

## Response to comments

### Responses to RC1

1. One methodological issue is the translation of mortality into working days lost. In section 4.4, this is described as “each death will result in a total 250 working days lost . . .”. It confuses me why one death only results in one-year working days lost instead of years he supposes to work till retirement. We often consider the PM2.5-induced health impacts in a cumulative way, and that’s what the disability-adjusted life year (DALY) stand for. DALYs represent the lost years of “healthy” life. DALYs for one premature death is determined as the standard life expectancy at age of death in years. If one death only accounted for one lost year of healthy life, then DALY would be significantly underestimated. It’s rather the same to calculate lost years for working. One may argue that working days lost in years after 2012 don’t account for the economic loss in 2012. Then mortality occurring before 2012 should be taken into account to comprehend the 2012 economic loss. Otherwise, the economic loss would be underestimated. The authors should clarify this.

Thank you for your constructive comments. We fully agree that air pollution-induced mortality and morbidity will result in long-lasting impacts that will definitely far exceed a single labour year loss or certain amount of working time loss. However, the focus of the paper and the proposed multi regional input-output (MRIO) model is the resulting health outcome and its impacts on labour time among all Chinese labourers during a single year of 2012. We acknowledge that compared with our proposed MRIO model, DALYs approach tends to provide more information on economic loss from the standpoint of each individual patient. However, we suggest that methods like DALYs and willingness-to-pay approach are likely to lose their sights on macroeconomic impacts which consider interdependencies among industries.

We fully agree that we need to better clarify these points and have done this by adding ‘*However, when perceiving unhealthy laborers as degradation in labor input, macroeconomic implications for production supply chains lack investigation. While traditional approaches for health costs estimates are able to provide more information on economic loss from a standpoint of individual patients, we suggest that they are likely to lose sights on the cascading effects due to labour time loss across interrelating industries.*’ and ‘*In other words, it aims to investigate the overall economic loss resulting from health-induced labour time reduction among all Chinese labourers for a year of 2012.*’ in the introduction section.

2. To cascade the impacts of working days lost into production supply chain, the percentage reductions in labor time loss were directly used as the percentage reductions in industrial value added in the IO model. This should be based on the presumption that labor inputs dominate the industrial values added, which might not be the case in certain capital-intensive industry. The authors may need to clarify to what extent labor inputs contribute to industrial values added in different sectors, and if not dominant, how this assumption will bias their results.

Thank you for your insightful comments. We fully agree that in reality, industries express different levels of dependencies on labour and capital. However, we used percentage reductions in labour time as a direct indicator for reductions in industrial value added because it is a fundamental assumption of production expansion path underlying input-output model. The model assumes that the same proportional increase in output can be only achieved by simultaneous increases in both capital and labour input. In other words, reduction in an input can directly constrain the growth in output.

We have clarified this assumption in Method section Industrial Labour Time Loss subsection as *'We need to clarify that the industries can express very different levels of dependencies on capital and labour in reality. However, percentage reductions in labour time were used as a direct indicator for percentage reduction in industrial value added due to the assumption of production expansion path underlying input-output model. An input-output model assumes that proportional increase in industrial output can be only achieved by simultaneous increases in both capital and labour, indicating that any reduction in an input can directly constrain the output growth in all industries.'*

Specific comments:

1. The Methods section should go before the Results

section. Thank you. We have made the change accordingly.

2. How was the direct economic loss calculated?

Thank you for the question.

For a demand-driven input-output model, the direct effects on the economy with respect to households are measured by the initial dollar value change of sector  $j$ 's output that resulted from a change in dollar value of final demand. Thus, direct economic losses estimate the initial reductions in the dollar value

of sector  $j$ 's output caused by the decrease in its final demand. In addition, because:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{f} \text{ and } \Delta\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}\Delta\mathbf{f}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 + \mathbf{A}^3 + \dots$$

the direct effects are associated with  $\mathbf{I}$  and measured by the initial output change in sector  $j$  caused by the change in final demand of this sector.

At the same time, we refer to the accumulated effects caused by industrial interdependencies as indirect effects. They measure the reductions in dollar value among the outputs of other sectors caused by the reduced output of sector  $j$ , which is caused by a decrease in its final demand. The indirect effects are related to  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 + \mathbf{A}^3 + \dots$

While a demand-driven input-output model suggests that production affects sectors that provide its primary inputs, our proposed supply-driven input-output model emphasises that production could also affect sectors that purchase its outputs as inputs in their production processes. It takes the form as:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{v}' (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}$$

when  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}$ , equation becomes:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{v}' \mathbf{G} \text{ (resulting gross output in a row view) or}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{G}' \mathbf{v} \text{ (resulting gross output in a column view)}$$

$\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{G}'$  are the output/Ghosh inverse and the element  $g_{ij}$  indicates the value of each unit of primary inputs in sector  $i$  that enters sector  $j$ . The supply-side approach assumes a fixed output distribution. Therefore, with fixed output coefficient  $b_{ij}$ , we can trace changes in sectoral gross outputs caused by changes in the amount of primary inputs using  $\Delta\mathbf{x} = \Delta\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{G}$ . The supply-driven I-O model measures the direct economic losses as the initial dollar value decrease of sector  $j$ 's outputs that resulted from a decrease in the dollar value of value added and are related to  $\mathbf{I}$ , whereas the indirect economic losses refer to the accumulated reductions in dollar value among other sectors' outputs caused by the reduced outputs of sector  $j$ , which is caused by a decrease in its value added and are associated with  $\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^2 + \mathbf{B}^3 + \dots$

3. The Introduction only contains one citation. A plenty of sentences need references. For example, "Serious air pollution in China has largely inspired epidemic studies that examine ..."; "Existing epidemic studies simulate a exposure-response relationships between Particulate Matter (PM) concentration

levels and relative risks (RRs) for a particular disease”; “while health costs assessments frequently stem from patients’ perspective at microeconomic level. . .”; “Inspired by our previous work. . .”, and etc.

Thank you for your comments. We have added in references in both text and reference list to support our arguments. We have also arranged reference list in alphabetic order.

4. Line 85, “Guangdong province. . ., where a substantial increase can be observed at 175 thousands compared with results in 2007”. Why did it increase? Additionally, "175 thousands" should be "175 thousand".

Thank you for your comments. We have changed ‘thousands’ into ‘thousand’.

The reason behind the substantial rise in hospital admissions from its 2007 level is mainly because of a larger exposed population (from 94.49 million in 2007 to 105.94 million in 2012), despite sustained level in pollution concentrations.

5. There’re several sentences start with “I” such as sentences in Line 107, 121, 159, and etc, where “we” is expected.

Thank you for your comments. We have changed them into ‘We’.

6. Line 112, “ Primary industry includes agriculture and fishing suffered the economic loss at 19.12 billion Yuan”. The sentence needs to be rewritten.

Thank you for your comments. We have rewritten the sentence as ‘*Primary industry includes agriculture and fishing entail the economic loss at 19.12 billion Yuan.*’

7. Line 123, “The left-hand side shows the regional indirect economic loss while the right-hand side denotes the sources for these indirect economic loss. The proportion of regional indirect loss among regional total economic loss is displayed next to each region’s name on the left-hand side”. Some of the information has been mentioned in the figure caption and thus don’t need to be mentioned in the main text.

Thank you for your comments. We have removed the repetitive sentences.

8. Line 355, “We referred to an integrated exposure-response (IER) model developed by Burnett et al (2014) . . .”. The reference was not found in the reference list. Also, the IER model in Burnett’s study cannot be used to estimate hospital admissions and outpatient visits. need more accurate description here.

Thank you for your comments. We have added Burnett et al (2014) into the reference list.

For air pollution-induced morbidity (hospital admissions and outpatient visits), we referenced the method used in Xia et al (2016) and Jiang et al (2015).

Specifically, we evaluated cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions and outpatient visits for all causes as the health endpoints of PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution. The log-linear response function was applied to estimate health outcomes, and the relative *RR* for morbidity estimation was calculated by:

$$RR = e^{\beta x}$$

where  $\beta$  is the parameter that describes the depth of the curve (SI-Table SI-1). They are the exposure-response coefficients which are used to quantify the relationship between different levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposures and the resulting health effects. Then, the calculated *RR* were further converted into health outcome (hospital admission counts and outpatient visits) using the PAF formula.

9. Line 370, “Then, the calculated *RR* was then converted into an attributable fraction (*AF*) in Eq.(2)”. Delete the second (or the first) “then”.

Thank you for your comments. We have made the change accordingly.

10. Line 385, “ $\beta$ : the parameter that describes the depth of the curve (Table SI-1 in Supplementary Information)”. There’re two Table S1 in Supplementary Information.

Thank you for your comments. We have corrected this in Supplementary Information.

## Response to RC2

This study evaluates the economics of reduced labor time associated with pollution induced health outcomes. The assessment framework is comprehensive and the manuscript is well organized. My major concern is the uncertainty of this assessment.

1. The PM2.5 concentration estimated by Geng et al. (2015) is based on satellite data. How are they compared with surface PM2.5 measurements?

Thank you for your question. We acknowledge that satellite AOD retrievals have regional biases compared with ground measurements and surface reflectance. To reduce such possible uncertainties, we employed the method from van Donkelaar et al (2010) to distinguish surface types using black-sky albedo and identify regional errors in AOD retrievals by extending biases calculated against ground measurements within a certain surface type. Specifically, we first identified four dominating surface types in China and used ground AOD measurements between 2006-12 to calculate monthly mean bias of satellite AOD and interpolated in each defined surface type. We excluded daily satellite AOD data with monthly bias larger than  $\pm 20\%$ , which were further averaged to obtain the estimates of final long-term retrieval.

Van Donkelaar, Aaron, et al. "Global estimates of ambient fine particulate matter concentrations from satellite-based aerosol optical depth: development and application." *Environmental health perspectives* 118.6 (2010): 847.

2. The IER curve is applied in this study, but which version? IER parameters experienced significant changes over the past years since proposed, how that will change the results in this study?

Thank you for your comments. We referenced the IER functions developed by Burnett et al (2014). His proposed IER model incorporates data from cohort studies of ambient air pollution, and second-hand and active tobacco smoke to describe the concentration-response relationship throughout the full distribution of ambient PM2.5 concentrations, especially including the high levels

|

in China. Therefore, we perceive this approach is suitable for estimating air pollution health impacts at high levels, such as the case in China, in the absence of epidemiological studies of the effects of long-term exposure to PM2.5. Given its applicability to a wide range of PM2.5 concentrations, the GBD also project employed these functions to estimate the global mortality due to ambient particulate and household air pollution in 2010 (Lim et al, 2012).

Burnett, Richard T., et al. "An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease attributable to ambient fine particulate matter exposure." *Environmental health perspectives* 122.4 (2014): 397.

Lim, Stephen S., et al. "A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010." *The lancet* 380.9859 (2012): 2224-2260.

3. The industrial labor time loss is the most uncertain part here. 250 working days lost are assumed without any references. These numbers can have large impacts on the reported conclusions. It would be great if the authors could provide confidence intervals to address these uncertainty issues.

Thank you for your comments. For the pollution-induced mortality, the 250 working day loss was inferred based on the business days calculator in China, which can be found on <http://china.workingdays.org/EN>

For pollution-induced morbidity, each cardiovascular admission will result in 11.9 working days lost while each respiratory admission causes 8.4 working days lost. We obtained the statistics from National Bureau of Statistics of China (2016). Meanwhile, we referenced Xia et al (2016) to provide a range for labor time loss estimation of outpatient visits due to data unavailability, which ranges from 2 to 4 hours per outpatient visit. We assumed each outpatient visits clinic once during the year.

|

We acknowledge the uncertainties involved in the evaluation. However, given the current data constraints, we feel that such assumption tends to provide a relatively conservative estimate regarding the disease induced labour time loss and the resulting economic impacts. We also provide sensitivity analysis for alternative hospital admission and outpatient time, indicating an upper and lower boundary for our estimates.

4. Method part should be moved before results.

Thank you for your comments. We have moved the Method section before Results section.

### Response to RC3

I recommend publication after some minor changes:

1. Reply to the authors' response to the specific comment NO.2:  
Thanks for explaining this. I believe other readers also want to have this information. Please provide it in the Supplement text.

Thank you for your comments. We have added these explanation to Method section as '*We need to clarify that the industries can express very different levels of dependencies on capital and labour in reality. However, percentage reductions in labour time were used as a direct indicator for percentage reduction in industrial value added due to the assumption of production expansion path underlying input-output model. An input-output model assumes that proportional increase in industrial output can be only achieved by simultaneous increases in both capital and labour, indicating that any reduction in an input can directly constrain the output growth in all industries.*' and to Supporting Information S1.3

A 'Circular Economy' in Input-Output Analysis as '*It worth noting that IO model possesses a fundamental assumption of production expansion path that assumes proportional increase in industrial output can be only achieved by simultaneous increases in both capital and labour, indicating that any reduction in an input can directly constrain the output growth in all industries.*'

2. 2. Reply to the authors' response to the specific comment NO.6: "includes" should be "including".

Thank you for your comments. We have corrected the word.

# Assessment of Pollution-Health-Economics Nexus in China

Yang Xia<sup>1</sup>, Dabo Guan<sup>1\*</sup>, Jing Meng<sup>2</sup>, Yuan Li<sup>1</sup>, Yuli Shan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Water Security Research Centre, School of International Development, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Politics and International Studies, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9DT, UK

\* Correspondence email: [Dabo.Guan@uea.ac.uk](mailto:Dabo.Guan@uea.ac.uk)

## Abstract

Serious haze can cause contaminant diseases that trigger productive labour time by raising mortality and morbidity rates in cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. Health studies rarely consider macroeconomic impacts of industrial interlinkages while disaster studies seldom involve air pollution and its health consequences. This study adopts a supply-driven input-output model to estimate the economic loss resulting from disease-induced working time reduction across 30 Chinese provinces in 2012 using the most updated Chinese Multiregional Input-Output Table. Results show total economic loss of 398.23 billion Yuan (~1% of China's GDP in 2012) with the majority comes from Eastern China and Mid-South. Total number of affected labourers amounts at 82.19 million. Cross-regional economic impact analysis indicates that Mid-South, North China and Eastern China entail the majority of regional indirect loss. Indeed, most indirect loss in North China, Northwest and Southwest can be attributed to Manufacturing and Energy in other regions while loss in Eastern China, Mid-South and Northeast largely originate from Coal and Mining in other regions. At the sub-industrial level, most inner-regional loss in North China and Northwest originate from Coal and Mining, in Eastern China and Southwest from Equipment and Energy, and in Mid-South from Metal and Non-metal. These findings highlight the potential role of geographical distance in regional interlinkages and regional heterogeneity in inner- and outer-regional loss due to distinctive regional economic structures and dependences between the North and South.

Keywords: China, 2012, PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution, Indirect economic loss, Health, Input-Output analysis


# 1.Introduction

Millions of people in China are currently breathing a toxic cocktail of chemicals, which has become one of the most serious topics in environmental issues in China by resulting in widespread environmental and health problems, including increasing risks for heart and respiratory diseases, stroke and lung cancer (LC) (Greenpeace, 2017). As air pollution has long-term health impacts that evolves gradually over time, understanding the health and socioeconomic impacts of China's air pollution requires continuous efforts.

Serious air pollution in China has largely inspired epidemic studies that examine specific health outcomes from air pollution, as well as health costs assessments that translate health outcomes into monetary loss (Xu et al, 2000; Venners et al, 2003; Kan and Chen, 2004). Existing epidemic studies simulate a exposure-response relationships between Particulate Matter (PM) concentration levels and relative risks (RRs) for a particular disease (see Xu et al, 2000; Venners et al, 2003) while health costs assessments frequently stem from patients' perspective at microeconomic level, by evaluating either their willingness-to-pay (WTP) for avoiding disease risk (see Wang and Mullahy, 2006; Wang et al, 2006) or the potentially productive years of life loss (PPYLL) (see Miraglia et al, 2005; Mcghee et al, 2006). However, when perceiving unhealthy laborers as degradation in labor input, macroeconomic implications for production supply chains lack investigation. While traditional approaches for health costs estimates are able to provide more information on economic loss from a standpoint of individual patients, we suggest that they are likely to lose sights on the cascading effects due to labour time loss across interrelating industries. Meanwhile, as the health effects of air pollution are built up slowly over time which implies the lasting nature of air pollution, it has been rarely studied in current disaster risk literature. Differing from rapid-onset disaster analysis (flood, hurricane, earthquake, etc) that normally reply on quantifying damages to physical capital, air pollution affect more human capital than physical capital and the resulting health impacts are relatively invisible and unmeasurable. As a result, linking PM concentrations with health endpoints and further with macroeconomic impacts require an interdisciplinary approach that integrates all the three elements into one. Inspired by our previous work on the socioeconomic impacts of China's air pollution in 2007 (Xia et al, 2016), this paper applies the similar approach to China's air pollution in 2012 and also examines the cross-regional economic impacts in order to underline the important role of indirect economic loss for the year 2012. In other words, it aims to investigate the overall economic loss resulting from health-induced labour time reduction among all Chinese labourers for a year of 2012. Given that the majority of economic loss originate from secondary industry, this paper also specifically analyze the key sectors in secondary industry that account for the greatest proportions in both direct and indirect economic loss in each great region in China. By doing so, future policymakers and researchers could obtain an alternative macroeconomic tool to better conduct cost-benefit analysis in any environmental or climate change related policy design, and to comprehend health costs studies in its macroeconomic side.

## 2.Methods

### 2.1. Methodological Framework



Figure




Figure1. Methodological Framework

Figure 1- illustrates the overall methodological framework developed by this study. It involves four main parts that are distinguished with four colours. Detailed methods that connect each part in the flow chart were shown near the arrows.

PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration levels for 30 provinces of China were first identified from emission inventory using air quality simulation model. The relative risks (RRs) for PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality (IHD, Stroke, COPD and LC), hospital admissions (cardiovascular and respiratory diseases) and outpatient visits (all causes) were estimated using an Integrated Exposure-Response (IER) model, based on which population attributable fraction (PAF) can be calculated to estimate counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced deaths, admissions and outpatient visits. Additionally, counts of mortality, hospital admissions and outpatient visits were further translated into productive working time loss that was compared with the industrial original working time without any PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced health effects (full employment and full productivity) to derive the percentage reduction in industrial value added. Moreover, reductions in industrial value added served as an input in the supply-driven IO model to measure the total indirect economic loss incurred along the production supply chain, which is measured as the total loss in output level. Finally, macroeconomic implications regarding industrial and provincial economic loss can be obtained from our model results while cross-regional economic impacts can be investigated through multi-regional economic analysis.

The following sections present many mathematical symbols, formulas and equations. For clarity, matrices are indicated by bold, upright capital letters (e.g.,  $\mathbf{X}$ ); vectors by bold, upright lower case letters (e.g.,  $\mathbf{x}$ ); and scalars by italicised lower case letters (e.g.,  $x$ ). Vectors are columns by definition, so that row vectors are obtained by transposition and are indicated by a prime (e.g.,  $\mathbf{x}'$ ). A diagonal matrix with the elements of vector  $\mathbf{x}$  on its main diagonal and all other entries equal to zero are indicated by a circumflex (e.g.,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ).

## 2.2 Provincial PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentration Levels

We referred to Chinese provincial PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration levels estimated by Geng et al (2015), where the authors improved the method for estimating long-term surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations using satellite remote sensing and a chemical transport model to assess the provincial PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration levels in China during 2006-2012. The model domain includes a map of surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at 0.1° × 0.1° over China using the nested-grid GEOS-Chem model with the most updated bottom-up emission inventory and satellite observations from MODIS and MISR instruments (Geng et al, 2015).

## 2.3 Health Impacts from PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentration Levels

Epidemic studies on PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced health outcomes have linked PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution with various health endpoints by using exposure-response coefficients. This paper focuses on the impacts of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution on mortality, hospital admissions and outpatient visits. We referred to an integrated exposure-response (IER) model developed by Burnett et al (2014) to estimate the relative risks (RRs) for PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality (IHD, Stroke, COPD, LC), hospital admissions (cardiovascular and respiratory diseases) and outpatient visits (all causes).

An IER model captures concentration-response relationships with a specific focus on ischemic heart disease (IHD), stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and lung cancer (LC). The relative risk (RRs) for the mortality estimation function for the four diseases were shown in Eq.(1).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } z < z_{cf} \quad & RR_{IER}(z) = 1 \\ \text{For } z \geq z_{cf} \quad & RR_{IER}(z) = 1 + \alpha \{1 - \exp[-\gamma(z - z_{cf})^\delta]\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

$z$ : PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure in micrograms per meter cubed

$z_{cf}$ : counter-factual concentration level below which no additional health risk is assumed

$\delta$ : the strength of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and  $\gamma$  is the ratio of RR at low-to-high exposures

Then, the calculated RR was converted into an attributable fraction (AF) in Eq.(2).

$$AF = \frac{RR - 1}{RR} \quad AF = \frac{RR - 1}{RR} \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

Additionally, excess counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub> disease-induced mortality were estimated in Eq.(3).

$$E = AF \times B \times P \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

$E$ : PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality counts,  $B$  is the national level incidence of a given health effect, which was applied for all provinces because of limited data

$P$ : the size of the exposed populations

For morbidity, we calculated cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions and outpatient visits for all causes using a log-linear response function and the RRs for each

category of morbidity was calculated using Eq.(4) (Jiang et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2016(4)).

$$RR = e^{\beta x} \quad RR = e^{\beta x} \quad (\text{Eq.4})$$

$B$ : the parameter that describes the depth of the curve (Table SI-1 in Supplementary Information). They are the exposure-response coefficients to quantify the relationship between different levels of  $PM_{2.5}$  exposures and the resulting health outcomes.

Counts of  $PM_{2.5}$ -induced hospital admissions and outpatient visits were analogously estimated using Eq.(2) and Eq.(3).

## 2.4 Industrial Labor Time Loss

Each laborer is assumed to work 8 hours every day and 250 days during 2012. For  $PM_{2.5}$ -induced mortality, each death will result in a total 250 working days lost regardless different disease types. For  $PM_{2.5}$ -induced morbidity, each cardiovascular admission will result in 11.9 working days lost while each respiratory admission causes 8.4 working days lost (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2016). Meanwhile, we provided a range for labor time loss estimation of outpatient visits due to data unavailability, which ranges from 2 to 4 hours per outpatient visit. We assumed each outpatient visits clinic once during the year. Then, provincial mortality, hospital admissions and outpatient visits counts were scaled down to counts among labor according to labor-population ratios across all the 30 provinces (Provincial Statistical Yearbook, 2013). We further distributed provincial mortality, admissions and outpatient counts into 30 industries according to industrial-total provincial labor ratio. We used industrial-total provincial output ratio instead where certain industries' labor data is missing. Additionally, labor time loss for each case of mortality, admission and outpatient visit were multiplied by industrial counts of mortality, admission and outpatient in each province respectively, where the results were summed up to derive the industrial total labor time loss due to  $PM_{2.5}$ -induced mortality and morbidity. Moreover, we compared the industrial total labor time loss with the original labor time with full employment and labor productivity under no  $PM_{2.5}$ -induced health impacts. The results show the percentage reductions in industrial working time, which were used as an indicator for percentage reductions in industrial value added in a supply-driven IO model as we considered labor as the major component for industrial value added. We need to clarify that the industries can express very different levels of dependencies on capital and labour in reality. However, percentage reductions in labour time were used as a direct indicator for percentage reduction in industrial value added due to the assumption of production expansion path underlying input-output model. An input-output model assumes that proportional increase in industrial output can be only achieved by simultaneous increases in both capital and labour, indicating that any reduction in an input can directly constrain the output growth in all industries.

## 2.5 Indirect Economic Loss on Production Supply Chain

We employed a supply-driven IO model to evaluate the indirect economic loss due to  $PM_{2.5}$ -induced mortality and morbidity along production supply chain. A supply-driven IO model was developed based on a traditional Leontief IO model with the spirit of a 'circular economy'. A supply-driven IO model was derived from a traditional Leontief IO model. For a basic Leontief IO model, the total output of sector  $i$  in an  $n$ -sector economy can be illustrated in Eq.(5) or Eq.(6).

$$X_i = Z_{i1} + \dots + Z_{ij} + \dots + Z_{in} + f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n Z_{ij} + f_i \quad (\text{Eq.5})$$

$$x = Zi + f \quad (\text{Eq.6})$$

$x_i$ : the total output of sector i

$\sum_{j=1}^n Z_{ij}$ : the monetary value of sector i's output in all other sectors

$f_i$ : sector i's final demand that includes household final consumption, government consumption, capital formation and exports.

The basic Leontief IO model can be therefore derived in matrix notation (Eq.(7a) and ~~(7b))~~ by combining Eq.(5) and (7-7b)).

$$x = Ax + f \quad (\text{Eq.7a})$$

$$x = (I-A)^{-1}f, L=(I-A)^{-1} \quad (\text{Eq.7b})$$

**A**: matrix of technical coefficients,  $a_{ij}$ , where  $a_{ij} = z_{ij} / x_j$

**L**: the Leontief inverse matrix that measures the impact of value change in the final demand of a sector on the total output value on the economy (Miller and Blair, 2009).

At the same time, a supply-driven IO model takes a rotated view of Leontief IO model that shows an opposite influencing direction between sectors. It suggests that production in a sector can affect sectors purchasing its outputs as inputs during their production processes and it has a supply-side focus. A supply-driven IO model is used to calculate the impact of changes in primary inputs on sectoral gross production. For a supply-driven IO model, the basic structure is shown in Eq.(8a) and ~~(Eq.(8b))~~.

$$x' = v' (I-B)^{-1} \quad (\text{Eq.8a})$$

$$x' = v' G, G = (I-B)^{-1} \quad (\text{Eq.8b})$$

**B**: the allocation coefficient (direct-output coefficient), where  $b_{ij} = z_{ij} / x_i$ . It refers to the distribution of sector i's outputs in sector j

**v**: matrix of industrial value added, including capital and labour input

**G**: the Ghosh inverse matrix, which measures the economic impacts of changes in a sector's value added on other sectors' output level

## 3.Results

### 3.1 Total Number of Affected Labor and Total Economic Loss

Firstly, regarding the total number of affected labour and total economic loss, the total economic loss resulting from PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced health outcomes in China 2012 is 398.23 billion Yuan, which corresponds to almost 1% of national GDP in 2012. The total number of affected labour in China is

0.80 million for PM<sub>2.5</sub><sup>‡</sup>-induced mortality, 2.22 million for PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced hospital admissions and 79.17 million for PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced outpatient visits (Fig.2). Figure 1–Figure 12 presents the provincial counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality, hospital admissions, outpatient visits and economic loss with least severe and most severe situation shown from green to red. For total populations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality and morbidity, among 30 provinces, Henan and Shangdong province have the largest total counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality and morbidity, which is consistent with the findings in 2007 study (Xia et al, 2016). Guangdong province has the greatest counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced hospital admissions at 291 thousands, where a substantial increase can be observed at 175 thousand compared with results in 2007. It almost doubles its provincial count of outpatient visits and triples its mortality counts. Meanwhile, increase can be observed in both counts for Northwest region, including Shanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia and Xinjiang provinces. Specifically, the count of hospital admissions in Shanxi province in 2012, 100 thousands, also doubles that of 2007, which was at 50 thousands. Even sharper increase of admission counts can be seen in Xinjiang province, where the number is almost 7 times of that from 2007.





Figure 12. Provincial ~~Counts~~counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced ~~Mortality, Hospital~~mortality, hospital Admissions, ~~Outpatient Visits~~outpatient visits and ~~Economic Loss~~economic loss in China, 2012

<sup>‡</sup>PM<sub>2.5</sub> is also known as fine particulate matters that have a diameter of less than 2.5 micrometers.



Provincial ~~Counts~~ of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced ~~Mortality, Hospital Admissions, Outpatient Visits~~ mortality (a), hospital admissions (b), outpatient visits (c) and ~~Economic Loss~~ economic loss (d) are displayed in four ~~diagram~~ panels above, with least severe and most severe situation shown from green to red. We did not consider Tibet due to the lack of data.

### 3.2 Economic Loss by Provinces, Regions and Industries

Secondly, concerning economic loss by provinces, regions and industries, at the provincial level (Figure 4Fig.2), economic loss of Henan province exceeds that of Jiangsu province in 2007 (55.90 billion Yuan) and becomes the province suffering the greatest economic loss at 56.37 billion, accounting for 14% of the total economic loss in China. This is followed by Jiangsu province at 45.32 billion Yuan and Shangdong province at 43.23 billion Yuan. This is because all the three provinces have the largest counts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality and morbidity, which result in substantial provincial labour time loss. We also calculated the economic loss in six China's great regions. Eastern China and Mid-South appear to be the two regions suffering the greatest economic loss that amount at 153.39 and 119.21 billion Yuan and account for 39% and 30% of total economic loss in China, 2012. It is in line with the findings from 2007 study (Xia et al, 2016), where the economic loss of these two regions are 115.33 and 80.88 billion Yuan respectively. Therefore, there has been a remarkable rise in economic loss for Mid-South region. Primary industry including agriculture and fishing ~~entailed~~ the economic loss at 19.12 billion Yuan. Secondary industry includes all manufacturing sectors, energy and construction and it entails the greatest proportion of economic loss at 320.06 billion Yuan (80% of total economic loss). Tertiary industry accounts for the remaining 15% of total economic loss at 59.05 billion Yuan.

### 3.3 Cross-regional Economic Loss

Additionally, this case study also examined the cross-regional economic losses between six Great Regions in China. As one significant advantage for input-output model is to capture the industrial and regional interdependencies, it is effective to measure the propagating disaster-induced indirect economic loss along production supply chain. We traced the cross-regional economic loss due to their interlinkages, such as interregional trade, as shown in Figure 2Fig.3. The diagram demonstrates the interregional economic impacts due to their interdependencies. The proportion

of regional indirect loss among regional total economic loss is displayed next to each region's name on the left-hand side. Although the majority of regional economic loss come from the direct economic loss occurred within the region across almost all the six regions, Northeast, Eastern China and Northwest still entail great indirect economic loss from other regions, which occupies 31%, 21% and 30% of the total regional economic loss, respectively. In Northeast, a totality of 18% of its total regional economic loss is originated from North China and Mid-South, including 1.84 billion Yuan from North China and 1.85 billion Yuan from Mid-South. Similarly, Mid-South is responsible for 9% of the economic loss in Eastern China at 13.36 billion Yuan. It accounts for even larger proportion of regional economic loss in Northwest at 13%. Meanwhile, Eastern China also accounts for another 8% of the total regional economic loss in Northeast, which amounts at 1.66 billion Yuan. Overall, Mid-South accounts for the largest amount of indirect economic loss in other Chinese regions at 24.65 billion Yuan, which is followed by North China and Eastern China at 16.99 and 12.17 billion Yuan, respectively. This finding highlights the increasing significance in capturing the industrial and regional interdependencies and indirect economic loss in disaster risk analysis because such interdependencies can largely raise the overall economic loss far beyond the direct economic loss and constitute a noticeable component of total economic loss.





Figure 23. Cross-regional ~~Economic Loss Analysis~~ economic loss analysis



The diagram demonstrates the interregional economic impacts due to their interdependencies. The left-hand side shows the regional indirect economic loss while the right-hand side denotes the sources for these indirect economic losses. The proportion of regional indirect loss among regional total economic loss is displayed next to each region's name on the left-hand side.

### 3.4 Regional Direct and Indirect Loss from Secondary Sector

As secondary sector plays a vital role in Chinese economy and entails greatest economic loss among the three industries, we specifically analyzed the regional economic loss that are directly and indirectly resulting from secondary sectors both inside and outside a region. Focusing on secondary sector, Figure 3.4 illustrates both direct and indirect economic loss originating from each region and outside the region. As can be seen from the diagram, despite that the majority of economic loss resulting from secondary sector are originated from inside the region for all the six great regions in China, in Northwest and Northeast, economic loss attributed to secondary sectors outside the region still constitute a considerable share due to industrial and regional interdependencies. Secondary sectors in the Mid-South, Eastern China and North China become three major sources for indirect economic loss across all the six regions. For instances, in Northwest, economic loss from secondary sectors in Mid-South, Eastern China and North China account for 14%, 6% and 6% of total regional indirect loss from secondary sectors outside the region, at 2.20, 0.99 and 0.90 billion Yuan, respectively. Similarly, in Northeast, economic loss from secondary sectors in these three regions occupy 10%, 8% and 9% of total regional indirect loss from secondary sectors outside the region, at 1.66, 1.33 and 1.46 billion Yuan, respectively. This is resulting from their geographical distance to Mid-South, Eastern China and North China, as well as close trade relationships with these three regions. The significant roles of Mid-South and Eastern China in interregional trade have been early confirmed by Sun and Peng (2011), where they pointed out the export-oriented nature for trades in Eastern China and Mid-South, and their close trade relations with Northwest regions with respects to imports of raw materials. Likewise, it is noticeable that indirect economic loss is more likely to come from neighbour-regions, which highlights the possibility that short geographical distance might accelerate interregional trade and strengthen regional interlinkages.





Figure 34. Regional Direct and Indirect Economic Loss from Secondary Sectors



The inner ring denotes the direct economic loss originating from secondary sector inside the region while the outer ring stands for the indirect economic loss from secondary sectors in other regions. Percentage shown on the inner ring shows the proportion of direct economic loss regarding total regional economic loss and percentages shown on the outer ring are the proportions of indirect loss from other regions relative to total regional indirect economic loss.

### 3.5 Direct, Indirect Loss from Subindustries in Secondary Sector

Secondary sector was further broken down into seven industries in order to examine the major economic loss sources among subindustries of secondary sectors inside and outside the region. They include Coal and Mining, Manufacturing, Fuel processing and Chemicals, Metal and Non-metal, Equipments, Energy and Constructions as displayed in [Figure 4Fig.5](#). In North China,

Northwest and Southwest, most of their indirect economic loss from secondary sectors outside the region comes from Manufacturing with 27.0%, 26.7% and 22.2%, respectively. The second largest source in these three regions that accounts for economic loss from secondary sectors in other regions is Energy, with the greatest amount occurs in North China at 2.32 billion Yuan, followed by Northwest at 1.29 billion Yuan and Southwest at 1.26 billion Yuan. In contrast, Coal and Mining accounts for the majority of indirect loss from secondary sectors outside the region for Eastern China, Mid-South and Northeast at 37.4% (10.83 billion Yuan), 33.4% (3.65 billion Yuan) and 24.4% (1.30 billion Yuan), respectively. One possible underlying reason is that economies in Northwest, North China and Southwest are mainly dominated by Coal and Mining but relying on imports of Manufacturing products from other regions, whereas Eastern China, Mid-South and Northeast have more prosperous Manufacturing industries but tend to heavily depend on imports of raw materials from Coal and Mining industries in Northwest, North China or Southwest. With regards to the economic loss from secondary sector inside each region, it shows diversified patterns across six great regions. Coal and Mining accounts for the largest part of inner-regional economic loss in North China and Northwest at 42.4% and 43.8%, respectively, Equipments and Energy appear to be two major sources for inner-regional economic loss Eastern China and Southwest, while Metal and Non-metal and Manufacturing constitute considerable proportions in inner-regional economic loss from secondary sectors in Mid-South, which reach 21.86 billion Yuan and 21.61 billion Yuan, occupying 27.4% and 27.1%, respectively.





Figure 45. Economic loss from seven industries in secondary sector inside and outside the region



The inner circle shows the economic loss from secondary sector inside the region. The size of circle stands for the different proportions of inner-regional economic loss relative to total regional economic loss. Colors demonstrate economic loss from seven sectors in secondary sector inside the region. Meanwhile, the outer circle indicates the economic loss from secondary sectors outside the region. Economic loss resulting from seven sectors are shown in black and white. Percentages shown on the outer circle are the proportions of indirect loss from other regions relative to total regional indirect economic loss.

## 4. Discussions

PM<sub>2.5</sub> has seriously undermined human health by inducing contaminant diseases, including IHD, Stroke, COPD and LC. These diseases have resulted in substantial numbers of mortality and morbidity that further cause labor degradation in terms of productive working time loss along production supply chain. Therefore, there is a growing need to explore the macroeconomic implications of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced health effects that can also capture industrial and regional interdependencies. However, existing health costs studies assess the health costs at microeconomic level without an investigation over these linkages on the production supply-side. Meanwhile, disaster risk studies rarely involve PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution as a disaster that harm human capital more than physical capital. Thus, methods to quantify the direct damages to infrastructure seem to be inefficacious when measuring the 'damages' to human health. Inspired by the previous study on China's air pollution in 2007 (Xia et al, 2016), the current study applies the interdisciplinary approach to assess the macroeconomic impacts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced health effects in China 2012 by perceiving reducing labor time as an indicator for reducing value added so that it can be fed back into a supply-driven IO model and health studies can be integrated into impact evaluation and interdependency analysis. The current case study applies the interdisciplinary approach by combining environmental, epidemiological and macroeconomic studies to assess the macroeconomic impacts of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced health effects in China during 2012. In the model, environmental phenomenon was related with health endpoints using an integrated exposure-response model, reduction in labour time were estimated based on the pollution-induced mortality and morbidity counts, and industrial reducing labour time was perceived as an indicator for industrial reducing value added, which was further fed back into a supply-driven input-output model. By doing so, health studies can be integrated into impact evaluation and interdependency

analysis.

The results are threefold. Firstly, the total economic loss from China's air pollution during 2012 amount at 398.23 billion Yuan with the majority comes from Eastern China (39%) and Mid-South (30%). The total economic loss is equivalent with 1.0% of China's GDP in 2012 and the total number of affected labourers rises to 82.19 million. Compared with study in 2007 (Xia et al, 2016), although secondary industry remains the industry encountering the most economic loss (80%), changes can be noticed for economic loss at provincial level. Henan and Jiangsu become two provinces that suffering the greatest economic loss at 56.37 and 45.32 billion Yuan respectively, followed by Shangdong province with total economic loss at 43.23 billion Yuan. Henan and Shangdong provinces also have the largest numbers of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-induced mortality, hospital admissions and outpatient visits. Secondly, the study highlights the cascading indirect economic loss triggered by industrial and regional interdependencies in health costs assessment. In 2012, indirect economic loss constitutes a significant part of total regional economic loss in Northeast, Eastern China and Northwest, which occupies 31%, 21% and 30% of the total regional economic loss, respectively. Overall, Mid-South accounts for the largest amount of indirect economic loss in other Chinese regions at 24.65 billion Yuan, which is followed by North China and Eastern China at 16.99 and 12.17 billion Yuan, respectively. Additionally, the study specifically focuses on 7 sectors in secondary industry and differentiates economic loss from these sectors inside the region from those outside the region. In Northwest and Northeast, economic loss attributed to secondary industries outside the region still constitute a considerable share due to industrial and regional interdependencies at 31% and 34% of total regional economic loss, respectively. Secondary industries in the Mid-South, Eastern China and North China become three major sources for indirect economic loss across all the six regions. Indeed, I also suggest that indirect economic loss is more likely to come from neighbour-regions, which highlights the possibility that short geographical distance might accelerate interregional trade and strengthen regional interlinkages. In North China, Northwest and Southwest, most of their indirect economic loss are originated from Manufacturing industries outside the region with 27.0%, 26.7% and 22.2%, respectively. The second largest source in these three regions that accounts for economic loss from secondary industries in other regions is Energy, with the greatest amount occurs in North China at 2.32 billion Yuan. In contrast, Coal and Mining accounts for the majority of indirect loss from secondary industries outside the region for Eastern China, Mid-South and Northeast at 37.4% (10.83 billion Yuan), 33.4% (3.65 billion Yuan) and 24.4% (1.30 billion Yuan), respectively. Such distinctive compositions of outer-regional economic loss might be due to the different economic structures and dependences between North China, Northwest, Southwest and Eastern China, Mid-South, Northeast. Turning to the economic loss from secondary industry inside the region, Regions show heterogeneity. Coal and Mining accounts for the largest part of inner-regional economic loss in North China and Northwest at 42.4% and 43.8%, respectively, Equipments and Energy are two major sources for inner-regional economic loss Eastern China and Southwest, while Metal and Non-metal and Manufacturing constitute considerable proportions in inner-regional economic loss from secondary industries in Mid-South.

There are some final remarks for policymakers and researchers here from this typical air pollution issue. On the one hand, given that the prosperous interregional trade, policymakers are required to conscientiously consider these increasingly strengthened industrial and regional linkages in climate change mitigation and adaptation policy design based on a better understanding of implications resulting from any climate change-induced health issues at both micro and macroeconomic levels. Meanwhile, sufficient adaptation measures are required to be implemented along with the climate change mitigation strategies in operation. The purpose of this is to expand the economy beyond the regional geography and natural endowment, and to release

the current reliance of economy on labour-intensive sectors (Mauricio Mesquita, 2007). On the other hand, researchers on epidemic studies should actively integrate these interdependencies into future health costs evaluation while researchers on disaster risk analysis should not lose sights on 'persistent' disasters as described in this study, which affect more human capital and may imply degradation in production factor inputs.

### **Data Availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

## **Author Contribution**

DG and YX designed the study and YX carried them out. JM constructed the MRIO table for China 2012. YL and YS provided requested dataset. YX prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41629501), National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFA0602604 and 2016YFC0206202), National Natural Science Foundation of China (71373153, 91746112, 71773075, 71761137001 and 71503168), National Social Science Foundation of China (15ZDA054), the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NE/N00714X/1 and NE/P019900/1) and Economic and Social Research Council (ES/L016028/1), British Academy Grant (AF150310) and the Philip Leverhulme Prize.

## References

Bradley CJ, Neumark D, Luo Z, Schenk M. Employment and cancer: findings from a longitudinal study of breast and prostate cancer survivors. *Cancer investigation* **25**, 47-54 (2007).

Burnett, Richard T., et al. "An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease attributable to ambient fine particulate matter exposure." *Environmental health perspectives* 122.4 (2014): 397.

Cho S, et al. 2001. Integrating transportation network and regional economic models to estimate the costs of a large urban earthquake. *Journal of Regional Science* 41: 39-65.

Crowther KG, Haimes YY. 2005. Application of the inoperability input—output model (IIM) for systemic risk assessment and management of interdependent infrastructures. *Systems Engineering* 8: 323-41.

Feng K, et al. Outsourcing CO2 within China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 11654-11659 (2013).

Guan D, et al. The socioeconomic drivers of China's primary PM2.5 emissions. *Environmental Research Letters* **9**, 024010 (2014).

Geng G, Zhang Q, Martin R, van Donkelaar A, Huo H, Che H, Lin J, He K. Estimating long-term PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in China using satellite-based aerosol optical depth and a chemical transport model. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 166, 262-270 (2015).

Greenpeace (2017). Air pollution. [Online]. Available at <http://www.greenpeace.org.uk/climate/airpollution>. Accessed 20/2/2017

Jiang, Xujia, et al. "Revealing the hidden health costs embodied in Chinese exports." *Environmental science & technology* 49.7 (2015): 4381-4388.

Kan H, Chen B. Particulate air pollution in urban areas of Shanghai, China: health-based economic assessment. *Science of the Total Environment* **322**, 71-79 (2004).

Miraglia, Simone Georges El Khouri, Paulo Hilário Nascimento Saldiva, and György Miklós Böhm. "An evaluation of air pollution health impacts and costs in São Paulo, Brazil." *Environmental management* 35.5, 667-676, (2005).

McGhee, Sarah M., et al. "Cost of tobacco-related diseases, including passive smoking, in Hong Kong." *Tobacco control* 15.2, 125-130, (2006).

Miller, R. E. & P. D. Blair, 2009. Input-output analysis: foundations and extensions. Cambridge University Press.

Meng J, Liu J, Xu Y, Tao S. Tracing Primary PM2.5 emissions via Chinese Supply Chains. *Environmental Research Letters* 10, 054005 (2015).

Meng J, Liu J, Xu Y, Guan D, Liu Z, Huang Y, Tao S. Globalization and pollution: tele-connecting local primary PM2.5 emissions to global consumption, *Pro. R. Soc. A. The Royal Society*, p 20160380 (2016).

Meng J, Liu J, Fan S, Kang C, Yi K, Cheng, Y, Shen, X, Tao S. Potential health benefits of controlling dust emissions in Beijing. *Environ. Pollut.* 213, 850-859 (2016).

National Bureau of Statistics of China (2013). National Statistical Yearbook 2013. [Online]. Available at <http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2013/indexch.htm> Accessed 31/1/2017.

域代码已更改

Santos JR, Haimes YY. 2004. Modeling the Demand Reduction Input-Output (I-O) Inoperability Due to Terrorism of Interconnected Infrastructures. *Risk Analysis* 24: 1437-51.

Steenge, A. E. & M. Bočkarjova, 2007. Thinking about imbalances in post-catastrophe economies: an input-output based proposition. *Economic Systems Research* 19(2): 205-223.

Sun J, Peng W. Domestic Interregional trade based on regional trade relations (基于区域贸易联系的国内区域贸易合作). *Social Science Research (社会科学研究)*. (2011). (in Chinese).

Venners, Scott A., et al. "Particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, and daily mortality in Chongqing, China." *Environmental health perspectives* 111.4, 562 (2003).

Wong TW, et al. Air pollution and hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases in Hong Kong. *Occupational and environmental medicine* 56, 679-683 (1999).

Wong C-M, et al. A tale of two cities: effects of air pollution on hospital admissions in Hong Kong and London compared. *Environmental health perspectives* 110, 67 (2002).

Wan Y, Yang H, Masui T. Air pollution-induced health impacts on the national economy of China: demonstration of a computable general equilibrium approach. *Reviews on environmental health* 20, 119-140 (2004).

Wang H, Mullahy J. Willingness to pay for reducing fatal risk by improving air quality: a contingent valuation study in Chongqing, China. *Science of the Total Environment* 367, 50-57 (2006).

Wang, X. J., et al. Air quality improvement estimation and assessment using contingent valuation method, a case study in Beijing. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 120.1-3: 153-168 (2006).

Wiedmann T, Minx J, Barrett J, Wackernagel M. Allocating ecological footprints to final consumption categories with input-output analysis. *Ecological economics* 56, 28-48 (2006).

Xu Z, Yu D, Jing L, Xu X. Air pollution and daily mortality in Shenyang, China. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal* 55, 115-120 (2000).

Xia Y, Guan D, Jiang X, Peng L, Schroeder H, Zhang Q. Assessment of socioeconomic costs to China's air pollution. *Atmospheric Environment* 139 (2016): 147-56.

Xia, Yang, et al. "Assessment of the economic impacts of heat waves: A case study of Nanjing, China." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 171 (2018): 811-819.

Zeng X, Jiang Y. 2010. Evaluation of value of statistical life in health costs attributable to air pollution. *China Environmental Science* 30: 284-8.