

Comments from anonymous Referee #1

General comments

Question 1: In the introduction, the scientific problems and significance should be more come to the point.

Reply: We have revised the Introduction of the manuscript to more clearly state the problem and importance (see Page 3, Line 5-12).

Question 2: Why data at heights of 2000-3000 m were used to analyzed the trajectories of dust transport?

Reply: The trajectories from dust sources were set at 2000-3000 m based upon observations of Cottle et al. (2013a) and Cottle et al. (2013b). They reported that strong winds can cause emission and transport of dust to altitudes of 2-3 km above the ground. The text was revised to indicate the reason for using 2-3 km data to analyze trajectories (Page 5, Line 30-32).

Question 3: How to exclude airborne dust in the U.S. from its local source?

Reply: We estimated dust emissions over Asia-Pacific region using the WRF-Chem model. Simulated emissions over the western U.S. are shown in Figure 9a and Table 3. The simulations showed that the major sources of dust were Arizona and Nevada in the western U.S. as well as northern Mexico. According to CALIPSO satellite observations and trajectory analyses, Asian dust was chiefly transported across the Pacific Ocean to the U.S. at middle and high latitudes and at high altitudes. We admit that separation of airborne dust over the U.S. from local sources is difficult. However, we believe the likely source was Asian dust due to zonal transport of high altitude dust from Asia as well as seemingly little dust remaining in the atmosphere after accounting for deposition over the southwestern U.S. and northern Mexico. We have added text that briefly describes the influence of local dust sources on airborne dust over the U.S. (see Page 11, Line 1-5).

Question 4: The transport process, such as migrating speed, impact and span on air quality at different localities, should be explained in more detail.

Reply: We've added a more details on transport process and the impact of dust transport on air quality in Section 3.1. (Page 6, Line 13 to Page7, Line8). Figure 2 was also updated.

Question 5: Please revise the method section to make it more concisely.

Reply: We have further revised this section and made it concisely (Section 2, Page 3-5).

Specific comments

Question 1: Page 1, Line 21: It's meaningless to write the number of cities directly.

Reply: The description on the number of environmental cities and meteorological stations has been deleted in the Abstract (Page 1, Line 16).

Question 2: Page 5, Line 23-24: What are those scheme used for?

Reply: Those parametrization schemes are used for the WRF-Chem model to carry out the numerical simulation in this study. We added those schemes description for readers who's interesting to the repeatability of numerical simulation.

Question 3: Page 5, Line 24-28: What is the real accuracy of the simulation?

Reply: The modelling result on dust event on 2-7 May, 2017 with WRF-Chem is reliable. Compared with the spatiotemporal change of PM concentration, AOD and meteorological observation records, the model captured the main characteristics of this dust event. We added the explanation in Page 7, Line 23-26. Moreover the parametrization schemes such as dust emission module Shao et al. (2011b) used in this study was widely applied in East Asia. We plan to prepare another paper focusing on the modelling this dust event by WRF-Chem.

Question 4: Page 6, Line 20-30: What indicator was used to determine the arrival of dust event in a place?

Reply: The indicator that determined the arrival of dust storm event is mainly according to the meteorological records observed at each meteorological station. The WMO made a criteria on the classification of dust weather by code, which described in the Section 2.1.3 (Page 4, Line 24-28).

Question 5: Page 9, Line 9-10: Some references are cited here. Is this result calculated by the authors or quoted by others?

Reply: The result of the iron amount during the dust event was calculated by ourselves. According to the references from Luo et al. (2005) and Mahowald et al. (2017), 3.5% of total aeolian dust is the iron. Thus 5.3 Tg of dust deposited over the North Pacific Ocean included approximately 0.19 Tg iron deposition. We added this explanation in Page 9, Line 21-23.

Question 6: Page 9, Line 1-8: I advise the authors to discuss the contribution of this event to annual dustfall in different cities of China.

Reply: Currently, the environmental monitoring deposition data in major cities of China in 2017 is not available. In addition, the deposition observation during the May 2017 dust event is not systematically carried out. Thus further comparison on the contribution of this dust event to annual dustfall in Chinese cities in 2017 is difficult to discuss due to scarce observation data. Comparison of modeled data during 2-7th May 2017 to long-term annual observation data (e.g. 1981-2004) would not be appropriate because meaningful comparisons must use the same time period.

Question 7: Page 9, Line 1-8: I advise the authors to compare the magnitude of dust deposition with other dust events.

Reply: We've compared the dust deposition magnitude of May 2017 Asian dust event with several other severe Asian dust storm events in revised manuscript of Section 3.4 (Page 9, Line 16-19). New references have been included in this section as:

Shao, Y., Jung, E., and Leslie, L.M.: Numerical prediction of northeast Asian dust storms using an integrated wind erosion modeling system, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(D24), 4814, doi:10.1029/2001JD001493, 2002.

Uematsu, M, Wang, Z.F., and Uno, I.: Atmospheric input of mineral dust to the western North Pacific region based on direct measurements and a regional chemical transport model, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 30(6), 1342, doi:10.1029/2002GL016645, 2003.

Han, Z., Ueda, H., Matsuda, K., Zhang, R., Arao, K., Kanai, Y., and Hasome, H.: Model study on particle size segregation and deposition during Asian dust events in March 2002, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109(D19205), doi:10.1029/2004JD004920, 2004.

Li, J., Han, Z., and Zhang, R.: Model study of atmospheric particulates during dust storm period in March 2010 over East Asia, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 3954-3964, 2011.

Tan, S-C., Li, J., Che, H., Chen, B., and Wang, H.: Transport of East Asian dust storms to the marginal seas of China and the southern North Pacific in spring 2010, *Atmospheric Environment*, 148, 316-328, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.10.054, 2017.

Question 8: Fig.1: I suggest the authors explain more in detail about the dust cloud migration from MODIS image.

Reply: We revised and added explanation in Section 3.1 (Page 6, Line 8-13).


Question 9: Fig.6: Please explain the maximum wind speed exactly, is it the daily maximum wind speed during the dust storm event?

Reply: The CMA provided surface wind speed of each meteorological observation station in every three hours. Therefore, the maximum wind speed in the Figure 6 is referred to the maximum wind speed at temporal resolution of three hours during the dust storm event (Page 4, Line 24-28).

Question 10: Fig.7: Could the authors add dust vertical distribution in the source regions?

Reply: The Figure 7 is the time series change of vertical dust distribution observed by ground-based Lidar. Currently we don't have the access of such ground-based Lidar data or product in East Asian source regions. Here we displayed vertical distribution of dust profile crossing the Gobi Desert sources regions by CALIPSO satellite observation as the following picture (see Figure. Response for Question 10).

CALIPSO satellite observation (May 2, 2017)



Response for Question 10. Vertical profiles of atmospheric features derived from CALIPSO satellite VFM data on 2 May, 2017. (ND=Not determined, CM=Clean marine, D=Dust, PC=Polluted continental, CC=Clean continental, PD=Polluted dust, S=Smoke, O=Other). Each Satellite trajectories of vertical profiles were also presented.

Question 11: Fig.9: The exact meaning of loading and deposition needs to make clear.
Reply: In Figure 9, the dust deposition is the total dust deposited onto surface of continent or ocean. The dust loading is vertical dust flux in a computational grid. Figure 9b is the hourly average dust loading. To illustrate clearly, we've changed Figure 9 into daily average with the unit of $\text{mg m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$. (see Page 32, Figure 9)

Comments from anonymous Referee #2

Comments

Question 1: Page 2, Line 3, “This dust storm originated from the deserts of Central and East Asia, namely the Mongolian Gobi Desert, Taklimakan Desert, Hexi Corridor, and Alxa Desert (Fig. 1)”. Therefore, it is recommended that you mark the Mongolian Gobi Desert, Taklimakan Desert, Hexi Corridor, and Alxa Desert position in Figure 1.

Reply: Since Figure 1 includes all of East Asia, marking these locations in the figure would be rather difficult to see. We have instead marked the locations in Figure 2 and have revised the text to include Figure 2 at the end of the sentence “This dust storm originated from the deserts of Central and East Asia, namely the Mongolian Gobi Desert, Taklimakan Desert, Hexi Corridor, and Alxa Desert (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2)” (see Page 2, Line 3).

Question 2: Page 2, Line 15, “Dust aerosols can be transported long distances, even on a global scale”. Therefore, I suggest you should explain in detail the path of dust aerosols transport.

Reply: This sentence has been revised as “Dust aerosols can be transported long distances, even on a global scale from Africa to the Americas or from Asia to North America” (Page 2, Line 15-16).

Question 3: Page 5, Line 31, “In this study, we selected the dust emission scheme of Shao et al.”. Please explain the difference between this scheme and other programs, and further obtain the advantages of this scheme.

Reply: Due to the lack of cohesive wind erosion data sets, in particular dust flux data sets, none of the dust emission schemes has been rigorously tested and validated (Shao et al., 2011b). The parametrization schemes such as dust emission scheme of Shao et al. (2011b) used in this study was developed following the Shao (2001) and Shao (2004) schemes. This size-resolved dust emission scheme has been rigorously examined and validated with field measurements. The major difference between the Shao et al. (2011b) dust emission scheme and other schemes is that the dust emission formula was based on implicit physical mechanism and adjusted key parameters after field validation. It is not our intent to rank the performance of dust emission schemes. Although most of the dust emission schemes have been tested in a wind tunnel, the advantage of Shao et al. (2011b) is that it has been tested with success in East Asian arid and semi-arid regions. Notwithstanding, some dust emission schemes other than Shao et al. (2011b) are widely used in global dust studies. For consideration of East Asia dust, we selected Shao’s dust emission scheme due to above reasons. In addition, Kang et al. (2011) and Wu and Lin (2014) tested several dust emission schemes in East Asia during a severe dust event, which suggested a similar viewpoint. We’ve added further explanation in Section 2.2 (see Page 5, Line 21-25). New references have been included in this section as follows:

Kang, J.-Y., Yoon, S.-C., Shao, Y., and Kim S.-W.: Comparison of vertical dust flux by implementing three dust emission schemes in WRF/Chem, Journal of Geophysical

Research, 116, D09202, doi:10.1029/2010JD014649, 2011.

Wu, C., and Lin, Z.: Impact of Two Different Dust Emission Schemes on the Simulation of a Severe Dust Storm in East Asia Using the WRF/Chem Model, *Climatic and Environmental Research*, 19(4), 419-436, doi:10.3878/j.issn.1006-9585.2013.13041, 2014.

Question 4: Page 6, Line 26, “Aeolian dust migrated eastward to the Central China Plain in the lower reaches of the Yellow River and degraded air quality”. It is recommended to quantify the extent of the decline in air quality by specific numerical values.

Reply: We’ve added more details on the impact of dust transport on air quality in Section 3.1. (Page 6, Line 30 to Page 7, Line 4).

Question 5: Page 6, Line 27, “Dense dust clouds continued to move east to southeast China where high PM₁₀ concentrations were observed on the Shandong Peninsula on 5 May, 2017.”. Please specify the value of PM₁₀ at this time.

Reply: We have added the requested information (Section 3.1, Page 7, Line 5-6).

Question 6: Page 7, Line 10, you have mentioned the quality assurance confidence, please specify the calculation method of quality assurance confidence.

Reply: The calculation method of quality assurance confidence is according to documents from the Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA, which used a MODIS-specific compositing method based on product quality assurance metrics to remove low quality pixels. These are level-2 single pixel AOD (550 nm) measurements with a QAC flag of 3 and >0 over land and Sea, respectively. The white color in Figure 5 is for missing values. We have further revised this section (see Page 7, Line 22-23). New references have been included in this section as follows:

Masuoka, E., Roy, D., Wolfe, R., Morissette, J., Sinno, S., Teague, M., Saleous, N., Devadiga, S., Justice, C., and Nickeson, J.: MODIS Land Data Products: Generation, Quality Assurance and Validation. In: Ramachandran B., Justice C., Abrams M. (eds) *Land Remote Sensing and Global Environmental Change. Remote Sensing and Digital Image Processing*, vol 11, Springer: New York (NY), p509-531, doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-6749-7_22, 2010.

Question 7: Page 8, Line 29, you have mentioned “However, the dust deposition rate over Chinese deserts has been reported to be 70 times larger than over the North Pacific Ocean”. Please explain how this result was obtained.

Reply: This information is from Shao (2000). We have included this reference in this section (Section 3.4, Page 9, Line 10).

Question 8: Page 10, Line 17, “In general, long-range transport Asian dust originated from the Gobi Desert or other sources can significantly elevate ambient particulate matter concentration and affect air quality in major cities of China, Mongolia, Korea, Japan, and far beyond.”. Please explain how to get this result, if you get it from other

articles, please list the documents that you refer to. I think the following two articles will help you: (1) Chen S., J. Huang, J. Li, R. Jia, N. Jiang, L. Kang, X. Ma, and T. Xie, 2017: Comparison of dust emissions, transport, and deposition between the Taklimakan Desert and Gobi Desert from 2007 to 2011. *Science China Earth Sciences*, doi: 10.1007/s11430-016-9051-0. (2) Uno, I.; Wang, Z.; Chiba, M.; Chun, Y.; Gong, S.; Hara, Y.; Jung, E.; Lee, S.; Liu, M.; Mikami, M.; Music, S.; Nickovic, S.; Satake, S.; Shao, Y.; Song, Z.; Sugimoto, N.; Tanaka, T.; Westphal, D. Dust model intercomparison (DMIP) study over Asia: Overview. *Geophys Res.* 2006, 111(D12), 2503-2511, DOI: 10.1029/2005JD006575.

Reply: The result was concluded from an integrated analysis of both Sections 3.3 and 3.4 as well as from previous literature. We have added an explanation and extended the analysis in this section (Section 3.5, Page 10-11). New references have been included in this section as follows:

Uno, I., Wang, Z., Chiba, M., Chun, Y.S., Gong, S.L., Hara, Y., Jung, E., Lee, S.-S., Liu, M., Mikami, M., Music, S., Nickovic, S., Satake, S., Shao, Y., Song, Z., Sugimoto, N., Tanaka, T., Westphal, D.: Dust model intercomparison (DMIP) study over Asia: Overview, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111(D12), 2503-2511, doi:10.1029/2005JD006575, 2006.

Chen, S., Huang, J., Li, J., Jia, R., Jiang, N., Kang, L., Ma, X., and Xie, T.: Comparison of dust emissions, transport, and deposition between the Taklimakan Desert and Gobi Desert from 2007 to 2011, *Science China Earth Sciences*, 60(7), 1338-1355, doi: 10.1007/s11430-016-9051-0, 2017.

Huang, J., Li, Y., Fu, C., Chen, F., Fu, Q., Dai, A., Shinoda, M., Ma, Z., Guo, W., Li, Z., Zhang, L., Liu, Y., Yu, H., He, Y., Xie, Y., Guan, X., Li, M., Lin, L., Wang, S., Yan, H., and Wang, G.: Dryland climate change: Recent progress and challenges, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55, 719-778, doi:10.1002/2016RG000550, 2017.

East Asian dust storm in May 2017: observations, modelling and its influence on Asia-Pacific region

Xiao-Xiao Zhang^{1,2}, Brenton Sharratt³, Lian-You Liu⁴, Zi-Fa Wang², Xiao-Le Pan², Jia-Qiang Lei¹, Shi-Xin Wu¹, Shuang-Yan Huang¹, Yu-Hong Guo², Jie Li², Xiao Tang², Ting Yang², Yu Tian², Xue-Shun Chen², Jian-Qi Hao², Hai-Tao Zheng², Yan-Yan Yang⁴, and Yan-Li Lyu⁴

¹State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, 830011, China

²State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100029, China

³USDA-ARS, 215 Johnson Hall, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA

⁴Key Laboratory of Environmental Change and Natural Disaster, Ministry of Education, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

Correspondence to: L.Y. Liu (lyliu@bnu.edu.cn) and Z.F. Wang (zifawang@mail.iap.ac.cn)

Abstract. A severe dust storm event originated from the Gobi Desert in Central and East Asia during 2-7 May, 2017. Based on moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite products, hourly environmental monitoring measurements from 367 Chinese cities and more than 2000 East Asian meteorological observation stations, and numerical simulations, we analysed the spatial and temporal characteristics of this dust event as well as its associated impact on the Asia-Pacific region. The maximum observed hourly PM₁₀ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$) concentration was above 1000 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Beijing, Tianjin, Shijiazhuang, Baoding, and Langfang and above 2000 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Erdos, Hohhot, Baotou, and Alxa in northern China. This dust event affected over 8.35 million km², or 87% of mainland China, and significantly deteriorated air quality in 316 cities of the 367 cities examined across China. The maximum surface wind speed during the dust storm was 23-24 m s⁻¹ in the Mongolian Gobi Desert and 20-22 m s⁻¹ in central Inner Mongolia, indicating the potential source regions of this dust event. Lidar-derived vertical dust profiles in Beijing, Seoul, and Tokyo indicated dust aerosols were uplifted to an altitude of 1.5-3.5 km whereas simulations by the Weather Research and Forecasting with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model indicated 20.4 Tg and 5.3 Tg of aeolian dust being deposited respectively across continental Asia and the North Pacific Ocean. According to forward trajectory analysis by the FLEXible PARTicle dispersion (FLEXPART) model, the East Asian dust plume moved across the North Pacific within a week. Dust concentrations decreased from East Asian continent across the Pacific Ocean from a magnitude of 10³ to 10⁻⁵ $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, while dust deposition intensity ranged from 10⁴ to 10⁻¹ mg m⁻². This dust event was unusual due to its impact on continental China, Korea, Japan and North Pacific Ocean. Asian dust storms such as observed in early May 2017 may lead to wider climate forcing on a global scale.

Key words: Air quality; Climate change; East Asia; Gobi Desert; Particulate matter

1 Introduction

A major dust storm arose and swept over East Asia on 2-7 May, 2017. This dust storm originated from the deserts of Central and East Asia, namely the Mongolian Gobi Desert, Taklimakan Desert, Hexi Corridor, and Alxa Desert (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Visibility was reduced to <100 m as a result of dense dust near Guaizihu (41.37°N, 102.37°E) on the north edge of the Badain Jaran sand desert and Turpan (42.83°N, 89.25°E) on the north edge of ~~Taklimakan~~ Taklimakan Desert ~~while maximum~~ Maximum surface wind speed reached 24 m s⁻¹ in the Mongolian Gobi Desert (43.1°N, 109.2°E). ~~Air~~ and air quality was consequently very poor during this dust storm. This storm was unusual in that East Asian dust storms ~~usually~~ typically develop along more south-eastward trajectories (Satake et al., 2004; Nee et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2008). Dust storms develop as a result of passage of synoptic cold fronts (Hsu et al., 2006). Over the past five decades, the frequency of Asian dust events has decreased due to ecological restoration efforts and climate change (Shao, ~~et al.~~, 2011a; Lyu et al., 2017a). Strong dust storms have not occurred in the past ~~ten~~ seven years. Although East Asian dust storms mostly occur during springtime (Zhang et al., 1997; Shao and Dong, 2006; Chen, 2010; Li et al., 2012), it is essential to determine dust sources, emission, transport, and deposition to enhance our understanding of the negative impact of atmospheric dust on global warming (Tegen et al., 1996; Stanhill, 2005; Park et al., 2011; IPCC, 2013; Carslaw et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014).

Dust aerosols can be transported long distances, even on a global scale ~~from Africa to the Americas or from Asia to North America~~ (Merrill et al., 1994; Uno et al., 2009; Shao et al., 2011a). Atmospheric dust has been observed across continents and oceans, giving rise to its importance in both terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Huebert et al., 2003; Mahowald et al., 2009; IPCC, 2013; Kok et al., 2017). Mineral aerosols can influence air quality by reducing visibility and bolstering concentrations of inhalable particulate matter (Sharratt et al., 2006; Huneus et al., 2011; Goudie, 2014). In dust source regions, atmospheric dust concentrations can approach 1-10 mg m⁻³ while dust particles can be uplifted to altitudes of 2-10 km under strong wind shear stress (McTainsh and Strong, 2007; Cottle et al., ~~2013~~ 2013a; Shao, 2013; Goudie, 2014). Fine particulates reaching high altitudes may be transported by tropospheric winds (Shao, 2000; Eguchi et al., 2009). Trans-Pacific transport of mineral dust from East Asia to North America has been frequently detected during springtime (Merrill et al., 1989; Mahowald et al., 1999; Uno et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2003; Gong et al., 2006; McKendry et al., 2008; Cottle et al., ~~2013~~ 2013a). Long-range transport of dust aerosol has been substantiated by ice core samples taken at the North Pole (Jaffe et al., 1999; Mahowald et al., 2017). In addition, oceanic chlorophyll observations have suggested a strong linkage between mineral dust and oceanic primary production after atmospheric dust deposition events (Young et al., 1991; Mahowald et al., 2009). Duce et al. (1991) and Tagliabue et al. (2017) also suggest a possible association between dust storms and enrichment of iron (Fe) and phosphorus (P) in oceans. Mineral dust aerosols are the primary source of Fe in the atmosphere (Mahowald et al., 2017). According to physically-based model estimation, more than 60 Tg Fe and 1 Tg P are deposited into world oceans as a result of fallout of atmospheric dust (Luo et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2017). However, uncertainties exist in assessing the global dust cycle due to a lack of understanding in parameterizing dust emission, transport, and deposition (Wang et al., 2000; Ginoux et al., 2001; Gong et al., 2003; Mahowald et al., 2003; Shao ~~and~~ Dong, 2006; Zhao et al., 2010; Huneus et al., 2011; Kok, 2011). Therefore,

the estimation of the impact of dust on the biogeochemical cycle is still uncertain and unclear (Takemura et al., 2002; Mahowald et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2009; Field et al., 2010; Huneus et al., 2011; Shao et al., 2013).

Asian dust has long been an environmental concern to China, which notably has affected the formation of the Loess Plateau and historic Chinese civilization (Tsoar and Pye, 1987; An et al., 1991; Zhang et al., 1996; An, 2000; Husar et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2014; Goudie, 2014; Huang et al., 2014). To quantify and assess the impact of dust cycles on the environment, remote sensing (i.e. satellite) and modelling techniques based on physical processes must be used along with environmental monitoring data and surface observations (Shao et al., 2011a). ~~Few studies, however, have combined the use of these techniques and monitoring data to document the fate of dust in the atmosphere and especially the range of transport of dust from its source in East Asia. Trans-Pacific transport not only has the potential to impact the North Pacific marine environment, but also air quality of communities within and downwind of the source region.~~ The purpose of this study was therefore to determine dust emission, transport, and deposition during the May 2017 Asian dust storm using environmental observations and remote sensing data along with simulation techniques. ~~This combined approach to understanding the fate of windblown dust will aid in identifying the range of transport of dust across East Asia and the North Pacific Ocean.~~

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sources

2.1.1 Environmental monitoring Air quality data

~~Environmental monitoring Air quality~~ data were collected ~~in mainland from~~ China ~~and the United States~~ during the 2-7 May, 2017 dust storm event. ~~In China, hourly~~ PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} ~~concentrations~~ concentration data were ~~measured regularly at~~ collected from 367 environmental monitoring stations (Fig. 2) maintained by the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP), ~~China.~~ ~~Data collected at 367 stations were used in this study and represent a spatiotemporal distribution across continental China (Fig. 2), 2011).~~ Ambient PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) were measured with ~~an automatic~~ beta radiation attenuation ~~monitor~~ monitors designed to continuously collect particulate matter and which ~~is~~ are widely used in air quality monitoring. The technique relies upon the absorption of beta radiation by solid particles extracted from air flow in determining PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentration (USEPA, 2009). The particulate matter monitors were installed at 1.5 m above the ground. ~~Hourly PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentration data were expressed in $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ (MEP, 2011).~~

Air quality index (AQI) data were obtained from nationwide air quality monitoring statistics published by the MEP, ~~China~~ (<http://datacenter.mep.gov.cn>). These AQI data were used to illustrate the influence of airborne dust versus other air pollutants on ambient air quality. In ~~our present~~ this study, we assessed AQI based upon only particulate matter concentrations. The AQI is ~~calculated according to~~ dependent on the concentration of a single air pollutant (USEPA, 2006; Wang et al., 2013), ~~such as~~ PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, ~~calculated~~ according to:

$$AQI_i = \frac{AQI_u - AQI_L}{C_u - C_L} \times (C_i - C_L) + AQI_L, \quad (1)$$

Where AQI_i is the index for pollutant i (i.e., PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$), AQI_u and AQI_l are the upper and lower limits of the index for a specific category of air quality (i.e. excellent, moderate, ~~slightly~~ pollution, moderate pollution, heavy pollution and severe pollution), C_i is the observed concentration of pollutant, and C_u and C_l are the upper and lower concentration limits of the pollutant for a specific category of air quality. Information regarding the determination of the AQI index can be accessed from the MEP, ~~China~~ (MEP, 2012a, 2012b). Based on the AQI, air quality was classified as: excellent, with $AQI \leq 50$; moderate, with $AQI 50-100$; ~~highly~~ pollution, with $AQI 100-150$; moderate pollution with $AQI 150-200$; heavy pollution, with $AQI 200-300$; and severe pollution, with $AQI 300-500$. Air ~~pollution levels were classified at six levels as quality indices associated with particulate matter concentrations are~~ listed in Table 1.

~~Hourly~~In the United States, hourly ambient PM_{10} concentration data were ~~also~~ collected at ~~select~~12 locations ~~across the western United States from maintained by the U.S. EPA~~ (Environmental Protection Agency) ~~at~~ (EPA). Data were obtained from https://aqs.epa.gov/aqsweb/airdata/download_files.html. ~~Data were collected from for the time period~~ May 2-15 in an attempt to identify elevated concentrations arising from long-range transport of dust from Asia.

2.1.2 Satellite data

MODIS Terra satellite data ~~was~~ were collected from the U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (<https://terra.nasa.gov>). The Terra satellite images the entire Earth's surface every one to two days in 36 discrete spectral bands. MODIS Level 3 Deep-blue products of aerosols optical depth (AOD) were collected for analysing the spatiotemporal distribution of dust aerosols across large spatial scales (Hyer et al., 2011). ~~This algorithm product provides~~; products collected included comprehensive properties of aerosol optical depth, Ångström exponent, and total column optical extinction of aerosol at a wavelength of 550 nm. ~~This~~Extinction at a wavelength of 550 nm has been used to quantitatively track the evolution of global dust and fine-mode anthropogenic aerosols (Hsu et al., 2006).

The CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations) satellite was launched on 28 April, 2006 to study the roles of clouds and aerosols on climate and weather. ~~The satellite flies in the international "A-Train" constellation for coincident Earth observations and is comprised of~~The satellite carries three instruments: the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP Lidar), the Imaging Infrared Radiometer (IIR), and the Wide Field Camera (WFC). Passive and active remote sensing instruments on ~~board~~ the CALIPSO satellite continuously monitor aerosols and clouds ~~24 hours a day~~. CALIPSO's ~~sat~~ a temporal and spatial resolution ~~are~~ of 0.74 seconds and 333 m, respectively. We used CALIPSO aerosol optical depth (AOD) data at 532 nm of Vertical Feature Mask (VFM) level 2 version 4.10 ~~in this study~~ to analyse mineral dust transport across the North Pacific Ocean. ~~This standard product of CALIPSO datasets, which corresponded to sub-micron and super-micron radius regimes, is derived at the reference wavelength.~~ The utility of using CALIPSO products ~~and clouds can~~ to be detected and classified ~~into~~as clean marine, dust, polluted continental, clean continental, polluted dust, smoke, etc. ~~This robust characterization was useful in analysing the vertical distribution and variation of atmospheric components and smoke.~~

2.1.3 Meteorological data

Meteorological data, including synoptic conditions, surface wind speed, and visibility ~~were collected~~, for ~~each of the more than 2000~~ meteorological observation stations in East ~~Asian countries~~ ~~Asia were collected~~ from the China Meteorological Administration. Observations were taken every three hours. Dust ~~synoptic conditions was mainly~~ ~~at each station were~~ defined by visibility and subjective synoptic reports according to World Meteorological Organization (WMO) protocol. Both “present weather” and “past weather” conditions were recorded by the meteorological observer with descriptions in specified format and codes. ~~Those codes specified at each reporting time designates~~ ~~Codes were used to designate~~ the intensity and duration of ~~dusty~~ ~~dust~~ periods (<http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/www/WMOCodes.html>; Shao and Dong, 2006).

2.1.4 Lidar data

Lidar data, ~~which was used to examine the vertical distribution of dust in the atmosphere~~, were collected from AD-Net (<http://www-lidar.nies.go.jp/AD-Net>). ~~Vertical distribution of dust in the atmosphere was observed by Lidar at~~ for meteorological stations in Beijing, Seoul, Matsue, Osaka, and Tokyo (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The ground-based Lidars were developed by the Japanese National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES) and operated as part of the Japanese NIES Lidar network and the Asian dust network (Murayama et al., 2001; Shimizu et al., 2004). Dust particles tend to be highly non-spherical and show a high degree of depolarization (Cottle et al., 2013). ~~Therefore, in this study, 2013a, therefore~~ we used non-spherical data observed by the Lidar network. Depolarization at dual-wavelength channels of 1064 nm and 532 nm was used to identify aerosol types from the Lidar measurements (Sugimoto et al., 2003; Shimizu et al., 2004). The laser beam was vertically oriented toward the sky and collimated with a beam expander. The beam had an output power of 20 mJ/pulse at 1064 nm (30 mJ/pulse at 532 nm) and a pulse repetition rate of 10 Hz (Shimizu et al., 2016). The scattered light was received by a 20 cm Schmidt Cassegrain type telescope which separated the light at 532 nm and 1064 nm (Sugimoto et al., 2008). The measured Lidar signal was collected every 15 minutes with a vertical resolution of 30 m. Detailed information on the calibration method and its accuracy can be found in Shimizu et al. (2004).

2.2 WRF-Chem model

The ~~numerical atmospheric~~ WRF-Chem model ~~used in our study~~ (version 3.7.1 available at <http://ruc.noaa.gov/wrf/WG11>) ~~has a simulation domain that covers~~ ~~was used to simulate dust aerosol emission, transport and deposition across~~ the Asia-Pacific region. The model ~~is~~ ~~was~~ run using National Center for Atmospheric Research/National Center of Environmental Prediction (NCAR/NCEP) reanalysis meteorological input data (<http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2>) at a horizontal resolution of $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ and vertical resolution of 26 levels. The WRF-Chem model included the following components: Noah land surface scheme, Yonsei University planetary boundary layer scheme, MM5 similarity surface layer scheme, WRF single-moment 5-class microphysics scheme, and the Grell 3-D cumulus scheme.

~~The WRF-Chem model simulates dust aerosol emission, transport and deposition.~~ Simulations were carried ~~in the study area~~ ~~out~~ from 25 April to 10 May, 2017. ~~The with the~~ first week of simulation (25 April -1 May) ~~was~~ ~~being~~ a spin-up period to reduce the impact of initial conditions. Emission of dust particles from the surface is a key component in the surface exchange process (Wesely and Hicks, 2000). ~~Dust) and dust~~ emission flux is ~~also~~ closely related to the mass of dust deposition (Whicker

et al., 2014). ~~The Dust emission emissions is simulated by various modules inside in the WRF-Chem include model, including~~ the Georgia Tech/Goddard Global Ozone Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport (GOCART) (Chin et al., 2000; Ginoux et al., 2001), Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC), modified GOCART, Shao (2001) scheme, Shao (2004) scheme, and Shao et al. (2011b) scheme. ~~In~~ The size-resolved dust emission scheme of Shao et al. (2011b) was developed based upon implicit physical mechanism following the schemes of Shao (2001) and Shao (2004). The Shao et al. (2011b) scheme has been rigorously examined and validated with field measurements, and found to perform well in simulating dust emission fluxes (Shao et al., 2011b). Therefore, in this study, we selected the dust emission scheme of Shao et al. (2011b) ~~to apply~~ in the WRF-Chem model as this scheme has been widely used and tested in East ~~Asian~~ Asia Gobi Desert region: ~~(Kang et al., 2011; Wu and Lin, 2014)~~. The Shao et al. (2011b) dust emission scheme classified particles into four bin sizes: 0-2.5, 2.5-5, 5-10 and 10-20 μm . ~~The dust~~ Dust deposition ~~scheme used in the WRF-Chem model was simulated using~~ Wesely's aerodynamic resistance model (Wesely, 1989) ~~to simulate~~ which accounts for diffusion of particulates through the air.

2.3 Trajectory model

~~Trajectory models are widely used to identify the pathway of air parcels over complex terrain and the influence of these air parcels on ambient air quality.~~ We ~~simulated the pathway of air parcels using~~ used the FLEXPART model (version 9.0.2 available at <http://www.flexpart.eu>) ~~which is a Lagrangian transport and dispersion model designed for calculating to simulate~~ long-range and mesoscale dispersion of air parcels ~~(Brioude et al., 2013)~~ over the Asia-Pacific region. This model simulates forward in time to trace particles from source areas or backward in time to backtrack particles from given receptors: ~~(Brioude et al., 2013)~~. In this study, we simulated 216-hour forward-trajectories at 00, 12 and 24 UTC each day during the period May 2-10, 2017. The trajectories were simulated starting at the receptor point of potential dust emission sources (discussed in Section 3.2) from 2000 to 3000 m a.s.l (above sea level); ~~this range of altitude was chosen because trans-Pacific dust clouds have been observed at these altitudes (Cottle et al., 2013a; Cottle et al., 2013b)~~. Input data for the FLEXPART model were derived from the NCEP Global Data Assimilation System mesoscale meteorological global model. These data included 6 hour products such as temperature, precipitation, wind speed, relative humidity and geopotential height for 23 levels.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Pervasive air pollution

Figure 1 shows an overview of the severe dust storm that developed over East Asia on 2-7 May, 2017 using data from the MODIS Terra sensor. The dust storm originated in the Mongolian Gobi Desert, Hexi Corridor, and Taklimakan Desert on 2 May, 2017. Dense ~~yellow~~ dust clouds ~~moved quickly from~~ formed initially over southern Mongolia and ~~northwestern~~ Inner Mongolia on 2-3 May, 2017, then moved quickly across north and northeast China and migrated pervasively into the southeast China coast, Korean peninsula, and Japan. ~~Dense~~ On 4 May, yellow dust clouds masked the North China Plain and northeast China as these regions were not visible from space. The strongest dust plumes were observed over southern Mongolia and

west Inner Mongolia on 3 May, 2017. Dust signals were accordingly captured in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea on 4-6 May, and in the north Japan Sea and sea of Okhotsk on 6-7 May. Figure 3 ~~mimies~~ depicts the movement of the dust ~~eloud~~ clouds across continental China according to the spatiotemporal variation in hourly average PM₁₀ concentration at 367 Chinese environmental monitoring stations ~~from 2-7 May, 2017~~ during this dust event. The maximum observed hourly PM₁₀ concentration was above 1000 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Beijing, Tianjin, Shijiazhuang, Baoding, Langfang, Xi'an and Lanzhou, and above 2000 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Erdos, Hohhot, Baotou, Alxa, Shizuishan, Yan'an, Changchun and Jilin. The highest hourly PM₁₀ dust concentration (4277 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) was observed over Inner Mongolia at Erdos (39.59°N, 109.77°E) ~~on 3 May, 2017~~. On 4 May, 2017, at 2 a.m. on 5 May, which was 30 times higher than the concentration observed on 2 May. Figure 3 also indicates that air quality was beginning to deteriorate in northwest China on 2 May as PM₁₀ concentrations were 759 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at Aksu and 380 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at Hotan in the Taklimakan Desert. Air quality simultaneously deteriorated along the Hexi Corridor as PM₁₀ concentrations were 1297 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Jiuquan, 957 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Zhangye, 869 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Jiayuguan and 796 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Jinchang. It should be noted that major cities in Northeast China Plain such as Beijing, Langfang, Shijiazhuang and Zhengzhou had level 2 or 3 air quality on 2 May due to anthropogenic pollution caused by static stability weather conditions. On 3 May, air pollution became evident in northern China, especially central Inner Mongolia and Northeast China. PM₁₀ concentration rose to 2500 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Hohhot, 1540 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Baotou, 898 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Erdos, 1526 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Shizuishan, 2403 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Wuhai, 3706 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Banyan Nur, 2592 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Ulanqab, and 1681 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Alax. In addition, PM₁₀ concentrations in Northeast China rose to 1634 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Mudanjiang, 1056 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Songyuan, and 1249 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Suihua. On 4 May, the dust storm severely influenced the North China Plain with elevated ~~as~~ PM₁₀ concentrations (rose to 1000-2000 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$). Beginning at 4 a.m. (CST) on 4 May, air ~~pollution~~ ~~gradually~~ ~~quality~~ deteriorated in Beijing, Tianjing, and Shijiazhuang in the North China Plain as well as in Changchun, Jilin, and Tongliao in ~~Northeast China Plain~~ the Northeast China Plain. Ambient PM₁₀ concentration in Beijing increased from 62 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at 3 a.m. to 491 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at 4 a.m. and then to 1000 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at 7 a.m. On 4 May, the maximum ambient PM₁₀ concentration at Tianjin, Shijiazhuang and Zhangjiakou was respectively 1508 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 1475 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, and 2849 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. Aeolian dust migrated south-eastward to the Central China Plain in the lower reaches of the Yellow River ~~and degraded air quality~~. Dense dust clouds continued to move east to southeast China where high PM₁₀ concentrations were observed on the Shandong Peninsula on 5 May, 2017. On ~~5 May, dust concentrations declined~~ this date, the ambient PM₁₀ concentration in Qingdao rose from 39 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at 13 p.m. to 831 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at 17 p.m. The adverse effects of the dust storm subsided on 5 May in Northwest China as PM₁₀ concentrations in Aksu, Hotan, Jiayuguan, Zhangye and Jiuquan decreased respectively to 147 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 224 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 64 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, 106 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and 71 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. The Changjiang River Delta region in east-central China was affected by dust on 6-7 May, 2017. ~~In faet~~ Compared with the excellent air quality (PM₁₀ concentrations ranged from 37-41 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) on 4-5 May, PM₁₀ concentrations in Shanghai and Nanjing tripled on May 6 as compared with preceding days. On 6 May, 2017, the dust cloud increased to 194-282 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ on 6 May. Dust clouds crossed the Changjiang River Delta region and extended to the inland Jiangxi Province in South China. The PM₁₀ concentration in Jiujiang (Jiangxi Province) rose from 56 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ to 225 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ within 24 hours and peaked at 275 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ at 2 a.m. on 7 May. The spatiotemporal variation in PM_{2.5} concentration at

these 367 stations is provided in Supplement S1. PM_{2.5} concentrations paralleled PM₁₀ concentrations across the region with PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio from ratios of 0.3 to 0.5 (Supplement S2).

Airborne dust is one of chief pollutants influencing air quality in China (Zhang et al., 2010). Thus, the relative contribution of mineral dust to the AQI was analysed to identify the impact of airborne dust on air quality in major cities of China. We examined the relative contribution of dust to AQI at Alxa, Erdos and Hohhot in the arid region of north China; Beijing, Tianjing, and Shijiazhuang in the North China Plain; Xi'an, Zhengzhou and Qingdao in central China; and Wuhan, Nanjing and Shanghai in southeast China (Fig. 4). The data suggest that atmospheric dust pollution decreased from north to south and from west to east (inland to coast). Degraded air quality would have affected more than 700 million Chinese people living in the path of the dust storm.

MODIS AOD, with a quality assurance confidence (level-2 single pixel measurements) of 3 over land and > 0 over ocean and a maximum retrievable signal of 1.0; (Masuoka et al., 2010), was used to describe the dust load in East Asia. Mahowald et al. (2017) indicated that during dust events, AOD can be used as a reliable tool to represent dust loading in the atmosphere. High AOD values were observed in Mongolian, Inner Mongolia, Hexi Corridor, east Taklimakan Taklimakan Desert and Northwest China Plain (Fig. 5). The MODIS AOD data indicated atmospheric mineral dust reached east Taiwan to the south and crossed the East China Sea toward the North Pacific region. Our comparison of MODIS AOD with WRF-Chem model simulations corroborated the spatiotemporal variation in the dust cloud throughout the Asian region. The pattern of evolution of the severe East Asian dust storm during 2-7 March 2017 was reasonably well reproduced by the WRF-Chem model and showed the ability to capture the major characteristics of dust storm event.

3.2 Distribution of maximum wind speed

Dust storms are typically caused by anticyclone conditions that result in high winds across northwest China (Shao, 2000). The magnitude of wind speed is one of the main factors influencing dust emission, transport and deposition processes (Pye, 1987; Liu et al., 2005). Dry soil particles were uplifted from the surface by aerodynamic forces under strong winds, generating dust emission. Uplift of particles or initiation of wind erosion generally occurs at wind speeds above 7 m s⁻¹ (Saxton et al., 2000; Sharratt et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2015). Figure 6 presented the spatial distribution of maximum wind speed above 7 m s⁻¹ under the observed synoptic conditions in East Asia at the meteorological stations during 2-7 May, 2017. The data show winds were sufficient to cause wind erosion in Mongolia, north China, and the Korea peninsula. Maximum surface wind speeds above 17.2 m s⁻¹ (categorized meteorologically as a strong wind) were observed in the Gobi Desert of south and southeast Mongolia (e.g., Zamiin Ude, Barum-Urt, and Tsgot-Ovo), and central Inner Mongolia (e.g., Erenhot, Suniteyouqi, Xilinhot, and Hails). Synoptic records indicated that visibility was reduced to < 100 m at Guaizihu (41.37°N, 102.37°E) in the Badain Jaran Desert and at Turpan (42.83°N, 89.25°E) in the north edge of Taklimakan Taklimakan Desert. The maximum surface wind speed during the dust storm was 23-24 m s⁻¹ in the Mongolian Gobi Desert and 20-22 m s⁻¹ in central Inner Mongolia, indicating these likely potential source regions during the dust event. Under strong northwest and west winds, eolian sand and dust were blown

southeast-wards to Asia-Pacific regions. Atmospheric dust was, therefore, observed in over the Korean peninsula and Japan (e.g., Asahikawa, Hakodate, Oshima, Kushiro, and Wakkanai) during 6-7 May, 2017.

3.3 Vertical and horizontal dust dispersion

The observed temporal and vertical dust layering structures and dust mass concentration provide information for validation of dust transport simulation studies. These dust characteristics were identified by Lidar and suggest the transport and dispersion of dust originating in northwest China were influenced by strong atmospheric circulation forcing. Figure 7 illustrates Lidar observations of vertical dust profiles in Beijing, Seoul, Matsue, Osaka and Tokyo from 2 to 10 May, 2017. Airborne dust appeared to be uplifted to altitudes of 1.5-3.5 km. Dust was clearly visible in Lidar observations beginning on 2 May in Beijing and Seoul and ending in the Korean Peninsula and Japan on 9 May. The detection of dust in Beijing and Seoul on 2 May indicates the rapidity of transport of dust from northwest China. The layering structures from Lidar were identified as dust using the depolarization ratio. Unfortunately, Lidar observations in Beijing were not possible on 6 May due to routine maintenance. In the Lidar measurement for this study, the well-mixed boundary layers in East Asia, prior to the obvious intermingling of subsiding layers from above, show depolarization ratios 150-250% higher than boundary layers in North America (Cottle et al., 2013a). This indicates that dust clouds moved across the North China Plain at altitudes <1500 m. Our Lidar observations of dust being uplifted to altitudes of 3.5 km above Korea and Japan agree closely with those of Murayama et al. (2001) who observed dust being uplifted to altitudes of 3 km over Japan and 4-5 km over Korea as a result of a wind erosion event in Northwest China and Mongolia in 1998.

Dust arising from the surface during wind erosion events may be transported along different pathways in the atmosphere due to variations in atmospheric circulation and vertical mixing within the atmosphere across events (Satake et al., 2004). To provide insight to dust flow trajectories and the impact of dust from the potential sources (discussed in Section 3.2) on regions downwind of the source, Figure 8 depicted the 9-day forward trajectories of dust particles from 2 May, 2017 (00:00 UTC) by FLEXPART model. This numerical experiment identified the location of dust emissions according to the ground observations by WMO. Simulations indicated dust particles were released from source regions with an intensity of 1000 mg m⁻² at 2000 to 3000 m a.s.l. Strong atmospheric circulation resulted in the transport of this emitted dust to the North American continent within a week. The FLEXPART model indicated a high probability that dust particles emitted during the May 2 dust storm event crossed the North Pacific Ocean and reached the coasts of the northwestern U.S. and western Canada.

3.4 Atmospheric dust deposition

Long range transport of dust is influenced by dry and wet depositional processes (Shao and Dong, 2006; Tanaka and Chiba, 2006; Zheng et al., 2016). Atmospheric mineral dust and subsequent deposition in the ocean is an important source of iron in high-nutrient-low-chlorophyll (HNLC) oceanic regions (Mahowald et al., 2009). The intensity of dust deposition on land or ocean can exceed 1000 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Ginoux et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2010). However, the dust deposition rate over Chinese deserts has been reported to be 70 times larger than over the North Pacific Ocean (Shao, 2000). Atmospheric

dust concentrations may change rapidly during the early stages of dust transport (Uematsu et al., 1983). Few observations exist of East Asian dust deposition over the Pacific Ocean. Uematsu et al. (1983) estimated that 1.6 Tg of dust aerosols are deposited over the North Pacific Ocean during East Asian dust storm events. [The annual average deposition of mineral dust over the North Pacific could be as high as 480 Tg \(Uematsu et al., 2003\)](#). Figure 9 displays the simulated [daily emission, loading and](#) deposition of dust over East Asia and North Pacific regions. As simulated by the WRF-Chem model, approximately 29.7 Tg of dust was emitted from dust sources in Mongolia and China. [\(Fig. 9a and Table 3\)](#). Subsequently, 25.7 Tg of dust was deposited over the Asia-Pacific region with 20.4 Tg of dust deposited over land and 5.3 Tg of dust deposited over the North Pacific Ocean. [\(Fig. 9c and Table 3\)](#). Simulated results further indicate that 4 Tg of dust were suspended in the atmosphere. The amount of dust deposited over China, Mongolia, Korea peninsula, and Japan was 14.7, 4.5, 0.2 and 0.1 Tg while the dust deposition intensity in the Yellow Sea, East China Sea and Japan Sea were 1.3, 0.2 and 0.6 g m⁻², respectively. [The estimated dust deposition over East Asia \(20.8 Tg\) during 2-7, May 2017 was of the same magnitude as deposition during the 2002 and 2010 Asian dust storms \(Shao et al., 2002; Han et al., 2004; Li et al., 2011\). In addition, the ratio of dust deposition intensity over the Yellow Sea to East China Sea \(6.5\) was close to that reported by Tan et al. \(2017\)](#). Deposition intensity is highly correlated with atmospheric dust concentration (Shao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017), thus we assume that areas with high deposition also had high atmospheric concentration. We estimated that 0.9 Tg of dust was deposited over North America. Iron deposition over the North Pacific Ocean was estimated [by ourselves](#) to be 0.19 Tg assuming the dust contained 3.5% iron (Luo et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2017).

Transport of dust emitted from East Asian desert sources is highly dependent on atmospheric circulation (Zhang et al., 1997). The Eurasian atmospheric circulation greatly influences weather of East Asia and is primarily driven by the strength of Asian Monsoon and the Siberian High (Park et al., 2011; Shao et al., 2013). Strong winds associated with those atmospheric circulations cause large amounts of mineral dust to be emitted into the atmosphere, and then redeposited after long-ranged transport through wet scavenging and dry settling. According the WRF-Chem model, dust emitted from East Asian Gobi Desert sources on 2 May took 3, 3.5, and 7 d to reach the Korean peninsula, Japan, and the western coast of the United State and Canada, respectively.

Gobi and sand deserts in East Asia are important sources of global atmospheric mineral dust (Ginoux et al., 2001; Shao et al., 2013; Chen et al., [2017](#)). Atmospheric deposition of mixed Asian dust pollutants can result in the deposition of many compounds (e.g., sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, base cations, and heavy metals) in remote areas (Carrico et al., 2003; Li et al., 2012). Figure 10 displayed the vertical profile variations over North Pacific Ocean on 7-8 May, 2017. The profiles show atmospheric mineral dust at latitudes of 35°N-50°N on May 7 and 30°N-45°N on May 8 in the North Pacific Ocean. Dust deposition in the planetary boundary layer was also detected in the western North Pacific Ocean, which is near the source of East Asian dust (Fig. 10a). The westerly winds aloft can carry dust raised from the surface rapidly out over the Pacific Ocean in spring which is then transported eastward. The long-range transport of atmospheric constituents from East Asia not only delivers mineral dust aerosols but also carries mixed anthropogenic pollutants and nutrients to remote continents and oceans (Li et al., 2012; Lyu et al., 2017b). As indicated from Figure 10b, 10c and 10f, anthropogenic pollution was detected in dust

at an altitude of 3-8 km. This observation is consistent with the previous studies for the trans-Pacific dust transport as reported by Huebert et al. (2003), Uno et al. (2009) and Mahowald et al. (2009).

Based upon WRF-Chem simulations, dust was emitted from localized sources in North America during 2-10 May, 2017. Tanaka and Chiba (2006) and Wu et al. (2018) also suggest that dust is emitted from localized sources in North America.

5 There was 0.7 Tg of dust emitted across Arizona, Nevada, and the Mexican Desert during 2-10 May, 2017 according to WRF-Chem simulations. Approximately 0.7 Tg of dust was deposited in across the U.S. To visualize the influence of the North American dust sources on atmospheric dust loading, supplementary materials S3 displays the hourly PM₁₀ concentration for locations in California, Arizona, Nevada and Washington during 2-15 May, 2017. These results indicate dust emitted from the Mexican Desert and drylands in Arizona and Nevada significantly influenced the atmospheric environment in the southwest
10 U.S.

3.5 Influence on Asia-Pacific region

Long-range transport of mineral dust aerosols ~~occure~~occur with high temporal and spatial variability (Mahowald et al., 2017). In addition, dust deposition rates are highly variable as deposition during singular dust storms can account for over 3% of the annual dust deposition flux (Liu et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2010). For marine ecosystems, long-range transport and subsequent
15 deposition of mineral dust can result in an influx of nutrients and thereby stimulate growth of aquatic organisms. For example, aeolian dust contains Fe which is essential to the growth of aquatic organisms such as phytoplankton (Zhuang et al., 1992; Luo et al., 2005; Mahowald et al., 2009; Mahowald et al., 2017; Tagliabue et al., 2017). Zhuang et al.(1992) proposed that Fe contained in dust may couple with anthropogenic S in the atmosphere and ocean, thereby enhancing solubility and subsequent availability to aquatic organisms. Thus, an influx of nutrients to the ocean during the May 2017 dust event would significantly
20 influence aquatic primary productivity.

Cottle et al. (2013) ~~2013a~~ and Hu et al. (2016) ~~report that~~reported long-range transport of Asian dust can impact the Pacific region. High~~Indeed, high~~ latitude dust clouds from the May 2017 event were observed crossing ~~intotoward~~intoward North America as evidenced by CALIPSO retrieval signals in Figure 10. Dust was ~~floating~~observed at approximately 2-8 km height over ~~the~~
25 ~~North American atmosphere~~North America on 9-10 May (Supplement S4b and S4e), a week after initiation of the East Asian dust storm ~~initiated~~. The source of high altitude dust over North America may not be entirely from Asia, but could also be attributed to dust emissions from the southwestern U.S. and northern Mexico. Indeed, the WRF-Chem model predicted 0.7 Tg of dust emitted from these regions of North America during 2-10 May 2017 (Fig. 9a and Table 3). While we are unable to conclusively determine the source of high altitude dust over North America, we believe the likely source was Asian dust due to zonal transport of high altitude dust from Asia as well as seemingly little dust remaining in the atmosphere after accounting for deposition (dust emissions and deposition were equal as indicated in Table 3) over the southwestern U.S. and northern Mexico. Strong signals of dust aerosols were also observed at mid-latitude in the east North Pacific (Supplement Fig.S4a and S4e).

30 Asian dust can be transported further north to the Arctic at altitudes of 3-7 km as a result of either a blocking high pressure system in the northwest Pacific Ocean or a trough-ridge configuration between East Asia and the North Pacific Ocean (Di

Pierro et al., 2011). ~~During intercontinental transport, particulate matter more likely remained in low-level plumes due to less uplift and precipitation~~ (2011). Mineral dust was observed throughout the vertical profile over high latitudes near the North Pole on 9 May as indicated by CALIPSO satellite data (Supplement Fig. S4a). The zonal-transported dust may mix with ice nuclei at high latitudes through microphysical nucleation process and result in cloud formation (Liu et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2012; Sand et al., 2017). Our simulations showed by the time the dust had been transported across the Pacific Ocean that >4 Tg of fine dust aerosols remained in suspension in the atmosphere (Fig. 9b and Fig. 9c). This suspended dust likely influenced the atmospheric chemical composition as well as optical properties. These anomalies of fast-rising atmospheric aerosol concentration could directly or indirectly influence the climate of temperature-sensitive regions like the Arctic (Di Pierro et al., 2011; Carslaw et al., 2013; Sand et al., 2017).

10 ~~During intercontinental transport, particulate matter more likely remained at low altitudes due to less uplift by thermal eddies and precipitation~~. Long-range transport of dust aerosols in the troposphere has been detected from satellite and Lidar observations. Our results suggest a threefold increase in dust deposition over the Pacific Ocean during dust events, emphasizing the importance of dust emission sources from East Asian lands to current ambient particulate matter levels in the environment. In general, long-range transport Asian dust originated from the Gobi Desert or other sources can significantly elevate ambient

15 particulate matter concentration and affect air quality in major cities of China, Mongolia, Korea, Japan, and far beyond. (Uno et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2008; Mahowald et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2017b; Huang et al., 2017; Mahowald et al., 2017).

4 Conclusions

The atmospheric environment of East Asia is severely affected by dust emitted from arid and semiarid regions. This study was undertaken to quantify ambient PM₁₀ concentration and dust deposition in East Asia as well as its impact on the Asia-Pacific region. Based upon wind field patterns, Alxa Desert in western Inner Mongolia and the Gobi Desert of Mongolia were found to be main dust sources during the May 2017 dust storm event. This event resulted in an estimated dust emission of 29.7 Tg. Two thirds of the emitted dust was deposited over East Asia while one sixth of the emitted dust was deposited into the North Pacific Ocean. This dust event dominated the atmospheric environment in East Asia. Data collected at environmental monitoring stations suggested aeolian dust was a major pollutant in East Asia affecting regional air quality and a significant contributor to the global dust budget. This dust storm impacted a wide area of China and other Asian nations and reached North America within one week. The spatial distribution and temporal variability in ambient PM₁₀ concentration showed significant decreases along the transport trajectory and persistence on a regional scale. Dust from other sources such as the Sahara, Middle-East and central Asia may also affect the Asia-Pacific region, therefore additional observations would be necessary to clarify the contributions from other potential dust source regions on dust transport and deposition in East Asia and North Pacific Ocean. A combination of satellite and surface observations and numerical simulation presented in this study would reduce the uncertainties in quantitative estimations of Asian dust impact.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. [The authors would like to thank anonymous reviewers for their useful comments that contributed to improving the manuscript.](#) We thank NASA Goddard Space Flight Center for providing the MODIS satellite data. We gratefully thank AD-Net (<http://www-lidar.nies.go.jp/AD-Net>) for providing the Lidar data in this study. The CALIPSO data were obtained from the NASA Langley Atmospheric Science Data Center (ASDC). This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.41730639), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (No.131965KYSB20170038), the Ministry of Science and Technology, China (No.2017FY101004), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.41301655), and the Open Funds (No.LAPC-KF-2017-01) of the State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, China.

References

- 10 An, Z.S., Kukla, G., Porter, S.C., and Xiao, J.L.: Late quaternary dust flow on the Chinese Loess Plateau, CATENA, 18(2), 125-132, doi:10.1016/0341-8162(91)90012-M, 1991.
- An, Z.S.: The history and variability of the East Asian paleomonsoon climate, Quaternary Science Reviews, 19(1-5), 171-187, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(99)00060-8, 2000.
- Brioude, J., Arnold, D., Stohl, A., Cassiani, M., Morton, D., Seibert, P., Angevine, W., Evan, S., Dingwell, A., Fast, J.D., Easter, R.C., Pisso, I., Burkhardt, J., and Wotawa, G.: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART-WRF version 3.1, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 1889-1904, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1889-2013, 2013.
- 15 Carrico, C.M., Kus, P., Rood, M.J., Quinn, P.K., and Bates, T. S.: Mixtures of pollution, dust, sea salt, and volcanic aerosol during ACE-Asia: Radiative properties as a function of relative humidity, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D23), 8650, doi:10.1029/2003JD003405, 2003.
- 20 Carslaw, K.S., Lee, L.A., Reddington, C.L., Pringle, K.J., Rap, A., Forster, P.M., Mann, G.W., Spracklen, D.V., Woodhouse, M.T., Regayre, L.A., and Pierce, J.R.: Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, Nature, 503(7474), 67-71, doi:10.1038/nature12674, 2013.
- Chen, S., Zhao, C., Qian, Y., Leung, L. R., Huang, J., Huang, Z., Bi, J., Zhang, W., Shi, J., Yang, L., Li, D., and Li, J.: Regional modeling of dust mass balance and radiative forcing over East Asia using WRF-Chem, Aeolian Res., 15, 15-30, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2014.02.001, 2014.
- 25 Chen, S., Huang, J., Kang, L., Wang, H., Ma, X., He, Y., Yuan, T., Yang, B., Huang, Z., and Zhang, G.: Emission, transport, and radiative effects of mineral dust from the Taklimakan and Gobi deserts: comparison of measurements and model results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2401-2421, doi:10.5194/acp-17-2401-2017, [20172017a](#).
- [Chen, S., Huang, J., Li, J., Jia, R., Jiang, N., Kang, L., Ma, X., and Xie, T.: Comparison of dust emissions, transport, and deposition between the Taklimakan Desert and Gobi Desert from 2007 to 2011, Science China Earth Sciences, 60\(7\), 1338-1355, doi:10.1007/s11430-016-9051-0, 2017b.](#)
- 30 Chen, X.: Physical geography of arid land in China, Science Press, Beijing, 124-155, 2010.

Chin, M., Rood, R.B., Lin, S.-J., Müller, J.F., and Thomsson, A.M.: Atmospheric sulfur cycle in the global model GOCART: Model description and global properties, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 24671-24687, doi:10.1029/2000JD900384, 2000.

Cottle, P., Strawbridge, K., McKendry, I., O'Neill, N., and Saha, A.: A pervasive and persistent Asian dust event over North America during spring 2010: lidar and sunphotometer observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4515-4527, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4515-2013, [20132013a](#).

[Cottle, P., Mueller, D., Shin, D.H., Zhang, X.X., Feng, G.L., McKendry, I., and Strawbridge, K.: Studying Taklamakan Aerosol properties with Lidar \(STAPL\), Proc. of SPIE, 8894:88940X, doi:10.1117/12.2029158, 2013b.](#)

Di Pierro, M., Jaeglé, L., and Anderson, T. L.: Satellite observations of aerosol transport from East Asia to the Arctic: three case studies, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 2225-2243, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2225-2011, 2011.

10 Duce, R.A., Liss, P.S., Merrill, J.T., Atlas, E.L., Buat-Menard, P., Hicks, B.B., Miller, J.M., Prospero, J.M., Arimoto, R., Church, T.M., Ellis, W., Galloway, J.N., and Hansen, L.: The atmospheric input of trace species to the world ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 5, 193-259, doi:10.1029/91GB01778, 1991.

Eguchi, K., Uno, I., Yumimoto, K., Takemura, T., Shimizu, A., Sugimoto, N., and Liu, Z.: Trans-Pacific dust transport: Integrated analysis of NASA/CALIPSO and a global aerosol transport model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 3137-3145, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3137-2009, 2009.

15 Field, J.P., Belnap, J., Breshears, D.D., Neff, J.C., Okin, G.S., Whicker, J.J., Painter, T.H., Ravi, S., Reheis, M.C., and Reynolds, R.L.: The ecology of dust, *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 8(8):423-430, doi:10.1890/090050, 2010.

Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J.M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S.: Sources and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(17), 20255-20273, doi:10.1029/2000JD000053, 2001.

20 [Gong, S.L., Zhang, X.Y., Zhao, T.L., McKendry, I.G., Jaffe, D.A., and Lu, N.M.: Characterization of soil dust aerosol in China and its transport and distribution during 2001 ACE-Asia: 2. Model simulation and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 108\(D9\), doi:10.1029/2002JD002633, 2003.](#)

25 Gong, S.L., Zhang, X.Y., Zhao, T.L., Zhang, X.B., Barrie, L.A., McKendry, I.G., and Zhao, C.S.: A Simulated climatology of Asian dust aerosol and its trans-Pacific transport. Part II: Interannual variability and climate connections, *Journal of Climate*, 19(1), 104-122, doi:10.1175/JCLI3606.1, 2006.

[Gong, S.L., Zhang, X.Y., Zhao, T.L., McKendry, I.G., Jaffe, D.A., and Lu, N.M.: Characterization of soil dust aerosol in China and its transport and distribution during 2001 ACE-Asia: 2. Model simulation and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 108\(D9\), doi:10.1029/2002JD002633, 2003.](#)

30 Goudie, A.S.: Desert dust and human health disorders, *Environmental International*, 63, 101-113, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.10.011, 2014.

[Han, Z., Ueda, H., Matsuda, K., Zhang, R., Arao, K., Kanai, Y., and Hasome, H.: Model study on particle size segregation and deposition during Asian dust events in March 2002, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109\(D19205\), doi:10.1029/2004JD004920, 2004.](#)

- Hsu, N.C., Tsay, S.-C., King, M.D., and Herman, J.R.: Deep blue retrievals of Asian aerosol properties during ACE-Asia, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44, 3180-3195, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.879540, 2006.
- Hu, Z., Zhao, C., Huang, J., Leung, L.R., Qian, Y., Yu, H., Huang, L., and Kalashnikova, O.V.: Trans-Pacific transport and evolution of aerosols: evaluation of quasi-global WRF-Chem simulation with multiple observations, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 1725-1746, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1725-2016, 2016.
- Huang, J., Minnis, B., Chen, Z., Huang, Z., Liu, Q., Zhao, Y., and Ayers, J.K.: Long-range transport and vertical structure of Asian dust from CALIPSO and surface measurements during PACDEX, *J. Geophys. Res.: Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres)*, 113, D23212, doi:10.1029/2008JD010620, 2008.
- Huang, J., Wang, T., Wang, W., Li, Z., and Yan, H.: Climate effects of dust aerosols over East Asian arid and semiarid regions, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres)*, 119, 11398-11416, doi:10.1002/2014JD021796, 2014.
- Huang, J., Li, Y., Fu, C., Chen, F., Fu, Q., Dai, A., Shinoda, M., Ma, Z., Guo, W., Li, Z., Zhang, L., Liu, Y., Yu, H., He, Y., Xie, Y., Guan, X., Li, M., Lin, L., Wang, S., Yan, H., and Wang, G.: Dryland climate change: Recent progress and challenges, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55, 719-778, doi:10.1002/2016RG000550, 2017.
- Huebert, B.J., Bates, T., Russell, P.B., Shi, G., Kim Y.J., Kawamura, K., Carmichael, G., and Nakajima, T.: An overview of ACE-Asia: Strategies for quantifying the relationships between Asian aerosols and their climatic impacts, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D23), 8633, doi:10.1029/2003JD003550, 2003.
- Huneeus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J., Kinne, S., Bauer, S., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Grini, A., Horowitz, L., Koch, D., Krol, M.C., Landing, W., Liu, X., Mahowald, N., Miller, R., Morcrette, J.J., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Perlwitz, J., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Zender, C.S.: Global dust model intercomparison in AeroCom phase I, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7781-7816, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7781-2011, 2011.
- Husar, R.B., Tratt, D.M., Schichtel, B.A., Falke, S.R., Li, F., Jaffe, D., Gasso, S., Gill, T., Laulainen, N.S., Lu, F., Reheis, M.C., Chun, Y., Westphal, D., Holben, B.N., Gueymard, C., McKendry, I., Kuring, N., Feldman, G.C., McClain, C., Frouin, R.J., Merrill, J., DuBois, D., Vignola, F., Murayama, T., Nickovic, S., Wilson, W.E., Sassen, K., Sugimoto, N., and Malm, W.C.: The Asian dust events of April 1998, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(D16), 18317-18330, doi:10.1029/2000JD900788, 2001.
- Hyer, E.J., Reid, J.S., and Zhang, J.: An over-land aerosol optical depth data set for data assimilation by filtering, correction, and aggregation of MODIS Collection 5 optical depth retrievals, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 4, 379-408, doi:10.5194/amt-4-379-2011, 2011.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): *Climate change-the physical science basis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 252-271, 2013.
- Jaffe, D.A., Anderson, T.L., Covert, D.S., Kotchenruther, R.A., Trost, B., Danielson, J., Simpson, W., Berntsen, T., Karlsdottir, S., Blake, D., Harris, J., Carmichael, G., and Uno, I.: Transport of Asian air pollution to North America. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26(6), 711-714, doi:10.1029/1999GL900100, 1999.

- [Kang, J.-Y., Yoon, S.-C., Shao, Y., and Kim S.-W.: Comparison of vertical dust flux by implementing three dust emission schemes in WRF/Chem, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, D09202, doi:10.1029/2010JD014649, 2011.](#)
- [Kok, J.F.: A scaling theory for the size distribution of emitted dust aerosols suggests climate models underestimate the size of the global dust cycle, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 108\(3\), 1016-1021, doi:10.1073/pnas.1014798108, 2011.](#)
- 5 Kok, J.F., Ridley, D.A., Zhou, Q., Miller, R.L., Zhao, C., Heald, C.L., Ward, D.S., Albani, S., and Haustein, K.: Smaller desert dust cooling effect estimated from analysis of dust size and abundance, Nature(Geoscience), 10, 274-278, doi:10.1038/ngeo2912, 2017.
- [Li, J., Han, Z., and Zhang, R.: Model study of atmospheric particulates during dust storm period in March 2010 over East Asia, Atmospheric Environment, 45\(24\), 3954-3964, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.068, 2011.](#)
- 10 ~~Kok, J.F.: A scaling theory for the size distribution of emitted dust aerosols suggests climate models underestimate the size of the global dust cycle, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 108(3), 1016-1021, doi:10.1073/pnas.1014798108, 2011.~~
- Li, J., Wang, Z., Luo, G., Luo, G., Sun, Y., and Wang, Y.: Mixing of Asian mineral dust with anthropogenic pollutants over East Asia: a model case study of a super-dust storm in March 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7591-7607, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7591-2012, 2012.
- 15 Liu, L.Y., Shi, P.J., Gao, S.Y., Zou, X.Y., Erdon, H., Yan, P., Li, X.Y., Ta, W.Q., Wang, J.H., and Zhang, C.L.: Dustfall in China's western loess plateau as influenced by dust storm and haze events, Atmospheric Environment, 38(12), 1699-1703, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.01.003, 2004.
- Liu, L.Y., Skidmore, E., Hasi, E., Wagner, L., and Tatarko, J.: Dune sand transport as influenced by wind directions, speed and frequencies in the Ordos Plateau, China, Geomorphology, 67(3-4), 283-297, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.10.005,
- 20 2005.
- Liu, L.Y., Yang, Y.Y., Shi, P.J., Zhang, G.M., and Qu, Z.Q.: The role of maximum wind speed in sand-transporting events, Geomorphology, 238, 177-186, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.03.007, 2015.
- [Liu, X., Shi, X., Zhang, K., Jensen, E. J., Gettelman, A., Barahona, D., Nenes, A., and Lawson, P.: Sensitivity studies of dust ice nuclei effect on cirrus clouds with the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12061-12079, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12061-2012, 2012.](#)
- 25
- Luo, C., Mahowald, N.M., Meskhihidze, N., Chen, Y., Siefert, R.L., Baker, A.R., and Johansen, A.M.: Estimation of iron solubility from observations and a global aerosol model, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D23307, doi:10.1029/2005JD006059, 2005.
- Lyu, Y.L., Qu, Z.Q., Liu, L.Y., Guo, L.L., Yang, Y.Y., Hu, X., Xiong, Y.Y., Zhang, G.M., Zhao, M.D., Liang, B., Dai, J.D., Jia, Q.P., Zheng, H., Han, X.J., Zhao, S.D., and Liu, Q.: Characterization of dustfall in rural and urban sites during three
- 30 dust storms in northern China, 2010, Aeolian Research, 28, 29-37, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2017.06.004, 2017a.
- Lyu, Y.L., Liu, L.Y., Guo, L.L., Yang, Y.Y., Qu, Z.Q., Hu, X., and Zhang, G.M.: Deposited atmospheric dust as influenced by anthropogenic emissions in northern China, Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 189(8), 390, doi:10.1007/s10661-017-6093-1, 2017b.

- Mahowald, N.M., Baker, A.R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Duce, R.A., Jickells, T.D., Kubilay, N., Prospero, J.M., and Tegen, I.: Atmospheric global dust cycle and iron inputs to the ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 19, GB4025, doi:10.1029/2004GB002402, 2005.
- 5 Mahowald, N.M., Engelstaedter, S., Luo, C., Sealy, A., Artaxo, P., Benitez-Nelson, C., Bonnet, S., Chen, Y., Chuang, P.Y., Cohen, D.D., Dulac, F., Herut, B., Johansen, A.M., Kubilay, N., Losno, R., Maenhaut, W., Paytan, A., Prospero, J.M., Shank, L.M., and Siefert, R.L.: Atmospheric iron deposition: global distribution, variability, and human perturbations, *Annu. Rev. Marine. Sci.*, 1, 245-278, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163727, 2009.
- 10 Mahowald, N.M., Kohfeld, K.E., Hansson, M., Balkanski, Y., Harrison, S.P., Prentice, I.C., Michael, S., and Rodhe, H.: Dust sources and deposition during the last glacial maximum and current climate: a comparison of model results with paleodata from ice cores and marine sediments, *J. Geophys. Res.* 104, 15895-916, doi:10.1029/1999JD900084, 1999.
- Mahowald, N.M., Luo, C., Corral, J.d., and Zender C.: Interannual variability in atmospheric mineral aerosols from a 22-year model simulation and observational data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D12), 4352, doi:10.1029/2002JD002821, 2003.
- 15 Mahowald, N.M., Engelstaedter, S., Luo, C., Sealy, A., Artaxo, P., Benitez-Nelson, C., Bonnet, S., Chen, Y., Chuang, P.Y., Cohen, D.D., Dulac, F., Herut, B., Johansen, A.M., Kubilay, N., Losno, R., Maenhaut, W., Paytan, A., Prospero, J.M., Shank, L.M., and Siefert, R.L.: Atmospheric iron deposition: global distribution, variability, and human perturbations, *Annu. Rev. Marine. Sci.* 1, 245-278, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163727, 2009.
- Mahowald, N.M., Scanza, R., Brahney, J., Goodale, C.L., Hess, P.G., Moore, J.K., and Neff, J.: Aerosol deposition impacts on land and ocean carbon cycles, *Current Climate Change Reports*, 3(1), 16-31, doi:10.1007/s40641-017-0056-z, 2017.
- 20 Masuoka, E., Roy, D., Wolfe, R., Morissette, J., Sinno, S., Teague, M., Saleous, N., Devadiga, S., Justice, C., and Nickeson, J.: MODIS Land Data Products: Generation, Quality Assurance and Validation. In: Ramachandran B., Justice C., Abrams M. (eds) *Land Remote Sensing and Global Environmental Change. Remote Sensing and Digital Image Processing, vol 11*, Springer: New York (NY), p509-531, doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-6749-7_22, 2010.
- McKendry, I.G., Macdonald, A.M., Leaitch, W.R., van Donkelaar, A., Zhang, Q., Duck, T., and Martin, R.V.: Trans-Pacific dust events observed at Whistler, British Columbia during INTEX-B, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6297-6307, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6297-2008, 2008.
- 25 McTainsh, G., and Strong, C.: The role of aeolian dust in ecosystems, *Geomorphology*, 89, 39-54, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.07.028, 2007.
- MEP (Ministry of Environmental Protection, China): *Ambient air quality standards (GB 3095-2012)*, Standards Press of China, Beijing, 2012a.
- 30 MEP (Ministry of Environmental Protection, China): *Determination of atmospheric particles PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in ambient air by gravimetric method (HJ 618-2011)*, Standards Press of China, Beijing, 2011.
- MEP (Ministry of Environmental Protection, China): *Ambient air quality standards (GB 3095-2012)*, Standards Press of China, Beijing, 2012a.

MEP (Ministry of Environmental Protection, China): Technical Regulation on Ambient Air Quality Index (HJ 633-2012), Standards Press of China, Beijing, 2012b.

Merrill, J.T., Arnold, E., Leinen, M., and Weaver, C.: Mineralogy of aeolian dust reaching the North Pacific Ocean. 2: Relationship of mineral assemblages to atmospheric transport patterns, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(D10), 21025-21032, doi:10.1029/94JD01734, 1994.

Merrill, J.T., Uematsu, M., and Bleck, R.: Meteorological analysis of long range transport of mineral aerosols over the North Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94, 8584-8598, doi:10.1029/JD094iD06p08584, 1989.

Murayama, T., Sugimoto, N., Uno, I., Kinoshita, K., Aoki, K., Hagiwara, N., Liu, Z.Y., Matsui, I., Sakai, T., Shibata, T., Arai, K., Sohn, B.-J., Won, J.-G., Yoon, S.-C., Li, T., Zhou, J., Hu, H.L., Abo, M., Iokibe, K., Koga, R., and Iwasaka, Y.: Ground-based network observation of Asian dust events of April 1998 in east Asia, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, 18345-18359, doi:10.1029/2000JD900554, 2001.

Nee, J.B., Chiang, C.-W., Hu, H.-L., Hu, S.-X., and Yu, J.-Y.: Lidar measurements of Asian dust storms and dust cloud interactions, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(D15), doi:10.1029/2007JD008476, 2007.

Park, T.W., Ho, C.H., Jeong, S.J., Choi, Y.S., Park, S.K., and Song, C.K.: Different characteristics of cold day and cold surge frequency over East Asia in a global warming situation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D12118, doi:10.1029/2010JD015369, 2011.

Pye, K.: *Aeolian dust and dust deposits*, Academic Press, London, 5-63, 1987.

[Sand, M., Samset, B. H., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Bellouin, N., Berntsen, T. K., Bian, H., Chin, M., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Ghan, S. J., Iversen, T., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Lin, G., Liu, X., Luo, G., Myhre, G., Noije, T. V., Penner, J. E., Schulz, M., Seland, Ø., Skeie, R. B., Stier, P., Takemura, T., Tsigaridis, K., Yu, F., Zhang, K., and Zhang, H.: Aerosols at the poles: an AeroCom Phase II multi-model evaluation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 12197-12218, doi:10.5194/acp-17-12197-2017, 2017.](#)

Satake, S., Uno, I., Takemura, T., Carmichael, G., Tang, Y., Streets, D., Sugimoto, N., Shimizu, A., Uematsu, M., Han, J.-S., and Ohta, S.: Characteristics of Asian aerosol transport simulated with a regional-scale chemical transport model during the ACE-Asia observation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D19S22, doi:10.1029/2003JD003997, 2004.

Saxton, K., Chandler, D., Stetler, L., Lamb, B. Claiborn, C., and Lee, B.-H.: Wind erosion and fugitive dust fluxes on agricultural lands in the Pacific Northwest, *Trans. ASAE*, 43, 623-630, doi:10.13031/2013.2743, 2000.

Shao Y.: *Physics and modeling of wind erosion*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 225-278, 2000.

[Shao, Y.: A model for mineral dust emission, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 20239-20254, doi:10.1029/2001JD900171, 2001.](#)

[Shao, Y., Jung, E., and Leslie, L.M.: Numerical prediction of northeast Asian dust storms using an integrated wind erosion modeling system, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107\(D24\), 4814, doi:10.1029/2001JD001493, 2002.](#)

[Shao, Y.: Simplification of a dust emission scheme and comparison with data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D10202, doi:10.1029/2003JD004372, 2004.](#)

[Shao, Y., and Dong, C.: A review on East Asian dust storm climate, modelling and monitoring, *Global and Planetary Change*, 52, 1-22, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.011, 2006.](#)

- Shao, Y., Klose, M., and Wyrwoll, K.: Recent global dust trend and connections to climate forcing, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50836, 2013.
- 5 ~~Shao, Y.,~~ Wyrwoll, K., Chappell, A., Huang, J., Lin, Z., McTainsh, G., Mikami, M., Tanaka, T., Wang, X., and Yoon, S.: Dust cycle: an emerging core theme in Earth system science, *Aeolian Research*, 2(4), 181-204, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2011.02.001, 2011a.
- Shao, Y., Ishizuka, M., Mikami, M., and Leys, J.F.: Parameterization of size-resolved dust emission and validation with measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D08203, doi:10.1029/2010JD014527, 2011b.
- Shao, Y., Klose, M., and Wyrwoll, K.: Recent global dust trend and connections to climate forcing, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50836, 2013.
- 10 ~~A model for mineral dust emission, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 20239-20254, doi:10.1029/2001JD900171, 2001.~~
- ~~Shao, Y.: Simplification of a dust emission scheme and comparison with data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D10202, doi:10.1029/2003JD004372, 2004.~~
- Sharratt, B., and Lauer, D.: Particulate matter concentration and air quality affected by windblown dust in the Columbia Plateau, *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 35, 2011-2016, doi:10.2134/jeq2006.0212, 2006.
- 15 Sharratt, B., Wendling, L., and Feng, G.: Windblown dust affected by tillage intensity during summer fallow, *Aeolian Research*, 2(2-3), 129-134, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2010.03.003, 2010.
- Shimizu, A., Nishizawa, T., Jin, Y., Kim, S.W., Wang, Z.F., Batdorj, D., and Sugimoto, N.: Evolution of a lidar network for tropospheric aerosol detection in East Asia, *Optical Engineering*, 56(3), 031219, doi:10.1117/1.OE.56.3.031219, 2016.
- ~~Shimizu, A.,~~ Sugimoto, N., Matsui, I., Arao, K., Uno, I., Murayama, T., Kagawa, N., Aoki, K., Uchiyama, A., and Yamazaki, A.: Continuous observations of Asian dust and other aerosols by polarization lidar in China and Japan during ACE-Asia, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D19S17, doi:10.1029/2002JD003253, 2004.
- 20 ~~Shimizu, A., Nishizawa, T., Jin, Y., Kim, S.W., Wang, Z.F., Batdorj, D., and Sugimoto, N.: Evolution of a lidar network for tropospheric aerosol detection in East Asia, *Optical Engineering*, 56(3), 031219, doi:10.1117/1.OE.56.3.031219, 2016.~~
- Stanhill, G.: Global dimming: a new aspect of climate change, *Weather*, 60(1), 11-14, doi:10.1256/wea.210.03, 2005.
- 25 Sugimoto, N., Uno, I., Nishikawa, M., Shimizu, A., Matsui, I., Dong, X.H., Chen, Y., Quan, H.: Record Heavy Asian Dust in Beijing in 2002: Observations and Model Analysis of Recent Events, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(12), 1640, doi:10.1029/2002GL016349, 2003.
- ~~Sugimoto, N.,~~ Matsui, I., Shimizu, A., Nishizawa, T., Hara, Y., Xie, C.B., Uno, I., Yumimoto, K., Wang, Z.F., and Yoon S.-C.: Lidar Network Observations of Tropospheric Aerosols, Lidar Remote Sensing for Environmental Monitoring IX, Proc. of SPIE, 7153, doi:10.1117/12.806540, 2008.
- 30 ~~Sugimoto, N., Uno, I., Nishikawa, M., Shimizu, A., Matsui, I., Dong, X.H., Chen, Y., Quan, H.: Record Heavy Asian Dust in Beijing in 2002: Observations and Model Analysis of Recent Events, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(12), 1640, doi:10.1029/2002GL016349, 2003.~~

- Tagliabue, A., Bowie, A.R., Boyd, P.W., Buck, K.N., Johnson, K.S., and Saito, M.A.: The integral role of iron in ocean biogeochemistry, *Nature*, 543, 51-59, doi:10.1038/nature21058, 2017.
- Takemura, T., Uno, I., Nakajima, T., Higurashi, A., and Sano, I.: Modeling study of long-range transport of Asian dust and anthropogenic aerosols from East Asia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(24), 2158, doi:10.1029/2002GL016251, 2002.
- 5 [Tan, S.-C., Li, J., Che, H., Chen, B., and Wang, H.: Transport of East Asian dust storms to the marginal seas of China and the southern North Pacific in spring 2010, *Atmospheric Environment*, 148, 316-328, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.10.054, 2017.](#)
- Tanaka, T.Y., and Chiba, M.: A numerical study of the contributions of dust source regions to the global dust budget, *Global and Planetary Change*, 52, 88-104, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.002, 2006.
- 10 Tegen, I., Lacis, A.A., and Fung, I.: The influence on climate forcing of mineral aerosols from disturbed soils, *Nature*, 380, 419-422, doi:10.1038/380419a0, 1996.
- Tsoar, H., and Pye, K.: Dust transport and the question of desert loess formation, *Sedimentology*, 34, 139-153, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1987.tb00566.x, 1987.
- Uematsu, M., Duce, R.A., Prospero, J.M., Chen, L.Q., Merrill J.T., and McDonald, R.L.: Transport of mineral aerosol from Asia over the North Pacific Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88(C9), 5343-5352, doi:10.1029/JC088iC09p05343, 1983.
- 15 [Uematsu, M., Wang, Z.F., and Uno, I.: Atmospheric input of mineral dust to the western North Pacific region based on direct measurements and a regional chemical transport model, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 30\(6\), 1342, doi:10.1029/2002GL016645, 2003.](#)
- Uno, I., Amano, H., Emori, S., Kinoshita, K., Matsui, I., and Sugimoto, N.: Trans-Pacific yellow sand transport observed in April 1998: A numerical simulation, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(D16), 18331-18344, doi:10.1029/2000JD900748, 2001.
- 20 [Uno, I., Wang, Z., Chiba, M., Chun, Y.S., Gong, S.L., Hara, Y., Jung, E., Lee, S.-S., Liu, M., Mikami, M., Music, S., Nickovic, S., Satake, S., Shao, Y., Song, Z., Sugimoto, N., Tanaka, T., Westphal, D.: Dust model intercomparison \(DMIP\) study over Asia: Overview, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111\(D12\), 2503-2511, doi:10.1029/2005JD006575, 2006.](#)
- 25 [Uno, I., Eguchi, K., and Yumimoto, K.: Asian dust transported one full circuit around the globe, *Nature \(Geoscience\)*, 2, 557-560, doi:10.1038/ngeo583, 2009.](#)
- [USEPA: Guideline for reporting of daily air quality - the Air Quality Index \(AQI\), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 2006.](#)
- 30 USEPA: Compendium of methods for the determination of inorganic compounds in ambient air (chapter 10-1), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Center for Environmental Research Information, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, Ohio, 2009.
- ~~USEPA: Guideline for reporting of daily air quality - the Air Quality Index (AQI), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 2006.~~

- Wang, L., Zhang, P., Tan, S., Zhao, X., Cheng, D., Wei, W., Su, J., and Pan, X.: Assessment of urban air quality in China using air pollution indices (APIs), *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 63(2), 170-178, doi:10.1080/10962247.2012.739583, 2013.
- Wang, Y.M., Wang, J.H., Qi, Y., and Yan, C.Z.: China 1:100000 Desert (Sand land) Map, Environmental and Ecological Science Data Centre for West China (<http://westdc.westgis.ac.cn>), doi:10.3972/westdc.006.2013.db, 2005.
- Wang, Z.F., Ueda, H. and Huang, M.Y.: A deflation module for use in modeling long-range transport of yellow sand over East Asia, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D22), 26947-26960, doi:10.1029/2000JD900370, 2000.
- Wesely M.L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, *Atmospheric Environment*, 23(6), 1293-1304, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(89)90153-4, 1989.
- Wesely, M.L., and Hicks, B.B.: A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition, *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(12-14), 2261-2282, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00467-7, 2000.
- Whicker, J.J., Breshears, D.D. and Field, J.P.: Progress on relationships between horizontal and vertical dust flux: Mathematical, empirical and risk-based perspectives, *Aeolian Research*, 14, 105-111, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2013.12.001, 2014.
- 15 Wu, C., [and Lin, Z.: Impact of Two Different Dust Emission Schemes on the Simulation of a Severe Dust Storm in East Asia Using the WRF/Chem Model. Climatic and Environmental Research, 19\(4\), 419-436. doi:10.3878/j.issn.1006-9585.2013.13041.2014.](#)
- [Wu, C., Liu, X., Lin, Z., Rahimi-Esfarjani, S.R., and Lu, Z.: Impacts of absorbing aerosol deposition on snowpack and hydrologic cycle in the Rocky Mountain region based on variable-resolution CESM \(VR-CESM\) simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 511-533, doi:10.5194/acp-18-511-2018, 2018.](#)
- 20 Young, R.W., Carder, K.L., Betzer, P.R., Costello, D.K., Duce, R.A., DiTullio, G.R., Tindale, N.W., Laws, E.A., Uemastu, M., Merrill, J.T., and Feely, R.A.: Atmospheric iron inputs and primary productivity: Phytoplankton responses in the North Pacific, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 5(2), 119-134, doi:10.1029/91GB00927, 1991.
- [Yu, F., Luo, G., Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ma, X., and Ghan, S. J.: Indirect radiative forcing by ion-mediated nucleation of aerosol. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11451-11463, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11451-2012, 2012.](#)
- 25 Zhang, X.X., Shi, P.J., Liu, L.Y., Tang, Y., Cao, H.W., Zhang, X.N., Hu, X., Guo, L.L., Lue, Y.L., Qu, Z.Q., Jia, Z.J., and Yang, Y.Y.: Ambient TSP concentration and dustfall in major cities of China: spatial distribution and temporal variability, *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 1641-1648, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.035, 2010.
- Zhang, X.X., Sharratt, B., Chen, X., Wang, Z.F., Liu, L.Y., Guo, Y.H., Li, J., Chen, H.S., and Yang, W.Y.: Dust deposition and ambient PM₁₀ concentration in northwest China: spatial and temporal variability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 1699-1711, doi:10.5194/acp-17-1699-2017, 2017.
- 30 Zhang, X.Y., Arimoto, R., and An, Z.S.: Dust emission from Chinese desert sources linked to variations in atmospheric circulation, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102(D23), 28041-28047, doi:10.1029/97JD02300, 1997.

Zhang, X.Y., Shen, Z.B., Zhang, G.Y., Chen, T., and Liu, H.: Remote mineral aerosols in westerlies and their contributions to the Chinese loess, *SCIENCE CHINA Earth Sciences*, 39(2), 134-143, doi:10.1360/ym1996-39-2-134, 1996.

Zhao, C., Liu, X., Leung, L.R., Johnson, B., McFarlane, S.A., Gustafson Jr., W.I., Fast, J.D., and Easter, R.: The spatial distribution of mineral dust and its shortwave radiative forcing over North Africa: modeling sensitivities to dust emissions and aerosol size treatments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8821-8838, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8821-2010, 2010.

Zhao, T., Gong, S., Zhang, X.Y., and McKendry, I.: Modeled size-segregated wet and dry deposition budgets of soil dust aerosol during ACE-Asia, 2001: Implications for trans-Pacific transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D23), 8665, doi:10.1029/2002JD003363, 2003.

Zheng, Y., Zhao, T., Che, H., Liu, Y., Han, Y., Liu, C., Xiong, J., Liu, J., and Zhou, Y.: A 20-year simulated climatology of global dust aerosol deposition, *Science of The Total Environment*, 557-558, 861-868, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.086, 2016.

[Zhuang, G.S., Yi, Z., and Duce, R.: Link between iron and sulphur cycles suggested by detection of iron\(II\) in remote marine aerosols. *Nature*, 355, 537-539, doi:10.1038/355537a0, 1992.](#)

Tables

Table 1. Ambient particulate matter concentration related to air pollution levels in China.

Levels	Air Quality Index (AQI)	PM ₁₀ concentration (µg m ⁻³)	PM _{2.5} concentration (µg m ⁻³)	Air quality rating
1	0-50	0-50	0-35	Excellent
2	51-100	50-150	35-75	Moderate
3	101-150	150-250	75-115	Lightly pollution
4	151-200	250-350	115-150	Moderate pollution
5	201-300	350-420	150-250	Heavy pollution
6	301-500	>420	>250	Severe pollution

带格式表格

Table 2. List of Lidar observation stations in this study.

No.	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Location
1	Beijing	39.97° N	116.37° E	Institute of Atmospheric Physics, CAS
2	Seoul	37.46° N	126.95° E	Seoul National University
3	Matsue	35.48° N	133.01° E	Shimane Prefecture
4	Osaka	34.65° N	135.59° E	Kinki University
5	Tokyo	35.69° N	139.71° E	Shinjuku

带格式表格

Table 3. Estimates of atmospheric dust emission and deposition over the Asian-Pacific region during 2-10 May, 2017.

Earth surface	Region	Emission (Tg)	Deposition (Tg)
Continent	Mongolia	13.3	4.5
	China	16.4	14.7
	Korea peninsula	-	0.2
	Japan	-	0.1
	Canada	-	0.2
	United States	0.7	0.7
Ocean	Yellow Sea	-	0.5
	East China Sea	-	0.1
	Japan Sea	-	0.7
	North Pacific	-	5.3
Total		30.4	25.7

← 带格式表格

Figures





Figure 1. Terra-MODIS images showing dust outbreak across East Asia from 2 to 7 May, 2017. Red star, rectangle and circle indicate Beijing, Seoul, and Tokyo, respectively.






Figure 2. Location of environmental monitoring stations in China and Lidar monitoring stations in east Asia.




Figure 3. Observations of PM₁₀ concentrations across China during 2-7 May, 2017. PM₁₀ concentrations are reported as average hourly based on 24 observations during the day. Land use types are identified across the China according to Wang et al., 2005.

5




Figure 4. Hourly air quality index as observed for major stations in China during 2-7 May, 2017. The PM_{10} pollutant contributing to the hourly AQI is identified for each station.




Figure 5. Distribution of mean aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 550 nm derived from MODIS Terra Deep-blue product in East Asia during 2-7 May, 2017.




Figure 6. Maximum wind speed across East Asia during 2-7 May, 2017. Land cover types in East Asia are derived from global land cover products published by European Space Agency (ESA).




Figure 7. Lidar profile of dust vertical distribution in Beijing, Seoul, Matsue, Osaka and Tokyo during 2-10 May, 2017. Colors indicate depolarization ratio observed in ground Lidar.





Figure 8. Forward trajectory analysis on dust transport (units: $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) in free atmosphere by FLEXPART model in 216 hours from 2-10 May, 2017.






Figure 9. Estimation of atmospheric daily dust emission (a), average dust loading (b) and dust deposition (c) over Asia-Pacific region during 2-10 May, 2017.




Figure 10. Vertical profiles of atmospheric features derived from CALIPSO satellite VFM data on 7 May (Fig.10a, 10b and 10c) and 8 May (Fig.10e, 10f, and 10g), 2017. (ND=Not determined, CM=Clean marine, D=Dust, PC=Polluted continental, CC=Clean continental, PD=Polluted dust, S=Smoke, O=Other). Each Satellite trajectories of vertical profiles were presented in Fig. 10d.