



1	
2	The Climate Impact of Aerosols on Lightning: Is it Detectable from
3	Long-term Aerosol and Meteorological Data?
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Qianqian Wang ¹ , Zhanqing Li ^{*1,2} , Jianping Guo ^{*3} , Chuanfeng Zhao ¹ , Maureen Cribb ²
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	¹ State Laboratory of Earth Surface Process and Resource Ecology, College of Global Change and
15	Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.
16	² Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD,
17	USA.
18	³ State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences,
19	Beijing, China
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	March 9, 2018
29	





Abstract

31

30

Aerosol effect on lightning is still under debate. In this study, the relative roles of meteorology 32 and aerosols on lightning activities in Africa are investigated from a climatological perspective, 33 34 based on the 11-year worth of lightning flashes from Lightning Imaging Sensor onboard Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission, aerosol optical depth (AOD) from Moderate Resolution Imaging 35 Spectroradiometer onboard Aqua, meteorological variables from the Medium-Range Weather 36 37 Forecasting ERA-Interim reanalysis and aerosol composition data from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Application. Six meteorological variables are selected: 38 sea level pressure (SLP), potential temperature (θ) at 2 m above ground level, mid-level relative 39 humidity (RH), convective available potential energy (CAPE), vertical wind shear (SHEAR), and 40 200 hPa divergence (Div). To differentiate two dominant aerosol types in Africa and to account for 41 their distinct climate regimes that all affect lightning, we separate two regions of interest (ROIs): 42 the northern Africa (ROI 1) and the southern Africa (ROI 2) dominated by dust and smoke 43 44 aerosols, respectively. As compared with dust in ROI 1, smoke aerosols in ROI 2 exhibit huge contrast between dry and wet season. Irrespective of regions, the lightning exhibits large diurnal 45 variation with an afternoon peak and strong seasonality with a summertime peak, while the 46 47 pronounced differences in lightning under relatively clean and polluted conditions signify the 48 potential influences of aerosols. Lightning is dictated mostly by RH and CAPE in the dust dominant region (ROI 1). In the smoke dominant region (ROI 2), the effect of SLP is also 49 50 significant. Systematic changes of boomerang shape are found in lightning frequency with AOD,





- 51 with a turning point at around AOD=0.3, below which lightning flashes increase monotonously
- 52 with increasing AOD in both ROIs. As AOD approaches the optimal value, lightning activity seems
- 53 to be saturated under smoky condition, likely due to the tradeoff between the aerosol invigoration
- effect and the radiative effect that tends to enhance and suppress lightning, respectively. In contrast,
- 55 lightning activity in ROI_1 is suppressed by dust aerosol presumably due to the more dominant
- 56 radiative heating effect of dust aerosol under dry environment.





57 **1.Introduction**

Lightning can be considered as a key indicator of strong atmospheric convection [Betz et al., 58 2008], generally accompanied with a concomitant severe storms. Lightning activities have been 59 linked with two major factors: meteorology and aerosols (e.g. Bell et al., 2009; Bell et al., 2008; 60 61 Boccippio et al., 2000; Christian et al., 2003; Farias et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2016; Lucas et al., 1994; Orville et al., 2001; Williams and Stanfill, 2002; Williams et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2005). 62 63 Since the pioneering work in 1990's by Westcott (1995) who attempted to link the summertime cloud-to-ground lightning activity to anthropogenic activities, the roles of aerosols in lightning 64 65 have been increasingly recognized, as comprehensively reviewed on the topic associated with aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions (e.g. Tao et al., 2012, Fan et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; 66 67 2017a). The aerosol effect can be generally divided into radiative and microphysical effects (Boucher et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017b). The radiative effect suggests aerosols can heat the 68 atmospheric layer and cool the surface by absorbing and scattering solar radiation, thereby 69 reducing the latent heat flux and stabilizing the atmosphere (Kaufman et al., 2002; Koren et al., 70 2004; Koren et al., 2008; Li et al., 2017a). As a consequence, convection and electrical activities 71 72 are likely inhibited (Koren et al., 2004). By acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) with the constrained water, increasing aerosols loading tends to reduce the mean size of cloud droplets, 73 74 suppress coalescence and delay the onset of warm-rain processes (Rosenfeld and Lensky, 1998), which permits more liquid water to ascend higher into mixed phased region where it fuels lightning. 75 76 A conspicuous enhancement of lightning activity was found to be tightly connected to volcanic





77	ashes over the western Pacific Ocean (Yuan et al., 2011) and aerosol emissions from ships over the
78	equatorial Indian Ocean (Thornton et al., 2017). In terms of the response of clouds to aerosols, an
79	optimal aerosol concentration was found to exist, based on observation analysis (Koren et al., 2008;
80	Wang et al., 2015) and a simple parcel model calculation (Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Biomass burning
81	activities, anthropogenic emission and desert dust are the three major atmospheric aerosol sources
82	(Rosenfeld et al., 2001; Li et al. 2011; Fan et al. 2018), which are recognized to have different
83	climate effects. The increased rainfall in the south China and drought in the north China are thought
84	to be related with increased black carbon aerosols (Menon et al., 2002) while the effect of dust on
85	cloud properties tends to decrease precipitation from a feedback loop (Rosenfeld et al., 2001).
86	However, most studies on aerosol-convection interactions account for aerosol burden (i.e.
87	AOD, the number concentration of aerosol, PM2.5, or CCN) rather than aerosol species. It was not
88	until recently that the effect of aerosol species in modulating lightning activities was investigated
89	(e.g. Stolz et al., 2015; 2017), which prompts us to perform more detailed analyses in this study.
90	The lightning and convection strength are controlled by various meteorological variables and
91	indices such as air temperature (T) (Price, 1993; Williams, 1994; 1999), the convective available
92	potential energy (CAPE) and its vertical distribution (normalize CAPE, NCAPE) (Bang and Zipser,
93	2016; Stolz et al., 2015), vertical wind shear (SHEAR) (Fan et al., 2009; 2013; Igel and Heever,
94	2015; Khain et al., 2008), relative humidity (RH) in the lower and middle troposphere (Fan et al.,
95	2007; Wall et al., 2014), cloud base height (Williams et al., 2005), updraft velocity (Williams et
96	al., 2005; Zipser and Lutz, 1994), and warm cloud depth (Stolz et al., 2015, 2017).

97 Depending on aerosol properties and atmospheric conditions, aerosols may enhance (Fan et al.,





- 2007; Khain et al., 2005; 2008) or suppress convection (Khain et al., 2004; Rosenfeld, 2000; 98 99 Rosenfeld et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2006). In general, aerosols tend to suppress convection for isolated clouds formed in relatively dry condition but to invigorate convection for convective 100 systems inside a moist environment. Under conditions of strong vertical wind shear, aerosols tend 101 102 to reduce the strength of single deep convection clouds due to higher detrainment and larger evaporation of cloud hydrometeors (Richardson et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2009), thereby altering the 103 lightning activities (Altaratz et al., 2010; Farias et al., 2014). Apart from invigoration effect 104 105 induced by aerosol, lightning activities are enhanced by increases in NCAPE and SHEAR, but inhibited by increasing RH and warm cloud depth (Stolz et al., 2015). 106
- Most previous studies were based on short-term data, while we try to employ long-term (11 107 years) lightning and meteorological data to investigate and quantify the relative roles of aerosol 108 and meteorology on lightning. Section 2 describes the dataset and method used in this study, 109 Section 3 shows regions of interest, and Section 4 examines (1) long-term climatological features 110 of lightning and aerosol, (2) differences of lightning, AOD, meteorological variables under 111 112 relatively clean and polluted conditions, (3) response of lightning to meteorology, (4) contrast in the response of lighting to dust and smoke aerosol, and (5) relative roles of meteorology and AOD 113 in lightning activity, finally followed by a summary of the key findings in section 5. 114
- 115 2. Data and Method
- 116 2.1 Data

117 2.1.1 Lightning data





We employ lightning data from the Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) onboard Tropical Rainfall 118 119 Measuring Mission (TRMM), which is designed to acquire and investigate the distribution and variability of total lightning (i.e. intra-cloud and cloud-to-ground) on a global basis, span all 120 longitudes between 38°N-38°S, during day and night (Boccippio, 2002; Christian et al., 2003). 121 122 The LIS on TRMM monitors individual storms and storm systems at a nadir field of view exceeding 580 km×580 km with a detection efficiency of 69%-90%. We employ the gridded 123 lightning climatology dataset during a 11-year period (2003-2013) called the low resolution 124 125 monthly time series (LRMTS) that provides flash rate per month at a 2.5°×2.5° spatial resolution and is recorded in coordinated universal time (UTC). The low-resolution diurnal climatology 126 (LRDC) depicts the mean diurnal cycle in local solar time (LT) on the same spatial resolution 127 (Cecil et al., 2001; 2006; 2014) . 128

129 2.1.2 Aerosol data

Aerosol loading is characterized by aerosol optical depth (AOD), which is obtained from 130 131 observations collected by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard Aqua satellite that passes at \sim 13:30 local time (LT). Here, the level 3 atmosphere monthly global 132 133 product (MYD08 M3) on $1^{\circ}\times 1^{\circ}$ grid is used. This AOD is retrieved at 0.55 µm, based on dark target-deep blue combined algorithm, which is particular suitable over desert regions (Hubanks et 134 al., 2015; Levy et al., 2013). The Modern Era Retrospective-analysis for Research and Application 135 (MERRA) is a NASA meteorological reanalysis taking advantage of satellite data dated to 1979 136 till present using the Goddard Earth Observing System Data Assimilation System Version 5 137





- (GEOS-5). The assimilation of AOD in the GEOS-5 involves very careful cloud screening and
 data homogenization by means of a Neural Net scheme that translates MODIS radiances into
 Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) calibrated AOD. The MERRA Aerosol Re-analysis
 (MERRAero) provides dust, black carbon, organic carbon, and total extinction AOD, and the total
 Angstrom Exponent (AE) at a spatial resolution of 0.625°×0.5° (da Silva, et al., 2015). These data
 characterize aerosol species and particle size.
- 144 2.1.3 Meteorological data

Meteorological data utilized are from the Medium-Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF) 145 ERA-Interim reanalysis product (Dee et al., 2011), including the surface upward sensible heat flux 146 (SHF), surface upward latent heat flux (LHF), sea level pressure (SLP), 2m air temperature (T), 147 convective available potential energy (CAPE), relative humidity (RH), wind field at 925 hPa and 148 500 hPa (U, V), divergence at 200 hPa (Div) with a spatial resolution of 1°×1°. With reference to 149 the findings from previous studies, we choose the following factors to characterize meteorology: 150 151 1) Convective available potential energy (CAPE). CAPE is the most commonly used thermodynamic parameter, which describes the potential buoyancy available to idealized rising 152 153 air parcels and thus denotes the instability of atmosphere (Riemann-Campe et al., 2009; Williams, 1992). The bigger it is, the atmosphere is more unstable, and more favorable to the 154 occurrence and development of convection. Lightning activity increases with the CAPE 155 156 (Williams, 1992). The conversion efficiency of CAPE to updraft kinetic energy (Williams et al., 2005) depends on the strength and width of updraft. Unfortunately, we don't have any 157





- reliable updraft measurements to tackle with its role in this study.
- 2) Sea level pressure (SLP). It's widely known that pressure is a key meteorological factor affecting the weather, for it defines basic weather regimes. Low pressure systems are usually associated with strong winds, warm air, and atmospheric lifting, thus normally producing clouds, precipitation, and severe weather events such as storms and cyclones. The implication of summertime SLP anomalies in the tropical Atlantic region shows the inverse relationship between SLP and tropical cyclones (Knaff, 1997).
- 3) Potential temperature (θ). Many researchers have studied the role of air temperature in influencing lightning activities (Markson, 2003; Williams, 1992; 1994; 1999; Williams et al., 2005). However, the direct comparison of temperature for different regions is problematic because air temperature is systematic decline with altitude. We choose potential temperature instead, which corrects for the altitude dependence and provides a more meaningful comparison. Here, potential temperature θ is calculated from 2 m air temperature T (K):
- 171 $\theta = T(\frac{1000}{p})^{0.286}$ (1)
- 4) Relative humidity (RH). As one of the important thermodynamic factors, RH has been
 identified to affect the relationship between aerosols and convection (Fan et al., 2007; Fan et
 al., 2009; Khain and Lynn, 2009). It was found that for isolated clouds formed in a relative dry
 condition convection is suppressed by aerosols, whereas for convective systems inside a moist
 environment convection is invigorated (Khain and Lynn, 2009; Khain et al., 2008). The mean
 RH values at 700 and 500 hPa levels (mid-level RH) are employed in this study.
- 178 5) Wind Shear. The vertical shear of horizontal wind (U, V), hereafter simply referred as wind





shear or SHEAR, has been shown not only to affect the dynamical flow structures around and 179 180 within a deep convective cloud (Coniglio et al., 2006; Rotunno et al., 1988; Weisman and Rotunno, 2004), but also qualitatively determines whether aerosols suppress or enhance 181 convective strength (Fan et al., 2009). It is calculated from daily wind field at 925hPa and 182 183 500hPa as follows: 184

SHEAR =
$$\sqrt{(U_{500} - U_{925})^2 + (V_{500} - V_{925})^2}$$
 (2)

6) Divergence (Div). Air divergence is especially useful, because it can be linked to diabatic 185 186 heating processes, of which, the non-uniformity gives rise to atmospheric motion (Homeyer et al., 2014; Mapes and Houze, 1995). Fully developed clouds are usually accompanied by upper-187 level divergence especially in raining regions (Mapes and Houze, 1993). A pronounced 188 divergence maximum exists between 300 and 150 hPa due to deep convective outflow 189 (Mitovski et al., 2010). 190

Besides, bowen ratio (BR) is calculated from SHF and LHF to describe surface property: 191

192

$$BR = \frac{SHF}{LHF}$$
(3)

193 2.2 Method

194 2.2.1 Data collocation

A roughly three-month smooth average is chosen to allow LIS to progress through the diurnal 195 cycle at a given location twice (Cecil et al., 2014), and show the normal annual variation of 196 197 lightning activity due to the seasonal meridional migration of the intertropical convergence zone (Thornton et al., 2017; Waliser and Gautier, 1993). In order to match lightning data, all AOD and 198





- meteorological data are calculated by taking 3-month running mean and resampled to 2.5°×2.5°
 resolution grids in the climatic analysis. In order to make the comparison within the same AOD
 range and increase the number of data samples, climatological features of lightning, AOD and
 meteorology under polluted and clean conditions are limited to the cases with AOD<1.0 over both</p>
 ROIs. The top third of AOD is labeled as polluted case and the bottom lowest third is labeled as
 clean case. All data are sorted by AOD, and divided into three equal-sample subsets to create
 sufficient contrast between polluted and clean conditions.
- 206 2.2.2 Statistical analysis method

207 Correlation coefficients are used in statistics to measure how strong a relationship between 208 lightning flashes and one predictor (SLP, θ , RH, CAPE, SHEAR, Div, AOD). Pearson correlation 209 is commonly used in measuring linear correlation. And partial correlation is performed to control 210 other predictors and make it possible to study the effect of each predictor separately. The 211 correlation is considered to be significant when it passes the significant test at 0.05 level.

In order to explore the relative roles of meteorological variables and AOD in lightning activities, we use multiple-linear regression method follow previous studies (e.g. Igel and van den Heever, 2015; Stolz et al., 2017) and establish standardized regression equation for AOD greater and less than 0.3 respectively. This subsection regression is performed to reduce nonlinear effect of AOD. Note that, all data used here are processed by averaging 10 samples sorted by AOD from small to large to mitigate data uncertainties. The standardized regression equation with seven predictor variables $x_1, x_2,..., x_7$ (SLP, θ , RH, CAPE, SHEAR, Div, AOD) and a response y





219 (lightnng flashes), can be written as:

220
$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_i x_i$$
, $i = 1, \dots, 7$ (4)

- 221 Here, y and x_i are standardized variables, which are derived from raw variables Y and X_i by
- subtracting the sample mean (\overline{Y} , \overline{X}_{I}) and divided by the sample standard deviation (δ_{Y} , δ_{i}):

223
$$\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{Y} - \overline{\mathbf{Y}}}{\delta_{\mathbf{Y}}}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{i} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{X}_{i}}}{\delta_{i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 7$$
 (5)

224 The sample mean is calculated of N valid samples:

225
$$\overline{Y} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{N} Y_{j}}{N}, \quad \overline{X}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{N} X_{ji}}{N}, \quad i=1, ..., 7; \quad j=1, ..., N$$
 (6)

226 The sample standard deviation is:

227
$$\delta_{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{1}^{N}(Y_{j} - \overline{Y})^{2}}, \quad \delta_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{1}^{N}(X_{ji} - \overline{X}_{i})^{2}}, \quad i = 1, ..., 7; \quad j = 1, ..., N$$
 (7)

Standardized regression coefficients ignore the independent variables' scale of units, which make slop estimate comparable and show the relative weights to the changes of lightning flashes.

230 3. Region of Interest (ROI)

231 The northern and southern Africa has high aerosol loadings of dust and smoke aerosols respectively, as seen in Fig. 1. Northern Africa is the world's largest source of mineral dust 232 233 (Lemaître et al., 2010), with the most widespread, persistent dust aerosol plumes and the most dense particulate contribution found on Earth (Prospero et al., 2002). It has been estimated about 234 235 2-4 billion tons of blown dust globally comes from the Saharan desert (Goudie and Middleton, 2001). Dust of relevance to atmospheric processes are the minerals that can be readily suspended 236 by wind (Shao, 2008) with particle size up to 70 µm. Besides, Africa is also the single largest 237 238 source of smoke emissions due to widespread biomass burning, accounting roughly for 30 to 50%





239	of the total amount of vegetation burned globally each year (Andreae, 1991; Roberts et al., 2009;
240	van der Werf et al., 2003; 2006). In Central and Southern Africa, biomass burning due to wildfires
241	and human-set fires has strong diurnal and seasonal variability (Ichoku et al., 2016; Roberts et al.,
242	2009).
243	The upper left panel of Figure 1 shows the global distribution of mean aerosol optical depth
244	(AOD) from the MODIS onboard Aqua satellite during the period from 2003 to 2013. The lower
245	left panel is the Angstrom Exponent (AE) obtained from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis
246	for Research and Application (MERRA) Aerosol Reanalysis (MERRAero) at a $0.625^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ spatial
247	resolution for analysis of contributions from different aerosol species, chiefly, dust, black carbon
248	(BC), organic carbon (OC) and total extinction AOD. Note that the satellite retrievals of the AE
249	have too large uncertainties over land. The Africa stands out very distinctly with very large AOD
250	in two regions: Sahara covered by dust (the upper right panel) and central to south (lower right
251	panel) Africa by smoke, characterized by small and large values of angstrom index (lower left
252	panel). Due to their distinct differences in aerosol species, they are selected as the regions of our
253	interest ROI_1 and ROI_2 (Fig. 2a). The ratios of dust (ROI_1) or (BC+OC) (ROI_2) extinction
254	AOD to total extinction AOD are larger than 50% averaged over the period 2003-2013, which
255	enables us to study any different effects on lightning activities.

256 4. Results and Discussion

257 4.1 Long-term climatological features of lightning and aerosol

Figure 2a shows the mean wind vector at 850 hPa from the ERA-Interim re-analysis at a spatial





259	resolution of $1^{\circ \times 1^{\circ}}$ over the Africa and the neighbouring ocean over the region defined in Fig. 1
260	(red rectangle). Figure 2 also shows the the diurnal cycle (b) and monthly variation (c, d) of AOD
261	and lightning flashes as caculated under relatively clean and polluted (dusty/smoky) conditions
262	over dust dominant region (ROI_1) and smoke aerosol dominant region (ROI_2) with color orange
263	and black, respectively. Note that AOD used hereinafter is derived from MODIS, and the lowest
264	(highest) third of AOD is labeled as clean (polluted) condition. The diurnal curves in Fig. 2b show
265	the same afternoon peak in lightning activities, which is in accordance with the strong convection
266	in terms of both the number and intensity of convective clouds in afternoon over land (Nesbitt and
267	Zipser, 2003). Over both ROI_1 and ROI_2, the lightning peak times under polluted (dusty/smoky)
268	conditions are delayed by about 1h compared with that under clean condition. This result is
269	consistent with the obsevation-based finding in southern China (Guo et al., 2016) and model
270	simulation results by Lee et al. (2016). Numerious studies have noted that aerosols modulate
271	convection and lightning activities through both radiative and microphysical processes, as
272	reviewed extensively by Li et al. (2016) in Asia and around the world (Li et al., 2017b). When we
273	look into the monthly variation, dust loading changes little through the whole year (Fig. 2c), while
274	smoke shows pronounced seasonal variation of a huge contrast between dry and wet seasons (Fig.
275	2d). Lightning in both regions is most active in summer and rarely occurs in winter, which implies
276	that lightning activity is mainly controlled by thermodynamic conditions. Besides, also shown in
277	Fig. 2 is the apparent enhancement of lightning activity under smoky conditions superimposed on
278	both the diurnal and seasonal cycles. Under dusty conditions, however, the impact is much weaker.
279	Apart from different aerosol effects, different climate conditions between dust and smoke aerosol





- dominant region may also contribute. A key factor is relative humidity which is much lower over the desert region of ROI_1 (BR>10) than over the moist region of ROI_2 covered with rain forest (BR<0.4). It's widely known that high values of relative humidity are more favorable for the invigoration effect and vice versa (Fan et al., 2008; 2009; Khain, 2009; Khain et al., 2008), which is likely a major cause for the difference. Besides, ROI_1 locates in the vicinity of the African Easterly Jet (AEJ) (Burpee, 1972) while ROI_2 locates in the ITCZ (Waliser and Gautier, 1993) that lead to differences in wind shear and instability.
- 287 4.2 Response of lightning to meteorology

288 As thermodynamic condition is considered to play the main role in lightning diurnal and seasonal variation. Firstly, we have a look at the response of lightning to thermodynamic condition 289 which is characterized by six meteorological variables (SLP, SHEAR, θ , CAPE, RH and Div). 290 Violin plot is an effective and attractive way to visualize the distribution of the data and the shape 291 of distribution that allows quick and insightful comparison of multiple distributions across several 292 293 levels of categorical variables, and is used in the analysis between lightning and meteorological 294 variables. It synergistically combines the box plot and the density trace into a single display (Hintze 295 and Nelson, 1998).

Figure 3 shows the linear relationships between lightning flashes and six meteorological variables in ROI_1. CAPE, RH and Div are the top three meteorological variables strongly and positively correlated with lightning flashes (R>0.7), which suggests that high RH and CAPE are conductive to the development of intense convection and lightning occurrence that is always





- associated high level divergence. One thing to notice is the variable density shape in Fig. 3f. The bimodal distribution indicates that small to moderate high level divergence may due to atmosphere movement in clear sky or in-cloud with smaller updraft velocity that doesn't produce lightning, while large divergence usually characterize strong upward movement which is closely associated with lightning activity. Figures 3a, e show inverse correlations of lightning flashes to SLP and SHEAR and Figure 3b shows weak correlation to θ , all with quite small correlation coefficients that can not be considered as correlated.
- 307 Figure 4 shows the linear correlations between lightning flashes and six meteorological variables associated with strong convection for ROI 2. It is evident that RH, CAPE, and Div are 308 309 positively associated with the occurrence of lightning, in sharp contrast to SLP, θ , and SHEAR that are negatively connected with lightning. In particular, Figures 4a, c, d, e show that CAPE, RH, 310 Div, and SLP are significantly correlated with lightning (|R| > 0.75, p<0.05), indicating that these 311 four meteorological variables could be the major factors modulating the changes in lightning. By 312 comparison, the weak linear relationship exists between lightning and θ (R=0.47), which is case 313 314 for the relationship between lighting and SHEAR (R=-0.08), indicative of their minor effect on lightning (Figs. 4b, 4g). Note that the correlation coefficients obtained here can only describe the 315 possible dependencies between lightning and meteorological variables, which cannot imply the 316 317 causal relationship.
- In order to give a visual comparison of ROI_1 and ROI_2, we show the spatial distribution of correlation coefficients between lightning and meteorological variables. Spatially, Figure 5 shows that lightning flashes are well correlated with RH, CAPE, and Div throughout ROI_1 and ROI_2.





- 321 After we constrain the variations of AOD and other five meteorological variables, partial
- 322 correlation analyses show that only CAPE and RH are the top two factors affecting lightning
- 323 activities (Fig. 6).
- 324 4.3 Contrast in the response of lighting to dust and smoke aerosol

Aerosols can modulate lightning activities through participating in radiative and microphysical processes. The roles of dust and smoke aerosols in modulating lightning activity are examined in Fig. 2 and Fig. 7. The diurnal cycle of lightning flashes in Fig. 2 shows that, for both dust and smoke aerosols, the lightning peak times under polluted conditions are delayed by about 1h. Besides, smoke aerosols tend to apparently enhance lightning activity on both diurnal and seasonal cycles, while the impact of dust is much weaker.

331 Figure 7 shows that from clean to polluted condition, lightning response to AOD in an apparent boomerang shape (Koren et al., 2008) in both dust and smoke aerosol dominant regions, with a 332 turning point around AOD=0.3. In order to reduce the effect of non-linearity, data are divided into 333 two subsets before and after the turning point when perform correlation and regression. For AOD 334 335 less than 0.3, lightning flashes increase monotonously with increasing aerosol loading in both 336 regions. Clusters of the data are aligned around the regression lines rather tightly, implying that lightning flashes are strongly and positively correlated (R>0.75) with AOD. However, for AOD 337 338 exceeding 0.3, the data points are more scattered and the trend is reversed, which imply that under large aerosol loadings, lightning is mainly influenced by other factors, such as meteorology. 339 340 Specifically, under smoky conditions, the correlation coefficient becomes negative (-0.15) and fails





the significant significance test at 0.01 level, suggesting a suppressing effect is yielding to the invigoration effect. Under dusty conditions, the negative correlation is more significant with a correlation coefficient of -0.41, suggesting a stronger suppression under heavy dust conditions (Farias et al., 2014). Besides, we can easily find that lightning activity is much more intense in smoke aerosol dominant region that located in ITCZ where air is hot and humid, regardless of aerosol loading. In contrast, dust dominant region is much drier that is not so easy to produce intense convection and lightning.

348 4.4 Environmental dependence of aerosol effect

349 To further clarify the joint influences of meteorology and aerosols on lightning activity, the distribution of lightning flashes with AOD and the top two influential meteorological variables RH 350 and CAPE (based on the results in Figs. 3-6) are examined in Fig. 8. Lightning flashes are classified 351 into 100 discrete cells by ten decile bins of AOD - CAPE, AOD - RH, and CAPE - RH 352 respectively, which ensures approximately equal sample sizes among the cells. The mean values 353 354 are calculated in each cell. Results show that, for each CAPE bin, lightning flashes increase with 355 increasing aerosol loadings under relatively clean condition, but decrease after the turning point around AOD=0.3 in both regions. When AOD is fixed, lightning flashes monotonically increase 356 with CAPE. Irrespective of aerosol loadings and regions, lightning rarely occurs when CAPE is 357 less than 100 J kg⁻¹ from a climate perspective, that accounts for about half of the data in ROI 1. 358 Systematically higher CAPE in ROI 2 induces more intense lightning activity than in ROI 1. 359 360 However, lightning flashes response to RH in different ways for ROI 1 and ROI 2 when AOD is





361	fixed (Figs. 8b, 8d). In dust dominant region, lightning flashes monotonically increase as RH
362	increases under any level of aerosol loadings, but changes a little as AOD increases in each RH
363	bin. This suggests that, apart from CAPE, RH is another constraint of lightning activity in ROI_1.
364	But for smoke aerosol dominant region, high lightning flashes appear in the environment of
365	moderate RH and high aerosol loadings. When RH is fixed, the response of lightning flashes to
366	AOD also shows a turning point in AOD around AOD=0.3, beyond 0.3, lightning flashes remain
367	high value. When looking into the common role of RH and CAPE on lightning, the data distributes
368	along the diagonal which shows that RH is highly correlated with CAPE, and they affect lightning
369	activity in the same direction. Generally, intense lightning activity occurs under the condition of
370	both high RH (>40%) and high CAPE (>100 J kg ⁻¹) in ROI_1. In ROI_2, high CAPE and high RH
371	are still conductive to lightning production, but data disperse in a larger range, which suggests that
372	the correlation of RH and CAPE are not as high as in ROI_1, and the restriction of RH is reduced.
373	As shown in Fig. 2 and Figs. 7, 8, the differences of lightning response to aerosols in ROI_1
374	and ROI_2 may also contribute to different climate conditions. In order to isolate the signal
375	attributed to aerosol loadings from that attributed to environmental forcing, lightning flashes are
376	separated by six meteorological variables (SLP, SHEAR, θ , CAPE, RH and Div) respectively.
377	Figure 9 shows the differences of lightning flashes between polluted and clean conditions (polluted
378	minus clean datasets) as a function of SLP, SHEAR, θ , CAPE, RH and Div. Generally, there are
379	more lightning flashes for all these meteorological variables under polluted conditions when
380	compared with that under clean conditions in both ROI_1 and ROI_2. All the lighting enhancement
381	under polluted conditions are highly significant (>99%), based on Student's test. The differences





- of lightning flashes between polluted and clean conditions are smaller in ROI_1 than in ROI_2.
 Besides, to note that, when SLP gets lower and RH gets larger, the differences of lightning flashes
 (polluted minus clean datasets) becomes larger. This suggests that under conductive condition,
 aerosols are more likely to participate in cloud process, convection development and thus modulate
 lightning activity.
- 387 4.5 Relative roles of meteorology and AOD in lightning

The response of lightning to changes in AOD may indicate an aerosol effect on lightning activity, but can also be the result of meteorology impacting on aerosol loadings and cloud microphysical process that is closely associated with lightning production. To further explore this complex process, the correlation of aerosol—lightning, meteorological variables—lightning, aerosol-meteorological variables were examined before and after the turning point (AOD=0.3) respectively, results are shown in Fig. 10.

For clean condition (AOD<0.3) in the ROI 1, all meteorological variables and AOD show 394 good correlations with lightning (Pearson1: $|\mathbf{R}| > 0.5$). Considering the interaction between 395 aerosol and meterology, the correlation coefficients between AOD and six meteorological 396 variables are calculated. Results show strong and positive correlation between AOD and RH, 397 398 CAPE, Div, θ (Pearson2: R>0.6), negative correlation between AOD and SLP, SHEAR (in order of correlation strength, so as the following). To investigate the relative roles of these variables 399 (AOD and six meteorological variables), we carry out partial correaltion analyses between 400 lightning and any influencial factor while constraining all the others, and establish the standardized 401





402	multiple regression equation, of which the coefficients represent the relative importance of each
403	factor. After the common effects are constrained, partial correlation coefficients are much smaller
404	compared to Pearson correlation coefficients, and the correlation between lightning and SLP, θ ,
405	AOD are not significant any more. It's envisioned that lightning doesn't respond much to dust
406	aerosols directly, but dust can affect convection and lightning activities through modulating
407	meterological variables. From these analyses, the top three factors are found to be RH, CAPE, and
408	Div for dust dominant region under relatively clean condition. For clean ROI_2, the analyses show
409	strong positive correlation between lightning and CAPE, AOD, Div (Pearson1: $ R > 0.7$), strong
410	negative correlation between lightning and SLP (Pearson1: R=-0.94), weak negative correlation
411	between lightning and θ , SHEAR (Pearson1: $ R < 0.4$). Main interplay exists between AOD and
412	SLP, CAPE (Pearson2: $ R > 0.75$). The partial correlation coefficients and coefficients of
413	standardized multiple regression equation reveal top three factors: CAPE, AOD, and RH (Partial:
414	R>0.35). Different from RH as the top restraint facotr in dust dominant region, here it plays a
415	smaller role in the humid environment. Besides, AOD becomes more important. In both regions,
416	aerosols correlate well with meterology, which could be the reason for the tight cluster distribution
417	for AOD less than 0.3 in Fig. 9. CAPE measures the amount of moist static energy that is available
418	to drive the convection (Rosenfeld et al., 2008). The high correlation between AOD and CAPE in
419	both regions suggests that aerosol might modulate environment variables and participate in cloud
420	microphysical process. A possible explanation is that more aerosols acting as CCN, lead to
421	narrower cloud droplet size spectrum, delay warm-rain process, allow more liquid water to ascend
422	higher into mixed-phase cloud, thus release more laten heat, produce more unstable atmosphere





- 423 and larger CAPE which is conductive to convection development. The aerosol invigoration effect
- 424 may play the key role during this stage (AOD<0.3).

Under polluted conditions, CAPE and RH are still of paramount importance for lightning 425 activity (Pearson1: R>0.8; Partial: R>0.35), but the correlation between aerosol and meterology 426 427 are weakened. This weak connection between aerosol and meterology results in a large dispersion of lighting flashes under polluted conditions in both regions. The most important finding appears 428 to be that the negative correlation of AOD with CAPE (Pearson2: R=-0.51), and RH (Pearson2: 429 430 R=-0.33) in ROI 1 suggests that increasing dust aerosols may make environment drier, and atmosphere more stable through aerosol radiative effect which leads to the suppression of 431 convection and lightning. While in ROI 2, AOD is negatively correlated with RH (Pearson2: R=-432 0.24) and θ (Pearson2: R=-0.74) which indicate the similar role of smoke aerosols in making 433 environment drier and surface cooling through radiative effect. But, there's no significant 434 correlation between AOD and CAPE (Pearson2: R=0, p>0.05), which may imply the radiative 435 effect and invigoration effect are comparable under heavy loading codition. 436

437 5. Conclusions

Depending on specific environmental condition, aerosols are able to invigorate or suppress convection induced lightning activity in previous studies, the majority being case based. The controversial conclusions motivate us to study the long-term effects of aerosols and meteorology on lightning activity. Here, meteorology is characterized by six variables: sea level pressure (SLP), potential temperature (θ) at 2 m above ground level, mid-level relative humidity (RH), convective





availabe potential energy (CAPE), vertical wind shear (SHEAR), and 200 hPa divergence (Div). 443 444 The current study investigates the response of lightning activity to meteorology and AOD over dust and smoke dominant regions from a climatological perspective. In particular, 11-year (2003-445 2013) worth of coincident data are used, including lightning data from LIS/TRMM, AOD from 446 447 MODIS/Aqua, and meteorological variables from ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis. Climatological features of diurnal and seasonal variations of lightning flashes show the peak in the 448 afternoon and local summer respectively, which suggests a dominant role by thermodynamics, 449 450 while the differences in lightning under relatively clean and polluted conditions signify the potential influences of aerosols. Specifically, under all stratified meteorological environments, 451 452 lightning flashes are larger under polluted conditions than under clean condition. And the differences of lightning flashes in ROI 2 are larger than in ROI 1. Besides, lightning flashes 453 increased much more when SLP gets lower and RH gets larger. 454

Despite complex and diverse climatic conditions, the response of lightning to dust and smoke 455 aerosols show in a boomerang shape with an optimum value of AOD around 0.3. We performed 456 457 correlation analysis and standardized multiple regression analysis in attempt to constrain the effects of meteorology, and quantify the relative roles of these factors in modulating lightning. 458 Under relative clean conditions, standardized multiple regression coefficients of meteorology and 459 AOD on lightning in both regions exhibit $R_M^2 \ge 0.92$, with RH and CAPE being the top two 460 determinant factors. The contribution of RH is comparable with that of CAPE in dust dominant 461 region, and less in smoke aerosols dominant region. The narrow distribution and high correlation 462 between aerosol and meteorology imply that the impact of AOD on lighting activities is likely to 463





464	be exerted through microphysical effect which modulate meteorological variables. Beyond the
465	optimum value, lightning shows more dispersed distribution and has much weaker dependence on
466	AOD, which may be the consequence of competition between aerosol microphysical effect and
467	radiative effect. Under smoky conditions, R_M^2 for the standardized multiple regression equation
468	diminishes to 0.77 with a strong negative correlation (r=-0.74) with θ , and weak negative
469	correlation with mid-level RH and no correlation with CAPE. Note that aerosol cools surface and
470	warms mid-level atmosphere through radiative effect, which may be less (for AOD<0.3), more
471	(AOD>0.3) or equal (AOD=0.3) to the aerosol microphysical effect. Under dusty condition, the
472	standardized equation has a higher R_M^2 (0.83), aerosol radiative effect plays a dominant role,
473	possibly leading to stable atmosphere, and suppression of convection and lighting. Lightning
474	responds to AOD in different ways between ROI_1 and ROI_2 mainly because of the different
475	humidity conditions. Totally, for dust dominant region, moisture is the maximum constraint, high
476	CAPE, high RH and moderate aerosol help intensify lightning activity. For smoke dominant region,
477	large values of CAPE, RH and AOD (up to 0.3) fuel lightning. However, the influences of state
478	variables or transient variables (SHEAR, Div) are largely filtered out from the climatological
479	perspective. Until now, we cannot totally filter out, but constrain the confounding effect of
480	meteorological variable on lightning based on observations alone. More insightful analyses are
481	warranted in the future based on a combination of state-of-the-art observations and convection-
482	revolved model simulation.

483

484





485 Acknowledgements

- 486 We gratefully acknowledge GES DISC, NASA DAAC and ECMWF for providing aerosol
- 487 information, lightning flashes, and meteorological data via public access. MODIS AOD is
- 488 downloaded from https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/search/. MERRAero are from
- 489 <u>https://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/MERRA/; lightning data from https://ghrc.nsstc.nasa.gov/hydro/, the</u>
- 490 ERA-Interim meteorological data from: <u>http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-moda/</u>.
- 491 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants
- 492 91544217 and 41771399, Ministry of Science and Technology under grants 2017YFC1501702 and
- 493 2017YFC1501401, and the Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (2017Z005).

494





495 **References**

- 496 Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Yair, Y., and Price, C.: Lightning response to smoke from Amazonian fires,
- 497 Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L07801, https//doi.org/10.1029/2010GL042679, 2010.
- 498 Andreae, M. O.: Biomass burning: its history, use, and distribution and its impact, in: Global
- Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications, MIT Press,
 Cambridge, MA, 3–21, 1991.
- 501 Bell, T. L., Rosenfeld, D., Kim, K. M., Yoo, J. M., Lee, M. I., and Hahnenberger, M.: Midweek
- increase in US summer rain and storm heights suggests air pollution invigorates rainstorms,
 J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(D2), https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008623, 2008.
- 504 Bell, T. L., Rosenfeld, D., and Kim, K. M.: Weekly cycle of lightning: evidence of storm
- invigoration by pollution, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(23), https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040915,
 2009.
- 507 Betz, H. D., Schumann, U., and Laroche, P.: Lightning: Principles, Instruments and Applications:
- 508Review of Modern Lightning Research, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- Boccippio, D. J.: Lightning scaling relations revisited, J. Atmos. Sci., 59(6), 1086–1104,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<1086:LSRR>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- 511 Boccippio, D. J., Goodman, S. J., and Heckman, S.: Regional differences in tropical lightning
- distributions, J. Appl. Meteorol., 39(12), 2231–2248, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
 0450(2001)040<2231:RDITLD>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- 514 Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.-M.,
- 515 Kondo, Y., Liao, H., Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., Satheesh, S.K., Sherwood, S., Stevens, B., and





- 516 Zhang, X.Y.: Clouds and Aerosols. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
- 517 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
- on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung,
- 519 A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- 520 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.
- 521 Burpee, R. W.: The origin and structure of easterly waves in the lower troposphere of North Africa,
- J. Atmos. Sci., 29(1), 77–90, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1972)029<0077:
 TOASOE>2.0.CO;2, 1972.
- 524 Cecil, D. J.: LIS/OTD 2.5 Degree Low Resolution Diurnal Climatology (LRDC) [indicate subset
- used]. Dataset available online from the NASA Global Hydrology Center DAAC, Huntsville,
- 526 Alabama, U.S.A, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/LIS/LIS-OTD/DATA307, 2001.
- 527 Cecil, D. J.: LIS/OTD 2.5 Degree Low Resolution Monthly Climatology Time Series (LRMTS)
- 528 [indicate subset used]. Dataset available online from the NASA Global Hydrology Center
- 529 DAAC, Huntsville, Alabama, U.S.A, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/LIS/LIS-OTD/DATA309,
 530 2006.
- Cecil, D. J., Buechler, D. E., and Blakeslee, R. J.: Gridded lightning climatology from TRMMLIS and OTD: dataset description, Atmos. Res., 135, 404–414,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.06.028, 2014.
- 534 Christian, H. J., Blakeslee, R. J., Boccippio, D. J., Boeck, W. L., Buechler, D. E., Driscoll, K. T.,
- Goodman, S. J., Hall, J. M., Koshak, W. J., and Mach, D. M.: Global frequency and
 distribution of lightning as observed from space by the Optical Transient Detector, J. Geophys.





- 537 Res. Atmos., 108(D1), https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002347, 2003.
- 538 Coniglio, M. C., Stensrud, D. J., and Wicker, L. J.: Effects of upper-level shear on the structure
- and maintenance of strong quasi-linear mesoscale convective systems, J. Atmos. Sci., 63(4),
- 540 1231–1252, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3681.1</u>, 2006.
- 541 da Silva, A. M., Randles, C. A., Buchard, V., Darmenov, A., Colarco, P. R., and Govindaraju, R.:
- 542 File Specification for the MERRA Aerosol Reanalysis (MERRAero). GMAO Office Note No.
- 543 7 (available from http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/office_notes), 2015
- 544 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda,
- 545 M., Balsamo, G., and Bauer, P.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance
- of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 137(656), 553–597,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- Fan, J., Zhang, R., Li, G., and Tao, W. K.: Effects of aerosols and relative humidity on cumulus
 clouds, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112(D14), https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008136, 2007.
- 550 Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Rosenfeld, D., Chen, Q., Li, Z., Zhang, J., and Yan, H.: Microphysical effects
- determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds, P. Natl.
- 552 Acad. Sci. USA, 110(48), E4581-E4590, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316830110</u>, 2013.
- 553 Fan, J., Yuan, T., Comstock, J. M., Ghan, S., Khain, A., Leung, L. R., Li, Z., Martins, V. J., and
- 554 Ovchinnikov, M.: Dominant role by vertical wind shear in regulating aerosol effects on deep
- 555 convective clouds, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 114(D22), https://doi.org/
- 556 10.1029/2009JD012352, 2009.
- 557 Fan J, Wang, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Liu, X.: Review of Aerosol-Cloud Interactions: Mechanisms,





- 558 Significance and Challenges. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(11):4221-
- 559 4252., doi:10.1175/JAS-D-16-0037.1, 2016.
- 560 Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Ding, Y., Leung, L. R., and Li, Z.: Potential aerosol indirect effects on
- 561 atmospheric circulation and radiative forcing through deep convection, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
- 562 **39(9)**, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051851, 2012.
- 563 Farias, W., Pinto Jr., O., Pinto, I., and Naccarato, K.: The influence of urban effect on lightning
- activity: evidence of weekly cycle, Atmos. Res., 135, 370–373,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.09.007, 2014.
- 566 Goudie, A., and Middleton, N.: Saharan dust storms: nature and consequences, Earth-Sci. Rev.,
- 567 56(1-4), 179-204, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(01)00067-8</u>, 2001.
- 568 Guo, J., Deng, M., Lee, S. S., Wang, F., Li, Z., Zhai, P., Liu, H., Lv, W., Yao, W., and Li, X.:
- 569 Delaying precipitation and lightning by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta. Part I:
- 570 Observational analyses, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121(11), 6472-6488,
- 571 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023257, 2016.
- Hintze, J. L., and Nelson, R. D.: Violin plots: a box plot-density trace synergism, The American
 Statistician, 52(2), 181–184, https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1998.10480559, 1998.
- 574 Homeyer, C. R., Schumacher, C., and Hopper Jr., L. J.: Assessing the applicability of the tropical
- 575 convective-stratiform paradigm in the extratropics using radar divergence profiles, J. Climate,
- 576 27(17), 6673–6686, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00561.1</u>, 2014.
- 577 Hubanks, P., Platnick, S., King, M., and Ridgway, B.: MODIS Atmosphere L3 gridded product
- algorithm theoretical basis document (atbd) & users guide, ATBD reference number ATBD-





579 MOD-30, NASA, 125, 2015.

- 580 Ichoku, C., Ellison, L. T., Willmot, K. E., Matsui, T., Dezfuli, A. K., Gatebe, C. K., Wang, J.,
- 581 Wilcox, E. M., Lee, J., and Adegoke, J.: Biomass burning, land-cover change, and the
- 582 hydrological cycle in Northern sub-Saharan Africa, Environ. Res. Lett., 11(9),
- 583 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/095005, 2016.
- 584 Igel, M. R., and Heever, S. C.: The relative influence of environmental characteristics on tropical
- deep convective morphology as observed by CloudSat, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120(9),
 4304–4322, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022690, 2015.
- 587 Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre, D., Holben, B., Mattoo, S., Remer, L., Eck, T., Vaughan, J., and Chatenet,
- B.: Aerosol radiative impact on spectral solar flux at the surface, derived from principal-plane
 sky measurements, J. Atmos. Sci., 59(3), 635–646, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
 0469(2002)059<0635:ARIOSS>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- 591 Khain, A. P.: Notes on state-of-art investigation of aerosol effects on precipitation: A critical review,
- 592 Environ. Res. Lett., 4, 015004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/1/015004, 2009.
- 593 Khain, A., and Lynn, B.: Simulation of a supercell storm in clean and dirty atmosphere using
- 594 weather research and forecast model with spectral bin microphysics, J. Geophys. Res. -
- 595 Atmos., 114(D19), https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011827, 2009.
- 596 Khain, A., Pokrovsky, A., Pinsky, M., Seifert, A., and Phillips, V.: Simulation of effects of
- 597 atmospheric aerosols on deep turbulent convective clouds using a spectral microphysics
- 598 mixed-phase cumulus cloud model. Part I: Model description and possible applications, J.
- 599 Atmos. Sci., 61(24), 2963–2982, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-3350.1</u>, 2004.





- 600 Khain, A., Rosenfeld, D., and Pokrovsky, A.: Aerosol impact on the dynamics and microphysics
- 601 of deep convective clouds, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 131(611), 2639–2663,
 602 https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.04.62, 2005.
- 603 Khain, A., BenMoshe, N., and Pokrovsky, A.: Factors determining the impact of aerosols on
- surface precipitation from clouds: an attempt at classification, J. Atmos. Sci., 65(6), 1721-
- 605 1748, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAS2515.1</u>, 2008.
- Knaff, J. A.: Implications of summertime sea level pressure anomalies in the tropical Atlantic
 region, J. Climate, 10(4), 789–804, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<0789:
- 608 IOSSLP>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Remer, L. A., and J. V. Martins, J. V.: Measurement of the effect of
 Amazon smoke on inhibition of cloud formation, Science, 303(5662), 1342–1345,
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1089424, 2004.
- 612 Koren, I., Martins, J. V., Remer, L. A., and Afargan, H.: Smoke invigoration versus inhibition of
- 613
 clouds over the Amazon, Science, 321(5891), 946–949,

 614
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1159185, 2008.
- Lee, S. S., Guo, J., and Li, Z.: Delaying precipitation by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta:
 Model simulations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121(19),
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024362, 2016.
- Lemaître, C., Flamant, C., Cuesta, J., Raut, J.-C., Chazette, P., Formenti, P., and Pelon, J.: Radiative
- heating rates profiles associated with a springtime case of Bodélé and Sudan dust transport
- 620 over West Africa, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(17), 8131–8150, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-





- 621 8131-2010, 2010.
- 622 Levy, R., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L., Remer, L., Sayer, A., Patadia, F., and Hsu, N.: The Collection
- 623 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean, Atmos. Measurement Tech., 6(11), 2989–
- 624 3034, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013, 2013.
- 625 Li, Z., Lau, W. M., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G., Ding, Y., Manoj, M., Liu, J., Qian, Y., Li, J., and Zhou,
- T.: Aerosol and monsoon climate interactions over Asia, Rev. Geophys., 54(4), 866–929,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000500, 2016.
- 628 Li Z., Guo, J., Ding, A., Liao, H., Liu, J., Sun, Y., Wang, T., Xue, H., Zhang, H., and Zhu, B.:
- 629 Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality. National Science Review, 4
- 630 (6), 810–833. doi: 10.1093/nsr/nwx117, 2017a.
- 631 Li, Z., Rosenfeld, D., and Fan, J.: Aerosols and their impact on radiation, clouds, precipitation, and
- 632 severe weather events, Oxford Research Encyclopedias,
 633 https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199389414.013.126, 2017b.
- Lucas, C., Zipser, E. J., and Lemone, M. A.: Vertical velocity in oceanic convection off tropical
 Australia, J. Atmos. Sci., 51(21), 3183–3193, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
 0469(1994)051<3183:VVIOCO>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- 637 Mapes, B., and Houze Jr., R. A.: An integrated view of the 1987 Australin monsoon and its
- 638 mesoscale convective systems. II: Vertical structure, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 119(512),
- 639 733–754, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711951207, 1993.
- Mapes, B. E., and Houze Jr., R. A.: Diabatic divergence profiles in western Pacific mesoscale
 convective systems, J. Atmos. Sci., 52(10), 1807–1828, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>





642 <u>0469(1995)052<1807:DDPIWP>2.0.CO;2</u>, 1995.

- 643 Markson, R.: Ionospheric potential variation from temperature change over continents, XII
- 644 International Conference on Atmospheric Electricity, Versailles, France, 2003.
- 645 Menon, S., Hansen, J., Nazarenko, L., and Luo, Y.: Climate effects of black carbon aerosols in
- 646 China and India, Science, 297(2250), 2002.
- 647 Mitovski, T., Folkins, I., Von Salzen, K., and Sigmond, M.: Temperature, relative humidity, and
- 648 divergence response to high rainfall events in the tropics: observations and models, J. Climate,
- 649 23(13), 3613–3625, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3436.1</u>, 2010.
- 650 Nesbitt, S. W., and Zipser, E. J.: The diurnal cycle of rainfall and convective intensity according
- to three years of TRMM measurements, J. Climate, 16(10), 1456–1475,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442-16.10.1456, 2003.
- 653 Orville, R. E., Huffines, G., Nielsen-Gammon, J., Zhang, R., Ely, B., Steiger, S., Phillips, S., Allen,
- 654 S., and Read, W.: Enhancement of cloud-to-ground lightning over Houston, Texas, Geophys.
- 655 Res. Lett., 28(13), 2597–2600, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL012990, 2001.
- 656 Price, C.: Global surface temperatures and the atmospheric electrical circuit, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
- 657 20(13), 1363–1366, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL01774, 1993.
- 658 Prospero, J. M., Ginoux, P., Torres, O., Nicholson, S. E., and Gill, T. E.: Environmental
- 659 characterization of global sources of atmospheric soil dust derived from the Nimbus 7 TOMS
- absorbing aerosol product, Rev. Geophys., 40(1), https://doi.org/10.1029/2000RG000095,
- 661 2002.
- 662 Richardson, Y. P., Droegemeier, K. K., and Davies-Jones, R. P.: The influence of horizontal





- 663 environmental variability on numerically simulated convective storms. Part I: Variations in
- 664 vertical shear, Mon. Weather Rev., 135(10), 3429–3455, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3463.1</u>,
- 665 2007.
- 666 Riemann-Campe, K., Fraedrich, K., and Lunkeit, F.: Global climatology of convective available
- 667 potential energy (CAPE) and convective inhibition (CIN) in ERA-40 reanalysis, Atmos. Res.,
- 668 93(1-3), 534–545, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.09.037</u>, 2009.
- 669 Roberts, G., Wooster, M., and Lagoudakis, E.: Annual and diurnal African biomass burning
- 670 temporal dynamics, Biogeosci., 6(5), https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-849-2009, 2009.
- 671 Rosenfeld, D., and Lensky, I. M.: Satellite-based insights into precipitation formation processes
- 672 in continental and maritime convective clouds, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 79(11), 2457-
- 673 2476, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1998)079<2457:SBIIPF>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- 674 Rosenfeld, D., Rudich, Y., and Lahav, R.: Desert dust suppressing precipitation: a possible
- desertification feedback loop, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 98(11), 5975–5980,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.101122798, 2001.
- 677 Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A.,
- and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation?, Science,
 321(5894), 1309–1313, https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.
- 680 Rotunno, R., Klemp, J. B., and Weisman, M. L.: A theory for strong, long-lived squall lines, J.
- 681
 Atmos.
 Sci.,
 45(3),
 463–485,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520

 682
 0469(2004)061<0361:ATFSLS>2.0.CO;2,
 1998.
- 683 Shao, Y.: Physics and Modelling of Wind Erosion, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.





- 684 Stolz, D. C., Rutledge, S. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Simultaneous influences of thermodynamics and
- aerosols on deep convection and lightning in the tropics, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120(12),
- 686 6207–6231, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD023033, 2015.
- 687 Stolz, D. C., Rutledge, S. A., Pierce, J. R., and Heever, S. C.: A global lightning parameterization
- based on statistical relationships among environmental factors, aerosols, and convective
- clouds in the TRMM climatology, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 7461–7492, https://doi.org/
- 690 10.1002/2016JD026220, 2017.
- 691 Tao, W. K., Li, X., Khain, A., Matsui, T., Lang, S., and Simpson, J.: Role of atmospheric aerosol
- 692 concentration on deep convective precipitation: cloud-resolving model simulations, J.
 693 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112(D24), https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008728, 2007.
- 694 Tao, W. K., Chen, J. P., Li, Z. Q., Wang, C., and Zhang, C. D.: Impact of aerosols on convective
- clouds and precipitation. Reviews of Geophysics, 50 (RG2001), doi: 10.1029/2011rg000369,
 2012.
- Thornton, J. A., Virts, K. S., Holzworth, R. H., and Mitchell, T. P.: Lightning enhancement over
 major oceanic shipping lanes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44(17), 9102–9111,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074982, 2017.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., and Giglio, L.: Carbon emissions from fires
- in tropical and subtropical ecosystems, Global Change Biology, 9(4), 547–562,
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00604.x, 2003.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., and Arellano Jr.,
- A. F.: Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions from 1997 to 2004, Atmos.





- 705 Chem. Phys., 6(11), 3423–3441, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3423-2006</u>, 2006.
- 706 Waliser, D. E., and Gautier, C.: A satellite-derived climatology of the ITCZ, J. Climate, 6(11),
- 707 2162–2174, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006<2162:ASDCOT>2.0.CO;2</u>, 1993.
- 708 Wall, C., Zipser, E., and Liu, C.: An investigation of the aerosol indirect effect on convective
- 709 intensity using satellite observations, J. Atmos. Sci., 71(1), 430-447,
- 710 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0158.1</u>, 2014.
- 711 Wang, F., Guo, J., Zhang, J., Huang, J., Min, M., Chen, T., Liu, H., Deng, M., and Li,X.: Multi-
- sensor quantification of aerosol-induced variability in warm cloud properties over eastern China,
 Atmospheric Environment, 113, 1-9, 2015.
- 714 Weisman, M. L., and Rotunno, R.: "A theory for strong long-lived squall lines" revisited, J. Atmos.
- Sci., 61(4), 361–382, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<0361:ATFSLS>2.0.CO:2</u>,
 2004.
- 717 Westcott, N. E.: Summertime cloud-to-ground lightning activity around major Midwestern urban
- areas, J. Appl. Meteorol., 34(7), 1633–1642, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450-34.7.1633</u>,
 1995.
- Williams, E.: Global circuit response to temperature on distinct time scales: a status report,
 Atmospheric and Ionospheric Phenomena Associated with Earthquakes, 939–949, 1999.
- Williams, E.: Lightning and climate: a review, Atmos. Res., 76(1-4), 272–287,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.11.014, 2005.
- 724 Williams, E., and Stanfill, S.: The physical origin of the land–ocean contrast in lightning activity,
- 725 Comptes Rendus Physique, 3(10), 1277–1292, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1631-</u>





726 <u>0705(02)01407-x</u>, 2002.

- 727 Williams, E., Chan, T., and Boccippio, D.: Islands as miniature continents: another look at the
- 728 land-ocean lightning contrast, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109(D16),
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003833, 2004.
- 730 Williams, E., Mushtak, V., Rosenfeld, D., Goodman, S. and Boccippio, D.: Thermodynamic
- 731 conditions favorable to superlative thunderstorm updraft, mixed phase microphysics and
- 732 lightning flash rate, Atmos. Res., 76(1-4), 288-306,
- 733 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.11.009</u>, 2005.
- 734 Williams, E. R.: The Schumann resonance: a global tropical thermometer, Science, 256(5060),
- 735 1184–1187, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.256.5060.1184, 1992.
- 736 Williams, E. R.: Global circuit response to seasonal variations in global surface air temperature,
- 737 Mon. Weather Rev., 122(8), 1917–1929, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
 738 0493(1994)122<1917:GCRTSV>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- Ye, B., Del Genio, A. D., and Lo, K. K.: CAPE variations in the current climate and in a climate
 change, J. Climate, 11(8), 1997–2015, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442-11.8.1997</u>, 1998.
- Yuan, T., Remer, L. A., Pickering, K. E., and Yu, H.: Observational evidence of aerosol enhancement of lightning activity and convective invigoration, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(4),
- 743 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046052, 2011.
- Zhao, C., Tie, X., and Lin, Y.: A possible positive feedback of reduction of precipitation and
 increase in aerosols over eastern central China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(11),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL025959, 2006.





- 747 Zipser, E. J., and Lutz, K. R.: The vertical profile of radar reflectivity of convective cells: a strong
- ⁷⁴⁸ indicator of storm intensity and lightning probability? Mon. Weather Rev., 122(8), 1751–1759,
- 749 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<1751:TVPORR>2.0.CO;2</u>, 1994.

750





751



752

Figure 1. Global spatial distribution maps of (a) AOD (550 nm) as derived from MODIS at a spatial resolution of 1°×1°, and (b) Total aerosol angstrom parameter (470-870 nm) from MERRA dataset on a 0.625°×0.5° grid for the period 2003-2013. Also shown is the distribution of the ratio of dust AOD to total AOD over the region of interest outlined in red box (in panel a), and panel d is same as panel c, but for the ratio of (BC+OC) AOD to total AOD. Dust, black carbon, organic carbon and total extinction AOD used here are all derived from MERRAero dataset (da Silva et al., 2015).







Figure 2. (a) The distribution of 850 hPa mean wind field from ERA-Interim re-analysis with a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ showing the prevailing wind direction over Africa and the neighbouring ocean over the region of interest (ROI) defined in Fig. 1. ROI_1 and ROI_2 (highlighted in black rectangles) are chosen with the ratio of dust or (BC+OC) extinction AOD to total extinction AOD being greater than 50% averaged over the period from 2003 to 2013, which enable us to better understand the potential effect of dust or smoke aerosols on lightning, respectively. Also shown are the diurnal cycle (b) and monthly variation (c, d) of AOD and lightning flashes as caculated under relatively clean and polluted (dusty/smoky) conditions in dust dominant region (ROI_1) and smoke dominant region (ROI_2), respectively. Unless noted otherwise, AOD used in this study is derived from MODIS, and the low resolution monthly time series (LRMTS) and the low resolution diurnal climatology (LRDC) products on a $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ grid (Ceil et al., 2001; 2006; 2014).







Figure 3. Violin plots of lightning dispersion showing the relationship of lightning with six meteorological variables (SLP, θ , RH, CAPE, SHEAR, Div) in ROI_1. The five bins are equally-spaced. Box plots represent the interquartile range (the distance between the bottom and the top of the box), median (the band inside the box), 95% confidence interval (whiskers above and below the box) of the data, maximum (the end of the whisker above), minimum (the end of the whisker below), mean (orange dot) in each bin. Red '+' represent outliers. On each side of the black line is the kernel estimation to show the distribution shape of the data. The estimate is based on a normal kernel function, and is evaluated at 100 equally spaced points. Wider sections of the violin plot represent a high probability that members of the population will take on the given value; the skinnier sections represent a lower probability. The equations show the linear relationships (orange lines) between lightning and meteorological variables. Also given are Pearson correlation coefficients (R), p values and linear regression lines (in orange).







Figure 4. Same as in Fig. 3, but for ROI_2. The mean values are represented by black dots, and the linear regression lines are shown in black.







Figure 5. The map of Pearson correlation coefficients between lightning flashes and (a) sea level pressure (SLP), (b) potential temperature (θ), (c) mid-level relative humidity (RH) , (d) mean convective available potential energy (CAPE), (e) vertical wind shear (SHEAR), and (f) 200 hPa divergence (Div) over Africa. ROI_1 and ROI_2 (see Fig. 2. left panel) are outlined with black boxes. '+' denotes thoses grids which pass the significance test of 0.05.







Figure 6. Same as in Fig. 5, but for partial correlation coefficients between lightning flashes and any meteorological variable while controlling AOD and the other five meteorological variables.







Figure 7. Scatter plot showing the response of lightning to dust or smoke aerosols in a boomerange shape in both ROI_1 and ROI_2. Turning points are around AOD=0.3. Regressions are calculated before and after the turning points in these two regions of interest. Regression equations, correlation coefficients (R), p values, and linear fitting curves are in black (orange) for ROI_1 (ROI_2). All data used here are processed with taking an average of 10 samples after ordering AOD from small to large to reduce the uncertainty caused by large dispersion of data .







Figure 8. Joint dependence of lightning flashes on CAPE, RH, and AOD in both ROI_1 (a-c) and ROI_2 (d-f), respectively. Bold number in each cell indicate the number of samples in each cell. Colorbar denotes the number of lightning flashes averaged in each cell.







Figure 9. Differences (polluted minus clean subsets of data) of lightning flashes as a function of (a)sea level pressure (SLP), (b) potential temperature (θ), (c) mid-level relative humidity (RH) , (d) convective available potential energy (CAPE), (e) vertical wind shear (SHEAR), (f) 200 hPa divergence (Div) in ROI_1 (in orange) and ROI_2 (in black). Note that, the top third of AOD is labeled as polluted case and the bottom third is labeled as clean case. Vertical error bars represent one standard deviation.







Figure 10. Correlations between AOD and meteorology, and between lightning and meteorology, AOD. (a,d) Pearson correlation coefficients between lightning and six meteorological variables and AOD (Pearson1). (b,e) Partial correlation coefficients between lightning and any influential factor (AOD or meteorological variable) while controlling the other variables (Partial). (c,f) Pearson correlation coefficients between AOD and any given meteorological variable (Pearson2). Those bars with black dots denote that they fail the statistical significance test at 95% confidence level. Also shown are standardized multiple regression equations of lightning (y) onto six meterological variables (x_1 - x_6) and AOD (x_7), and standardized multiple correlation coefficients (R_M). Six meterological variables are SLP (x_1), θ (x_2), RH (x_3), CAPE (x_4), SHEAR (x_5), and Div (x_6).