

Interactive comment on “Wintertime secondary organic aerosol formation in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH): Contributions of HONO sources and heterogeneous reactions” by Li Xing et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 3 October 2018

LiXing et al. present a modeling study of SOA formation pathways and contribution of heterogeneous HONO sources in the BTH region focusing on wintertime haze. This is an important study. I have several suggestions for strengthening the Manuscript, and I recommend that the following points need to be addressed before publication:

1. Introduction line 43: Please clarify if biogenic POA refers to POA from biomass burning and/or biological particles like bacteria, fungi etc.?
2. Line 46: In addition to Robinson and Hallquist, suggest citing the recent review paper on SOA by Shrivastava et al. 2017 (1)
3. Line 85: Also cite the global modeling paper using VBS by Shrivastava et al. 2015

- (2)
4. Line 100: The difference between the 2-product model and VBS is also because the VBS accounts for semi-volatile and intermediate volatility organics emitted from fossil fuel and biomass burning sources in addition to traditional SOA 3. This needs to be mentioned here.
5. Line 115: Please mention the different SOA sources being represented by VBS: e.g., anthropogenic, biomass burning, biogenic. Also, was gas-phase fragmentation of organic vapors included during multigenerational aging of organic vapors in the VBS? Please see the following papers for reference: (References 2,4,5 listed below)
6. Section 3.1. POA simulations and Figure 2: It is confusing why POA and HOA are on separate panels. POA is generally compared against the HOA factor derived from PMF analysis of HR-Tof-AMS data. Please clarify what is model POA being compared to in panel 2(a) and what is PMF AMS HOA being compared to in panel 2(b)? Also I do not see a comparison for PMF OOA and model SOA.
7. Also, can the authors compare their glyoxal and methylglyoxal to some of the AMS factors? If not, can AMS total organic signal – (sum of HOA+BBOA+CCOA+COA) be used as an estimate of glyoxal/methylglyoxal? If not, please explain. For example, are there any distinct AMS makers for glyoxal/methylglyoxal SOA or aqueous SOA formed during Haze? What was the overall O:C ratio of AMS organic aerosol?
8. Section 3.3: The authors include glyoxal SOA, but seems they do not include isoprene epoxydiol (IEPOX SOA) which is also formed by aqueous chemistry. Is the IEPOX-SOA contribution expected to be insignificant?
9. Line 270-275: What sources contribute to residential living? Are these biofuel burning? Also, could glyoxal and methylglyoxal also be emitted from wildfires and agricultural waste burning?
10. Figure 3: In addition to observed average diurnal cycle, also include modeled

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

diurnal cycle average for O3 and HONO. For HONO please include both the base and HOMO cases from Figure 4.

References:

1 Shrivastava, M. et al. Recent advances in understanding secondary organic aerosol: Implications for global climate forcing. *Rev. Geophys.* 55, 509-559, doi:10.1002/2016RG000540 (2017).

2 Shrivastava, M. et al. Global transformation and fate of SOA: Implications of low-volatility SOA and gas-phase fragmentation reactions. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 120, 4169-4195, doi:10.1002/2014jd022563 (2015).

3 Shrivastava, M., Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N. & Robinson, A. L. Effects of gas particle partitioning and aging of primary emissions on urban and regional organic aerosol concentrations. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 113, doi:D18301 10.1029/2007jd009735 (2008).

4 Shrivastava, M. et al. Implications of low volatility SOA and gas-phase fragmentation reactions on SOA loadings and their spatial and temporal evolution in the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 118, 3328-3342, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50160 (2013).

5 Shrivastava, M. et al. Sensitivity analysis of simulated SOA loadings using a variance-based statistical approach. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 8, 499-519, doi:10.1002/2015ms000554 (2016).

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-770>, 2018.