Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-655-RC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

# Interactive comment on "A study of long-range transported smoke aerosols in the Upper Troposphere/Lower Stratosphere" by Qiaoyun Hu et al.

### Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 4 August 2018

### GENERAL COMMENT

The paper presents an interesting study on long-range transported smoke aerosols, originated in Canada wildfires, in the UTLS (Upper Troposphere/Lower Stratosphere) over Europe detected at several EARLINET stations in summer 2017 in combination with satellite observations. Optical depth at 532 nm from 0.05 to above 0.20 were detected, with very weak spectral dependence. Other particle microphysical properties like Lidar ratio and particle depolarization ratios suggest the presence of aged smoke likely with complicated morphology. The retrieved aerosol properties allowed the computation of the direct radiative forcing (DRF) effect originated in the UTLS aerosol lay-

Printer-friendly version

**Discussion paper** 



ers and the radiative heating rates in this layers that are coherent with the observed radiosonde temperature profiles. The paper is worthy to be published in ACP having in mind that evidences the capabilities of a lidar network focused on tropospheric research in obtaining valuable information on the UTLS aerosols. For this purpose both the advanced instrumentation and the analytical tools used are crucial. The paper is well written and offers valuable information for the reader. Nevertheless the clarification of some points will enhance the quality of the paper.

#### PARTICULAR COMMENTS

In this work it is especially relevant to get information on the accuracy and uncertainties of the retrievals. The AOD retrievals and the lidar ratio retrievals are clearly related in the analysis procedure used. In this sense, the approach followed for the computation of the UTLS AOD and Lidar ratio with the fixed lidar systems is stated and details on the error propagation and discussion on the accuracy and uncertainty of the retrievals is presented. Nevertheless, some points require additional clarification. Thus, concerning the discussion on the error propagation, in Page 7, I have a question: Are the authors assuming the absence of errors in the molecular part? Having in mind the impact of an accurate thermodynamic profile on this assumption I do not see any information on the thermal profile used. Furthermore, although the computation of the uncertainties is applied in the analysis sections, it would be worthy to include some quantitative information concerning the final uncertainties of the UTLS AOD and UTLS lidar ratio retrievals in the last paragraph in section 3.1.1.

In the case of the MAMS lidar retrievals there are additional limitations and the issue of accuracy and uncertainty is particularly relevant. Thus, in spite of the auxiliary use of its data, it is necessary to include additional discussion on the reduced accuracy of this retrievals.

Considering the uncertainty in the AOD retrievals the AOD spectral dependence will present a large uncertainty that requires additional discussion.

## **ACPD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



More details about the procedure used in GARRLIC for the computation of the aerosol DRF and the UTLS layer heating rates must be provided.

Minor changes

The references on the EARLINET network must include a recent reference that updates the features of the network: Pappalardo, G., Amodeo, A., Apituley, A., Comeron, A., Freudenthaler, V., Linné, H., Ansmann, A., Bösenberg, J., D'Amico, G., Mattis, I., Mona, L., Wandinger, U., Amiridis, V., Alados-Arboledas, L., Nicolae, D., and Wiegner, M.: EARLINET: towards an advanced sustainable European aerosol lidar network, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 2389-2409, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-2389-2014, 2014.

Please consider the following reformulation of the statement on Page 6 Line 2 "at this temperature clouds consist mainly of ice crystals"

In order to increase the clarity of the test include the following changes in the first paragraph of section 3.1.1: Substitute:" The integral of the extinction coefficient over the UTLS layer, expressed below, is compared with the pre-calculated optical depth" by "The UTLS AOD is calculated by the integral of the extinction coefficient over the UTLS layer, expressed below". And after equation (2) reformulate the statements: "This pre-calculated optical depth is derived from the elastic channel at 355 and 532 nm. The method is widely used in cirrus clouds studies (Platt, 1973; Young, 1995)." as follows" by "This derived value of AOD is compared with the pre-calculated optical depth obtained from the elastic channel at 355 and 532 nm using a method widely used in cirrus clouds studies (Platt, 1973; Young, 1995)."

In page 7 Line 7 change: "We calculate the signal mean within a window of 0.5 km..." by "We calculate the lidar signal mean within a window of 0.5 km..."

In page 9 line 13 consider changing "intervals" by "periods".

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-655, 2018.