Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-441-SC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



ACPD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "ROOOH: the Missing Piece of the Puzzle for OH measurements in low NO Environments" by Christa Fittschen et al.

F. A. F. Winiberg

fwiniberg@gmail.com

Received and published: 26 June 2018

(A formatted version of this comment is attached as a supplement PDF)

The results from this study are very interesting and could have wide reaching implications, not only for the understanding of atmospheric oxidation mechanisms, but also for the OH measurement community. The authors state that the possible interference from ROOOH decomposition in the FAGE apparatus could account for high OH concentration measurements around the globe from multiple groups. I am keen to understand more about the experiments and hence have some questions.

* Could the authors clarify their idea for the mechanism (chemical and/or physical) of

Printer-friendly version



the decomposition of the ROOOH in the FAGE inlet?

- * No two FAGE instruments are alike. Instruments where interference signals have been categorized have a range of different inlet lengths and inlet pinhole constructions (e.g. Faloona et al. (2004), Martinez et al. (2010) and Rickly and Stevens (2018)). Could the authors comment on the possible effects of FAGE instrument design on this interference?
- * Were there any experiments conducted with different inlet pinhole diameters and inlet lengths to try and elucidate the effect on the possible ROOOH decomposition?
- * Could the authors comment of the losses of ROOOH in the system? Are there expected losses on surfaces (e.g. the FAGE inlet pinhole)? Also, Müller et al. (2016), hypothesised a loss pathway for the ROOOH species via the reaction with water dimer. Will this be important under the experimental conditions presented here?
- * The manuscript mentions the importance of OH scavenger experiments to determine whether there is a production of OH in the FAGE inlet (Novelli et al., 2014;Rickly and Stevens, 2018). Were similar experiments conducted here?

The flow tube experiments were conducted at high relative humidity (12000 ppmv) and with low flow rates to promote the formation of ROOOH over subsequent photolysis laser shots. I have a few questions about the chemistry and apparatus used. I think it would be useful information for the SOM for those in the FAGE community that might be interested:

* It has been hypothesized that RO2 radicals generated in the OH initiated oxidation of unsaturated hydrocarbons could form complexes with H2O molecules (Clark et al., 2010;Clark et al., 2008;Khan et al., 2015). Could the authors comment on the use of high [H2O] concentrations during these experiments and the possible effects this might have on the, already complicated, isoprene + OH oxidation mechanism?

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- * Was the effect of varying the initial conditions of the experiment investigated (e.g. [H2O]0, [O3]0 and [OH]0)? Was an alternative OH source used (without H2O)? Did the authors try other unsaturated hydrocarbons/terpenes such as pinene, as in Rickly and Stevens (2018)?
- * Were more than two OH probe laser powers used in the determination of the absence of laser induced photolysis?
- * The flow experiments were conducted in a regime where the photolysis beam did not fill the entire diameter of the flow tube. Could the authors comment on the possible impact of diffusion in and out of the photolysis region during the 20 s residence time?
- * It would be useful to see an OH decay trace with pre-photolysis signal to judge the increase in the background level signal. Is the observed rise in the ILIF plateau above the S/N (and limit of detection) of the background signal, for example? Does the ILIF plateau value extend to the subsequent OH probe pulse? Was a run without an organic completed, to show the ILIF plateau base case in the absence of RO2 (and therefore ROOOH)?

Finally, I have a couple of comments about the conclusions:

- * The contribution of ROOOH to [OH] measured with FAGE instruments depends highly on the production rate and loss processes for these molecules both of which are highly uncertain at this stage.
- * The interferences measured in the lab based ozonolysis experiments conducted by Novelli et al. (2014) and Rickly and Stevens (2018), have been shown to be removed upon addition of a reaction partner for Criegee intermediates (sulphur dioxide (Novelli et al., 2017) and acetic acid (Rickly and Stevens, 2018)). This suggests that stabilized Criegee intermediates decomposing in the FAGE inlet may be responsible in these cases.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



* The experiments conducted by Fuchs et al. (2012) did show a 30 – 40 % higher measurement of OH in a few cases, involving methyl vinyl ketone and toluene, which is indeed interesting. However, these runs were carried out under comparable NO conditions to other runs, for example containing isoprene, earlier in the campaign.

References:

Clark, J., English, A. M., Hansen, J. C., and Francisco, J. S.: Computational Study on the Existence of Organic Peroxy Radical-Water Complexes (RO2ÂůH2O), J. Phys. Chem. A, 112, 1587-1595, 10.1021/jp077266d, 2008.

Clark, J., Call, S. T., Austin, D. E., and Hansen, J. C.: Computational Study of Isoprene Hydroxyalkyl Peroxy Radical—Water Complexes (C5H8(OH)O2—H2O), J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 6534-6541, 10.1021/jp102655g, 2010.

Faloona, I. C., Tan, D., Lesher, R. L., Hazen, N. L., Frame, C. L., Simpas, J. B., Harder, H., Martinez, M., di Carlo, P., Ren, X., and Brune, W. H.: A Laser-Induced Fluorescence Instrument for Detecting Troposhperic OH and HO2: Characteristics and Calibration, J. Atmos. Chem., 47, 139-167, 2004.

Fuchs, H., Dorn, H.-P., Bachner, M., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Gomm, S., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Nehr, S., Rohrer, F., Tillmann, R., and Wahner, A.: Comparison of OH concentration measurements by DOAS and LIF during SAPHIR chamber experiments at high OH reactivity and low NO concentration, Atmos Meas Tech, 5, 1611-1626, 2012.

Khan, M. A. H., Cooke, M. C., Utembe, S. R., Archibald, A. T., Derwent, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Morris, W. C., South, N., Hansen, J. C., Francisco, J. S., Percival, C. J., and Shallcross, D. E.: Global analysis of peroxy radicals and peroxy radical-water complexation using the STOCHEM-CRI global chemistry and transport model, Atmos. Environ., 106, 278-287, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.020, 2015.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Martinez, M., Harder, H., Kubistin, D., Rudolf, M., Bozem, H., Eerdekens, G., Fischer, H., Klupfel, T., Gurk, C., Koenigstedt, R., Parchatka, U., Schiller, C. L., Stickler, A., Williams, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Hydroxyl radicals in the tropical troposphere over the Suriname rainforest: airborne measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3759-3773, 10.5194/acp-10-3759-2010, 2010.

Müller, J.-F., Liu, Z., Nguyen, V. S., Stavrakou, T., Harvey, J. N., and Peeters, J.: The reaction of methyl peroxy and hydroxyl radicals as a major source of atmospheric methanol, Nat. Commun., 7, 13213, 10.1038/ncomms13213 https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms13213#supplementary-information, 2016.

Novelli, A., Hens, K., Tatum Ernest, C., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Elste, T., Plass-Dülmer, C., Martinez, M., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Characterisation of an inlet pre-injector laser-induced fluorescence instrument for the measurement of atmospheric hydroxyl radicals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 3413-3430, 10.5194/amt-7-3413-2014, 2014.

Novelli, A., Hens, K., Tatum Ernest, C., Martinez, M., Nölscher, A. C., Sinha, V., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Elste, T., Plass-Dülmer, C., Phillips, G. J., Kubistin, D., Williams, J., Vereecken, L., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Estimating the atmospheric concentration of Criegee intermediates and their possible interference in a FAGE-LIF instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 7807-7826, 10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017, 2017.

Rickly, P., and Stevens, P. S.: Measurements of a potential interference with laser-induced fluorescence measurements of ambient OH from the ozonolysis of biogenic alkenes, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 1-16, 10.5194/amt-11-1-2018, 2018.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2018-441/acp-2018-441-SC1-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-441, 2018.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

