

## Response to Reviewers #1' Comments

Reviewer: Y. Lin

### General comments:

The study utilized the TRMM radar reflectivity and PM<sub>10</sub> data over the PRD region to investigate the potential impacts of aerosol on precipitation. How to quantify aerosol impacts on precipitation based solely on observations is a tough task since meteorological factors need to be isolated effectively. The study is unique in that it separated precipitation associated with synoptic or mesoscale forcing from those localized precipitation events. Furthermore, other meteorological factors, including vertical wind shear, which is important for convective system development, are also analyzed and described. The finding that aerosol is able to invigorate deep convections is generally consistent with previous modeling studies. The study is thus a good contribution to this community. Nevertheless, I have some suggestions for the authors to consider.

*Response: We thank the reviewer for his thoughtful and thorough comments and suggestions. We have tried as much as possible to address all concerns and have revised the manuscript accordingly. The comments are written in normal font, and our point-to-point responses to the comments are in bold italics.*

### Specific comments:

1. Although manual identification of synoptic or localized precipitation event is described on 26-28 p11, a few more description might help since it is very subjective. I am also wondering whether localized precipitation is more appropriate than local-scale precipitation.

*Response: Thanks for pointing this out. More descriptions regarding how to identify a synoptic or localized precipitation event are added in Section 3.1 of our revised manuscript, which are described as follows:*

*“...The discrimination between localized and synoptic-scale precipitation events for a given day largely relies on the weather composite charts, where daily averaged wind field at 850 hPa was overlaid with the geopotential height at 500hPa. Particularly, the localized precipitation event for a given day was subjectively determined as follows: (1) There exists favorable atmospheric conditions for the initiation and development of localized precipitation events through visual interpretation of the weather composite plot for the day analyzed; (2) The minimum rainfall greater than 0.1 mm/d was recorded at any gauges in the study area (red box in Figure 1); (3) there are ground-based PM<sub>10</sub> measurements collocated with precipitation measurements from TRMM to obtain a pair of valid aerosol-precipitation data. As such, the total number of collocated samples reached up to 253 for localized precipitation events, whereas 194 for synoptic scale*

*precipitation events...”.*

*In addition, “local-scale precipitation” has been revised to “localized precipitation”, per your suggestion.*

2. Smaller reflectivity below the freezing level for polluted cases than clean cases (Fig. 5c, P14 Line 10) might be due to the large numbers, but smaller sizes of rain drops within polluted environment.

*Response: Agreed, and we add the following discussion to better elucidate the possible causes for the smaller reflectivity observed below the freezing level under polluted conditions:*

*“Below the freezing level where the reflectivity is less than 40 dBZ, the color is virtually all blue, meaning that precipitation is weaker under polluted conditions than clean ones. This could also be due to a large number of smaller rain drops within polluted environment.”*

3. Looks like PM<sub>10</sub> (P8, Line 5) is much higher during the periods with occurrence of shallow convection than other two types of precipitation. Any reasons for this? Does this imply heavy pollution tends to inhibit deep convection development sometimes, although it will invigorate deep convection once the negative impacts of aerosols are overcome?

*Response: Agreed. The phenomenon you noticed likely imply heavy pollution tends to inhibit deep convection development sometimes. After double checking the original dataset, one cause for such a higher average PM<sub>10</sub> for shallow precipitation regime is due to two days with abnormal high PM<sub>10</sub> concentration, corresponding to 255.33 and 260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , respectively. In contrast, for other two precipitation regimes (i.e., Stratiform and convective), the maximal PM<sub>10</sub> concentration is just 193 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . As you suggested, this implies heavy pollution tends to inhibit deep convection development sometimes, although it will invigorate deep convection once the negative impacts of aerosols are overcome. Related discussion has been added to our revised manuscript.*

4. Regarding that deep convections sometimes developed from shallow convections, is it possible that the composite will divide one precipitation event into different types. This need to be mentioned somehow.

*Response: Per your suggestions, we added the following discussion in section 3.1:*

*“Given the fact that deep convections sometimes develop from shallow convections (Houze 1993; Li and Schumacher, 2011; Yang et al., 2015), it is possible that the subjective composite method will divide one precipitation event into different types, which will lead to large uncertainties in determining precipitation regimes from TRMM data alone. This deserves more explicit analyses aided by geostationary satellite data in the future, which is out of the scope of this study.”*

**Minor comments:**

1. Why use the vertical wind shear between 1000 and 700 hPa instead over a higher level?

*Response: This is a typo, since we confused the two pressure levels used to define the wind shear with those for the calculation of LTS (lower troposphere stability). LTS is defined as potential temperature difference between 1000hPa and 700hPa. Actually, the vertical wind shear used in the main text is calculated from the winds between 850hPa (~1.5km) and 500hPa (~5.5 km), rather than between 1000hPa and 700hPa, which has been corrected in this revised manuscript.*

2. P6, L20, delete “use to”

*Response: Deleted as suggested.*

3. There are some other typos. Please double check.

*Response: We corrected other typos in our revised manuscript.*

5

**Aerosol-induced changes in the vertical structure of precipitation: a perspective of TRMM precipitation radar**

10

Jianping Guo<sup>1\*</sup>, Huan Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhanqing Li<sup>3\*</sup>, Daniel Rosenfeld<sup>4</sup>, Mengjiao Jiang<sup>5</sup>, Weixing Xu<sup>6</sup>, Jonathan H. Jiang<sup>7</sup>, Jing He<sup>1</sup>, Dandan Chen<sup>1</sup>, Min Min<sup>8</sup>, and Panmao Zhai<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

<sup>2</sup>College of Earth Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

15

<sup>3</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences & Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20740, USA

<sup>4</sup>The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

<sup>5</sup>College of Atmosphere Science, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu 610225, China

<sup>6</sup>Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA

<sup>7</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109, USA

20

<sup>8</sup>National Satellite Meteorological Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China

Correspondence to: Drs. Zhanqing Li ([zli@atmos.umd.edu](mailto:zli@atmos.umd.edu)) and Jianping Guo ([jpguocams@gmail.com](mailto:jpguocams@gmail.com))

25

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: 16 pt

Deleted: Aerosol-induced changes in the vertical structure of precipitation: a perspective of TRMM precipitation radar

Formatted: Font: 16 pt

Formatted: Font: 16 pt

Formatted: Font: 16 pt

Formatted: Font: 16 pt

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 4

Deleted: Mengjiao Jiang<sup>45</sup>

Deleted: <sup>54</sup>

Deleted: 6

Deleted: 5

Deleted: 7

Deleted: 6

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Deleted: 3The

Deleted: 4

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: <sup>54</sup>

Deleted: 6

Deleted: 5

Deleted: 7

Deleted: 6

Field Code Changed

## Abstract

Our knowledge is still poor regarding the response of precipitation vertical structure to aerosols, partly due to the ignorance of precipitation occurring at different spatial scales. Six years of collocated ground-based PM<sub>10</sub> and satellite-based (TRMM) radar data, along with ERA-Interim reanalysis are used in this study to investigate the aerosol effects on three localized rain regimes (shallow, stratiform, and convective rain) over the Pearl River Delta region of China. A subjective analysis method is proposed to discriminate between the localized and synoptic-scale precipitations based on weather composite chart where daily averaged wind field at 850 hPa is overlaid with the geopotential height at 500hPa. In general, average rain rate tends to be greater under polluted conditions than under clean conditions. But such potential aerosol effects are regime dependent: as the atmosphere becomes slightly polluted ( $PM_{10} \leq 38 \mu g/m^3$ ), the top 1% radar reflectivity (Z) for all regimes initially increases, followed with continue increases and weak decreases for convective and stratiform/shallow rain regimes, respectively. As the atmosphere becomes much more polluted, such regime dependences of aerosol effects are more significant. From a perspective of vertical Z structure, comparisons between polluted conditions (days with the highest third of PM<sub>10</sub> concentration) to clean conditions (days with the lowest third of PM<sub>10</sub> concentration) show that convective rain regime exhibits a deeper and stronger Z pattern, whereas a much shallower and weaker Z pattern is observed for stratiform and shallow precipitation regimes. In particular, the top height of 30dBZ rain echo increases by ~29% (~1.27km) for convective regime, but decreases by ~10.8% (~0.47km) for stratiform regime. However, no noticeable changes are observed for shallow precipitation regime. Impacts of meteorological factors are further studied on both rain top height and the center of gravity of Z, including vertical velocity, vertical wind shear, convection available potential energy, and vertically integrated moisture flux divergence. The possible invigoration effect on convective precipitation seems dependent on wind shear, in good agreement with previous findings. Overall, the observed dependence of precipitation vertical structure on ground-based PM<sub>10</sub> supports the notion of aerosol invigoration/suppression effect on cold/warm rain, and adds new insights into the nature of the complex interactions between aerosol and various localized precipitation regimes.

**Deleted:** This study investigates aerosol effects on three localized rain regimes (shallow rain, stratiform rain, and convective rain) precipitation over the Pearl River Delta of China, using by

**Deleted:** six

**Deleted:** concentration data

**Deleted:** precipitation

**Formatted:** ... [1]

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** lower

**Deleted:** higher...reater under polluted conditions than under under ... [2]

**Deleted:** ...A... the atmosphere becomes slightly polluted from pristine conditions ... $PM_{10} \leq 38 \mu g/m^3$ ), the top 1% highest... radar reflectivity (Z, top 1%)...Z) ...or all regimes initially increases at first... then ... followed with continue increases and weak decreases for convective and stratiform/shallow rain regimes, respectively. As the atmosphere becomes much more polluted, such regime dependences of aerosol effects are more significant. As the atmosphere becomes much more polluted ( $PM_{10} \leq 200 \mu g/m^3$ ), such regime dependences of aerosol effects are more significant. ... [3]

**Deleted:** . Radar reflectivity of the top 1% increases as the atmosphere becomes slightly polluted ( $PM_{10} < 38 \mu g/m^3$ ), except for shallow convection. The aerosol-precipitation data pairs are further limited to local- or... [4]

**Formatted:** Subscript

**Deleted:** In... a perspective of vertical Z structure view... [5]

**Deleted:** are possibly induced by aerosol, and this ... [6]

**Deleted:** 18.7...9% (~1.27km) (2.7%)...for convective... [7]

**Deleted:** rain

**Deleted:** precipitation ...egime, under severe polluted [8]

**Deleted:** and

**Deleted:** of shallow and

**Deleted:** rain

**Deleted:** convection

**Deleted:** rain regimes are almost identical between much

**Deleted:** ...no noticeable while... insignificantly ... [9]

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** rain... regime are shown ... [10]

**Deleted:** smaller (~10%) and in conformity between... [11]

**Deleted:** Dynamic and thermodynamic conditions [12]

**Deleted:** the

**Deleted:** s

**Deleted:** hypothesis... n cold/warm rain, and adds... [13]

## 1 Introduction

Clouds and their interactions with aerosols (solid or liquid particles suspended in the atmosphere) have been documented as one of the largest source of uncertainty for climate (Boucher et al., 2013). Therefore, a better understanding of aerosol-cloud interactions will not only help us to understand and forecast our climate much better, but also enable us to simulate the weather systems more accurately (Seinfeld et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2017). Despite many challenges and uncertainties, there are increasing observational evidences for the aerosol-induced changes on clouds and precipitation properties (e.g., Koren et al., 2005; Rosenfeld 2008; Li et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2014; Altaratz et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2016; 2018), as recently reviewed by Tao et al. (2012) and Li et al. (2017). On one hand, by absorbing and scattering solar radiation, aerosols can cool the surface and heat the atmosphere nearby, which leads to more stabilized lower atmosphere, and much suppressed cloud and precipitation (Hansen et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2018). This effect is termed as aerosol radiative effect. On the other hand, by acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN) (Andreae et al., 2009), aerosols can initiate clouds with more but smaller cloud droplets and a narrower size distribution (Squires et al., 1958; Twomey et al., 1977), which affects the subsequent cloud microphysical processes, changes the thermodynamic and dynamic conditions, and thus influence precipitation (Koren et al., 2005; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2018). This effect is also termed as aerosol microphysical effects.

Convective invigoration has been suggested in ample studies that both the height (Williams et al., 2002; Andreae et al., 2004; Koren et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011; van den Heever et al., 2011; Fan et al., 2013) and fraction (Fan et al., 2013; Yan et al. 2014) of deep convective clouds increase with aerosol loading, thereby leading to stronger storms in polluted environments. At the same time, the inhibition of light precipitation by aerosols has also been reported in different regions of the world (Kaufman and Fraser, 1997; Rosenfeld and Lensky, 1998; Rosenfeld and Givati, 2006; Wang et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2014). The invigoration theory was recently generalized by Fan et al. (2018) that can also occur for shallower water clouds under extreme clean conditions, under which ultra-fine mode aerosol particles may be nucleated to release latent heat to fuel cloud development. While we have come a long way in understanding the mechanisms behind various observation-based findings, the impact of aerosol on precipitation remain a daunting task (Tao et al, 2012). Failure in fully understanding and accounting for these

Deleted: ¶

Page Break

Deleted: Interactions between c...l...ds and their interactions with aerosols (solid or liquid particles suspended in the atmosphere) have been reported...ocumented as one of the largest biggest...source of uncertainty for radiative forcing...limate (Boucher et al., 2013). Therefore, aA...better understanding of aerosol-cloud interactions will not only help us to understand and forecast our climate much better, but also and...enable us to simulate the weather systems more accurately (Seinfeld et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2017). Despite many challenges and uncertainties remaining ... [14]

Deleted: have been...re increasing observational evidences/phenomena...for ... [15]

Deleted: showing...he ... [16]

Deleted: the

Deleted: mechanisms underlying

Deleted: impact of

Deleted:

Deleted: l...n clouds and weather and climate including extreme events like severe thunderstorms, as is recently reviewed by ... [17]

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: Tao et al., 2012; ...ltaratz et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2016; 2018), as recently reviewed by Tao et al. (2012) and ... [18]

Deleted: Li et al.(2017).

Deleted: therefore ...tabilizede...lower atmosphere,, inhibit cloud formation, ... [19]

Formatted ... [20]

Deleted: , which...is termed known ... [21]

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: ;..., which , therefore...ffects join...the subsequent cloud microphysical processes, changes the thermodynamic and dynamic conditions linked ... [22]

Deleted: Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height...Williams et al., 2002; Andreae et al., 2004; ...oren et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011; van den Heever et al., 2011;... Fan et al., 2013) and cloud fraction (Fan et al., 2013; Yan ...an et al. 2014 ... [23]

Deleted: , which ...s also termed known ... [24]

Deleted: ,

Deleted: of deep convective clouds increase with aerosol loading.

Deleted: therebyleading to stronger storms in polluted environments

effects may not only undermine our understanding of the earth's climate and its changes (IPCC, 2013), but also impair the accuracy of rainfall forecast by a numerical weather model (Jiang et al., 2017).

The net effects of aerosols on precipitation are strongly influenced and confounded by atmospheric dynamic and thermodynamic conditions, such as updraft strength (Koren et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2016a), wind shear (Fan et al., 2009), and atmospheric instability (Khain et al., 2004). Consequently, aerosols can indirectly modify the vertical profiles of hydrometeors and cloud phases, which can, in turn, alter the dynamics and thermodynamics of a precipitating cloud system through latent heat release (Heiblum et al., 2012). Also, the relationships between aerosols and precipitation vary significantly on seasonal and spatial scales (Huang et al., 2009a,b). It has been a great challenge to single out the aerosol effects, largely due to various processes influencing precipitation, radiation, and even the state of the atmosphere that are induced by aerosols.

The three-dimensional (3D) structures of radar echoes, which is determined by a combination of dynamic, thermodynamic, and cloud microphysical processes, are known as a good way to represent details inside precipitating systems (Zipser and Lutz, 1994; Yuter and Houze, 1995; Min et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2016). Any systematic changes in precipitation vertical structure as aerosol varies may provide new insights into the mechanism underlying the aerosol-cloud-precipitation interaction (Koren et al., 2009; Heiblum et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017). Indeed, the deployment of the cloud profiling radar onboard CloudSat has led to new insights into the response of cloud to aerosols (e.g., Nakajima et al., 2010; Suzuki et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016). To the best of our knowledge, however, few studies have ever used the precipitation radar to analyze the association of vertical structure of precipitation with aerosol in China.

Given the dominant effects of atmospheric dynamics on synoptic-scale precipitation systems, only precipitation events occurring on local scale are examined in detail in the following sections. This consideration is largely due to the point-based nature of ground aerosol measurements, and the strong susceptibility of localized precipitating system to aerosol pollution (Fan et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2017). The goal of this study is to investigate the influence of aerosols on the vertical structure of different localized precipitation regimes by examining a large amount of collocated measurements from the precipitation radar (PR) on board the Tropical Rainfall

- Deleted: Aerosol microphysical effects can fuel... [25]
- Formatted ... [27]
- Deleted: specific e
- Formatted ... [26]
- Formatted ... [28]
- Deleted: Gordon, 1994;
- Deleted: R
- Deleted: also
- Deleted: ,c
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: At the same time, the inhibition of light ... [29]
- Formatted ... [30]
- Deleted: )
- Deleted: to
- Deleted: t the vertical structure of
- Deleted: on, which is determined by a combination of... [31]
- Formatted ... [32]
- Formatted ... [33]
- Deleted:
- Deleted: Heiblum et al., 2012
- Deleted: Anys
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: of precipitation
- Deleted: loading changes
- Deleted: insights into the mechanism underlying the... [38]
- Moved up [1]: Nakajima et al., 2010; Suzuki et al.,
- Deleted: provide some
- Deleted:
- Formatted ... [34]
- Formatted ... [35]
- Moved (insertion) [1]
- Deleted: Nakajima et al., 2010; Suzuki et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2016
- Deleted: T
- Formatted ... [37]
- Deleted: indeed
- Deleted: and precipitation microphysical processes
- Deleted: Studies examining aerosol effects on ... [39]
- Deleted: cloud
- Deleted: - or meso-scale
- Deleted: cloud
- Deleted: s, including the thermal convection, cumulus... [40]
- Deleted: types

Measuring Mission (TRMM) and ground-based in-situ aerosol measurements made in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region of southern China. We will examine differences in the vertical structure of precipitation between clean and polluted atmospheric environments to determine whether they are consistent with some previously proposed mechanisms governing aerosol invigoration or suppression of precipitation.

The rest of this paper proceeds as follows: The study area, datasets, and methods used here are described in section 2. How to discriminate between synoptical-scale and localized precipitating systems, the potential aerosol-induced changes in the vertical structure of different precipitation regimes and their dependences on meteorological conditions are discussed in section 3. Finally, the main findings of this study are summarized in section 4.

## 2 Data and methods

### 2.1 Study area

The study area is mainly over the PRD region (bounded by 113°E and 115°E, 22°N and 24°N, red rectangles in Figure 1), including many populated cities with relatively high emissions (e.g., Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Zhuhai, and Hong Kong). The PRD has a humid subtropical climate, which is strongly influenced by the Asian monsoon circulation and tropical cyclones originated in the western Pacific Ocean (Ding, 1994). In recent decades, the PRD region experienced rapid economic development, which caused heavy air pollutions associated with human activities, including the increasing fossil fuel combustion due to industrialization (Deng et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2016b). In addition, another main reason for us to take the PRD region as our region of interest (ROI) is the well documented significant positive correlations between air pollution and occurrence frequency of precipitation over this area (e.g., Wang et al., 2011; Yang and Li, 2014).

### 2.2 Data

The dataset used here are listed in Table 1 and are briefly described here. Notably, six years (from 1 January, 2007 to 31 December, 2012, unless noted otherwise) of precipitation measurements from the TRMM PR (version 7, Huffman et al., 2007), combined with collocated aerosol data collected at ground surface, and meteorological data from the European Centre for

- Deleted: dirty
- Deleted: We will examine differences in the vertical [41]
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: Study area, We first describe the ... [42]
- Deleted: , including definitions of several parameters [43]
- Deleted: ; ...P ... [44]
- Deleted: In section 3,
- Deleted:
- Deleted: we examine any dependence of the vertical [45]
- Formatted ... [46]
- Formatted ... [47]
- Deleted: T
- Deleted: region of interest is the ...RD region ... [48]
- Deleted: °
- Deleted: -...and 115°E, 22°N-...and 24°N, bounded by [49]
- Deleted: ure...1), , which ... [50]
- Deleted: es
- Deleted: such as ...uangzhou, Shenzhen, Zhuhai, and [51]
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: ... which which...is strongly influenced by [52]
- Deleted: originating
- Deleted: The
- Deleted: has been experiencing
- Deleted: in recent years.... As a result,...hich caused [53]
- Deleted: (e.g.,...increasing fossil fuel combustion [54]
- Deleted: have been frequently documented
- Formatted ... [55]
- Deleted: Except the characters...n addition, we ... [56]
- Deleted: Observations have shown positive correlation [57]
- Deleted: , as atmospheric pollution worsened in the [58]
- Formatted ... [59]
- Deleted:
- Formatted ... [60]
- Deleted: s...used in this study ... [61]
- Deleted: . ...S...x years (from 1 January, 2007 to 31 [62]
- Deleted: n
- Deleted: data
- Deleted: and...combined with collocated aerosol data [63]
- Deleted: (Guo et al., 2016a)
- Formatted ... [64]
- Moved (insertion) [2]



Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011) are analyzed here. Prior to further explicit observational analyses, the spurious signals likely resulting from measurement uncertainties should be firstly considered, such as the misclassification of rain profiles, abnormal observations, and so on. To minimize such uncertainties, we screen the aerosol and precipitation observational data very carefully, which will be detailed as follows.

### 2.2.1 TRMM PR and 3B42 data

The precipitation properties are obtained from the TRMM PR products 2A25 and 3B42 (Huffman et al., 2007). For each rain profile, the information of category, attenuation-corrected reflectivity (Z) and rain rate (R) are provided by 2A25 with a vertical/horizontal resolution ~250 m/~4-5 km, depending on the satellite orbit height and the PR off-nadir view angle. The profile ranges from the near-surface to 20km altitude. 2A25 classify each rain profile as convective or stratiform rain with different confidence levels. Here we obtain rain profiles identified as stratiform or convective precipitation based on the 2A25 products alone, and further extract the shallow isolated echo category from convective precipitation as shallow regimes for better characterizing precipitating system. The classification is done for each profile, so different rain regimes could come from the same precipitation event. Their possible dynamic and thermodynamic connections, therefore, likely cause certain uncertainties in the following analyses, which will be discussed later. Additionally, two criteria are used to ensure that each profile contains a reliable precipitation event: (1)  $Z \geq 15$  dBZ (the minimum detectable Z for the TRMM PR, Kummerow et al., 1998); and (2) at least four consecutive levels with  $Z \geq 15$  dBZ are required for each profile. The horizontal distribution of R is provided by 3B42 with a spatial/temporal resolution of  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ/3$ -hourly over the global belt between  $50^\circ\text{N}$  and  $50^\circ\text{S}$ . 3B42 merges precipitation radar and microwave rainfall estimates with infrared-based precipitation estimates from multiple satellites, as well as measurements from rain gauges (Huffman et al., 2007).

### 2.2.2 Ground-based $PM_{10}$ measurements

Given the difficulties in obtaining large-scale CCN concentration information, we have to resort to any CCN proxy such as satellite-derived AOD and the aerosol index (AI), or ground-based particulate matter (PM) measurements. Sound correlations have been extensively documented between satellite retrievals of AOD, and cloud and precipitation properties (e.g., Koren et al., 2005, 2012; Huang et al., 2009b). Such correlations, however, are susceptible to

- Deleted: reanalysis
- Deleted: dataset
- Deleted: Uppala et al., 2008
- Deleted: ...re analyzed here. from 1 January 2007 to ... [67]
- Formatted ... [65]
- Formatted ... [66]
- Deleted: To...further explicit observational analyses [69]
- Formatted ... [68]
- Formatted ... [70]
- Deleted: data retrieval...uncertainties should be firstly [71]
- Moved up [2]: the European Centre for Medium-Range
- Deleted: [77]
- Formatted ... [72]
- Deleted: described
- Formatted ... [76]
- Formatted ... [73]
- Deleted: Aerosol loading information retrieved by sp [74]
- Deleted: The pParticulate matter (PM) with an ... [75]
- Deleted: P
- Deleted: 3D structures...are obtained from from ... [78]
- Deleted: (... depending on the satellite orbit height and [79]
- Deleted: into ...A25 classify each rain profile as ... [80]
- Deleted: certain...stratiform or certain...convective [81]
- Deleted: types as provided in the 2A23 product (prof [82]
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: local-scale precipitation
- Deleted: Note that, because t...he classification is done [83]
- Formatted ... [84]
- Deleted: is possible
- Formatted ... [85]
- Deleted: could ...ause certain uncertainties in the ou [86]
- Deleted: (detailed in section 3.2) and its association [87]
- Deleted: All pixels that do not exceed the radar ... [88]
- Deleted: T...o make sure...nsure that that a a...ach... [89]
- Deleted: in question
- Deleted: , the following criteria are used
- Deleted: in this study
- Deleted: the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (...). [90]
- Formatted ... [91]
- Deleted: there must be ...t least four consecutive lev [92]
- Deleted: ask
- Deleted: The TRMM
- Deleted: ...N and 50 ... [93]
- Deleted: version 7 product
- Deleted: The estimates are gridded at a  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  [94]

various uncertainties arising from cloud contamination and the dependence of AOD on certain atmospheric components like water vapor (e.g., Li et al., 2009; Boucher and Quaas, 2012). Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) AOD products are available for less than 30% of the time over the PRD region (Wang et al., 2015). AI, defined as the product of AOD and the Angström exponent, has been reported as a better proxy than AOD to quantify CCN concentration due to its ability to weight AOD measurements towards the fine mode (Nakajima et al., 2001). The Angström exponent is restricted over oceans because of its large uncertainties over land (Levy et al., 2010), so large uncertainties will arise when using AOD or AI as a proxy for CCN (Andreae, 2009). These uncertainties can be reduced by applying the method proposed by Liu and Li (2014). However, the most serious problem in using AOD as a proxy for CCN lies in the fact that AOD is only measurable under cloud-free conditions and is subject to various retrieval errors, as critically reviewed by Li et al. (2009).

Given the aforementioned considerations, we choose to use the rich dataset of ground-based PM<sub>10</sub> observations in the PRD region, which are available from 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2012. While it would be better to use aerosols with an aerodynamic diameter less than 1 μm (PM<sub>1</sub>) and those with diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) as proxies of CCN (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998), much less such data are available for matching with TRMM data during the period selected for this study. Using a recent year of coincident PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> measurements at the region studied here, we found most megacities in the PRD (e.g., Guangzhou and Shenzhen) are characterized with a large ratio (>0.7) of PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> (Figure 1). This indicates that the pollution over the PRD region is largely generated by anthropogenic activities. Because this study is concerned with establishment of the contemporaneous association of radar echo reflectivity with various aerosol loadings, using PM<sub>10</sub> (available under all sky conditions) as a proxy for CCN is sufficient for our needs. Vertical profiles of aerosols and clouds over the PRD region obtained from the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations mission show that aerosol particles are generally well-mixed in the boundary layer (Wang et al., 2015). PM<sub>10</sub> data can then indicate major aerosol episodes over the relatively small domain in the PRD region (~200km x 200km). Besides, the variability in aerosol properties at such a spatial scale is not very large, according to Anderson et al. (2003).

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: Very

Deleted: above

Deleted: problems

Deleted:

Deleted: (

Deleted: a good correlation (

Deleted: >

Deleted: 0.7) between the two variables

Deleted: b

Deleted: .

Deleted: Additionally

Deleted: according to Anderson et al. (2003),

Deleted: ¶

Sound correlations between AOD and CCN Previous studies are reported (e.g., Koren et al., 2005, 2012; Jiang et al., 2008; Andreae, 2009; Huang et al., 2009b). have shown that there are sound correlations between satellite retrievals of AOD, and cloud and precipitation properties. AI, defined as the product of AOD and the Angström exponent, which is reported as a better proxy than AOD to quantify CCN concentration due to its ability to weight AOD measurements towards the fine mode (Nakajima et al., 2001). Such correlations But Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) retrieved are susceptible to various uncertainties arising from cloud contamination and the dependence of AOD on certain atmospheric components like water vapor (e.g., Li et al., 2009; Boucher and Quaas, 2012). Moreover, because AOD is only measurable under cloud-free conditions, (Li et al. 2009) causing a very low, the availability of AOD of AOD from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) AOD products are available for less than 30% of the time over the PRD region (Wang et al., 2015). Therefore we cannot get enough AOD measurements, let alone the AI (Angström exponent is restricted over oceans because of its large uncertainties over land, Levy et al., 2010). All of these indicate huge uncertainty and severe limitations in using AOD here. Very large uncertainties arise when using AOD as a proxy for CCN (Andreae, 2009). These uncertainties can be reduced by applying the method proposed by Liu and Li (2014). However, the most serious problem in using [95]

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: Note that in order to exclude abnormal measurements, PM<sub>10</sub> is limited to ≤200 μg/m<sup>3</sup> in our... [96]

Formatted: Not Highlight

### 2.2.3 Reanalysis data

Due to the meteorological factors influencing simultaneously aerosol concentration and precipitation, it will be more feasible if the investigation of the co-variation of aerosol and precipitation is considered under similar meteorological conditions (Uppala et al., 2008). A variety

of meteorological variables will be used here for scaling out the aerosol effect on precipitation, including vertical velocity ( $\omega$ , Koren et al., 2012), vertical wind shear between 850 hPa (~1.5 km) and 500 hPa (~5.5 km) (Fan et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2016a), moisture flux divergence (MFD) from 1000hPa (near surface) to 400hPa (~7 km) (Khain et al., 2008), and convective available potential energy (CAPE, Dai et al., 1999). These variables are calculated or directly obtained based on the ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis, which are available four times a day, with a horizontal resolution of  $0.125^\circ \times 0.125^\circ$  at pressure levels equaling to 1000, 975, 950, 925, 900, 875, 850, 825, 800, 775, 750, 700, 650, 600, 550, 500, 450, and 400 hPa. The definition of MFD in units of  $\text{g}/(\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s})$  is as follows:

$$MFD = \nabla_P \cdot \left( \frac{\vec{V}_H q}{g} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\vec{V}_H q}{g} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\vec{V}_H q}{g} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{V}_H = \vec{U} + \vec{V} \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{V}_H$  represents the horizontal wind vector,  $\vec{U}$  and  $\vec{V}$  represent the U and V components of wind (in units of m/s), q represents specific humidity (in units of g/kg), P represents pressure (in units of hPa), and g represents the acceleration due to gravity. MFD was calculated at 18 standard pressure levels: 1000, 975, 950, 925, 900, 875, 850, 825, 800, 775, 750, 700, 650, 600, 550, 500, 450, and 400hPa. A negative MFD means convergence of water vapor, and a positive MFD, divergence of water vapor.

## 2.3 Methods

### 2.3.1 Stratification of precipitation using $PM_{10}$ measurements

As mentioned above, three precipitation regimes (i.e., shallow, stratiform, and convective) are directly derived from the TRMM 2A25 product. We only consider cases with simultaneously available measurements of both  $PM_{10}$  and rain measurements. This study attempts to differentiate the data corresponding to the lowest and highest terciles of  $PM_{10}$  concentration, which are used to

Deleted: M

Deleted: parameter

Deleted: s

Deleted: e

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: specific humidity, the "u" component of wind (U), the "v" component of wind (V)

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: .

Deleted: data

Deleted: The relationship between aerosols and precipitation structure can be established when the dataset is sorted out according to meteorological variables (Koren et al., 2012).  
Due to the potential co-variations and influence of meteorological factors conditions on aerosol-precipitation interactions influencing simultaneously aerosol concentration and precipitation, further investigation based on similar meteorological conditions it will be more... [97]

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: W

Deleted: indicates

Deleted: The relationship between aerosols and ... [98]

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: before

Deleted: rain

Deleted: Precipitation

Deleted: types

Deleted: , including

Deleted:

Deleted: precipitation

Deleted: ,

Deleted: 2A23

Deleted: precipitation

Deleted: tercile

Deleted: third

Deleted: to

denote the cleanest and most polluted conditions, respectively. The  $PM_{10}$  dataset is therefore divided into three terciles with each tercile containing an equal number of localized precipitation events. As such, a sufficient contrast can be obtained between clean and polluted subsets while retaining good sampling statistics (Koren et al., 2012). The samples are evenly distributed across the 4 seasons (Figure S1), likely due to the dominant convection nature for the localized precipitation events analyzed here. Table 2 summarizes the mean  $PM_{10}$  concentration, the total number of profiles, and the occurrence frequency (in %) of profiles in the clean and polluted categories for shallow, stratiform, and convective precipitation regimes, respectively. In particular, the clean conditions correspond to average  $PM_{10}$  concentration of 25.4/23.6/24.4  $\mu g/m^3$  for shallow/stratiform/convective precipitation regimes, while polluted ones correspond to 111.5/99.9/97.6  $\mu g/m^3$ . It seems that  $PM_{10}$  is higher during the periods with occurrence of shallow convection than other two precipitation regimes. This likely implies heavy pollution tends to inhibit deep convection development sometimes, although it will invigorate deep convection once the negative impacts of aerosols are overcome. Considering the huge range of convective rain intensity and the possible severe influence of extreme rain, we further divided the convective rain regime into three sub-groups, based on hourly  $R$ , as light ( $R < 10$  mm/h), moderate ( $10 \leq R < 20$  mm/h), and heavy ( $R \geq 20$  mm/h) rain for details.

### 2.3.2 Normalized contoured frequency by altitude diagram

To highlight the aerosol effect on the vertically-evolving process of precipitation, TRMM PR observed  $Z$  profiles are used to construct the contoured frequency by altitude diagram (CFAD) (Yuter and Houze, 1995), which ignores variation in time and location and retains only variation in  $Z$  for different vertical layers. There may be times when there are few occurrences of  $Z$  in a particular range of  $H$ . To overcome this problem, an improved statistical technique known as the normalized CFAD (NCFAD) has been widely used (e.g., Fu et al., 2003). The improvement comes from normalizing the frequency at each altitude level to the total number of points at all levels. Therefore, the normalized occurrence frequency of the  $j^{th}$   $Z$  at the  $i^{th}$  level (NCFAD<sub>ij</sub>) is expressed as

$$NCFAD_{ij} = \frac{\int_{H_i}^{H_i+\Delta H} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta Z} \frac{\partial^2 N(H,Z)}{\partial H \partial Z} dZ dH}{\Delta Z \Delta H \int_0^{H_{top}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 N(H,Z)}{\partial H \partial Z} dZ dH} \quad (3)$$

- Deleted: dirtiest ...ost polluted conditions, respectively, rather than any among the subtler differences that would [99]
- Deleted: binbin...erciles with each tercilebin ... [100]
- Deleted: samples
- Deleted: rain
- Deleted: S1
- Deleted: the
- Formatted: Subscript
- Deleted: , relative to the total number of profiles
- Deleted: range of  $PM_{10}$  values defined for in...lean [101]
- Deleted: rain
- Deleted: Data are divided into three groups to make [102]
- Deleted: The first (lowest) bin represents relatively [103]
- Deleted: As such listed in Table 2
- Deleted: 7.5
- Deleted:  $\mu g/m^3$ , ...23.6 $\mu g/m^3$  and ...24.4 $\mu g/m^3$  for [104]
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted: rain
- Deleted: , respectively
- Deleted: 120.6
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted:  $\mu g/m^3$ , ...9.9 $\mu g/m^3$  and ... [105]
- Formatted: ... [106]
- Deleted: Note that, By c
- Deleted: On average, clean conditions for all ... [107]
- Deleted: 2.3.2 Meteorological variables [108]
- Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript
- Deleted: ...3 ... [109]
- Moved (insertion) [3]
- Deleted: matched to ground-based  $PM_{10}$  measurements
- Deleted: normalized...contoured frequency by altitude [110]
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted: ; Fu et al., 2003
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted: NCFAD.... To highlight the aerosol effect [111]
- Deleted:
- Deleted: as observed by PR...or different vertical layers [112]
- Deleted: contoured frequency by altitude...FAD... [113]
- Formatted: ... [114]
- Deleted: , ... (NCFAD<sub>ij</sub>) ... [115]
- Deleted: written

where  $N(H,Z)$  is the frequency distribution function defined as the number of observations of  $Z$  in the range of  $Z$  to  $Z+\Delta Z$  at a height above ground ranging from  $H$  to  $H+\Delta H$ . The index  $i$  goes from 1 to 80 (in intervals of 0.25 km) and the index  $j$  goes from 1 to 60 (in intervals of 1 dBZ).

Deleted: ¶

**Moved up [3]:** TRMM PR observed  $Z$  profiles matched to ground-based  $PM_{10}$  measurements are used to construct the NCFAD. To highlight the aerosol effect on the vertically-evolving process of precipitation, NCFAD plots (polluted minus clean conditions) are constructed.

**Deleted:** There may be times when there are few occurrences of  $Z$  in a particular range of  $H$ . To overcome this problem, an improved statistical technique known as the normalized contoured frequency by altitude (NCFAD) has been widely used (e.g., Fu et al., 2003). The improvement comes from normalizing the frequency at each altitude level to the total number of points at all levels, which is expressed as

$$NCFAD_{ij} = \frac{\int_{H_i}^{H_i+\Delta H} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta Z} \frac{\partial^2 N(H,Z)}{\partial H \partial Z} dZ dH}{\Delta Z \Delta H \int_0^{H_{top}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 N(H,Z)}{\partial H \partial Z} dZ dH} \quad (4)$$

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ... 4 ... [116]

Deleted: ;Rosenfeld and Ulbrich, 2003

Formatted ... [117]

Deleted: (Rosenfeld and Ulbrich, 2003)

**Deleted:** ... The ZCOG ... indicates ... the height where the total ...reat  $Z$  mass ...alue tends to concentrate, and is highly sensitive to precipitation microphysical and dynamical processes (Koren et al., 2009; Heiblum et al., 2012; ... Itlt ... [118]

Deleted: 5

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 2.3.4 Discrimination between synoptic-scale [119]

Formatted ... [120]

Deleted: ¶ ... [121]

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 3.1 Regional aerosol features ¶ ... [122]

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: 3.1 Regional aerosol features ¶ ... [123]

Formatted ... [124]

Deleted: 2

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: -scale

Deleted: ¶

Formatted ... [125]

Deleted: -scale... precipitation characterized by the [126]

**Moved down [4]:** For any given day, ground-based

Deleted: As such, the total number of collocated sam [127]

### 2.3.3 Reflectivity center of gravity

The bulk precipitation system parameter called the reflectivity center of gravity (ZCOG) is used to represent the vertically-weighted reflectivity distribution (Chen et al., 2016). The ZCOG can cancel out any systematic reflectivity biases throughout the vertical profile, indicates the height where the great  $Z$  value tends to concentrate, and is highly sensitive to precipitation microphysical and dynamical processes (Koren et al., 2009). It is defined as

$$ZCOG = \frac{\sum_i Z_i H_i}{\sum_i Z_i} \quad (4)$$

where  $Z$  is the measured radar reflectivity in dBZ,  $H$  is the height above ground in km, and  $i$  is an index from 1 to 80, representing different levels in the atmosphere. A larger magnitude of ZCOG means that the precipitation system has developed to a higher level in the atmosphere, indicating stronger convection system.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Discrimination between synoptic-scale and localized precipitating systems

Generally speaking, synoptic-scale precipitation involves frontal passages or low-pressure systems, as compared with localized precipitation characterized by thermal-driven convective clouds fed by the boundary layer air (aerosol). Our recent study (Guo et al., 2017) indicates that localized precipitation events are more closely linked to aerosol compared with synoptic-scale precipitation. In order to make sure that only precipitating systems more susceptible to the boundary layer aerosol were considered, all the satellite scenes with synoptic-scale precipitation were excluded. The discrimination between localized and synoptic-scale precipitation events for a given day largely relies on the weather composite charts, where daily averaged wind field at 850



hPa was overlaid with the geopotential height at 500hPa. Particularly, the localized precipitation event for a given day was subjectively determined as follows: (1) There exists favorable atmospheric conditions for the initiation and development of localized precipitation events through visual interpretation of the weather composite plot for the day analyzed; (2) The minimum rainfall greater than 0.1 mm/d was recorded at any gauges in the study area (red box in Figure 1); (3) There are ground-based  $PM_{10}$  measurements collocated with precipitation measurements from TRMM in attempt to obtain a pair of valid aerosol-precipitation data. As such, the total number of collocated samples reached up to 253 for localized precipitation events, whereas 194 for synoptic scale precipitation events. Given the fact that deep convections sometimes develop from shallow convections (Houze 1993; Li and Schumacher, 2011; Yang et al., 2015), it is possible that the subjective composite method will divide one precipitation event into different types, which will lead to large uncertainties in determining precipitation regimes from TRMM data alone. This deserves more explicit analyses aided by geostationary satellite data in the future, which is out of the scope of this study.

Figure 2 illustrates two typical weather plots, corresponding to synoptic-scale and localized precipitation events, respectively. On 26 June 2008, the PRD region lies at the bottom of the weak low pressure at 500 hPa level (Figure 2a). At 850 hPa level, there is a weak cyclone on the left-forward side of PRD, where a south-western to north-eastern low-level jet stream overpasses at the same time, leading to strong water vapors advected over PRD from South China Sea. More importantly, the wind shear observed at 850 hPa is most favorable for the formation and evolution of precipitation. Overall, the weather patterns at both 500 hPa and 850 hPa help the onset and development of large-scale convection, so this precipitation event occurred over PRD can be thought of as a typical synoptic-scale precipitation event. In contrast, PRD is largely controlled by the subtropical high-pressure areas, in combination with the anti-cyclone systems at low levels on 2 July 2008, as shown in Figure 2b. This precipitation event can be attributed to localized thermal convection with high confidence. As such, all of the localized precipitation events have been retrieved using this visual assessment methods, which are then used for further aerosol-precipitation interaction below.

### 3.2 The contemporaneous link between radar reflectivity of precipitation and aerosol

- Deleted: plotted along
- Deleted: with
- Deleted: Note that
- Deleted: the discrimination
- Deleted: manually
- Deleted: perform
- Deleted: through
- Deleted: each
- Deleted: with valid precipitation (>0.1 mm/day) over PRD, owing to the extreme complexities in discriminating the weather systems for local- and synoptic-scale precipitations
- Moved (insertion) [4]
- Deleted: For any given day,
- Deleted: g
- Deleted: aerosol
- Formatted: Subscript
- Deleted: observation
- Deleted: have to
- Deleted: pair
- Deleted: .
- Formatted: fontstyle01, Font: Not Bold, Not Italic
- Deleted: -scale
- Deleted: (Figure 2a)
- Deleted: Therefore, t
- Deleted: certainty
- Deleted: ¶
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Deleted: 3
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Deleted: association
- Deleted: of
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: at a regional scale  
Deleted: without consideration of precipitation type

Deleted: . Differences

Deleted: R

Deleted: 3

Deleted: 2

Deleted: ing

Deleted: ,

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: matched with their corresponding Z values are plotted

Deleted: precipitation types

Deleted: type

Deleted: shown

Deleted: in

Deleted: 1

Deleted: 2 in Supporting Information

In this section, the possible aerosol effect on localized precipitation, is investigated. Precipitation enhancement or inhibition by aerosols is examined by comparing R under polluted and clean atmospheric conditions. Daily mean R is first calculated over the PRD region. Figure 3 shows the geographical and frequency distributions of differences in R, which are calculated as R under polluted conditions minus that under clean conditions. Caution must be exercised in the interpretation of the TRMM 3B42 precipitation product because a droplet size distribution affected by the presence of pollution (producing more and smaller drops) would lead to a different Z-R relation, which also depends on the microphysical, dynamical and topographical context of the precipitating clouds (Rosenfeld and Ulbrich, 2003). This may be what is happening in Figure 3a, which shows a few grid boxes where precipitation enhancement occurs during polluted conditions. The frequency distribution of differences in R (Figure 3b) further shows that negative differences in R can be seen over roughly 30% of the study area under polluted conditions compared with clean conditions. In other words, ~70% of the study area has an increased R when aerosol loading increases. These statistical results appear to support in some way the notion of precipitation enhancement by increases in aerosol pollution, but at this stage, the effect of meteorological factors described in section 2.2.3 on precipitation cannot be excluded.

A few recent studies (Koren et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015) have shown that less developed cloud and precipitation are very sensitive to aerosol when the atmosphere transitions from pristine to slightly polluted conditions. Therefore, more focus is on the initial stage of precipitation, and then on seeing how it evolves with aerosol, which is limited to the lowest tercile ( $\leq 38 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in Table 2) of  $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentrations. Figure 4 shows the average occurrence frequency (OF) in each Z/ $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentration bin for shallow, stratiform, and convective regimes. There is little systematic change in mean Z with aerosol loading for all precipitation regimes (solid black lines). The top 1% OFs for convective precipitation, however, has an increasing trend in Z as the aerosol loading changes from pristine to slightly polluted, i.e.,  $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentration varies from 0 to roughly  $38 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , as highlighted by the dashed black line of Figure 4c. The trend stabilizes at relatively high  $\text{PM}_{10}$  concentrations. Given that meteorological variables are not correlated with  $\text{PM}_{10}$  (cf. Figures S2-S3), aerosols are assumed to be able to invigorate precipitating convective clouds with larger reflectivity when the aerosol loading is relatively low, which is the same as in the stratiform precipitation case to some extent. For stratiform precipitation, as aerosol loading continuously increases, the top 1% OF for each bin of radar reflectivity goes up sharply then levels off. In other

words, the aerosol invigoration effect is observed for stratiform precipitation, which largely occurs as the atmosphere becomes slightly polluted ( $PM_{10} < 38 \mu g/m^3$ ). By contrast, there is no distinct variation in reflectivity with aerosol loading for shallow precipitation.

### 3.3. Changes in the vertical structure of precipitation associated with aerosols

The vertical structure of precipitation (in the form of radar reflectivity) to some extent represents the convective intensity and precipitation microphysics of a precipitation system (Zipser and Lutz, 1994; Yuan et al., 2011). Due to the intrinsic dependence of R on Z (Figures S4), changes in the vertical structure of Z as a function of aerosol concentration, if any, can indicate aerosol effects on convective intensity and precipitation formation. Differences in Z profiles between polluted and clean conditions for shallow, stratiform, and convective regimes are examined next.

Figure 5 shows the differences in vertical profiles of the frequency of occurrence of Z between polluted and clean cases for shallow, stratiform, and convective precipitation regimes. The most striking finding is the well-defined features of positive and negative differences dominant in different parts of the plotting domain, irrespective of seasons (Figure S5). Had aerosols had no effect, we would see mixed colors without such distinct patterns. As explained below, not only are the patterns well defined, but also are robust statistics well behaved, which is consistent with the well-established theories of aerosol-cloud interactions (e.g., Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2012).

As expected, convective precipitation is more vertically developed than shallow and stratiform precipitation regimes. For shallow precipitation (Figure 5a), the Z values less than 25 dBZ are more frequent under polluted conditions below 3 km, which could be due to the aerosol suppressing effect that leads to a reduced frequency on the right (blue) and an enhanced frequency on the left (red). By comparison, for Z greater than 25 dBZ, negative frequency values dominate. In general, the pattern of  $\Delta NCFAD$  for stratiform precipitation (Figure 5b) is similar to that of shallow precipitation, except for its development to relatively higher altitudes.

Convective precipitation has a totally different  $\Delta NCFAD$  pattern (Figure 5c). For the radar echoes above 5 km and those larger than 40 dBZ, both of which are mostly mixed-phase or ice processes, the overwhelming warm colors indicate that precipitation echoes in the presence of heavy aerosols tend to be invigorated. Below the freezing level where the reflectivity is less than

Deleted: 4

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: T

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: 3

Deleted: precipitation type

Deleted: type

Deleted: there is an echo at the maximum height of 4-5 km, where the frequency of occurrence of  $\Delta Z$  (polluted - clean) is negative, a likely sign that aerosols might have suppressed precipitation at these heights. Moreover, for Z greater than 24 dBZ, negative  $\Delta Z$  values dominate, suggesting the inhibiting effect of aerosols.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Deleted: 4

Deleted: . This is

Deleted: because the cases

Deleted: would have been more intense (from right to left in Figure 5a),

Deleted: A

Deleted: for radar echoes

Deleted: strong

Deleted: 45

Deleted: which

Deleted: denote

Deleted:

Deleted: be lifted to higher altitude than those in the low aerosols

Deleted: as the re



40 dBZ, the color is virtually all blue, meaning that precipitation is weaker under polluted conditions than clean ones. This could also be due to a large number of smaller sizes of rain drops within polluted environment. The reversal behavior of radar echo intensity around the freezing level for stratiform and convective clouds can hardly be explained by any meteorological factors unless they are correlated with PM<sub>10</sub>, which seems not the case (Figures S2-S3). A more plausible, but unnecessarily the sole explanation roots on aerosol microphysical effects, which leads to the invigorated cloud and precipitation above the freezing level at the expense of lower levels (Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011). Aerosol microphysical and radiative effects on precipitation usually interact and sometimes cancel each other out, leading to either invigoration or suppression (Rosenfeld, 2000; Zhang et al., 2007; Rosenfeld et al., 2008), with both effects being found from such long-term measurements as the ARM (Li et al., 2011). Aerosols have an invigorative or suppressive effect depending on various factors, such as wind shear, humidity, cloud water amount, precipitation intensity (Fan et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2014).

Given the relatively huge intensity of convective precipitation and its severe socio-economic impact, further analyses are performed for convective precipitation regime by separately considering three different precipitation intensities associated with convective precipitation (light, moderate and heavy convections defined in section 2.3.1). Figure 6 shows the  $\Delta$ NCFADs of Z for light, moderate and heavy convections. Similar to Figure 5, positive frequency for the radar echoes above the freezing level (roughly 5km) in the presence of aerosols can be seen for convective precipitation regardless of precipitation intensity. Interestingly, negative frequency dominates below about 5 km level for light convective precipitation, but the magnitude is much smaller compared with moderate to heavy convective precipitation. For radar precipitation echoes <30 dBZ, NCFAD patterns are similar in all categories of convective precipitation.

The enhancement of 30 dBZ reflectivity above the freezing level is often associated with larger ice particles and more super-cooled liquid water contents (Zipser, 1994). Therefore, another way of ascribing internal Z differences in convective echoes to differences between polluted and clean conditions is to consider the maximum height of the 30 dBZ echo. Figure 7 shows that the 30 dBZ echo heights of convective (stratiform) precipitation are on average elevated (decreased) from 4.36 km (4.34 km) under clean condition to 5.63 km (3.87 km) under polluted conditions. In other words, an increase of 29.0% is observed in the presence of aerosols for 30 dBZ echo height of stratiform regime, as opposed to a decrease of -10.8% in 30 dBZ echo height. However, no any

Deleted: 45

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Deleted: a

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Deleted: according to

Deleted: 1

Deleted: 2 in the Supporting Information part of this paper

Deleted: ion of

Deleted:  $\Delta$

Deleted: the different precipitation intensities associated with convective precipitation

Deleted: P

Deleted: difference

Deleted: difference

Deleted: larger

Deleted: for

Deleted: than that for light precipitation

Deleted: intensity

Deleted: Shaded areas corresponding to positive values are seen from 9-15 km where radar precipitation echoes are mostly less than 30 dBZ. In a convective system, this is closely linked to internal microphysical properties and lightning.

Deleted: Hence, the differences shown in Figure 6 could be indicative of an aerosol-invigorated convective echo occurring above the freezing level. Differences observed in the internal structure may also reflect differences in updraft velocities, and thus heating rates. Parts of the atmosphere with updraft velocities less than a certain threshold value tend to have less ice particles and ice-ice collisions in [128]

Deleted: A

Deleted: 4

Deleted: 9

Deleted: when PM<sub>10</sub> concentration reaches the high [129]

Deleted: or

Deleted:

Deleted: 7.3

Deleted: (

Deleted: 3

Deleted: )

Deleted: ,

Deleted: while

significant increase or decrease can be seen in 30 dBZ radar echo height for shallow precipitation. This means that the convective (stratiform) precipitation regimes under polluted conditions are generally developed deeper (shallower) under polluted conditions than those under clean conditions for all 30 dBZ maximum heights, as indicated in Figure 7b (Figure 7c). These generally agree with the results shown in Figures 4-5. Overall, the difference is statistically significant in terms of average height between the polluted and clean cases, except for shallow precipitation (Table 3).

The results shown in Figures 4, 5 and 7 along with Table 3 all point to a possible invigoration (suppression) effect for convection (stratiform) precipitation regimes, which may be partly due to the aerosol radiative, microphysical or combined effect on the vertical development of various precipitation systems (Liu et al., 2018). But at this stage, such influence cannot be attributed to aerosols alone. Therefore, further analyses on the dependence of aerosol-precipitation interactions on meteorology will be performed in the following section.

### 3.4 The aerosol-meteorology-precipitation dilemma

The aerosols and precipitating systems are reported to be simultaneously influenced by the meteorology, which is also dubbed as a buffered system due to the complex feedback between them (Stevens and Feingold, 2009). Therefore, the aerosol microphysical effects may not entirely account for the systematically  $\Delta$ NCFAD observed before, and possible influence of meteorological conditions on aerosol-precipitation interactions should be further investigated. In this section, responses of precipitation vertical structure to aerosol concentrations are further associated with four main dynamic and thermodynamic conditions ( $\omega$ , vertical wind shear, MFD, and CAPE). In addition, the role of rain top height (RTH, defined as the maximal height with  $Z \geq 18$ dBZ) has been well recognized in describing the intensity of convections (Houze and Cheng, 1977), while ZCOG is representative of the internal structure of Z to some degree (Koren et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2016). As a result, both RTH and the ZCOG are used to examine the vertical structure of convective echoes in association with aerosol pollution. The aerosol indirect effect may not entirely account for the systematically different NCFADs observed under polluted versus clean atmospheric conditions.

- Deleted: In other words
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: on)
- Deleted: precipitation
- Deleted: lower(higher
- Deleted: the frequencies of occurrence of stratiform (convection) precipitation
- Deleted: are generally lower (higher)
- Deleted: Combining
- Deleted: with
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: n
- Deleted: type
- Deleted: can be observed
- Deleted: Although all of above analyses are restricted to local-scale precipitation, the effect of meteorology still has to be taken into account as well, which will be discussed in the following section.
- Deleted: part
- Deleted: ¶
- Formatted: Font color: Red
- Deleted:
- Deleted: ¶
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Deleted: 5
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Deleted: precipitation
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted: T
- Deleted: dar echo
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted: is one parameter that has been considered as the key to describing the vertical structure of a population of radar echoes (Houze and Cheng, 1977; López, 1977). However, some detailed internal variations in the vertical structure of Z cannot be explained by analyzing echo top height alone. The
- Deleted:
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Deleted: echo top height
- Deleted: It is well known that aerosols and precipitation systems are simultaneously influenced by the meteorology, which is also dubbed as a buffered system due to the nonlinear dependence between them (Steven and Feingold, 2009). The observed association of aerosols with precipitation vertical structure in above sections should

Figure 8 shows the mean RTHs and ZCOGs under clean and polluted conditions as functions of  $\omega$ , vertical wind shear, CAPE, and MFD, for the three different precipitation regimes. To make the statistics more robust, each bin in a particular panel is equally-spaced. The standard deviations of RTH and ZCOG are calculated for each bin as well. As shown in Figures 8i-k, both RTH and ZCOG of convective precipitation under polluted atmospheric conditions are located at higher altitudes than those under clean atmospheric conditions, except for those with high wind shear (Figure 8l). This trend is generally opposite to what is seen for shallow and stratiform precipitation, which further corroborates the notion of an aerosol invigoration effect on convective precipitation and a suppression effect on shallow and stratiform precipitation regimes as shown in Figure 5. More interesting is that unstable atmospheric, weak vertical wind shear and relatively humid conditions tend to favor more convective precipitation invigoration, as evidenced by the relatively large magnitudes in Figure 8i-k, which is highly consistent with previous observational and modeling studies (Khain et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2009; Gonçalves et al., 2015). Notably, both RTH and ZCOG of convective precipitation tend to develop to higher (lower) altitudes in the presence of aerosols when the vertical wind shear is smaller (larger), as opposed to the responses of echo top heights and ZCOGs for the same wind shear conditions for shallow precipitation (Figure 8c). This is consistent with previous findings reported by Fan et al. (2009) who pointed out that increasing the aerosol loading suppresses convection under strong wind shear conditions but invigorates convection under weak wind shear conditions.

A closer look at Figure 8 reveals that stratiform and convective regimes have larger differences in terms of RTH and ZCOG, as compared with shallow precipitation. In addition, the differences in RTH can be easily detected for both stratiform and convective precipitation regimes, unlike the observed differences in ZCOG under polluted and clean conditions. No obvious positive difference can be observed in shallow precipitation, except for a subtle elevated RTH and ZCOG observed under high CAPE conditions.

When the atmosphere becomes thermodynamically unstable (greater  $\omega$  in Figure 8a and larger CAPE values in Figure 8c), the negative difference in the RTH of shallow precipitation between polluted and clean conditions becomes more evident, likely indicative of aerosol suppression effect in this case. This effect is facilitated by the less vertically integrated MFD (Figure 8b). This could be due to the fact that in the dry environment characteristic of the study area, the inhibitive effect

Deleted: M

Deleted: echo top heights

Deleted: , and

Deleted: are shown in Figure 8

Deleted: echo top height

Deleted: the convective precipitation

Deleted: echo top height

Deleted: under

Deleted: precipitation type

Deleted: indicat

Deleted: In particular

Deleted: convective precipitation

Deleted: echo top heights

Deleted: its

Deleted: s

Deleted: and

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: precipitation types

Deleted: both echo top height

Deleted: echo top height

Deleted: is seen

Deleted: echo top height

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: echo top height

of aerosols on shallow precipitation easily stands out in the absence of a thermodynamically stable atmosphere.

#### 4 Concluding remarks

Most of previous observational studies analyze the impact of aerosol on the bulk properties of cloud and precipitation based on the cloud or precipitation properties chiefly from passive sensors, along with meteorological data. This study establishes some contemporaneous relationships between radar echo and aerosol over the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region using TRMM precipitation radar (PR) reflectivity (Z) profiles and precipitation estimates, in combination with ground-based PM<sub>10</sub> measurements. In particular, the association of the changes in vertical structure of precipitation with aerosols is investigated in attempt to figure out the possible aerosol effect on precipitation for shallow, stratiform and convective regimes respectively, which are all restricted to localized precipitating systems.

Concerning the mean joint frequency of occurrence (OF) for each PM<sub>10</sub>/Z bin, there are almost no systematic changes in mean Z as PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations change, irrespective of precipitation regime. Z increases as aerosol loading increases for stratiform and convective precipitation regimes in the top 1% of OFs as the atmosphere transitions from pristine to slightly polluted conditions. There is no distinct variation in reflectivity with aerosol loading for shallow precipitation. Given the closer link between aerosol and localized precipitation, our analyses are further limited to the response of localized precipitation systems, especially in the vertical direction, to aerosol particles in the atmosphere. The discrimination between synoptic-scale and localized precipitations is conducted through a subjective analysis, which is largely based on wind field at 850 hPa and pressure field at 500 hPa. The possible aerosol effects, as evaluated by contrasts in the normalized contoured frequency by altitude diagram (NCFAD) of Z, are shown to systematically discriminate between different vertical structures associated with shallow, stratiform, and convective precipitation regimes. Overall, convective precipitation tends to develop to much higher altitudes compared with shallow and stratiform precipitation. Above the freezing level (~5 km), the occurrence frequency of radar reflectivity  $\leq 40$  dBZ is enhanced, which is achieved at the expense of decreased frequency in reflectivity below the freezing level.

**Deleted:** A closer look at Figure 8 reveals that stratiform and convective precipitation types have larger differences in terms of both echo top height and ZCOG, as compared with shallow precipitation. In addition, the differences in echo top height can be easily detected for both stratiform and convective precipitation regimes, unlike the observed differences in ZCOG under polluted and clean conditions. No obvious positive difference is seen in shallow precipitation, except for a subtle elevated echo top height and ZCOG observed under high CAPE conditions. When the atmosphere becomes thermodynamically unstable (greater  $\omega$  in Figure 8a and larger CAPE values in Figure 8c), the negative difference in the echo top height of shallow precipitation between polluted and clean conditions becomes more evident, likely indicative of aerosol suppression effect in this case. This effect is facilitated by the less vertically integrated MFD (Figure 8b). This could be due to the fact that in the dry environment characteristic of the study area, the inhibitive effect of aerosols on shallow precipitation easily stands out in the absence of a thermodynamically stable atmosphere.

**Deleted:** ¶

**Deleted:** sions

**Deleted:** any studies have been reported concerning

**Deleted:** using meteorological data and satellite retrievals

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** rate

**Deleted:** -scale

**Deleted:** type

**Deleted:** type

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** indicated

**Deleted:**  $\Delta$

**Deleted:** typ

**Deleted:** Below

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** >

**Deleted:** 5

**Deleted:** wa

**Deleted:** enhanced

**Deleted:** the probability of lower

**Deleted:** This crossover point decreased to ~20 dBZ near 9 km. This is consistent with convective echo enhancement due to the aerosol effect above the freezing level.

Due to the fundamental role of convective precipitation in the hydrological cycle, the aerosol microphysical effect on convective precipitation has been further examined with regard to convective precipitation intensity (i.e., light, moderate, and heavy convective precipitation). As expected, the  $\Delta$ NCFADs of Z were similar, irrespective of precipitation intensity. The relationship between aerosols and bulk precipitation parameters such as rain top height (RTH) and ZCOG, stratified by specific  $\omega$ , vertical wind shear, CAPE, and MFD, were also examined in an attempt to disentangle aerosol impacts on the vertical structure of precipitation from meteorology. There is no systematic signal of aerosol or meteorology on the development of shallow and stratiform precipitation. In contrast, under certain meteorological conditions, apparent difference in the response of RTH and ZCOG for stratiform and convective precipitation regimes to the aerosols can be seen. But under some extreme conditions, the observed difference in response was confounded by the meteorology, partly due to the fact that meteorology simultaneously affects aerosol and precipitation systems. For instance, weak vertical wind shear and relatively humid conditions typically come with the possible aerosol-induced invigoration of convective precipitation observed in this study, in good agreement with previous model simulation (e.g., Khain et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2009; Dagan et al., 2015).

The results presented here provide some sound but not unequivocal evidence of the possible impact of aerosol on the vertical structures of three different precipitation regimes, due to the inherent aerosol-meteorology-precipitation dilemma. The relationships between changes in TRMM PR reflectivity and aerosol perturbations are statistically significant and generally consistent with the existing theories, but they may be subject to different interpretations concerning the underlying physical processes. Confirming or negating any causes with confidence would require a much more detailed knowledge of the cloud processes than the satellite observation used here, and should be further aided by model simulations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge NASA for making the TRMM precipitation radar satellite datasets publicly accessible, as well as the NASA-sponsored Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology for support. The CMORPH precipitation data can be accessed and downloaded from the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (<http://cdc.cma.gov.cn/home.do>). The PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> data were obtained from the Guangzhou

**Deleted:** Enhancement of the 30dBZ reflectivity above the freezing level is often associated with presumably larger super-cooled liquid water and ice particles. This leads to larger and more reflective hydrometeors and to possible invigoration by aerosols.

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** e precipitation typ

**Deleted:** s, a measure of precipitation intensity

**Deleted:** T

**Deleted:**  $\Delta$

**Deleted:** ¶ Under polluted conditions, a statistically significant increase in the mean height of 30 dBZ radar echo top for convective precipitation is seen under polluted conditions, as opposed to the slightly increased mean height for the 30 dBZ radar echo top of stratiform precipitation, suggesting that aerosols can enhance the radar echo of convective precipitation to some extent.¶

**Deleted:** adar echo

**Deleted:** echo top

**Deleted:** type

**Deleted:** both

**Deleted:** types of

**Deleted:** common

**Deleted:** , SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub>

Environmental Protection Bureau (<http://www.gzepb.gov.cn/comm/pm25.asp>). All the original datasets and code needed to reproduce the results shown in this paper are available upon request. This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant 2017YFC1501401), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 91544217, 41771399 and 41471301) and the Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (Grant 2017Z005 and 2018Y014).

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

## References

Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Remer, L. A., Hirsch, E.: Review: cloud invigoration by aerosols-coupling between microphysics and dynamics. *Atmos. Res.* 140, 38-60, 2014.

Deleted: ¶

Anderson, T. L., Charlson, R. J., Winker, D. M., Ogren, J. A., and Holmén, K.: Mesoscale variations of tropospheric aerosols, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 60, 119-136, 2003.

Andreae, M.O.: Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(2), 543-556, 2009.

Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.-M., Kondo, Y., Liao, H., Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., Satheesh, S.K., Sherwood, S., Stevens, B., and Zhang, X.Y.: Clouds and Aerosols. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. and Midgley, P.M. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

Deleted:

Formatted: English (US)

Chen, Q., Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Heiblum, R. H., Dagan, G., and Pinto, L.: How do changes in warm-phase microphysics affect deep convective clouds?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17(15): 9585-9598, 2017.

Chen, T.M., Guo, J.P., Li, Z.Q., Zhao, C., Liu, H., Cribb, M., Wang, F., and He, J.: A CloudSat perspective on the cloud climatology and its association with aerosol perturbation in the vertical over East China, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 73(9), 3599-3616, 2016.

Dagan, G., Koren, I., and Altaratz, O.: Competition between core and periphery-based processes in warm convective clouds from invigoration to suppression. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 2749-2760, 2015.

Deleted: –

Dai, A., Giorgi, F., Trenberth, K. E.: Observed and model-simulated diurnal cycles of precipitation over the contiguous United States, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 104(D6), 6377-6402, 1999.

Deng, X., Tie, X., Wu, D., Zhou, X., Bi, X., Tan, H., Li, F., and Jiang, C.: Long-term trend of visibility and its characterizations in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1424-1435, 2008.

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, Balsamo, M. A., Bauer, G., Bechtold, P., P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg,



- 5 [L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, I., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N., Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. \*Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.\* \*\*137\*\*, 553-597, 2011.](#)
- Ding, Y.H.: Monsoons over China. 419 pp., Kluwer Acad., Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1994.
- Fan, J., R. Zhang, G. Li, and W. K. Tao: Effects of aerosols and relative humidity on cumulus clouds, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.* **112**(D14), D14204, doi:10.1029/2006JD008136, 2007.
- 10 Fan, J., T. Yuan, J. M. Comstock, S. Ghan, A. Khain, L. R. Leung, Z. Li, V. J. Martins, and M. Ovchinnikov: Dominant role by vertical wind shear in regulating aerosol effects on deep convective clouds, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, **114**, doi:10.1029/2009JD012352, 2009.
- Fan, J., L. R. Leung, D. Rosenfeld, Q. Chen, Z. Li, J. Zhang, and H. Yan: Microphysical effects determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **110**, E4581-E4590, doi:10.1073/pnas.1316830110, 2013.
- 15 [Fan, J. W., Wang, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Liu, X. H.: Review of aerosol-cloud interactions: mechanisms, significance, and challenges. \*J. Atmos. Sci.\* \*\*73\*\*, 4221-4252, 2016.](#)
- Fan, J., D. Rosenfeld, Y. Zhang, S. E. Giangrande, Z. Li, and Coauthors: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles, *Science*, **359**, 411-418, doi:10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.
- 20 Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Zhang, Y., Giangrande, S. E., Li, Z., Machado, L.A., Martin, S.T., Yang, Y., Wang, J., Artaxo, P. and Barbosa, H.M.: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles, *Science*, **359**, 411-418, 2018.
- Fu, Y., Lin, Y., Liu, G., and Wang, Q.: Seasonal characteristics of precipitation in 1998 over East Asia as derived from TRMM PR, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, **20**(4), 511-529, 2003.
- 25 Guo, J.P., Zhang, X.Y., Che, H.Z., Gong, S.L., An, X., Cao, C.X., Guang, J., Zhang, H., Wang, Y.Q., and Zhang, X.C.: Correlation between PM concentrations and aerosol optical depth in eastern China, *Atmos. Environ.*, **43**, 5876-5886, 2009.
- Guo, J.P., Zhai, P., Wu, L., Cribb, M., Li, Z., Ma, Z., Wang, F., Chu, D., Wang, P., and Zhang, J.: Precipitation and air pollution at mountain and plain stations in northern China: Insights gained from observations and modeling, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, **119** (8), 4793-4807, 2014.
- 30 Guo, J., Deng, M., Lee, S. S., Wang, F., Li, Z., Zhai, P., Liu, H., Lv, W., Yao, W., and Li, X.: Delaying precipitation and lightning by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta. Part I: Observational analyses, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, **121**, 6472-6488, 2016a.
- 35 [Guo, J.P., Liu, H., Wang, F., Huang, J.F., Xia, F., Lou, M.Y., Wu, Y.R., Jiang, J.H., Xie, T., Zhaxi, Y., and Yung, Y.L.: Three-dimensional structure of aerosol in China: A perspective from multi-satellite observations, \*Atmos. Res.\*, \*\*178\*\*, 580-589, 2016b.](#)
- 40 [Guo, J., Su, T., Li, Z., Miao, Y., Li, J., Liu, H., Xu, H., Cribb, M., and Zhai, P.: Declining frequency of summertime local-scale precipitation over eastern China from 1970-2010 and its potential link to aerosols, \*Geophys. Res. Lett.\*, \*\*44\*\*, 5700-5708, 2017.](#)

- Hansen, J., Sato, M., and Ruedy, R.: Radiative forcing and climate response. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 102, 6831-6864, 1997.
- Heiblum, R. H., Koren, I., and Altaratz, O.: New evidence of cloud invigoration from TRMM measurements of precipitation center of gravity, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39(8), 2012.
- 5 Houze, R. A.: *Cloud Dynamics. International Geophysics Series, Vol. 53, Academic Press, 573 pp.*, 1993.
- Houze, R. A., and Cheng, C.P.: Radar characteristics of tropical convection observed during GATE: Mean properties and trends over the summer season, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 105, 964-980, 1977.
- 10 Huang, J., C. Zhang, and J. M. Prospero: African aerosol and large-scale precipitation variability over West Africa, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 4, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/1/015006, 2009a.
- Huang, J., C. Zhang, and J. M. Prospero: Large-scale effects of aerosol on rainfall over West Africa, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 135, 581-594, doi:10.1002/qj.391, 2009b.
- 15 Huffman, G. J., Adler, R. F., Bolvin, D. T., Gu, G. J., Nelkin, E. J., Bowman, K. P., Y. Stocker, Hong, E. F., and Wolff, D. B.: The TRMM multisatellite precipitation analysis (TMPA): quasi-global, multiyear, combined-sensor precipitation estimates at fine scales. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 8, 38-55, 2007.
- 20 IPCC: *Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.*
- Jiang, J. H., H. Su, M. R. Schoeberl, S. T. Massie, P. Colarco, S. Platnick, and N. J. Livesey: Clean and polluted clouds: Relationships among pollution, ice cloud and precipitation in South America, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L14804, doi:10.1029/2008GL034631, 2008.
- 25 Jiang, M., J. Feng, Z. Li, R. Sun, Y.-T. Hou, Y. Zhu, B. Wan, J. Guo, and M. Cribb: Potential influences of neglecting aerosol effects on the NCEP GFS precipitation forecast, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 13,967-13,982, doi:10.5194/acp-17-13967-2017, 2017.
- 30 Kaufman, Y. J., and Fraser, R. S.: The effect of smoke particles on clouds and climate forcing, *Science*, 277, 1636-1639, 1997.
- Khain, A., A. Pokrovsky, M. Pinsky, A. Seifert, and V. Phillips: Simulation of effects of atmospheric aerosols on deep turbulent convective clouds using a spectral microphysics mixed-phase cumulus cloud model. Part I: Model description and possible applications, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 61(24), 2963-2982, doi:10.1175/jas-3350.1, 2004.
- 35 Khain, A. P., BenMoshe, N., and Pokrovsky, A.: Factors determining the impact of aerosols on surface precipitation from clouds: An attempt at classification, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 1721-1748, 2008.
- Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Rosenfeld, D., Remer, L. A., and Rudich, Y.: Aerosol invigoration and restructuring of Atlantic convective clouds, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L14828, 2005.
- 40 Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Feingold, G., Levin, Z., and Reisin, T.: Cloud's center of gravity—a compact

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: English (US)

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Auto, (Asian) Japanese, (Other) English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: ¶



approach to analyze convective cloud development, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 155-161, 2009.

Koren, I., Feingold, G., and Remer, L. A.: The invigoration of deep convective clouds over the Atlantic: aerosol effect, meteorology or retrieval artifact?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8855-8872, 2010.

- 5 Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Remer, L. A., Feingold, G., Martins, J. V., and Heiblum, R. H.: Aerosol-induced intensification of precipitation from the tropics to the mid-latitudes, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 118-122, 2012.

Koren, I., Dagan, G., and Altaratz, O.: From aerosol-limited to invigoration of warm convective clouds, *Science*, 344(6188), 1143-1146, 2014.

- 10 Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., and Simpson, J.: The tropical rainfall measuring mission (TRMM) sensor package, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 15, 809-817, 1998.

Lee, S.-S., G. Feingold, and P. Y. Chuang: Effect of Aerosol on Cloud-Environment Interactions in Trade Cumulus, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 69(12), 3607-3632, doi:doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-026.1, 2012.

- 15 Lee, S.-S., J. Guo, and Z. Li: Delaying precipitation by air pollution over Pearl River Delta. Part 2: model simulations, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 121, 11,739-11,760, doi:10.1002/2015JD024362, 2016.

Levy, R., Remer, L., Kleidman, R., Mattoo, S., Ichoku, C., Kahn, R., and Eck, T.: Global evaluation of the Collection 5 MODIS dark-target aerosol products over land, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10 (21), 10399-10420, 2010.

20

Li, W., and Schumacher, C.: Thick Anvils as Viewed by the TRMM Precipitation Radar, *J. Clim.*, 24(6):1718-1735, 2011.

Li, Z., X. Zhao, R. Kahn, M. Mishchenko, L. Remer, K. H. Lee, M. Wang, I. Laszlo, T. Nakajima, and H. Maring: Uncertainties in satellite remote sensing of aerosols and impact on monitoring its long-term trend: A review and perspective, *Ann. Geophys.*, 27, 1-16, 2009.

25

Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical development of clouds and precipitation, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 888-894, 2011.

Li, Z., D. Rosenfeld, and J. Fan: Aerosols and their impact on radiation, clouds, precipitation, and severe weather events, *Oxford Research Encyclopedias*, doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780199389414.013.126, 2017.

30

Liu, J., and Z. Li: Estimation of cloud condensation nuclei concentration from aerosol optical quantities: Influential factors and uncertainties, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, doi:10.5194/acp-14-471-2014, 2014.

35

Lin, Y., Zhang, J., Li, X., and Deng, Y.: Response of eddy activities to localized diabatic heating in Held-Suarez simulations, *Clim. Dynam.*, doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4088-4, 1-14, 2018.

Liu Z., Yim S.H.L., Wang C., Lau N.C.: The impact of the aerosol direct radiative forcing on deep convection and air quality in the Pearl River Delta region, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45(9), 4410-4418, 2018.

40

Min, Q. L., Li, R., Lin, B., Joseph, E., Wang, S., Hu, Y., Morris, V., and Chang, F.: Evidence of

**Deleted:** Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Dagan, G., Aerosol effect on the mobility of cloud droplets, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 10, 104011, 2015.

**Deleted:**

**Formatted:** Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

**Formatted:** Font: Times New Roman, Not Bold, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

**Formatted:** Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

**Formatted:** Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:**

**Formatted:** English (US)

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Deleted:**

[mineral dust altering cloud microphysics and precipitation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9\(9\), 3223-3231, 2009.](#)

- 5 Nakajima, T. Y., A. Higurashi, K. Kawamoto, and J. E. Penner: A possible correlation between satellite-derived cloud and aerosol microphysical parameters, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28(7), 1171-1174, doi:10.1029/2000gl012186, 2001.

Nakajima, T. Y., K. Suzuki, and G. L. Stephens: Droplet growth in warm water clouds observed by the A-Tprecipitation. Part II: A multisensor view, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 67, 1897-1907, doi:10.1175/2010jas3276.1, 2010.

- 10 Peng, J., Z. Li, H. Zhang, J. Liu, and M. Cribb: Systematic changes in cloud radiative forcing with aerosol loading for deep clouds in the tropics, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 73(1), 231-249, 2016.

[Pinsky, M., Mazin, I. P., Korolev, A., and Khain, A.: Supersaturation and diffusional droplet growth in liquid clouds. J. Atmos. Sci. 70, 2778-2793, 2013.](#)

Rosenfeld, D.: TRMM observed first direct evidence of smoke from forest fires inhibiting rainfall. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 3105-3108, 1999.

- 15 Rosenfeld, D., and Woodley, W. L.: Deep convective clouds with sustained supercooled liquid water down to -37.5 degrees C, *Nature*, 405, 440-442, 2000.

20 Rosenfeld, D., and C. W. Ulbrich: Cloud microphysical properties processes and rainfall estimation opportunities, in *Radar and Atmospheric Science: A Collection of Essays in Honor of David Atlas*, edited by R. M. Wakimoto and R. Srivastava, pp. 237-258, American Meteorological Society, Washington, D. C, 2003.

Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: how do aerosols affect precipitation?, *Science*, 321, 1309-1313, 2008.

- 25 [Squires, P.: The spatial variation of liquid water and droplet concentration in cumuli, Tellus, 10, 372-380, 1958.](#)

Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric chemistry and physics: From air pollution to climate change*, John Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1998.

- 30 [Seinfeld, J. H., Bretherton, C., Carslaw, K. S., Coe, H., DeMott, P.J., Dunlea, E.J., Feingold, G., Ghan, S., Guenther, A.B., Kahn, R. and Kraucunas, I.: Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol- cloud interactions in the climate system, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 113\(21\): 5781-5790, 2016.](#)

Stevens, B., and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered system, *Nature*, 461, 607-613, 2009.

- 35 Suzuki, K., T. Y. Nakajima, and G. L. Stephens: Particle growth and drop collection efficiency of warm clouds as inferred from joint CloudSat and MODIS observations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 67, 3019-3032, 2010.

Tao, W. K., Chen, J. P., Li, Z. Q., Wang, C., and Zhang, C. D.: Impact of aerosols on convective clouds and precipitation, *Rev. Geophys.*, 50 (2), 2012.

[Twomey, S.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds. J. Atmos. Sci., 34,](#)

Deleted: ¶

[1149-1152, 1977.](#)

Wang, F., Guo, J., Zhang, J., Huang, J., Min, M., Chen, T., Liu, H., Deng, M., and Li, X.: Multi-sensor quantification of aerosol-induced variability in warm clouds over eastern China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 113, 1-9, 2015.

- 5 [Wang, Y., Wan, Q., Meng, W., Liao, F., Tan, H., and Zhang, R.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on precipitation and lightning over the Pearl River Delta megacity area in China, \*Atmos. Chem. Phys.\*, 11, 12421-12436, 2011.](#)

Williams, E. et al.: Contrasting convective regimes over the Amazon: Implications for cloud electrification, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 107(D20), doi:10.1029/2001JD000380, 2002.

- 10 [Xue, H. W., and Feingold, G.: Large-eddy simulations of trade wind cumuli: investigation of aerosol indirect effects, \*J. Atmos. Sci.\*, 63, 1605-1622, 2006.](#)

Yan, H., Z. Li, J. Huang, M. C. Cribb, and J. Liu: Long-term aerosol-mediated changes in cloud radiative forcing of deep clouds at the top and bottom of the atmosphere over the Southern Great Plains, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7113-2014, 2014.

- 15 Yang, X., and Li, Z.: Increases in thunderstorm activity and relationships with air pollution in southeast China, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 119 (4), 1835-1844, 2014.

[Yang, Y., LU, D. Fu, Y., et al.: Spectral Characteristics of Tropical Anvils Obtained by Combining TRMM Precipitation Radar with Visible and Infrared Scanner Data, \*Pure and Applied Geophysics.\*, 172, \(6\), 1717-1733 DOI:10.1007/s00024-014-0965-x, 2015.](#)

- 20 Yuan, T., L. A. Remer, K. E. Pickering, and H. Yu: Observational evidence of aerosol enhancement of lightning activity and convective invigoration, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38(4), L04701, doi:10.1029/2010GL046052, 2011.

Yuter, S. E., and Houze, R. A. J.: Three-dimensional kinematic and microphysical evolution of Florida cumulonimbus. Part II: Frequency distributions of vertical velocity, reflectivity, and differential reflectivity, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 123, 1941-1963, 1995.

- 25 Zhang, R., G. Li, J. Fan, D. L. Wu, and M. J. Molina: Intensification of Pacific storm track linked to Asian pollution, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 5295-5299, doi:10.1073/pnas.0700618104, 2007.

- 30 Zipser, E. J.: Deep cumulonimbus cloud systems in the tropics with and without lightning, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 122(8), 1837-1851, 1994.

Zipser, E. J., and Lutz, K.: The vertical profile of radar reflectivity of convective cells: A strong indicator of storm intensity and lightning probability?, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 122, 1751-1759, 1994.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (US)

**Deleted:** Anderson, T. L., and R. J. Charlson, D. M. Winker, J. A. Ogren, and K. Holmén: Mesoscale variations of tropospheric aerosols, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 60, 119-136, 2003.  
Andreae, M.O.: Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(2), 543-556, doi: 10.5194/acp-9-543-2009, 2009.  
Andreae, M. O., D. Rosenfeld, P. Artaxo, A. A. Costa, G. P. Frank, K. M. Longo, and M. A. F. Silva-Dias (2004), Smoking precipitation clouds over the Amazon, *Science*, 303, 1337-1342, doi:10.1126/science.1092779.  
Boucher, O., and J. Quaas: Water vapour affects both precipitation and aerosol optical depth, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 4-5, 2012.  
Chen T.M., J.P. Guo, Z. Li, C. Zhao, H. Liu, M. Cribb, F. Wang, and J. He: A CloudSat perspective on the cloud climatology and its association with aerosol perturbation in the vertical over East China, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0309.1, 2016.  
Dai, A., F. Giorgi, K. E. Trenberth: Observed and [131]

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted ... [132]

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

35

## Tables

**Table 1.** Specifications from TRMM PR retrieved precipitation, National Atmospheric Environment Observation Network (NAEON) in situ measured PM<sub>10</sub>, and ECWMF reanalysis meteorological data used in this study for the period of 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2012. Criteria for selecting data for further comprehensive analysis are provided in the footnote.

Source	Variables	Horizontal resolution	Vertical resolution	Temporal resolution
TRMM	Rain type	5.0 km	-	daily*
	Reflectivity	5.0 km	0.25 km	daily*
	Rain rate	5.0 km	0.25 km	daily*
3B42	Precipitation	0.25°×0.25°	-	Three-hourly
NAEON	PM <sub>10</sub>	-	-	Hourly
ECMWF	Vertical velocity	0.125°×0.125°	-	Six-hourly
	Convective available potential energy	0.125°×0.125°	-	Six-hourly
	U component of wind	0.125°×0.125°	-	Six-hourly
	V component of wind	0.125°×0.125°	-	Six-hourly
	Specific humidity	0.125°×0.125°	-	Six-hourly
Criteria	(1) $PM_{10} < 200 \mu g/m^3$ ;			
	(2) Precipitation-fall measured by TRMM PR;			
	(3) There must be at least four consecutive levels with $Z \geq 15 dBZ$ for a given profile.			

\*calculated from the times of the TRMM PR swath overpassing the PRD region.

Deleted: Data

Deleted: 2A23

Deleted: 2A25

Deleted: TRMM worked normally

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted:

**Table 2.** Statistics describing the three precipitation regimes analyzed in the study. The critical  $PM_{10}$  thresholds discriminating between clean (bottom 1/3) and polluted (top 1/3) conditions and their corresponding mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations are also listed, so do the numbers of precipitation profiles. Data are from TRMM PR retrievals made over the PRD region.

Precipitation regime	# of profiles	mean $PM_{10}$	Clean $PM_{10}$ ( $\mu g/m^3$ )			Polluted $PM_{10}$ ( $\mu g/m^3$ )		
			Critical threshold	mean $\pm$ SD	# of profiles	Critical threshold	mean $\pm$ SD	# of profiles
Shallow	840	10.4	$\leq 38$	$25.4 \pm 10$	570	$\geq 76$	$111.5 \pm 3$	207
Stratiform	5360	66.1	$\leq 35$	$23.6 \pm 10$	1998	$\geq 60$	$99.9 \pm 38$	797
Convective	1912	23.6	$\leq 34$	$24.4 \pm 9$	572	$\geq 59$	$97.6 \pm 30$	930

5


- Deleted: describ
- Deleted: e
- Deleted: ing
- Deleted: rain...regimes considered ... [133]
- Deleted: Occurrence frequencies for each precipitation ... [134]
- Deleted: average value of
- Deleted: and numbers of precipitation rain profiles
- Formatted ... [135]
- Deleted: and percentages (relative to the total num ... [136]
- Deleted: %
- Deleted: Ave.
- Deleted: (
- Deleted: (
- Deleted: Precipitation typeregime
- Deleted: Threshold
- Formatted ... [137]
- Deleted: # ofprofiles
- Formatted ... [140]
- Deleted: Ave.
- Deleted: Ave.
- Deleted: # ofprofiles
- Deleted: value
- Deleted: ( $\mu g/m^3$ )
- Formatted ... [138]
- Deleted: %
- Deleted: Threshold ( $\mu g/m^3$ )
- Formatted ... [139]
- Formatted ... [141]
- Deleted: %
- Deleted: 212
- Deleted: 207
- Formatted ... [145]
- Deleted: 40
- Deleted: 77
- Deleted: 846
- Formatted ... [142]
- Deleted: 586
- Deleted: 570
- Formatted ... [143]
- Deleted: 6
- Deleted: 9.3
- Deleted: 7.9
- Formatted ... [144]
- Deleted: 25.14.6
- Deleted: 0
- Deleted: 1998
- Formatted ... [146]
- Deleted: 37.3
- Formatted ... [147]
- Deleted: 797
- Formatted ... [148]
- Deleted: 14.9
- Deleted: 572
- Formatted ... [149]
- Deleted: 29.9
- Formatted ... [150]
- Deleted: 930
- Formatted ... [151]

**Table 3.** Statistics describing the mean top height that 30dBZ radar echoes can reach under polluted and clean conditions for different precipitation regimes. The numbers in bold italics indicate that the difference between polluted and clean conditions are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level according to the two-tailed Student's t test.

Precipitation regime	# of clean profiles	# of polluted profiles	Ave. top height of clean 30dBZ echoes (km)	Ave. top height of polluted 30dBZ echoes (km)	Abs.(T) for $\alpha=0.05$
shallow	165	31	2.39	2.6	1.55 (×)
stratiform	1089	351	4.34	3.87	12.37 (✓)
convective	483	816	4.36	5.63	11.29 (✓)

- Deleted: average value of
- Deleted:
- Deleted: z
- Deleted:
- Deleted: rain
- Deleted: ir
- Deleted: mean 30 dBz heights
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: type
- Deleted: 24
- Deleted: 72
- Deleted: 29
- Deleted: 40
- Deleted: 5
- Deleted: ¶
- Precipitation type ... [152]
- Deleted: ¶

## Figures



**Figure 1.** Spatial distributions of (a) ground-based mean PM<sub>10</sub> (in µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and (b) the ratio of mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> to mean PM<sub>10</sub> simultaneously measured for the period from November 2013 to October 2014. The red box outlines the PRD region, the dots show the locations of the PM measurement sites.

Deleted: measured

Deleted: of

Deleted: to


Deleted: over the PRD region

Deleted: , when data quality checks on the PM data were done

Deleted: Note that PM<sub>2.5</sub> began to be measured as of 2013, and the

Deleted: of study

Deleted: and




**Figure 2.** Spatial distribution of the wind field at 850hPa pressure level (black arrows, vector), superimposed by geopotential height at 500hPa pressure level (blue lines) averaged on 26 June 2008 (a), and 2 July 2008 (b). All data are from the ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis data, and the red rectangle denotes the study area.

Deleted: Charts showing

Deleted:

Deleted: 500hPa






**Figure 3.** (a) Distribution of the differences in precipitation intensity (polluted minus clean conditions, mm/h) over the PRD region. The black dots show grid boxes for which the difference exceeds the 95% significance level ( $p < 0.05$ ) according to the two-tailed Student's t-test. (b) Histogram showing the occurrence frequency (OF) and its cumulative distribution frequency (CDF) of precipitation intensity differences between polluted and clean conditions. The threshold value used to discriminate between clean and polluted atmospheric conditions corresponds to lowest and highest third of the  $PM_{10}$  concentration averaged over the PRD region, respectively. The points where blue and red dashed lines cross correspond to cumulative probabilities of 29%.

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Deleted: D

Deleted: tercile



**Figure 4.** Joint occurrence frequency of  $PM_{10}$ - $Z$  pair for (a) shallow, (b) stratiform, and (c) convective rain regimes respectively.  $Z$  is acquired from TRMM 2A23 products for altitudes ranging from 1 km to 5 km during the period 2007-2012. The top 1% (mean) with respect to occurrence frequency for each  $PM_{10}$  concentration bin is represented by dashed (solid) black lines. The total number of  $Z$  values ( $N$ ) used for the calculation of frequency is shown in the upper-right corner of each panel.

Deleted: Two-dimensional histograms

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: the mean occurrence frequency of

Deleted: precipitation

Deleted: Dderived

Deleted: -


Deleted: Colors indicate the average frequency in each radar reflectivity and  $PM_{10}$  concentration bin.

Deleted: profiles


Deleted: ,


Deleted: ,

Deleted: Note that the lowest tercile of  $PM_{10}$  concentration is used here to highlight the aerosol effect on precipitation



**Figure 5.** The differences of normalized contoured frequency by altitude diagram ( $\Delta$ NCFAD) showing the difference in occurrence frequency for detected rain echoes (polluted minus clean) for (a) shallow, (b) stratiform, and (c) convective regimes. Data are from TRMM PR retrievals made during 2007-2012. The horizontal red dashed lines show the freezing level and the black crosses mark grid points where the difference exceeds the 95% significance level ( $p < 0.05$ ) according to the Pearson's  $\chi$ -square test.






**Figure 6.** NCFAD [showing the](#) difference in the [occurrence](#) frequency for detected convective precipitation echoes (polluted minus clean) for (a) light precipitation, (b) moderate precipitation, and (c) heavy precipitation. Data are from TRMM PR retrievals made during 2007-2012. The horizontal black dashed lines show the freezing level and the black crosses mark grid points where the difference exceeds the 95% significance level ( $p < 0.05$ ) according to the Pearson's  $\chi$ -square test.

Deleted: diagrams of


Deleted: s

Deleted: of occurrence



**Figure 7.** Occurrence frequencies (OF) of top height that 30 dBZ radar echo can reach of (a) shallow, (b) stratiform, and (c) convective precipitation. Data are from TRMM PR retrievals made during 2007-2012. Red and blue colors represent polluted and clean cases, respectively. Vertical

5 lines represent the corresponding average value of the top heights.



**Deleted:**

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman

**Formatted:** Not Highlight

**Deleted:** the maximum

**Deleted:** of

**Deleted:** the


**Deleted:** dBZ

**Deleted:** height


**Deleted:** s of the 30 dBZ radar echoes

**Deleted:** Dots on the profile curves indicate vertical levels at which the differences in the distributions of the clean and dirty Z values are statistically significant at the 99% level based upon the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

**Formatted:** Font color: Red



**Figure 8.** The differences of rain top height ( $\Delta RTH$ ) and ZCOG ( $\Delta ZCOG$ ) between polluted and clean conditions as a function of different meteorological conditions. (a)  $\omega$  at 825hPa pressure level, (b) MFD, (c) CAPE, and (d) vertical wind shear for shallow regime; (e)  $\omega$  at 600 hPa pressure level, (f) MFD, (g) CAPE, and (h) vertical wind shear for stratiform regime; (i)  $\omega$  at 400hPa, (j) MFD, (k) CAPE, and (l) vertical wind shear for convective regime. Data are from 2007-2012. Note that negative  $\omega$  refers to upward motion. Red and blue colors represent polluted and clean cases, respectively. The vertical error bars represent one standard deviation. Each bin in a particular panel is equally-spaced and labeled by its average value.



Deleted:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Deleted: 18 dBz radar echo height differences ( $\Delta RTH$ , polluted minus clean... and ZCOG difference  $\Delta ZCOG$ , polluted minus clean... between polluted and clean conditions as a function of different meteorological conditions. (a)  $\omega$  at 600...25hPa pressure level for shallow precipitation... (b) MFD for shallow precipitation... (c) CAPE for shallow precipitation... regime, ... (e)  $\omega$  at ...00 hPa pressure level for stratiform precipitation... (f) MFD for stratiform precipitation... (g) CAPE for shallow stratiform precipitation... and (h) vertical wind shear for stratiform precipitation... regime, ... (i)  $\omega$  at 600...00hPa for convective precipitation... (j) MFD for convective precipitation... (k) CAPE for convective precipitation... and (l) vertical wind shear for convective precipitation... regime. Data are from 2007-2012. Note that negative  $\omega$  refers to upward motion. Red and blue colors represent polluted and clean cases, respectively. The vertical error bars ... [153]

Deleted:

Deleted: Each bin has an equal number of samples

Page 2: [1] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 6:22:00 PM
Font: Not Bold, Not Italic, (Asian) Chinese (China)		
Page 2: [1] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 6:22:00 PM
Font: Not Bold, Not Italic, (Asian) Chinese (China)		
Page 2: [2] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 2:01:00 PM
higher		
Page 2: [2] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 2:01:00 PM
higher		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [3] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:41:00 AM
.		
Page 2: [4] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 1:54:00 PM
. Radar reflectivity of the top 1% increases as the atmosphere becomes slightly polluted ( $PM_{10} < 38 \mu g/m^3$ ), except for shallow convection. The aerosol-precipitation data pairs are further limited to local- or meso-scale localized[HL1] precipitation systems. Results show that significant changes in precipitation		

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [5] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:23:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

In

Page 2: [6] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:01:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------

are possibly induced by aerosol, and this potential aerosol effect is regime dependent. The

Page 2: [6] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:01:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------

are possibly induced by aerosol, and this potential aerosol effect is regime dependent. The

Page 2: [6] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:01:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------

are possibly induced by aerosol, and this potential aerosol effect is regime dependent. The

Page 2: [6] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:01:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------



are possibly induced by aerosol, and this potential aerosol effect is regime dependent. The

Page 2: [6] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:01:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------

are possibly induced by aerosol, and this potential aerosol effect is regime dependent. The

Page 2: [7] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/2/18 5:37:00 PM
---------------------	-----------	-------------------

18.7

Page 2: [7] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/2/18 5:37:00 PM
---------------------	-----------	-------------------

18.7

Page 2: [7] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/2/18 5:37:00 PM
---------------------	-----------	-------------------

18.7

Page 2: [8] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:26:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------

precipitation

Page 2: [8] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:26:00 PM
---------------------	------	--------------------

precipitation

Page 2: [9] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:26:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

,

Page 2: [9] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:26:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

,

Page 2: [9] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:26:00 AM
---------------------	--------------	-------------------

,

Page 2: [10] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:01:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

rain

Page 2: [10] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:01:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

rain

Page 2: [11] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:32:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

smaller ( $\sim 10\%$ <sub>[HL2]</sub>) and inconformity between pristine and polluted conditions

Page 2: [11] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:32:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

smaller ( $\sim 10\%$ <sub>[HL3]</sub>) and inconformity between pristine and polluted conditions

Page 2: [11] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:32:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

smaller ( $\sim 10\%$ <sub>[HL4]</sub>) and inconformity between pristine and polluted conditions

Page 2: [11] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:32:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

smaller (~10%<sup>[HL5]</sup>)and inconformitybetween pristine and polluted conditions

Page 2: [11] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:32:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

smaller (~10%<sup>[HL6]</sup>)and inconformitybetween pristine and polluted conditions

Page 2: [12] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:36:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Dynamic and thermodynamic conditions which favor intensity precipitation always link with much positive aerosol effects

Page 2: [12] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:36:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Dynamic and thermodynamic conditions which favor intensity precipitation always link with much positive aerosol effects

Page 2: [13] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 2:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

hypothesis

Page 2: [13] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 2:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

hypothesis

Page 2: [13] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 2:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

hypothesis

Page 2: [13] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 2:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

hypothesis

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [14] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:05:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Interactions between c

Page 3: [15] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 6:20:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

have been

Page 3: [15] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 6:20:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

have been

Page 3: [15] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 6:20:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

have been

Page 3: [16] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:15:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

showing

Page 3: [16] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:15:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

showing

Page 3: [17] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:48:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

l

Page 3: [17] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:48:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

l

Page 3: [18] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:16:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Tao et al., 2012;

Page 3: [18] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:16:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Tao et al., 2012;

Page 3: [19] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

therefore

Page 3: [19] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

therefore

Page 3: [19] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

therefore

Page 3: [20] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 3: [20] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 3: [21] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

, which

Page 3: [21] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

, which

Page 3: [22] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:21:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

;

Page 3: [22] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:21:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

;

Page 3: [22] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:21:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

;

Page 3: [22] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:21:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

;

Page 3: [23] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:53:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height

Page 3: [23] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:53:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height

Page 3: [23] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:53:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height

Page 3: [23] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:53:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height

Page 3: [23] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:53:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height

Page 3: [23] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 2:53:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Convective iAerosol invigoration effects on deep convections has been suggestedin ample studies that includingboth the cloud top height

Page 3: [24] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:22:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

, which

Page 3: [24] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:22:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

, which

Page 4: [25] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:37:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Aerosol microphysical effects can fuel competitive microphysical processes at the same time, therefore play different even opposite roles on clouds and precipitation under different meteorological conditions (Khain et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Dagan et al., 2015). Furthermore, smaller droplets of higher motilities, slower freezing processes, and stronger entrainment (Koren et al., 2015; Rosenfeld and Woodley, 2000; Pinsky et al., 2013;), which can link the aerosol effects on microphysical processes to thermodynamic conditions, therefore further fuel or consume clouds' energy budget, and influence precipitation (Koren et al., 2005; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Koren et al., 2012).

Page 4: [26] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [27] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [28] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [29] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 6:56:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

At the same time, the inhibition of light precipitation by aerosol has also been reported in indifferent regions of over the world (Kaufman and Fraser, 1997; Rosenfeld and Lensky, 1998; Rosenfeld and Givati, 2006; Wang et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2014). The invigoration theory was recently generalized by Fan et al. (2018) that can also occur for shallower water clouds under extreme clean conditions under which ultra-fine mode aerosol particles may be nucleated to release latent heat to fuel cloud development. While we have come a long way in understanding the mechanisms behind various observation-based findings, the impact of aerosol on precipitation remain a daunting task (Tao et al., 2012). Failure in fully understanding and accounting for these effects may not only undermine our understanding of the earth's climate and its changes (IPCC, 2013), but also impair the accuracy of rainfall forecast by a numerical weather model (Jiang et al., 2017).

The specific effects of aerosols on precipitation are strongly influenced and confounded by atmospheric dynamic and thermodynamic conditions, such as updraft strength (Koren et al., 2012;

Tao et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2016), wind shear (Fan et al., 2009), and atmospheric instability (Gordon, 1994;Khain et al., 2004). By serving as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), high aerosol concentration leads to more but smaller cloud droplets that consume the available water vapor more efficiently (Koren et al., 2010). Consequently, aerosols can indirectly modify the vertical profiles of hydrometeors and cloud phases, which can, in turn, alter the dynamics and thermodynamics of a precipitating cloud system through latent heat release (Heiblum et al., 2012). Relationships between aerosols and precipitation also vary significantly on seasonal and spatial scales (Huang et al., 2009a,b,c). It has been a great challenge to single out the aerosol effects, largely due to various processes influencing precipitation, radiation, and even the state of the atmosphere that are induced by aerosols.

Page 4: [30] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Asian) +Body Asian (SimSun)

Page 4: [31] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 7:24:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

on, which is determined by a combination of dynamic, thermodynamic, and microphysical processes occurring in precipitation systems

Page 4: [32] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [33] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [34] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [35] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [36] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 4:48:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

Nakajima et al., 2010; Suzuki et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2016

Page 4: [37] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 4: [38] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 7:26:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

insights into the mechanism underlying the aerosol-cloud-precipitation interaction mechanism. The deployment of the cloud profiling radar onboard CloudSat has indeed led to new insights into



cloud and precipitation microphysical processes (e.g., Nakajima et al., 2010; Suzuki et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2016). Studies examining aerosol effects on precipitation systems using satellite observations (e.g., Rosenfeld, 2000; Niu and Li, 2012; Peng et al., 2016) are often limited to column-integrated aerosol optical depth (AOD) and cloud top properties.

Given the dominant effects of cloud dynamics on synoptic-scale precipitation systems, only precipitation events occurring on local scale are examined in detail in the following sections. This consideration is largely due to local- or meso-scale localized precipitating clouds, including the thermal convection, cumulus, and stratocumulus clouds, are less dependent on large scale dynamic conditions and more susceptible to aerosol pollution (Fan et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2017).

Page 4: [39] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:40:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

Studies examining aerosol effects on precipitation systems using satellite observations (e.g., Rosenfeld, 2000; Niu and Li, 2012; Peng et al., 2016) are often limited to column-integrated aerosol optical depth (AOD) and cloud top properties.

Page 4: [40] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:46:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

s, including the thermal convection, cumulus, and stratocumulus clouds, are less dependent on large scale dynamic conditions and more susceptible to

Page 5: [41] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:47:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

We will examine differences in the vertical structure of precipitation between relatively clean and dirty atmospheric environments to determine whether they are consistent with some previously proposed mechanisms governing aerosol invigoration or suppression of precipitation. Note that, by considering the representativeness of in-situ aerosol measurements and higher sensitivity of rain systems to local atmospheric properties (Guo et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018), only localized precipitating events (such as thermal convections) are deeply analyzed.

Page 5: [42] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:45:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

S

Page 5: [42] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 8:45:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

S

Page 5: [43] Deleted	huan	7/16/18 4:39:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

, including definitions of several parameters describing vertical precipitation radar echoes.

Page 5: [44] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:43:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

;

Page 5: [44] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/8/18 7:43:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

;

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [45] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:48:00 AM
----------------------	------	--------------------

we examine any dependence of the vertical structure of precipitation on aerosols

Page 5: [46] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Bold

Page 5: [47] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Bold

Page 5: [48] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:42:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

region of interest is the

Page 5: [48] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:42:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

region of interest is the

Page 5: [49] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 8:34:00 AM
----------------------	-----------	-------------------

-

Page 5: [49] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 8:34:00 AM
----------------------	-----------	-------------------

-

Page 5: [49] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 8:34:00 AM
----------------------	-----------	-------------------

-

Page 5: [50] Deleted	huan	8/6/18 4:27:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

ure

Page 5: [50] Deleted	huan	8/6/18 4:27:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

ure

Page 5: [51] Deleted	huan	8/6/18 4:30:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

such as

Page 5: [51] Deleted	huan	8/6/18 4:30:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

such as

Page 5: [52] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:43:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

,

Page 5: [52] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:43:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

,

Page 5: [52] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:43:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

,

Page 5: [53] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:44:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

in recent years.

Page 5: [53] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:44:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

in recent years.

Page 5: [53] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 3:44:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

in recent years.

Page 5: [54] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:55:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

(e.g.,

Page 5: [54] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 10:55:00 PM
----------------------	--------------	---------------------

(e.g.,

Page 5: [55] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [56] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:00:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

Except the characters

Page 5: [57] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:12:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

Observations have shown positive correlations between air pollution levels and that precipitation and the frequency of lightning frequencies have been enhanced in recent years in southern China

Page 5: [58] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 8:39:00 AM
----------------------	-----------	-------------------

, as atmospheric pollution worsened in the region

Page 5: [59] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 5: [59] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 5: [60] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Bold

Page 5: [61] Deleted	huan	8/6/18 4:31:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

s

Page 5: [61] Deleted	huan	8/6/18 4:31:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

S

Page 5: [62] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:14:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

.

Page 5: [62] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:14:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

.

Page 5: [62] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 7:14:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

.

Page 5: [63] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:19:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

and

Page 5: [63] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:19:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

and

Page 5: [64] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 6: [65] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 6: [65] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 6: [66] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Red

Page 6: [67] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:19:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

.

Page 6: [67] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:19:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

.

Page 6: [68] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Times New Roman

Page 6: [69] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/3/18 8:58:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

To

Page 6: [69] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/3/18 8:58:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

To

Page 6: [70] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [71] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/3/18 8:59:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

data retrieval

Page 6: [71] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/3/18 8:59:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

data retrieval

Page 6: [71] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/3/18 8:59:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

data retrieval

Page 6: [71] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/3/18 8:59:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	-------------------

data retrieval

Page 6: [72] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [73] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [74] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:03:00 AM
----------------------	-----------	-------------------

Aerosol loading information retrieved by space-borne sensors is limited to cloud-free conditions, leading to a lack of coincident and collocated measurements of aerosols and precipitation.

Page 6: [75] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:23:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

The pParticulate matter (PM) with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\text{PM}_{10}$ , limited to  $\leq 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) measured at surface is thus used as a proxy of aerosol loading[HL7],  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  to exclude abnormally samples. Meteorological variables are taken from

Page 6: [76] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Superscript

Page 6: [76] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Superscript

Page 6: [77] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:23:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

To observational analyses, the possible artificial from data retrieval should be firstly considered, such as the misclassification of rain profiles and abnormal observations. In order to minimize such uncertainties, we filter our dataset carefully and tried our best in the statistical way as described as follows.

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

3D structures

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

3D structures

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

3D structures

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

3D structures

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

3D structures

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------



3D structures

Page 6: [78] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:26:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

3D structures

Page 6: [79] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:19:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

(

Page 6: [79] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:19:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

(

Page 6: [80] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:10:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

into

Page 6: [80] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:10:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

into

Page 6: [81] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

certain

Page 6: [81] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

certain

Page 6: [81] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

certain

Page 6: [81] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:20:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

certain

Page 6: [82] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:31:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

types asprovided in the 2A23 product (profiles defined as certain rain type only). In order to

Page 6: [82] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:31:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

types asprovided in the 2A23 product (profiles defined as certain rain type only). In order to

Page 6: [82] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:31:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

types asprovided in the 2A23 product (profiles defined as certain rain type only). In order to

Page 6: [83] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:21:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

Note that, because t

Page 6: [83] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:21:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

Note that, because t

Page 6: [84] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [84] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [85] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [85] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [85] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Page 6: [86] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:22:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

could

Page 6: [86] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:22:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

could

Page 6: [86] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:22:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

could

Page 6: [86] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:22:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

could

Page 6: [87] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:14:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

(detailed in section 3.2) and its association with aerosols, a third precipitation type, namely shallowprecipitation type, is included in this study.

Page 6: [87] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:14:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

(detailed in section 3.2) and its association with aerosols, a third precipitation type, namely shallowprecipitation type, is included in this study.

Page 6: [88] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 8:49:00 AM
----------------------	-----------	-------------------

All pixels that do not exceed theradar reflectivitythreshold of 15 dBZ (the minimum detectable reflectivity factor forthe TRMM PR) are omitted (Kummerow et al., 1998).

Page 6: [89] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

T

Page 6: [89] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

T

Page 6: [89] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

T

Page 6: [89] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 6:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

T

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [90] Deleted	huan	7/17/18 11:41:00 AM
----------------------	------	---------------------

the attenuation-corrected reflectivity (

Page 6: [91] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Superscript

Page 6: [92] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 5:06:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

there must be

Page 6: [92] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 5:06:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

there must be

Page 6: [92] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 5:06:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

there must be

Page 6: [92] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 5:06:00 PM
----------------------	------	-------------------

there must be

Page 6: [93] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:24:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

Page 6: [93] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/9/18 10:24:00 AM
----------------------	--------------	--------------------

The estimates are gridded at a  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$  spatial resolution over the global belt between  $50^{\circ}\text{N}$  and  $50^{\circ}\text{S}$  and have a three-hour temporal resolution.

Sound correlations between AOD and CCN Previous studies are reported (e.g., Koren et al., 2005, 2012; Jiang et al., 2008; Andreae, 2009; Huang et al., 2009b). have shown that there are sound correlations between satellite retrievals of AOD, and cloud and precipitation properties. AI, defined as the product of AOD and the Angström exponent, which is reported as a better proxy than AOD to quantify CCN concentration due to its ability to weight AOD measurements towards the fine mode (Nakajima et al., 2001). Such correlations But Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) retrieved are susceptible to various uncertainties arising from cloud contamination and the dependence of AOD on certain atmospheric components like water vapor (e.g., Li et al., 2009; Boucher and Quaas, 2012). Moreover, because AOD is only measurable under cloud-free conditions, (Li et al. 2009) causing a very low, the availability of AOD of AOD from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) AOD products are available for less than 30% of the time over the PRD region (Wang et al., 2015). Therefore we cannot get enough AOD measurements, let alone the AI (Angström exponent is restricted over oceans because of its large uncertainties over land, Levy et al., 2010). All of these indicate huge uncertainty and severe limitations in using AOD here. Very large uncertainties arise when using AOD as a proxy for CCN (Andreae, 2009). These uncertainties can be reduced by applying the method proposed by Liu and Li (2014). However, the most serious problem in using AOD as a proxy for CCN lies in the fact that AOD is only measurable under cloud-free conditions and is subject to various retrieval errors, as critically reviewed by Li et al. (2009).

The ability of a particle to nucleate a cloud droplet depends on its size and its chemical composition. The aerosol index (AI) is defined as the product of AOD and the Angström exponent, and is a good proxy to use to quantify CCN due to its ability to weight AOD measurements towards the fine mode (Nakajima et al., 2001; Andreae, 2009). A limitation of using the aerosol index AI is that retrievals are restricted to over oceans because of the large uncertainties in Angström exponent retrievals over land (Levy et al., 2010). Furthermore, aerosols with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 ( $PM_{2.5}$ )  $\mu m$  even 1  $\mu m$  ( $PM_1$ ) are also good proxies, (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998) but with very limited observations.

Given the above problems,

Based on such limitations, in this study, we choose the ground-based  $PM_{10}$  concentrations as a proxy of aerosol loading over the PRD region, which are available from 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2012. Vertical profiles of aerosols and clouds over the PRD region obtained from the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations mission show that aerosol particles are generally well-mixed in the boundary layer (Wang et al., 2015). Also, according to Anderson et al. (2003), the variability in aerosol properties at degrees spatial scale will not be very large. Therefore,  $PM_{10}$  data should be good enough to indicate major aerosol episodes over the PRD region ( $\sim 200 km \times 200 km$ ).

Page 7: [96] Deleted

Jianping Guo

8/8/18 7:19:00 AM

Note that in order to exclude abnormal measurements,  $PM_{10}$  is limited to  $\leq 200 \mu g/m^3$  in our study.

Page 8: [97] Deleted

Jianping Guo

8/9/18 10:11:00 PM

The relationship between aerosols and precipitation structure can be established when the dataset is sorted out according to meteorological variables (Koren et al., 2012).

Due to the potential co-variations and influence of meteorological factors conditions on aerosol-precipitation interactions influencing simultaneously aerosol concentration and precipitation, further investigation based on similar meteorological conditions it will be more feasible if the investigation of the co-variation of aerosol and precipitation is considered under is asked for single out the aerosol effect the same similar meteorological conditions on precipitation based on ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis data (Uppala et al., 2008; Koren et al., 2010). According to

previous studies, meteorological factors including the vertical pressure velocity ( $\omega$ , Koren et al., 2012), wind shear between 850hPa (~1.5 km) and 500hPa (~5.5 km) (Fan et al., 2009), moisture flux divergence (MFD) from 1000hPa (near surface) to 400hPa (~7 km) (Khain et al., 2008), and convective available potential energy (CAPE, Dai et al., 1999) of surface layer parcel are further analyzed. ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis dataset provide parameters of  $\omega$ , specific humidity ( $q$ ), ‘u’ and ‘v’ component of wind (U and V) parameters used in this study include vertical velocity ( $\omega$ ), specific humidity, the “u” component of wind (U), the “v” component of wind (V), and convective available potential energy (CAPE) from ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis data. These data are available four times a day, with a horizontal resolution of  $0.125^\circ \times 0.125^\circ$  at pressure levels equal to 1000, 975, 950, 925, 900, 875, 850, 825, 800, 775, 750, 700, 650, 600, 550, 500, 450, and 400 hPa, and surface CAPE . with a horizontal/temporal resolution of  $0.125^\circ \times 0.125^\circ / 6$ -hourly.

Page 8: [98] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 7:21:00 PM
----------------------	------	--------------------

The relationship between aerosols and precipitation structure can be established when the dataset is sorted out according to meteorological variables (Koren et al., 2012).

Page 9: [99] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:01:00 AM
----------------------	------	-------------------

dirtiest

Page 9: [99] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:01:00 AM
----------------------	------	-------------------

dirtiest

Page 9: [99] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:01:00 AM
----------------------	------	-------------------

dirtiest

Page 9: [100] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 8:22:00 AM
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------

binbin

Page 9: [100] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 8:22:00 AM
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------

binbin

Page 9: [101] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:03:00 AM
-----------------------	------	-------------------

range of PM<sub>10</sub> values defined for in

Page 9: [101] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:03:00 AM
-----------------------	------	-------------------

range of PM<sub>10</sub> values defined for in

Page 9: [101] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:03:00 AM
-----------------------	------	-------------------

range of PM<sub>10</sub> values defined for in

Page 9: [102] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:17:00 AM
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------

Data are divided into three groups to make sure that the daily mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentration exceeds the national air quality standard for the polluted case (75 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Page 9: [102] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:17:00 AM
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------

Data are divided into three groups to make sure that the daily mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentration exceeds the national air quality standard for the polluted case (75 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Page 9: [103] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:16:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

The first (lowest) bin represents relatively clean conditions and the third (highest) bin represents relatively polluted conditions.

Page 9: [104] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:42:00 AM
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------

µg/m<sup>3</sup>,

Page 9: [104] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:42:00 AM
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------

µg/m<sup>3</sup>,

Page 9: [104] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:42:00 AM
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------

µg/m<sup>3</sup>,

Page 9: [104] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:42:00 AM
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------

µg/m<sup>3</sup>,



$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,

fontstyle01, Font: Not Bold, Not Italic, (Asian) Japanese

On average, clean conditions for all precipitation types are defined when the daily mean  $\text{PM}_{10}$  is  $<38\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and polluted conditions are defined when the daily mean  $\text{PM}_{10}$  is  $>102\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (Table 2).

It also creates a sufficient contrast between clean and polluted groups while retaining good sampling statistics (Koren et al., 2012). Table 2 also summarizes the total number of profiles and the frequency of occurrence profiles (in %, relative to the total number of profiles) of profiles in the clean and polluted categories for each precipitation type.

To further examine aerosol influences on convective precipitation, this precipitation type is divided into three groups based on hourly R: light ( $R < 10\text{ mm/h}$ ), moderate ( $10 \leq R < 20\text{ mm/h}$ ), and heavy ( $R \geq 20\text{ mm/h}$ )

On average, clean conditions for all precipitation types are defined when the daily mean  $\text{PM}_{10}$  is  $<38\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and polluted conditions are defined when the daily mean  $\text{PM}_{10}$  is  $>102\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (Table 2).

It also creates a sufficient contrast between clean and polluted groups while retaining good sampling statistics (Koren et al., 2012). Table 2 also summarizes the total number of profiles and the frequency of occurrence profiles (in %, relative to the total number of profiles) of profiles in the clean and polluted categories for each precipitation type.

To further examine aerosol influences on convective precipitation, this precipitation type is divided into three groups based on hourly R: light ( $R < 10$  mm/h), moderate ( $10 \leq R < 20$  mm/h), and heavy ( $R \geq 20$  mm/h)

### 2.3.2 Meteorological variables

Previous aerosol-precipitation interaction studies have suggested that atmospheric dynamic conditions and moisture fluxes are among the most important meteorological variables contributing to changes in cloud properties and associated precipitation (Koren et al., 2010; Medeiros and Stevens, 2011; Jiang et al., 2011). To better isolate the aerosol effect, we need to determine the relative contributions of the following four meteorological factors to the variability in precipitation:  $\omega$ , CAPE, vertical wind shear between 1000–850 hPa (~1.5 km) and 700–500 hPa (~5.5 km), and vertically integrated moisture flux divergence (MFD) from 1000 hPa (near surface) to 400 hPa (~7 km).

CAPE is a measured for the amount of moist static energy for initiation of convection, and acts as an effective indicator of atmospheric instability, which has been shown to be closely associated with the initiation of precipitation (Dai et al., 1999). For a fixed atmospheric condition, wind shear can dictate whether aerosols suppress or enhance convective strength, depending on the atmospheric moisture and stability (Fan et al., 2009). MFD, another major factor in the formation of precipitation, determines the complex spatial variability of precipitation through the transport of water vapor (Khain et al., 2008).

The definition of MFD in units of g/(cm<sup>2</sup>s) is:

$$MFD = \nabla_P \cdot \left( \frac{\vec{V}_H q}{g} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\vec{V}_H q}{g} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\vec{V}_H q}{g} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{V}_H = \vec{U} + \vec{V} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\vec{V}_H$  represents the horizontal wind vector,  $\vec{U}$  and  $\vec{V}$  represent the U and V components of wind in units of m/s, q represents specific humidity in units of g/kg, P represents pressure in units of hPa, and g represents the acceleration due to gravity. MFD was calculated at 18 standard pressure levels:

1000, 975, 950, 925, 900, 875, 850, 825, 800, 775, 750, 700, 650, 600, 550, 500, 450, and 400hPa.

A negative MFD means convergence of water vapor and a positive MFD indicates divergence of water vapor.

Page 9: [109] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:33:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

.

Page 9: [109] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:33:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

.

Page 9: [110] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 4:28:00 PM
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------

normalized

Page 9: [110] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 4:28:00 PM
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------

normalized

Page 9: [111] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:25:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

NCFAD.

Page 9: [111] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:25:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

NCFAD.

Page 9: [111] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:25:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

NCFAD.

Page 9: [111] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:25:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

NCFAD.

Page 9: [111] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:25:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

NCFAD.

Page 9: [111] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:25:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

NCFAD.

Page 9: [112] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:26:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

as observed by PR

Page 9: [112] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:26:00 AM
-----------------------	------	--------------------

as observed by PR

Page 9: [113] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 4:28:00 PM
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------

contoured frequency by altitude

Page 9: [113] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 4:28:00 PM
-----------------------	--------------	--------------------

contoured frequency by altitude

Page 9: [114] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Superscript

Page 9: [114] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
-------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Superscript

Page 9: [115] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:16:00 AM
-----------------------	------	-------------------

,

Page 9: [115] Deleted	huan	8/7/18 9:16:00 AM
-----------------------	------	-------------------

,

Page 10: [116] Deleted	huan	8/3/18 1:38:00 PM
------------------------	------	-------------------

.

Page 10: [116] Deleted	huan	8/3/18 1:38:00 PM
------------------------	------	-------------------

.

Page 10: [117] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: +Body (Cambria), Check spelling and grammar

Page 10: [117] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: +Body (Cambria), Check spelling and grammar

Page 10: [118] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:36:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

.

Page 10: [118] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:36:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

.

Page 10: [118] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:36:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

.

Page 10: [118] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:36:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

.

.

.

.

.

#### *2.3.4 Discrimination between synoptic-scale and localized rain events*

Generally speaking, the main contribution of rainfall is synoptic-scale precipitating systems that characterized by horizontal length scales of the order of 1000 km or more, such as frontal passages or low-pressure systems. However, such synoptic-scale precipitating systems are normally firstly driven by pressure gradient term, and the local atmospheric condition just play very limited role. Therefore, in order to single out precipitating systems that affect by local atmospheric conditions much directly and ensure the representativeness of ground-based PM<sub>10</sub> concentration, we focus on localized precipitating systems which are characterized by thermal-driven convective clouds only (Guo et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018).

As we mentioned, synoptic-scale precipitating systems of large horizontal length scales, while localized precipitating systems should be small and random. Therefore, we can easily identified the synoptic-scale precipitating systems on weather maps, while others can be refer to localized precipitating systems. All the day with both ground-based aerosol observations and TRMM precipitation measurements are checked based on weather charts manually. First, for each day with valid precipitation ( $>0.1\text{mm/day}$ ) over PRD, the daily averaged wind field at 850hPa ( $\sim 1.5\text{ km}$ ) pressure level was plotted along with geo-potential height at 500hPa ( $\sim 5.5\text{ km}$ ) pressure level. Then, such weather maps are analyzed manually to decide if we can figure out any weather patterns

that favor the onset and development of synoptic-scale precipitation or not. If yes, precipitation events during this day are classified as synoptic-scale rain events; if not, precipitation events during this day are classified as localized rain events. As such, the total number of collocated localized rain events reached up to 253<sup>[h8]</sup>, whereas 194 for synoptic-scale rain events.

Figure 2 show typical weather maps for both synoptic-scale and localized rain events. On 26 June 2008 (Figure 2(a)), PRD lies at the bottom of the weak low pressure at 500hPa pressure level. At 850hPa pressure level, there is a weak cyclone on the left-forward side of PRD, where a south-western to north-eastern low-level jet stream overpasses at the same time, leading to strong water vapors adverted accumulation over PRD from South China Sea. More importantly, the wind shear observed at 850hPa pressure level is most favorable for the formation and evolution of precipitation. Therefore, rain events observed under such weather patterns can be thought as synoptic-scale rain events. In contrast, as shown in Figure 2(b), PRD is largely controlled by the subtropical high-pressure areas, in combination with the anti-cyclone systems at low levels on 2 July 2008, which will be generally thought as no weather patterns that favor for large-scale convections. Therefore, rain events during this day can be attributed to localized thermal convections with high certainty, and are identified as localized rain events for further analysis.

Page 10: [120] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Subscript		
Page 10: [120] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Subscript		
Page 10: [120] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Subscript		
Page 10: [121] Deleted	huan	7/28/18 9:46:00 AM

where  $Z$  is the measured radar reflectivity in dBZ,  $H$  is the height above ground in km, and  $i$  is an index from 1 to 80, representing different levels in the atmosphere. A larger magnitude value of ZCOG means that the precipitation system has developed to a higher level in the atmosphere, indicating stronger convection.

Page 10: [122] Deleted	huan	7/24/18 7:05:00 PM
------------------------	------	--------------------

### 3.1 Regional aerosol features

PM<sub>2.5</sub> began to be measured as of 2013, largely due to the "January 2013" severe haze event shrouded over the whole eastern China. China central government decided to make great efforts in attempt to address the increasingly serious air quality issues across the board, including setting up the PM<sub>2.5</sub> criteria, among others. Therefore, PM<sub>2.5</sub> measurements during the period of January 2007 - December 2012 do not exist. It is still an efficient alternative way to use the yearly averaged PM data during the period of November 2013-October 2014 to characterize the regional aerosol features in the PRD region. Figure 1a presents the spatial distribution of mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations collected in the PRD region from November 2013 to October 2014. Nearly 60% of the measurement sites are characterized by high PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations ( $>70 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ). This value ( $70 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) is the World Health Organization (WHO) interim target 1 annual mean level, which is associated with about a 15% higher long-term mortality risk relative to the WHO air quality guideline level of  $20 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (WHO, 2006).

Figure 1b shows the ratio of annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> to annual mean PM<sub>10</sub>. Most megacities (e.g., Guangzhou and Shengzhen) are characterized by a high ratio of PM<sub>2.5</sub> to PM<sub>10</sub> ( $> 0.7$ ). This suggests that fine PM, which is mostly generated by anthropogenic activities such as daily power generation and industrial production, dominates aerosol pollution in this area. This region is an ideal testbed to probe the aerosol impact on 3D precipitation structures.

### 3.2 Discrimination between synoptic--scale and local-scaleized precipitating systems

Generally speaking, synoptic--scale precipitation involves frontal passages or low-pressure systems (weather patterns helping the onset and development of large-scale convection), as compared with local-scaleized precipitation characterized by thermal-driven convective clouds (weather patterns show no helping conditions to large-scale convection), which is relatively small and random and fed by the boundary layer air. Therefore the locally aerosol particles can affect these localized events much directly (aerosol). Our recent study (Guo et al., 2017) indicates that local-scale precipitation events are more closely linked to aerosol relative to synoptic-scale precipitation. In order to make sure that only precipitating system more susceptible to the local boundary layer aerosol were considered, all the satellite scenes with synoptic precipitation were excluded. For any given day, ground-based aerosol observations have to collocate with precipitation measurements from TRMM in attempt to obtain a valid data pair. As such, the total number of

collocated samples reached up to 255 for local-scaleized precipitation events, whereas 194 for synoptic-scale precipitation events.

The local-scale precipitation event was determined based on the weather charts, where daily averaged wind field at 850 hPa was plotted along with geo-potential height at 500 hPa. Note that the discrimination was manually performed through visual interpretation of the weather plot for each day with valid precipitation ( $>0.1\text{ mm/day}$ ) over PRD, owing to the extreme complexities in discriminating the weather systems for local-ized and synoptic-scale precipitations. To make it clear, subplots in Fig 2 show typical weather charts for both synoptic-scale and localized precipitation events

Figure 2 illustrates two typical weather plots, corresponding to synoptic- and local-scale precipitation events, respectively. On 26 June 2008 (Figure 2a), PRD lies at the bottom of the weak low pressure at 500 hPa level. At 850 hPa level, there is a weak cyclone on the left-forward side of PRD, where a south-western to north-eastern low-level jet stream overpasses at the same time, leading to strong water vapors advected over PRD from South China Sea. More importantly, the wind shear observed at 850 hPa is most favorable for the formation and evolution of precipitation. Overall, therefore, precipitation events observed under such weather patterns at both 500 hPa and 850 hPa help the onset and development of large-scale convection, so this precipitation event occurred over PRD weather patterns can be thought of as a typical synoptic-scale precipitation event. In contrast, as shown in Figure 2b, PRD is largely controlled by the subtropical high-pressure areas, in combination with the anti-cyclone systems at low levels on 2 July 2008, which will be generally thought as no synoptic systems, as shown in Figure 2b. Therefore, this precipitation can be attributed to local thermal convection with high certainty.

### 3.1 Regional aerosol features

$\text{PM}_{2.5}$  began to be measured as of 2013, largely due to the "January 2013" severe haze event shrouded over the whole eastern China. China central government decided to make great efforts in attempt to address the increasingly serious air quality issues across the board, including setting up the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  criteria, among others. Therefore,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  measurements during the period of January



2007 - December 2012 do not exist. It is still an efficient alternative way to use the yearly averaged PM data during the period of November 2013-October 2014 to characterize the regional aerosol features in the PRD region. Figure 1a presents the spatial distribution of mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations collected in the PRD region from November 2013 to October 2014. Nearly 60% of the measurement sites are characterized by high PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations ( $>70 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ). This value ( $70 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) is the World Health Organization (WHO) interim target 1 annual mean level, which is associated with about a 15% higher long-term mortality risk relative to the WHO air quality guideline level of  $20 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (WHO, 2006).

Figure 1b shows the ratio of annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> to annual mean PM<sub>10</sub>. Most megacities (e.g., Guangzhou and Shengzhen) are characterized by a high ratio of PM<sub>2.5</sub> to PM<sub>10</sub> ( $> 0.7$ ). This suggests that fine PM, which is mostly generated by anthropogenic activities such as daily power generation and industrial production, dominates aerosol pollution in this area. This region is an ideal testbed to probe the aerosol impact on 3D precipitation structures.

Page 10: [124] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Bold

Page 10: [124] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Bold

Page 10: [125] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: (Default) +Body (Cambria), English (UK)

Page 10: [126] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 3:02:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	--------------------

-scale

Page 10: [126] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 3:02:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	--------------------

-scale

Page 10: [126] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 3:02:00 PM
------------------------	--------------	--------------------

-scale

Page 10: [127] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/12/18 10:52:00 AM
------------------------	--------------	---------------------

As such, the total number of collocated samples reached up to 255 for local-scale precipitation events, whereas 194 for synoptic scale precipitation events.

As such, the total number of collocated samples reached up to 255 for local-scale precipitation events, whereas 194 for synoptic scale precipitation events.

Hence, the differences shown in Figure 6 could be indicative of an aerosol-invigorated convective echo occurring above the freezing level. Differences observed in the internal structure may also reflect differences in updraft velocities, and thus heating rates. Parts of the atmosphere with updraft velocities less than a certain threshold value tend to have less ice particles and ice-ice collisions in the mixed-phase region above the freezing level (Zipser, 1994). This further complicates the potential aerosol invigorative effect. Resolving such an ambiguity would require much more detailed in-situ measurements from a dedicated field experiment, which is beyond the scope of this study.

when  $PM_{10}$  concentration reaches the highest third bin of  $97.6\mu g/m^3$  ( $99.9\mu g/m^3$ ),

It is well known that aerosols and precipitation systems are simultaneously influenced by the meteorology, which is also dubbed as a buffered system due to the nonlinear dependence between them (Steven and Feingold, 2009). The observed association of aerosols with precipitation vertical structure in above sections should then be further analyzed as a function of relevant meteorological factors.

Anderson, T. L., and R. J. Charlson, D. M. Winker, J. A. Ogren, and K. Holmén: Mesoscale variations of tropospheric aerosols, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 60, 119-136, 2003.

Andreae, M.O.: Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(2), 543-556, doi: 10.5194/acp-9-543-2009, 2009.

Andreae, M. O., D. Rosenfeld, P. Artaxo, A. A. Costa, G. P. Frank, K. M. Longo, and M. A. F. Silva-Dias (2004), Smoking precipitation clouds over the Amazon, *Science*, 303, 1337-1342, doi:10.1126/science.1092779.

- Boucher, O., and J. Quaas: Water vapour affects both precipitation and aerosol optical depth, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 4-5, 2012.
- Chen T.M., J.P.Guo, Z. Li, C. Zhao, H. Liu, M. Cribb, F. Wang, and J. He: A CloudSat perspective on the cloud climatology and its association with aerosol perturbation in the vertical over East China, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0309.1, 2016.
- Dai, A., F. Giorgi, K. E. Trenberth: Observed and model-simulated diurnal cycles of precipitation over the contiguous United States. *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.* 104, D6, 6377-6402, doi:10.1029/98jd02720, 1999.
- Deng, X., X. Tie, D. Wu, X. Zhou, X. Bi, H. Tan, F. Li, and C. Jiang: Long-term trend of visibility and its characterizations in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1424-1435, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.025, 2008.
- Ding, Y.H.: *Monsoons over China*. 419 pp., Kluwer Acad., Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1994.
- Fan, J., R. Zhang, G. Li, and W. K. Tao: Effects of aerosols and relative humidity on cumulus clouds, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.* 112(D14), D14204, doi:10.1029/2006JD008136, 2007.
- Fan, J., T. Yuan, J. M. Comstock, S. Ghan, A. Khain, L. R. Leung, Z. Li, V. J. Martins, and M. Ovchinnikov: Dominant role by vertical wind shear in regulating aerosol effects on deep convective clouds, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 114, doi:10.1029/2009JD012352, 2009.
- Fan, J., L. R. Leung, D. Rosenfeld, Q. Chen, Z. Li, J. Zhang, and H. Yan: Microphysical effects determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, E4581-E4590, doi:10.1073/pnas.1316830110, 2013.
- Fan, J., D. Rosenfeld, Y. Zhang, S. E. Giangrande, Z. Li, and Coauthors: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles, *Science*, 359, 411-418, doi:10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.
- Fu, Y., Y. Lin, G. Liu, and Q. Wang: Seasonal characteristics of precipitation in 1998 over East Asia as derived from TRMM PR, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 20(4), 511-529, doi:10.1007/BF02915495, 2003.
- Gonçalves, W. A., Machado, L. A. T., and Kirstetter, P.-E.: Influence of biomass aerosol on precipitation over the Central Amazon: an observational study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6789-6800, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6789-2015, 2015.
- Gordon, A. H.: Weekdays warmer than weekends?, *Nature*, 367, 325-326, 1994.
- Guo, J.-P., X.-Y. Zhang, H.-Z. Che, S.-L. Gong, X. An, C.-X. Cao, J. Guang, H. Zhang, Y.-Q. Wang, and X.-C. Zhang: Correlation between PM concentrations and aerosol optical depth in eastern China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 5876-5886, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.026, 2009.
- Guo, J.-P., P. Zhai, L. Wu, M. Cribb, Z. Li, Z. Ma, F. Wang, D. Chu, P. Wang, and J. Zhang: Precipitation and air pollution at mountain and plain stations in northern China: Insights gained from observations and modeling, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JD021161, 2014.
- Guo, J., M. Deng, S. S. Lee, F. Wang, Z. Li, P. Zhai, H. Liu, W. Lv, W. Yao, and X. Li: Delaying precipitation and lightning by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta. Part I:

- Observational analyses, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 121, 6472–6488, doi:10.1002/2015JD023257, 2016.
- Guo J., T. Su, Z. Li, Y. Miao, J. Li, H. Liu, H. Xu, M. Cribb, and P. Zhai: Declining frequency of summertime local-scale precipitation over eastern China from 1970–2010 and its potential link to aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 5700–5708, doi:10.1002/2017GL073533, 2017.
- Heiblum, R. H., I. Koren, and O. Altaratz: New evidence of cloud invigoration from TRMM measurements of precipitation center of gravity, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39(8), doi:10.1029/2012GL051158, 2012.
- Houze, R. A., and C.-P. Cheng: Radar characteristics of tropical convection observed during GATE: Mean properties and trends over the summer season, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 105, 964–980, doi:10.1175/1520-0493, 1977.
- Huang, J., C. Zhang, and J. M. Prospero: African aerosol and large-scale precipitation variability over West Africa, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 4, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/1/015006, 2009a.
- Huang, J., C. Zhang, and J. M. Prospero: Large-scale effects of aerosol on rainfall over West Africa, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 135, 581–594, doi:10.1002/qj.391, 2009b.
- Huang, J., C. Zhang, C., and J. M. Prospero: Aerosol-induced large-scale variability in precipitation over the tropical Atlantic, *J. Clim.*, 22, 4970–4988, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2531.1, 2009c.
- Huffman, G. J., D. T. Bolvin, E. J. Nelkin, D. B. Wolff, R. F. Adler, G. Gu, Y. Hong, K. P. Bowman, and E. F. Stocker: The TRMM multisatellite precipitation analysis (TMPA): Quasi-global, multiyear, combined-sensor precipitation estimates at fine scales, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 8, 38–55, 2007.
- Jiang, J. H., H. Su, M. R. Schoeberl, S. T. Massie, P. Colarco, S. Platnick, and N. J. Livesey: Clean and polluted clouds: Relationships among pollution, ice cloud and precipitation in South America, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L14804, doi:10.1029/2008GL034631, 2008.
- Jiang, J. H., H. Su, C. Zhai, S. T. Massie, M. R. Schoeberl, P. R. Colarco, S. Platnick, Y. Gu, and K. N. Liou: Influence of convection and aerosol pollution on ice cloud particle effective radius, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 457–463, doi:10.5194/acp-11-457-2011, 2011.
- Jiang, M., J. Feng, Z. Li, R. Sun, Y.-T. Hou, Y. Zhu, B. Wan, J. Guo, and M. Cribb: Potential influences of neglecting aerosol effects on the NCEP GFS precipitation forecast, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 13,967–13,982, doi:10.5194/acp-17-13967-2017, 2017.
- Kaufman, Y. J., and R. S. Fraser: The effect of smoke particles on clouds and climate forcing, *Science*, 277, 1636–1639, 1997.
- Khain, A., A. Pokrovsky, M. Pinsky, A. Seifert, and V. Phillips: Simulation of effects of atmospheric aerosols on deep turbulent convective clouds using a spectral microphysics mixed-phase cumulus cloud model. Part I: Model description and possible applications, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 61(24), 2963–2982, doi:10.1175/jas-3350.1, 2004.
- Khain, A. P., N. BenMoshe, and A. Pokrovsky: Factors determining the impact of aerosols on surface precipitation from clouds: An attempt at classification, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 1721–1748, doi:10.1175/2007jas2515.1, 2008.

- Koren, I., Y. J. Kaufman, D. Rosenfeld, L. A. Remer, and Y. Rudich: Aerosol invigoration and restructuring of Atlantic convective clouds, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L14828, doi:10.1029/2005GL023187, 2005.
- Koren, I., O. Altaratz, G. Feingold, Z. Levin, and T. Reisin: Cloud's center of gravity—a compact approach to analyze convective cloud development, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 155-161, 2009.
- Koren, I., G. Feingold, and L. A. Remer: The invigoration of deep convective clouds over the Atlantic: aerosol effect, meteorology or retrieval artifact?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8855-8872, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8855-2010, 2010.
- Koren, I., O. Altaratz, L. A. Remer, G. Feingold, J. V. Martins, and R. H. Heiblum: Aerosol-induced intensification of precipitation from the tropics to the mid-latitudes, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 118–122, doi:10.1038/ngeo1364, 2012.
- Koren, I., G. Dagan, and O. Altaratz: From aerosol-limited to invigoration of warm convective clouds, *Science*, 344(6188), 1143-1146, doi:10.1126/science.1252595, 2014.
- Kummerow, C., W. Barnes, T. Kozu, J. Shiue, and J. Simpson: The tropical rainfall measuring mission (TRMM) sensor package, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 15, 809-817, 1998.
- Lee, S.-S., G. Feingold, and P. Y. Chuang: Effect of Aerosol on Cloud–Environment Interactions in Trade Cumulus, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 69(12), 3607-3632, doi:doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-026.1, 2012.
- Levy, R., L. Remer, R. Kleidman, S. Mattoo, C. Ichoku, R. Kahn, and T. Eck: Global evaluation of the Collection 5 MODIS dark-target aerosol products over land, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10(21), 10399-10420, 2010.
- Li, Z., X. Zhao, R. Kahn, M. Mishchenko, L. Remer, K. H. Lee, M. Wang, I. Laszlo, T. Nakajima, and H. Maring: Uncertainties in satellite remote sensing of aerosols and impact on monitoring its long-term trend: A review and perspective, *Ann. Geophys.*, 27, 1–16, 2009.
- Li, Z., F. Niu, J. Fan, Y. Liu, D. Rosenfeld, and Y. Ding: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical development of clouds and precipitation, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 888-894, doi:10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011.
- Li, Z., D. Rosenfeld, and J. Fan: Aerosols and their impact on radiation, clouds, precipitation, and severe weather events, *Oxford Research Encyclopedias*, doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780199389414.013.126, 2017.
- Liu, J., and Z. Li: Estimation of cloud condensation nuclei concentration from aerosol optical quantities: Influential factors and uncertainties, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, doi:10.5194/acp-14-471-2014, 2014.
- López, R. E.: The lognormal distribution and cumulus cloud populations, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 105, 865-872, doi:10.1175/1520-0493, 1977.
- Marshall, J. S., and W. M. K. Palmer: The distribution of precipitation drops with size, *J. Meteorol.*, 5(4), 165–166, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469, 1948.
- Medeiros, B., and B. Stevens: Revealing differences in GCM representations of low clouds, *Clim.*

- Dynam., 36, 385-399, 2011.
- Nakajima, T. Y., A. Higurashi, K. Kawamoto, and J. E. Penner: A possible correlation between satellite-derived cloud and aerosol microphysical parameters, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28(7), 1171-1174, doi:10.1029/2000gl012186, 2001.
- Nakajima, T. Y., K. Suzuki, and G. L. Stephens: Droplet growth in warm water clouds observed by the A-Tprecipitation. Part II: A multisensor view, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 67, 1897-1907, doi:10.1175/2010jas3276.1, 2010.
- Niu, F., and Z. Li: Systematic variations of cloud top temperature and precipitation rate with aerosols over the global tropics, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8491-8498, 2012.
- Peng, J., Z. Li, H. Zhang, J. Liu, and M. Cribb: Systematic changes in cloud radiative forcing with aerosol loading for deep clouds in the tropics, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 73(1), 231-249, 2016.
- Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of precipitation and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, *Science*, 287, 1793-1796, doi:10.1126/science.287.5459.1793, 2000.
- Rosenfeld, D., and A. Givati: Evidence of orographic precipitation suppression by air pollution-induced aerosols in the western United States, *J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol.*, 45, 893-911, doi:10.1175/jam2380.1, 2006.
- Rosenfeld, D., and I. M. Lensky: Satellite-based insights into precipitation formation processes in continental and maritime convective clouds, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 79, 2457-2476, doi:10.1175/1520-0477, 1998.
- Rosenfeld, D., and C. W. Ulbrich: Cloud microphysical properties processes and rainfall estimation opportunities, in *Radar and Atmospheric Science: A Collection of Essays in Honor of David Atlas*, edited by R. M. Wakimoto and R. Srivastava, pp. 237-258, American Meteorological Society, Washington, D. C, 2003.
- Rosenfeld, D., U. Lohmann, G. B. Raga, C. D. O'Dowd, M. Kulmala, S. Fuzzi, A. Reissell, and M. O. Andreae: Flood or drought: how do aerosols affect precipitation?, *Science*, 321, 1309-1313, 2008.
- Seinfeld, J. H., and S. N. Pandis (1998), *Atmospheric chemistry and physics: From air pollution to climate change*, John Wiley, New York, N. Y.
- Stevens, B., and G. Feingold: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered system, *Nature*, 461, 607-613, 2009.
- Suzuki, K., T. Y. Nakajima, and G. L. Stephens: Particle growth and drop collection efficiency of warm clouds as inferred from joint CloudSat and MODIS observations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 67, 3019-3032, 2010.
- Tao, W. K., J. P. Chen, Z. Q. Li, C. Wang, and C. D. Zhang: Impact of aerosols on convective clouds and precipitation, *Rev. Geophys.*, 50, doi:10.1029/2011rg000369, 2012.
- Uppala, S., D. Dee, S. Kobayashi, P. Berrisford, and A. Simmons: Towards a climate data assimilation system: Status update of ERA-Interim, *ECMWF Newsletter*, 115, 12-18, 2018.
- van den Heever, S. C., G. L. Stephens, and N. B. Wood: Aerosol indirect effects on tropical

- convection characteristics under conditions of radiative-convective equilibrium, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 68, 699–718, 2011.
- Wang, F., J. Guo, J. Zhang, J. Huang, M. Min, T. Chen, H. Liu, M. Deng, and X. Li: Multi-sensor quantification of aerosol-induced variability in warm clouds over eastern China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 113, 1-9, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.063, 2015.
- Wang, Y., Q. Wan, W. Meng, F. Liao, H. Tan, and R. Zhang: Long-term impacts of aerosols on precipitation and lightning over the Pearl River Delta megacity area in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12421-12436, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12421-2011, 2011.
- WHO: Air quality guidelines: Global update 2005. Particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide, Rep. 9289072903, World Health Organization, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2006.
- Williams, E. et al.: Contrasting convective regimes over the Amazon: Implications for cloud electrification, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 107(D20), doi:10.1029/2001JD000380, 2002.
- Yan, H., Z. Li, J. Huang, M. C. Cribb, and J. Liu: Long-term aerosol-mediated changes in cloud radiative forcing of deep clouds at the top and bottom of the atmosphere over the Southern Great Plains, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7113-2014, 2014.
- Yang, X., and Z. Li: Increases in thunderstorm activity and relationships with air pollution in southeast China, *J. Geophys. Res. - Atmos.*, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JD021224, 2014.
- Yuan, T., L. A. Remer, K. E. Pickering, and H. Yu: Observational evidence of aerosol enhancement of lightning activity and convective invigoration, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38(4), L04701, doi:10.1029/2010GL046052, 2011.
- Yuter, S. E., and R. A. J. Houze: Three-dimensional kinematic and microphysical evolution of Florida cumulonimbus. Part II: Frequency distributions of vertical velocity, reflectivity, and differential reflectivity, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 123, 1941-1963, 1995.
- Zhang, R., G. Li, J. Fan, D. L. Wu, and M. J. Molina: Intensification of Pacific storm track linked to Asian pollution, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 5295-5299, doi:10.1073/pnas.0700618104, 2007.
- Zipser, E. J.: Deep cumulonimbus cloud systems in the tropics with and without lightning, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 122, 1837-1851, doi:10.1175/1520-0493, 1994.
- Zipser, E. J., and K. Lutz: The vertical profile of radar reflectivity of convective cells: A strong indicator of storm intensity and lightning probability?, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 122, 1751-1759, 1994.

Page 24: [132] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: Times New Roman, (Asian) Japanese, (Other) English (UK), Do not check spelling or grammar

Page 26: [133] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 8:27:00 AM
------------------------	--------------	--------------------

rain

Page 26: [133] Deleted	Jianping Guo	8/10/18 8:27:00 AM
------------------------	--------------	--------------------

rain

Page 26: [134] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 9:51:00 AM
------------------------	------	-------------------

Occurrence frequencies for each precipitation type (relative to the total number of precipitation profiles) are given in percent.

Page 26: [135] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Subscript

Page 26: [136] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 9:51:00 AM
------------------------	------	-------------------

and percentages (relative to the total number of precipitation profiles for that precipitation type)

Page 26: [137] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: 9 pt

Page 26: [138] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: 9 pt

Page 26: [139] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: 9 pt

Page 26: [140] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: 9 pt

Page 26: [141] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font: 9 pt

Page 26: [142] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Auto

Page 26: [142] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Auto

Page 26: [143] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 26: [144] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Not Highlight

Page 26: [145] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Auto

Page 26: [145] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Auto

Page 26: [145] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Auto

Page 26: [146] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
--------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Font color: Auto



Page 26: [146] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [146] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [147] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Not Highlight		
Page 26: [148] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [148] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [148] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [149] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [149] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [149] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [150] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Not Highlight		
Page 26: [151] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [151] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 26: [151] Formatted	Jianping Guo	8/11/18 11:29:00 PM
Font color: Auto		
Page 27: [152] Deleted	Liu, Huan	7/3/18 9:49:00 AM

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Precipitation type	# of clean samples	# of polluted samples	Ave. height of clean 30 dBz echoes (km)	Ave. height of polluted 30 dBz echoes (km)	Abs.(T) for $\alpha=0.05$	Page 35: [153] Deleted huan 8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
shallow	172	29	2.40	2.56	1.24( $\times$ )	18 dBz
stratiform	1089	351	4.34	3.87	12.37( $\checkmark$ )	radar
convective	483	816	4.36	5.63	11.29( $\checkmark$ )	echo

heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(

Page 35: [153] Deleted	huan	8/5/18 10:14:00 AM
------------------------	------	--------------------

18 dBz radar echo heightdifferences(