**Author's Response**

Dear Editor,

We sincerely thank all reviewers for their helpful comments and guidance. We have provided responses to Referee #4 comment below in blue.

Referee #4:

Almost all of my questions have been answered by authors, and I supposed that the manuscript could be published after some minor revisions.

1.The abstract should be rewritten and be more concise.

Response:

Thanks. We have rewritten and shortened the abstract (from 487 words to 300 words) in the revised MS to make it more concise as follows:

'Based on the published literatures and typical profiles from the source library of Nankai University, a total of 3326 chemical profiles of the main primary sources of ambient particulate matter across China from 1987 to 2017, are investigated and reviewed to trace the evolution of their main components and identify the main influencing factors to the evolution. In general, the source chemical profiles are varied with sources and influenced by different sampling methods. The most complicated profiles are likely attributed to coal combustion and industrial emissions. The profiles of vehicle emissions are dominated by organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC), and varied with the changing standards of sulfur and additives in the gasoline and diesel as well as the sampling methods. In addition to sampling methods, the profiles of biomass burning and cooking emissions are also impacted by the biofuel categories and cooking types, respectively. The variations of the chemical profiles of different sources, and the homogeneity of the sub-type source profiles within the same source category were examined with uncertainty analysis and cluster analysis. As a result, a relatively large variation has been found in the source profiles of coal combustion, vehicle emissions, industry emissions and biomass burning, indicating that these sources have the priority to establish the local profiles due to their high uncertainties. The presented results highlight the need for increasing investigation of more specific markers (e.g., isotopes, organic compounds and gaseous precursors) beyond routine measured components to discriminate sources. Although the chemical profiles of main sources have been reported previously in literatures, it should be noted that some of these chemical profiles are out of date currently, which needs to be updated immediately. Additionally, specific focus should be placed on the sub-type of source profiles in the future, especially for local industrial emissions in China.'

2.There still are some grammar faults, which should be corrected.

Response:

Thanks. We have checked the MS again and found some grammar faults, such as grammatical tense, singular & plural faults. All the corrections have been demonstrated in the revision mode of the revised MS followed this response.

3.The marks of the figures are not clear, especially for Figure 2.

Response:

Thanks. We have plotted the figures again in the revised MS to make them clearer.

# Characteristics of the main primary source profiles of particulate matter across China: from 1987 to 2017

Xiaohui Bi, Qili Dai, Jianhui Wu, Qing Zhang, Wenhui Zhang, Ruixue Luo, Yuan Cheng, Jiaying Zhang, Lu Wang, Zhuojun Yu, Yufen Zhang, Yingze Tian, Yinchang Feng*

State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Urban Ambient Air Particulate Matter Pollution Prevention and Control, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300350, China

*Correspondence to*: Yinchang Feng (fengyc@nankai.edu.cn)

## Abstract

Based on the published literatures and typical profiles from the source library of Nankai University, a total of 3326 chemical profiles of the main primary sources of ambient particulate matter across China from 1987 to 2017~~, including coal combustion, industrial emissions, vehicle emissions, fugitive dust, biomass burning and cooking emissions~~, ~~were~~ are investigated and reviewed to trace the evolution of their main components and identify the main influencing factors to the evolution. In general, the source chemical profiles are varied with sources and influenced by different sampling methods. ~~As a result, t~~The most complicated profiles are likely attributed to coal combustion and industrial emissions~~,~~ ~~which are evidently influenced by the decontamination processes and sampling techniques as well as the coal properties and the boiler types~~. The profiles of vehicle emissions are dominated by organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC), and varied with the

changing standards of sulfur and additives in the gasoline and diesel as well as the sampling methods. ~~The profiles of fugitive dust, such as soil dust and road dust, are dominated by the crustal materials and influenced by the sampling methods to some extent.~~ In addition to sampling methods, ~~T~~the profiles of biomass burning and cooking emissions are also impacted ~~mainly~~ by the ~~biomass~~ biofuel categories ~~and sampling methods. As expected, the profiles of cooking emissions are impacted mainly by~~and ~~the~~ cooking types, respectively ~~and materials~~. The variations of the chemical profiles of different sources, and ~~uncertainty analysis and cluster analysis of all these source profiles are conducted to reveal the variations~~ the homogeneity of the ~~different~~ sub-type source profiles ~~in the~~within the same source category ~~and evaluate the differences between source categories~~were examined with uncertainty analysis and cluster analysis. As a result, ~~A~~a relatively large variation has been found~~ed~~ in the source profiles of coal combustion, vehicle emissions, industry emissions and biomass burning, indicating that ~~it is necessary to establish the local profiles for~~ these sources have the priority to establish the local profiles due to their high uncertainties. ~~While the profiles of road dust and soil dust presented a less variation with the stable chemical characteristics among the different profiles in the same category, suggesting that the profiles of these sources could be referenced for the cities in China when such local profiles are not available.~~ The presented results highlight the need for increasing investigation of more specific markers (e.g., isotopes, organic compounds and gaseous precursors) beyond routine measured components to discriminate sources. Although the chemical profiles of main sources have been reported previously in literatures, it should be noted that some of these chemical

profiles are out of date currently, which needs to be updated immediately. Additionally, specific focus should be placed on the sub-type of source profiles in the future, especially for local industrial emissions in China~~, to support the air quality research communities in their efforts to develop high resolution source apportionment for making more effective control strategies~~.

***Keywords***: Source profiles; particulate matter; source apportionment.

## 1. Introduction

In light of preventing us from being exposure to high level of PM, source apportionment technique is a critical tool to help us in quantitative recognition of the source contributions of ambient particulate matter (PM) and developing efficient and cost-effective abatement policy. Given the thousands of PM sources in real-world, localized source information is crucial to accurate source identification and contribution estimation. The physical and chemical characterization of primary sources, termed source profile, is of great importance in the application of receptor models for source apportionment study as it characterizing specific sources from the physicochemical point of view that revealing the signatures of source emissions (Watson, 1984; Bi et al., 2007; Simon et al., 2010; Hopke, 2016). Since the real-world measurement of source samples is costly and tough, many studies used~~ing~~ factor analytical models (source-unknown models, such as positive matrix factorization (PMF), principle component analysis (PCA) etc.) instead of chemical mass balance (CMB) model (source profiles need to be known *a priori*) to estimate source contributions. However, the measurement of sources is essentially a very important basic work to help obtain source signatures and then makes source identification and

apportionment possible. It should be noted that the interpretation of factors deduced from PMF analysis is based on the available source profiles (Shi et al., 2009; Simon et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2017; Hopke, 2016). In addition to source apportionment study, source profiles have also played an important role in calculating source-specific emissions of individual compounds and converting total emissions from sources into the speciated emissions for air quality models, which can further provide effective strategies for environmental management (Reff et al., 2009; Simon et al., 2010).

In the past decades, source profiles of PM from a variety of source types were substantially developed all over the world, especially in USA (Simon et al., 2010), Europe (Pernigotti et al., 2016) and East Asia (Liu et al., 2017). The time evolution of source profiles is partly determined by the source apportionment techniques. In general, the receptor model was developed based on the assumption of mass conservation (Winchester and Nifong, 1971; Miller et al., 1972). A mass balance equation represents that the measured particle mass can be regarded as the linear sum of the mass of all chemical components contributed from several sources (Cooper and Watson, 1980; Watson, 1984). Initially, the mass balance equations were deployed for a couple of specific elements and source types in America (Miller et al., 1972; Hopke, 2016). Elements, ions and carbon materials gradually tend to be the routine chemical species in the source apportionment of PM. With the development of advanced sampling and chemical analysis techniques, more valuable information, such as organic compounds (Schauer and Cass, 2000; Simoneit et al., 1999), isotopic measurement of radiocarbon (Wang et al., 2017), sulfur (Han et al., 2016) and nitrogen (Pan et al., 2016), high-resolution aerosol mass spectra (Zhang et al,

2011) and particle size distribution (Zhou et al., 2004) etc., have been explored to further expand the existing or new profiles. Thesesis information has have been proved to provide source specificity capable of being incorporated into receptor models as new markers (Zheng et al., 2002), constraining source contributions (Amato et al., 2009), and developing new models (Ulbrich et al., 2012; Dai et al., 2019). For example, Dai et al. (2019) developed a size-resolved CMB approach for source apportionment of PM based on the size profiles of sources. The new valuable information improves the performance of source apportionment models to obtain more precise and reliable results.

Since the 1980s, source profile studies were initially implemented in China (Dai et al., 1987). During the past three decades, hundreds of source profiles have been achieved across China (Zhao et al., 2006; Bi et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007; Kong et al., 2011; Kong et al., 2014; Qi et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015; Pei et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2017). These profiles covered more than forty cities and several source types. The main ubiquitous sources of atmospheric PM in China during the past three decades can be roughly divided into coal combustion sources (CC, with sub-type sources of coal-fired power plants, coal-fired industrial boiler and residential coal combustion), vehicle exhaust (VE, gasoline and diesel engines), industrial processes emissions (IE), biomass burning (BB), cooking emissions (CE), fugitive dust (FD, with sub-type sources of soil fugitive dust, construction dust and road dust) and other localized specific sources. These available profiles have filled the gap of the knowledge of source compositions and provided effective markers for the source apportionment studies. However, the current state and potential issues of pre-existing primary source profiles of

PM in China are still unclear, it is time to overview these source profiles along the time line and add more profile knowledge to the atmospheric research community.

In fact, more real-world measured profiles in China were actually not published. A database of particulate source profiles founded by Nankai University contains 2870 profiles measured across China since the 1980s. In this paper, the characteristics and time evolution of the published primary profiles and some typical profiles of particulate matter founded by Nankai University were discussed. To collect the potential published data related to source profiles, a two-round literature search work covering literature from 1980 to 2018 was done in this work. In the first round of searching, two authors are responsible for the same source to ensure every source category has been searched twice independently. The search keywords depend on source category. The following keywords for each source were used individually or in combination. As for *CC* sources, the key words are "coal combustion/coal burning/coal-fired boiler/coal-fired power plant/residential coal" and "source profile/chemical profile/particle composition". The key words for other sources are shown as follows. IE: "industrial emission" and "source profile/chemical profile/particle composition"; VE: "vehicle emission/exhaust emission/traffic emission/diesel engine/truck emission/gasoline engine/on-road vehicle/tunnel experiment/chassis dynamometer/portable emission measurement system" and "source profile/chemical profile/particle composition"; CE: "cooking emission" and "source profile/chemical profile/particle composition"; BB: "biomass burning/bio-fuel boiler" and "source profile/chemical profile/particle composition"; FD: "soil/fugitive dust/crustal material/construction dust/road dust" and "source profile/chemical

profile/particle composition". Papers and dissertations in Chinese on China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and papers in English on the web of science were searched using above keywords, respectively. The duplicated paper was then double-checked and excluded. The papers with topic related to source profiles but without providing any information of real-measured sources were also excluded. For example, papers reported source apportionment results with the use of PMF and CMB but without reporting local profiles were not taken into account. As a result, a total of 193 papers have been collected from these efforts. In the second round of searching, the valid papers with available source profile data and detailed source sampling and chemical analysis methods were counted and used for post-analysis. Finally, ~~a total of 456 published source profiles since the 1980s across China were collected.~~a total of 456 published source profiles, coupled with the database of source profiles (2870 profiles) founded by Nankai University are reviewed in this work.

This review is based on the following ideas. In Section 2.1, we summarized the types and the number of particulate source profiles in China published since the 1980s, and reviewed the technological development of the sampling and chemical analytical methods for source samples. In Section 2.2, the characteristics and time evolutions of the ubiquitous source profiles in China (CC, VE, IE, BB, CE and FD) in terms of the marker species of each main source and the effect of various impact factors on source profiles have been discussed. In section 2.3, the homogeneity of the sources within the same source category and the heterogeneity between different source categories were further investigated by using the coefficient of variation (CV, the standard deviation divided by

the mean) and cluster analysis, respectively. In Section 3, we summarized the main findings and a few issues of current source profiles, as well as the future requirements for the on-going development of source profiles in China.

## 2. Overview of source profiles across China

After literature searching (peer-reviewed papers published in international and Chinese journals), a total of 456 published source profiles since the 1980s across China were collected. In general, all of these profiles were subjectively divided into the above six source categories, with 81 of them ~~were~~ attributed to CC, 67 to IE, 35 to VE, 98 to FD, 36 to CE, and 139 to BB. For the certain aerodynamic sizes, we obtained a total of 306 $PM_{2.5}$ profiles, 123 $PM_{10}$ profiles, and 27 for other sizes. The overview of these profiles are shown in Fig. 1.

These published profiles were detected in different parts of China. In eastern China, there are published profiles of 35 CC (excluded residential coal combustion), 14 IE, 14 VE, 18 BB, 2 CE, and 14 FD; in northern China, there are published profiles of 16 CC, 23 IE, 9 VE, 8 BB, 13 CE, and 62 FD; in western China, there are only profiles of 20 CC; in southern China, there are published profiles of 10 VE, 10 CE, and 5 FD; in central China, there are published profiles of 17 BB. The profiles of residential coal combustion are mainly detected in the regions that have obvious activities of residential coal burning, such as the northern and western China. The region of different parts of China was defined by Zhu et al. (2018).




**Figure 1.** Overview of the published source profiles across China.

## 2.1 Development of sampling and analysis techniques

***Sampling for source emissions***. In the past three decades, the sampling techniques used in the source apportionment research in China have been significantly improved to catch the real-world emissions of particles from various complex primary sources. In the 1980s, CC is the predominant sources of PM in China (Dai et al., 1987). The source measurement of CC was mainly performed by collecting the dust directly from the precipitators. Source samples of FD ~~was~~ were collected from the surface of fugitive dust sources (soil, road dust, et al.) (Dai et al., 1987; Qu, 2013). Apparently, such sampling method cannot catch the real-world emissions from the sources to the ambient air, especially for the CC or other emission sources with humid and high-heat fume. The compositions of the PM in such fume appears to be changing due to the physical condensation and chemical

reactions during their dispersion process in the ambient air. Since the 1970s, dilution tunnel sampling method (DTSM) has been developed to originally obtain source samples from vehicle emissions that could be close to the real compositions from the sources (Hildemann et al., 1989). Subsequently, various dilution tunnels have been developed with different tunnel materials, resident time, dilution ratios, diameter of effective mixing lengths to collect particles emissions from stationary sources (Houck et al., 1982; Smith et al., 1982; Hildemann, 1989). The development and application of such technique in China was after 2000 (Ge et al., 2001; Ge et al., 2004), while it has been widely used nowadays (England et al., 2000; Lind et al., 2003; Ferge et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012).

As for fugitive dust, another problem is to collect the particle samples with certain aerodynamic size from the dust samples. In the 1980s-1990s, the Barco particle size analyzer was used to obtain the size distributions from the source samples (Kauppinen et al., 1991). Due to the low efficiency and potential safety risk of Barco sampler, a new sampling technique called the resuspended chamber (RSM) was developed in the 1990s by Chow et al. (1994), which has been widely used since 2000 in China. This method is capable of obtaining the particle sample with certain aerodynamic sizes from the dust powder collected from the source field. Nowadays, most source samples with the particle aerodynamic size of 2.5 μm or 10 μm of fugitive dust were collected by the resuspended sampling method in China (Ho et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2006). Although the resuspended chamber couldn't completely simulate the real environment, it still is the best available choice for the collection of fugitive dust samples

until now.




**Figure 2.** Share of sampling methods for the samples collection of each source type in China from literatures. DTS denotes dilution tunnel sampling method.

Except for stationary sources, the moving sources like vehicle emissions are gradually becoming the dominant source in megacities of China. A variety of measurement methods for vehicle emissions have been developed over the world, such as directly measurements on the exhaust emissions of on-road vehicle and chassis dynamometer, portable emission measurement system as well as tunnel experiment.

As for biomass burning and residential coal combustion, with the use of DTSM, the measurements involved in different combustion ways. For example, indoor/lab simulation with fuel burned with stove/chamber, and open burning/field measurement. In addition to this, the biomass fuel can be burned in bio-fuel boilers, which is on the way to

industrialize recently.

In the published profiles, 65% coal combustions, 53% industrial emissions, 12 cooking emissions, 43% vehicle emissions, and 37% biomass burning profiles were obtained with DTSM (as shown in Fig. 2).

***Chemical analysis***. The chemical analysis methods have been significantly improved since the 1980s. A typical source profile from literature data usually contains elements (e.g., Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Pb and Zn), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), and water-soluble ions (WSI, e.g., $Cl^-$, $NO_3^-$, $SO_4^{2-}$, $NH_4^+$, $K^+$, $Na^+$, $Mg^{2+}$ and $Ca^{2+}$) in China. Detailed procedures in terms of the establishment of different source profiles are available in previous publications (Chow et al., 1994;Chow et al., 2004;Hou et al., 2008b;Pei et al., 2016).

PM samples collected on Teflon filters were mostly analyzed for elements by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES) or Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer (ICP-AES) in China. In recent years, Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and X Ray Fluorescence were also used, ~~with measurement systems~~which have lower threshold/higher accuracy and quick response, respectively (Tsai et al., 2004). The total carbon (TC) mass is typically determined using thermal ~~and~~ or thermal-optical methods. With the use of thermal/optical carbon analyzer, there are two widely used approaches to divide organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) from TC, named DRI IMPROVE_A and NIOSH 5040, which are operationally defined by the time-temperature protocols, the OC/EC split approaches by optical reflectance/transmittance. (Chow et al., 1994;Ho et al., 2003;Chow et al., 2004;Zhang et al., 2007;Phuah et al.,2009). Quartz fiber filters were normally used for the determination of WSI by different types of Ion Chromatography (IC) with high-capacity cation-exchange column and anion-exchange column (Qi et al., 2015).

Organic ~~T~~tracer species, ~~a unique species~~ that can be used as an indicator of a particular source, play~~ing~~ an important role in estimating source contributions. However, most of the source profiles in China are reported with inorganic species, with only a few studies providing information of organic compounds. Organic tracers are of great value in source apportionment studies, as it provides more source-specific information in addition to inorganic species. For example, leveglucosan is a well-known organic tracer represents for biomass burning (Lee et al., 2008), azzaarenes as markers of inefficient coal combustion (Junninen et al., 2009; Bandowe et al., 2016), sterols, monosaccharide anhydrides and amides as a marker of cooking emissions (Schauer et al., 1999; Schauer et al., 2002; He et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2007a,b; Cheng et al., 2016;). Furthermore, for better discriminating sources, Pb stable isotopes, which are not obviously influenced by ordinary chemical, physical or biological fractionation processes (Gallon et al., 2005; Cheng and Hu, 2010), were determined with an ICP-MS. Additionally, some other isotope measurements, for example radiocarbon (Wang et al., 2017), sulfur (Han et al., 2016), and nitrogen (Pan et al., 2016), as well as natural silicon (Lu et al., 2018), have also been reported to be used as source indicators recently.

The above efforts indicate that the reported source profiles were collected by various sampling methods and chemically analyzed by different instruments, making the source profiles a high uncertainty of comparability. It is necessary to establish standards for the procedures of source sampling, chemical analysis and QA/QC to ensure the representativeness, validation and comparability of source profiles in China.

## 2.2 Characteristics and evolution of source profiles

### 2.2.1 Coal combustion

Coal is the main fuel used in China which has been widely used in coal-fired power plants,

coal-fired industrial boilers and residential household stoves, on average accounted for more than 60% of the total energy consumed in China (CESY,2015). Thus, it was the main cause of air pollution particularly during heating-season in Northern China. The source profiles of CC sources are influenced by many factors, such as coal type and property, boiler/stove type and efficiency, burning conditions (burning rate and fuel loading), decontamination devices etc. (Shen, 2010), making it appears to be the most complicated type among the primary sources. The source profiles of CC in China are mainly consisted of crustal materials, OC, EC, $SO_4^{2-}$ and trace metals, indicating the chemical nature of coal burning.

***Coal-fired power plants***. Within the same sampling method (dilution tunnel sampling method) and the same boiler type, the characteristics of the source profiles of coal-fired power plants equipped with different dust removal and desulfurization facilities are compared (Fig. 3). OC, EC, and $Cl^-$ in the profiles of the electrostatic precipitators (EP) are higher than that in the electric bag compound dust collectors (EBCC), with average values of 0.0289 ± 0.0342, 0.0036 ± 0.0033 g/g and 0.1403 ± 0.1686 g/g, respectively. Higher Ca, $NO_3^-$, $Ca^{2+}$in the source profiles obtained by the EBCC is found as well. Comparing data from different desulfurization facilities (Fig. 3), $SO_4^{2-}$ and Ca in $PM_{2.5}$ profiles from the wet flue gas desulfurization (WFGD) is much higher than that from dry desulfurization (DD). It is reported that $SO_4^{2-}$ is converted from $SO_2$ in the flue gas through a limestone slurry washing reaction and then discharged with the fume (Ma et al., 2015). Ca is also infused in the fume when the flue gas went through the limestone washing process. OC in $PM_{2.5}$ profiles from the WFGD is also higher than that from DD,

suggestinged that the possible conversion of gaseous or liquid organics to the particulate state in the lime slurry. $NH_4^+$, $Na^+$, and $Cl^-$ are also higher in WFGD profiles than that in DD. The formation mechanism of these species in the WFGD needs further investigation.




**Figure 3.** Comparison of $PM_{2.5}$ source profiles collected under different dust removal and desulfurization facilities. EP denotes electrostatic precipitators, EBCC denotes electric bag compound dust collectors, WFGD denotes wet flue gas desulfurization, DD denotes dry desulfurization. Data from the source library of Nankai University) were counted.

To evaluate the impact of different sampling methods on the contents of source profiles, measurements with the coal ash resuspension sampling method (RSM) and the stack gas DTSM were simultaneously used for source sampling at a coal-fired power plant in Wuxi, China were compared. The results of the obtained $PM_{10}$ source profiles are shown in Fig. 4. For RSM, the crustal elements (Si, Mg, Al and Ti) –are significantly higher than

DTSM, while the $SO_4^{2-}$ fraction of DTSM is significantly higher than RSM, reaching 0.1643 g/g. V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb and other trace metal fractions are strongly enriched in DTSM, which is 1.7 to 60.7 times that in RSM, suggesting that these trace metal elements have a low melting point and are easily liquefied or gasified during combustion, and then condensed on the surface of the particles in the flue or after exiting the flue (where small particles have a large specific surface area and are more prone to enrichment) (Dai et al., 1987). The similar results were also reported earlier elsewhere (Meij, 1994; Meij and Winkel, 2004; Zhang et al., 2009b).




**Figure 4**. Characteristics of chemical profiles for $PM_{10}$ emitted from coal-fired power plant obtained by different sampling methods in Wuxi city. RSM and DTSM denote resuspension sampling method and the dilution tunnel sampling method, respectively. Data were from the source library of Nankai University ~~were counted~~.

***Coal-fired industrial boiler.*** The coal-fired industrial boilers are used for providing hot water or steam for industry or municipal heating. These boilers consumed about 1.1 billion tons of coal annually in China, accounting for 25% of the total coal consumption and only have the average capacity of 2.7 MW (ERI, 2013). Comparing with the profiles detected in coal-fired power plants, there are substantial differences in the source profiles of the coal-fired industrial boilers. Fig.–5 shows the difference of the chemical compositions of source profiles between coal-fired industrial boilers with wet desulfurization (IBW) and power plant boilers with wet desulfurization (PPW) with PM samples collected using the same method. Mg, Al, Si, Ca, $SO_4^{2-}$, $NH_4^+$ and OC in the profiles of PPW are higher than that of IBW, which was likely resulted from the combustion efficiency and desulfurization efficiency, as PPW was required to operate with high efficiency of desulfurization by the government while IBW was less under controlled.




**Figure 5.** Average and standard deviation of chemical species in the source profiles of coal-fired industrial boilers equipped with wet desulfurization (IBW) and power plant boilers equipped with wet desulfurization device (PPW), respectively. Data were collected from the source library of

Nankai University.

***Residential Coal Combustion (RCC).*** In 2015, the total amount of coal consumption in mainland China is about 3970.14 Mt with a total of 93.47 Mt coal consumed in residential section (CESY, 2015). RCC is an important source of atmospheric PM in rural area, particularly in heating-season (Duan et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2004). Contrary to industrial furnaces and boilers, coal burned in household stoves has a significant impact on indoor and outdoor air quality in terms of its low thermal efficiency, incomplete combustion and the lack of air pollutant control devices. It was reported that the emission factors of air pollutants for coal burned in household stoves are more than two orders of magnitude higher than those burned in industrial boilers and power plants (Li et al., 2017), thus pollutants emitted from RCC have drawn great concern in recent years.

In general, coals can be classified as anthracite and bituminous coals in the forms of raw chunks and briquettes (Shen, 2015), burn~~ed~~ing with a movable brick or cast-iron stoves that has been used over centuries in China (Shen et al., 2010). There are many real-world measurements on particle emissions from RCC aiming to investigat~~e~~ing its emission nature (Chen et al., 2005). Most studies have rather placed focus on the emission factors than chemical composition as the emission factor of RCC is high uncertain for a given air pollutant. The chemical characteristics of RCC profiles are varied greatly with the sampling techniques. Three decades ago, Dai et al (1987) reported the averaged elemental profile of 15 RCC particle samples in Tianjin in 1985, with the use of Barco analyzer to

cut fly ash (collected from the stack of RCC stove) into particles with aerodynamic diameter less than 12 μm. As expected, this sampling technique resulted in a high fraction of crustal elements in the chemical profile. The resuspension chamber has also been used to cut particle size from coal fly ash. However, the coal fly ash is not the particles emission from stack. Thus, the accuracy of RCC source profile has been improved until the DTSM has been introduced into China. As shown in Fig. 6, the fractions of crustal elements (Mg, Al, Si, Ca, Ti) in the profile measured from coal ash are an order of magnitude higher than that in the RCC profile sampled by using DTSM, while the fraction of sulfate, nitrate and OC are two to three orders of magnitude lower in coal ash ~~$PM_{2.5}$~~.




**Figure 6.** RCC Profiles of $PM_{2.5}$ collected by dilution tunnel sampling method (A, data were collected from available published profiles (Ge et al., 2004; Kong, 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2017; Dai et al., 2019)) and coal fly ash resuspension

sampling method (B, data were collected from Wang et al. (2016)).

Many efforts have been implemented in a national level to reduce pollutants emissions from RCC by introducing improved stoves and cleaner fuels since the 1990s, such as the China National Improved Stove Program (Shen et al., 2015). The highly efficient stove is reported likely has a reduced emission load. Given the limited available data, it is unable to compare the chemical profiles between the lowly and highly efficient stove in this work. It is also reported that the emission factors of air pollutants from RCC varied widely because of the variations in coal type and property, stove type and burning condition (Shen et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 7, $PM_{2.5}$ emissions from the burning of chunk coals have a high fraction of OC, EC, sulfate, nitrate and ammonium, a low fraction of Na, Ca and K ($K^+$) than the burning of honeycomb briquette coals. Generally, OC and sulfur are the predominate species in $PM_{2.5}$ emitted by RCC.




**Figure 7.** RCC profiles of $PM_{2.5}$ emission from chunk coal and honeycomb briquette coals. Data were collected from published data (Ge et al., 2004; Kong, 2014; Liu et al.,

2016; Liu et al., 2017;Yan et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2019).

As we mentioned above, there are many factors that affecting the profiles of coal combustion sources. Therefore, local CC source profiles should be measured in the study area to improve the accuracy and reliability of source apportionment results.

### 2.2.2 Industrial process emissions

The industrial emissions are one of the most important sources in China (Zhu et al., 2018). Particles from industrial emissions are mainly collected using DTSM (53%). The source profiles of industrial emissions could be influenced by several key factors, such as raw materials used in industrial processes, manufacture processes, various sampling methods, different sampling site, control measures taken by different factories and process operating conditions (Watson and Chow, 2001; Kong et al., 2011; Pant and Harrison, 2012; Guo et al., 2017). There are great differences between the source profiles from different industrial sources. Fig. 8 shows the chemical composition of China's main industrial emissions (cement plant, coking plant and steel plant) (Ma et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2015a). For cement industrial sources, Ca, Al, OC and $SO_4^{2-}$ are the most abundant species, with average value more than 0.–10 g/g. For coking industrial sources, $Ca^{2+}$, Al and $SO_4^{2-}$ are elevated while OC displayed a somewhat notable lower level. For steel industrial sources, the highest fraction species are Fe, Si, K and $SO_4^{2-}$ , while $Cl^-$, $Ca^{2+}$, EC and OC showed a lower content less than 0.0010 g/g.

In China, there are many industrial types with different emission characteristics. The

source profiles of industrial emissions are far from being fully understood so far. The profiles of some important industrial sources, such as the glass melt kiln, non-ferrous smelting, and ceramics, are reported rarely and needed further investigation in the future.




**Figure 8**. Characteristics of chemical profiles for particulate matter emitted from industrial emissions. Data from the source library of Nankai University, Zhao et al. (2015), Qi et al. (2015), Ma et al. (2015) and Yan et al. (2016) were counted.

### 2.2.3 Vehicle emissions

Vehicle emissions appears to be the predominant source of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ in urban areas in China, particularly in megacities like Beijing and Shanghai (Cai et al., 2017b; Cui et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2015). It is reported that the contribution of vehicle emissions to $PM_{2.5}$ was in the range of 5% to 34% over China based on receptor models (Zhang et al., 2017b). There are many factors affecting vehicle emissions such as fuel types, vehicle types, emission control technologies, operating conditions, engine performances, sampling

methods and so on (Watson et al., 1990; Chen et al., 2017b; Maricq, 2007). The representativeness of the source profiles of vehicle emissions is often controversial. Fig. 9 summarizes the $PM_{10}$ source profiles of different vehicle types obtained by direct sampling method in China (Chen et al., 2017b). For both diesel and gasoline vehicles, their emission profiles are dominated by OC, EC, $NO_3^-$, $NH_4^+$, $SO_4^{2-}$, Ca, Fe and Zn. The abundance of EC in diesel vehicle exhaust (particularly in heavy-duty diesel vehicle exhaust) is higher than that in gasoline vehicles, which may due to the different combustion completion rates between diesel and gasoline on account of the length of hydrocarbon chains of them (Chen et al., 2017b). Since Mn has been used in the gasoline explosion-proof agent, the fraction of Mn in the particulate matter from the gasoline vehicle emission is higher than that of diesel vehicle.




**Figure 9**. Chemical compositions of source profiles for $PM_{10}$ of different vehicle types obtained by direct sampling method. Data from the source library of Nankai University and Chen et al.

(2017) were counted.

Fig. S1 summarizes the characteristics of chemical profiles for particulate matter emitted from vehicles obtained by different sampling methods. Crustal elements (Si, Al, Ca, Mn) in the chemical profiles obtained by SDSM are higher than that of DSM, which may due to the influence of suspended road dust. $NH_4^+$ and $NO_3^-$ in chemical profiles obtained by DSM are lower than that of SDSM, probably because their precursors are still in the gaseous state when the samples ~~were~~ are collected at a higher temperature by DSM (Kong and Bai, 2013).

The source profiles of the vehicle exhaust also varied with upgrades of the fuel. In China, the oil used for vehicle has been upgraded for five times in the past eighteen years. The evolutions of the fractions of Mn, Pb and $SO_4^{2-}$ in particulate matter emitted by vehicle from the past three decades are shown in the Fig. 10. Pb was a tracer of the gasoline before 2000 while leaded gasoline was banned to be used in mainland China after 2000 (State Council of China, 1998). The standard value of sulfur in the car-used gasoline was 800 μg/g in 2000 and 10 μg/g in 2018 (Guo, 2013). The standard value of Mn was 0.018 g/L in 2000 and only 0.002 g/L in 2018 (Li, 2016). The similar trend could also be found in the standard of diesel in China (Zhang et al., 2009a). All these changes in the oil standard will definitely cause the evolution of source profiles of vehicle exhaust. With the government's request to stop producing, selling and using leaded gasoline, the fraction of Pb in vehicle emissions decreased significantly. In 2005, the fraction of Pb in motor vehicle emissions dropped significantly as compared with 1985 (Dai et al., 1986;Han et

al., 2009). The fraction of Mn is also greatly reduced after 2000 (Bi et al., 2007;Han et al., 2009). Similarly, the fraction of $SO_4^{2-}$ in vehicle emissions also showed a significantly decreasing trend since 2000, indicating a causal relationship with the reduction of sulfur in the car-used gasoline in China.




**Figure 10**. Time series of Mn, Pb and $SO_4^{2-}$ of the particulate matters emitted from vehicles obtained. Data were collected from the source library of Nankai University, Dai et al. (1986), Zhang et al. (2000), Bi et al. (2007), Han et al. (2009), Zhang et al. (2009), Guo et al. (2013), Li et al. (2016).

By comparing the main components of on-road vehicles $PM_{2.5}$ source profiles derived from local studies and EPA SPECIATE database, Xia et al. (2017) found that both the source profiles of motor vehicles in China and the United States were dominated by OC and EC, but with different proportions. In America, the gasoline, ethanol and methanol are added as the aerator, while such oxygen content of gasoline in China is smaller than America, which is an important reason for the difference in the OC content in the

spectrums at home and abroad (Xia et al., 2017). In China, the fraction of $SO_4^{2-}$ is 2.4 times higher than that of foreign motor vehicles (Wang et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2017), which may be related to the higher sulfur content in the fuels (Guo et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016).

### 2.2.4 Fugitive dust

Fugitive dust is founded to be one of the major sources of urban particulate matter (Chow et al., 2003; Kong et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2018), especially in northern cities in China with dry climate and limited precipitation (Shen et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2008). Urban fugitive dust is not only influenced by soil properties with geographic locations, ~~it is actually~~but also the mixture of various dust-related sources. Therefore, fugitive dust is often referred to soil dust, road dust, construction dust (Doskey et al., 1999; Kong et al., 2014). Fugitive dust samples were generally collected by using resuspension chamber.

As shown in Fig. 11, the primary species in soil dust are Si, Al, Ca, with mass fractions ranged from 0.0500 to 0.2010 g/g. Si is the predominant species among the detected elements, followed by Al, Fe, Na and Mg. The main chemical components of road dust are Si, OC and Ca, with fractions ranged from 0.0712 to 0.0855 g/g. Al, Fe and $SO_4^{2-}$ are the relatively lower species (less than 0.0005 g/g) in the chemical profiles of road dust. Si, Ca, Al and Fe are all crustal elements, indicating that the soil dust has a greater impact on the composition of road dust. It also shows that OC and $SO_4^{2-}$ in the source profiles of road dust are higher than that of soil dust, indicating that the road dust is also affected by vehicle emissions or coal combustion and other anthropogenic sources (Ma et al., 2015).

In general, the total water–soluble ions accounts for 0.0248-0.0648 g/g of fugitive dust, ~~suggested~~ suggesting that insoluble matter is not the main component of fugitive dust.




**Figure 11.** Characteristics of chemical profiles for particulate matter emitted from fugitive dust. SD and RD denote soil dust and road dust, respectively. Data were collected from the source library of Nankai University.

Many studies have demonstrated that the ratios of different chemical components can be used as markers for fugitive dust (Alfaro et al., 2003; Arimoto et al., 2004). Kong et al. (2011) found that the Ca/Al ratio of paving road dust affected by construction activities was significantly different from that of soil dust. Zhang et al. (2014) reported that the heavy metals like Zn and Pb capable of being the tracers of urban fugitive dust, as they found Zn/Al and Pb/Al ratios in urban fugitive dust were 1.5 to 5 times those in desert,

Gobi, and loess soil samples. The $NO_3^-/SO_4^{2-}$ ratio has been used to compare the relative importance of stationary sources vs mobile sources. Much higher $NO_3^-/SO_4^{2-}$ ratio of road dust in Hong Kong has been reported by Ho et al. (2003), revealing the more important impact of vehicle emissions on the chemical composition of road dust as compared to coal combustion.

### 2.2.5 Biomass burning

Traditionally, China is an agricultural-based country ~~in the world~~ (Bi et al., 2007). As an effective way to eliminate plant residues, direct combustion by open burning and in domestic stove are the predominant and popular practice during the harvest seasons (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Ni et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014b; Streets et al., 2003). However, it releases a lot of pollutants into ambient air, and consequently impact~~sing~~ air quality, human health and climate (Yao et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017a). Biofuel burned with boilers is also an important subtype of biomass burning (Tian et al., 2017). The wheat straw, corn stalks and rice straw represent 80% of the agricultural combustion in China (Ni et al., 2017), and there are also firewood, soybean and rape. The biofuel types, sampling procedures and burning conditions result in great differences in the levels and chemical properties of PM emissions from biomass burning (Tian et al., 2017; Vicente and Alves, 2018).

Biomass are usually burned in three ways in China, that is open burning (OB), residential stove combustion (RSC), and biofuel boiler burning (BBB). At present, there are two popular ways in the measurements of biomass burning: field combustion experiment

591 (FCE) and laboratory combustion simulation (LCS) (Hays et al., 2005; Li et al., 2014a;
592 Sanchis et al., 2014; De Zarate et al., 2000). Fig. 12 summarizes the biomass burning
593 profiles of $PM_{2.5}$ from three burning styles obtained in China. The samples of biomass
594 boiler exhaust are obtained by resuspension sampling method. The main components in
595 the profiles of biomass burning are OC, EC, $K^+$, $Cl^-$, K and Ca (Fig. 12). The fraction of
596 EC is 4.2 times higher in BBB than RSC, which is potentially due to the uneven mixing of
597 the air in the biomass boiler that easy to make straw burning in anaerobic condition (Tian
598 et al., 2017). The high EC emissions can also happen if high temperature flaming burning
599 condition was dominant in the BBB. The oxygen content is relatively sufficient in OB,
600 which leads to relatively higher OC emission. The fraction of Ca was higher in BBB
601 exhaust than OB (Fig. 12). For specific components emissions from the biomass burning,
602 EC emissions from firewood combustion was the highest, which is likely due to the high
603 combustion temperature and flaming dominance burning condition, and the higher content
604 of lignin in wood (Tang et al., 2014), since lignin facilitates the formation of black carbon
605 (Wiinikka and Gebart, 2005).
606




**Figure 12**. Major chemical compositions of $PM_{2.5}$ source profiles of biomass burning. Data were collected from the source library of Nankai University.

Chen et al. (2007) investigated the particulate emissions from wildland fuels burning in a laboratory combustion facility in the U.S., and found the percentage of total carbon (TC) of PM was 63.7% ~ 100%, which was higher than that in China (4.9%~68%). K (0.4%~23.7%), Cl (0.1%~9.6%) and S (0.1%~2.9%) were important part of the remaining PM mass in the U.S, which is different from China due to the different biomass categories and combustion processes.

### 2.2.6 Cooking emissions

With the economic growing, the cooking styles and types of food ingredients on the table are becoming increasingly diverse. Since the 1990s, the variety of ingredients and cooking styles was also influenced by the foreign food culture. As China is famous for its

abundance of food culture, the cooking styles are varied with different regions, even in different cities. Thus, cooking is undoubtedly an important local source of ambient particles. Given that there is no ubiquitous source profile for cooking emission, it is better to measure source profile of cooking emissions in real-world in the study area. As one of the essential cooking ingredients in the food and beverage industry, the types of edible oils are changing in recent years (Pei et al., 2016). Soybean oil, rapeseed oil and peanut oil are common edible oils for public dining in China. Due to changes in consumer demand, other types of edible oils, such as olive oil, camellia oil and flaxseed oil, have also been increasingly welcomed by the catering industry. Furthermore, Chinese-style cooking is characterized by high temperature stir-frying that releasing much more organic matter than the cooking style of western food (Zhao et al., 2007b).

The chemical nature of $PM_{2.5}$ emitted from commercial cooking were investigated in many studies, with source profiles varied greatly with different factors such as cooking styles, cooking foods, seed oils and fuel (He et al., 2004;Zhao et al., 2007b;Hou et al., 2008b;Zhao et al., 2015b;Pei et al., 2016). Robinson et al. (2006) found that the contribution of cooking emission to OC in $PM_{2.5}$ calculated by chemical mass balance model using different source profiles yielded a difference by a factor of more than nine.

Previous studies found~~ed~~ that organic matter accounted for 66.9 % of the total suspended particles (TSP) mass emitted from cooking activities (Zhao et al., 2015b). OC is the major constituent and accounted for 36.2%~42.9% of the total mass, while the fraction of EC is much lower. Several water-soluble ions measured in the fine particles presented a relatively lower but a noticeable percentage~~s~~, which made up of about 9.1%~17.5% of the

total $PM_{2.5}$ mass (Anwar et al., 2004). Inorganic elements are found to be 7.3%~12.0% of the total $PM_{2.5}$ mass due to their greater presence in cooking oil and raw materials (He et al., 2004).

Fig. 13 shows the $PM_{2.5}$ chemical profiles of cooking emissions including hot pot, Chinese restaurant, barbecue and cafeteria (See and Balasubramanian, 2006; Taner et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017a). For elements, on average, the most abundant element~~s~~ in cooking profiles is Al, followed by Ca and Fe. The high levels of Ca and Fe are probably emitted from raw material and cooking utensils (See and Balasubramanian, 2006; Taner et al., 2013). The high level of Cr, originated from stainless steel grills, was observed in a barbeque restaurant (Taner et al., 2013). Overall, OC is the most abundant species in the profiles of cooking emissions.




**Figure 13.** $PM_{2.5}$ Chemical profiles of cooking emissions. Data from the source library of Nankai University, Zhang et al. (2017), See et al. (2006) and Taner et al. (2013) were counted.

Organic matter (OM) is the predominant species in $PM_{2.5}$ emitted from cooking activities (He et al., 2004; Hou et al., 2008a; Pei et al., 2016). Many organic compounds, including n-alkanes, dicarboxylic acids, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), saturated fatty acids and unsaturated fatty acids, were quantified in the above ~~mentioned~~ studies. Fig. 14 shows the fractions of main organic compounds in the quantified OM emission from residential cooking (Zhao et al., 2015b) and commercial cooking (Pei et al., 2016). Among the quantified organic compounds, the predominant species is unsaturated fatty acids (49.4%-77.8%), followed by saturated fatty acids (25.1%-43.8%).




**Figure 14**. Proportions of major organic compounds in quantified OM emission from commercial cooking (Pei et al., 2016) and residential cooking (Zhao et al., 2015b) .

In addition, except for biomass burning, many studies have reported that the levoglucosan

was also founded in the emissions from residential coal combustion (Yan et al., 2017) and a variety of Chinese and western cooking styles (He et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2007a, b). Pei et al. (2016) also found Italian cooking style released the smallest amount of monosaccharide anhydrides and the largest amount of cholesterol due to the lower ratio of vegetables to meat used in the Italian cooking than Chinese cooking materials. Malay cooking released higher PAHs concentrations than the Chinese and India methods (See et al., 2006). Deep frying emitted more PAHs than other cooking methods because of the higher temperature and more oil used during cooking. As far as we know, molecular markers used for cooking included levoglucosan, galactosan and cholesterol (He et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2007a, b) while cholesterol can be regarded as the best marker for meat cooking (Schauer et al., 1999; Schauer and Cass, 2000; Schauer et al., 2002).

### 2.3 Statistical analysis of the source categories

The chemical profile of a given source category is always established from profiles of several similar sources belonging to this category. Non-negligible uncertainties would be introduced in this process. To evaluate such uncertainties, the coefficient of variation (CV, the standard deviation divided by the mean) is used in this section to further characterize the homogeneity of sources within the same source category (Fig. 15).

The values of CV above three (Pernigotti et al., 2016) are observed in coal combustion, industry emissions and biomass burning, indicating these source profiles shows ~~a~~ great variations due to the effects of their influencing factors as described in above sections. The profiles of road dust and soil dust showed ~~a~~ less variations with stable chemical

characteristics among the different profiles in the same category. However, the responses of source profiles to various impact factors are different (Fig. 15(~~a~~b)-(~~e~~d)). For example, the sampling methods have a notable effect on the source profile of coal combustion (the variation of coal combustion source profiles obtained by resuspension sampling is greater than that by DTSM), while the desulfurization methods have ~~little~~ smaller impact.

Since source profiles owned local characteristic, it is important and necessary to establish and update local source profiles to reveal the real situation of source emissions (Zhang et al., 2017b; Zhu et al., 2018). However, local source profiles are not always available in some developing areas in the case of limited funds and instruments. According to the above statistical results, it can be inferred that the profiles of road dust and soil dust could be references for the cities in China without such local profiles, while it is necessary to establish the local profiles of the industrial emissions, vehicle emissions, coal combustion, and biomass burning.




**Figure 15.** Coefficients of variation calculated for each source category. SD denotes soil dust, RD

711 denotes road dust, VE denotes vehicle emissions, CE denotes cooking emissions, CC denotes coal
712 combustion, IE denotes industrial emissions, BB denotes biomass burning, WFGD denotes wet
713 flue gas desulfurization, DD denotes dry desulfurization, DTSM denotes the dilution tunnel
714 sampling method, RSM denotes resuspension sampling method, FCE denotes field combustion
715 experiment, LCS denotes laboratory combustion simulation.
716
717 In order to investigate the similarity of the real-world measured source profiles with
718 homogeneous chemical signature, cluster analysis was applied to the collected data by
719 using the package R pvclust (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006; Pernigotti et al., 2016). The
720 significance test was performed with resampling the data via bootstrap method. This
721 function is expected to assign each cluster an approximated unbiased (AU) p-value by
722 hierarchic clustering (Shimodaira, 2002). Details on the operation steps of this method
723 are~~is~~ discussed earlier by Pernigotti et al. (2016). The input source profiles involved in the
724 cluster calculation must contain more than two common chemical species, including
725 elements, ions and OC/EC. In order to reduce the interference of different particle sizes,
726 we used 226 source profiles of $PM_{2.5}$ for the calculation. The result of cluster analysis and
727 additional information of the source profiles are shown in Fig. 16 and Table S1. As shown
728 in Fig. 16, clusters are marked if the AU p-value $\geqslant$ 90 (values were reported in red). It
729 shows that the source profiles are divided into (1) biomass burning, (2) and (4) coal
730 combustion, (3) industrial emission, (5) soil dust, (6) road dust, (7) cooking emissions and
731 (8) vehicle emissions. These subjectively measured profiles are successfully classified by
732 objectively method based on their chemical nature, though there are some different

733 sources mixed up (Fig. 16). This result indicates that the routine measured components
734 are not enough to distinguish all the source categories when ~~in the case of~~ the chemically
735 co-linear sources exist. Both the source profiles of cooking and vehicle emissions are
736 characterized by high OC, which makes them easy to be identified as the same source
737 type. The chemical collinearity of the source composition between coal combustion and
738 dust also makes it difficult to be distinguished. To solve the chemical co-linearity problem
739 between sources, more specific tracers, especially organics should be further explored.




**Figure 16.** Result of cluster analysis on the profiles. AU p-values are reported in red as %.

## 3. Conclusion

The chemical profiles of main sources of particulate matter have been established in China since the 1980s. With the development of sampling and analysis techniques, the dataset of source profiles has been gradually enlarged and could to able to reflect the real emissions of the sources to the ambient air. A total of 456 published source profiles, coupled with the database of source profiles (2870 profiles) founded by Nankai University are reviewed in this work. Six source categories include coal combustion, industrial process emissions, vehicle emissions, fugitive dust, biomass burning and cooking emissions are investigated to characterize sources in chemical nature and explore the main factors that influencing the chemical composition.

In general, coal combustion is the most complicated source in all source categories as it is influenced by many factors from the fuel combustion processes to pollution-controlling processes. Sulfate is the predominant species of fine particles emissions from coal combustion stationary sources equipped with wet flue gas desulfurization device, and is also the second largest species in fine particles emissions from residential coal combustion. The source profiles of industrial emissions are mainly determined by the components of the industrial products and its pollution-controlling techniques. With the changing standards of gasoline and diesel oil since the 1980s, Pb and Mn are no longer the tracers of emission from the gasoline vehicles. OC and EC are always the dominant species of vehicle emissions from the 1980s despite the changing standards. The profiles of the fugitive dust including the road dust and soil dust are characterized by the high levels of crustal elements, such as Si, Al and Ca. The profiles of the biomass burning are

764 determined by the biomass categories and the different combustion phases (smoldering
765 and flaming), with $K^+$ and levoglucosan to be the common tracers. As for cooking
766 emissions, the source profiles of the emissions from the different cooking types were all
767 dominated by OC.
768 The result of uncertainty analysis showed that the relatively large variation in the source
769 profiles of industry emissions, vehicle emissions, coal combustion and biomass burning,
770 calling for establishing the local profiles for these sources due to their high uncertainties.
771 While the profiles of road dust and soil dust present a less variation, suggesting that the
772 profiles of these sources could be referenced for the cities in China when the local profiles
773 are not available. Since source profiles owned local characteristic, it is important and
774 necessary to establish local source profiles to reveal the real situation of source emissions
775 and update it immediately.
776 The result of cluster analysis on the routine measured species of source profiles suggested
777 that some of the sources are difficult to be distinguished (cooking emissions vs vehicle
778 emissions), indicating that more chemical tracers, such as the isotopes and organic
779 compounds, should be further explored in the source profiles to reduce the collinearity
780 among different source profiles. Current source profile database is still missing some
781 important source categories that have significant impacts on the air quality, and lacking
782 sufficient source profiles, especially for the industrial emissions, such as the glass melt
783 kiln, nonferrous metal smelting, bricks and tiles kiln. Thus, specific focus should be
784 placed on these important but overlooked sources in the future.
785

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.2016YFC0208501)) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China. The authors thank Jing Ding, Xian Ma, Jiamei Yang, Tingkun Li, Jinsheng Zhang, Xin Du, Baoshuang Liu, Ming Zhou and other students in our research group for their assistances in the source sampling and post-chemical analysis related to this work.

## References

Alfaro, S. C., Gomes, L., Rajot, J. L., Lafon, S., Gaudichet, A., Chatenet, B., Maille, M., Cautenet, G., Lasserre, F., Cachier, H., and Zhang, X. Y.: Chemical and optical characterization of aerosols measured in spring 2002 at the ACE-Asia supersite, Zhenbeitai, China, J Geophys Res-Atmos., 108, Artn 864110.1029/2002jd003214, 2003.

Amato, F., Pandolfi, M., Escrig, A., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Pey, J., Perez, N., and Hopke, P. K.: Quantifying road dust resuspension in urban environment by Multilinear Engine: a comparison with PMF2, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2770–2780，2009.

Andreae, M. O., and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Global Biogeochem. Cycles., 15, 955-966, Doi 10.1029/2000gb001382, 2001.

Anwar, F., Kazi, T. G., Saleem, R., and Bhanger, M. I.: Rapid determination of some trace metals in several oils and fats, Grasas Aceites., 55, 160-168, 2004.

Arimoto, R., Zhang, X. Y., Huebert, B. J., Kang, C. H., Savoie, D. L., Prospero, J. M., Sage, S. K., Schloesslin, C. A., Khaing, H. M., and Oh, S. N.: Chemical composition of atmospheric aerosols from Zhenbeitai, China, and Gosan, South Korea, during ACE-Asia, J Geophys Res-Atmos., 109, Artn D19s0410.1029/2003jd004323, 2004.

Bandowe, B. A. M., Meusel, H., Huang, R., Hoffmann, T., Cao, J., and Ho, K.: Azaarenes in fine particulate matter from the atmosphere of a Chinese megacity, Environ. Sci. Pollu. Res., 23, 16025-16036, 10.1007/s11356-016-6740-z, 2016.

Bi, X. H., Feng, Y. C., Wu, J. H., Wang, Y. Q., and Zhu, T.: Source apportionment of

PM10 in six cities of northern China, Atmos. Environ., 41, 903-912, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.09.033, 2007.

Bi, X., Simoneit, B. R. T., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Characterization of molecular markers in smoke from residential coal combustion in China, Fuel, 87, 112-119, 10.1016/j.fuel.2007.03.047, 2008.

Cai, T. Q., Zhang, Y., Fang, D. Q., Shang, J., Zhang, Y. X., and Zhang, Y. H.: Chinese vehicle emissions characteristic testing with small sample size: Results and comparison, Atmospheric Pollution Research., 8, 154-163, 10.1016/j.apr.2016.08.007, 2017b.

Cao, J. J., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Wu, F., Han, Y. M., Jin, Z. D., Shen, Z. X., and An, Z. S.: Size-differentiated source profiles for fugitive dust in the Chinese Loess Plateau, Atmos Environ., 42, 2261-2275, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.12.041, 2008.

Cao, J. J., Shen, Z. X., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Lee, S. C., Tie, X. X., Ho, K. F., Wang, G. H., and Han, Y. M.: Winter and Summer PM2.5 Chemical Compositions in Fourteen Chinese Cities, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 62, 1214-1226, 10.1080/10962247.2012.701193, 2012.

Chen, L. W. A., Moosmuller, H., Arnott, W. P., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Susott, R. A., Babbitt, R. E., Wold, C. E., Lincoln, E. N., and Hao, W. M.: Emissions from laboratory combustion of wildland fuels: Emission factors and source profiles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 4317-4325, 10.1021/es062364i, 2007.

Chen, J. M., Li, C. L., Ristovski, Z., Milic, A., Gu, Y. T., Islam, M. S., Wang, S. X., Hao, J. M., Zhang, H. F., He, C. R., Guo, H., Fu, H. B., Miljevic, B., Morawska, L., Thai, P., Fat, L. A. M. Y., Pereira, G., Ding, A. J., Huang, X., and Dumka, U. C.: A review of biomass burning: Emissions and impacts on air quality, health and climate in China, Sci Total Environ., 579, 1000-1034, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.025, 2017a.

Chen, P. L., Wang, T. J., Dong, M., Kasoar, M., Han, Y., Xie, M., Li, S., Zhuang, B. L., Li, M. M., and Huang, T. N.: Characterization of major natural and anthropogenic source profiles for size-fractionated PM in Yangtze River Delta, Sci. Total Environ., 598, 135-145, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.106, 2017b.

Chen, Y. J., Bi, X. H. ,Mai, B. X., Sheng, G. Y., Fu, J. M.: Emission characterization of particulate/gaseous phases and size association for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

843 from residential coal combustion, FUEL., 83, 781-790, 2004.
844 Chen, Y., Sheng, G., Bi, X., Feng, Y., Bixian Mai, A., and Fu, J.: Emission Factors for
845 Carbonaceous Particles and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from Residential Coal
846 Combustion in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 1861-1867, 2005.
847 Cheng, H. F., and Hu, Y. A.: Lead (Pb) isotopic fingerprinting and its applications in
848 lead pollution studies in China: A review, Environ. Pollut., 158, 1134-1146,
849 10.1016/j.envpol.2009.12.028, 2010.
850 Cheng, S. Y., Wang, G., Lang, J. L., Wen, W., Wang, X. Q., and Yao, S.:
851 Characterization of volatile organic compounds from different cooking emissions, Atmos.
852 Environ., 145, 299-307, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.037, 2016.
853 Cheng, Y., Engling, G., He, K. B., Duan, F. K., Ma, Y. L., Du, Z. Y., Liu, J. M., Zheng,
854 M., and Weber, R. J.: Biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Atmos. Chem.
855 Phys., 13, 7765-7781, 10.5194/acp-13-7765-2013, 2013.
856 China Energy Statistical Yearbook, 2015.
857 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Houck, J. E., Pritchett, L. C., Rogers, C. F., Frazier, C. A.,
858 Egami, R. T., and Ball, B. M.: A laboratory resuspension chamber to measure fugitive dust
859 size distributions and chemical compositions, Atmos. Environ., 28, 3463-3481, 1994.
860 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Ashbaugh, L. L., and Magliano, K. L.: Similarities and
861 differences in PM10 chemical source profiles for geological dust from the San Joaquin
862 Valley, California, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1317-1340, 10.1016/S1352-2310(02)01021-X,
863 2003.
864 Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Kuhns, H., Etyemezian, V., Lowenthal, D. H., Crow, D.,
865 Kohl, S. D., Engelbrecht, J. P., and Green, M. C.: Source profiles for industrial, mobile,
866 and area sources in the Big Bend Regional Aerosol Visibility and Observational study,
867 Chemosphere., 54, 185-208, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.07.004, 2004.
868 Cooper, J. A., and Watson, J. G.: Receptor oriented methods of air particulate source
869 apportionment. J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc., 30, 1116–1125, 1980.
870 Cui, M., Chen, Y. J., Tian, C. G., Zhang, F., Yan, C. Q., and Zheng, M.: Chemical
871 composition of PM2.5 from two tunnels with different vehicular fleet characteristics, Sci.
872 Total Environ., 550, 123-132, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.077, 2016.

873 Dai, Q. L., Bi, X. H., Huangfu, Y. Q., Yang, J. M., Li, T. K., Jahan, Z. K., Song, C. B.,
874 Xu, J., Wu, J. H., Zhang, Y. F., Feng, Y. C.: A size-resolved chemical mass balance
875 (SR-CMB) approach for source apportionment of ambient particulate matter by single
876 element analysis, Atmos. Environ., 197, 45–52, 2019.
877 Dai, S. G., Zhu, T., Zeng, Y. S., Fu, X. Q., and Miao, Y. M.: Source apportionment for
878 Tianjin urban aerosol in heating season(in Chinese), Chinese Environmental Science., 6,
879 24-30, 1986.
880 Dai, S. G., Zhu, T., Zeng, Y. S., Fu, X. Q., Liao, Y. M.: Composition characteristics of
881 industrial and residential coal smoke in Tianjin, Environmental Science., 8, 18-23, 1987.
882 Dai, S. G., Zhu, T., Zeng, Y. S., Fu, X. Q., and Miao, Y. M.: Study on the chemical
883 characteristics of industrial and commercial coal in Tianjin(in Chinese), Environmental
884 Sciences., 4, 18-23, 10.13227/j.hjkx.1987.04.004, 1987.
885 De Zarate, I. O., Ezcurra, A., Lacaux, J. P., and Van Dinh, P.: Emission factor
886 estimates of cereal waste burning in Spain, Atmos. Environ., 34, 3183-3193, 2000.
887 Doskey, P. V., Fukui, Y., Sultan, M., Al Maghraby, A., and Taher, A.: Source profiles
888 for nonmethane organic compounds in the atmosphere of Cairo, Egypt, J. Air Waste
889 Manage. Assoc., 49, 814-822, Doi 10.1080/10473289.1999.10463850, 1999.
890 Duan X, Jiang Y, Wang B, et al. Household fuel use for cooking and heating in China:
891 Results from the first Chinese Environmental Exposure-Related Human Activity Patterns
892 Survey (CEERHAPS), Applied Energy., 136: 692-703, 2014.
893 Energy Research Institute of China (ERI), Roadmap Study on Achieving Technical
894 Energy Conservation Potential in China's Industrial Sector by 2020, China Scientific
895 Technology Press, Beijing, 2013.
896 England, G. C., Zielinska, B., Loos, K.: Characterizing PM2.5 emission profiles for
897 stationary sources: comparison of traditional and dilution sampling techniques, Fuel
898 Process. Technol., 65, 177-188, 2000.
899 Ferge, T., Maguhn, J., Felber, H., and Zimmermann, R.: Particle collection efficiency
900 and particle re-entrainment of an electrostatic precipitator in a sewage sludge incineration
901 plant, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 1545-1553, 2004.
902 Gallon, C. L., Tessier, A., Gobeil, C., and Beaudin, L.: Sources and chronology of

atmospheric lead deposition to a Canadian Shield lake: Inferences from Pb isotopes and PAH profiles, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 69, 3199-3210, 10.1016/j.gca.2005.02.028, 2005.

Ge, S., Bai, Z. P., and Liu, W. L.: Boiler briquette coal versus raw coal: Part I-stack gas emissions, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 51, 524-533, 2001

Ge, S., Xu, X., and Chow, J, C.: Emissions of Air Pollutants from Household Stoves: Honeycomb Coal versus Coal Cake, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 4612-4618, 2004

Guo, S.: Status analysis and development suggestions of upgrading gasoline quality in China(in Chinese), Petroleum Products Application Research., 31, 4-11, 2013.

Guo, Y. Y., Gao, X., Zhu, T. Y., Luo, L., and Zheng, Y.: Chemical profiles of PM emitted from the iron and steel industry in northern China, Atmos. Environ., 150, 187-197, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.055, 2017.

Han, B., Feng, Y. C., Bi, X. H., Xue, Y. H., Wu, J. H., Zhu, T., Ding, J. Q., and Du, Y. X.: Source Apportionment of Ambient PM10 in Urban Area of Wuxi City (in Chinese), Research of Environmental Sciences., 22, 37-41, 10.13198/j.res.2009.01.37.hanb.005, 2009.

Han, X. K., Guo, Q. J., Liu, C. Q., Fu, P. Q., Strauss, H., Yang, J. X., Hu, J., Wei, L. F., Ren, H., Peters, M., Wei, R. F., and Tian, L.Y.: Using stable isotopes to trace sources and formation processes of sulfate aerosols from Beijing, China, Sci Rep., 6, ARTN 2995810.1038/srep29958, 2016.

Hays, M. D., Fine, P. M., Geron, C. D., Kleeman, M. J., and Gullett, B. K.: Open burning of agricultural biomass: Physical and chemical properties of particle-phase emissions, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6747-6764, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.072, 2005.

He, L. Y., Hu, M., Huang, X. F., Yu, B. D., Zhang, Y. H., and Liu, D. Q.: Measurement of emissions of fine particulate organic matter from Chinese cooking, Atmos. Environ., 38, 6557-6564, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.08.034, 2004.

Hildemann, L. M., Cass, G. R., and Markowski, G. R.: A Dilution Stack Sampler for Collection of Organic Aerosol Emissions: Design, Characterization and Field Tests, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 10, 193-204, 1989.

Ho, K. F., Lee, S. C., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.: Characterization of PM10 and

933 PM2.5 source profiles for fugitive dust in Hong Kong, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1023-1032,
934 2003.
935 Hopke, P. K.: Review of receptor modeling methods for sourceapportionment, J Air
936 Waste Manage. Assoc., 66, 237-259, 10.1080/10962247.2016.1140693, 2016.
937 Hou, X. M., Zhuang, G. S., Lin, Y. F., Li, J., Jiang, Y. L., and Fu, J. S.: Emission of
938 fine organic aerosol from traditional charcoal broiling in China, J. Atmos. Chem., 119-131,
939 10.1007/s10874-009-9128-3, 2008b.
940 Houck, J. E., Cooper, J. A., and Larson, E. R.: Paper No. 82-61M.2 presented at 75th
941 Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, June 20-25, New Orleans, 1982.
942 Junninen, H., Monster, J., Rey, M., Cancelinha, J., Douglas, K., Duane, M., Forcina,
943 V., Muller, A., Lagler, F., Marelli, L., Borowiak, A., Niedzialek, J., Paradiz, B.,
944 Mira-Salama, D., Jimenez, J., Hansen, U., Astorga, C., Stanczyk, K., Viana, M., Querol,
945 X., Duvall, R. M., Norris, G. A., Tsakovski, S., Wahlin, P., Horak, J., and Larsen, B. R.:
946 Quantifying the Impact of Residential Heating on the Urban Air Quality in a Typical
947 European Coal Combustion Region, Environ Sci Technol, 43, 7964-7970,
948 10.1021/es8032082, 2009.
949 Kauppinen, E. I., Lind, T. M., Eskelinen, J. J., Jokiniemi, J. K., Maenhaut, W.,
950 Royset, O., Vadset, M., Vilokki, H., and Kuivalainen, R.: Aerosols from circulating
951 fluidized bed coal combustion, J. Aerosol Sci, 22, S467–S470, 1991.
952 Kong, S. F., Ji, Y. Q., Lu, B., Chen, L., Han, B., Li, Z. Y., and Bai, Z. P.:
953 Characterization of PM10 source profiles for fugitive dust in Fushun-a city famous for
954 coal, Atmos. Environ., 45, 5351-5365, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.050, 2011.
955 Kong, S. F., and Bai, Z. P.: Progress on the Composition Profiles for Particulate
956 Matter from Vehicle Emission in Source Apportionment (in Chinese), Environ. Sci.
957 Technol., 10, 26-33, 10.3969/j.issn.1003-6504.2013.10.005, 2013.
958 Kong, S. F., Ji, Y. Q., Lu, B., Zhao, X. Y., Han, B., and Bai, Z. P.: Similarities and
959 Differences in PM2.5, PM10 and TSP Chemical Profiles of Fugitive Dust Sources in a
960 Coastal Oilfield City in China, Aerosol Air Qual Res., 14, 2017-U2291,
961 10.4209/aaqr.2013.06.0226, 2014.
962 Lee, J. J., Engling, G., Lung, S. C. C., and Lee, K. Y.: Particle size characteristics of

963 levoglucosan in ambient aerosols from rice straw burning, Atmos. Environ., 42,
964 8300-8308, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.047, 2008.
965 Li, G. H.: Contents of Sulfur and Hydrocarbons in Commercial Available Gasoline
966 and Diesel Oils Sold in China (in Chinese), University of Chinese Academy of Sciences.,
967 25-28, 2016.
968 Li, J., Song, Y., Mao, Y., Mao, Z., Wu, Y., Li, M., Huang, X., He, Q., and Hu, M.:
969 Chemical characteristics and source apportionment of PM2.5 during the harvest season in
970 eastern China's agricultural regions, Atmos. Environ., 92, 442-448, 2014a.
971 Li, J. F., Song, Y., Mao, Y., Mao, Z. C., Wu, Y. S., Li, M. M., Huang, X., He, Q. C.,
972 and Hu, M.: Chemical characteristics and source apportionment of PM2.5 during the
973 harvest season in eastern China's agricultural regions, Atmos. Environ., 92, 442-448,
974 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.058, 2014b.
975 Li, Q., Jiang, J. K., Wang, S. X., Krassi, R., Ryan, M. H., Lidia, M., and Hao, J. M.:
976 Impacts of household coal and biomass combustion on indoor and ambient air quality in
977 China: Current status and implication, 576, 347-361, 2017.
978 Li, X., Wang, S., Duan, L., Hao, J., and Nie, Y.: Carbonaceous aerosol emissions
979 from household biofuel combustion in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 6076-6081,
980 2009.
981 Lind, T., Hokkinen, J., Jokiniemi, J. K., Saarikoski, S., and Hillamo, R.: Electrostatic
982 precipitator collection efficiency and trace element emissions from co-combustion of
983 biomass and recovered fuel in fluidized-bed combustion, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37,
984 2842-2846, 2003.
985 Liu, B. S., Yang, J. M., Yuan, J., Wang, J., Dai, Q. L., Li, T. K., Bi, X. H., Feng, Y.C.,
986 Xiao, Z. M., Zhang, Y. F., Xu, H.: Source apportionment of atmospheric pollutants based
987 on the online data by using PMF and ME2 models at a megacity, China. Atmospheric
988 Research 185, 22-31, 2017.
989 Liu, J., Mauzerall, D. L., Chen, Q., Zhang, Q., Song, Y., Peng, W., Klimont, Z., Qiu,
990 X. H., Zhang, S. Q., Hu, M., Lin, W. L., Smith, K. R., and Zhu, T.: Air pollutant emissions
991 from Chinese households: A major and underappreciated ambient pollution source, P Natl
992 Acad Sci USA., 113, 7756-7761, 10.1073/pnas.1604537113, 2016.

Liu, Y. Y., Zhang, W. J., Bai, Z. P., Yang, W., Zhao, X. Y., Han, B., and Wang, X. H.: China Source Profile Shared Service (CSPSS): The Chinese PM2.5 Database for Source Profiles, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 17, 1501-1514, 10.4209/aaqr.2016.10.0469, 2017.

Lu, D. W., Liu, Q., Yu, M., Yang, X. Z., Fu, Q., Zhang, X. S., Mu, Y. J., and Jiang, G. B.: Natural Silicon Isotopic Signatures Reveal the Sources of Airborne Fine Particulate Matter, Environ Sci Technol, 52, 1088-1095, 10.1021/acs.est.7b06317, 2018.

Ma, Z., Liang, Y., Zhang, J., Zhang, D., Shi, A., Hu, J., Lin, A., Feng, Y., Hu, Y., and Liu, B.: PM2.5 profiles of typical sources in Beijing (in Chinese), Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 35, 4043-4052, 10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2015.0584, 2015.

Maricq, M. M.: Chemical characterization of particulate emissions from diesel engines: A review, J. Aerosol Sci., 38, 1079-1118, 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2007.08.001, 2007.

Meij, R.: Trace element behavior in coal-fired power plants, Fuel Process. Technol., 39, 199-217, 1994.

Meij, R., and Winkel, H. T.: The emissions and environmental impact of PM10 and trace elements from a modern coal-fired power plant equipped with ESP and wet FGD, Fuel Process. Technol., 85, 641-656, 10.1016/j.fuproc.2003.11.012, 2004.

Miller, M. S., Friedlander, S. K., and Hidy, G. M.: A chemical element balance for the Pasadena aerosol, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 39, 165-176, 10.1016/0021-9797(72)90152-X, 1972.

Ni, H. Y., Tian, J., Wang, X. L., Wang, Q. Y., Han, Y. M., Cao, J. J., Long, X., Chen, L. W. A., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Huang, R. J., and Dusek, U.: PM2.5 emissions and source profiles from open burning of crop residues, Atmos. Environ., 169, 229-237, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.063, 2017.

Pan, Y. P., Tian, S. L., Liu, D. W., Fang, Y. T., Zhu, X. Y., Zhang,Q., Zheng, B., Michalski, G., and Wang, Y. S.: Fossil Fuel Combustion-Related Emissions Dominate Atmospheric Ammonia Sources during Severe Haze Episodes: Evidence from N-15-Stable Isotope in Size-Resolved Aerosol Ammonium, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 8049-8056,10.1021/acs.est.6b00634, 2016.

Pant, P., and Harrison, R. M.: Critical review of receptor modelling for particulate matter: A case study of India, Atmos. Environ., 49, 1-12, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.060,

2012.

Pei, B., Cui, H. Y., Liu, H., and Yan, N. Q.: Chemical characteristics of fine particulate matter emitted from commercial cooking, Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering, 10, 559-568, 10.1007/s11783-016-0829-y, 2016.

Pernigotti, D., Belis, C. A., and Spano, L.: SPECIEUROPE: The European data base for PM source profiles, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 7, 307-314, 10.1016/j.apr.2015.10.007, 2016.

Phuah, C. H., Peterson, M. R., Richards, M. H., Turner, J. R., Dillner, A. M.: A Temperature Calibration Procedure for the Sunset Laboratory Carbon Aerosol Analysis Lab Instrument, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 43, 1013-1021, 2009.

Qi, K., L., D. C., Feng, Y., and Yang, L.: Establishment and analysis of PM2.5 industrial source profiles in Shijiazhuang City (in Chinese), Hebei Journal of Industrial Science and Technology, 32, 78-84, 10.7535/hbgykj.2015yx01014, 2015.

Qu, Z.: Setup of the component spectrum for source apportionment of PM2.5 in Urban Atmosphere (in Chinese), Jilin University, 2013.

Reff A., Bhave P.V., Simon H., Pace T.G., Pouliot G.A., Mobley J.D., Houyoux M.: Emissions inventory of PM2.5 trace elements across the United States, Environ. Sci. and Tech., 43, 5790-5796, 2009.

Robinson, A. L., Subramanian, R., Donahue, N. M., Bernardo-Bricker, A., and Rogge, W. F.: Source apportionment of molecular markers and organic aerosol. 3. Food cooking emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 7820-7827, 10.1021/es060781p, 2006.

Sanchis, E., Ferrer, M., Calvet, S., Coscolla, C., Yusa, V., and Cambra-Lopez, M.: Gaseous and particulate emission profiles during controlled rice straw burning, Atmos. Environ., 98, 25-31, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.062, 2014.

Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 1. C-1 through C-29 organic compounds from meat charbroiling, Environ. Sci. Tech., 33, 1566-1577, Doi 10.1021/Es980076j, 1999.

Schauer, J. J., and Cass, G. R.: Source apportionment of wintertime gas-phase and particle-phase air pollutants using organic compounds as tracers, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 1821-1832, DOI 10.1021/es981312t, 2000.

Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of

emissions from air pollution sources. 4. C-1-C-27 organic compounds from cooking with seed oils, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 567-575, 10.1021/es002053m, 2002.

See, S. W., and Balasubramanian, R.: Risk assessment of exposure to indoor aerosols associated with Chinese cooking, Environ. Res., 102, 197-204, 10.1016/j.envres.2005.12.013, 2006.

See, S. W., Karthikeyana, S., and Balasubramanian, R.: Health risk assessment of occupational exposure to particulate-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons associated with Chinese, Malay and Indian cooking, J. Environ. Monit., 8, 369-376, 10.1039/b516173h, 2006.

Shen, G. F.: Quantification of emission reduction potentials of primary air pollutants from residential solid fuel combustion by adopting cleaner fuels in China, J. Environ. Sci., 37, 1-7, 2015.

Shen, G. F., Yang, Y. F., Wang, W., Tao, S., Zhu, C., Min, Y. J., Xue, M. A., Ding, J. N., Wang, B., Wang, R., Shen, H. Z., Li, W., Wang, X. L., and Russell, A.G.: Emission Factors of Particulate Matter and Elemental Carbon for Crop Residues and Coals Burned in Typical Household Stoves in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 7157-7162, 2010.

Shen, G. F., Chen, Y. C., Xue, C. Y., Lin, N., Huang, Y., Shen, H. Z., Wang, Y. L., Li, T. C., Zhang, Y. Y., Su, S., Huang, F., Y. B., Zhang, W. H., Chen, X. F., Liu, G. Q., Liu, W. X., Wang, X. L., Wong, M .H., Tao, S.: Pollutant Emissions from Improved Coal- and Wood-Fuelled Cookstoves in Rural Households, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 6590-6598, 2015.

Shen, Z. X., Sun, J., Cao, J. J., Zhang, L. M., Zhang, Q., Lei, Y. L., Gao, J. J., Huang, R. J., Liu, S. X., Huang, Y., Zhu, C. S., Xu, H. M., Zheng, C. L., Liu, P. P., and Xue, Z. G.: Chemical profiles of urban fugitive dust PM2.5 samples in Northern Chinese cities, Sci. Total Environ., 569, 619-626, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.156, 2016.

Shi, G. L., Li, X., Feng, Y. C., Wang, Y. Q., Wu, J. H., Li, J., Zhu, T.: Combined source apportionment, using positive matrix factorization-chemical mass balance and principal component analysis/multiple linear regression-chemical mass balance models. Atmos. Environ. 43, 2929–2937, 2009.

Shimodaira, H.: An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection, Syst

Biol., 51, 492-508, 10.1080/10635150290069913, 2002.

Simon, H., Beck, L., Bhave, P. V., Divita, F., Hsu, Y., Luecken, D., Mobley, J. D., Pouliot, G. A., Reff, A., Sarwar, G., and Strum, M.: The development and uses of EPA's SPECIATE database, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 1, 196-206, 10.5094/Apr.2010.026, 2010.

Simoneit, B. R. T., Schauer, J. J., Nolte, C. G., Oros, D. R., Elias, V. O., Fraser, M.P., Rogge, W.F., Cass, G.R.: Levoglucosan, a tracer for cellulose in biomass burning and atmospheric particles, Atmos. Environ., 33, 173–182, 1999.

Smith, W. B., Cushing, K. M., Johnson, J. W., Parsons, C. T., Williamson, A. D., and Wilson, R. R., Jr.: EPA-600/7-80-036 (PB82-249897). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, 1982.

State Council, Notice by the State Council of the People's Republic of China on the deadline to stop the use and sales of leaded gasoline for vehicles, 129, 1998.

Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Woo, J. H., and Carmichael, G. R.: Biomass burning in Asia: Annual and seasonal estimates and atmospheric emissions, Global Biogeochem. Cycles., 17, Artn 109910.1029/2003gb002040, 2003.

Suzuki, R., and Shimodaira, H.: Pvclust: an R package for assessing the uncertainty in hierarchical clustering, Bioinformatics., 22, 1540-1542, 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl117, 2006.

Taner, S., Pekey, B., and Pekey, H.: Fine particulate matter in the indoor air of barbeque restaurants: Elemental compositions, sources and health risks, Sci. Total Environ., 454, 79-87, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.018, 2013.

Tang, X. B., Huang, C., Lou, S. R., Qiao, L. P., Wang, H. L., Zhou, M., Chen, M. H., Chen, C. H., Wang, Q., Li, G. L., L, L., Huang, H. Y., and Zhang, G. F.: Emission Factors and PM Chemical Composition Study of Biomass Burning in the Yangtze River Delta Region (in Chinese), Environ. Sci., 35, 1623-1632, 10.13227 /j.hjkx.2014.05.001, 2014.

Tao, S., Ru, M. Y., Du, W., Zhu, X., Zhong, Q. R., Li, B. G., Shen, G. F., Pan, X. L., Meng, W.J., Chen, Y. L., Shen, H. Z., Lin, N., Su, S., Zhuo, S. J., Huang, T. B., Xu, Y., Yun, X., Liu, J. F., Wang, X. L., Liu, W. X., Cheng, H. F., Zhu, D. Q.: Quantifying the rural residential energy transition in China from 1992 to 2012 through a representative national survey, Nature Energy, 2018.

1113 Tian, Y. Z., Chen, J. B., Zhang, L. L., Du, X., Wei, J. J., Fan, H., Xu, J., Wang, H. T.,
1114 Guan, L., Shi, G. L., and Feng, Y. C.: Source profiles and contributions of biofuel
1115 combustion for PM2.5, PM10 and their compositions, in a city influenced by biofuel
1116 stoves, Chemosphere., 189, 255-264, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.09.044, 2017.
1117 Tsai, J., Owega, S., Evans, G., Jervis, R., Fila, M., Tan, P., and Malpica, O.: Chemical
1118 composition and source apportionment of Toronto summertime urban fine aerosol (PM
1119 2.5 ), J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 259, 193-197, 2004.
1120 Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Cubison, M. J., Zhang, Q., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A.
1121 C., and Jimenez, J. L.: Three-dimensional factorization of size-resolved organic aerosol
1122 mass spectra from Mexico City, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 195–224, 2012.
1123 U.S.EPA: SPECIATE 4.4, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington D.C.,
1124 2014.
1125 Vicente, E. D., and Alves, C. A.: An overview of particulate emissions from
1126 residential biomass combustion, Atmos. Res., 199, 159-185,
1127 10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.08.027, 2018.
1128 Wang, G., Lang，J.L., Cheng, S. Y., Yao, S., and Wang, X. Q.: Characteristics of
1129 PM2.5 and hydrocarbon emitted from heavy-duty diesel vehicle, China Environ. Sci., 35,
1130 3581-3587, 2015.
1131 Wang, X. L., Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., Kohl, S. D., Chen, L. W. A., Sodeman, D. A.,
1132 Legge, A. H., and Percy, K. E.: Measurement of Real-World Stack Emissions with a
1133 Dilution Sampling System, Alberta Oil Sands: Energy, Industry and the Environment,
1134 Developments in Environmental Science, 11, 171-192, 2012.
1135 Wang, X. P., Zong, Z., Tian, C. G., Chen, Y. J., Luo, C. L., Li, J.,Zhang, G., and Luo,
1136 Y. M.: Combining Positive Matrix Factorization and Radiocarbon Measurements for
1137 Source Apportionment of PM2.5 from a National Background Site in North China, Sci
1138 Rep., 7, ARTN 1064810.1038/s41598-017-10762-8, 2017.
1139 Wang, Z., Guo, J, and Chen, Z.: Analysis of the source componential spectrum of
1140 PM2.5 emission in Guiyang, Journal of Safety and Environment., 16, 346-351, 2016.
1141 Watson, J. G.: Overview of receptor model principles. J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc., 34,
1142 619–623, 1984.

Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., Pritchett, L. C., Houck, J. A., Ragazzi, R. A., and Burns, S.: Chemical source profiles for particulate motor vehicle exhaust under cold and high altitude operating conditions, Sci. Total Environ., 93, 183-190, 1990.

Watson, J. G., and Chow, J. C.: Source characterization of major emission sources in the Imperial and Mexicali Valleys along the US/Mexico border, Sci. Total Environ., 276, 33-47, Doi 10.1016/S0048-9697(01)00770-7, 2001.

Wiinikka, H., and Gebart, R.: The influence of fuel type on particle emissions in combustion of biomass pellets, Combust. Sci. Technol., 177, 741-763, 10.1080/00102200590917257, 2005.

Winchester, J. W., and Nifong, G. D.: Water pollution in Lake Michigan by trace elements from pollution aerosol fallout, Water Air Soil Pollut., 1, 50-64, 10.1007/BF00280779, 1971.

Xia, Z. Q., Fan, X. L., Huang, Z. J., Liu, Y. C., Yin, X. H., Ye, X., and Zheng, J. Y.: Comparison of domestic and foreign PM2.5 source profiles and influence on air quality simulation(in Chinese), Research of Environmental Sciences., 30, 359-367, 10.13198/j.issn.1001-6929.2017.01.55, 2017.

Yan,C.Q.,Zheng,M.,Bosch,C.,Andersson,A.,Desyaterik,Y.,Sullivan,A.P.,Collett,J.L., Zhao,B., Wang,S.X.,He,K.B., Gustafsson,O.: Important fossil source contribution to brown carbon in Beijing during winter, Sci. Rep.,7,43182,2017.

Yan, D. J., J., L. S., Huang, X. M., Wang, Y., and Xu, Y.: Effects of SO2 and H2O on the SCR activity of the Mn-Ce /TiO2 catalyst at low temperatures, Journal of Safety and Environment., 16, 312-319, 10.13637/j.issn.1009-6094.2016.05.059, 2016.

Yan,Q.,Kong,S.F.,Liu,H.B.,Wang,W.,Wu,J.,Zheng,M.M.,Zheng,S.R.,Yang,G.W.,Wu, F.Q.:Emission inventory of water soluble ions in fine particles from residential coal burning in China and implication for emission reduction,China Environmental Science,37,3708-3721,2017.

Yao, H., Song, Y., Liu, M. X., Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., McFiggans, G., Xu, T. T., Du, P., Li, J. F., Wu, Y. S., Hu, M., Zhao, C., and Zhu, T.: Direct radiative effect of carbonaceous aerosols from crop residue burning during the summer harvest season in East China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5205-5219, 10.5194/acp-17-5205-2017, 2017.

Zhang D.,Y.: Development of gasoline production & realization of unlead gasoline in China, Petroleum Products Application Research., 2000(2):1-2 (in Chinese).

Zhang, J., He, K. B., Ge, Y. S., and Shi, X. Y.: Influence of fuel sulfur on the characterization of PM10 from a diesel engine, Fuel., 88, 504-510, 10.1016/j.fuel.2008.09.001, 2009a.

Zhang, J. J., and Smith, K. R.: Household air pollution from coal and biomass fuels in China: Measurements, health impacts, and interventions, Environ. Health Perspect., 115, 848-855, 2007.

Zhang, N., Han, B., He, F., Xu, J., Zhao, R. J., Zhang, Y. J., and Bai, Z. P.: Chemical characteristic of PM2.5 emission and inhalational carcinogenic risk of domestic Chinese cooking, Environ. Pollut., 227, 24-30, 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.033, 2017a.

Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Ulbrich, I. M., Ng, N. L., Worsnop, D. R., and Sun, Y. L.: Understanding atmospheric organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass spectrometry: a review, Anal Bioanal Chem, 401, 3045-3067, 2011.

Zhang, Q., Shen, Z. X., Cao, J. J., Ho, K. F., Zhang, R. J., Bie, Z. J., Chang, H. R., and Liu, S. X.: Chemical profiles of urban fugitive dust over Xi'an in the south margin of the Loess Plateau, China, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 5, 421-430, 10.5094/Apr.2014.049, 2014.

Zhang, Y., Sheesley, R. J., Schauer, J. J., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., and Edney, E. O.: Source apportionment of primary and secondary organic aerosols using positive matrix factorization (PMF) of molecular markers, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5567-5574, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.047, 2009b.

Zhang, Y. J., Cai, J., Wang, S. X., He, K. B., and Zheng, M.: Review of receptor-based source apportionment research of fine particulate matter and its challenges in China, Sci Total Environ, 586, 917-929, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.071, 2017b.

Zhang, Y. X., Shao, M., Zhang, Y. H., Zeng, L. M., He, L. Y., Zhu, B., Wei, Y. J., and Zhu, X. L.: Source profiles of particulate organic matters emitted from cereal straw burnings, J Environ Sci-China, 19, 167-175, Doi 10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60027-8, 2007.

Zhang, Y. Z., Yao, Z. L., Shen, X. B., Liu, H., and He, K. B.: Chemical characterization of PM2.5 emitted from on-road heavy-duty diesel trucks in China, Atmos. Environ., 122, 885-891, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.014, 2015.

Zhao, L., Zhang, D., Zhou, Z. E., Ren, L. H., Yin, B. H., and Yuan, R.: A study on emission characteristics of particulate matters from typical industrial combustion sources in Chongqing city, Journal of Environmental Engineering Technology., 5, 447-454, 10.3969/j.issn.1674-991. 2015.06.071, 2015a.

Zhao, P. S., Feng, Y. C., Zhu, T., and Wu, J. H.: Characterizations of resuspended dust in six cities of North China, Atmos. Environ., 40, 5807-5814, 2006.

Zhao, X. Y., Hu, Q. H., Wang, X. M., Ding, X., He, Q. F., Zhang, Z., Shen, R. Q., Lu, S. J., Liu, T. Y., Fu, X. X., and Chen, L. G.: Composition profiles of organic aerosols from Chinese residential cooking: case study in urban Guangzhou, south China, J. Atmos. Chem., 72, 1-18, 10.1007/s10874-015-9298-0, 2015b.

Zhao, Y. L., Hu, M., Slanina, S., and Zhang, Y. H.: The molecular distribution of fine particulate organic matter emitted from Western-style fast food cooking, Atmos. Environ., 41, 8163-8171, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.06.029, 2007a.

Zhao, Y. L., Hu, M., Slanina, S., and Zhang, Y. H.: Chemical compositions of fine particulate organic matter emitted from Chinese cooking, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 99-105, 10.1021/es0614518, 2007b.

Zheng, M., Cass, G.R., Schauer, J.J., and Edgerton, E.S.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 in the southeastern United States using solvent-extractable organic compounds as tracers, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 2361–2371, 2002.

Zhou, L.M., Kim, E., Hopke, P.K., Stanier, C.O., and Pandis, S.: Advanced factor analysis on Pittsburgh particle size-distribution data. Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38, 118–132, 2004.

Zhou, N., Zeng, L. M., Yu, X. N., Fu, L. L, and Shao, M.: The design and field test of a dilution tunnel for stationary sources. Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae., 26(5), 764-772, 2006.

Zhu, Y. H., Huang, L., Li, J. Y., Ying, Q., Zhang, H. L., Liu, X. G., Liao, H., Li, N., Liu, Z. X., Mao, Y. H., Fang, H., and Hu, J. L.: Sources of particulate matter in China: Insights from source apportionment studies published in 1987-2017, Environ Int., 115, 343-357, 10.1016/j.envint.2018.03.037, 2018.