



#### Towards a satellite – in situ hybrid estimate for organic aerosol 1 abundance 2

- 3
- Jin Liao<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas F. Hanisco<sup>1</sup>, Glenn M. Wolfe<sup>1,3</sup>, Jason St. Clair<sup>1,3</sup>, Jose L. Jimenez<sup>4,5</sup>, 4
- 5
- Pedro Campuzano-Jost<sup>4,5</sup>, Benjamin A. Nault<sup>4,5</sup>, Alan Fried<sup>6</sup>, Eloise A. Marais<sup>7</sup>, Gonzalo Gonzalez Abad<sup>8</sup>, Kelly Chance<sup>8</sup>, Hiren T. Jethva<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas B. Ryerson<sup>9</sup>, Carsten Warneke<sup>9,5</sup>, Armin Wisthaler<sup>10,11</sup> 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 <sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamic Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight
- 10 Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA
- 11 <sup>2</sup>Universities Space Research Association, GESTAR, Columbia, MD, USA
- <sup>3</sup>University of Maryland Baltimore County, Joint Center for Earth Systems 12
- 13 Technology, Baltimore, MD, USA
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA 14
- 15 <sup>5</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in the Environmental Sciences, University of
- 16 Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 17 <sup>6</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder,
- 18 Boulder, Colorado, USA
- <sup>7</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, 19
- 20 UK

<sup>8</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

- 21 <sup>9</sup>NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL), Chemical Sciences Division,
- 22 Boulder, CO, USA
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway 23
- 24 <sup>11</sup>Institute for Ion Physics and Applied Physics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck,
- 25 Austria
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29 Correspondence email: jin.liao@nasa.gov





# 30 Abstract

31	Organic aerosol (OA) is one of the main components of the global particulate burden and
32	intimately links natural and anthropogenic emissions with air quality and climate. It is
33	challenging to accurately represent OA in global models. Direct quantification of global
34	OA abundance is not possible with current remote sensing technology; however, it may
35	be possible to exploit correlations of OA with remotely observable quantities to infer OA
36	spatiotemporal variability. In particular, formaldehyde (HCHO) and OA share common
37	sources via both primary emissions and secondary production from oxidation of volatile
38	organic compounds (VOCs). We examine OA-HCHO correlations using data from
39	summer-time airborne campaigns investigating biogenic (NASA SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS and DC3),
40	biomass burning (NASA SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS) and anthropogenic conditions (NOAA CalNex and
41	NASA KORUS-AQ). In situ OA correlates well with HCHO ( $r = 0.59 - 0.97$ ) but the
42	slope and intercept of this relationship vary with chemical regime. For biogenic and
43	anthropogenic regions, the OA-vs-HCHO slope is higher in low NO <sub>x</sub> conditions, where
44	HCHO yields are lower and aerosol yields are likely higher. The OA-vs-HCHO slope of
45	wild fires is more than 9 times higher than that associated with biogenic and
46	anthropogenic sources. An estimate of near-surface OA is derived by combining
47	observed in situ relationships with HCHO column retrievals from NASA's Ozone
48	Monitoring Instrument (OMI). We evaluate this OA estimate against OA observations
49	from the US EPA IMPROVE network and simulated OA from the GEOS-Chem global
50	chemical transport model. The OA estimate compares well with IMPROVE data obtained
51	over summer months (e.g. slope = $0.62$ , r = $0.56$ for August 2013), comparable to
52	intensively validated GEOS-Chem performance (e.g. slope = $0.57$ , r = $0.56$ ) and superior





- to the correlation with satellite-derived total aerosol extinction (r = 0.41). Improving the
- 54 detection limit of satellite HCHO and expanding in situ airborne HCHO and OA
- 55 coverage in future missions will improve the quality and spatiotemporal coverage of this
- 56 OA estimate, potentially enabling constraints on the global OA distribution.





# 57 1. Introduction

58

59 Aerosols are the largest source of uncertainty in climate radiative forcing (IPCC 2013; 60 Carslaw et al., 2013) and also decrease atmospheric visibility and impact human health 61 (Pope 2002). Organic aerosols (OA) comprise a large portion ( $\sim$ 50%) of submicron 62 aerosols (Jimenez et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2006; Shrivastava et al., 2017), and this 63 fraction will grow with continued decline in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Attwood et al., 2014; Marais 64 et al., 2017; Ridley et al., 2018). In addition, OA affect cloud formation and climate 65 radiative forcing such as the inclusion of phase-separation effects from organic material into cloud droplet activation thermodynamics in climate models can lead to important 66 67 changes in cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentrations (Ovadnevaite et al., 2017). 68 OA components also have adverse health effects (e.g. Walgraeve et al., 2010) and 69 contribute significantly to regional severe haze events (e.g. Hayes et al., 2013). Finally, 70 because the response of temperature to changes in climate forcing is non-linear (Taylor 71 and Penner, 1994) and the forcing by aerosols has strong regional character (Kiehl and 72 Briegleb, 1993), it is necessary to separate out different climate forcing components to 73 accurately forecast the climate response to changes in forcing.

74

It is challenging to accurately represent OA in global models. Chemical transport models (CTMs) often under-predict OA (e.g., more than 2 times less near the ground) compared to observations, and model-to-model variability can exceed a factor of 100 in the free troposphere (Tsigaridis et al., 2014; Heald et al., 2008; Heald et al., 2011). Fully explicit mechanisms (e.g. Lee-Taylor et al., 2015) attempt to capture the full OA chemical formation mechanisms, but many reaction rate constants and yields lack laboratory





81 validation. In addition, it is too computationally expensive to apply these mechanisms to 82 OA formation in global CTMs at a useful resolution. For computational efficiency, 3-D 83 models such as GEOS-Chem include direct emissions of primary OA (POA) and either 84 represents secondary OA (SOA) formation by lumping SOA products according to 85 similar hydrocarbon classes (Kim et al., 2015) or based on the volatility of the oxidation products (Pye et al., 2010). Marais et al. (2016) applied an aqueous phase mechanism for 86 87 SOA formation from isoprene in GEOS-Chem to reasonably simulate isoprene SOA in 88 the southeast US, but this is only one location and it is likely that isoprene SOA is not the 89 dominant source of SOA in summer (Hu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018). Accurate 90 emission inventories are also needed to correctly represent volatile organic compounds 91 (VOCs) and NO<sub>x</sub> (NO<sub>x</sub> = NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) inputs, and these often have biases compared to 92 observational constraints (Kaiser et al., 2018, Travis et al., 2016, Anderson et al., 2014; 93 McDonald et al., 2018).

94

95 A quantitative measure of OA from space would be very helpful for verifying emissions 96 and aerosol processes in models. However, direct measurements of OA from space are currently unavailable. Aerosol optical depth (AOD) measured by satellite sensors 97 98 provides a coarse but global picture of total aerosol distributions. MISR provides aerosol 99 property information such as size, shape and absorbing properties, which allows 100 retrieving AOD of a subset of aerosols when AOD is above a certain threshold (Kahn and 101 Gaitley, 2015). Classification algorithms have been developed to speciate different 102 aerosol types such as OA based on AOD, extinction Angstrom exponent, UV Aerosol 103 Index, and trace gas columns from satellite instruments (de Vries et al., 2015).





105	Formaldehyde (HCHO) is one of the few VOCs that can be directly observed from space.
106	Sources emitting POA (e.g., biomass burning (BB)) often simultaneously release VOCs.
107	HCHO and SOA are also both produced from emitted VOCs. VOCs, as well as semi- and
108	intermediate- volatility organic compounds (S/IVOCs), are oxidized by hydroxyl radicals
109	(OH) to form peroxy radicals (RO <sub>2</sub> ), which then react with NO, RO <sub>2</sub> , hydroperoxy
110	radicals (HO <sub>2</sub> ) or isomerize. These oxidation processes produce HCHO and oxidized
111	organic compounds with low volatility that condense to form SOA (Robinson et al.,
112	2007; Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). The yield of HCHO and SOA from hydrocarbon
113	oxidation varies, depending on the VOC precursors, oxidants (OH, $O_3$ and $NO_3$ ), $RO_2$
114	reaction pathway (e.g. NO levels), and pre-existing aerosol abundance and properties
115	(Wolfe et al., 2016; Pye et al., 2010; Marais et al., 2016 and 2017; Xu et al., 2016).
116	Although the lifetime of HCHO (1-3 hrs) is shorter than OA (1 week), HCHO continues
117	to form from slower reacting VOCs, as well as from the oxidation of later generation
118	products. Observations across megacities around the world show that OA formation in
119	polluted/urban area happens over about 1 day (e.g. DeCarlo et al., 2010; Hodzic and
120	Jimenez, 2010; Hayes et al., 2013; 2015), and HCHO is also significantly formed over
121	this timescale (Nault et al., in preparation). Veefkind et al. (2011) found that satellite
122	AOD correlated with HCHO over the summertime Southeast US, BB regions, and
123	Southeast Asian industrialized regions. This also suggests that OA, a major contributor to
124	AOD in the above cases (Jimenez et al., 2009), and HCHO share common emission
125	sources and photochemical processes. Marais et al. (2016) further used the relationship





- 126 between aircraft OA and satellite HCHO to evaluate GEOS-Chem representation of SOA
- 127 mass yields from biogenic isoprene in the Southeast US.
- 128
- We present an OA surface mass concentration estimate (OA estimate) derived from a combination of satellite HCHO column observations and in situ OA and HCHO relationships. The detection limit of satellite HCHO column observations limit the quality of OA estimate, thus we are focusing on summer time when HCHO levels are high. The OA estimate is evaluated against OA measurements at ground sites. A 3-D model GEOS-Chem OA simulation is also shown for comparison.
- 135

#### 136 **2.** Methods

#### 137 **2.1 In situ airborne observations**

138 Figure 1 shows flight tracks of field campaigns used in the current study. The Studies of 139 Emissions, Atmospheric Composition, Clouds and Climate Coupling by Regional Surveys (SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS) mission (Toon et al., 2016) covered the continental US with a focus 140 141 on the southeast US in August-September 2013. The Deep Convective Clouds & 142 Chemistry Experiment (DC3) (Barth et al., 2015) surveyed the central and southeast US 143 in May-June 2012 while targeting isolated deep convective thunderstorms and mesoscale 144 convective systems. The California Research at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate 145 Change (CalNex) (Ryerson et al., 2013) investigated the California region in May-June 146 2010, targeting the Los Angeles (LA) Basin and Central Valley. The Korea-United States 147 Air Quality Study (KORUS-AQ) studied South Korean air quality, including sampling 148 many large urban areas in South Korea and continental Asian outflow over the West Sea,





- in May-June 2016 (https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/missions/korus-aq/). KORUS-AQ only
  includes data with longitude < 133° E to exclude the transit from US because it targeted</li>
  South Korea and the nearby region. These field campaigns were selected as they had
  recent high-quality in situ HCHO and OA data measured with state-of-the-art instruments
  and studied summertime regional tropospheric chemical composition.
- 154
- 155 In situ airborne HCHO observations were acquired by multiple instruments. The DC3 156 NASA DC-8 payloads featured two HCHO measurements: the NASA In Situ Airborne 157 Formaldehyde (ISAF) (Cazorla et al., 2015) and the Difference Frequency Generation 158 Absorption Spectrometer (DFGAS) (Weibring et al., 2006). The SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS NASA DC-8 159 payloads also featured two HCHO measurements: the NASA ISAF and the Compact Atmospheric Multispecies Spectrometer (CAMS) (Richter et al., 2015). HCHO 160 161 measurements from ISAF were found to be in good agreement with CAMS, with a 162 correlation coefficient of 0.99 and a slope of 1.10 (Zhu et al., 2016). Because ISAF has 163 higher data density, we used ISAF HCHO data for DC3 and SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS. During KORUS-164 AQ, CAMS was the only HCHO instrument onboard the DC-8. In CalNex a proton 165 transfer reaction mass spectrometer (PTRMS) (Warneke et al., 2011) was used to 166 measure HCHO on board the NOAA P3 aircraft.
- 167

In situ airborne OA from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS, DC3, and KORUS-AQ was measured by the
University of Colorado High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer
(AMS, DeCarlo et al., 2006; Dunlea et al., 2009; Canagaratna et al., 2007; Jimenez et al.,
2016) and in situ airborne OA from CalNex was measured by the NOAA Compact Time-





- 172 of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (Drewnick et al., 2005; Canagaratna et al., 2007;
- 173 Bahreini et al., 2012). The OA measurements are from 1 min merge data and converted
- 174 from  $\mu g \text{ sm}^{-3}$  to  $\mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$  under local T & P for each data point.
- 175

176 Although NO modulates the RO<sub>2</sub> lifetime, and thus, the production of HCHO and SOA, it 177 cannot be directly observed via remote sensing. Instead, NO<sub>2</sub> can be directly observed in space by satellites, and since NO<sub>2</sub> represents typically ~80% (e.g. SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and 178 179 KORUS-AQ) of the boundary layer  $NO_x$  concentrations during the daytime, it can be 180 used as a surrogate for NO concentrations and oxidative conditions around the globe. In situ airborne NO<sub>2</sub> was measured by the NOAA Chemiluminescence NO<sub>y</sub>O<sub>3</sub> instrument 181 (Ryerson et al., 2001) during SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and by University of Berkeley laser induced 182 183 fluorescence NO<sub>2</sub> instrument (Day et al., 2002) during KORUS-AQ.

184

# 185 2.2 Ground-based OA measurements

186 Ground-based OA measurements over the US are from the EPA Interagency Monitoring 187 of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) (Malm et al., 1994; Solomon et al., 2014; 188 Hand et al., 2014; Hand et al., 2013; Malm et al., 2017) and Southeastern Aerosol 189 Research and Characterization (SEARCH) (Edgerton et al., 2006) networks. In the 190 IMPROVE network, aerosols were collected on quartz fiber filters and analyzed in the 191 lab by thermal optical reflectance for organic and elemental carbon. The data were 192 reported every three days from 1988 to 2014. Monthly averages were used for 193 comparison in this study. IMPROVE OA data over the southeast US (east of 70°W) in 194 summertime were multiplied by a factor of 1.37 to correct for partial evaporation during





195 filter transport, following the recommendation of a comparison study with SEARCH 196 organic carbon (OC) measurements (Kim et al., 2015; Hand et al., 2013). Although 197 IMPROVE OA corrected for evaporation has potential uncertainties with the constant 198 scaling factor, the IMPROVE measurements have high spatial coverage. SEARCH 199 network (Edgerton et al., 2006; Hidy et al., 2014) OC is determined by the difference 200 between total carbon (TC) detected by a tapered element oscillating microbalance 201 (TEOM) and black carbon (BC) measured by an in situ Thermal Optical instrument. This 202 allows real-time measurement of OC and prevents evaporation during filter transport. 203 Although the SEARCH network only has 5 sites available, we also use observations from 204 this network due to their high accuracy. The IMPROVE and SEARCH network OC 205 measurements were converted to OA by multiplying by a factor of 2.1 based on ground 206 and aircraft observations (Pye et al., 2017; Schroder et al., 2018).

207

### 208 2.3 Satellite measurements

209 Satellite HCHO column observations are derived from the NASA's Ozone Monitoring 210 Instrument (OMI), a UV/Vis nadir solar backscatter spectrometer on the Aura satellite 211 (Levelt et al., 2006). Aura overpasses the equator at 1:30 pm local time, daily. Here we 212 use the OMI HCHO version 2.0 (collection 3) retrieval (Gonzalez Abad et al., 2015) from 213 the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO). Satellite data for HCHO columns 214 were subjected to data quality filters: 1) solar zenith angle lower than 70°, 2) cloud 215 fraction less than 40%, and 3) main quality flag and the xtrackquality flag both equal to 216 zero

217 (https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/atmosphere/Instruments/OMI/PGEReleases/READMEs/O





- MHCHO\_README\_v3.0.pdf). The monthly average HCHO columns were also
  weighted by the column uncertainties of the pixels. The HCHO retrieval used a priori
  profiles without aerosol information from the GEOS-Chem model (Gonzalez Abad et al.,
  2015). Satellite NO<sub>2</sub> column observations are also derived from NASA's OMI level 3
  data, archived at hbps://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov as "OMI-Aura\_L3-OMNO2d" (Lamsal et
  al., 2014).
  Satellite AOD observations were acquired from the Moderate Resolution Imaging
- 225 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard the Aqua satellite, using overpasses at about 1:30 226 local 06 pm time. Here, we use collection (MYD04 L2, 227 hbps://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/), retrieved using the Dark Target (DT) and Deep Blue 228 (DB) algorithms (Levy et al., 2015).

229

230

#### 231 2.4 GEOS-Chem

We used GEOS-Chem (v9-02) at  $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$  with 47 vertical layers to simulate HCHO and 232 233 OA globally, the same as that in Marais et al. (2016). GEOS-Chem is driven with 234 meteorological fields from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office 235 (GMAO). The OA simulation includes POA from fires and anthropogenic activity and 236 SOA from the volatility-based reversible partitioning scheme (VBS) of Pye et al. (2010) 237 for anthropogenic, fire, and monoterpene sources, and an irreversible aqueous-phase 238 reactive uptake mechanism for isoprene. The aqueous-phase mechanism is coupled to 239 gas-phase isoprene chemistry and has been extensively validated using surface and 240 aircraft observations of isoprene SOA components in the Southeast US (Marais et al.,





- 241 2016). The model is driven with Goddard Earth Observing System Forward Processing
- 242 (GEOS-FP) meteorology for 2013 and sampled along the SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS (2013) and KORUS-
- AQ (2016) flight tracks. The model is also run with 10% decrease in biomass burning,
- 244 biogenic, or anthropogenic emissions as sensitivity test to evaluate the contributions of
- 245 different sources to OA and HCHO budget. Model monthly mean surface layer OA and
- total column formaldehyde are obtained around the OMI overpass time (12:00-15:00
- 247 local time) for 2008-2013 using MERRA Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for
- 248 Research and Applications (MERRA) meteorology, as GEOS-FP is only available from
- 249 2012. This is compared to the OA estimate derived from satellite HCHO.
- 250
- 251 Global isoprene emissions are used to calculate an isoprene and NO<sub>2</sub> dependent OA
- estimate. Global isoprene emissions are from the Model of Emissions of Gases and
- Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2006) as implemented in GEOS-Chem

and driven with MERRA (MEGAN-MERRA).

255

#### 256 **2.5 Estimation of surface organic aerosol mass concentrations**

An estimate for surface OA mass concentration is calculated based on a simple lineartransformation.

259 
$$\varepsilon(i) = \Omega_{HCHO}(i)\eta(i)\alpha(i) + \beta(i)$$
 Eq. (1)

Here,  $\varepsilon(i)$  is the OA estimate for grid cell i (µg m<sup>-3</sup>),  $\Omega_{HCHO}(i)$  is the OMI HCHO column density (molec cm<sup>-2</sup>) in each 0.25°×0.25° grid cell (similar resolution to OMI HCHO nadir pixel data),  $\eta(i)$  is the ratio of midday surface layer (~140 m) HCHO concentrations (molec cm<sup>-3</sup>) to column concentrations (molec cm<sup>-2</sup>) from GEOS-Chem, and  $\alpha(i)$  and





264  $\beta$ (i) are the slope and intercept of a linear regression between OA and HCHO from low 265 altitude (<1 km) airborne in situ measurements. The in situ to column conversion factor 266  $\eta$ (i) is similar to that used by Zhu et al. (2017) to convert HCHO columns into surface 267 concentrations.  $\eta$ (i) is derived from GEOS-Chem (v9-02), which includes updated 268 isoprene scheme for OA and is the next version of the model (v9-01-03) for a priori 269 profiles used in SAO satellite HCHO retrievals. The detailed information about  $\eta$  is 270 provided in Sect. 5.

271

# 272 **2.6** Aerosol extinction from satellite measurements

Currently remote sensing observes aerosols by quantifying AOD. The MISR satellite instrument can estimate the fraction of OA relative to total AOD, due to constraints on size range, shape and absorbing properties, but cannot distinguish OA from other submicron aerosol compounds such as sulfate and nitrate and also requires AOD above 0.1. Moreover, OA account for a large and relatively constant fraction of submicron aerosols in the Southeast US (Kim et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2015). Therefore, AOD was converted to extinction to represent OA for comparison.

280 
$$A_{ext} = AOD(i)\delta(i)$$
 Eq. (2)

where AOD(i) is aerosol optical depth from MODIS (see Sect. 2.3) in each  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ grid cell, and  $\delta(i)$  (m<sup>-1</sup>) is the ratio of surface layer OA concentrations (µg m<sup>-3</sup>) to column OA concentrations (µg m<sup>-2</sup>) from GEOS-Chem multiplied by  $10^{6}$  Mm<sup>-1</sup>/m<sup>-1</sup>. The shape of average vertical profile of OA was very close to that of total aerosol mass (Wagner et al., 2015).





### 287 **3.** In situ OA and HCHO relationship

Although OA and HCHO share common VOC emission sources and photochemical processes, their production rates from different emission sources and photochemical conditions vary, as do their loss rates. The following section discusses the main factors that modulate OA-HCHO relationships.

292

# 293 **3.1 Regional and Source-Driven Variability**

294 For all regions and/or sources investigated, in situ OA and HCHO are well correlated. A 295 scatter plot of in situ OA vs. HCHO at low altitudes (<1 km) from a number of field campaigns (SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS, DC3, CalNex, and KORUS-AQ) is displayed in Fig. 2. The slope, 296 297 intercept and correlation coefficient are provided in Table 1. SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS, DC3, and 298 CalNex excluded BB data when acetonitrile > 200 pptv (Hudson et al., 2004). KORUS-299 AO used a BB filter with higher acetonitrile (>500 ppty) because the air masses with 300 moderate acetonitrile enhancement (200-500 pptv) were actually from anthropogenic 301 emissions. This attribution is based on high levels of acetonitrile detected downwind of 302 Seoul and west coastal petrochemical facilities, the slope between acetonitrile and CO 303 being to urban emissions (Warneke et al., 2006), and the concentrations of anthropogenic 304 tracer CHCl<sub>3</sub> being high (Warneke et al., 2006). Similar to acetonitrile, another common 305 BB tracer hydrogen cyanide (HCN) was also enhanced in these air masses. BB data (acetonitrile > 200 ppty) for SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS were analyzed separately and are inset in Fig. 2. 306 307 Although all CalNex data had a tight correlation, we only included the flight data near 308 LA basin to target the area strongly influenced by anthropogenic emissions. In general,





- 309 the correlation coefficients between in situ OA and HCHO were strong (r = 0.59 0.97)
- 310 (Table 1).
- 311

312 The variety in OA-HCHO regression coefficients among different campaigns reflects the 313 regional and/or source-driven OA-HCHO variability. Considering only the non-biomass 314 burning (non-BB) air masses sampled, OA and HCHO had the tightest correlation for 315 CalNex, because CalNex focused on the LA area (shown in Fig. 2) and Central Valley 316 while SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and DC3 covered a larger area with a potentially larger variety of sources and chemical conditions. Although SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and DC3 both sampled the 317 318 continental US, SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS had more spatial coverage and sampled more air masses at low 319 altitudes, while DC3 was designed to sample convective outflow air masses and had more 320 data at high altitudes. Although KORUS-AQ covered a much smaller area compared to 321 SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS, KORUS-AQ data also had a large spread, which may be due to the 322 complicated South Korean anthropogenic sources mixed with transported air masses 323 (mainly from China) and possibly biogenic sources. OA exhibits a tight correlation with 324 HCHO for both wildfires and agricultural fires. This is because the production of HCHO 325 and OA is much higher in BB air masses compared to background. This may also suggest 326 that the emissions of OA and HCHO in these air masses are relatively constant. More 327 intensive fire sampling is needed to probe the correlation between OA and HCHO across 328 fuel types and environmental conditions.

329

The different slopes of OA-HCHO among different campaigns also reflect the regional or
 source-driven OA-HCHO variability. The slopes of OA vs. HCHO were more similar
 between DC3 and SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS. The slope of OA vs. HCHO was higher for South Korea





333 (KORUS-AQ), which is dominated by anthropogenic emissions compared to the biogenic-dominated emission in the Southeast US (SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and DC3). The high OA air 334 335 masses also had high acetonitrile during KORUS-AQ. The slope of OA-HCHO for 336 California LA basin, dominated by anthropogenic emissions, was also not as high as 337 South Korea. The potential difference in the anthropogenic emissions mix could 338 contribute to the different OA-HCHO slopes from US LA region and South Korea 339 anthropogenic sources (Baker et al., 2007; Na et al., 2005; Na et al., 2002). The slopes of 340 OA vs. HCHO for BB air masses were higher than for anthropogenic and biogenic 341 sources. This is consistent with high POA emission in BB conditions (Heald et al., 2008; 342 Lamarque et al., 2010; Cubison et al., 2011), despite low addition of mass due to SOA 343 formation (Cubison et al., 2011; Shrivastava et al., 2017). The slope of OA to HCHO was 344 higher for wildfires than agricultural fires. This may indicate that more OA is emitted in 345 wildfires which often have higher intensity than agricultural fires (Liu et al., 2017; Forrister et al., 2015). As SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS had the largest geographic coverage for low altitude 346 347 data over US, the campaign average slope of OA vs. HCHO was used to represent the US 348 region in summer, except large cities where CalNex LA Basin data were used.

- 349
- 350

### 351 **3.2 Dependence on NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs speciation**

Biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs are oxidized by atmospheric oxidants (e.g. OH as the dominant oxidant) to form RO<sub>2</sub>. HCHO is produced from the reactions of RO<sub>2</sub> with HO<sub>2</sub> or NO, with RO<sub>2</sub>+NO typically producing more HCHO than RO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Wolfe et al., 2016). RO<sub>2</sub> can react with HO<sub>2</sub>, NO, or isomerize to form oxidized organic





356 compounds with high molecular weight and low volatility, which condense on existing particles to form SOA. The products of RO<sub>2</sub> + NO tend to fragment instead of 357 358 functionalize and often lead to higher volatility compounds (e.g. HCHO) and thus less 359 SOA formation compared to the products of  $RO_2 + HO_2$  (Kroll et al., 2006; Worton et al., 360 2013). Therefore, with the same VOC, we expect more HCHO and less OA formed at 361 high NO conditions and vice versa. As mentioned before, NO2 instead of NO is easily 362 measured from space and NO<sub>2</sub> typically is  $\sim$ 80% of NO<sub>x</sub> in the boundary layer during the 363 day. Therefore, NO<sub>2</sub> is used as a surrogate for the NO levels influencing OA and HCHO 364 production. The yields of HCHO and SOA also depend on VOC speciation (e.g. Lee et 365 al., 2006). Specifically, isoprene has a higher yield of HCHO than most non-alkene 366 VOCs (Dufour et al., 2009).

367

A scatter plot of OA vs. HCHO for SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS low altitude data is shown in Fig. 3(a). The 368 data are color-coded by the product of in-situ isoprene and NO<sub>2</sub>, attempting to capture 369 370 time periods strongly influenced by oxidation products of isoprene at high NO 371 conditions. No obvious trends are evident when the data are instead color coded by NO<sub>2</sub> 372 or isoprene only. This may be because isoprene (biogenic source) and  $NO_2$ 373 (anthropogenic sources) are generally not co-located in the US (Yu et al., 2016) and 374 isoprene is the dominant source of HCHO compared to anthropogenic VOCs in the US 375 (e.g. Millet et al., 2008). This plot shows that, at high NO<sub>2</sub> and high isoprene conditions, 376 less OA was formed for each HCHO produced generally. This is consistent with high NO 377 and isoprene conditions promote HCHO formation over SOA formation. We also looked 378 at the dependence on peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN), as PAN is a product of the photo





- 379 oxidation of VOCs, including isoprene, in the presence of NO<sub>2</sub>. The dependence on PAN
- 380 was not as clear as on the product of  $NO_2$  and isoprene.
- 381
- KORUS-AQ OA vs. HCHO, color-coded with NO<sub>2</sub>, is plotted in Fig. 3(b). The OA / HCHO ratio clearly decreased as NO<sub>2</sub> levels increased during KORUS-AQ, suggesting that high NO conditions accelerated HCHO formation more than they did SOA production. Compared to SEAC4RS, the KORUS-AQ OA/HCHO ratio does not depend on VOCs. This may be consistent with the dominant VOC being anthropogenic VOCs that are co-located with NO sources. This may also suggest the anthropogenic VOCs generally have a lower HCHO yield than does isoprene.
- 389
- 390

# 391 4. Comparison of OA and HCHO relationship: in-situ vs. GEOS-Chem

In situ OA vs. HCHO relationships from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS low altitude non-BB (Fig. 4a), 392 KORUS-AO low altitude (Fig. 4b), and SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS BB (Fig. 4c) air masses were 393 compared to GEOS-Chem model simulations (Fig. 4d-4f) sampling along the 394 395 corresponding flight tracks. Similar to the in situ data, GEOS-Chem model simulations 396 also found correlations between OA and HCHO for these three regions, especially for 397 SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS non-BB. GEOS-Chem was intensively validated with in situ measurements for 398 Southeast US (e.g. Marais et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2015). The ratios of the slopes between OA and HCHO for the US (SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS), South Korea (KORUS-AQ), and wildfire cases 399 (SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS) from GEOS-Chem were 1:1.1:0.4, which was different from the in situ 400 401 measurements ratios of 1:1.4:13 (Table 1). GEOS-Chem could not capture any wild fires





in US during SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS, which is probably due to poor representation of BB emission 402 403 inventory for US wildfire and also the coarse grid in GEOS-Chem. GEOS-Chem also 404 significantly under predicted the slope of OA to HCHO for South Korea. We attribute 405 this to a likely underprediction of anthropogenic SOA, which was dominant in South 406 Korea, in GEOS-Chem (Schroder et al., 2018), as well as a different mix of OA and 407 HCHO sources in the US compared to South Korea and representation of these in GEOS-408 Chem. Although GEOS-Chem contains isoprene chemistry with a focus on the Southeast 409 US (Marais et al. 2016), there is still room to improve the model especially for 410 anthropogenic and BB sources, as well as anthropogenic OA formation mechanisms. For 411 example, in the model biogenic sources are more important than anthropogenic sources 412 for the OA and HCHO budgets in South Korea, which is not the case from KORUS-AQ 413 in situ measurements. A 10% decrease of emissions from biogenic, anthropogenic and 414 BB sources results in a 6%, 3%, and 1% decrease in OA and 2%, 1%, and 0% decrease in HCHO over South Korea in May 2016. However, the in situ airborne field campaign 415 416 KORUS-AQ found that QA and HCHQ were higher near anthropogenic emission sources 417 compared to rural regions. The larger impact of biogenic sources compared to anthropogenic sources on OA and HCHO in the model can be due to both low-biased 418 419 anthropogenic emission inventories and low-biased anthropogenic SOA. Improving 420 anthropogenic emissions inventories in the models can also bring model results closer to 421 observations. Improving anthropogenic SOA, such as implementation of the SIMPLE 422 model, in GEOS-Chem (Hodzic and Jimenez, 2011) can also improve the model results 423 compared to observations. Measurements or measurement-constrained estimation with 424 sufficient spatial and temporal coverage can help to narrow down the key factors (e.g.





- 425 emission inventories or chemical schemes) in GEOS-Chem to better represent VOCs and
  426 OA globally. Furthermore, we did also find that GEOS-Chem could not capture the
  427 observed higher slope of OA to HCHO at high altitudes (not shown), which could be due
  428 to issues such as transport, OA lifetime, and OA production.
  429
- 430
- 431

# 432 5. Relating satellite HCHO column to surface HCHO concentrations

- 433 To utilize the derived in-situ OA and HCHO relationship, the satellite HCHO column 434 needs to be converted to surface HCHO concentrations. We used a vertical distribution factor  $\eta$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) (Sect. 2.5), which is defined as the ratio of surface HCHO concentrations 435 (molec  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) to HCHO column (molec  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ), to estimate surface HCHO concentrations 436 437 from satellite column measurements. Zhu et al. (2017) used the same vertical distribution 438 factor for their study. The use of this factor is justified by the fact that the derived surface 439 HCHO retained the spatial pattern of the satellite HCHO column and agreed with local 440 surface measurements of HCHO for a multi-year average (Zhu et al., 2017).
- 441

We also investigated the main factors affecting the variation of the vertical distribution factor  $\eta$ . Because the factor is determined by HCHO vertical distributions, we examined three typical normalized HCHO vertical distribution profiles with the highest, median and lowest  $\eta$  values for the SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS field campaign (Fig. 5). GEOS-Chem can generally capture the vertical profiles of measured HCHO. Boundary layer mixing height and surface emission strength are the dominant factors in determining the fraction of HCHO





448 near the surface. We can see that higher boundary layer mixing height results in lower  $\eta$ 449 for southeast US profiles, where there are biogenic sources of HCHO from the surface 450 and HCHO has distinct concentration difference below and above the boundary layer. 451 However, there are exceptions, such as for the profiles over the ocean and the coastal 452 regions. Although the boundary layer is shallow in these regions, a large portion of 453 HCHO resides above the boundary layer, resulting in low  $\eta$ . In these cases, surface 454 emissions of HCHO or precursors are very small and therefore methane oxidation makes 455 a large contribution to the total HCHO column. High concentrations of HCHO (e.g., in 456 BB plumes) lofted by convection can also impact the vertical profile (Barth et al., 2015). 457 Overall, the source intensities and boundary layer mixing height mostly determined the 458 HCHO vertical profiles.

459

#### 460 **6. Construction of the OA estimate**

### 461 **6.1 Variables to construct OA estimate**

462 As mentioned in Sect. 2.5, the OA estimate value in each grid cell is estimated from 463 satellite HCHO column observation by the linear Eq. (1). Satellite HCHO columns,  $\Omega_{HCHO}$ , are converted to surface HCHO concentrations by multiplying by the  $\eta(i)$  factor. 464 465 Surface OA is then estimated by the derived surface HCHO concentrations and applying 466 the linear regression equation (slope  $\alpha(i)$  and intercept  $\beta(i)$ ) between in situ OA and 467 HCHO determined from in-situ aircraft field campaign data. The relationship between 468 OA and HCHO varies but previous sections demonstrated that we can quantify the 469 surface OA-HCHO relationship by their regions, sources and chemical conditions (e.g., 470 NO<sub>x</sub> levels). To test the impact of the chosen OA-HCHO relationship on the calculated





- 471 OA estimate, the OA estimate in the US was calculated using four different methods (see
- 472 Table 2).
- 473

### 474 6.2 OA estimate over US

475 The monthly average surface OA estimate over the US in August 2013 for case 1 (Sect. 476 6.1) is shown in Fig. 6(a). Because BB regions in the US are not covered by smoke 477 continuously during a period of time and it is challenging for satellite retrieval to separate 478 thick BB plumes and clouds without information on the time and location of the burning, 479 thick BB events (OMI UV Aerosol Index (UVAI) > 1.6) (Torres et al., 2007) were 480 excluded and shown as the blank (white) grid cells in Fig. 6(a). The same filter was also 481 applied to aerosol extinction and GEOS-Chem OA abundance. To evaluate the 482 representative quality of the OA estimate, OA estimate data were compared to the EPA 483 IMPROVE ground sites corrected-OA measurements over the US and SEARCH ground sites OA measurements in the Southeast US (Sect. 2.2). The locations of IMPROVE and 484 485 SEARCH sites are displayed in Fig. 6(g) as small and large dots, respectively. The dot 486 color represents the average OA mass concentrations for August 2013.

487

488 The good correlation between the OA estimate and corrected IMPROVE network

489 measurements (Fig. 6(e)) indicates that the OA estimate generally captured the variation

- 490 of OA loading over the US. The correlation between the OA estimate and IMPROVE OA
- 491 measurements yielded a slope of 0.62, indicating that the OA estimate slightly
- 492 underestimated OA. Satellite HCHO data were measured in mid-day, in situ airborne OA
- 493 and HCHO were measured during the daytime and IMPROVE network organic carbon





494	was collected day and night. Because ground OA in the Southeast US were observed to
-----	---

- 495 have little diurnal variation (Xu et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2015), the different sampling time
- 496 of ground and airborne OA probably does not have a significant impact on the
- 497 comparison of OA estimate and IMPROVE OA. The difference in mid-day and daytime
- 498 HCHO concentrations is not prominent, depends on the location and may contribute to a
- small bias to the mid-day OA estimate (DiGangi et al., 2012). This is probably due to
- 500 increased boundary layer height diluting the photochemical formation of HCHO in the
- 501 mid-day. Instead, the potential underestimation of HCHO from satellite retrieval (by
- 502 37%) (Zhu et al., 2016) compared to SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS may cause the low slope between the OA
- solution estimate and IMPROVE OA according to Eq. (1). Also, the uncertainty in  $\eta$  estimated
- from GEOS-Chem could have also contributed to the low slope between the OA estimate
- and EPA ground site OA. Furthermore, the uncertainties in IMPROVE OA
- 506 measurements, such as using a correction factor to correct the partial evaporation across
- all southeast US sites, and real variations on the OA/OC ratio, may also have contributed
- to the discrepancies between the OA estimate and EPA IMRPOVE sites OA.
- 509
- 510 SEARCH OA data were also used to compare to the OA estimate. The correlation was
- 511 good for August 2013. Although the SEARCH network OA measurements have better
- 512 accuracy, the number of SEARCH sites is limited (5 sites). The correlation of OA
- 513 estimate and SEARCH OA varied dramatically 2008-2013 (Fig. S1). GEOS-Chem OA
- does not correlate with SEARCH OA except for the year 2013 (Fig. S1). As the
- 515 IMPROVE network has more sites and spatial coverage, we use IMPROVE network data
- as ground OA measurements for comparison in the remainder of the discussion.





# 517

#### 518 **6.3** Comparison to aerosol extinction from AOD

519 To further evaluate the method of using satellite HCHO to derive an OA surface estimate, 520 satellite measurements of AOD were converted to extinction for comparison. Studies 521 showed that OA were a dominant component of aerosol mass and extinction during 522 SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS (Kim et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2015) and the fractions of OA were relatively 523 constant (interdecile 62-74%) (Wagner et al., 2015). Therefore AOD variation is expected to generally reflect the OA variation during SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS. Satellite measurements 524 525 from MISR can provide more aerosol property information to apportion total AOD to 526 AOD of a subset of aerosols with small to medium size and round shape, which can 527 better capture OA, when AOD is above 0.15 to 0.2 (Kahn and Gaitley, 2015; personal communication with R. Kahn, 2018). Because MISR cannot distinguish OA and other 528 529 submicron aerosol components (e.g. sulfate and nitrate), the fractions of OA were 530 relatively constant, and AOD below 0.15 or 0.2 accounts for near half of the data over US 531 in August, 2013, we use total AOD to derive extinction for our comparison. The AOD-532 derived extinction map is shown in Fig. 6(b), and the scatter plot of AOD-derived 533 extinction and EPA corrected OA is displayed in Fig. 6(f). The same filter of high AI was 534 also applied to AOD-derived extinction to remove BB plumes. Generally, the derived 535 aerosol extinction had a correlation with IMPROVE OA, but the correlation is not as 536 good as for the OA estimate with IMPROVE OA. This indicates that the OA estimate 537 derived from HCHO may be better than AOD at representing the concentrations of OA, 538 even for the regions where AOD is dominated by OA (Xu et al., 2015).

539





#### 540 6.4 Comparison to GEOS-Chem OA

- 541 Surface OA over the US from a GEOS-Chem simulation for August 2013 is shown in 542 Fig. 6(c), and the scatter plot of GEOS-Chem OA with IMPROVE OA is in Fig. 6(g). 543 The GEOS-Chem simulation had a coarser resolution than satellite HCHO data. To be 544 comparable to the OA estimate, the scatter plot Fig. 6(g) used GEOS-Chem results for the 545 grid squares that overlap with individual IMPROVE sites. Compared to the OA estimate, 546 GEOS-Chem OA had a similar correlation coefficient with IMPROVE OA. Although the 547 GEOS-Chem OA plot appears more scattered, there are many GEOS-Chem data points 548 close to zero when IMPROVE OA was low, making the overall correlation coefficient 549 similar to that for the OA estimate. GEOS-Chem under predicted IMPROVE OA more 550 with a slope of 0.57 compared to the OA estimate. This is consistent with underprediction 551 of anthropogenic OA in Marais et al. (2016).
- 552

#### 553 6.5 OA estimate with different OA-HCHO relationships

554 In general, OA estimate results from the four cases were similar. The OA proxies from 555 the four cases (Table 2) were compared to IMPROVE OA and the correlation coefficients are shown in Fig. 7. Applying CalNex LA Basin in situ data (case 3) to bring down the 556 557 OA concentrations of LA resulted in a similar correlation. The uncertainties in 558 IMPROVE OA measurements may contribute to this. Including the NO<sub>2</sub>-isoprene-559 dependent OA and HCHO relationship (case 2) showed a similar (or slightly worse) 560 correlation between the OA estimate and IMPROVE OA. As the in situ data showed a 561 NO2-isoprene-dependent OA and HCHO relationship, we attributed this to the 562 uncertainty of isoprene emissions from MEGAN or IMPROVE network measurements.





- Because separating large urban areas and other regions and applying a chemical region
  dependent in situ OA and HCHO relationship did not improve the agreement between the
  OA estimate and IMPROVE OA, we used the base case OA and HCHO relationship
- 567

566

568

#### 569 6.6 Temporal variation of the agreement between OA estimate and IMPROVE OA

570 Besides August 2013 (see Fig. 6), the correlations between the OA estimate and

(case 1) to derive the OA estimate (shown in Fig. 6).

- 571 IMPROVE OA for the summer months June-July-August 2008-2013 were also examined
- and shown in Fig. 7. Generally, the correlation coefficients between the OA estimate and
- 573 IMPROVE OA were >0.5 for summer months of the years investigated. The correlation
- 574 coefficients were generally higher in June compared to July and August. The lower
- average temperature in June might be related to the higher correlation coefficients.
- 576 IMPROVE network aerosol samples were transported at ambient temperature in a truck
- 577 and more organic vapors likely evaporated at higher temperature. The different
- temperatures and distances from IMPROVE sites to the laboratory may lead to
- 579 inhomogeneous evaporation among the samples and result in lower correlation
- 580 coefficients. Although higher temperatures in July and August may also lead to more BB,
- average aerosol index over the US was not higher in July (mean: 0.35) and August
- 582 (mean: 0.36) compared to June (mean: 0.39) for these years. The underlying cause for the
- 583 lowest correlation coefficients in July and August 2012 is not clear and may be related
- the severe drought in 2012 (Seco et al., 2015). The correlation coefficients were also low
- 585 for the linear regressions (not shown) of IMPROVE OA with both GEOS-Chem OA and





- 586 AOD-derived extinction. Because the lowest correlation coefficients were consistently
- 587 observed for multiple OA-related products and not just the OA estimate, we attributed
- this to uncertainties in the IMPROVE OA measurements or some unknown bias shared
- 589 by the satellite HCHO, GEOS-Chem OA, and satellite AOD.
- 590
- 591

# 592 6.7 South Korea OA estimate

593 We attempted to estimate an OA estimate for South Korea, using airborne in situ 594 measurements of OA and HCHO from the KORUS-AQ field campaign (https://www-595 air.larc.nasa.gov/missions/korus-aq/) and SAO OMI HCHO measurements. The National 596 Institute of Environmental Research (NIER) ground sites OC measurements during 597 KORUS-AQ over South Korea could be used to validate the OA estimate. However, 598 OMI HCHO measurements were below the detection limit (Zhu et al., 2016) in May 599 2016. Also, there were no OMI data available in June 2016 when airborne measurements 600 and ground sites OC measurements were available during KORUS-AQ. Because an OA 601 estimate for South Korea could not be well retrieved and validated, it was not presented 602 in this study.

603

# 604 7 Limitations of the OA estimate and future work

Because the OA estimate is based on satellite HCHO data, the detection limit of satellite HCHO data affects the quality of the OA estimate. Currently, due to the limited sensitivity of OMI for HCHO, the OA estimate is valid only when high levels of HCHO are present, such as during summer time and near large HCHO sources. With the new TROPOMI satellite instrument and future missions TEMPO and GEMS, satellite HCHO





- 610 measurements will have higher spatial and temporal resolutions and lower detection
- 611 limits. These higher quality satellite HCHO measurements will improve our OA estimate
- 612 quality and also its spatial and temporal coverage.
- 613
- 614 Because the OA estimate uses the relationship of in situ HCHO and OA measurements,

615 the coverage of in situ aircraft field campaigns will impact the OA estimate quality.

616 Currently, in situ airborne measurements of OA and HCHO focus on the continental US.

617 Extending measurements to regions such as Africa BB, South America, and East Asia,

- 618 where HCHO and OA have high concentrations, will increase the spatial coverage of the
- 619 OA estimate product. Ground site measurements of OA with consistent quality control in

620 those regions will also be important for validating the OA estimate.

621

Improvement of satellite HCHO retrieval during the BB cases will also improve OA estimate quality. BB cases with high UV aerosol index over the US were excluded in the current OA estimate analysis. With improvement in the satellite retrieval of HCHO, we may be able to estimate OA during BB cases over the US. Upcoming field campaigns such as the Fire Influence on Regional and Global Environments Experiment – Air Quality (FIREX-AQ) will provide opportunities to improve the analysis of OA estimate in BB cases in the US.

629

This OA estimate method has limitations in remote regions far away from HCHO sources. Because the lifetimes of HCHO (1-3 hours) and OA (1 week) are different, the slopes and intercepts between HCHO and OA are expected to change when air masses





are aged (e.g. in remote regions). OA vs. HCHO from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and KORUS-AQ field 633 634 campaigns, color-coded with altitude, are plotted in Fig. S2 (a) and (b), respectively. A 635 relative depletion of HCHO at high altitudes was observed due to its shorter lifetime. 636 This also suggests that, at remote regions far away from the sources, the ratios of OA and 637 HCHO could be much higher and the relationship between OA and HCHO derived near 638 the sources may no longer apply. On the other hand, the lifetime of 1-3 hrs for HCHO 639 does not imply that the OA estimate only work within this timescale. HCHO is formed 640 from oxidation of transported gas phase VOCs, including the oxidation products of the 641 primary emitted VOCs, as well as of the slower reacting VOCs (e.g. Ethane and 642 Benzene). Most gas-to-particle oxidation processes that might produce HCHO can last up 643 to 1-2 days (Palm et al., 2018). Fig. S3 shows the ratios of OA and HCHO did not change 644 significantly downwind for the Rim Fire plume for about 1 day of aging, which was 645 determined by the distance from the source and the wind speed. A lower photolysis rate 646 of HCHO in the plume can also contribute to this. However, we do not expect that the 647 relationship of OA and HCHO remains past 1-2 boundary layer ventilation cycles (Palm 648 et al., 2018).

- 649
- 650
- 651 8 Summary

We have developed a satellite-based estimate of the surface OA concentration ("OA estimate") based on in situ observations. This estimate is based on the empirical relationships of in-situ OA and HCHO for several regions. OA and HCHO share VOC sources with different yields and lifetimes. Using surface OA and HCHO linear





- 656 regression slopes and intercepts can relate surface HCHO to OA. To estimate the surface 657 HCHO concentration from satellite HCHO column, we used a vertical distribution factor 658  $\eta$ , which is largely determined by boundary layer height and surface emissions and found 659 to reasonably retrieve surface HCHO from column HCHO. 660 661 The OA estimate over the continental US generally correlated well with EPA IMPROVE 662 network OA measurements corrected for partial evaporation. The good correlations are not only for the time during SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS but also for most summer months over several 663 664 years (2008-2013) investigated. Compared to aerosol extinction derived from AOD, the 665 OA estimate had slightly higher correlation coefficients with IMPROVE OA. GEOS-666 Chem can predict OA with a similar correlation coefficient with IMPROVE OA 667 compared to the OA estimate when GEOS-Chem was intensively validated with in situ 668 measurements for Southeast US. Better satellite HCHO data from TROPOMI and future 669 TEMPO and GEMS and extending spatiotemporal coverage of in situ measurements will 670 improve the quality and coverage of the OA estimate. 671
- 0/1
- 672
- 673
- 674
- 675
- 676
- 677
- 678





### 679 Acknowledgements:

- 580 JL, TFH, GMW, and JSC were supported by NASA grant NNH15ZDA001N and
- 681 NNH10ZDA001N. BAN, PCJ, and JLJ were supported by NASA grant NNX15AT96G
- and 80NSSC18K0630. AW and PTR-MS measurements during DC3, SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS and
- 683 KORUS-AQ were supported by the Austrian Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation
- and Technology (bmvit) through the Austrian Space Applications Programme (ASAP) of
- the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG). The PTR-MS instrument team (P.
- Eichler, L. Kaser, T. Mikoviny, M. Müller) is acknowledged for their field support.

- 688
- 689
- 690





- 691 References:
- 692 Anderson, D. C., Loughner, C. P., Diskin, G., Weinheimer, A., Canty, T. P., Salawitch, R. J.,
- Worden, H. M., Fried, A., Mikoviny, T., Wisthaler, A., and Dickerson, R. R.: Measured and
- modeled CO and NOy in DISCOVER-AQ: An evaluation of emissions and chemistry over the
- 695 eastern US, Atmos Environ, 96, 78-87, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.004, 2014.
- 696
- 697 Attwood, A. R., Washenfelder, R. A., Brock, C. A., Hu, W., Baumann, K., Campuzano-Jost, P.,
  - Day, D. A., Edgerton, E. S., Murphy, D. M., Palm, B. B., McComiskey, A., Wagner, N. L., de
  - Sa, S. S., Ortega, A., Martin, S. T., Jimenez, J. L., and Brown, S. S.: Trends in sulfate and
  - 700 organic aerosol mass in the Southeast U.S.: Impact on aerosol optical depth and radiative
  - 701 forcing, Geophys Res Lett, 41, 7701-7709, 10.1002/2014gl061669, 2014.
  - 702
  - 703 Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Trainer, M., Brock, C. A., Stark,
  - H., Brown, S. S., Dube, W. P., Gilman, J. B., Hall, K., Holloway, J. S., Kuster, W. C., Perring,
  - A. E., Prevot, A. S. H., Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Szidat, S., Wagner, N. L., Weber, R. J.,
  - Zotter, P., and Parrish, D. D.: Gasoline emissions dominate over diesel in formation of secondary
     organic aerosol mass, Geophys Res Lett, 39, Artn L06805
  - 708 10.1029/2011gl050718, 2012.
  - 709
  - 710 Baker, A. K., Beyersdorf, A. J., Doezema, L. A., Katzenstein, A., Meinardi, S., Simpson, I. J.,
  - 711 Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Measurements of nonmethane hydrocarbons in 28 United
  - T12 States cities, Atmos Environ, 42, 170-182, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.007, 2008.
  - 713
  - Barth, M. C., Cantrell, C. A., Brune, W. H., Rutledge, S. A., Crawford, J. H., Huntrieser, H.,
  - 715 Carey, L. D., MacGorman, D., Weisman, M., Pickering, K. E., Bruning, E., Anderson, B., Apel,
  - E., Biggerstaff, M., Campos, T., Campuzano-Jost, P., Cohen, R., Crounse, J., Day, D. A., Diskin,
  - 717 G., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Garland, C., Heikes, B., Honomichl, S., Hornbrook, R., Huey, L. G.,
  - Jimenez, J. L., Lang, T., Lichtenstern, M., Mikoviny, T., Nault, B., O'Sullivan, D., Pan, L. L.,
  - Peischl, J., Pollack, I., Richter, D., Riemer, D., Ryerson, T., Schlager, H., St Clair, J., Walega, J., Weithring P., Weithring P., Weithring P., Wighter A., Weithring P., Weithring P.
  - Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A., Wennberg, P., Wisthaler, A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Ziegler, C.:
  - The Deep Convective Clouds and Chemistry (Dc3) Field Campaign, B Am Meteorol Soc, 96,
    1281-1309, 10.1175/Bams-D-13-00290.1, 2015.
  - 723
  - Bianchi, F., Barmet, P., Stirnweis, L. El Haddad, I., Platt, S.M., Saurer, M., Lötscher, C.,
  - 525 Siegwolf, R., Bigi, A., Hoyle, C.R., DeCarlo, P.F., Slowik, J.G., Prévôt, A.S.H., Baltensperger,
  - 726 U., and Dommen. Contribution of methane to aerosol carbon mass. Atmospheric Environment,
  - 727 141, 41-47, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.06.036, 2016.
  - 728
  - 729 Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch,
  - 730 T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M.,
  - Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P., and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and
  - microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer,
  - 733 Mass Spectrom Rev, 26, 185-222, 10.1002/mas.20115, 2007.
  - 734
  - 735 Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G.





- 736 W., Spracklen, D. V., Woodhouse, M. T., Regayre, L. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Large contribution of 737 natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, Nature, 503, 67-+, 10.1038/nature12674, 2013.
- 738
- 739 Cazorla, M., Wolfe, G. M., Bailey, S. A., Swanson, A. K., Arkinson, H. L., and Hanisco, T. F.: A
- 740 new airborne laser-induced fluorescence instrument for in situ detection of formaldehyde
- 741 throughout the troposphere and lower stratosphere, Atmos Meas Tech, 8, 541-552, 10.5194/amt-
- 742 8-541-2015, 2015.
- 743
- 744 Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W.
- 745 H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny,
- T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A., and 746
- 747 Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft
- 748 and laboratory studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12049-12064, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12049-2011, 2011. 749
- 750
- 751 Day, D. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Dillon, M. B., Thornton, J. A., and Cohen, R. C.: A thermal
- 752 dissociation laser-induced fluorescence instrument for in situ detection of NO2, peroxy nitrates,
- 753 alkyl nitrates, and HNO3, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 107, Artn 4046
- 754 10.1029/2001jd000779, 2002.
- 755
- 756 de Vries, M. J. M. P., Beirle, S., Hormann, C., Kaiser, J. W., Stammes, P., Tilstra, L. G., 757 Tuinder, O. N. E., and Wagner, T.: A global aerosol classification algorithm incorporating 758 multiple satellite data sets of aerosol and trace gas abundances, Atmos Chem Phys, 15, 10597-759 10618, 10.5194/acp-15-10597-2015, 2015.
- 760
- 761 DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, 762 M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Fielddeployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Anal Chem, 78, 8281-763 764 8289, 10.1021/ac061249n, 2006.
- 765
- 766 DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Crounse, J., de Foy, B., Dunlea, E. J., Aiken, A. C., Knapp, D., 767 Weinheimer, A. J., Campos, T., Wennberg, P. O., and Jimenez, J. L.: Investigation of the sources 768 and processing of organic aerosol over the Central Mexican Plateau from aircraft measurements 769 during MILAGRO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5257-5280, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5257-2010, 2010.
- 770
- 771
- 772 DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Kammrath, A., Boyle, E. S., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Graus, M., 773 Turnipseed, A., Park, J. H., Weber, R. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Cantrell, C. A., Maudlin, R. L., Kim, 774 S., Nakashima, Y., Wolfe, G. M., Kajii, Y., Apel, E. C., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A., Karl, T., 775 Hansel, A., and Keutsch, F. N.: Observations of glyoxal and formaldehyde as metrics for the 776 anthropogenic impact on rural photochemistry, Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 9529-9543, 10.5194/acp-777 12-9529-2012, 2012.
- 778
- 779 Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., DeCarlo, P., Jayne, J. T., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Weimer, S.,
- 780 Jimenez, J. L., Demerjian, K. L., Borrmann, S., and Worsnop, D. R.: A new time-of-flight
- 781 aerosol mass spectrometer (TOF-AMS) - Instrument description and first field deployment,





- 782 Aerosol Sci Tech, 39, 637-658, 10.1080/02786820500182040, 2005.
- 784 Dunlea, E. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Aiken, A. C., Kimmel, J. R., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J.,
- 785 Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., Shinozuka, Y., McNaughton, C. S., Howell, S. G., Clarke, A. D.,
- Emmons, L. K., Apel, E. C., Pfister, G. G., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Millet, D. B.,
- Heald, C. L., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evolution of Asian aerosols during transpacific transport in
- 788 INTEX-B, Atmos Chem Phys, 9, 7257-7287, DOI 10.5194/acp-9-7257-2009, 2009.
- Edgerton, E. S., Hartsell, B. E., Saylor, R. D., Jansen, J. J., Hansen, D. A., and Hidy, G. M.: The
   Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization Study, part 3: Continuous measurements of
- fine particulate matter mass and composition, J Air Waste Manage, 56, 1325-1341, Doi
- 793 10.1080/10473289.2006.10464585, 2006.
- 794

- Forrister, H., Liu, J., Scheuer, E., Dibb, J., Ziemba, L., Thornhill, K. L., Anderson, B., Diskin,
  G., Perring, A. E., Schwarz, J. P., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Palm, B. B., Jimenez, J. L.,
  Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Evolution of brown carbon in wildfire plumes, Geophys Res Lett,
  42, 4623-4630, 10.1002/2015gl063897, 2015.
- 799
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A.,
- B01 Darmenov, A., Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C.,
- 802 Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty, A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W., Kim, G. K., Koster, R., Lucchesi,
- 803 R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert,
- 804 S. D., Sienkiewicz, M., and Zhao, B.: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and 805 Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), J Climate, 30, 5419-5454, 10.1175/Jcli-D-16-0758.1,
- Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), J Climate, 30, 5419-5454, 10.1175/Jcli-D-16-0758.1,
  2017.
- 807
- 808 Gonzalez Abad, G. G., Liu, X., Chance, K., Wang, H., Kurosu, T. P., and Suleiman, R.: Updated
- 809 Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Ozone Monitoring Instrument (SAO OMI)
- 810 formaldehyde retrieval, Atmos Meas Tech, 8, 19-32, 10.5194/amt-8-19-2015, 2015.
- 811
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of
- global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols
- from Nature), Atmos Chem Phys, 6, 3181-3210, DOI 10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
- 815
- Hayes, P. L., Ortega, A. M., Cubison, M. J., Froyd, K. D., Zhao, Y., Cliff, S. S., Hu, W. W.,
- 817 Toohey, D. W., Flynn, J. H., Lefer, B. L., Grossberg, N., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B., Taylor,
- 818 J. W., Allan, J. D., Holloway, J. S., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., De Gouw, J. A., Massoli, P.,
- 819 Zhang, X., Liu, J., Weber, R. J., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R.,
- 820 Kreisberg, N. M., Goldstein, A. H., Thalman, R., Waxman, E. M., Volkamer, R., Lin, Y. H.,
- 821 Surratt, J. D., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Dusanter, S., Griffith, S., Stevens, P. S.,
- 822 Brioude, J., Angevine, W. M., and Jimenez, J. L.: Organic aerosol composition and sources in
- Pasadena, California, during the 2010 CalNex campaign, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 118, 9233-
- 824 9257, 10.1002/jgrd.50530, 2013.
- 825
- Hand, J. L., Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W. C., and Frank, N. H.: Spatial and Temporal Trends in
- PM2.5 Organic and Elemental Carbon across the United States, Adv Meteorol, Artn 367674,





- 828 10.1155/2013/367674, 2013.
- 829
- 830 Hand, J. L., Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W. C., Pitchford, M., and Frank, N. H.: Spatial and seasonal
- 831 patterns in urban influence on regional concentrations of speciated aerosols across the United States, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 119, 12832-12849, 10.1002/2014jd022328, 2014.
- 832 833
- 834 Hayes, P. L., Carlton, A. G., Baker, K. R., Ahmadov, R., Washenfelder, R. A., Alvarez, S.,
- 835 Rappenglück, B., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
- 836 Szidat, S., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Ma, P. K., and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling the
- 837 formation and aging of secondary organic aerosols in Los Angeles during CalNex 2010, Atmos.
- 838 Chem. Phys., 15, 5773-5801, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5773-2015, 2015.
- 839
- 840 Heald, C. L., Coe, H., Jimenez, J. L., Weber, R. J., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., Russell, L.
- 841 M., Jolleys, M., Fu, T. M., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Capes, G., Crosier, J., Morgan, W. T.,
- 842 Robinson, N. H., Williams, P. I., Cubison, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., and Dunlea, E. J.: Exploring the
- 843 vertical profile of atmospheric organic aerosol: comparing 17 aircraft field campaigns with a
- 844 global model, Atmos Chem Phys, 11, 12673-12696, 10.5194/acp-11-12673-2011, 2011.
- 845
- 846 Heald, C. L., Goldstein, A. H., Allan, J. D., Aiken, A. C., Apel, E., Atlas, E. L., Baker, A. K.,
- 847 Bates, T. S., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Campos, T., Coe, H., Crounse, J. D., DeCarlo, P. F.,
- 848 de Gouw, J. A., Dunlea, E. J., Flocke, F. M., Fried, A., Goldan, P., Griffin, R. J., Herndon, S. C.,
- 849 Holloway, J. S., Holzinger, R., Jimenez, J. L., Junkermann, W., Kuster, W. C., Lewis, A. C.,
- Meinardi, S., Millet, D. B., Onasch, T., Polidori, A., Quinn, P. K., Riemer, D. D., Roberts, J. M., 850
- 851 Salcedo, D., Sive, B., Swanson, A. L., Talbot, R., Warneke, C., Weber, R. J., Weibring, P.,
- 852 Wennberg, P. O., Worsnop, D. R., Wittig, A. E., Zhang, R., Zheng, J., and Zheng, W.: Total
- 853 observed organic carbon (TOOC) in the atmosphere: a synthesis of North American
- 854 observations, Atmos Chem Phys, 8, 2007-2025, 10.5194/acp-8-2007-2008, 2008.
- 855
- 856 Hidy, G. M., Blanchard, C. L., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E., Tanenbaum, S., Shaw, S., Knipping,
  - 857 E., Tombach, I., Jansen, J., and Walters, J.: Chemical climatology of the southeastern United
  - 858 States, 1999-2013, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 11893-11914, 10.5194/acp-14-11893-2014, 2014.
  - 859

860 Hodzic, A., and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling anthropogenically controlled secondary organic 861 aerosols in a megacity: a simplified framework for global and climate models, Geosci Model 862 Dev, 4, 901-917, 10.5194/gmd-4-901-2011, 2011.

863

864 Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L.,

- 865 Krechmer, J. E., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y. J., de Sá, S. S., McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu,
- 866 M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G.,
- 867 Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A.,
- 868 Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander,
- 869 M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F., and Jimenez, J. L.:
- 870 Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol
- 871 (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11807-
- 872 11833, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015, 2015.
- 873





- Hudson, P. K., Murphy, D. M., Cziczo, D. J., Thomson, D. S., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C.,
- 875 Holloway, J., Jost, J. R., and Hubler, G.: Biomass-burning particle measurements: Characteristic
- 876 composition and chemical processing, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 109, Artn D23s27
- 877 10.1029/2003jd004398, 2004.
- 878
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H.,
- B80 DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M.,
- Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin,
- 882 C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M.,
- Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A.,
- Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F.,
- 885 Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A.,
- 886 Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J.
- 887 R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C.,
- 888 Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic
- 889 Aerosols in the Atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525-1529, 10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Drewnick, F., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Middlebrook, A.
- M., Slowik, J. G., Zhang, Q., Coe, H., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Comment on "The
   effects of molecular weight and thermal decomposition on the sensitivity of a thermal desorption
- aerosol mass spectrometer", Aerosol Sci Tech, 50, I-Xv, 10.1080/02786826.2016.1205728,
- 894 2016.
- 895
- Kahn, R. A., and Gaitley, B. J.: An analysis of global aerosol type as retrieved by MISR, J
  Geophys Res-Atmos, 120, 4248-4281, 10.1002/2015jd023322, 2015.
- 898
- Kaiser, J., Jacob, D. J., Zhu, L., Travis, K. R., Fisher, J. A., Abad, G. G., Zhang, L., Zhang, X.
  S., Fried, A., Crounse, J. D., St Clair, J. M., and Wisthaler, A.: High-resolution inversion of OMI
  formaldehyde columns to quantify isoprene emission on ecosystem-relevant scales: application
  to the southeast US, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 5483-5497, 10.5194/acp-18-5483-2018, 2018.
- 903
- Kiehl, J. T., and Briegleb, B. P.: The Relative Roles of Sulfate Aerosols and Greenhouse Gases
  in Climate Forcing, Science, 260, 311-314, DOI 10.1126/science.260.5106.311, 1993.
- 906
- 907 Kim, P. S., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Travis, K., Yu, K., Zhu, L., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M.
- 908 P., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Froyd, K. D., Liao, J., Hair, J. W., Fenn, M. A., Butler, C.
- 909 F., Wagner, N. L., Gordon, T. D., Welti, A., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St Clair, J. M.,
- 910 Teng, A. P., Millet, D. B., Schwarz, J. P., Markovic, M. Z., and Perring, A. E.: Sources,
- seasonality, and trends of southeast US aerosol: an integrated analysis of surface, aircraft, and
- satellite observations with the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model, Atmos Chem Phys, 15,
  10411-10433, 10.5194/acp-15-10411-2015, 2015.
- 914
- 915 Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Murphy, S. M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic
- 916 aerosol formation from isoprene photooxidation, Environ Sci Technol, 40, 1869-1877,
  917 10.1021/es0524301, 2006.
- 918
- 219 Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C.,





- 920 Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J.,
- 921 Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van
- 922 Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850-2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of
- 923 reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 7017-7039, 924 10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- 925
- 926 Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E. J.,
- 927 Gleason, J. F., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Irie, H., Cede, A., Herman, J., Weinheimer, A.,
- 928 Szykman, J. J., and Knepp, T. N.: Evaluation of OMI operational standard NO2 column
- 929 retrievals using in situ and surface-based NO2 observations, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 11587-
- 930 11609, 10.5194/acp-14-11587-2014, 2014.
- 931
- 932 Lee-Taylor, J., Hodzic, A., Madronich, S., Aumont, B., Camredon, M., and Valorso, R.:
- 933 Multiday production of condensing organic aerosol mass in urban and forest outflow, Atmos 934 Chem Phys, 15, 595-615, 10.5194/acp-15-595-2015, 2015.
- 935
- 936 Levelt, P. F., Van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Malkki, A., Visser, H., de Vries, J.,
- 937 Stammes, P., Lundell, J. O. V., and Saari, H.: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Ieee T Geosci 938 Remote, 44, 1093-1101, 10.1109/Tgrs.2006.872333, 2006.
- 939

940 Levy, R. C., Munchak, L. A., Mattoo, S., Patadia, F., Remer, L. A., and Holz, R. E.: Towards a 941 long-term global aerosol optical depth record: applying a consistent aerosol retrieval algorithm to 942 MODIS and VIIRS-observed reflectance, Atmos Meas Tech, 8, 4083-4110, 10.5194/amt-8-943 4083-2015, 2015.

944

945 Liu, X. X., Huey, L. G., Yokelson, R. J., Selimovic, V., Simpson, I. J., Muller, M., Jimenez, J. 946 L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Butterfield, Z., Choi, Y., Crounse, J. D., 947 Day, D. A., Diskin, G. S., Dubey, M. K., Fortner, E., Hanisco, T. F., Hu, W. W., King, L. E., 948 Kleinman, L., Meinardi, S., Mikoviny, T., Onasch, T. B., Palm, B. B., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., 949 Ryerson, T. B., Sachse, G. W., Sedlacek, A. J., Shilling, J. E., Springston, S., St Clair, J. M., 950 Tanner, D. J., Teng, A. P., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A., and Wolfe, G. M.: Airborne 951 measurements of western US wildfire emissions: Comparison with prescribed burning and air 952 guality implications, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 122, 6108-6129, 10.1002/2016jd026315, 2017. 953 Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and Seasonal 954 Trends in Particle Concentration and Optical Extinction in the United-States, J Geophys Res-955 Atmos, 99, 1347-1370, Doi 10.1029/93jd02916, 1994. 956 Malm, W. C., Schichtel, B. A., Hand, J. L., and Collett, J. L.: Concurrent Temporal and Spatial

- 957
- 958 Trends in Sulfate and Organic Mass Concentrations Measured in the IMPROVE Monitoring
- 959 Program, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 122, 10341-10355, 10.1002/2017jd026865, 2017.
- 960
- 961 Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu, W., Krechmer,
- 962 J., Zhu, L., Kim, P. S., Miller, C. C., Fisher, J. A., Travis, K., Yu, K., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G.
- 963 M., Arkinson, H. L., Pye, H. O. T., Froyd, K. D., Liao, J., and McNeill, V. F.: Aqueous-phase
- 964 mechanism for secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene: application to the southeast
- 965 United States and co-benefit of SO2 emission controls, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 1603-1618,





- 966 10.5194/acp-16-1603-2016, 2016.
- 967
- 968 Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Turner, J. R., and Mickley, L. J.: Evidence of 1991-2013 decrease of
- biogenic secondary organic aerosol in response to SO2 emission controls, Environ Res Lett, 12,
   ARTN 054018
- 971 10.1088/1748-9326/aa69c8, 2017.
- 972
- 973 McDonald, B. C., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Jathar, S. H., Akherati, A., Cappa, C. D.,
- Jimenez, J. L., Lee-Taylor, J., Hayes, P. L., McKeen, S. A., Cui, Y. Y., Kim, S. W., Gentner, D.
- 975 R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Harley, R. A., Frost, G. J., Roberts, J. M.,
- 976 Ryerson, T. B., and Trainer, M.: Volatile chemical products emerging as largest petrochemical
- source of urban organic emissions, Science, 359, 760-764, ARTN aaq0524
- 978 10.1126/science.aaq0524, 2018.
- 979
- Millet, D. B., Jacob, D. J., Boersma, K. F., Fu, T. M., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., Heald, C. L.,
  and Guenther, A.: Spatial distribution of isoprene emissions from North America derived from
- formaldehyde column measurements by the OMI satellite sensor, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113,
   Artn D02307
- 984 10.1029/2007id008950, 2008.
- 985
- 986 Murphy, D. M., Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hudson, P. K., Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A.
- 987 M., Peltier, R. E., Sullivan, A., Thomson, D. S., and Weber, R. J.: Single-particle mass
- spectrometry of tropospheric aerosol particles, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 111, Artn D23s32
  10.1029/2006jd007340, 2006.
- 990
- Na, K., Kim, Y. P., and Moon, K. C.: Seasonal variation of the C-2-C-9 hydrocarbons
- concentrations and compositions emitted from motor vehicles in a Seoul tunnel, Atmos Environ,
  36, 1969-1978, Pii S1352-2310(02)00149-8
- 994 Doi 10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00149-8, 2002.
- 995
- Na, K., Moon, K. C., and Kim, Y. P.: Source contribution to aromatic VOC concentration and
  ozone formation potential in the atmosphere of Seoul, Atmos Environ, 39, 5517-5524,
  10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.06.005, 2005.
- 999
- 1000 B. A. Nault, P. Campuzano-Jost, D. A. Day, J. C. Schroder, D. R. Blake, M. R. Canagaratna, J.
- 1001 A. de Gouw, F. Flocke, A. Fried, J. B. Gilman, T. F. Hanisco, L. G. Huey, B. T. Jobson, W. C.
- 1002 Kuster, B. Lefer, J. Liao, D. D. Montzka, I. B. Pollack, J. Peischl, B. Rappenglueck, J. M.
- 1003 Roberts, T. B. Ryerson, J.Stutz, P. Weibring, A. J. Weinheimer, E. C. Wood, and J. L. Jimenez:
- 1004 Quantification of the Rapid Photochemical Secondary Organic Aerosol Production Observed
- across Megacities around the World, in preparation for submission to Nature Geoscience orPNAS.
- 1007
- 1008 Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Chan, A. W. H., Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.:
- 1009 Secondary organic aerosol formation from m-xylene, toluene, and benzene, Atmos Chem Phys,
- 1010 7, 3909-3922, DOI 10.5194/acp-7-3909-2007, 2007.
- 1011





- 1012 Ovadnevaite, J., Zuend, A., Laaksonen, A., Sanchez, K. J., Roberts, G., Ceburnis, D., Decesari,
  1013 S., Rinaldi, M., Hodas, N., Facchini, M. C., Seinfeld, J. H., and Dowd, C. O.: Surface tension
- 1014 prevails over solute effect in organic-influenced cloud droplet activation, Nature, 546, 637-641,
- 1015 10.1038/nature22806, 2017.
- 1016
- 1017 Palm, B. B., de Sa, S. S., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Hu, W. W., Seco, R., Sjostedt, S. J.,
- Park, J. H., Guenther, A. B., Kim, S., Brito, J., Wurm, F., Artaxo, P., Thalman, R., Wang, J.,
  Yee, L. D., Wernis, R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Liu, Y. J., Springston, S. R.,
- 1019 Fee, L. D., weinis, K., Isaachan-vanweitz, G., Goldstein, A. H., Liu, T. J., Springston, S. K 1020 Souza, R., Newburn, M. K., Alexander, M. L., Martin, S. T., and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary
- 1020 souza, K., Newburn, M. K., Alexander, M. L., Martin, S. T., and Jinenez, J. L. Secondary 1021 organic aerosol formation from ambient air in an oxidation flow reactor in central Amazonia,
- 1022 Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 467-493, 10.5194/acp-18-467-2018, 2018.
- 1023
- Pope, C. A., Burnett, R. T., Thun, M. J., Calle, E. E., Krewski, D., Ito, K., and Thurston, G. D.:
  Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution,
  Jama-J Am Med Assoc, 287, 1132-1141, DOI 10.1001/jama.287.9.1132, 2002.
- 1027
  1028 Pye, H. O. T., Chan, A. W. H., Barkley, M. P., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global modeling of organic
  1029 aerosol: the importance of reactive nitrogen (NOx and NO3), Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 1126111276, 10.5194/acp-10-11261-2010, 2010.
- 1031
- Pye, H. O. T., Murphy, B. N., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Carlton, A. G., Guo, H., Weber, R., Vasilakos,
  P., Appel, K. W., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Surratt, J. D., Nenes, A., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L.,
  Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Misztal, P. K., and Goldstein, A. H.: On the implications of aerosol
  liquid water and phase separation for organic aerosol mass, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 343-369,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-343-2017, 2017.
- Richter, D., Weibring, P., Walega, J. G., Fried, A., Spuler, S. M., and Taubman, M. S.: Compact
  highly sensitive multi-species airborne mid-IR spectrometer, Appl Phys B-Lasers O, 119, 119131, 10.1007/s00340-015-6038-8, 2015.
- Ridley, D. A., Heald, C. L., Ridley, K. J., and Kroll, J. H.: Causes and consequences of
  decreasing atmospheric organic aerosol in the United States, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 115, 290295, 10.1073/pnas.1700387115, 2018.
- 1045

- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop,
  A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile
  emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, 10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.
- 1049 Ryerson, T. B., Andrews, A. E., Angevine, W. M., Bates, T. S., Brock, C. A., Cairns, B., Cohen,
- 1050 R. C., Cooper, O. R., de Gouw, J. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Ferrare, R. A., Fischer, M. L., Flagan, R.
- 1051 C., Goldstein, A. H., Hair, J. W., Hardesty, R. M., Hostetler, C. A., Jimenez, J. L., Langford, A.
- 1052 O., McCauley, E., McKeen, S. A., Molina, L. T., Nenes, A., Oltmans, S. J., Parrish, D. D.,
- 1053 Pederson, J. R., Pierce, R. B., Prather, K., Quinn, P. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Senff, C. J., Sorooshian,
- 1054 A., Stutz, J., Surratt, J. D., Trainer, M., Volkamer, R., Williams, E. J., and Wofsy, S. C.: The
- 1055 2010 California Research at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate Change (CalNex) field study,
- 1056 J Geophys Res-Atmos, 118, 5830-5866, 10.1002/jgrd.50331, 2013.
- 1057





- 1058 Ryerson, T. B., Trainer, M., Holloway, J. S., Parrish, D. D., Huey, L. G., Sueper, D. T., Frost, G.
- 1059 J., Donnelly, S. G., Schauffler, S., Atlas, E. L., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Hubler, G.,
- 1060 Meagher, J. F., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Observations of ozone formation in power plant plumes
- and implications for ozone control strategies, Science, 292, 719-723, DOI
- 1062 10.1126/science.1058113, 2001.
- 1063
- Seco, R., Karl, T., Guenther, A., Hosman, K. P., Pallardy, S. G., Gu, L. H., Geron, C., Harley, P.,
  and Kim, S.: Ecosystem-scale volatile organic compound fluxes during an extreme drought in a
  broadleaf temperate forest of the Missouri Ozarks (central USA), Global Change Biol, 21, 36573674, 10.1111/gcb.12980, 2015.
- 1068
- 1069 Schroder, J.C, P. Campuzano-Jost, D.A. Day, V. Shah, K. Larson, J.M. Sommers, A.P. Sullivan,
- 1070 T. Campos, J.M. Reeves, A. Hills, R. S. Hornbrook, N.J. Blake, E. Scheuer, H. Guo, D.L.
- 1071 Fibiger, E.E. McDuffie, P.L. Hayes, R.J. Weber, J.E. Dibb, E.C. Apel, L. Jaeglé, S.S. Brown,
- 1072 J.A. Thornton, J.L. Jimenez. Sources and Secondary Production of Organic Aerosols in the
- 1073 Northeastern US during WINTER. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., revised, 2018.
- 1074
- 1075 Shrivastava, M., Cappa, C. D., Fan, J. W., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A. B., Jimenez, J. L.,
- 1076 Kuang, C., Laskin, A., Martin, S. T., Ng, N. L., Petaja, T., Pierce, J. R., Rasch, P. J., Roldin, P.,
- 1077 Seinfeld, J. H., Shilling, J., Smith, J. N., Thornton, J. A., Volkamer, R., Wang, J., Worsnop, D.
- 1078 R., Zaveri, R. A., Zelenyuk, A., and Zhang, Q.: Recent advances in understanding secondary
- 1079 organic aerosol: Implications for global climate forcing, Rev Geophys, 55, 509-559,
  1080 10.1002/2016rg000540, 2017.
- 1081
- Solomon, P. A., Crumpler, D., Flanagan, J. B., Jayanty, R. K. M., Rickman, E. E., and McDade,
  C. E.: US National PM2.5 Chemical Speciation Monitoring Networks-CSN and IMPROVE:
  Description of networks, J Air Waste Manage, 64, 1410-1438, 10.1080/10962247.2014.956904,
  2014.
- 1087 Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y.
- 1088 Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.), IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical
- 1089 Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
- 1090 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- 1091 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.
- 1092
- 1093
- 1094Taylor, K. E., and Penner, J. E.: Response of the Climate System to Atmospheric Aerosols and1095Greenhouse Gases, Nature, 369, 734-737, DOI 10.1038/369734a0, 1994.
- 1096
- Tong, D. Q., Lamsal, L., Pan, L., Ding, C., Kim, H., Lee, P., Chai, T. F., Pickering, K. E., and
  Stajner, I.: Long-term NOx trends over large cities in the United States during the great
  recession: Comparison of satellite retrievals, ground observations, and emission inventories,
- 1100 Atmos Environ, 107, 70-84, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.035, 2015.
- 1101
- 1102 Toon, O. B., Maring, H., Dibb, J., Ferrare, R., Jacob, D. J., Jensen, E. J., Luo, Z. J., Mace, G. G.,
- 1103 Pan, L. L., Pfister, L., Rosenlof, K. H., Redemann, J., Reid, J. S., Singh, H. B., Thompson, A.





- 1104 M., Yokelson, R., Minnis, P., Chen, G., Jucks, K. W., and Pszenny, A.: Planning,
- 1105 implementation, and scientific goals of the Studies of Emissions and Atmospheric Composition,
- 1106 Clouds and Climate Coupling by Regional Surveys (SEAC(4)RS) field mission, J Geophys Res-
- 1107 Atmos, 121, 4967-5009, 10.1002/2015jd024297, 2016.
- 1108
- 1109 Torres, O., Tanskanen, A., Veihelmann, B., Ahn, C., Braak, R., Bhartia, P. K., Veefkind, P., and
- 1110 Levelt, P.: Aerosols and surface UV products from Ozone Monitoring Instrument observations:
- 1111 An overview, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 112, Artn D24s47
- 1112 10.1029/2007jd008809, 2007.
- 1113
- 1114 Travis, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Zhu, L., Yu, K., Miller, C. C.,
- 1115 Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Thompson, A. M., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St Clair,
- 1116 J. M., Cohen, R. C., Laughner, J. L., Dibb, J. E., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Wolfe, G. M., Pollack,
- 1117 I. B., Peischl, J., Neuman, J. A., and Zhou, X. L.: Why do models overestimate surface ozone in 1118 the Southeast United States?, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 13561-13577, 10.5194/acp-16-13561-2016,
- the Southeast United States?, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 13561-13577, 10.5194/acp-16-13561-2016,
  2016.
- 1120
- 1121 Tsigaridis, K., Daskalakis, N., Kanakidou, M., Adams, P. J., Artaxo, P., Bahadur, R., Balkanski,
- 1122 Y., Bauer, S. E., Bellouin, N., Benedetti, A., Bergman, T., Berntsen, T. K., Beukes, J. P., Bian,
- 1123 H., Carslaw, K. S., Chin, M., Curci, G., Diehl, T., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Gong, S. L., Hodzic,
- A., Hoyle, C. R., Iversen, T., Jathar, S., Jimenez, J. L., Kaiser, J. W., Kirkevag, A., Koch, D.,
  Kokkola, H., Lee, Y. H., Lin, G., Liu, X., Luo, G., Ma, X., Mann, G. W., Mihalopoulos, N.,
- Kokkola, H., Lee, Y. H., Lin, G., Liu, X., Luo, G., Ma, X., Mann, G. W., Mihalopoulos, N.,
  Morcrette, J. J., Muller, J. F., Myhre, G., Myriokefalitakis, S., Ng, N. L., O'Donnell, D., Penner,
- J. E., Pozzoli, L., Pringle, K. J., Russell, L. M., Schulz, M., Sciare, J., Seland, O., Shindell, D. T.,
- 1128 Sillman, S., Skeie, R. B., Spracklen, D., Stavrakou, T., Steenrod, S. D., Takemura, T., Tiitta, P.,
- 1129 Tilmes, S., Tost, H., van Noije, T., van Zyl, P. G., von Salzen, K., Yu, F., Wang, Z., Wang, Z.,
- Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, H., Zhang, K., Zhang, Q., and Zhang, X.: The AeroCom evaluation and
  intercomparison of organic aerosol in global models, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 10845-10895,
  10.5194/acp-14-10845-2014, 2014.
- 1132
- Veefkind, J. P., Boersma, K. F., Wang, J., Kurosu, T. P., Krotkov, N., Chance, K., and Levelt, P.
  F.: Global satellite analysis of the relation between aerosols and short-lived trace gases, Atmos
  Cham Plane, 11, 1255, 12(7, 10, 5104/ser, 11, 1255, 2011, 2011)
- 1136 Chem Phys, 11, 1255-1267, 10.5194/acp-11-1255-2011, 2011.
- 1137
- 1138 Wagner, N. L., Brock, C. A., Angevine, W. M., Beyersdorf, A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D., de
- 1139 Gouw, J. A., Diskin, G. S., Gordon, T. D., Graus, M. G., Holloway, J. S., Huey, G., Jimenez, J.
- 1140 L., Lack, D. A., Liao, J., Liu, X., Markovic, M. Z., Middlebrook, A. M., Mikoviny, T., Peischl,
- 1141 J., Perring, A. E., Richardson, M. S., Ryerson, T. B., Schwarz, J. P., Warneke, C., Welti, A.,
- 1142 Wisthaler, A., Ziemba, L. D., and Murphy, D. M.: In situ vertical profiles of aerosol extinction,
- mass, and composition over the southeast United States during SENEX and SEAC(4)RS:
  observations of a modest aerosol enhancement aloft, Atmos Chem Phys, 15, 7085-7102,
- observations of a modest aerosol enhancement aloft, Atmos Ch
  1145 10.5194/acp-15-7085-2015, 2015.
- 1145
- 1147 Walgraeve, C., Demeestere, K., Dewulf, J., Zimmermann, R., and Van Langenhove, H.:
- 1148 Oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in atmospheric particulate matter: Molecular
- 1149 characterization and occurrence, Atmos Environ, 44, 1831-1846,





- 1150 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.004, 2010.
- 1151Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Stohl, A., Cooper, O. R., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Holloway,
- J. S., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., McKeen, S. A., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Atlas, E. L.,
  Donnelly, S. G., Stroud, V., Lueb, A., and Kato, S.: Biomass burning and anthropogenic sources
  of CO over New England in the summer 2004, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 111, Artn D23s15
  10.1029/2005jd006878, 2006.
- 1157
- 1158 Warneke, C., Veres, P., Holloway, J. S., Stutz, J., Tsai, C., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B.,
- 1159 Fehsenfeld, F. C., Graus, M., Gilman, J. B., and de Gouw, J. A.: Airborne formaldehyde
- measurements using PTR-MS: calibration, humidity dependence, inter-comparison and initial
   results, Atmos Meas Tech, 4, 2345-2358, 10.5194/amt-4-2345-2011, 2011.
- 11621163 Weibring, P., Richter, D., Fried, A., Walega, J. G., and Dyroff, C.: Ultra-high-precision mid-IR
- spectrometer II: system description and spectroscopic performance, Appl Phys B-Lasers O, 85,
   207-218, 10.1007/s00340-006-2300-4, 2006.
- 1166
- Wolfe, G. M., Kaiser, J., Hanisco, T. F., Keutsch, F. N., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Graus,
  M., Hatch, C. D., Holloway, J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, B. H., Lerner, B. M., Lopez-Hilifiker, F.,
  Mao, J., Marvin, M. R., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T. B., Thornton, J. A.,
  Veres, P. R., and Warneke, C.: Formaldehyde production from isoprene oxidation across NOx
  regimes, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 2597-2610, 10.5194/acp-16-2597-2016, 2016.
- 1172
- 1173 Worton, D.R., J.D. Surratt, B.W. Lafranchi, A.W.H. Chan, Y. Zhao, R. Weber, J. Park, J.B.
- 1174 Gilman, J. de Gouw, C. Park, G. Schade, M. Beaver, J. StClair, J. Crounse, P. Wennberg, G.
- 1175 Wolfe, S. Harrold, J. Thornton, D. K. Farmer, K.S. Docherty, Mi.J. Cubison, J.L. Jimenez, A.
- 1176 Frossard, L. Russell, K. Kristensen, M. Glasius, J. Mao, X. Ren, W.H. Brune, E. Browne, S.
- 1177 Pusede, R. Cohen, J.H. Seinfeld and A.H. Goldstein. Observational Insights into Aerosol
- Formation from Isoprene. Environmental Science & Technology, 47, 11403-11413,doi:10.1021/es4011064, 2013.
- 1180
- Xu, L., Middlebrook, A. M., Liao, J., de Gouw, J. A., Guo, H. Y., Weber, R. J., Nenes, A.,
  Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lee, B. H., Thornton, J. A., Brock, C. A., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B.,
  Pollack, I. B., Welti, A., Graus, M., Warneke, C., and Ng, N. L.: Enhanced formation of
  isoprene-derived organic aerosol in sulfur-rich power plant plumes during Southeast Nexus, J
  Geophys Res-Atmos, 121, 11137-11153, 10.1002/2016jd025156, 2016.
- 1186
- Xu, L., Suresh, S., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Aerosol characterization over the
  southeastern United States using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: spatial and seasonal
  variation of aerosol composition and sources with a focus on organic nitrates, Atmos Chem Phys,
  15, 7307-7336, 10.5194/acp-15-7307-2015, 2015.
- 1191
- 1192 Yu, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Miller, C. C., Travis, K. R., Zhu,
- 1193 L., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Fried, A., Mikoviny, T.,
- 1194 Ryerson, T. B., Wennberg, P. O., and Wisthaler, A.: Sensitivity to grid resolution in the ability of
- a chemical transport model to simulate observed oxidant chemistry under high-isoprene





- 1196 conditions, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 4369-4378, 10.5194/acp-16-4369-2016, 2016.
- 1197
- 1198 Zhang, H. F., Yee, L. D., Lee, B. H., Curtis, M. P., Worton, D. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G.,
- 1199 Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Beaver, M. R., Holder, A. L., Lonneman,
- 1200 W. A., Docherty, K. S., Jaoui, M., Pye, H. O. T., Hu, W. W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P.,
- Jimenez, J. L., Guo, H. Y., Weber, R. J., de Gouw, J., Koss, A. R., Edgerton, E. S., Brune, W.,
- Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Kreisberg, N. M., Spielman, S. R., Hering, S. V.,
  Wilson, K. R., Thornton, J. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Monoterpenes are the largest source of
- summertime organic aerosol in the southeastern United States, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 115, 20382043, 10.1073/pnas.1717513115, 2018.
- 1206
- 1207 Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Keutsch, F. N., Mickley, L. J., Scheffe, R., Strum, M., Abad, G. G.,
- 1208 Chance, K., Yang, K., Rappengluck, B., Millet, D. B., Baasandorj, M., Jaegle, L., and Shah, V.:
- 1209 Formaldehyde (HCHO) As a Hazardous Air Pollutant: Mapping Surface Air Concentrations
- from Satellite and Inferring Cancer Risks in the United States, Environ Sci Technol, 51, 56505657, 10.1021/acs.est.7b01356, 2017.
- 1212
- Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Kim, P. S., Fisher, J. A., Yu, K., Travis, K. R., Mickley, L. J., Yantosca, R.
  M., Sulprizio, M. P., De Smedt, I., Abad, G. G., Chance, K., Li, C., Ferrare, R., Fried, A., Hair, J.
- 1215 W., Hanisco, T. F., Richter, D., Scarino, A. J., Walega, J., Weibring, P., and Wolfe, G. M.:
- 1216 Observing atmospheric formaldehyde (HCHO) from space: validation and intercomparison of six
- 1217 retrievals from four satellites (OMI, GOME2A, GOME2B, OMPS) with SEAC(4)RS aircraft
- 1218 observations over the southeast US, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 13477-13490, 10.5194/acp-1613477-2016, 2016.
- 1220
- 1221 Ziemann, P.J., and Atkinson, R. Kinetics, products, and mechanisms of secondary organic
- aerosol formation. Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6582-6605, doi: 10.1039/C2CS35122F, 2012.
- 1223





- 1224 Tables
- 1225

1226 Table 1. Linear regression parameters for OA vs. HCHO at low altitudes (<1 km)

1227

	US	US (DC3)	US	South Korea	Wild Fires	Agricultural	SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS	SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS
	(SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS)		(CalNex)	(KORUS-	(SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS)	Fires	Low NO <sub>2</sub>	high NO <sub>2</sub>
				AQ)		(SEAC'RS)	and	and
							Isoprene	Isoprene
				In situ measurei	nents OA v.s. HCl	HO		
Slope <sup>a</sup>	$1.93 \pm 0.07$	$1.30 \pm 0.10$	$1.34 \pm 0.02$	$2.75 \pm 0.05$	$25.08 \pm 0.30$	3.22±0.37	$1.99 \pm 0.07$	$1.19 \pm 0.16$
Slope <sup>b</sup>	9.61±0.34	$6.49 \pm 0.49$	6.66±0.09	$13.71 \pm 0.25$	$125.05 \pm 1.49$	16.04 ± 1.85	9.94±0.35	$5.94 \pm 0.80$
(×10 <sup>-11</sup> )								
Intercept <sup>c</sup>	0.34±032	1.10±0.30	-0.90±0.06	$1.36 \pm 0.22$	$-6.85 \pm 2.80$	10.41±5.82	-0.96±0.31	$1.07 \pm 1.01$
Correlation	0.59	0.76	0.88	0.70	0.97	0.85	0.64	0.44
coefficient r								
		0	EOS- Chem m	odel sampled alo	ong the flight track	OA v.s. HCHO		
Slope <sup>a</sup>	$1.25 \pm 0.03$			$1.39 \pm 0.05$	$0.48 \pm 0.05$			
Slope	$6.21 \pm 0.14$			$6.95 \pm 0.23$	$2.37 \pm 0.22$			
$(\times 10^{-11})$								
Intercept	$-1.32\pm0.11$			$1.88 \pm 0.07$	0.12±0.03			
Correlation	0.76			0.43	0.53			
Coefficient r								

1228

<sup>b</sup> The unit of the slope is pg molec<sup>-1</sup> <sup>c</sup> The unit of the intercept is μg m<sup>-3</sup>

2 The uncertainties are one standard deviation.

1233

Table 2. Methods to estimate OA surface concentrations, based on the choice of slopeand intercept from a linear regression relationship between OA and HCHO data found inTable 1.

1237

1 <sup>a</sup>	Using non-BB SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS relationship to represent all continental US
2 <sup>b</sup>	Using $NO_2$ and isoprene dependent non-BB SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS relationship for all continental US
3	Using the CalNex LA Basin relationship for large urban cites and the non-biomass burning SEAC <sup>4</sup> RS
	relationship for other US regions
4 <sup>b</sup>	Using the CalNex LA Basin relationship for large urban cites and the NO <sub>2</sub> and isoprene dependent

non-BB SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS relationship for other US regions

1238

1239 <sup>a</sup>SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS was chosen to represent all continental US because it had the largest horizontal and vertical coverage.

1240 <sup>b</sup> In methods 2 and 4, when the product of NO<sub>2</sub> column (Sect. 2.3) and surface isoprene emission rate (Sect. 2.4) was

- 1241 above threshold of  $5 \times 10^{27}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup> atom C cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the slope and intercept from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS high isoprene and NO<sub>2</sub>
- 1242 conditions were used. When the NO<sub>2</sub> column-isoprene emission product was below that threshold, the slope and
- 1243 intercept from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS low isoprene and NO<sub>2</sub> conditions were used. Threshold of "Isoprene  $\times$  NO<sub>2</sub>" is determined by
- 1244 its mean value over southeast US (83° 96° W and 32° 35°N). Large urban cities are categorized with high NO<sub>2</sub>
- 1245 vertical columns (>4  $\times 10^{15}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup>) (Tong et al., 2015) based on the satellite NO<sub>2</sub> levels over LA. Isoprene

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The unit of the slope is  $gg^{-1}$ .





- 1246 emissions instead of concentrations are used because global models use isoprene emission inventory to simulate
- 1247 isoprene concentrations and isoprene emission inventory is easier to access. Since isoprene has a short-lifetime of up to
- 1248 a few hours (Guenther et al., 2006), the emissions have a similar spatiotemporal distribution as the concentrations.
- 1249





1250

- 1251 Figures
- 1252



Figure 1. Flight tracks of airborne field campaigns SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS (blue), DC3 (black),
CalNex (red) and KORUS-AQ (green), of which in situ OA and HCHO measurements
were used.

- 1257
- 1259
- 1260
- 1261







1262

1263 1264

1265

1266 Figure 2

1267 Scatter plots of in situ OA ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) vs. HCHO ( $\mu g m^{-3}$  or molec cm<sup>-3</sup>) from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS 1268 (excluding biomass burning) (blue), DC3 (dark grey), CalNex (pink), and KORUS-AQ 1269 (green) low altitude (< 1 km) data. Inset shows wildfire (brown), and agricultural fire 1270 (grey) SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS data. SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS biomass burning cases are defined as acetonitrile > 200 1271 pptv. The linear regression fits are shown as the darker lines and correlation coefficients 1272 are provided.

1273

1274







1276 SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS HCHO ( $\mu g$  m<sup>3</sup>) 1277 Figure 3. (a) A scatter plot of OA vs. HCHO for SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS non-biomass burning low 1278 altitude data color-coded with the product of NO<sub>2</sub> and isoprene in log scale. The red and 1279 blue lines are the linear regression fits of high (> 0.5) and low (<0.5) product of NO<sub>2</sub> 1280 (ppbv) and isoprene (ppbv), respectively. (b) A scatter plot of OA vs. HCHO for 1281 KORUS-AQ data color-coded by log(NO<sub>2</sub>).

1282 1283

1284



1285 1286

1287Figure 4 Scatter plots of OA vs. HCHO for US (SEAC4RS altitude < 1 km non-biomass</th>1288burning), South Korea (KORUS-AQ altitude < 1 km) and wildfire (SEAC4RS) from in</td>1289situ measurements (a, b, c) and GEOS-Chem outputs sampled along the flight tracks1290(d,e,f).







1292

Figure 5. Three typical vertical profiles of the ratio of in situ HCHO concentrations 1293 (molec cm<sup>-3</sup>) to integrated HCHO column from SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS flight track. These three 1294 1295 profiles were located at Kansas-Oklahoma border (red), Arkansas-Tennessee border 1296 (black), and Gulf of Mexico (blue). Solid curves were from GEOS-Chem results and the 1297 dashed were from ISAF measurements. HCHO columns were integrated HCHO 1298 concentrations of these vertical profiles extrapolated from 0 to 10 km, assuming the 1299 HCHO below and above the measured HCHO vertical profiles were the same as the 1300 HCHO at the lowest and highest altitudes sampled, respectively. The boundary layer 1301 heights (BLH) of these three profiles were plotted by the shaded areas. 1302 1303







1304

1305 Figure 6. (a) The maps of (a) surface OA estimate (Case 1), (b) surface aerosol extinction 1306 derived from MODIS AOD, (c) GEOS-Chem simulated surface OA, and (d) EPA 1307 IMPROVE (small dots) and SEARCH (large dots) network ground sites color coded with OA concentrations for August 2013. The scatter plots of (e) surface OA estimate, (f) 1308 1309 surface aerosol extinction derived from MODIS AOD, and (g) surface GEOS-Chem OA 1310 vs. EPA IMPROVE network ground sites OA. IMPROVE sites OA were corrected for 1311 evaporation. (h) The scatter plots of surface OA estimate and GEOS-Chem OA vs. 1312 SEARCH network ground sites OA for August 2013. GEOS-Chem OA and OA estimate 1313 did not have good correlations with SEARCH OA for other years (SI). For the scatter 1314 plots, linear regressions are shown (blue and green lines) and regression equations and 1315 correlation coefficients for the scatter plots are listed. The dashed lines in the scatter plots 1316 indicate the 1 : 1 line. Biomass burning data (UV aerosol index > 1.6) were excluded in 1317 all panels.







Figure 7. The correlation coefficients of the linear regression between the OA estimate
from 4 cases (red, blue, gray, and yellow) vs. EPA-corrected OA from 2008- 2013 for
June, July, and August. The monthly average ambient temperature is in black.