Supporting information for

Occurrence and Spatial Distribution of the Neutral Per-fluoroalkyl Substances, and Cyclic Volatile Methylsiloxanes in Atmosphere of the Tibetan Plateau

Xiaoping Wang^{1,2*}, *Jasmin Schuster*^{3,4}, *Kevin C. Jones*⁴, *Ping Gong*^{1,2}, and *Tandong Yao*^{1,2}

		Pages
Figure S1	The passive air monitoring network comprising 16 sampling sites across the TP	3
Text S1	Details related to the depuration compounds (DCs)	4
Table S1	Details about the recovery standard and internal standard	5
Table SI2	Amount (pg/sample) of PFASs in field blanks	6
Table SI3	Amount (ng/sample) of cVMS in field blanks	6
Table S4	Air concentrations of FTO, FTOHs, FBSA, FOSAs, FBSE and FOSEs (pg m ⁻³).	7
Table S5	Relationships between Log KOA of individual VMSs and Log (K <i>SIP-A</i>)	8
Text S2	Sampling rates (<i>Rs</i>) estimation using DCs	9
Table S6	Summary of the recoveries of DCs (%) and calculated <i>Rs</i> values for different sampling site	10
Table S7	Temperature-calibrated SIP-air partition coefficient (Log K _{SIP-A}) for each sampling site	11
Table S8	The air volume sampled by the SIP disk V _{air} (m ³)	12
Table S9	Air concentrations of D3, D4, D5 and D6 (ng m ⁻³).	13

Figure S2	Schematic of the air circulation pattern over the	14
	Tibetan Plateau	
Table S10		15
	P-values (one-way ANOVA) for significant variation	
	in levels of different class of chemicals in different	
	sites	
Table S11	Ratios of 4:2/8:2 FTOH, 8:2/10:2 FTOH, 10:2/6:2	16
	FTOH in atmosphere of the TP	
Table S12	Correlation (r) of individual VMS compounds among	17
	all the samples	



Figure S1. The passive air monitoring network comprising 16 sampling sites across the TP (Lhasa and Golmud are two booming cities, GBJD: Gongbujiangda)

Text SI 1 Details related to the depuration compounds (DCs)

DCs are some semi-volatile chemicals which are added to determine site-specific sampling rates. Before sampling, the PUF-disks were spiked with four depuration compounds (DCs, PCB-30, -54, -104, -188). This was achieved by adding 50ul of the DC mixture (each DC is 400pg/ul) to approximately 15ml of hexane and then evenly applying to both sides of the PUF disk using a pipette. DCs will experience volatilization losses if exposed to air. The amount of losses will depend on their volatility, exposure time, temperature and wind speed. Because the uptake of SOCs is air-side controlled, the rate of uptake of chemical will be the same as the rate of loss. Then, by measuring the losses of DCs in PUF disks, the site-specific air sampling rates can be obtained.

Table S1 Details about the	Table S1 Details about the recovery standard and internal standard				
Analyte	Supplier (purity)				
	Recovery standard				
5:1 FTOH	Matrix Scientific, Columbia, SC, USA, 99%				
7:1FTOH	Interchim, Montlucon Cedex, France, 97%				
9:1 FTOH	Interchim, Montlucon Cedex, France, 97%				
11:1 FTOH	Interchim, Montlucon Cedex, France, 97%				
[M+4]6:2 FTOH	Wellington Laboratories Inc, 98%				
[M+5]8:2 FTOH	DuPont				
[M+4]10:2 FTOH	Wellington Laboratories Inc, 98%				
[M+3]NMeFOSA	Wellington Laboratories Inc, 98%				
[M+5]NEtFOSA	Wellington Laboratories Inc, 98%				
[M+7]NMeFOSE	Wellington Laboratories Inc, 98%				
[M+9]NEtFOSE	Wellington Laboratories Inc, 98%				
	Internal standard				
13:1 FTOH	Interchim, Montlucon Cedex, France, 97%				
13 C HCB	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc, 99%				

Table	Table SI2 Amount (pg/sample) of PFASs in field blanks												
	8:2	4:2	6:2	8:2	10:2	12:2	6:2	8:2	NMeFBSA	NMeFOSA	NEtFOSA	NMeFOSE	NetFOSE
	FTO	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH	FTA	FTA					
F1	55	187	85	58	133	23	23	17	26	65	321	45	56
F2	28	155	47	35	93	15	34	17	33	44	288	43	27
F3	79	143	93	44	77	37	53	27	28	37	293	34	48
F4	44	102	69	38	120	45	28	16	32	29	189	45	59
F5	27	89	84	45	135	22	15	5	43	34	210	26	54
F6	36	177	93	34	103	18	34	9	22	52	203	47	53
Ave	45	142	79	43	111	27	31	15	31	43	251	40	49
MDL	104	261	131	69	179	63	69	37	52	83	419	64	84

Table SI3 Amount (ng/sample) of cVMS in field blanks								
	D3	D4	D5	D6				
F1	35	70	520	87				
F2	29.9	39.7	437	55.8				
F3	38.4	45.6	763	47.9				
F4	27.9	33.8	553	58.6				
F5	30.7	65.4	327	57.2				
F6	45.5	88.7	378	47.7				
Ave	34.5	57.2	380	59.0				
MDL	52.5	115	681	98.6				

Table S4 Air concentrations of FTO, FTOHs, FBSA, FOSAs, FBSE and FOSEs (pg m ⁻³).												
Sampling	8:2	4:2	6:2	8:2	10:2	12:2	NMeFBSA	NMeFOSA	NEtFOSA	NMeFBSE	NMeFOSE	NEtFOSE
sites	FTO	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH						
Bomi	1.93	67.5	6.15	61	6.75	3.11	3.655	5.11	4.26	1.77	0.57	0.185
Rawu	1.27	72	8.6	83.5	11.4	4.86	2.78	6.35	3.44	3.38	0.81	0.13
Lunang	1.56	59.5	5.75	88	7.85	2.72	2.445	4.27	2.34	1.09	0.42	0.08
Qamdo	2.28	53.5	4.66	40	4.77	1.68	1.61	3.84	1.77	0.83	0.24	0.11
Chayu	1.21	93.5	18.55	85.5	15.65	9.25	7.8	7.75	5.12	0.92	0.38	0.085
Nam Co	1.61	37.5	2.26	32.5	2.29	1.23	1.385	1.79	0.88	0.27	0.14	0.22
GBJD	0.99	51	5.8	66.5	7.45	3.16	1.79	1.36	1.62	0.48	0.42	0.18
Lhasa	1.04	43.5	16.85	71	29.1	22.2	3.495	2.94	0.94	1.43	0.89	0.13
Lhaze	1.33	35	4.39	54	5.2	2.17	0.655	1.99	0.39	0.32	0.46	0.13
Xigaze	0.83	44.5	3.275	51	3.81	1.88	1.445	1.11	0.26	0.21	0.38	0.075
Mt. Everest	0.44	39.5	4.2	34	7.165	2.9	0.49	0.89	0.36	0.11	0.32	0.16
Saga	0.34	47.5	3.44	51	4.29	2.11	0.615	1.92	1.39	0.19	0.17	0.19
Golmud	1.11	37.5	8.3	69.5	10.1	3.7	0.99	1.22	0.98	0.245	0.335	0.08
Naqu	0.88	22.5	2.67	38	3.16	2.17	0.62	1.38	0.235	0.61	0.19	0.11
Gar	0.18	17.5	2.435	42.5	2.585	0.61	0.66	1.90	0.445	0.365	0.19	0.17
Muztagata	1.12	38.5	4.475	30	4.13	1.59	1.61	3.82	0.365	0.34	0.31	0.095

Relations	nips between te	mperature and	Relationships between Log K _{OA} of
octanol-air partition coefficient (Log KOA) of			individual VMSs and Log (K <i>SIP-A</i>)*
individual VMSs			
Lo	$\log(K_{OA}) = m / (T_{OA})$	r) + b *	$Log (K_{SIP-A}) = -0.05 Log K_{OA} + 6.51$
	m	b	
D3	1958	-2.7	
D4	2583	-3.2	
D5	3056	-3.4	
D6	3648	-3.7	

Table S5 Relationships between Log K_{OA} of individual VMSs and Log (K_{SIP-A})

Text S2. Sampling rates (Rs) estimation using DCs

By measuring the losses of DCs in PUF disks during each sampling period, the relationship described in eq 1 could be used to calculate the site-specific air sampling rates (*Rs*, Moeckel et al., 2009).

$$R = \frac{-\ln\left(\frac{C_{DC}}{C_{DC-stable}}\right) \cdot K_{PAS-A} \cdot \rho_{PAS} \cdot V}{t}$$
(1)
$$K_{PAS-A} = 10^{0.6366 \log K_{OA}-3.1774}$$
(2)

Where, C_{DC} and $C_{DC-stable}$ are the concentrations of DC and DC-stable at the end of the deployment period, respectively (ng sample⁻¹). K_{PAS-A} is the chemical's PAS-air partition coefficient with units of m³ g⁻¹ and it can be calculated according to the regression (eq 2) given by Shoeib and Harner (2002), ρ_{PAS} is the PAS bulk density (21300 g m⁻³), *V* is the volume of the PAS (0.000207 m³), and *t* is the deployment period in days. PCB-188 (average recovery is 81%) was used as DC-stable for correcting the losses of DCs. Only DCs that have recoveries within the desired range of between 20% and 80% should be used to estimate uptake rates. In our study (see Table SI-7), two proper DCs were PCB-30 (55%) and PCB-54 (73%).

References:

- Moeckel, C., Harner, T., Nizzetto, L., Strandberg, B., Lindroth, A., Jones, K.C., 2009. Use of depuration compounds in passive air samplers: Results from active sampling-supported field deployment, potential uses, and recommendations. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 3227-3232.
- Shoeib, M., Harner, T., 2002. Characterization and comparison of three passive air samplers for persistent organic pollutants. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 4142-4151.

Table S6. Summary of the recoveries of DCs (%) and calculated Rs values for											
different sampling site											
Sampling site	PCB-	PCB-	PCB-104	PCB-188	Rs (m^3/day)	k_A (m/day)					
	30	54									
Bomi	61	42	10	98	4.8	125					
Rawu	41	75	22	114	4.6	120					
Lunang	55	78	20	99	4.4	114					
Qamdo	50	85	21	107	3.9	101					
Chayu	56	76	14	99	4.3	112					
Nam Co	31	46	20	91	6.2	161					
GBJD	70	78	19	85	3.8	99					
Lhasa	40	68	8	80	4.5	117					
Lhaze	60	60	24	119	4.3	112					
Xigaze	60	70	16	88	4.2	109					
Mt. Everest	28	32	7	93	6.1	159					
Saga	32	46	27	107	5.7	148					
Golmud	31	39	10	78	6.0	156					
Gaerze	15	28	7	93	6.8	177					
Gar	18	35	8	80	6.5	169					
Muztagata	27	30	16	81	6.4	166					

Table S7 Temperature	Table S7 Temperature-calibrated SIP-air partition coefficient (Log K _{SIP-A})										
	for each sampling site										
	D3	D4	D5	D6							
Bomi	6.30	6.21	6.14	6.05							
Rawu	6.28	6.19	6.12	6.02							
Lulang	6.29	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Qamdo	6.30	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Chayu	6.30	6.22	6.14	6.06							
NamCo	6.28	6.19	6.12	6.02							
GBJD	6.29	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Lhasa	6.30	6.21	6.14	6.05							
Lhaze	6.30	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Xigaze	6.29	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Mt. Everest	6.29	6.20	6.13	6.04							
Saga	6.29	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Golmud	6.29	6.21	6.13	6.04							
Naqu	6.28	6.21	6.12	6.02							
Gar	6.29	6.21	6.12	6.03							
Muztagata	6.28	6.19	6.11	6.01							

Table	Table S8 The air volume sampled by the SIP disk								
	V_{air} (m ³)								
	D3	D4	D5	D6	Average				
Bomi	83	101	119	147	112				
Rawu	82	101	120	149	113				
Lulang	76	93	110	136	104				
Qamdo	67	82	97	119	91				
Chayu	73	89	105	129	99				
NamCo	110	135	161	200	152				
GBJD	66	80	96	118	90				
Lhasa	77	95	112	138	105				
Lhaze	74	91	108	133	101				
Xigaze	72	89	105	129	99				
Mt. Everest	106	130	155	191	145				
Saga	98	120	142	175	134				
Golmud	104	127	151	186	142				
Naqu	120	148	176	218	166				
Gar	114	140	167	206	157				
Muztagata	115	141	169	211	159				

Table S	Table S9 Air concentrations of D3, D4, D5 and D6 (ng m ⁻³)										
Sampling sites	D3	D4	D5	D6							
Bomi	44.4	37.8	74.0	1.2							
Rawu	33.0	54.2	103.6	2.9							
Lunang	17.8	43.5	48.1	1.0							
Qamdo	43.1	53.5	145.6	1.3							
Chayu	60.9	96.6	79.8	2.3							
Nam Co	11.2	20.2	4.0	0.9							
GBJD	71.1	59.5	130.0	1.7							
Lhasa	44.8	54.6	464.6	1.3							
Lhaze	12.7	42.7	80.8	2.8							
Xigaze	42.3	34.3	36.9	0.4							
Mt. Everest	39.5	27.8	6.6	0.2							
Saga	8.2	15.8	11.7	1.3							
Golmud	26.8	48.6	208.1	2.8							
Naqu	4.8	11.2	5.1	1.6							
Gar	3.4	13.5	9.5	2.9							
Muztagata	2.3	6.1	9.1	0.9							
average	29.1	38.8	88.6	1.6							



Figure S2 Schematic of the air circulation pattern over the Tibetan Plateau, group1: Monsoon region; group 2: Westerly region; group 3.transition region

Reference:

Wang, X.; Ren, J.; Gong, P..; Wang, C.; Xue, Y.; Yao, T.; Lohmann, R. Spatial Distribution of the Persistent Organic Pollutants across the Tibetan Plateau and Its Linkage with the Climate Systems: Five Year Air Monitoring Study. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 2016, 16, 6901-6911.

Wang, X.; Gong, P.; Yao, T., Jones, K.C. Passive air sampling of organochlorine pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers across the tibetan plateau. *Environ Sci Technol* **2010**, *44*, (8), 2988-93.

of different class of chemicals in different sites									
Sampling site	8:2 FTO	ΣFTOH	ΣFOSA	ΣFOSE	ΣcVMS				
Bomi	0.02	0.36	0.02	0.65	0.63				
Rawu	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.39	0.01				
Lunang	0.27	0.02	0.01	0.63	0.02				
Qamdo	0.35	0.77	0.79	0.84	0.74				
Chayu	0.29	0.03	0.02	0.74	0.01				
Nam Co	0.37	0.45	0.86	0.38	0.56				
GBJD	0.88	0.39	0.74	0.48	0.48				
Lhasa	0.16	0.67	0.36	0.37	0.04				
Lhaze	0.33	0.83	0.28	0.73	0.56				
Xigaze	0.28	0.77	0.65	0.36	0.38				
Mt. Everest	0.37	0.68	0.83	0.59	0.74				
Saga	0.62	0.93	0.67	0.82	0.58				
Golmud	0.44	0.87	0.37	0.39	0.03				
Gaerze	0.56	0.68	0.55	0.58	0.52				
Gar	0.87	0.05	0.47	0.44	0.46				
Muztagata	0.43	0.03	0.74	0.76	0.48				

 Table S10. P-values (one-way ANOVA) for significant variation in levels

 of different class of chemicals in different sites

Table S11 Ratios of 4:2/8:2 FTOH, 8:2/10:2 FTOH, 10:2/6:2FTOH in					
atmosphere of the TP					
ratio	4:2/8:2	8:2/10:2	10:2/6:2		
	FTOH	FTOH	FTOH		
Monsoon region					
Bomi	1.1	9.03	1.09		
Rawu	0.86	7.32	1.32		
Lunang	0.67	11.2	1.36		
Chayu	1.09	5.4	0.84		
GBJD	0.76	8.9	1.28		
Average		8.3	1.18		
Transition region					
Qamdo	1.33	8.38	1.02		
Nam Co	1.15	14.1	1.01		
Lhaze	0.64	10.3	1.18		
Xigaze	0.87	13.3	1.16		
Mt. Everest	1.16	4.7	1.70		
Saga	0.93	11.8	1.24		
Naqu	0.59	12.0	1.18		
Average		10.6	1.21		
Westerly region					
Muztagata	1.28	7.26	0.92		
Gar	0.41	10.4	1.06		
Average		8.8	0.99		
Cities					
Lhasa	0.61	2.43	1.72		
Golmud	0.53	6.88	1.21		

Table S12 Correlation (r) of individual VMS compounds				
among all the samples				
	D4	D5	D6	
D3	0.69	0.79	0.38	
D4		0.72	0.25	
D5			0.13	