Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-556-SC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Nitrogen oxides in the global upper troposphere: interpreting cloud-sliced NO<sub>2</sub> observations from the OMI satellite instrument" by Eloise A. Marais et al.

## X. Zhang

xinzhang1215@gmail.com

Received and published: 22 June 2018

Well written paper about the cloud slicing method.

I have a few comments below:

1) L120: What's the definition of correlation between coincident gridsquare (R)? How did you calculate that?

2) L205: What's the ratio between intra-cloud (IC) and cloud-to-ground (CG) lighting? This will also affect UT NO2.

3) L208: You mentioned that the modeled lightning flashes are redistributed to match

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



LIS/OTD HRMC. How did you redistribute these flashes? Because lightning NOx can affect chemical reactions. Is this method online?

4) L210: It's better to explain the origin of both lightning production rates.

5) L215: How about the result of adjusting the production rate when compared with OMI?

6) L237: Did you exclude contamination of UT NO2 like southern Africa and northeast China when calculating the relationship?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-556, 2018.

**ACPD** 

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

