

Interactive comment on “Modeling study of impacts on surface ozone of regional transport and emission reductions over North China Plain in summer 2015” by Xiao Han et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 2 June 2018

China is facing serious air pollution with high PM_{2.5}. Recently ozone (O₃) becomes the premier pollutant in summer replacing PM_{2.5}. This study investigates this important issue using the regional air quality modeling system RAMS-CMAQ. The ISAM module is used to track the O₃ from major pollution regions for the VOC and NO_x-sensitive O₃. The brute-force method is used to examine the sensitivity of O₃ to the reduction of precursor emission from different sectors, which can provide scientific basis for O₃ mitigation strategy. This work is in general a solid contribution to understanding of O₃ formation and transport at regional scales. I have the following major and minor comments on the manuscript. After the authors address my comments, I would recommend the acceptance of publication.

Major comments:

1. I would like the authors to add some discussions of the novelty of this study. In the introduction, the authors mentioned many previous studies on the similar topics. How does this study differ from previous studies?
2. It looks that the model still has obvious biases (shown in Figures 3 and 4). I would recommend the authors to add some detailed discussions on the potential factors for the model biases: emission, chemistry mechanism, physics, or model grid spacing? Is it possible to add a plot (figure) on VOC (or CO) validation of model results with observations (besides NO₂ and O₃ in Figures 3 and 4)?
3. The result of regional contributions of NO_x- and VOC-sensitive O₃ from different regions (Figures 6 and 7) is interesting. Will different regional contributions add up to be 100% at one given location (i.e., local and non-local contributions)? I would suggest to add a table to show the relative contributions to O₃ in several regions (e.g., Beijing, Hebei..) from different local and non-local regions. This will give the readers the idea of O₃ sources in different regions (local formation versus precursor transport).
4. Please give the reason for the non-linear change of O₃: why does O₃ increase in many locations when power-plant O₃ precursors are removed (Figure 9j)?

Minor comments:

1. Line 66. change "play a role" to "play an important role".
2. Line 77. change "deeply analyzed" to "thoroughly analyzed".
3. Line 85, change "severe" to "strict".
4. Line 89. "The amount of surface O₃ is expected to continue increasing as the particulate mass loading decreases due to the emission control strategies employed in the NCP". why? can you explain?
5. Line 103. "statistical response surface method". This is not clear.
6. Line 150. "TSSA"?
7. Line 168. "grid distance" to "grid spacing".
8. Line 225. "this observation". not clear.
9. Line 268. "Figure 7f" should be "Figure 8f".

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

2018.

ACPD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

