# **Comments and Responses**

Anonymous Referee #1 Received and published: 8 November 2018

5 This manuscript presents a very interesting story combing synoptic weather and transport of air pollutants. Both process analysis and source apportionment techniques can confirm the results, which are scientifically solid. I only have minor concerns on the presentation of results. Specific comments are listed below:

1. Page 2, line 7: references should be added here.

Response: Thanks for your suggestion. We added 3 references here. Please refer to page 2, line 12 in the paper.

10

2. Page 2, line 32: "Liu (2003)..." this sentence is very confusing. Please make it more clear. **Response:** Thanks for your suggestion. We replaced this sentence by the original sentence of the cited paper. It's more clear now. Please refer to page 3, line 5 in the paper.

15 3. Page 8, line 20: EPT should be defined.

**Response:** Thanks for your suggestion. EPT is equivalent potential temperature. We added the definition in page 9 line 5.

- 4. Page 9, line 2: please indicate how is cold front (red line) is diagnosed and plotted?
- 20 **Response:** The cold front is diagnosed from the densely EPT contour near surface and the significant vertical wind shear. The cold front (red line) is manually plotted.

5. Fig 6: the color scale is too large. I would suggest using 0-500.

**Response:** Thanks for your suggestion. Actually, the color scale is only large in Fig. 6a. In Fig. 6c and Fig. 6d, the maximum  $PM_{2.5}$  fluxes are larger than 2000  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, if we use 0-500 color scale, we can not distinguish the differences

of PM<sub>2.5</sub> fluxes between surface and up level.

\_\_\_\_\_

Anonymous Referee #2

30 Received and published: 9 November 2018

This paper tries to reveal impacts of synoptic process on local/regional air quality. The authors focus on cold fronts and their related weather process. This kind of large scale weather system can of course influence local air quality, during its different stage of passing the concerned site. The discussion in this paper is of scientific meaning.

35 My major concern is on the title, since a cold front itself cannot be a threat on air quality. Usually we consider the passage of a cold front as a cleaner to local air pollutants, since stronger winds may accompany to the cold front. Therefore the title is misleading.

Because of the title and related conceptual confusion, many phenomena and processes are not described/interpreted properly in this paper. It happens also for some concluding sentences.

40 In addition, this is only a case study for 18 days of weather processes.

**Response:** Thanks for your comments. Yes, you are right, local air pollutants can be cleaned by cold front because of the stronger winds. But cold front can also bring air pollutants from upstream areas. Lin et al. (2007) suggested that long-range transport of Asian dust and upstream air pollutants by cold fronts are important environmental issues of Taiwan during the winter monsoon season. Liu (2003); Ding et al. (2009) also pointed out that frontal activity plays important

45 roles in the long-range transport of air pollutants.In this study, we found a series of air pollution episodes accompanied by cold frontal passage over YRD from December

2014 to February 2015 (Figure below, not shown in the manuscript, but we added descriptions to the manuscript in page 6 line 18-21.). At least 13 cold frontal cases (thicker black numbers in the figure) were found accompanied by the long-range transport of  $PM_{2.5}$  from NCP to YRD. During these cold frontal cases, air pollution over YRD always occurred 1-2 days later than that over NCP and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations elevated drastically along with the strong northerly winds. It

- 5 is very clear that the rapid increase of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations over YRD in a short period in these cases (case 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 are more obvious than others; in this study, we chose case 8 and the following local accumulation air pollution episode for further discussion) are attributed to cold fronts' transport rather than locally accumulation. If there are no cold frontal passage in these cases, PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations over YRD would be much lower. Therefore, cold fronts are potential air quality threats over YRD. According to above discussions and conclusions, we think that the title of this paper is not
- 10 misleading and the concept is clear. Note that cold front generally deteriorates air quality over YRD in a short time period, but it will finally clean the atmosphere. This study provided a new insight into the understanding of air pollution formation mechanisms over YRD.

Besides, we thoroughly revised the full text, make sure all phenomena and processes are properly described/interpreted.

# 15 Other points:

1)Page 1 line 29: "The results of this study indicate that cold fronts are potential bringers of atmospheric pollutants. . . ", not exactly real.

**Response:** Thanks for your comment. From the results of this study and the picture below, we can conclude that cold fronts are potential bringers of atmospheric pollutants over YRD. But in other places, this conclusion may not be true.

20

2)Page 2 line 11: "Cold fronts are important pollutant transport pathways", what does 'pathway' mean here? **Response:** We changed this sentence to "Cold fronts are important ways of pollutant transport." in page 2 line 16.

3)Page 3 line 1: "Therefore, cold fronts are a potential threat to air quality along its transport pathway", this is never alogic conclusion to previous sentences.

**Response:** Thanks for your comment. We changed this sentence to "Therefore, cold fronts may have significant impact on air quality along its transport pathway." in page 3 line 8.

4)Page 8 line 3: "Observations revealed that the cold front was a carrier of aerosol particles which increased PM<sub>2.5</sub>
30 concentration over YRD in 21 January. This finding. . .", The cold front carries the air pollutants? Or just the fact is that the air pollutants accompanies the cold front?

**Response:** In this cold frontal case, air pollution was formed in NCP, then transported to YRD by cold frontal intrusion. We changed this sentence to "Observations revealed that the cold front pushed polluted airmasses over NCP to YRD, which increased PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration over YRD in 21 January." in page 8 line 5.

35

5)Page 10 line 14: "Through the horizontal advection process, the cold airmass brought aerosols from the NCP to the YRD", what is the evidence?

**Response:** Process analysis technique can provide contributions of each physical/chemical process to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations over YRD. Contributions from horizontal advection process are positive near surface (Fig. 7a) that means

40  $PM_{2.5}$  horizontal inflows are stronger than outflows. The horizontal inflow of  $PM_{2.5}$  mainly comes from NCP, which can be found from the  $PM_{2.5}$  fluxes in Fig. 6.

6)Page 14 line 8: "Cold fronts are important  $PM_{2.5}$  transport pathways", Cold front is. . . transport pathway? What do you mean?

45 **Response:** We change this sentence to "Cold fronts are important ways of PM<sub>2.5</sub> transport" in page 15 line 2.

Ding, A., Wang, T., Xue, L., Gao, J., Stohl, A., Lei, H., Jin, D., Ren, Y., Wang, X., Wei, X., Qi, Y., Liu, J., and Zhang, X.: Transport of

north China air pollution by midlatitude cyclones: Case study of aircraft measurements in summer 2007, J. Geophys. Res., 114, doi:10.1029/2008jd011023, 2009.

Lin, C.-Y., Wang, Z., Chen, W.-N., Chang, S.-Y., Chou, C. C. K., Sugimoto, N., and Zhao, X.: Long-range transport of Asian dust and air pollutants to Taiwan: observed evidence and model simulation, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 423-434, doi:10.5194/acp-7-423-2007, 2007.

5

Liu, H.: Transport pathways for Asian pollution outflow over the Pacific: Interannual and seasonal variations, J. Geophys. Res., 108, doi:10.1029/2002jd003102, 2003.



10 Fig. Observed surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (color) and wind vectors (only the wind speeds greater than 3 m/s are shown) at 14 sites from 1 December 2014 to 28 February 2015. Labels on left axis are latitudes of the 14 observation sites. Red numbers are cold frontal episodes that transport PM<sub>2.5</sub> from NCP to YRD.

15

# Cold fronts—a potential air quality threat over the Yangtze River Delta, China

Hanqing Kang<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Bin Zhu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Jinhui Gao<sup>5</sup>, Yao He<sup>6</sup>, Honglei Wang<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Jifeng Su<sup>7</sup>, Chen Pan<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Tong Zhu<sup>8,9</sup>, Bu Yu<sup>10</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disaster, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory for Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation of China Meteorological Administration, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China

<sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Meteorological Disaster, Ministry of Education (KLME), Nanjing University of Information Science and

10 Technology, Nanjing, China

<sup>4</sup>Joint International Research Laboratory of Climate and Environment Change (ILCEC), Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Ocean Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China <sup>6</sup>Baoji Meteorological Bureau, Baoji, China

<sup>7</sup>The 61 Squad of the 94857 Unit of People's Liberation Army, Wuhu, China
 <sup>8</sup>CIRA, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
 <sup>9</sup>NOAA/NESDIS/STAR/JSCDA, College Park, Maryland, USA
 <sup>10</sup>Hangzhou Meteorological Bureau, Hangzhou, China

Correspondence to: Bin Zhu (binzhu@nuist.edu.cn)

- 20 Abstract. Cold frontal passages usually promote quick removal of atmospheric pollutants over North China (e.g. the Beijing– Tianjin–Hebei region). However, in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), cold fronts pose a potential threat to air quality. In this study, a cold frontal passage and a subsequent stable weather event over YRD during 21–26 January 2015 was investigated with in-situ observations and Weather Research and Forecasting–Community Multiscale Air Quality Modeling System simulations. Observations showed a burst of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution and an obvious southward motion of PM<sub>2.5</sub> peaks on the afternoon
- 25 of 21 January, suggesting a strong inflow of highly polluted airmasses to YRD by a cold frontal passage. Model simulations revealed an existing warm and polluted airmass over YRD, which climbed to the free troposphere along the frontal surface as the cold front passed, increasing the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration at high altitudes. Strong north-westerly flow behind the cold front transported particles from the highly polluted North China Plain (NCP) to YRD. As the cold front intruded into the downstream of YRD, high pressure took control over the YRD, which resulted in a synoptic subsidence that brought particles from the free
- 30 troposphere (1.0–2.0 km) to the surface. After the cold front's passage, weakened winds and a stable atmosphere stayed over the YRD and led to the accumulation of locally emitted PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Tagging of PM<sub>2.5</sub> by geophysical regions showed that the PM<sub>2.5</sub>

contribution from the YRD itself was 35% and the contribution from the NCP was 29% during the cold frontal passage. However, under the subsequent stable weather conditions, the  $PM_{2.5}$  contribution from the YRD increased to 61.5% and the contribution from the NCP decreased to 14.5%. The results of this study indicate that cold fronts are potential bringers of atmospheric pollutants when there are strong air pollutant sources in upstream areas, which may deteriorate air quality in

5 downstream regions.

#### 1. Introduction

Fast economic development and urbanization processes in China have led to an increase in air pollution during the past few decades (Han et al., 2016; Chen and Wang, 2015; Cao et al., 2015). Haze, which is formed by fine particulate extinction, has been the most prevalent atmospheric pollution phenomenon over China in recent years (Huang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017).

10 The fundamental cause of haze is an increase in particulate matter—especially fine particles—with aerodynamic diameters equal to or less than 2.5 μm (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Recently, fine particulate matter has caused wide concern owing to its impacts on regional air quality\_(Wang et al., 2013b), human health\_(Gao et al., 2017), and climate change\_(Rosenfeld et al., 2014). Densely populated city clusters in China (e.g. the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei [BTH] region, Yangtze River Delta [YRD], and Pearl

River Delta) are associate with heavy particle pollution (Wang et al., 2013b; Liao et al., 2015; Wu, 2007). The two largest city

- 15 clusters, BTH and YRD, are geographically close to each other. Significant cross-border transport of PM<sub>2.5</sub> has occurred between BTH and YRD (Li et al., 2013). Cold fronts are important ways of pollutant transport-pathways (Liu, 2003; Mari, 2004) that are usually favourable for the quick removal of atmospheric pollutants in BTH (Zhao et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2016). Meanwhile, the YRD is located south of BTH, where cold fronts transport pollutants from BTH to YRD and exacerbate atmospheric pollution. This indicates that the control of emissions in one city cluster is not sufficient to reduce particulate
- 20 pollution; joint efforts among city clusters are crucial.

The formation mechanisms of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in China remain highly uncertain owing to complex interactions among pollution sources, meteorology, and atmospheric chemical processes (Guo et al., 2014). Generally, high emission intensity, adverse meteorological conditions, secondary aerosol formation, and the regional transport of particles are main factors contributing to the formation of particulate pollution (Sun et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013a; Li et al., 2013). As

- 25 anthropogenic emissions do not vary much from day to day, particulate pollution episodes are more often associated with adverse meteorological conditions, such as weak surface winds, stable stratified conditions, low mixing layers, and winds from particle source regions that transport large volumes of particles (Tao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013a; Li et al., 2017a). Under such weather conditions, substantial amounts of secondary aerosols can be generated and aggravate particulate pollution (Gao et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2014).
- 30 Particulate concentrations have been decreasing since 2013 owing to implementation of the Atmospheric Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan (Wei et al., 2017). However, particle pollution episodes remain frequent, especially in the wintertime. Under the influence of the East Asia winter monsoon, the YRD is dominated by cold air activity in the wintertime. If cold air

activity intensified, cold fronts would intrude into the YRD. In contrast, after a cold front's passage, weakened winds and stable atmosphere remain over the YRD. A regional scale stationary atmosphere is unfavourable for the diffusion of pollutants and leads to haze events, a phenomenon that has been extensively studied over East China (Yang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013b; Wang et al., 2013a; Leng et al., 2016). Lin et al. (2007) suggested that long-range transport of Asian dust and upstream

- 5 air pollutants by cold fronts are important environmental issues of Taiwan during the winter monsoon season. Liu (2003) pointed out that the major process driving Asian pollution outflow in spring is frontal lifting ahead of southeastward-moving cold fronts and transport in the boundary layer behind the cold frontssuggested that frontal lifting to the free troposphere ahead of southeastward moving cold fronts and transport in the boundary layer behind the cold fronts suggested that environmental lifting to the free troposphere ahead of southeastward moving cold fronts and transport in the boundary layer behind the cold front are major processes responsible for the export of Asian anthropogenic pollution. Therefore, cold fronts may have significant impact on air quality along its
- 10 <u>transport pathway. Therefore, cold fronts are a potential threat to air quality along its transport pathway.</u>

In this study, we employed the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) mesoscale meteorological model and the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modelling system to investigate the sources and formation processes of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution during a cold frontal passage and subsequent stable weather condition in January 2015. We investigated the formation processes, horizontal distributions, vertical structures, and contributions from source regions to PM<sub>2.5</sub> over the YRD in both synoptic

15 patterns. Our results highlight the reasons behind high  $PM_{2.5}$  episodes and source contributions to  $PM_{2.5}$  over the YRD are will be helpful to policy-makers in this region.

#### 2. Model description and verification

#### 2.1 Configuration of weather prediction model

The numerical model used in this study was the non-hydrostatic, compressible, two-way interactive Advanced Research WRF

- 20 (version 3.4, Skamarock et al., 2008) coupled with a single-layer urban canopy model (Kusaka et al., 2001; Chen et al. 2004). The simulation domain includes geographical areas (e.g. East China and the Korean Peninsula) with 10 × 10 km horizontal resolution and 220 × 220 grids, centred at 33.5°N and 118°E (Fig. 1). The vertical grid contains 30 full sigma levels from the surface to 50 hPa, the lowest 20 levels of which are below 2 km to better resolve processes within the boundary layer. The WRF interior grid-nudging technique was used to improve meteorological fields simulation. An 18-day simulation (from 00:00
- 25 UTC 10 January 2015 to 00:00 UTC 28 January 2015) was conducted with initial conditions (ICONs) and boundary conditions (BCONs) from the National Center for Environmental Prediction's 1°-grid-spacing operational Global Forecast System Final Analyses. To represent a more realistic urban land type in the study area, fine resolution (30 s) MODIS 20-category land-use data were used.

#### 2.2 Configuration of air quality model

30 The CMAQ (version 5.0.2) was applied to simulate gaseous and particulate air pollutants using a 10-km horizontal grid spacing domain that covered East China and Korea (Fig. 1), while the hourly meteorological field was provided by the mesoscale meteorological model WRFv3.4. A period from 10 January 2015 to 28 January 2015 was selected for the simulation, with the

first 9 days the spin-up period to exclude the impacts of uncertainties in ICONs. The ICONs and BCONs for the CMAQ simulation were obtained from the modelling result of the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers (version 4), an offline global chemical transport model for the troposphere (Emmons et al., 2010). The anthropogenic emissions used in this study were provided by a mosaic Asian monthly anthropogenic emission inventory, MIX (Li et al., 2017b), with a horizontal

- resolution of 0.25° × 0.25°. Biogenic emissions were generated by the Model for Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (version 2.1). The CB05 and AERO6 mechanisms were chosen for gas phase chemistry and aerosols, respectively.
  The process analysis technique introduced by Gipson (1999) was implemented in the CMAQ modelling system to determine the contributions of both physical and chemical processes to simulated species. The physical and chemical processes discussed in this study include vertical advection (ZADV), horizontal advection (HADV), vertical diffusion (VDIF), dry deposition
- 10 (DDEP), cloud processes and aqueous chemistry (CLDS), and aerosol (AERO) processes. The Integrated Source Apportionment Method (ISAM) has been implemented in CMAQ (Kwok et al., 2013). ISAM tracks contributions from ICONs, BCONs, and user-defined source regions to ambient and deposited gases and aerosol particles. Currently, ISAM supports two kinds of PM<sub>2.5</sub> tags: a primary species tag, which tracks the primary emissions of elemental carbon, organic carbon, sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, and other trace elements (e.g. Cl, Na, K, Fe, Ca, Al, Si, Ti, and Mn); and
- a secondary species tag, which tracks secondarily formed sulphate, nitrate, and ammonium, as well as all gaseous species associated with secondary aerosol species formations (e.g. SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, NO<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HONO, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, PAN, and NH<sub>3</sub>).



Figure 1. Modelling domain and source regions. White stars denote the locations of observation sites in Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan. 2.3 Model verification

20 The model-simulated surface meteorological parameters and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were compared with observations obtained from Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan (Fig. 1). Figure 2 compares the surface temperature, relative humidity, wind direction, wind

speed, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations between the simulations and observations from 00:00 LST 19 January 2015 to 00:00 LST 28 January 2015. Simulations from the coupled WRF-CMAQ model appeared to effectively reproduce the variations of meteorological parameters and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at the three observation sites.

Some statistical metrics including the correlation coefficient (R), normalized mean bias (NMB), and normalized mean error
(NME) were calculated to compare simulated results with observations. The NMB and NME were calculated, respectively, by equations (1) and (2):

NMB = 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} O_i} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

NME = 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathbf{M}_{i} - \mathbf{O}_{i}|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{O}_{i}} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

where  $M_i$  represents the simulated value,  $O_i$  represents the observational data, and N denotes the number of data pairs. Statistical comparisons between the observed and simulated variables are shown in Table 1.

10

The correlation coefficients for meteorological parameters, except for wind direction and wind speed at Suzhou and Linan, were found to be around 0.90. This discrepancy is likely because the Suzhou station is located in an urban centre, and the Linan station is located on a hill. The  $10 \times 10$  km model grid was unable to properly represent the complicated urban canopy at Suzhou and the rolling terrain at Linan. The correlation coefficients for PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan

15 were found to be 0.77, 0.68, and 0.74, respectively. This indicates that the time series patterns of PM<sub>2.5</sub> simulations agree well with observations. The NMB and NME for meteorological parameters were found to be relatively small, except for wind speed and wind direction at Suzhou and Linan. The model systematically underestimated PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations by about 20% for all three stations. This can probably be attributed to the coarse model grid size and lower emission resolution. The NME for PM<sub>2.5</sub> at all three stations was found to be below 35%, indicating that model performance was acceptable.



Figure 2. Observed and simulated 2-m air temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), 10-m wind direction (Wdir), wind speed (Wspd), and surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations from 00:00 LST 19 January 2015 to 00:00 LST 28 January 2015 at Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan. 3. Episode description

- 5 The YRD region was suffering from particle pollution in January 2015. The field experiment was carried out from 00:00 LST 19 January 2015 to 00:00 LST 28 January 2015 at Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan (Fig. 1). The Nanjing observation site is located in a suburban area, the Suzhou station is located in an urban area, and the Linan station represents the regional background site. Observations revealed that 9-day mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations reached 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup> at the Nanjing and Suzhou sites. In some high-pollution episodes,  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations reached as high as 300 µg m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 3).
- 10 A short-term burst of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution accompanied by strong northwest winds successively appeared in Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan between 12:00 LST 21 January 2015 and 04:00 LST 22 January 2015 (Fig. 3). The peaks of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations reached Nanjing, Suzhou, and Linan at 16:00 LST, 19:00 LST, and 21:00 LST, respectively, with a 5-hour delay from Nanjing to Linan. This process reveals that a strong north-westerly flow brought a polluted airmass across the YRD. Synoptic maps show dense isobars in the head of the cold front, which appeared over the north (upstream) of the YRD at 08:00 LST 21 January 2015 (Fig.
- 15 4a). At that moment, a south-westerly wind prevailed in the YRD. Twelve hours later, the cold front moved to the East China Sea (downstream of YRD; Fig. 4b). Meanwhile, the wind direction over the YRD shifted to the north-west, which was favourable for the horizontal transport of air pollutants from the upstream area to the YRD.

In order to validate whether this finding was just a special case or not, further investigations were conducted using three months (from December 2014 to February 2015) of  $PM_{2.5}$  and meteorological observation data. The result showed that at least 13 cold

20 <u>frontal processes deteriorated air quality over YRD in the three months (picture not shown). That means cold front frequently</u> exacerbates air pollution over YRD in wintertime, however, we did not notice it before. Note that cold front generally

# deteriorates air quality over YRD in a short time period, but it will finally clean the atmosphere.

After the cold frontal passage, YRD experienced a uniform pressure field for about 3 days (Fig. 4c; Fig. 4d), creating conditions that were unfavourable for the horizontal transport and vertical mixing of atmospheric pollutants (Zhu et al., 2010). Aerosol particles gradually accumulated over the YRD under this stable atmosphere. In order to exclude the impact of the cold front,

5 this study designated the stable period from 24 to 27 January 2015, when the wind speed was relatively small but PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were extremely high (Fig. 3), indicating that the pollution likely originated locally. On 27 January 2015, a strong cold front intruded into the YRD accompanied by precipitation, resulting in the significant removal of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.



Figure 3. PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, wind speeds (Wspd), and wind directions (Wdir) at (a) Nanjing, (b) Suzhou, and (c) Linan.



10



Figure 4. Surface weather patterns over eastern Asia at (a) 08:00 LST 21 January, (b) 20:00 LST 21 January, (c) 08:00 LST 23 January, and (d) 08:00 LST 26 January.

#### 4. Results and discussion

15

5 <u>Observations revealed that the cold front pushed polluted airmasses over NCP to YRD, which increased  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration over YRD in 21 January. Observations revealed that the cold front was a carrier of aerosol particles which increased  $PM_{2.5}$ concentration over YRD in 21 January. This finding is reproduced by the well-evaluated WRF model. Based on this finding, we considered the formation processes and source contributions of  $PM_{2.5}$  pollutions over the YRD during the cold frontal passage and the subsequent stable weather condition.</u>

## 10 4.1 Formation processes of high PM<sub>2.5</sub> during cold frontal passage

A strong wind accompanied by high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations is favourable for the long-range transport of aerosols. Time-averaged  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations and fluxes at the surface and 1.0 km altitude during the cold frontal passage, are shown in Fig. 5. High  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations (> 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and high wind speeds can be observed both at the surface (Fig. 5a) and at 1.0 km (Fig. 5b), resulting in strong  $PM_{2.5}$  fluxes from polluted upstream regions to downstream regions. Mean  $PM_{2.5}$  fluxes at the surface and at 1.0 km were 619 µg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 1072 µg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.  $PM_{2.5}$  fluxes were stronger at 1.0 km than at the surface because the wind speed was higher, while the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were comparable to those at surface levels. We can conclude

that high altitudes are important aerosol transport pathways during cold frontal passage; they are probably more important than surface transport pathways.



Figure 5. Mean wind vectors (arrow), PM<sub>2.5</sub> flux (coloured arrow), and mass concentration (black contour) at (a) the surface and (b) 1.0 km altitude from 12:00 LST 21 January 2015 to 04:00 LST 22 January 2015. The red dashed line (A-B-C) in Fig. 5b denotes the location of the vertical cross section shown in Fig. 6. Points E and F indicate the YRD locations shown in Fig. 6.

- 5 Figure 6 shows a vertical cross section of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration, PM<sub>2.5</sub> flux, and <u>equivalent potential temperature (EPT)</u> along the aerosol transport pathway (indicated by the red dashed line in Fig. 5b) during the cold front passage through the YRD from 12:00 LST 21 January to 04:00 LST 22 January. An obvious cold front can be identified over the northern YRD from the densely EPT contour near surface and vertical wind shear (Fig. 6a). An obvious cold front can be identified over the northern YRD with isentropic
- 10 tilt toward the cold air and parallel to the cold front. Wind vectors show clear downward/upward movements in the north/south of the cold front (red lines in Fig. 6a and 6b).

At noon (12:00 LST) on 21 January, the cold front reached the northern boundary of the YRD accompanied by high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations (> 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and strong  $PM_{2.5}$  fluxes (800–1600 µg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 6a). At the southern end of the cold front, the vertical extent of the high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations (100 µg m<sup>-3</sup>) reached 2.0 km, significantly higher than the boundary layer

- 15 height (around 0.6–0.8 km, not shown in Fig. 6a). Therefore, the vertical transport of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is inferred to be caused by systematic prefrontal upward movements rather than boundary layer turbulent mixing. Surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations exceeded 100 μg m<sup>-3</sup> over the YRD before the cold front's arrival. When the cold front moved into the YRD, it forced the warm and polluted YRD airmass up along the frontal boundary, lifting PM<sub>2.5</sub> into the upper air (Ding et al., 2009). Liu (2003) suggested that this kind of frontal lifting promotes the transport of pollution to the free troposphere.
- 20 In the afternoon (16:00 LST) of 21 January, the cold front intruded into the YRD (Fig. 6b). A deep neutral stratified condition appeared over the YRD because of the strong wind. The high PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration zone moved south alongside the cold front. Aerosols from NCP were transported to the YRD by strong north-westerly flow; hence, increased aerosol concentrations and

fluxes over the YRD.

15

At the end of the cold frontal period (Fig. 6c; Fig. 6d), when the cold front moved to downstream of the YRD, the YRD was under a high-pressure system that resulted in divergence (e.g. the vertical  $PM_{2.5}$  flux at 1.0 km was about  $-0.9 \ \mu g \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ , and at 0.5 km was about  $-0.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ ). Synoptic subsidence behind the cold front would suppress the upward transport of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,

5 increasing the surface particle concentration (Mari, 2004). Downward motions would bring particles from the free troposphere (1.0–2.0 km) down to the surface and trap pollutants in the boundary layer. Additionally, an extremely strong southward  $PM_{2.5}$  flux (> 1800 µg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) can be identified over the YRD, indicating the transport pathway of  $PM_{2.5}$  (Fig. 6c). Up until the next morning (04:00 LST 22 January), high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were primarily restricted to below 1.0 km over the YRD (Fig. 6d). The high concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$  that appeared over both the YRD and its downstream regions was probably due to the mixing

10 of locally emitted particles with those brought by the cold front from the NCP.



Figure 6. Vertical cross sections of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration (colour-filled contour), EPT (dashed black lines), in-plane wind vectors (arrow) where the vertical speed is multiplied by 100, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> flux (arrow colour), at (a) 12:00 LST 21 January, (b) 16:00 LST 21 January, (c) 22:00 LST 21 January, and (d) 04:00 LST 22 January. The thicker red lines in (a) and (b) denote the locations of the cold front.

A process analysis technique was introduced to evaluate the effects of physical and chemical processes on aerosol vertical distributions over the YRD. Figure 7 shows the profiles of the averaged PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and the contributions of VDIF,

AERO, ZADV, and HADV processes to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations over the YRD during the cold frontal passage. At the beginning of the cold front period (12:00 LST to 16:00 LST 21 January), the contributions of vertical advection processes to  $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were negative (decreased aerosol concentrations) below 1.0 km, but positive (increased aerosol concentrations) between 1.0 km and 2.5 km (Fig. 7a). This supports the previous conclusion that vertical motions lifted particles from the boundary layer to the free troposphere during the cold frontal passage.

The horizontal advection process increased PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations below 1.0 km but decreased PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations above 1.0 km. Through the horizontal advection process, the cold airmass brought aerosols from the NCP to the YRD, increasing the surface aerosol concentration over the YRD. The negative contribution of horizontal advection above 1.0 km was probably due to aerosol concentrations being increased by strong prefrontal lifting that transported aerosols from the surface to the free 10 troposphere, thus strengthening the outflow of free-tropospheric aerosols from the YRD. The vertical diffusion process has a relatively small effect on aerosol vertical distributions except for in the first layer, where most of the emissions exist. Vertical

aerosol concentrations were slightly increased through secondary aerosol formation.

5

15



Figure 7. Vertical profiles of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and the contributions of physical/chemical processes to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (a) at the beginning and (b) at the end of the cold frontal period.

The profiles of averaged  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations and the contributions of physical and chemical processes to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations over the YRD at the end of the cold frontal period (22:00 LST 21 to 04:00 LST 22 January) are shown in Fig. 7b. The vertical advection process made positive contributions to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in the lower atmosphere but negative contributions in the upper atmosphere—the opposite of the result obtained at the beginning of cold frontal passage. This result supports our

20 previous conclusion that divergence after the cold front (Fig. 6c; Fig. 6d) transports particles from the free troposphere to the boundary layer. The contributions of the horizontal advection process were negative between the surface and the free troposphere, implying a net horizontal outflow of aerosols from the YRD. At this time, the upstream of the YRD was cleaner than the YRD itself.

#### 4.2 Formation processes of high PM2.5 concentrations under stable weather

After the cold frontal passage, aerosol particles started to accumulate under stable atmosphere that resulted in high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in the near-surface layer over east China (Fig. 8a). In the centre of the YRD (including south of Jiangsu and north of Zhejiang), the mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was more than 200 µg m<sup>-3</sup> higher than that of cold front period, but the  $PM_{2.5}$ 

5 concentrations at 1.0 km (Fig. 8b) were significantly lower. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> fluxes in the stable atmosphere were lower than those in cold frontal passage at both the surface and 1.0 km, reflecting the lower mean wind velocity under stable weather. This indicates that atmospheric conditions were not favourable for the horizontal transport of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

The averaged  $PM_{2.5}$  profile over the YRD shows significant vertical gradients under the stable weather (Fig. 9). The process analysis showed that the vertical advection process transported  $PM_{2.5}$  from the surface to the upper air. However, this vertical

10 transport only reached 1.0 km altitude—much lower than it did during the cold frontal passage (~ 2.0 km). Horizontal advection shows a small negative contribution to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations over the YRD from the surface to 1.0 km. This indicates that there was a weak outflow of  $PM_{2.5}$  from the YRD to its surroundings, because the YRD is an important aerosol source region. Vertical diffusion mixing  $PM_{2.5}$  between the surface and the upper air, but its contribution to  $PM_{2.5}$  was relatively small. Secondary aerosol formation slightly increased the aerosol concentration from the surface to 1.0 km.



15

Figure 8. Averaged PM<sub>2.5</sub> flux (coloured arrows) and mass concentrations (black contour) at (a) the surface and (b) 1.0 km altitude from 00:00 LST 24 January 2015 to 00:00 LST 27 January 2015.



Figure 9. Vertical profiles of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and the contributions of physical/chemical processes to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during the period of stable weather.

#### 4.3 Contributions of PM2.5 from source regions to YRD

- 5 Anthropogenic emissions are the fundamental source of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Knowing the source regions of aerosols and their contributions to the YRD is critical in controlling PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution. Our results revealed a significant transport of aerosol particles from the NCP to the YRD during the cold frontal passage and a remarkable local PM<sub>2.5</sub> contribution during stable weather conditions. Based on these results, we derived the contributions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from source regions to the YRD using ISAM, which was incorporated in the CMAQ model.
- 10 Mass contributions from each of the geographical source regions, BCONs, and ICONs to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations over the YRD from 19 to 28 January 2015 are shown in Fig. 10. The YRD is a quickly developing and densely populated region where anthropogenic activities such as industrial production, vehicle usage, power plant operation, and residential happenings release huge volumes of atmospheric pollutants. Therefore, in the YRD, the most significant source of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is local emissions (Fig. 10). The NCP is another heavily polluted region in east China (Cao et al., 2015, Chen and Wang, 2015, Li et al., 2017), located
- 15 adjacent to YRD to the south. In 21 January, a cold front brought polluted airmass from the NCP to the YRD resulting in a high contribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. PM<sub>2.5</sub> from regions outside of the modelling domain (BCONs) also impacted on the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration over the YRD through long-range transport. However, contributions from other source regions were relatively small.

Mean contributions from each source region from 19 to 28 January 2015 are shown in Fig. 11a. Local contributions (from
the YRD itself) accounted for 56.5% of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration, in which Jiangsu, Shanghai, and Zhejiang accounted for
32.5%, 3.5%, and 20.5%, respectively. PM<sub>2.5</sub> from the NCP and BCONs contributed 18.5% and 10.5%, respectively. The YRD,
NCP, and BCONs contributed 85.5% in total.

During the cold frontal passage, a strong northwest wind prevailed over the YRD; locally originated aerosols only accounted

for 35% of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> contribution, with Jiangsu, Shanghai, and Zhejiang accounting for 22%, 2%, and 11%, respectively (Fig. 11b). These contributions are much lower than those in the total average because the strong wind in the cold front period was unfavourable to the accumulation of locally emitted pollutants. PM<sub>2.5</sub> from the NCP contributed 29% to the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations over YRD, a significantly higher amount than in the average contribution. Contributions from other

5 geographical source regions were also increased during the cold frontal passage because of the long-range transport of aerosol. In general, the cold front decreased local contributions in the YRD, but increased long-range transport contributions from the NCP region.

Under stable weather conditions, local contributions (61.5%) were increased, especially for Zhejiang province (Fig. 11c). Lower wind speeds during the stable period were unfavourable to the transport of pollutants, resulting in high PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and significant local contributions. NCP contributed 14.5% to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations over the YRD, accounting for only half that in the cold front period. In general, PM<sub>2.5</sub> contributions in the stable period were similar to those in the total

10



Figure 10. Time series of PM2.5 concentrations and contributions of source regions to the PM2.5 concentrations over the Yangtze





Figure 11. Contribution rate of each source region to  $PM_{2.5}$  over the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) during (a) the whole simulation period, (b) the cold frontal passage, and (c) under the stable weather conditions. Jiangsu = JS, Shanghai = SH, and Zhejiang = ZJ.

## 5. Conclusions

Cold fronts are important ways of  $PM_{2.5}$  transport-pathways in wintertime, removing aerosol particles as soon as they reach the BTH. However, in the YRD, cold fronts remove local aerosol particles and can also introduce upstream pollutants. Understanding the processes of  $PM_{2.5}$  transport during cold frontal passage is of great significance for the understanding of

5 haze formation mechanisms over the YRD in wintertime. In this study, the coupled WRF-CMAQ model was employed to investigate the processes and mechanisms of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution over the YRD under a cold frontal intrusion period and a subsequent stable weather conditions in January 2015.

Three sites' observations show that high  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations and strong north-westerly winds appeared simultaneously as the locations of the peak  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration moved from north to south, indicating that the cold front transported aerosol particles

- 10 across the YRD. At the beginning of the cold frontal passage, when the cold front first reached the YRD, it forced the warm and polluted YRD airmass to move up along the frontal boundary, lifting PM<sub>2.5</sub> into the free troposphere. As the cold front intruded deep into the YRD, aerosols from upstream areas (NCP) were transported to the YRD by strong north-westerly flow. At the end of the cold front period, when the cold front had moved to the downstream area (East China Sea), the YRD fell under high pressure, resulting in divergence over the region. The synoptic subsidence motions brought particles from the free
- 15 troposphere (1.0–2.0 km altitude) to the surface and trapped PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the boundary layer. The atmospheric stratification became stable after the cold front from 24 to 27 January 2015. Aerosol particles over the YRD then began to reaccumulate until the next cold front.

The contributions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from each of the defined source regions were calculated by ISAM. For the entire 9-day simulation (19–28 January), PM<sub>2.5</sub> contributions from the local area (YRD), NCP, and BCONs accounted for 56.5%, 18.5%, and 10.5%,

20 respectively. During the cold front passage (12:00 LST 21 January to 04:00 LST 22 January), local PM<sub>2.5</sub> contributions decreased to 35%, while contributions from the NCP increased to 29%. During the stable weather conditions (00:00 LST 24 January to 00:00 LST 27 January), local PM<sub>2.5</sub> contributions increased to 61.5% while NCP contributions decreased to 14.5%. This result indicates that cold fronts are a potential carrier of atmospheric pollutants, and may contribute significantly to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in downstream areas.

# 25 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 91544229, 41605091 and 41605096), the National Key Research and Development Program (Grant No. 2016YFA0602003), The Startup Foundation for Introducing Talent of NUIST (Grant No. 2243141501035), and the Open fund by the Key Laboratory for Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation of CMA-NUIST (Grant No. KDW1701). We acknowledge the free use of MIX emission from Tsinghua University.

#### References

30

Cao, Z., Sheng, L., Liu, Q., Yao, X., and Wang, W.: Interannual increase of regional haze-fog in North China Plain in summer by

intensified easterly winds and orographic forcing, Atmos. Environ., 122, 154-162, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.042, 2015. Chen, H., and Wang, H.: Haze Days in North China and the associated atmospheric circulations based on daily visibility data from 1960 to 2012, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 5895-5909, doi:10.1002/2015jd023225, 2015.

Ding, A., Wang, T., Xue, L., Gao, J., Stohl, A., Lei, H., Jin, D., Ren, Y., Wang, X., Wei, X., Qi, Y., Liu, J., and Zhang, X.: Transport of
north China air pollution by midlatitude cyclones: Case study of aircraft measurements in summer 2007, J. Geophys. Res., 114, doi:10.1029/2008jd011023, 2009.

Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J. F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C., Guenther, A., Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando, J., Tie, X., Tyndall, G., Wiedinmyer, C., Baughcum, S. L., and Kloster, S.: Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4), Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 43-67, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010, 2010.

Gao, J., Tian, H., Cheng, K., Lu, L., Zheng, M., Wang, S., Hao, J., Wang, K., Hua, S., Zhu, C., and Wang, Y.: The variation of chemical characteristics of PM2.5 and PM10 and formation causes during two haze pollution events in urban Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 107, 1-8, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.022, 2015.

10

Gao, M., Carmichael, G. R., Wang, Y., Saide, P. E., Yu, M., Xin, J., Liu, Z., and Wang, Z.: Modeling study of the 2010 regional
haze event in the North China Plain, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 1673-1691, doi:10.5194/acp-16-1673-2016, 2016.

Gao, M., Saide, P. E., Xin, J., Wang, Y., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Wang, Z., Pagowski, M., Guttikunda, S. K., and Carmichael, G. R.: Estimates of Health Impacts and Radiative Forcing in Winter Haze in Eastern China through Constraints of Surface PM2.5 Predictions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2178-2185, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b03745, 2017.

Guo, S., Hu, M., Zamora, M. L., Peng, J., Shang, D., Zheng, J., Du, Z., Wu, Z., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Molina, M. J., and Zhang, R.: Elucidating severe urban haze formation in China, P. Nati. Acad. Sci., 111, 17373-17378, doi:10.1073/pnas.1419604111, 2014.
 Han, R., Wang, S., Shen, W., Wang, J., Wu, K., Ren, Z., and Feng, M.: Spatial and temporal variation of haze in China from 1961 to 2012, J. Environ. Sci., 46, 134-146, doi:10.1016/j.jes.2015.12.033, 2016.

Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter,

- P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, doi:10.1038/nature13774, 2014.
   Kwok, R. H. F., Napelenok, S. L., and Baker, K. R.: Implementation and evaluation of PM2.5 source contribution analysis in a photochemical model, Atmos. Environ., 80, 398-407, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.017, 2013.
- 30 Leng, C., Duan, J., Xu, C., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Li, X., Kong, L., Tao, J., Zhang, R., Cheng, T., Zha, S., and Yu, X.: Insights into a historic severe haze event in Shanghai: synoptic situation, boundary layer and pollutants, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 9221-9234, doi:10.5194/acp-16-9221-2016, 2016.

Li, J., Wang, Z., Huang, H., Hu, M., Meng, F., Sun, Y., Wang, X., Wang, Y., and Wang, Q.: Assessing the effects of trans-boundary aerosol transport between various city clusters on regional haze episodes in spring over East China, Tellus. B, 65, 20052, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.20052, 2013.

Li, J., Du, H., Wang, Z., Sun, Y., Yang, W., Li, J., Tang, X., and Fu, P.: Rapid formation of a severe regional winter haze episode over a mega-city cluster on the North China Plain, Environ. Pollut., 223, 605-615, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.063, 2017a. Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J.-i., Woo, J.-H., He, K., Lu, Z., Ohara, T., Song, Y., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y., Hong, C., Huo, H., Jiang, X., Kang, S., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng, B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the

40 international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 935-963, doi:10.5194/acp-17-935-2017, 2017b.
 Liao, J., Wang, T., Jiang, Z., Zhuang, B., Xie, M., Yin, C., Wang, X., Zhu, J., Fu, Y., and Zhang, Y.: WRF/Chem modeling of the

impacts of urban expansion on regional climate and air pollutants in Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Environ., 106, 204-214, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.059, 2015.

45 Lin, C.-Y., Wang, Z., Chen, W.-N., Chang, S.-Y., Chou, C. C. K., Sugimoto, N., and Zhao, X.: Long-range transport of Asian dust and air pollutants to Taiwan: observed evidence and model simulation, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 423-434, doi:10.5194/acp-7-423-2007, 2007. Liu, H.: Transport pathways for Asian pollution outflow over the Pacific: Interannual and seasonal variations, J. Geophys. Res., 108, doi:10.1029/2002jd003102, 2003.

Mari, C.: Export of Asian pollution during two cold front episodes of the TRACE-P experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 109, doi:10.1029/2003jd004307, 2004.

5 Rosenfeld, D., Sherwood, S., Wood, R., and Donner, L.: Atmospheric science. Climate effects of aerosol-cloud interactions, Science, 343, 379-380, doi:10.1126/science.1247490, 2014.

Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H. B., Li, J., and Jia, J. J.: Aerosol composition, sources and processes during wintertime in Beijing, China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 4577-4592, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4577-2013, 2013. Tao, M., Chen, L., Xiong, X., Zhang, M., Ma, P., Tao, J., and Wang, Z.: Formation process of the widespread extreme haze

pollution over northern China in January 2013: Implications for regional air quality and climate, Atmos. Environ., 98, 417-425, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.026, 2014.
 Wang, H., Xu, J., Zhang, M., Yang, Y., Shen, X., Wang, Y., Chen, D., and Guo, J.: A study of the meteorological causes of a methods and severe bases or proceedings. 2012.

prolonged and severe haze episode in January 2013 over central-eastern China, Atmos. Environ., 98, 146-157, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.053, 2014.

15 Wang, J., Zhao, B., Wang, S., Yang, F., Xing, J., Morawska, L., Ding, A., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Kujansuu, J., Wang, Z., Ding, D., Zhang, X., Wang, H., Tian, M., Petäjä, T., Jiang, J., and Hao, J.: Particulate matter pollution over China and the effects of control policies, Sci. Tot. Environ., 584-585, 426-447, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.027, 2017.
Wang, Y., Yang, L., Wang, L., Liu, Z., Li, D., Tang, G., Zhang, L. Sun, Y., Liu, D., and Yin, Li, Machanism for the formation of the second seco

Wang, Y., Yao, L., Wang, L., Liu, Z., Ji, D., Tang, G., Zhang, J., Sun, Y., Hu, B., and Xin, J.: Mechanism for the formation of the January 2013 heavy haze pollution episode over central and eastern China, Sci. China Earth. Sci., 57, 14-25, doi:10.1007/s11430-013-4773-4, 2013a.

Wang, Z., Li, J., Wang, Z., Yang, W., Tang, X., Ge, B., Yan, P., Zhu, L., Chen, X., Chen, H., Wand, W., Li, J., Liu, B., Wang, X., Wand, W., Zhao, Y., Lu, N., and Su, D.: Modeling study of regional severe hazes over mid-eastern China in January 2013 and its implications on pollution prevention and control, Sci. China Earth. Sci., 57, 3-13, doi:10.1007/s11430-013-4793-0, 2013b.

Wei, Y., Li, J., Wang, Z.-F., Chen, H.-S., Wu, Q.-Z., Li, J.-J., Wang, Y.-L., and Wang, W.: Trends of surface PM2.5 over Beijing–
Tianjin–Hebei in 2013–2015 and their causes: emission controls vs. meteorological conditions, Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters, 10, 276-283, doi:10.1080/16742834.2017.1315631, 2017.

Wu, D., Bi, X., Deng, X., Li, F., Tan, H., Liao, G., Huang, J.: Effect of atmospheric haze on the deterioration of visibility over the Pearl River Delta, Acta Meteorol. Sinica, 21, 9, 2007.

Yang, Y., Liu, X., Qu, Y., Wang, J., An, J., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, F.: Formation mechanism of continuous extreme haze episodes
in the megacity Beijing, China, in January 2013, Atmos. Res., 155, 192-203, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.11.023, 2015.

Zhao, X. J., Zhao, P. S., Xu, J., Meng, W., Pu, W. W., Dong, F., He, D., and Shi, Q. F.: Analysis of a winter regional haze event and its formation mechanism in the North China Plain, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 5685-5696, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5685-2013, 2013.

Tables

35 Table 1. Statistical comparisons between the observed and simulated meteorological parameters and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at Nanjing,

	Nanjing			Suzhou			Linan		
	R	NMB	NME	R	NMB	NME	R	NMB	NME
Т	0.97	-4.9%	15%	0.90	-4.3%	23.6%	0.90	12.9%	30.1%
RH	0.94	-5.1%	7.7%	0.84	-9.7%	13.4%	0.85	-9.7%	14.8%
Wdir	0.89	5.7%	12.2%	0.80	7.3%	22.6%	0.39	14.6%	54.3%
Wspd	0.94	2.0%	11.2%	0.68	37.2%	45.4%	0.37	37.4%	61.7%

Suzhou, and Linan<sup>a</sup>.

a. R = correlation coefficient, NMB = normalized mean bias, NME = normalized mean error, T = air temperature, RH = relative humidity, Wdir = wind direction, Wspd = wind speed, PM<sub>2.5</sub> = PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration.