

1. Introduction

 New particles are introduced in the atmosphere by two major processes; direct emission from multiple sources and nucleation from low volatility vapors. Nucleation and subsequent growth of new particles have been observed in a variety of environments worldwide (Kulmala et al., 2004), representing a significant source of aerosol number. Fresh particles formed by nucleation can either be lost through coagulation with pre-existing larger particles or grow through condensation of vapors (e.g. sulfuric acid, ammonia, organics, and nitric acid) to larger sizes (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002) and become cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), thereby increasing the cloud droplet number concentration (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002). Thus, nucleation and subsequent growth by condensation can be an important source of CCN (Makkonen et al., 2009; Merikanto et al., 2009; Pierce and Adams, 2009; Wang and Penner, 2009; Yu and Luo, 2009). Considerable uncertainty arises from the partial understanding of the identity of the species involved in the growth of these nuclei (Kulmala et al., 2004; Kerminen et al., 2012). Field measurements (Eisele and McMurry, 1997; Weber et al., 1998, 1999) and model simulations (Kulmala et al., 2000; Pirjola and Kulmala, 2001; Anttila and Kerminen, 2003) indicated that the condensation of sulfuric acid alone is often not sufficient to justify the observed growth rates of fresh particles (Riipinen et al., 2011). Organics dominate particle growth in a lot of environments, but sulfuric acid and ammonia also play an important role in sulfur rich areas (Stanier et al., 2004; Yue et al., 2010). Growth of new particles has been attributed to the condensation of organic species (Kulmala et al., 1998; Anttila and Kerminen, 2003; Kerminen et al., 2000), heterogeneous reactions (Zhang and Wexler, 2002), or ion-enhanced condensation (Laakso et al., 2002).

 Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) comprises a major mass fraction (20-90%) of sub- micrometer particulate matter in many locations around the globe (Jimenez et al., 2009). Even though organic aerosol (OA) has been the subject of numerous studies (Hallquist et al., 2009), its chemical composition remains uncertain, making it one of the least understood components of atmospheric aerosols, due to the large number of different atmospheric organic compounds (Goldstein and Galbally, 2007).

 Atmospheric OA composition continuously evolves with time as a result of various chemical reactions (Kanakidou et al., 2005). The semi-volatile products which are produced from the gas-phase oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) can afterwards condense to

 the particulate phase. The volatility bases set (VBS) framework describes the volatility distribution of OA compounds (Donahue et al., 2006) using logarithmically spaced bins of the 66 effective saturation concentration, C^* (in μg m⁻³) at 298 K, to classify atmospheric organic species. This framework has been tested in three-dimensional regional (3-D) chemical transport models (CTMs), and appears to perform well for simulations of aerosol mass distributions (Gaydos et al., 2007; Karydis et al., 2007; Murphy et al., 2009; Tsimpidi et al., 2010; Fountoukis et al., 2011, 2014).

 A new 3-D CTM, PMCAMx-UF, with detailed aerosol microphysics was developed by Jung et al. (2010), and has been used for simulations over the US and Europe (Fountoukis et al., 2012; Baranizadeh et al., 2016). For the US domain, the first comparison of the model and the measurements in Pittsburgh was encouraging; this evaluation focused on the frequency, timing, and strength of nucleation events (Jung et al., 2010). Applications in Europe compared model predictions against size distribution measurements from seven sites (Fountoukis et al., 2012). The model was capable of reproducing more than 70% of the hourly number concentrations of particles larger than 10 nm (*N*10) within a factor of 2. However, the concentration of particles larger than 100 nm (*N*100, the number of particles that can act as CCN) was underpredicted by 50%. Even at sites where the sulfate to OA mass ratio was high (e.g., Melpitz), the nanoparticle growth rates was underpredicted, but with smaller errors as compared with sites with relatively less sulfate. These problems were caused mainly by insufficient organic vapor condensation (Fountoukis et al., 2012), as the model did not explicitly include SOA condensation on particles. Based on observations from two background sites, Riipinen et al. (2011) estimated that roughly 85 half of the condensed organic mass should contribute to nanoparticle growth in order to explain the observed aerosol growth rates.

 Patoulias et al. (2015) developed a new aerosol dynamic model, DMANx (Dynamic Model for Aerosol Nucleation), that simulates aerosol size/composition distribution, and includes the condensation of organic vapors on nanoparticles using the VBS framework. Simulations were performed for the sites of Hyytiälä (Finland) and Finokalia (Greece); two locations with different organic sources. Patoulias et al. (2015) investigated the effect of condensation of organics and chemical aging reactions of SOA precursors on ultrafine particle growth and particle number concentration during a typical springtime nucleation event in both locations. At the Finokalia site, the simulations suggested that the organics play a complementary role in new particle

 growth, contributing 45% to the total mass of new particles. Condensation of organics increased the *N*¹⁰⁰ by 13% at Finokalia, and 25% at Hyytiälä during a typical spring day with nucleation.

 The overall objective of this work is to examine the effect of the condensation of secondary organic vapors (products of the oxidation of VOCs and of the intermediate volatility organic compounds; IVOCs) on particle number concentrations. Our hypothesis is that simulation of the corresponding interactions improves the ability of CTMs to reproduce ambient observations of the aerosol number distribution. Organic condensation can play a much more complex role than simply helping in the ultrafine particle growth. It increases the condensational and coagulation sinks thus reducing nucleation rates and increasing coagulation rates. Given the complexity and the nonlinearity of these interactions the net effect of organic condensation on particle number concentrations is by no means obvious.

 We extended the 3-D CTM PMCAMx-UF (Fountoukis et al., 2012; Jung et al., 2010), which originally assumed that ultrafine particles can grow only by condensation of sulfuric acid and ammonia as well as by coagulation. The updated version of PMCAMx-UF includes the condensation of organic vapors on ultrafine particles using the VBS framework. We evaluated the model by comparing its predictions to surface-based high-time-resolution measurements from 16 stations in Europe and airborne measurements from the PEGASOS Zeppelin campaign over the Po Valley, in Italy.

2. Model description

 PMCAMx-UF is a three-dimensional CTM that simulates the aerosol number size distribution, in addition to the mass/composition size distribution (Jung et al., 2010; Fountoukis et al., 2012). PMCAMx-UF is based on the framework of PMCAMx (Gaydos et al., 2007; Karydis et al., 2007), describing the processes of horizontal and vertical advection, emissions, horizontal and vertical dispersion, wet and dry deposition, aqueous and aerosol phase chemistry, as well as aerosol dynamics. For the simulation of aerosol microphysics, PMCAMx-UF uses the updated DMANx model of Patoulias et al. (2015), which simulates the processes of coagulation, condensation/evaporation and nucleation, assuming an internally mixed aerosol. DMANx uses the two-moment aerosol sectional (TOMAS) algorithm (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002; Jung et al., 2006). A key feature of TOMAS is its ability to track two independent moments of the aerosol size distribution for each size bin; the aerosol number and mass concentration. The aerosol size 126 distribution is described with 41 size sections with the lowest boundary at 3.75×10^{-25} kg dry aerosol mass per particle. That corresponds approximately to a dry diameter of 0.8 nm. The particle density in each bin is calculated and updated continuously as a function of the corresponding composition. Each successive boundary has double the mass of the previous one to facilitate the simulation of coagulation (Tzivion et al., 1987; 1989).

 The particle components modeled include sulfate, ammonium, nitrate, sodium, chloride, 132 crustal material, water (H_2O) , elemental carbon (EC), primary organic aerosol (POA) and four SOA components. The TOMAS algorithm simulates the evaporation, condensation of sulfuric 134 acid (H_2SO_4), ammonia (NH₃) and organics, independently.

2.1 Nucleation parameterizations

 PMCAMx-UF has the option of using a number of nucleation treatments (Fountoukis et al., 2012; Baranizadeh et al., 2016). In this work, the nucleation rate was calculated using a scaled ternary nucleation parameterization based on the original expressions of Napari et al. (2002) and the binary parameterization of Vehkamäki et al. (2002), if the NH³ concentration is below a 141 threshold value of 0.01 ppt. The original NH₃-H₂SO₄-H₂O parameterization had predicted successfully the presence or lack of nucleation events (Gaydos et al., 2005) in sulfur rich environments. However, it overpredicted ultrafine number concentrations during nucleation 144 events (Fountoukis et al., 2012; Jung et al., 2008, 2010), and thus a scaling factor of 10⁻⁶ was applied to the nucleation rate following the suggestions of Fountoukis et al. (2012). The critical nucleus is assumed to consist of roughly two molecules of sulfuric acid and two molecules of 147 ammonia consistent with its assumed size (Napari et al., 2002).

2.2 Gas-phase chemistry

 The gas phase chemistry mechanism in PMCAMx-UF was updated in this work to the SAPRC chemical mechanism (Carter, 2000; Environ, 2003), which includes 211 reactions of 56 gases and 18 free radicals. The SAPRC version used here includes five lumped alkanes (ALK1-5), two lumped olefins (OLE1-2), two lumped aromatics (ARO1-2), isoprene (ISOP), a lumped monoterpene (TERP) and a lumped sesquiterpene species (SESQ). OLE1 contains all the terminal alkenes, while OLE2 represents all the internal and cyclic alkenes. All lumped VOCs

 with the exception of ALK1-3 are considered as SOA precursors (Lane et al., 2008a, b; Tsimpidi et al., 2010).

2.3 Coagulation

 Coagulation of particles in the atmosphere is an important sink of aerosol number, but is also a mechanism by which freshly nucleated particles grow to larger sizes (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002). The TOMAS algorithm is used for the simulation of coagulation. Following Adams and Seinfeld (2002), TOMAS assumes that the particles coagulate via Brownian diffusion and the effects of gravitational settling and turbulence are neglected.

2.4 Particle number/mass emissions

 The particle emissions were based on the pan-European anthropogenic particle number emission inventory (Denier van der Gon et al., 2009; Kulmala et al., 2011) and the carbonaceous aerosol inventory (Kulmala et al., 2011) developed during the EUCAARI (European Integrated project on Aerosol, Cloud, Climate, and Air Quality Interactions) project. The resulting number/mass inventory includes both number emissions and consistent size-resolved composition for particles over the size range of 10 nm to 10 μm. Hourly gridded anthropogenic and biogenic emissions included both gases and primary particulate matter. The natural emissions include both particulate matter and gases and combine three different data sets: emissions from ecosystems based on the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN; Guenther et al., 2006), marine emissions based on the model of O'Dowd et al. (2008), and wildfire emissions (Sofiev et al., 2008a, b). MEGAN uses as inputs the plant functional type, the leaf area index, various chemical species emission factors and weather data provided by the weather research and forecasting model (WRF) (Skamarock et al., 2005). Since sea surface covers a considerable portion of the domain, the marine aerosol emission model developed by O'Dowd et al. (2008) was also used. Wind speed fields from WRF and chlorophyll-a concentrations were used as inputs of the marine aerosol model. VOCs were speciated based on the approach proposed by Visschedijk et al. (2007). Anthropogenic gas emissions included land emissions from the GEMS (global and regional Earth-system monitoring using satellite and in-situ data) dataset (Visschedijk et al., 2007). International shipping, industrial, domestic, agricultural, and traffic

 aerosol emission sources were included in the anthropogenic inventory (Denier van der Gon et al., 2009; Kulmala et al., 2011).

2.5 Condensation/Evaporation

 Condensation of gas-phase species to existing aerosol particles is an important source of aerosol mass and a means by which small particles grow to CCN sizes. The TOMAS algorithm was used for the simulation of condensation/evaporation of sulfuric acid, ammonia and organic vapors, using the wet diameters of the particles (Gaydos et al., 2005).

 Sulfuric acid is assumed to be in pseudo-steady state in DMANx. This pseudo steady- state approximation (PSSA) for sulfuric acid proposed by Pierce and Adams (2009) increases the computational speed with a small loss in accuracy. Jung et al. (2010) evaluated the performance 197 of PSSA for sulfuric acid in DMAN against a $4th$ order Runge-Kutta algorithm and showed that PSSA was accurate and computationally efficient. Condensation of ammonia was simulated following the approach described by Jung et al. (2006). Ammonia condensation on the ultrafine particles ends when sulfate is fully neutralized to ammonium sulfate.

 Nitric and hydrochloric acid partition to particles in the accumulation and coarse modes in DMAN as nitrate and chloride, respectively. This partitioning is simulated using the bulk equilibrium approach. At each time step the amount of nitric acid and hydrochloric acid transferred between the gas and aerosol phases is determined by applying the aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium model ISORROPIA (Nenes et al., 1998). This amount is then distributed over the aerosol size distribution by using weighting factors for each size section based on their effective surface area (Pandis et al., 1993). This treatment ensures that the appropriate amount is transferred to the larger particles; however it cannot describe accurately any potential transfer of these acids to the nucleation mode. This simplification dramatically reduces the computational burden with a minimal loss of accuracy, since ultrafine particle growth is governed by low-volatility compounds.

2.6 Secondary organic aerosol formation

 Gas-phase oxidation of VOCs produces semi-volatile products that can then condense to the particle phase. The VBS framework (Donahue et al., 2006) used in PMCAMx-UF describes the volatility distribution of OA compounds using logarithmically spaced bins, characterized by an

217 effective saturation concentration, C^* (in μg m⁻³). SOA components partition between the aerosol and gas phases, and can be formed from anthropogenic (aSOA) and biogenic (bSOA) precursors. 219 SOA partitioning was simulated using 4 volatility bins $(1 - 10^3 \,\mu g \text{ m}^{-3})$ at 298 K). We assume an 220 average molecular weight of 200 g mol⁻¹ for SOA, and an effective enthalpy of vaporization of 221 30 kJ mol⁻¹ (Pathak et al., 2007; Stanier et al., 2007). The partitioning of OA between the gas and particulate phases is simulated dynamically (Patoulias et al., 2015).

223 The SOA yields used in the updated version of PMCAMx-UF are based on the NO_x - dependent yields of Murphy at al. (2009). The current work focuses on the effect of the formation of semi-volatile organic aerosol on particle number concentrations. The role of later generation reactions (known as chemical aging) and also the formation of low volatility (LVOC) 227 and extremely low-volatility organic compound (ELVOC) formation (Ehn et al., 2014; Tröstl et al., 2016) is rather complex and will be the topic of future work.

2.7 Meteorological input fields

 Meteorological inputs to PMCAMx-UF included horizontal wind components, vertical diffusivity, temperature, pressure, water vapor, clouds and rainfall. The meteorological model WRF (Skamarock et al., 2005) was used to create the above inputs. WRF was driven by geographical and dynamic meteorological data (historical data generated by the Global Forecast System). Each layer of PMCAMx-UF was aligned with the layers used in WRF. The WRF simulation was periodically re-initialized (every 3 days) with observed conditions to ensure accuracy in the corresponding fields that were used as inputs in PMCAMx-UF, for 34 days from June 5 to July 8, 2012. The three-day re-initialization has been chosen because of its simplicity and the fact that the corresponding WRF predictions remain consistent with all the measurements. The measurements are pre-processed by the WPS (WRF Preprocessing System) package, which provides each atmospheric and static field with fidelity appropriate to the chosen grid resolution of the model. The performance of WRF for Europe against observed meteorological variables has been the topic of several studies (Jimenez-Guerrero et al., 2008; de Meij et al., 2009; Im et al., 2010; Argueso et al., 2011; Garcia-Diez et al., 2012) with all of them showing good performance.

-
-

3. Model Application and Measurements

249 The PMCAMx-UF modeling domain in this application covered a 5400×5832 km² region in 250 Europe (Fig. 1), with 150 cells in the x- and 162 cells in the y- direction, with a 36×36 km grid resolution and 14 vertical layers (the height of each layer can be found in the Supplementary Information, Table S1) extending up to approximately 7.5 km. PMCAMx-UF was set to perform simulations on a rotated polar stereographic map projection.

 The first two days of each simulation were excluded from the analysis to minimize the effect of the initial conditions on the results. The initial conditions affect the predictions for a period similar to the average residence time of the pollutants in the modeling domain. Given that this is a regional simulation, this period is significantly shorter than the lifetime of the particles in the atmosphere. Based on our tests two days are indeed sufficient for the model to "forget" the initial conditions and for emissions and chemistry to take over. The initial concentrations used are low to further decrease their impact on the results (Supplementary Information, Table S2).

 Constant very low values have been used for the boundary conditions (Table S2) so that the predicted particle number concentrations over Europe are determined for all practical purposes by the emissions and corresponding processes simulated by the model. The effect of these boundary conditions on the predicted number concentrations is discussed in a subsequent section.

 An intensive field campaign took place in Europe, as part of the Pan-European-Gas- AeroSOl-climate-interaction Study (PEGASOS) project, for 34 days from June 5 to July 8, 2012. Measurements of aerosol size distribution from the Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research Infra-Structure Network (ACTRIS), Chemistry-Aerosol Mediterranean Experiment (ChArMEx) and the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN) network are also available for the same period. The model results were compared against measurements in ground sites (Fig. 1): Birkenes (Norway), Hyytiala (Finland), Aspvreten (Sweden), Vavihill (Sweden), K-Puszta (Hungary), Ispra (Italy), San Pietro Capofiume (Italy), Corsica (France), Patras (Greece), Finokalia (Greece), Thessaloniki (Greece), Mace Head (Ireland), Hohenpeissenberg (Germany), Melpitz (Germany), Waldhof (Germany) and Schneefernerhaus (Germany). The measurements are available in the European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research (EUSAAR), ChArMEx (charmex.lsce.ipsl.fr) and EBAS databases (ebas.nilu.no). Particle size distribution measurements at all sites were made using either a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) or a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS). Information about all stations can be found in the Supplementary Information (SI, section S1).

 The airborne measurements acquired by a Zeppelin were part of the PEGASOS project over the Po Valley in Italy. The Po Valley region is situated between the Alps in the north and the Apennines Mountains in the south–southwest. The mountains surround the valley on three sides and strongly modify both the local and regional air flow patterns in the area (Sogacheva et al., 2007). High levels of pollutants are often observed in the region due to the industrial, agricultural, and other anthropogenic emissions. In addition, the emissions from ship traffic on the Adriatic Sea (Hamed et al., 2007) and long-range transport from central-eastern Europe are possible sources of pollutants in the region (Sogacheva et al., 2007). A scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) was used to measure the number size distribution of particles in the size range of 10 to 430 nm.

4. Results

4.1 Base Case simulation

 Figure 2 shows the base case PMCAMx-UF predictions of ground level average number concentration for all particles (*N*tot) and for particles with diameters above 10 nm (*N*10), 50 nm (*N*50), and 100 nm (*N*100), during June 5 to July 8, 2012 (34 days). The *N*⁵⁰ and *N*¹⁰⁰ concentrations are often used as proxies for CCN-related aerosol number concentrations (Fountoukis et al., 2012). The *N*¹⁰ can be directly compared against the differential mobility particle sizer (DMPS) or SMPS measurements. On a domain average basis, the model predicted for the ground level $N_{\text{tot}} = 6500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $N_{10} = 3800 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $N_{50} = 1550 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $N_{100} = 520 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ during the simulated period. High *N*tot and *N*¹⁰ are predicted in areas with frequent nucleation 302 events and also areas with high primary particle number emissions. Average N_{tot} concentrations 303 exceeding 20,000 cm⁻³ were predicted over Bulgaria, Bosnia, southern Romania, Turkey, Germany, Poland, Holland, Portugal, northern Spain, eastern UK, northern Italy, and central Russia. On the other hand, *N*⁵⁰ and *N*¹⁰⁰ are also affected by secondary particulate matter production. The highest *N*⁵⁰ and *N*¹⁰⁰ concentrations are predicted over the Mediterranean, mainly in areas near southern Spain, southern Italy and Greece.

308 An additional simulation, without taking into account the condensation of organics was 309 also performed. The average fractional increase of N_x , f_{N_x} , due to the condensation of organic 310 species is defined as:

311
$$
f_{Nx} = \frac{N_x(\text{with organics}) - N_x(\text{without organics})}{N_x(\text{without organics})}
$$
(4.1)

312 where *x* is 10, 50, 100 nm or total.

313 Predictions of f_{Nx} are shown in Fig. 3. The average fractional changes are $-0.02, -0.05, 0.15$ and 0.33 for the *N*tot, *N*10, *N*⁵⁰ and *N*100, respectively. The condensation of organics was predicted to decrease the total number concentration *N*tot over most continental Europe. The largest decrease was approximately 50%. This rather counterintuitive result is due to the increase of both the condensation and coagulation sinks as SOA is formed. These effects dominated over the faster growth of fresh nuclei or other nanoparticles to larger sizes that tend to slow down their coagulation rate and increase their lifetime. In the other extreme an increase of *N*tot of approximately 60% was predicted over the eastern UK. In this area organic condensation does lead to higher number concentrations. The predicted *N*¹⁰ also decreased between 15-30%, due to organic condensation over most of Europe. The minimum value of *fN*¹⁰ was about -0.30 over Serbia, while the maximum *fN*10 was about 0.35 over eastern UK. On the other hand, the condensation of organics increased the *N*⁵⁰ over the whole domain. The increase was 40-80% over Scandinavia and northern Russia. The condensation of semi-volatile organic vapors results in an increase of *N*100 by 70-150% over northern Scandinavia and northwestern Russia according to PMCAMx-UF.

328 The absolute increase in particle number concentration (ΔN_x) due to the organic 329 condensation is defined as:

330
$$
\Delta N_x = N_x \text{ (with organics) - } N_x \text{ (without organics)} \tag{4.2}
$$

331 where *x* is 10, 50, 100 nm or total.

N_{tot} decreased over Turkey, central and Eastern Europe, and Balkans by 2000 to 5000 cm⁻³ 332 333 while it increased over the eastern UK by roughly 3000 cm^{-3} (Fig. S1 in Supplementary 334 Information). The highest reduction of N_{tot} was approximately 15000 cm⁻³ over Hungary and 335 central Turkey. The predicted ΔN_{10} over central Europe was in the range of -1000 to -3000 cm⁻³. 336 The maximum reduction of N_{10} was equal to 3600 cm⁻³ over Hungary while its maximum 337 increase was 6500 cm^{-3} over eastern UK. The N_{50} increased due to the condensation of organics

 over Italy, central Russia, Holland, Ukraine, eastern Mediterranean, the coast of Algeria and 339 Spain by 500 - 2000 cm⁻³. N_{100} increases from 300 to 800 cm⁻³ over the Mediterranean and south 340 Russia. The maximum N_{100} increase was about 2000 cm⁻³ over Malta and southern Italy. The corresponding changes of the concentrations of particles with diameters between 10 nm and 50 342 nm (N_{10-50}) and between 50 nm and 100 nm (N_{10-50}) are shown in Figure S2.

4.2 Evaluation of extended PMCAMx-UF

 The predicted daily average concentrations of particles larger than 10, 50 and 100 nm are compared to the corresponding observations in all ground stations in Fig. 4. Around 65% of the observed *N*¹⁰ observations were reproduced within a factor of 2 by PMCAMx-UF, with the model tending to overestimate the corresponding concentrations. The model performed even better for *N*⁵⁰ reproducing 80% of the measurements within a factor of 2. PMCAMx-UF presented a tendency to underestimate the *N*100, levels but still reproduced 70% of the data, within a factor of 2. The model does a good job in capturing the observed variability in all size ranges and also appears to reproduce the observations at the low concentration levels.

 The prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF, when compared against the daily average measurements from the 16 stations, are summarized in Tables 1-3. The average normalized mean error (NME) for *N*¹⁰ was 90% and the normalized mean bias (NMB) was 75%. The *N*¹⁰ was overestimated in most locations with the exception of Hyytiala, San Pietro Capofiume, and Hohenpeissenberg. The normalized mean bias was less than 30% in K-Puszta, Melpitz and Patras. The model really overpredicted *N*¹⁰ (NMB>100%) in several stations in Northern Europe (Aspvreten, Birkenes, Vavihill), some coastal locations (Corsica and Mace Head), two German sites (Waldhod and Schneefernerhaus) and the Thessaloniki site in northern Greece. The overall NMB and NME for *N*⁵⁰ were 25% and 50%, respectively. The *N*⁵⁰ NMB was less than 50% in 14 stations, with only Aspvreten and Thessaloniki being exceptions. In these 14 stations the corresponding error was less than 70%. Finally, the *N*¹⁰⁰ was underpredicted in all stations with the exception of two Greek sites (Thessaloniki and Finokalia). However, this underprediction was less than 30% in 9 out of the 14 sites. Overall, the NMB for *N*¹⁰⁰ was -20% and the NME for *N*¹⁰⁰ was 40% for the simulation with organics.

 Figures 5 and S3-S5 show measured and predicted average diurnal profiles of *N*10. In Hyytiala, Patras and Hohenpeissenberg, the observed diurnal profiles of *N*¹⁰ were flat, and the predicted diurnal profiles of *N*¹⁰ were close to the observations. In Melpitz and San Pietro Capofiume, the observed and predicted *N*¹⁰ increased at noon due to nucleation. In K-Puszta, Ispra, Birkenes, Aspvreten, Vavihill, Thessaloniki, Schneefernerhaus, Finokalia, Corsica and Waldhof, the model overpredicted *N*10.

 One of the potential explanations for the overprediction of *N*¹⁰ is the corresponding overprediction in the frequency of nucleation. Figure 6 shows the predicted and measured nucleation frequency for the 16 stations during the 34 simulation days. The criteria proposed by Dal Maso et al. (2005) were used for the categorization of a day as a nucleation event. The nucleation frequency was defined as the ratio of the number of days characterized as nucleation events to the total number of days.

 The observed nucleation frequency varied dramatically in the 16 sites from over 90% in San Pietro Capofiume to less than 10% in Patras. PMCAMx-UF reproduced this wide range (Fig. 6) with the predicted nucleation frequency being within 20% of the observed one in 12 out of the 16 stations. The model tends to overpredict nucleation frequency with the most significant errors in two coastal stations in the Mediterranean (Corsica and Patras) and two stations in Scandinavia (Birkenes and Aspreveten). This suggests that overpredicted nucleation frequency can explain part of the *N*¹⁰ overprediction in at least three (Corsica, Birkenes and Aspreveten) out of the eight stations.

 The overprediction of *N*¹⁰ could be also due to the low surface area of the particles resulting in lower condensation and coagulation rates. The capability of the existing aerosol population to remove vapors and freshly formed particles can be described by the condensational sinks (CS) (Dal Maso et al., 2005). The model undepredicted the measured the condensational sink in most of the sites. In Corsica the model overpredicted the condensation sink, while in Thessaloniki, Birkenes and Aspreveten the model is in good agreement with the measurements (Fig. 7). Summarizing, the errors in *N*¹⁰ are caused by the high predicted nucleation rate at Aspvreten, Birkenes, Schneefernerhaus, Thessaloniki and Vavihill and they are, at least partially, due to low predicted condensation sink at Ispra, K-Puszta, Mace Head and Melpitz. At Corsica, the overprediction of *N*¹⁰ is due to errors in both the predicted nucleation rates and the condensation sink.

 The average diurnal profiles of *N*¹⁰⁰ for all sites are shown in Fig. 8 and Figures S6-S8. The 399 model reproduced satisfactorily the average observed of N_{100} in the Mediterranean (Corsica, San Pietro Capofiume, Patra and Finokalia) with the exceptions of Thessaloniki, where PMCAMx-401 UF overestimated N_{100} for the most hours of the day.

 In northern Europe, the predicted *N*¹⁰⁰ was in general below the observed *N*100. The maximum underprediction of *N*¹⁰⁰ was observed in Hyytiala, Mace Head, and Melpitz. This indicated that the concentration of large particles was lower than observed, and therefore the condensation sink was also lower (Fig. 7). This underprediction is probably due to a combination of lower primary particles emissions and lower growth rates of the particles. The PMCAMx-UF predictions for Hyytiala and Mace Head are also quite sensitive to the boundary conditions used. Underestimation of the corresponding values could contribute to the *N*¹⁰⁰ underpredictions in these locations. The low prediction of organic aerosol is causing the underprediction of *N*100 in Patras and San Pietro Capofiume.

4.3 Comparison to aerosol composition measurements

 The PMCAMx-UF predictions can be evaluated during that period using available PM¹ measurements from Aerosol Mass Spectrometers in 4 stations (Bologna and San Pietro 415 Capofiume in Italy as well as Finokalia and Patras in Greece) and filter $PM_{2.5}$ measurements from 12 additional stations in Europe (Table S3).

 In Italy and Greece, the model reproduces the observations of inorganic aerosol components (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium) reasonably well (e.g., errors in the average 419 concentrations of less than 0.5 μg m⁻³ in the Italian sites), but it tends to underpredict the organic aerosol concentrations (Table S4). For example, the OA in San Pietro Capofiume is underpredicted by 40%. This underprediction of the organics is the major reason for the underprediction of the condensational sink shown in Figure 7 and is probably due to our assumptions about the chemical aging of the anthropogenic SOA. Based on previous work with the sister model PMCAMx (Fountoukis et al., 2011; 2014) in Europe, the chemical aging processes, that are not simulated in this version of PMCAMx-UF, should be able to explain a significant fraction of the missing OA. The role of these processes, the detailed evaluation of PMCAMx PM¹ mass and composition predictions during the PEGASOS campaigns and the sensitivity of the model to chemical aging parameterizations are the main topics of on-going work.

 For the rest of Europe we have used measurements available in the European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research (EUSAAR) and EBAS databases (ebas.nilu.no) for stations that had available data for more than 15 days during the simulation period. Concerning the inorganic 433 components, the model reproduced the sulfate measurements within 0.5 μ g m⁻³ (Table S6). On the other hand, it has a tendency to overestimate the ammonium nitrate levels and to underestimate the organic aerosol concentration. For the calculation of organic mass 436 concentration, we assumed $OA/OC = 1.4$ (Russell, 2003).

4.4 Comparison to Zeppelin measurements

 The Zeppelin measurements were taken every 3 minutes in different heights, while the model predictions are every 15 minutes. To compare the results, the model output was interpolated to 441 the times of the Zeppelin measurement periods. Figure S8 shows the comparison between model predictions and Zeppelin measurements of *N*¹⁰ and *N*¹⁰⁰ (averages of 2000 points). PMCAMx-UF reproduced more than 80% of the 3-minute *N*¹⁰ data of Zeppelin within a factor of 2.

 Figure 9 shows the predicted and observed vertical concentration profiles of particle number concentrations for *N*¹⁰ and *N*100, calculated for 80 m altitude bins, averaged over the entire PEGASOS campaign. The average profile is the result of averaging of the 3-min measurements and interpolated predictions from different flights and heights. The model showed a small tendency to underpredict *N*10, especially at heights between 200 and 400 m. PMCAMx- UF reproduced very well the *N*¹⁰⁰ concentration at all heights (except for heights between 200 and 450 500 m). The average measured N_{10} at all heights was 6050 cm⁻³, while the predicted 451 concentration was equal to 5250 cm⁻³. The model also reproduced 75% of the 3-min N_{100} Zeppelin measurements within a factor of 2. The measured average *N*¹⁰⁰ at all heights was 1520 cm^{-3} and while the extended PMCAMx-UF predicted 1380 cm⁻³. The ability of the revised model to reproduce reasonably well the high-time resolution Zeppelin measurements at different altitudes and locations is encouraging.

 The vertical profiles shown are averages of different flights on different days and different altitudes for each flight. The number of samples at different altitudes changed for each flight creating additional variability in the measured profiles. There are relatively few measurements at higher altitudes (above 600 m) which took place in periods with relatively high concentrations, creating the apparent bump in the measurements. The model captured these high concentration

 periods so it predicted the same bump for the average *N*¹⁰⁰ concentration profile. This resulted in the peak at 750 m in Figure 9b. The model predictions are for the same periods and the same altitudes, and it is the reason why the model can reproduce the apparent *N*¹⁰⁰ high concentration layer.

4.5 Effect of SOA formation on PMCAMx-UF performance

 The results of the simulation without SOA condensation were also compared to the measurements. Including the SOA condensation reduced the NMB of *N*¹⁰ by 10%. The maximum decrease of *N*¹⁰ due to organics condensation appeared at noon when nucleation events took place. The maximum decrease of *N*¹⁰ due to organics condensation appeared at noon when nucleation events took place. Simulation of the secondary organics reduced the NMB of *N*100 from -40% to -20%, and the NME from -45% to -40%. The organic condensation increased 473 the average condensation sink from 3.5×10^{-3} s⁻¹ to 4.2×10^{-3} s⁻¹. The addition of organics species 474 decreased the average of N_{10} from 6550 cm⁻³ to 6060 cm⁻³ (average observed N_{10} was 3910 cm⁻³) 475 while increasing the average of N_{100} from 750 cm⁻³ to 930 cm⁻³ (average observed N_{10} was 1080 476 cm⁻³) (Tables 1-3).

 Simulation of organics condensation improved the average predicted *N*¹⁰⁰ at all heights in the Po Valley compared to Zeppelin measurements, by reducing the underprediction of *N*¹⁰⁰ from 22% to 10% (Fig S10). The model with organics reproduced the measured *N*¹⁰ well at most heights, with the exception of the heights between 200 and 400 m (Fig S11a). At all heights, the 481 predicted N_{100} with organics was closer to the measurements than the prediction of N_{100} without organics (Fig S11b).

4.6 Sensitivity to boundary conditions and emissions

 The boundary conditions and emissions (gas and particles) represent potential sources of uncertainty in the particle number concentration predictions by PMCAMx-UF. Eight sensitivity simulations were conducted in which: (i) PM boundary concentrations were reduced by 50%, (ii) 488 the boundary concentrations for all gases were reduced by 50% , (iii) the $SO₂$ boundary 489 conditions were reduced by 50%, (iv) the SO_2 boundary conditions were set equal to zero, (v) the PM emissions at all sizes were reduced by 50%, (vi) the emissions of all gases were reduced 491 by 50%, (vii) the SO_2 emissions were reduced by 50%, and (viii) the SO_2 emissions were set equal to zero.

 Table S7 shows the predicted domain-average change (%) of particle number concentrations due to these reductions in emissions and boundary conditions. The effect of the changes in boundary conditions by 50% was less than 5% for all cases, showing that the boundary conditions were not a major driver of the simulation. On the other hand, the emissions of sulfur dioxide, other vapors and particles had a major effect with changes of 10-35% for corresponding 50% emission changes. Setting the sulfur dioxide emissions to zero resulted in changes of 40-70% in the concentrations in the different particle size ranges showing its importance for new particle formation and growth during this photochemically active period.

5. Conclusions

 A new version of PMCAMx-UF was developed including the condensation of organic vapors on ultrafine particles, using the volatility basis set framework. We evaluated the model predictions against field observations collected in Europe, for 34 days during June 5 to July 8, 2012. The measurements included both ground stations across Europe and airborne measurements from a Zeppelin. The goal of this work was to better understand the effect of condensation of semi- volatile organic vapors on regional aerosol number concentration in Europe during a photochemically active period.

 Including organic condensation in PMCAMx-UF improved its ability to reproduce the 511 concentration of particles larger than 10 nm (*N*₁₀) at ground level. The inclusion of organics decreased the NMB of *N*¹⁰ from 85% to 75% and the corresponding NME from 100% to 90%. However, the revised model still tends to overpredict *N*¹⁰ for the majority of the locations. This overprediction of *N*¹⁰ is due to the overprediction of nucleation in some sites and the low number concentration of predicted pre-existing particles (low condensational sink) and consistently low coagulation rate.

517 The *N*₁₀₀ predictions by PMCAMx-UF were encouraging in most sites. The NMB of *N*₁₀₀ was reduced from -40% to -20% after the addition of SOA condensation while the corresponding 519 NME was reduced from 45% to 40%. This underprediction of N_{100} at all sites implies the need of improvement of either the size distribution of the emissions, and/or number of pre-existing particles (condensation sink), and/or the addition of chemical aging of semi-volatile, and/or the effect of extremely low volatility organic vapors in the model (Patoulias et al., 2015).

523 The condensation of organics decreased the predicted N_{10} concentration across Europe. The condensation of organics both grew ultrafine particles and increased the probability of collision of fresh particles with large particles (coagulation sink). This change dominated over the faster growth of the fresh particles to larger sizes in many, but not all, locations. The larger reduction of *N*¹⁰ due to organic condensation (25%) was predicted over Russia, Turkey, Eastern Europe and the Balkans. The SOA condensation increased the number of particles larger than 100 nm (*N*100) in all locations. This predicted increase was more than 80% in northern Scandinavia and northern Russia.

 Compared to the PEGASOS Zeppelin measurements in Po Valley, PMCAMx-UF 532 reproduced the average N_{10} with an error less than 10% and N_{100} with less than 10% at all heights up to 1000 m. The model with the condensation of organics performed better than the one without organics, in reproducing the observed vertical profile of both *N*¹⁰ and *N*100. The model with organics reproduced more than 85% and 75% of 3 min data of Zeppelin within a factor of 2 536 for N_{10} and N_{100} , respectively.

537 The increase of N_{100} concentrations and the decrease of N_{10} concentrations in most areas due to the formation of semivolatile organic aerosol during this photochemically active period represent two of the major insights offered by these simulations. As expected, better simulation of the formation and partitioning of organic compounds closes the gap between observations and predictions of particle number distributions. The complex role of chemical aging reactions but also LVOC and ELVOC formation (Ehn et al., 2014; Tröstl et al., 2016), that have been neglected in this study, will be the topic of a forthcoming publication.

 Acknowledgements: We thank Markus Fiebig, Chris Lunder, Pasi Aalto, Hans Karlsson, Erik Swietlicki, Moa Sporre, Jean-Philippe Putaud, Colin O'Dowd, Ciaran Monahan, Kay Weinhold, Wolfram Birmili, Andre Sonntag, Harald Flentje, Thomas Tuch, Alfred Wiedensohler, Bourrianne Thierry, Greg Roberts and Johannes Größ and Evangelia Kostenidou, Nikolaos Μihalopoulos, Giorgos Kouvarakis, George Biskos*,* and Spiridon Bezantakos for the measurements. All measurement presented here are from the Chemistry- Aerosol Mediterranean Experiment project (ChArMEx, [http://charmex.lsce.ipsl.fr\)](http://charmex.lsce.ipsl.fr/), which is the atmospheric component of the French multidisplinary program MISTRALS (Mediterranean Integrated Studies aT Regional And Local Scales). ChArMEx-France was principally funded by INSU, ADEME, ANR, CNES, CTC (Corsica region), EU/FEDER, Météo-France, and CEA.This work was funded by the ARISTEIA project (National Research Excellence 490 Grant) and the ATMOPACS project (grant agreement 267099).

6. References

- Adams, P.J., Seinfeld, J. H.: Predicting global aerosol size distributions in general circulation models, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4370, 2002.
- Anttila, T., Kerminen, V.: Condensational growth of atmospheric nuclei by organic vapours, J. Aerosol Sci., 34, 41–61, 2003.
- Argueso, D, Hidalgo-Munoz, J. M., Gamiz-Fortis, S. R., and Esteban-Parra, M. J.: Evaluation of WRF parameterizations for climate studies over Southern Spain using a multistep regionalization, J. Climate, 24, 5633–5651, 2011.
- Baranizadeh, E., Murphy, B. N., Julin, J., Falahat, S., Reddington, C. L., Arola, A., Ahlm, L., Mikkonen, S., Fountoukis, C., Patoulias, D., Minikin, A., Hamburger, T., Laaksonen, A., Pandis, S. N., Vehkamäki, H., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Riipinen, I.: Implementation of state- of-the-art ternary new-particle formation scheme to the regional chemical transport model PMCAMx-UF in Europe, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 2741-2754, 2016.
- Carter, W. P. L.: Programs and files implementing the SAPRC-99 mechanism and its associates emissions processing procedures for Models-3 and other regional models, January 31, 2000.
- Dal Maso, M., Kulmala M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Env. Res., 10, 323–336, 2005.
- de Meij, A., Gzella, A., Cuvelier, C., Thunis, P., Bessagnet, B., Vinuesa, J. F., Menut, L., and Kelder, H. M.: The impact of MM5 and WRF meteorology over complex terrain on CHIMERE model calculations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6611– 6632, 2009.
- Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Visschedijk, A. J. H., Johansson, C., Hedberg Larsson, E., Harrison, R., and Beddows, D.: Size resolved pan European anthropogenic particle number
- inventory, EUCAARI Deliverable report D141 (available on request from EUCAARI project office), TNO, the Netherlands, 2009.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635– 2643, 2006.
- Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann, R., Lee, B., Lopez- Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I. H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen,
-
- T., Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen,
- L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Dal Maso, M., Berndt, T., Petäjä,
- T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M.,Worsnop, D. R.,Wildt, J., and Mentel, T.
- F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature, 506, 476–479, 2014.
- Eisele, F. L. and McMurry, P. H.: Recent progress in understanding particle nucleation and growth, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London, 352, 191-201, 1997.
- Environ, User's guide to the comprehensive air quality model with extensions (CAMx), version 4.02, report, ENVIRON Int. Corp., Novato, CA, 2003.
- Fountoukis, C., Megaritis, A. G., Skyllakou, K., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Mohr., C., Prévôt, A. S. H., Allan, J. D., Poulain, L., Petäjä, T., Tiitta, P., Carbone, S., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Nemitz, E., O'Dowd, C., Swietlicki, E., and Pandis, S.N.: Organic aerosol concentration and composition over Europe: Insights from comparison of regional model predictions with aerosol mass spectrometer factor analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9061 - 9076, 2014.
- Fountoukis, C., Riipinen, I., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Wiedensohler, A., O'Dowd, C., Putaud, J. P., Moerman, M., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating ultrafine particle formation in Europe using a regional CTM: contribution of primary emissions versus secondary formation to aerosol number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8663-8677, 2012.
- Fountoukis, C., Racherla, P. N., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Polymeneas, P., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Wiedensohler, A., Dall'Osto, M., O'Dowd, C., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of a three-dimensional chemical transport model (PMCAMx) in the European domain during the EUCAARI May 2008 campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10331– 10347, 2011.
- Garcia-Diez, M., Fernandez, J., Fita, L., and Yague, C.: Seasonal dependence of WRF model biases and sensitivity to PBL schemes over Europe, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 139, 501–514, 2012.
- Gaydos, T., Pinder, R., Koo, B., Fahey, K., Yarwood, G., and Pandis, S. N.: Development and application of a three-dimensional Chemical Transport Model, PMCAMx, Atmos. Environ., 41, 2594–2611, 2007.
- Gaydos, T.M., Stainer, C.O., Pandis, S.N.: Modeling of insitu ultrafine atmospheric particle formation in the eastern United State, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D07S12, doi:10.1029/2004JD004683, 2005.
- Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I. E.: Known and unexplored organic constituents in the earth's atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1514–1521, 2007.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, 2006.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prevot, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155– 5236, 2009.
- Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Mikkonen, S., Sogacheva, L., Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Cavalli, F., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Laaksonen, A.: Nucleation and growth of new particles in Po Valley, Italy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 355-376, 2007.
- Im, U., Markakis, K., Unal, A., Kindap, T., Poupkou, A., Incecik, S., Yenigun, O., Melas, D., Theodosi, C., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Study of a winter PM episode in Istanbul using the high resolution WRF/CMAQ modeling system, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3085-3094, 2010.
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H.,

DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I.

M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A.,

 Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., E, Dunlea, J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E.,

- Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525–1529, 2009.
- Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Jorba, O., Baldasano, J. M., and Gasso, S.: The use of a modelling system as a tool for air quality management: Annual high-resolution simulations and evaluation, Sci. Total Environ., 390, 323–340, 2008.
- Jung, J., Fountoukis, C., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulation of in situ ultrafine particle formation in the eastern United States using PMCAMx-UF, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D03203, doi: 10.1029/2009JD012313, 2010.
- Jung, J., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of nucleation theories in a sulfur-rich environment, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 42, 495–504, 2008.
- Jung, J., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the size distribution and chemical composition of ultrafine particles during nucleation events, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2248– 2259, 2006.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053–1123, 2005.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of a three-dimensional chemical transport model (PMCAMx) in the eastern United States for all four seasons, J. Geophys. Res., 112, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007890, 2007.
- Kerminen, V.-M., Paramonov, M., Anttila, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., Asmi,
- E., Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Swietlicki, E., Svenningsson, B., Asmi, A., Pandis, S. N.,
- Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Cloud condensation nuclei production associated with
- atmospheric nucleation: a synthesis based on existing literature and new results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12037-12059, 2012.
- Kerminen, V.-M., Virkkula, A., Hillamo, R., Wexler, A. S., and Kulmala, M.: Secondary organics and atmospheric cloud condensation nuclei production, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 9255–9264, 2000.
- Kulmala, M., Asmi, A., Lappalainen, H. K., Baltensperger, U., Brenguier, J. L., Facchini, M. C., Hansson, H. C., Hov, O'Dowd, C. D., Pöschl, U., Wiedensohler, A., Boers, R., Boucher, O., De Leeuw, G., Denier Van Der Gon, H. A. C., Feichter, J., Krejci, R., Laj, P., Lihavainen, H., Lohmann, U., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T., Pilinis, C., Riipinen, I., Schulz, M., Stohl, A., Swietlicki, E., Vignati, E., Alves, C., Amann, M., Ammann, M., Arabas, S., Artaxo, P., Baars, H., Beddows, D. C. S., Bergström, R., Beukes, J. P., Bilde, M., Burkhart, J. F., Canonaco, F., Clegg, S. L., Coe, H., Crumeyrolle, S., D'Anna, B., Decesari, S., Gilardoni, S., Fischer, M., Fjaeraa, A. M., Fountoukis, C., George, C., Gomes, L., Halloran, P., Hamburger, T., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Hoose, C., Hu, M., Hyvärinen, A., Hõrrak, U., Iinuma, Y., Iversen, T., Josipovic, M., Kanakidou, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kirkevåg, A., Kiss, G., Klimont, Z., Kolmonen, P., Komppula, M., Kristjánsson, J. E., Laakso, L., Laaksonen, A., Labonnote, L., Lanz, V. A., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Rizzo, L. V., Makkonen, R., Manninen, H. E., McMeeking, G., Merikanto, J., Minikin, A., Mirme, S., Morgan, W. T., Nemitz, E., O'Donnell, D., Panwar, T. S., Pawlowska, H., Petzold, A., Pienaar, J. J., Pio, C., Plass-Duelmer, C., Prévôt, A. S. H., Pryor, S., Reddington, C. L., Roberts, G., Rosenfeld, D., Schwarz, J., Seland, O., et al.: General overview: European Integrated project on Aerosol Cloud Climate and Air Quality interactions (EUCAARI)-integrating aerosol research from nano to global scales, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 13061–130143, 2011.
- Kulmala, M., Vehkamaki, H., Petaja, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W., and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth of ultrafine atmospheric particles: A review of observations, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 143–176, 2004.
- Kulmala, M., Pirjola, L., Makela, J.M.: Stable sulphate clusters as a source of new atmospheric particles, Nature, 404, 66–69, 2000.
- Kulmala, M., Toivonen, A., Makela, J. M., and Laaksonen A.: Analysis of the growth of nucleation mode particles observed in Boreal forest, Tellus B, 50, 449-462, 1998.
- Laakso, L., Makela, J. M., Pirjola, L., and Kulmala, M.: Model studies on ion induced nucleation in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4427, doi: 10.1029/2002JD002140, 2002.
- Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N.: Simulating secondary organic aerosol formation using the volatility basis-set approach in a chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7439– 7451, 2008a.
- Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N.: Effect of NO*x* on secondary organic aerosol concentrations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 6022–6027, 2008b.
- Makkonen, R., Asmi, A., Korhonen, H., Kokkola, H., Jarvenoja, S., Raisanen, P., Lehtinen, K. E.
- J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.- M., Jarvinen, H., Lohmann, U., Bennartz, R., Feichter, J.,
- and Kulmala, M.: Sensitivity of aerosol concentrations and cloud properties to nucleation
- and secondary organic distribution in ECHAM5-HAM global circulation model, Atmos.
- Chem. Phys., 9, 1747–1766, 2009.
- Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Pickering, S. J., and Carslaw, K. S.: Impact of nucleation on global CCN, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8601–8616, 2009.
- Murphy, B. N. and Pandis, S.N.: Simulating the formation of semivolatile primary and secondary organic aerosol in a regional chemical transport model, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4722– 4728, 2009.
- Napari, I., Noppel, M., Vehkamaki, H., and Kulmala, M.: Parameterization of ternary nucleation rates for H2SO4-NH3-H2O vapors, J. Geophys. Res., 107, doi: 10.1029/2002JD002132, 2002.
- Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., and Pilinis, C.: ISORROPIA: a new thermodynamic equilibrium model for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols, Aquat. Geochem., 4, 123–152, 1998.
- O'Dowd, C. D., Langmann, B., Varghese, S., Scannell, C., Ceburnis, D., and Facchini, M. C.: A combined organic-inorganic sea-spray source function, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L01801, 2008.
- Pandis, S. N., Wexler, A. S., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and transport. 2. Predicting the ambient secondary organic aerosol size distribution, Atmos. Environ., 27A, 2403–2416, 1993.
- Pathak, R. K., Presto, A. A., Lane, T. E., Stanier, C. O., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N.: Ozonolysis of a-pinene: parameterization of secondary organic aerosol mass fraction, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3811–3821, 2007.
- Patoulias, D., Fountoukis, C., Riipinen, I., and Pandis, S. N.: The role of organic condensation on ultrafine particle growth during nucleation events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6337-6350, 2015.
- Pierce, J. R. and Adams, P. J.: A computationally efficient aerosol nucleation/condensation method: Pseudo-steady state sulfuric acid, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 43, 216–226, 2009.
- Pirjola, L. and Kulmala, M.: Development of particle size and composition distributions with a novel aerosol dynamics model, Tellus B, 53, 491–509, 2001.
- Riipinen, I., Pierce, J. R., Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Hakkinen, S., Ehn, M., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Petaja, T., Slowik, J., Chang, R., Shantz, N. C., Abbatt, J., Leaitch, W. R., Kerminen, V.-M., Worsnop, D. R., Pandis, S. N., Donahue, N. M., and Kulmala, M.: Organic condensation: a vital link connecting aerosol formation to cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3865–3878, 2011.
- Russell, L. M.: Aerosol organic-mass-to-organic-carbon ratio measurements, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 2982–2987, doi:10.1021/Es026123w, 2003.
- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang,W., and Powers, J.
- G.: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 2, NCAR Technical Note [\(http://www.](http://www/)mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/docs/arw v2.pdf), 2005.
- Sofiev, M., Vankevich, R., Lanne, M., Koskinen, J., and Kukkonen, J.: On integration of a Fire Assimilation System and a chemical transport model for near-real-time monitoring of the impact of wild-land fires on atmospheric composition and air quality, Modelling, Monitoring and Management of Forest Fires, WIT Trans. Ecol. Envir., 119, 343–351, 2008a.
- Sofiev, M., Lanne, M., Vankevich, R., Prank, M., Karppinen, A., and Kukkonen, J.: Impact of wild-land fires on European air quality in 2006–2008, Modelling, Monitoring and Management of Forest Fires, WIT Trans. Ecol. Envir., 119, 353–361, 2008b.
- Sogacheva, L., Hamed, A., Facchini, M. C., Kulmala, M., and Laaksonen, A.: Relation of air mass history to nucleation events in Po Valley, Italy, using back trajectories analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 839-853, 2007.

- Stanier, C. O., Pathak, R. K., and Pandis, S. N.: Measurements of the volatility of aerosols from α-pinene ozonolysis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2756–2763, 2007.
- Stanier C. O., A. Y. Khlystov, and Pandis S. N.: Nucleation events during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study: Description and relation to key meteorological, gas phase, and aerosol parameters, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38S, 253-264, 2004.
- Tröstl, J., Chuang, W. K., Gordon, H., Heinritzi, M., Yan, C., Molteni, U., Ahlm, L., Frege, C.,
- Bianchi, F., Wagner, R., Simon, M., Lehtipalo, K., Williamson, C., Craven, J. S., Duplissy,
- J., Adamov, A., Almeida, J., Bernhammer, A.-K., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Dias, A.,
- Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Gysel, M., Hansel, A., Hoyle,
- C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Keskinen, H., Kim, J., Krapf, M.,
- Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lawler, M., Leiminger, M., Mathot, S., Möhler, O., Nieminen,
- T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Piel, F. M., Miettinen, P., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela,
- N., Schobesberger, S., Sengupta, K., Sipilä, M., Smith, J. N., Steiner, G., Tomè, A.,
- Virtanen, A., Wagner, A. C., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P. L.,
- Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Dommen, J., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Worsnop, D.
- R., Donahue, N. M., and Baltensperger, U.: The role of low-volatility organic compounds in initial particle growth in the atmosphere, Nature, 533, 527–531, 2016.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Molina, L., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of the volatility basis-set approach for the simulation of organic aerosol formation in the Mexico City metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 525-546, 2010.
- Tzivion, S., Feingold, G., and Levin, Z.: An efficient numerical solution to the stochastic collection equation, J. Atmos. Sci., 44, 3139–3149, 1987.
- Tzivion, S., Feingold, G., and Levin, Z.: The evolution of raindrop spectra. Part II: collisional collection/breakup and evaporation in a rain shaft, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 46, 3312–3327, 1989.
- Vehkamaki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M., and Laaksonen, A.: An improved parameterization for sulfuric acid-water nucleation rates for tropospheric and stratospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4622–4632, 2002.
- Visschedijk, A. J. H., Zandveld, P., and Denier van der Gon, H. A. C.: TNO Report 2007 A- R0233/B: A high resolution gridded European emission database for the EU integrated project GEMS, Netherlands, Organization for Applied Scientific Research, 2007.
- Wang, M. and Penner, J. E.: Aerosol indirect forcing in a global model with particle nucleation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 239-260, 2009.
- Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Mauldin III, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Eisele, F. L., Clarke, A. D., and Kapustin, V. N.: New particle formation in the remote troposphere: a comparison of observations at various sites, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 307–310, 1999.
- Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Mauldin, L., Tanner, D. J., Eisele, F. L., Brechtel, F. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Kok, G. L., Schillawski, R. D., and Baumgardner, D.: A study of new particle formation and growth involving biogenic and trace gas species measured during ACE 1, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 16385–16396, 1998.
- Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Simulation of particle size distribution with a global aerosol model: contribution of nucleation to aerosol and CCN number concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7691– 7710, 2009.
- Yue, D. L., Hu, M., Zhang, R. Y., Wang, Z. B., Zheng, J., Wu, Z. J., Wiedensohler, A., He, L. Y., Huang, X. F., and Zhu, T.: The roles of sulfuric acid in new particle formation and growth in the mega-city of Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4953-4960, 2010.Zhang, K.M., Wexler, A.S.: A hypothesis for condensation of fresh atmospheric nuclei, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4577, 2002.

817 **Table 1:** Prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF against daily ground measurements of particle

| Station Mean | | Mean Predicted $(cm-3)$ | | Normalized Mean | | Normalized Mean | | | |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Observed | | | Bias (NMB) $(\%)$ | | Error (NME) $(\%)$ | | | |
| | | With | Without | With | Without | With | Without | | |
| | | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | | |
| N_{10} | | | | | | | | | |
| ASP | 2090 | 5533 | 5496 | 165 | 163 | 165 | 163 | | |
| BIR | 1937 | 4950 | 4608 | 156 | 138 | 160 | 143 | | |
| COR | 2994 | 6768 | 7455 | 126 | 149 | 126 | 149 | | |
| FIN | 3932 | 6091 | 6191 | 55 | 57 | 57 | 60 | | |
| HOH | 3809 | 3801 | 4155 | $\overline{0}$ | 9 | 36 | 40 | | |
| HYY | 2616 | 2239 | 2408 | -14 | -8 | 33 | 35 | | |
| ISP | 6307 | 10481 | 11420 | 66 | 81 | 78 | 91 | | |
| KPU | 5245 | 6686 | 8581 | 27 | 64 | 56 | 82 | | |
| MAC | 822 | 1965 | 1758 | 139 | 114 | 149 | 135 | | |
| MEL | 6045 | 7325 | 8680 | 21 | 44 | 60 | 75 | | |
| PAT | 4858 | 5333 | 5449 | 10 | 12 | 50 | 53 | | |
| SCH | 1286 | 2913 | 3279 | 127 | 155 | 127 | 155 | | |
| SPC | 8319 | 7398 | 8547 | -11 | $\overline{3}$ | 34 | 33 | | |
| THE | 4022 | 9755 | 10334 | 143 | 157 | 143 | 160 | | |
| VAV | 3230 | 7561 | 7601 | 134 | 135 | 136 | 137 | | |
| WAL | 5036 | 8194 | 8852 | 63 | 76 | 74 | 85 | | |
| ALL | 3909 | 6062 | 6551 | 75 | 85 | 90 | 100 | | |

818 number concentration with diameter above 10 nm from 16 stations during 5 June – 8 July 2012.

819

820

821

822

823

824

| Station | Mean Predicted $(cm-3)$ Normalize Mean Mean | | | Normalized Mean | | | | | |
|----------------|---|----------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Observed | | | Bias (NMB) $(\%)$ | | Error (NME) $(\%)$ | | | |
| | | With | Without | With | Without | With | Without | | |
| | | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | | |
| N_{50} | | | | | | | | | |
| ASP | 1353 | 2419 | 1835 | 79 | 36 | 81 | 47 | | |
| BIR | 1046 | 1364 | 1111 | 30 | 6 | 61 | 53 | | |
| COR | 2460 | 3155 | 2883 | 28 | 17 | 41 | 37 | | |
| FIN | 3085 | 4163 | 3905 | 35 | 27 | 39 | $\overline{32}$ | | |
| HOH | 1988 | 1550 | 1340 | -22 | -33 | 31 | $\overline{35}$ | | |
| HYY | 1546 | 1092 | 829 | -29 | -46 | 40 | $\overline{49}$ | | |
| ISP | 3500 | 5399 | 4728 | 54 | 35 | 70 | 56 | | |
| KPU | 2955 | 3674 | 3424 | 24 | 16 | 30 | 25 | | |
| MAC | 489 | 315 | 278 | -36 | -43 | 70 | 67 | | |
| MEL | 2243 | 2197 | 1824 | -2 | -19 | 23 | 24 | | |
| PAT | 3249 | 3211 | 2983 | -1 | -8 | 29 | 28 | | |
| SCH | 839 | 1202 | 1053 | 43 | 26 | 65 | 54 | | |
| SPC | 3235 | 3686 | 3300 | 14 | $\overline{2}$ | 29 | 23 | | |
| THE | 2334 | 5147 | 4545 | 120 | 95 | 120 | 95 | | |
| VAV | 1628 | 2192 | 1812 | 35 | 11 | 45 | 33 | | |
| WAL | 2050 | 2295 | 1882 | 12 | -8 | 22 | 16 | | |
| ALL | 2125 | 2691 | 2358 | 25 | 10 | 50 | 40 | | |

827 number concentration with diameter above 50 nm from 16 stations during 5 June – 8 July 2012.

829

830

831

832

833

835 **Table 3:** Prediction skill metrics of PMCAMx-UF against daily ground measurements of particle 836 number concentration with diameter above 100 nm from 16 stations during 5 June – 8 July 2012.

| Station | Mean | Mean Predicted $(cm-3)$ | | Normalize Mean | | Normalized Mean | | |
|----------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|--|
| | Observed | | | Bias (NMB) $(\%)$ | | Error (NME) $(\%)$ | | |
| | | With | Without | With | Without | With | Without | |
| | | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | Organics | |
| N_{100} | | | | | | | | |
| ASP | 540 | 372 | 343 | -31 | -37 | 45 | 46 | |
| BIR | 431 | 318 | 229 | -26 | -47 | 59 | 55 | |
| COR | 1304 | 1180 | 914 | -9 | -30 | 37 | 36 | |
| FIN | 1769 | 2002 | 1652 | 13 | -7 | 29 | 22 | |
| HOH | 911 | 558 | 448 | -40 | -50 | 43 | 51 | |
| HYY | 736 | 309 | 207 | -60 | -70 | 60 | 70 | |
| ISP | 1766 | 1461 | 1245 | -17 | -30 | 32 | 37 | |
| KPU | 1526 | 1486 | 1228 | -3 | -20 | 28 | 25 | |
| MAC | 242 | 116 | 86 | -50 | -64 | 60 | 65 | |
| MEL | 998 | 671 | 484 | -33 | -51 | 38 | 51 | |
| PAT | 1758 | 1471 | 1154 | -16 | -34 | 25 | 35 | |
| SCH | 496 | 442 | 360 | -11 | -27 | 43 | 36 | |
| SPC | 1667 | 1387 | 1132 | -17 | -32 | 31 | 37 | |
| THE | 1398 | 2020 | 1649 | 45 | 18 | 53 | 40 | |
| VAV | 749 | 438 | 358 | -41 | -52 | 46 | 54 | |
| WAL | 924 | 577 | 464 | -38 | -50 | 39 | 50 | |
| ALL | 1076 | 926 | 747 | -20 \mathbf{r} | -40 | 40 | 45 | |

837 NMB=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - O_i) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i
$$
; NME= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_i - O_i| / \sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i$

 Figure 1: Modeling domain of PMCAMx-UF for Europe. Red dots show the measurement stations of Birkenes (Norway), Hyytiala (Finland), K-Puszta (Hungary), Aspvreten (Sweden), Vavihill (Sweden), Ispra (Italy), San Pietro Capofiume (Italy), Corsica (France), Patras (Greece), Finokalia (Greece), Thessaloniki (Greece), Mace Head (Ireland), Schneefernerhaus (Germany), Hohenpeissenberg (Germany), Melpitz (Germany) and Waldhof (Germany).

850 **Figure 2:** Ground level average number concentrations (cm⁻³) predicted by the base case 851 simulation during 5 June – 8 July 2012 for: (a) all particles (N_{tot}) ; and particles above (b) 10 nm (*N*10); (c) 50 nm (*N*50); and (d) 100 nm (*N*100). Different color scales are used.

856 **Figure 3:** Ground level average fractional increase (f_{Nx}) of number concentration due to the condensation of organic species predicted during 5 June – 8 July for: (a) all particles (*fN*tot); particles above (b) 10 nm (*fN*10); (c) 50 nm (*fN*50); and (d) 100 nm (*fN*100). Different scales are used.

862 **Figure 4:** Comparison of predicted versus observed particle number concentrations (cm⁻³) above 10, 50 and 100 nm from the 16 measurement stations across Europe during 5 June – 8 July 2012. Each point corresponds to a daily average value. Also shown the 1:1, 2:1 and 1:2 lines.

-
-
-
-
-
-
-

874 **Figure 5:** Average diurnal profiles of particle number concentrations (cm⁻³) above 10 nm in: (a) Hyytiala (Finland); (b) Melpitz (Germany); (c) San Pietro Capofiume (Italy) and (d) Thessaloniki (Greece) during 5 June – 8 July 2012. Red lines correspond to predictions and black symbols to observations.

899 **Figure 8:** Average diurnal profiles of particle number concentrations (cm⁻³) above 100 nm: in (a) Hyytiala (Finland); (b) Melpitz (Germany); (c) San Pietro Capofiume (Italy) and (d) Thessaloniki (Greece) during 5 June – 8 July 2012. Red lines correspond to predictions and black symbols to observations.

 Figure 9: Comparison of predicted PMCAMx-UF (red line) vs. observed (black dots) vertical profiles of averaged particle number concentrations for (a) *N*¹⁰ and (b) *N*¹⁰⁰ of 25 flights over the Po Valley during the PEGASOS campaign.