Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-231-RC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Impacts of compound extreme weather events on ozone in the present and future" by Junxi Zhang et al.

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 9 May 2018

The manuscript presents a modeling (WRF-Chem) analysis of the present and future effects of extreme weather events on ozone air quality in the US, China, and Europe, with a focus on the compound effect of the simultaneous occurrence of heat waves and atmospheric stagnation. The main conclusion is that the compound event has a larger effect on ozone than a single event and that the frequency of the compound event is projected to increase in the future climate (RCP8.5). This would require further reduction of anthropogenic emissions in the future in order to reduce high ozone episodes associated with increasing compound events. The analysis is thorough and discussions are adequate. The manuscript has innovative findings in that it focuses on compound events and uses the multi-model ensemble to project changes toward the end of the century. The manuscript is well organized and well written in most part. My

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



main comments below mostly concern with the clarity of the figures.

Main comments:

1) Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the main findings of the manuscript, but the two figures are too compact and the use of multiple panels decreases the clarity. I would suggest removal of the US map from both figures, as the definition of the regions is shown clearly in Figure 1. This should provide more spaces to highlight the data itself.

2) Figure 5 shows the frequency distribution of ozone for the event days and non-event days. Here the non-event days were defined separately with respect to each type of event; that is, there are three types of non-event days: no heatwave, no stagnation, and no compound event. By definition, the event days are only a small portion of the data sample, and thus the three types of non-event days largely overlap with each other. Indeed, I can hardly see the difference between the ozone distribution curves associated with each type of the non-event days. To reduce redundancy and improve the visual clarity, I would suggest combining the different non-event days into one type; that is the days without heatwave, stagnation, and compound events. This simplified definition could reduce the number of lines in Figure 5 and should also be a better definition of the contrast to the event days.

Technical comments:

Line 428: change "on compound event days" to "during compound event days"

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-231, 2018.



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

