toohey, D. W. and Brune, W. H.: Introducing the concept of Potential
 Aerosol Mass (PAM), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7(22), 5727–5744, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5727-2007,
 2007.
- Kang, E., Lee, M., Brune, W. H., Lee, T., Park, T., Ahn, J. and Shang, X.: Photochemical aging
 of aerosol particles in different air masses arriving at Baengnyeong Island, Korea, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 18(9), 6661–6677, doi:10.5194/acp-18-6661-2018, 2018.
- 27 Fllys., 18(9), 0001–0077, doi:10.3194/acp-18-0001-2018, 2018.
- Khare, P. and Gentner, D. R.: Considering the future of anthropogenic gas-phase organic compound emissions and the increasing influence of non-combustion sources on urban air quality, Atmos Cham. Phys. 18(2) 5201–5412, doi:10.5104/ser. 18.5201.2018.
- 30 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(8), 5391–5413, doi:10.5194/acp-18-5391-2018, 2018.
- Kim, B. M., Seo, J., Kim, J. Y., Lee, J. Y. and Kim, Y.: Transported vs. local contributions from
 secondary and biomass burning sources to PM2.5, Atmos. Environ., 144, 24–36,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.08.072, 2016.
- 34 Kim, H., Zhang, Q., Bae, G.-N., Kim, J. Y. and Lee, S. B.: Sources and atmospheric processing of
- wintertime aerosols in Seoul, Korea: Insights from real-time measurements using a high-resolution
 aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 17(3), 2009–2003, doi:10.5194/acp-172009-2017, 2017.
- 38 Kim, H., Zhang, O. and Heo, J.: Influence of Intense secondary aerosol formation and long-range
- 39 transport on aerosol chemistry and properties in the Seoul Metropolitan Area during spring time :
- 40 Results from KORUS-AQ, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 7149–7168, doi:10.51944/acp-2017-947,
- 41 2018.
- 42 Kim, H. C., Kim, E. H., Kim, B. U. and Kim, S.: Regional Contributions to the Particulate Matter

- 1 Concentration in the Seoul Metropolitan Area, Korea: Seasonal Variation and Sensitivity to 2 Meteorology, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 17(17), 10315–10332, doi:10.5194/acp-17-10315-2017, 2017.
- 3 Kim, H. S., Huh, J. B., Hopke, P. K., Holsen, T. M. and Yi, S. M.: Characteristics of the major
- 4 chemical constituents of PM2.5 and smog events in Seoul, Korea in 2003 and 2004, Atmos.
- 5 Environ., 41(32), 6762–6770, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.060, 2007.
- 6 Kim, S., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R. E., Tanner, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Olson, J. R., Chen, G., Brune,
- 7 W. H., Ren, X., Lesher, R., Wooldridge, P. J., Bertram, T. H., Perring, A., Cohen, R. C., Lefer, B.
- 8 L., Shetter, R. E., Avery, M., Diskin, G. and Sokolik, I.: Measurement of HO 2 NO 2 in the free
- 9 troposphere during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment–North America 2004, J.
- 10 Geophys. Res., 112, D12S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007676, 2007.
- 11 Kim, Y. J., Woo, J. H., Ma, Y. II, Kim, S., Nam, J. S., Sung, H., Choi, K. C., Seo, J., Kim, J. S.,
- Kang, C. H., Lee, G., Ro, C. U., Chang, D. and Sunwoo, Y.: Chemical characteristics of long range transport aerosol at background sites in Korea, Atmos. Environ., 43(34), 5556–5566,
- 14 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.062, 2009.
- 15 Kimmel, J. R., Farmer, D. K., Cubison, M. J., Sueper, D., Tanner, C., Nemitz, E., Worsnop, D. R.,
- 16 Gonin, M. and Jimenez, J. L.: Real-time aerosol mass spectrometry with millisecond resolution,
- 17 Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 303(1), 15–26, doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2010.12.004, 2011.
- 18 Kleinman, L., Kuang, C., Sedlacek, A., Senum, G., Springston, S., Wang, J., Zhang, Q., Jayne, J.,
- 19 Fast, J., Hubbe, J., Shilling, J. and Zaveri, R.: What do correlations tell us about anthropogenic-
- 20 biogenic interactions and SOA formation in the Sacramento plume during CARES?, Atmos.
- 21 Chem. Phys., 16(3), 1729–1746, doi:10.5194/acp-16-1729-2016, 2016.
- 22 Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N., Senum, G. I., Springston, S. R., Wang, J., Berkowitz,
- 23 C., Hubbe, J., Zaveri, R. A., Brechtel, F. J., Jayne, J., Onasch, T. B. and Worsnop, D.: Aircraft
- observations of aerosol composition and ageing in New England and Mid-Atlantic States during
 the summer 2002 New England Air Quality Study field campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 112(D9),
- 26 D09310, doi:10.1029/2006JD007786, 2007.
- 27 Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N., Nunnermacker, L. J., Senum, G. I.,
- 28 Wang, J., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Alexander, M. L., Hubbe, J., Ortega, J., Canagaratna, M. R. and
- 29 Jayne, J.: The time evolution of aerosol composition over the Mexico City plateau, Atmos. Chem.
- 30 Phys., 8(6), 1559–1575, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1559-2008, 2008.
- 31 Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Wang, J., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N. N., Nunnermacker, L. J.,
- 32 Senum, G. I., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Alexander, M. L., Hubbe, J., Ortega, J., Zaveri, R. A.,
- 33 Canagaratna, M. R. and Jayne, J.: The time evolution of aerosol size distribution over the Mexico
- 34 City plateau, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(13), 4261–4278, doi:10.5194/acpd-9-1621-2009, 2009.
- 35 Knote, C., Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Volkamer, R., Orlando, J. J., Baidar, S., Brioude, J., Fast, J.,
- 36 Gentner, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., Hayes, P. L., Knighton, W. B., Oetjen, H., Setyan, A., Stark, H.,
- 37 Thalman, R., Tyndall, G., Washenfelder, R., Waxman, E. and Zhang, Q.: Simulation of semi-
- 38 explicit mechanisms of SOA formation from glyoxal in aerosol in a 3-D model, Atmos. Chem.
- 39 Phys., 14(12), 6213–6239, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6213-2014, 2014.
- 40 Krechmer, J. E., Pagonis, D., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Quantification of Gas-Wall
- 41 Partitioning in Teflon Environmental Chambers Using Rapid Bursts of Low-Volatility Oxidized
- 42 Species Generated in Situ, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50(11), 5757-5765,

- 1 doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b00606, 2016.
- 2 Krechmer, J. E., Day, D. A., Ziemann, P. J. and Jimenez, J. L.: Direct Measurements of 3 Gas/Particle Partitioning and Mass Accommodation Coefficients in Environmental Chambers,
- Gas/Particle Partitioning and Mass Accommodation Coefficients in Environmental
 Environ. Sci. Technol., 51(20), 11867–11875, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b02144, 2017.
- 5 Kroll, J. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution
- 6 of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42(16), 3593–3624,
- 7 doi:10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2008.01.003, 2008.
- 8 Kroll, J. H., Donahue, N. M., Jimenez, J. L., Kessler, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Wilson, K. R.,
- 9 Altieri, K. E., Mazzoleni, L. R., Wozniak, A. S., Bluhm, H., Mysak, E. R., Smith, J. D., Kolb, C.
- 10 E. and Worsnop, D. R.: Carbon oxidation state as a metric for describing the chemistry of
- 11 atmospheric organic aerosol., Nat. Chem., 3(2), 133–139, doi:10.1038/nchem.948, 2011.
- 12 Kupc, A., Williamson, C., Wagner, N. L., Richardson, M. and Brock, C. A.: Modification,
- 13 calibration, and performance of the Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer for particle size
- 14 distribution and volatility measurements during the Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom)
- 15 airborne campaign, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11(1), 369–383, doi:10.5194/amt-11-369-2018, 2018.
- 16 Lambe, A. T., Ahern, A. T., Williams, L. R., Slowik, J. G., Wong, J. P. S., Abbatt, J. P. D., Brune,
- 17 W. H., Ng, N. L., Wright, J. P., Croasdale, D. R., Worsnop, D. R., Davidovits, P. and Onasch, T.
- 18 B.: Characterization of aerosol photooxidation flow reactors: heterogeneous oxidation, secondary
- 19 organic aerosol formation and cloud condensation nuclei activity measurements, Atmos. Meas.
- 20 Tech., 4(3), 445–461, doi:10.5194/amt-4-445-2011, 2011.
- 21 Lambe, A. T., Onasch, T. B., Croasdale, D. R., Wright, J. P., Martin, A. T., Franklin, J. P., Massoli,
- 22 P., Kroll, J. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Brune, W. H., Worsnop, D. R. and Davidovits, P.: Transitions
- 23 from Functionalization to Fragmentation Reactions of Laboratory Secondary Organic Aerosol
- 24 (SOA) Generated from the OH Oxidation of Alkane Precursors, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46(10),
- 25 5430–5437, doi:10.1021/Es300274t, 2012.
- Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J. R., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Basu, N., Baldé, A. B., Bertollini, R., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Boufford, J. I., Breysse, P. N., Chiles, T., Mahidol, C., Coll-Seck,
- 27 Bertolnin, K., Bose-O Kenry, S., Bourloid, J. I., Breysse, F. N., Chiles, T., Mandol, C., Con-Seck,
 28 A. M., Cropper, M. L., Fobil, J., Fuster, V., Greenstone, M., Haines, A., Hanrahan, D., Hunter, D.,
- 29 Khare, M., Krupnick, A., Lanphear, B., Lohani, B., Martin, K., Mathiasen, K. V, McTeer, M. A.,
- 30 Murray, C. J. L., Ndahimananjara, J. D., Perera, F., Potočnik, J., Preker, A. S., Ramesh, J.,
- 31 Rockström, J., Salinas, C., Samson, L. D., Sandilya, K., Sly, P. D., Smith, K. R., Steiner, A.,
- 32 Stewart, R. B., Suk, W. A., van Schayck, O. C. P., Yadama, G. N., Yumkella, K. and Zhong, M.:
- 33 The Lancet Commission on pollution and health, Lancet, 391(10119), 462–512,
- 34 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0, 2018.
- Lee, G., Choi, H. S., Lee, T., Choi, J., Park, J. S. and Ahn, J. Y.: Variations of regional background peroxyacetyl nitrate in marine boundary layer over Baengyeong Island, South Korea, Atmos.
- 37 Environ., 61, 533–541, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.07.075, 2012.
- 38 Lee, H. M., Park, R. J., Henze, D. K., Lee, S., Shim, C., Shin, H. J., Moon, K. J. and Woo, J. H.:
- 39 PM2.5 source attribution for Seoul in May from 2009 to 2013 using GEOS-Chem and its adjoint
- 40 model, Environ. Pollut., 221, 377–384, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.088, 2017.
- 41 Lee, S., Ho, C.-H., Lee, Y. G., Choi, H.-J. and Song, C.-K.: Influence of transboundary air 42 pollutants from China on the high-PM10 episode in Seoul, Korea for the period October 16–20,

- 1 2008, Atmos. Environ., 77, 430–439, doi:10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2013.05.006, 2013.
- 2 Lee, T., Choi, J., Lee, G., Ahn, J., Park, J. S., Atwood, S. A., Schurman, M., Choi, Y., Chung, Y.
- 3 and Collett, J. L.: Characterization of aerosol composition, concentrations, and sources at
- 4 Baengnyeong Island, Korea using an aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmos. Environ., 120, 297–306, 5 doi:10.1016/j.atmosony.2015.08.038.2015
- 5 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.038, 2015.
- 6 Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D. and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor
- 7 air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525(7569), 367–371,
- 8 doi:10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
- 9 Li, R., Palm, B. B., Ortega, A. M., Hlywiak, J., Hu, W., Peng, Z., Day, D. A., Knote, C., Brune,
- 10 W. H., de Gouw, J. A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling the radical chemistry in an oxidation flow 11 reactor: radical formation and recycling, sensitivities, and the OH exposure estimation equation.,
- 12 J. Phys. Chem. A, 119(19), 4418–4432, doi:10.1021/jp509534k, 2015.
- 13 Liu, T., Wang, X., Deng, W., Hu, Q., Ding, X., Zhang, Y., He, Q., Zhang, Z., Lü, S., Bi, X., Chen,
- 14 J. and Yu, J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from photochemical aging of light-duty
- 15 gasoline vehicle exhausts in a smog chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(15), 9049–9062,
- 16 doi:10.5194/acp-15-9049-2015, 2015.
- 17 Liu, X., Huey, L. G., Yokelson, R. J., Selimovic, V., Simpson, I. J., Müller, M., Jimenez, J. L.,
- 18 Campuzano-Jost, P., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Butterfield, Z., Choi, Y., Crounse, J. D., Day,
- 19 D. A., Diskin, G. S., Dubey, M. K., Fortner, E., Hanisco, T. F., Hu, W., King, L. E., Kleinman, L.,
- 20 Meinardi, S., Mikoviny, T., Onasch, T. B., Palm, B. B., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B.,
- 21 Sachse, G. W., Sedlacek, A. J., Shilling, J. E., Springston, S., St. Clair, J. M., Tanner, D. J., Teng,
- A. P., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A. and Wolfe, G. M.: Airborne measurements of western U.S.
- 23 wildfire emissions: Comparison with prescribed burning and air quality implications, J. Geophys.
- 24 Res., 122(11), 6108–6129, doi:10.1002/2016JD026315, 2017.
- 25 Ma, P. K., Zhao, Y., Robinson, A. L., Worton, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., Ortega, A. M., Jimenez, J.-
- 26 L., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat, S. and Hayes, P. L.: Evaluating the impact of new
- 27 observational constraints on P-S/IVOC emissions, multi-generation oxidation, and chamber wall
- 28 losses on SOA modeling for Los Angeles, CA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(15), 9237-9259,
- 29 doi:10.5194/acp-17-9237-2017, 2017.
- 30 Mao, J., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Fried, a., Huey, L. G., Cohen, R.
- 31 C., Heikes, B., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., Sachse, G. W., Diskin, G. S., Hall, S. R. and Shetter, R.
- 32 E.: Airborne measurement of OH reactivity during INTEX-B, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(1), 163–
- 33 173, doi:10.5194/acp-9-163-2009, 2009.
- 34 Matsunaga, A. and Ziemann, P. J.: Gas-Wall Partitioning of Organic Compounds in a Teflon Film
- 35 Chamber and Potential Effects on Reaction Product and Aerosol Yield Measurements, Aerosol
- 36 Sci. Technol., 44(10), 881–892, doi:10.1080/02786826.2010.501044, 2010.
- 37 McDonald, B. C., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Jathar, S. H., Akherati, A., Cappa, C. D., Jimenez,
- J. L., Lee-Taylor, J., Hayes, P. L., McKeen, S. A., Cui, Y. Y., Kim, S.-W., Gentner, D. R.,
- 39 Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Harley, R. A., Frost, G. J., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T.
- 40 B. and Trainer, M.: Volatile chemical products emerging as largest petrochemical source of urban
- 41 organic emissions., Science, 359(6377), 760–764, doi:10.1126/science.aaq0524, 2018.
- 42 McKeen, S. A., Liu, S. C., Hsie, E.-Y., Lin, X., Bradshaw, J. D., Smyth, S., Gregory, G. L. and

- Blake, D. R.: Hydrocarbon ratios during PEM-WEST A: A model perspective, J. Geophys. Res.
 Atmos., 101(D1), 2087–2109, doi:10.1029/95JD02733, 1996.
- 3 McMeeking, G. R., Bart, M., Chazette, P., Haywood, J. M., Hopkins, J. R., McQuaid, J. B.,
- 4 Morgan, W. T., Raut, J.-C., Ryder, C. L., Savage, N., Turnbull, K. and Coe, H.: Airborne
- 5 measurements of trace gases and aerosols over the London metropolitan region, Atmos. Chem.
- 6 Phys., 12(11), 5163–5187, doi:10.5194/acp-12-5163-2012, 2012.
- 7 McNaughton, C. S., Clarke, A. D., Howell, S. G., Pinkerton, M., Anderson, B., Thornhill, L.,
- 8 Hudgins, C., Winstead, E., Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E. and Maring, H.: Results from the DC-8 Inlet
- 9 Characterization Experiment (DICE): Airborne versus surface sampling of mineral dust and sea
- 10 salt aerosols, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 41(2), 136–159, doi:10.1080/02786820601118406, 2007.
- 11 Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L. and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of
- 12 Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer
- 13 using Field Data, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 46(3), 258–271, doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.620041,
- 14 2012.
- 15 Miracolo, M. A., Presto, A. A., Lambe, A. T., Hennigan, C. J., Donahue, N. M., Kroll, J. H.,
- 16 Worsnop, D. R. and Robinson, A. L.: Photo-Oxidation of Low-Volatility Organics Found in Motor
- 17 Vehicle Emissions: Production and Chemical Evolution of Organic Aerosol Mass, Environ. Sci.
- 18 Technol., 44(5), 1638–1643, doi:10.1021/es902635c, 2010.
- 19 Mitroo, D., Sun, Y., Combest, D. P., Kumar, P. and Williams, B. J.: Assessing the degree of plug
- 20 flow in oxidation flow reactors (OFRs): a study on a potential aerosol mass (PAM) reactor, Atmos.
- 21 Meas. Tech, 11, 1741–1756, doi:10.5194/amt-11-1741-2018, 2018.
- 22 Monks, P. S., Granier, C., Fuzzi, S., Stohl, A., Williams, M. L., Akimoto, H., Amann, M.,
- 23 Baklanov, A., Baltensperger, U., Bey, I., Blake, N., Blake, R. S., Carslaw, K., Cooper, O. R.,
- 24 Dentener, F., Fowler, D., Fragkou, E., Frost, G. J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Grewe, V., Guenther,
- 25 A., Hansson, H. C., Henne, S., Hjorth, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Huntrieser, H., Isaksen, I. S. A.,
- Jenkin, M. E., Kaiser, J., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z., Kulmala, M., Laj, P., Lawrence, M. G., Lee,
 J. D., Liousse, C., Maione, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A., Mieville, A., Moussiopoulos, N.,
- D., Elousse, C., Malone, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A., Mevne, A., Moussiopoulos, N.,
 Orlando, J. J., O'Dowd, C. D., Palmer, P. I. I., Parrish, D. D., Petzold, A., Platt, U., Pöschl, U.,
- Prévôt, A. S. H., Reeves, C. E., Reimann, S., Rudich, Y., Sellegri, K., Steinbrecher, R., Simpson,
- 30 D., ten Brink, H., Theloke, J., van der Werf, G. R., Vautard, R., Vestreng, V., Vlachokostas, C.
- and von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric composition change global and regional air quality, Atmos.
- 32 Environ., 43(33), 5268–5350, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.021, 2009.
- 33 Morino, Y., Tanabe, K., Sato, K. and Ohara, T.: Secondary organic aerosol model intercomparison
- based on secondary organic aerosol to odd oxygen ratio in Tokyo, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
- 35 119(23), 13,489-13,505, doi:10.1002/2014JD021937, 2014.
- 36 Müller, M., Mikoviny, T., Feil, S., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Jordan, A., Märk, L.,
- 37 Mutschlechner, P., Schottkowsky, R., Sulzer, P., Crawford, J. H. and Wisthaler, A.: A compact
- 38 PTR-ToF-MS instrument for airborne measurements of volatile organic compounds at high
- 39 spatiotemporal resolution, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7(11), 3763–3772, doi:10.5194/amt-7-3763-2014,
- 40 2014.
- 41 Murphy, B. N., Donahue, N. M., Fountoukis, C. and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the oxygen content
- 42 of ambient organic aerosol with the 2D volatility basis set, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(15), 7859–
- 43 7873, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7859-2011, 2011.

- 1 Murphy, B. N., Woody, M. C., Jimenez, J. L., Carlton, A. M. G., Hayes, P. L., Liu, S., Ng, N. L.,
- 2 Russell, L. M., Setyan, A., Xu, L., Young, J., Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, Q. and Pye, H. O. T.:
- 3 Semivolatile POA and parameterized total combustion SOA in CMAQv5.2: impacts on source
- 4 strength and partitioning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(18), 11107–11133, doi:10.5194/acp-17-11107-
- 5 2017, 2017.
- 6 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, 7 J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T. and Zhang, H.:
- Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
- Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
- Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen,
- J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, p. 659, Cambridge University Press,
- 12 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
- 13 USA. [online] Available from: https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/, 2013.
- 14 Novelli, P. C., Crotwell, A., Lang, P. M. and Mund, J.: Atmospheric Carbon Monoxide Dry Air
- 15 Mole Fractions from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network,
- 16 1988-2016, Version: 2017-07-28, [online] Available from:
- 17 ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases/co/flask/surface/, 2017.
- 18 OECD: Exposure to PM2.5 in countries and regions : Exposure to PM2.5 in macroregions, [online]
- Available from: https://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx?queryid=72722 (Accessed 1 August 2018),
- 20 2018.
- 21 Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Peng, Z., Palm, B. B., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Li, R., Cubison, M. J.,
- 22 Brune, W. H., Graus, M., Warneke, C., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Gutiérrez-
- 23 Montes, C. and Jimenez, J. L.: Real-time measurements of secondary organic aerosol formation
- 24 and aging from ambient air in an oxidation flow reactor in the Los Angeles area, Atmos. Chem.
- 25 Phys., 16(11), 7411–7433, doi:10.5194/acp-16-7411-2016, 2016.
- 26 Ots, R., Vieno, M., Allan, J. D., Reis, S., Nemitz, E., Young, D. E., Coe, H., Di Marco, C.,
- 27 Detournay, A., Mackenzie, I. A., Green, D. C. and Heal, M. R.: Model simulations of cooking
- 28 organic aerosol (COA) over the UK using estimates of emissions based on measurements at two
- 29 sites in London, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(21), 13773–13789, doi:10.5194/acp-16-13773-2016,
- 30 2016.
- 31 Pagonis, D., Krechmer, J. E., de Gouw, J., Jimenez, J. L. and Ziemann, P. J.: Effects of gas-wall
- 32 partitioning in Teflon tubing and instrumentation on time-resolved measurements of gas-phase
- 33 organic compounds, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10(12), 4687–4696, doi:10.5194/amt-10-4687-2017,
 34 2017.
- 35 Palm, B. B., Campuzano-Jost, P., Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Karl, T., Hansel,
- A., Hunter, J. F., Cross, E. S., Kroll, J. H., Peng, Z., Brune, W. H. and Jimenez, J. L.: In situ
- 37 secondary organic aerosol formation from ambient pine forest air using an oxidation flow reactor,
- 38 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(5), 2943–2970, doi:10.5194/acp-16-2943-2016, 2016.
- 39 Palm, B. B., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Fry, J. L., Brown, S. S., Zarzana, K.
- 40 J., Dube, W., Wagner, N. L., Draper, D. C., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Karl, T., Hansel, A., Gutiérrez-
- 41 Montes, C. and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from in situ OH, O3, and NO3
- 42 oxidation of ambient forest air in an oxidation flow reactor, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(8), 5331-
- 43 5354, doi:10.5194/acp-17-5331-2017, 2017.

- 1 Palm, B. B., de Sá, S. S., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Hu, W., Seco, R., Sjostedt, S. J., Park,
- 2 J.-H., Guenther, A. B., Kim, S., Brito, J., Wurm, F., Artaxo, P., Thalman, R., Wang, J., Yee, L. D.,
- 3 Wernis, R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Liu, Y., Springston, S. R., Souza, R.,
- 4 Newburn, M. K., Alexander, M. L., Martin, S. T. and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol
- 5 formation from ambient air in an oxidation flow reactor in central Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 6 18(1), 467–493, doi:10.5194/acp-18-467-2018, 2018.
- 7 Park, M.-S., Park, S.-H., Chae, J.-H., Choi, M.-H., Song, Y., Kang, M. and Roh, J.-W.: High-
- 8 resolution urban observation network for user-specific meteorological information service in the
- 9 Seoul Metropolitan Area, South Korea, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 10, 1575–1594, doi:10.5194/amt-10-
- 10 1575-2017, 2017.
- 11 Park, M. E., Song, C. H., Park, R. S., Lee, J., Kim, J., Lee, S., Woo, J.-H., Carmichael, G. R., Eck,
- 12 T. F., Holben, B. N., Lee, S.-S., Song, C. K. and Hong, Y. D.: New approach to monitor
- 13 transboundary particulate pollution over Northeast Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 14, 659-674,
- 14 doi:10.5194/acp-14-659-2014, 2014.
- 15 Park, S. S., Kim, J.-H. and Jeong, J.-U.: Abundance and sources of hydrophilic and hydrophobic
- 16 water-soluble organic carbon at an urban site in Korea in summer., J. Environ. Monit., 14(1), 224–
- 17 32, doi:10.1039/c1em10617a, 2012.
- 18 Parrish, D. D., Stohl, A., Forster, C., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C. and
- de Gouw, J. A.: Effects of mixing on evolution of hydrocarbon ratios in the troposphere, J.
- 20 Geophys. Res., 112(D10), D10S34, doi:10.1029/2006JD007583, 2007.
- 21 Parrish, D. D., Ryerson, T. B., Mellqvist, J., Johansson, J., Fried, A., Richter, D., Walega, J. G.,
- 22 Washenfelder, R. A., de Gouw, J. A., Peischl, J., Aikin, K. C., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G. J.,
- 23 Fehsenfeld, F. C. and Herndon, S. C.: Primary and secondary sources of formaldehyde in urban
- 24 atmospheres: Houston Texas region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(7), 3273–3288, doi:10.5194/acp-12-
- 25 3273-2012, 2012.
- 26 Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Brioude, J., Aikin, K. C., Andrews, A. E., Atlas, E., Blake, D., Daube,
- 27 B. C., de Gouw, J. A., Dlugokencky, E., Frost, G. J., Gentner, D. R., Gilman, J. B., Goldstein, A.
- 28 H., Harley, R. A., Holloway, J. S., Kofler, J., Kuster, W. C., Lang, P. M., Novelli, P. C., Santoni,
- 29 G. W., Trainer, M., Wofsy, S. C. and Parrish, D. D.: Quantifying sources of methane using light
- 30 alkanes in the Los Angeles basin, California, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(10), 4974–4990,
- 31 doi:10.1002/jgrd.50413, 2013.
- 32 Peng, J. F., Hu, M., Wang, Z. B., Huang, X. F., Kumar, P., Wu, Z. J., Guo, S., Yue, D. L., Shang,
- 33 D. J., Zheng, Z. and He, L. Y.: Submicron aerosols at thirteen diversified sites in China: size
- 34 distribution, new particle formation and corresponding contribution to cloud condensation nuclei
- 35 production, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(18), 10249–10265, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10249-2014, 2014.
- 36 Peng, Z., Day, D. A., Stark, H., Li, R., Lee-Taylor, J., Palm, B. B., Brune, W. H. and Jimenez, J.
- 37 L.: HO_x radical chemistry in oxidation flow reactors with low-pressure mercury lamps
- 38 systematically examined by modeling, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8(11), 4863–4890, doi:10.5194/amt-
- 39 8-4863-2015, 2015.
- 40 Peng, Z., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Palm, B. B., Hu, W., Stark, H., Li, R., Tsigaridis, K., Brune,
- 41 W. H. and Jimenez, J. L.: Non-OH chemistry in oxidation flow reactors for the study of
- 42 atmospheric chemistry systematically examined by modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(7), 4283–
- 43 4305, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4283-2016, 2016.

- 1 Perring, A. E., Bertram, T. H., Farmer, D. K., Wooldridge, P. J., Dibb, J., Blake, N. J., Blake, D.
- 2 R., Singh, H. B., Fuelberg, H., Diskin, G., Sachse, G. and Cohen, R. C.: The production and
- 3 persistence of $\Sigma RONO_2$ in the Mexico City plume, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(15), 7215–7229,
- 4 doi:10.5194/acp-10-7215-2010, 2010.
- 5 Platt, S. M., El Haddad, I., Zardini, A. A., Clairotte, M., Astorga, C., Wolf, R., Slowik, J. G.,
- 6 Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand, N., Ježek, I., Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Möhler, O., Richter, R.,
- 7 Barmet, P., Bianchi, F., Baltensperger, U. and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Secondary organic aerosol
- 8 formation from gasoline vehicle emissions in a new mobile environmental reaction chamber,
- 9 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(18), 9141–9158, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9141-2013, 2013.
- 10 Platt, S. M., El Haddad, I., Pieber, S. M., Zardini, A. A., Suarez-Bertoa, R., Clairotte, M.,
- 11 Daellenbach, K. R., Huang, R.-J., Slowik, J. G., Hellebust, S., Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand,
- 12 N., de Gouw, J., Jimenez, J. L., Hayes, P. L., Robinson, A. L., Baltensperger, U., Astorga, C. and
- 13 Prévôt, A. S. H.: Gasoline cars produce more carbonaceous particulate matter than modern filter-
- 14 equipped diesel cars, Sci. Rep., 7(1), 4926, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03714-9, 2017.
- 15 Presto, A. A., Gordon, T. D. and Robinson, A. L.: Primary to secondary organic aerosol: Evolution
- 16 of organic emissions from mobile combustion sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(10), 5015–5036,
- 17 doi:10.5194/acp-14-5015-2014, 2014.
- 18 Price, H. U., Jaffe, D. A., Cooper, O. R. and Doskey, P. V: Photochemistry, ozone production, and
- 19 dilution during long-range transport episodes from Eurasia to the northwest United States, J.
- 20 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109, D23S13, doi:10.1029/2003JD004400, 2004.
- Pye, H. O. T. and Seinfeld, J. H.: A global perspective on aerosol from low-volatility organic
 compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(9), 4377–4401, doi:10.5194/acp-104377-2010, 2010.
- Richter, D., Weibring, P., Walega, J. G., Fried, A., Spuler, S. M. and Taubman, M. S.: Compact
 highly sensitive multi-species airborne mid-IR spectrometer, Appl. Phys. B, 119(1), 119–131,
 doi:10.1007/s00340-015-6038-8, 2015.
- 27 Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R. and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking Organic Aerosols: Semivolatile 28 29 Emissions and Photochemical Aging, Science (80-.)., 315(5816), 1259-1262. 30 doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.
- 31 Sachse, G. W., Hill, G. F., Wade, L. O. and Perry, M. G.: Fast-Response, High-Precision Carbon
- Monoxide Sensor using a Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Technique, J. Geophys. Res., 92(D2),
 2071–2081, doi:doi:10.1029/JD092iD02p02071, 1987.
- 34 Sato, K., Nakashima, Y., Morino, Y., Imamura, T., Kurokawa, J. and Kajii, Y.: Total OH reactivity
- measurements for the OH-initiated oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons in the presence of NO_x,
 Atmos. Environ., 171, 272–278, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.036, 2017.
- 30 Aunos. Environ., 1/1, 2/2–2/8, doi:10.1010/j.aunosenv.2017.10.030, 2017.
- 37 Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Shah, V., Larson, K., Sommers, J. M., Sullivan,
- A. P., Campos, T., Reeves, J. M., Hills, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Blake, N. J., Scheuer, E., Guo, H.,
 Fibiger, D. L., McDuffie, E. E., Haves, P. L., Weber, R. J., Dibb, J. E., Apel, E. C., Jaeglé, L.,
- Fibiger, D. L., McDuffie, E. E., Hayes, P. L., Weber, R. J., Dibb, J. E., Apel, E. C., Jaeglé, L.,
 Brown, S. S., Thronton, J. A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Sources and Secondary Production of Organic
- 41 Aerosols in the Northeastern US during WINTER, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
- 42 doi:10.1029/2018JD028475, 2018.

- 1 Schwantes, R. H., Schilling, K. A., McVay, R. C., Lignell, H., Coggon, M. M., Zhang, X.,
- Wennberg, P. O. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Formation of Highly Oxygenated Low-Volatility Products
 from Cresol Oxidation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7(5), 3453–3474, doi:10.5194/acp-17-3453-2017,
- 4 2017.
- 5 Schwarz, J. P., Samset, B. H., Perring, A. E., Spackman, J. R., Gao, R. S., Stier, P., Schulz, M.,
- 6 Moore, F. L., Ray, E. A. and Fahey, D. W.: Global-scale seasonally resolved black carbon vertical
- 7 profiles over the Pacific, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40(20), 5542–5547, doi:10.1002/2013GL057775,
- 8 2013.
- 9 Seo, J., Kim, J. Y., Youn, D., Lee, J. Y., Kim, H., Lim, Y. Bin, Kim, Y. and Jin, H. C.: On the
- 10 multi-day haze in the Asian continental outflow: An important role of synoptic condition combined 11 with regional and local sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(15), 9311–9332, doi:10.5194/acp-17-
- 12 9311-2017, 2017.
- 13 Shingler, T., Crosbie, E., Ortega, A., Shiraiwa, M., Zuend, A., Beyersdorf, A., Ziemba, L.,
- 14 Anderson, B., Thornhill, L., Perring, A. E., Schwarz, J. P., Campazano-Jost, P., Day, D. A.,
- 15 Jimenez, J. L., Hair, J. W., Mikoviny, T., Wisthaler, A. and Sorooshian, A.: Airborne
- 16 characterization of subsaturated aerosol hygroscopicity and dry refractive index from the surface
- 17 to 6.5km during the SEAC4RS campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 121(8), 4188–4210, doi:10.1002/2015JD024498, 2016.
- 19 Shrivastava, M., Cappa, C. D., Fan, J., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A. B., Jimenez, J. L., Kuang,
- 20 C., Laskin, A., Martin, S. T., Ng, N. L., Petaja, T., Pierce, J. R., Rasch, P. J., Roldin, P., Seinfeld,
- 21 J. H., Shilling, J., Smith, J. N., Thornton, J. A., Volkamer, R., Wang, J., Worsnop, D. R., Zaveri,
- 22 R. A., Zelenyuk, A. and Zhang, Q.: Recent advances in understanding secondary organic aerosol:
- 23 Implications for global climate forcing, Rev. Geophys., 55(2), 509–559, 24 doi:10.1002/2016RG000540, 2017.
- Shrivastava, M. K., Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N. and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of
 gas particle partitioning and aging of primary emissions on urban and regional organic aerosol
- 27 concentrations, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D18), D18301, doi:10.1029/2007JD009735, 2008.
- Silva, S. J., Arellano, A. F. and Worden, H. M.: Toward anthropogenic combustion emission
 constraints from space-based analysis of urban CO₂/CO sensitivity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40(18),
 4971–4976, doi:10.1002/grl.50954, 2013.
- 31 Slusher, D. L., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Flocke, F. M. and Roberts, J. M.: A thermal dissociation-
- chemical ionization mass spectrometry (TD-CIMS) technique for the simultaneous measurement
 of peroxyacyl nitrates and dinitrogen pentoxide, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D19), D19315,
- 34 doi:10.1029/2004JD004670, 2004.
- 35 Stith, J. L., Ramanathan, V., Cooper, W. A., Roberts, G. C., DeMott, P. J., Carmichael, G., Hatch,
- 36 C. D., Adhikary, B., Twohy, C. H., Rogers, D. C., Baumgardner, D., Prenni, A. J., Campos, T.,
- 37 Gao, R., Anderson, J. and Feng, Y.: An overview of aircraft observations from the Pacific Dust
- 38 Experiment campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 114(D5), D05207, doi:10.1029/2008JD010924, 2009.
- 39 Sueper, D.: ToF-AMS Data Analysis Software Webpage, [online] Available from:
- $40 \qquad http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/wiki/index.php/ToF-AMS_Analysis_Software$
- 41 (Accessed 4 January 2017), 2018.
- 42 Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., Lefer, B. L., Scheuer, E. M., Bradshaw, J. D., Sandholm, S. T., Smyth,

- 1 S., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Sachse, G. W., Collins, J. E. and Gregory, G. L.: Large-scale
- 2 distributions of tropospheric nitric, formic, and acetic acids over the western Pacific basin during
- 3 wintertime, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D23), 28303–28313, 1997.
- 4 Tang, W., Arellano, A. F., DiGangi, J. P., Choi, Y., Diskin, G. S., Agustí-Panareda, A., Parrington,
- 5 M., Massart, S., Gaubert, B., Lee, Y., Kim, D., Jung, J., Hong, J., Hong, J.-W., Kanaya, Y., Lee,
- 6 M., Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Flynn, J. H. and Woo, J.-H.: Evaluating High-Resolution
- 7 Forecasts of Atmospheric CO and CO₂ from a Global Prediction System during KORUS-AQ Field
- 8 Campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 1–41, doi:10.5194/acp-2018-71, 2018.
- 9 Tkacik, D. S., Lambe, A. T., Jathar, S., Li, X., Presto, A. A., Zhao, Y., Blake, D., Meinardi, S.,
- 10 Jayne, J. T., Croteau, P. L. and Robinson, A. L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from in-
- 11 Use Motor Vehicle Emissions Using a Potential Aerosol Mass Reactor., Environ. Sci. Technol.,
- 12 48(19), 11235–42, doi:10.1021/es502239v, 2014.
- 13 Tohjima, Y., Kubo, M., Minejima, C., Mukai, H., Tanimoto, H., Ganshin, A., Maksyutov, S.,
- 14 Katsumata, K., Machida, T. and Kita, K.: Temporal changes in the emissions of CH4 and CO from
- 15 China estimated from CH4 / CO2 and CO / CO2 correlations observed at Hateruma Island, Atmos.
- 16 Chem. Phys., 14(3), 1663–1677, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1663-2014, 2014.
- 17 Tritscher, T., Dommen, J., DeCarlo, P. F., Gysel, M., Barmet, P. B., Praplan, A. P., Weingartner,
- 18 E., Prévôt, A. S. H., Riipinen, I., Donahue, N. M. and Baltensperger, U.: Volatility and
- 19 hygroscopicity of aging secondary organic aerosol in a smog chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 20 11(22), 11477–11496, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11477-2011, 2011.
- 21 Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Molina, L., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L. and
- 22 Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of the volatility basis-set approach for the simulation of organic aerosol
- 23 formation in the Mexico City metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(2), 525-546,
- 24 doi:10.5194/acp-10-525-2010, 2010.
- 25 Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pandis, S. N. and Lelieveld, J.: Global-scale combustion sources
- of organic aerosols: sensitivity to formation and removal mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(12), 7345–7364, doi:10.5194/acp-17-7345-2017, 2017.
- 28 Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation
- 29 of organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of aerosol mass spectrometric data,
- 30 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(9), 2891–2918, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009, 2009.
- 31 UNDESA, P. D.: World Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision., 2015.
- 32 Vay, S. A., Tyler, S. C., Choi, Y., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Sachse, G. W., Diskin, G. S. and
- 33 Singh, H. B.: Sources and transport of $\Delta 14C$ in CO 2 within the Mexico City Basin and vicinity,
- 34 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4973–4985, doi:10.159/acp-9-4973-2009, 2009.
- 35 Virkkula, A.: Correction of the Calibration of the 3-wavelength Particle Soot Absorption
- 36 Photometer (3λ PSAP), Aerosol Sci. Technol., 44, 706–712, doi:10.1080/02786826.2010.482110,
 37 2010.
- 38 Volkamer, R., Jimenez, J. L., San Martini, F., Dzepina, K., Zhang, Q., Salcedo, D., Molina, L. T.,
- 39 Worsnop, D. R. and Molina, M. J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from anthropogenic air
- 40 pollution: Rapid and higher than expected, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(17), L17811,
- 41 doi:10.1029/2006GL026899, 2006.

- 1 Wang, Y., Munger, J. W., Xu, S., McElroy, M. B., Hao, J., Nielsen, C. P. and Ma, H.: CO₂ and its
- 2 correlation with CO at a rural site near Beijing: implications for combustion efficiency in China,
- 3 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(18), 8881–8897, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8881-2010, 2010.
- 4 Weibring, P., Richter, D., Walega, J. G., Rippe, L. and Fried, A.: Difference frequency generation
- 5 spectrometer for simultaneous multispecies detection, Opt. Express, 18(26), 27670,
- 6 doi:10.1364/OE.18.027670, 2010.
- 7 Weinheimer, A. J., Walega, J. G., Ridley, B. A., Gary, B. L., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Rowland,
- 8 F. S., Sachse, G. W., Anderson, B. E. and Collins, J. E.: Meridional distributions of NOx , NOy ,
- 9 and other species in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere during AASE II, Geophys. Res.
- 10 Lett., 21(23), 2583–2586, doi:10.1029/94GL01897, 1994.
- WHO: Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of disease, WorldHealth Organization., 2016.
- 13 Wisthaler, A., Hansel, A., Dickerson, R. R. and Crutzen, P. J.: Organic trace gas measurements by
- 14 PTR-MS during INDOEX 1999, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D19), 8024, doi:10.1029/2001JD000576, 2002
- 15 2002.
- 16 Wood, E. C., Canagaratna, M. R., Herndon, S. C., Onasch, T. B., Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R.,
- 17 Kroll, J. H., Knighton, W. B., Seila, R., Zavala, M., Molina, L. T., DeCarlo, P. F., Jimenez, J. L.,
- 18 Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., Jobson, B. T., Stutz, J., Kuster, W. C. and Williams, E. J.:
- 19 Investigation of the correlation between odd oxygen and secondary organic aerosol in Mexico City
- 20 and Houston, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(18), 8947–8968, doi:DOI 10.5194/acp-10-8947-2010, 2010
- 21 2010.
- 22 Woody, M. C., Baker, K. R., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Koo, B. and Pye, H. O. T.: Understanding
- 23 sources of organic aerosol during CalNex-2010 using the CMAQ-VBS, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 24 16(6), 4081–4100, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4081-2016, 2016.
- 25 Wooldridge, P. J., Perring, A. E., Bertram, T. H., Flocke, F. M., Roberts, J. M., Singh, H. B., Huey,
- 26 L. G., Thornton, J. A., Wolfe, G. M., Murphy, J. G., Fry, J. L., Rollins, A. W., LaFranchi, B. W.
- 27 and Cohen, R. C.: Total Peroxy Nitrates (Σ PNs) in the atmosphere: the Thermal Dissociation-Laser
- 28 Induced Fluorescence (TD-LIF) technique and comparisons to speciated PAN measurements,
- 29 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3(3), 593–607, doi:DOI 10.5194/amt-3-593-2010, 2010.
- 30 Wu, W., Zhao, B., Wang, S. and Hao, J.: Ozone and secondary organic aerosol formation potential
- 31 from anthropogenic volatile organic compounds emissions in China, J. Environ. Sci., 53,
- 32 doi:10.1016/j.jes.2016.03.025, 2016.
- 33 Xu, W., Croteau, P., Williams, L., Canagaratna, M., Onasch, T., Cross, E., Zhang, X., Robinson,
- W., Worsnop, D. and Jayne, J.: Laboratory characterization of an aerosol chemical speciation
 monitor with PM_{2.5} measurement capability, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 51(1), 69–83,
 doi:10.1080/02786826.2016.1241859, 2017.
- 37 Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R.,
- 38 Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P.
- 39 F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa,
- 40 N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams,
- 41 P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M. and
- 42 Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in

- anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(13),
 L13801, doi:10.1029/2007gl029979, 2007.
- 3 Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., Freney, E., Sellegri, K., Pichon, J. M., Schwarzenboeck, A., Colomb,
- 4 A., Bourrianne, T., Michoud, V. and Borbon, A.: Formation of secondary organic aerosol in the
- 5 Paris pollution plume and its impact on surrounding regions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(24), 13973–
- 6 13992, doi:10.5194/acp-15-13973-2015, 2015.
- 7 Zhang, X., Smith, K. A., Worsnop, D. R., Jimenez, J., Jayne, J. T. and Kolb, C. E.: A Numerical
- 8 Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation by an Aerodynamic Lens-Nozzle System: Part I.
- 9 An Individual Lens or Nozzle, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 36, 617–631, 10 doi:10.1080/02786820252883856, 2002.
- 11 Zhang, X., Smith, K. A., Worsnop, D. R., Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., Kolb, C. E., Morris, J. and
- 12 Davidovits, P.: Numerical Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation: Part II Integrated 13 Aerodynamic-Lens-Nozzle System, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38, 619–638, 14 doi:10.1080/02786820400470833.2004
- 14 doi:10.1080/02786820490479833, 2004.
- 15 Zhang, X., Cappa, C. D., Jathar, S. H., McVay, R. C., Ensberg, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Seinfeld, J.
- 16 H. and Christopher D. Cappa: Influence of vapor wall loss in laboratory chambers on yields of
- 17 secondary organic aerosol., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 111(16), 1–6, 18 doi:10.1073/pnas.1404727111, 2014.
- 19 Zhao, Y., Hennigan, C. J., May, A. A., Tkacik, D. S., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W.
- 20 C., Borbon, A. and Robinson, A. L.: Intermediate-volatility organic compounds: a large source of
- 21 secondary organic aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48(23), 13743-50, doi:10.1021/es5035188,
- 22 2014.
- 23 Zhao, Y., Nguyen, N. T., Presto, A. A., Hennigan, C. J., May, A. A. and Robinson, A. L.:
- Intermediate Volatility Organic Compound Emissions from On-Road Gasoline Vehicles and Small
 Off-Road Gasoline Engines, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50(8), 4554–4563,
- 26 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b06247, 2016.
- 27 Ziemba, L. D., Lee Thornhill, K., Ferrare, R., Barrick, J., Beyersdorf, A. J., Chen, G., Crumeyrolle,
- 28 S. N., Hair, J., Hostetler, C., Hudgins, C., Obland, M., Rogers, R., Scarino, A. J., Winstead, E. L.
- 29 and Anderson, B. E.: Airborne observations of aerosol extinction by in situ and remote-sensing
- 30 techniques: Evaluation of particle hygroscopicity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40(2), 417-422,
- 31 doi:10.1029/2012GL054428, 2013.
- 32