

Interactive comment on “On the spectral depolarisation and lidar ratio of mineral dust provided in the AERONET version 3 inversion product” by Sung-Kyun Shin et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 12 August 2018

This article carefully compared the two spectral characteristics of lidar ratio(S_L) and linear depolarization ratio(Δ_L) for the coarse size distribution ($AE < 04$, and fine mode fraction < 0.1).

As well known for other scientist, these two values depend on the particle morphology(depolarization) and imaginary-refractive index(lidar ratio) of particle. But as author have described in this article at page 2 the line 5-6. Lidar ratio also depend on the particle size. So equation (2) and (3) should be changed from $F_{11}(\lambda, n = n_r + jn_i)$ & $F_{22}(\lambda, n)$ to $F_{11}(x = 2\pi r / \lambda, n)$ & $F_{22}(x, n)$ (Borhen and Huffman, 1983). So, the author should consider aerosol size distribution for the all kinds of their discussion. When

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



this size distribution does not change S_L and Δ_L so much, the author should describe these results quantitatively also.

Linear polarization, may be, depend on the size distribution also (because scattering F_{11} & F_{22} depend on the wavelength and size), for this purpose they must consider these effects and include some results or references.

Figure 3, and 5 give clear spectral changes of lidar ratio and linear depolarization. The author explains these results by using aerosol refractive index (Table 1). I think their explanation is correct. But they did not say anything about the spectral shape of linear depolarization. They must discuss more carefully about these spectral changes. For example, when we consider approximately, that wavelength is longer than aerosol size ($\lambda \gg r$) the morphological shape cannot influence scattering. So, when wavelength increases linear depolarization should decrease. But their results (Figure 3) show the opposite picture.

If aerosol linear depolarization ratio depends only on the aging period and transportation distance. Please remove lines 12-14 ("The spectrum of $(\Delta_\lambda)_$ shows a maximum of 0.26-0.31 at 1020 nm and decreasing values as wavelength decreases. AERONET-derived $(\Delta_\lambda)_$ at 870 and 1020 nm are close to the lidar reference while values of 0.19-0.24 at 440 nm are smaller than the independent lidar observations" at the abstract. So, I think this article can be published in this journal when they consider aerosol size distribution in comparing S_L and Δ_L

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-401>, 2018.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)