This is an interesting paper investigating the role of urban surface in regional climate in central Europe via using WRF and RegCM model simulation. The paper is generally well-written and the conclusions are adequately supported by the evidence presented. I have following comments before it is accepted to be published on ACP:

1. Page 4, Line 23 - 10km \times 10km horizontal resolution and 30/23 vertical levels is a relatively low for a study of urbanization impact. Previous numerical studies generally used a higher resolution of less than 3km in order to better illustrate the region of urbanized area (e.g. Lin et al., 2008; Holt et al., 2009; Wang et al. 2012). I suppose this number is restricted by the used computer power, but could you add a comment about it? How the resolution number can influence the results?

2. Figure 2 - I suggest the author add a color bar (and the corresponding model) on the right side of the figure instead of the description in figure caption to make it easier to understand.

3. Figure 5 - Why the temperature is generally overestimated by WRF but underestimated by RegCM model? Can you give some possible explanations for it?

4. Figure 11- what does the Y-coordinate represent for Figure 11 and 12? Does it mean the frequency distribution of VI and SI? The Y-axis title needs to be added.

5. Since the paper discussed the urbanization effect on pollutant dispersion, some important publications need to be mentioned in the manuscript.
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