

I would like to thank the authors for taking my comments into account. I think the manuscript has been much improved. I only have a few more comments. (Page and line numbers refer to the modified version of the manuscript with tracked changes.)

The reason that I suggested adding PSM data to Fig. 3 is to better separate new particle formation from primary emissions. However, the PSM total (>2.5 nm) number concentration also includes primary emissions. Could you add the concentration within the interval 1.2-2.5 nm to Fig. 3?

Page 1: line 30: Change “bigger” to “larger”.

Page 8, lines 34-35: Please rephrase. One suggestion is: “if we assume equally large vapor sources at all locations, lower growth rates may be expected at the urban stations where the vapor will be distributed over a larger number of particles.”

Page 11, line 29: Change “less vapors” to “lower vapor concentrations”.