

## ***Interactive comment on “Iodine speciation and size distribution in ambient aerosols at a coastal new particle formation hotspot of China” by Huan Yu et al.***

**Howard K. Roscoe (Referee)**

hkro@bas.ac.uk

Received and published: 12 February 2019

Review by Howard K. Roscoe, British Antarctic Survey.

The authors are to be congratulated on getting the manuscript ready for publication in just over 6 months from the end of their field campaign - a fine achievement. They are also to be complimented on the good English in their manuscript - the corrections in my Editorial Comments below are mostly trivial and comparatively unimportant.

Unfortunately, I am not a specialist in ionisation for Mass Spectrometry, nor in the details of chromatography, so must take as read the descriptions following lines 194

C1

and 228, and elsewhere in the manuscript. Hopefully, another Referee can approve these statements.

The manuscript contains a wealth of new information on iodine compounds in particles, and if the accuracy of the statements about techniques are confirmed, it should definitely be published after taking care of the minor comments below.

Minor Comments:

1. Lines 43 & 44 read as though the iodine compounds are all that is necessary, despite “contribute to”. You should perhaps insert the sentiment that sulphates are usually involved.
2. Lines 52 and 53 should perhaps include that iodate is assumed to dominate because in water without biological enhancement the equilibrium ratio of iodate to iodide is about 10:1.
3. Line 94 uses the opaque term “cut sizes”. Instead, say if the values given are the lowest, mean or largest size in each range sampled.
4. I seem to recall the suggestion in lines 354 and 355, that sulphur as well as iodine compounds were implicated in particle growth from below 20 nm was made some time ago in papers by John Plane and co-authors. It is probably worth chasing down these earlier references.
5. Surely, the sentence “During the aging process ... were formed from aqueous phase reactions” (lines 375-357) is speculation, so should contain “we presume” or some such?
6. Quoting the various fractions and errors to a decimal percentage point, in lines 401 to 406, is out of place when the errors are several percent. This is also true of other lines in the manuscript, e.g. line 412, Table 2.
7. It may be well-known to Chinese researchers that China produces more than 90%

C2

of the seaweed of the world (line 421), but this astounding proportion, presumably of seaweed taken from the sea not all seaweed, is not at all well-known in the west. Some references should be given, and perhaps a small amount of cultural background as it is a very large proportion.

Editorial Comments:

Line 21- presumably you mean “of iodine species in aerosols”.

Line 29 - should be “human beings”.

Line 68- insert “an” before “individual”.

Line 75 - replace “in the places out of coastal” by “other than at coastal”, assuming this is what you meant.

Line 75 - replace “west” by “western”.

Line 87 and throughout - insert a space before the number and the unit, here before “m”.

Line 126 and later - replace “n-m stages” by “stages n-m”.

Line 133 - replace “One-fourth or half of filter” by “A quarter or half a filter”.

Line 144 - replace “ kv “ by “ kV “.

Line 146 - replace “ion” by “ions”.

Line 149 - insert “was” before “scanned”.

Line 150 - replace “would” by “will”.

Line 166 - replace “midnight” by “the middle of the night”, if that is what you meant.

Line 167 - replace “originated” by “originating”.

Line 171 - replace “last” by “lasted”.

C3

Line 243 - insert “to” before “stand”.

Line 273 - insert “The” before “Other”.

Line 310 - insert “a” after “as”.

Line 318 - replace “was” by “were”.

Line 324 - insert “a” after “still”.

Line 326 - insert “a” after “to”.

Line 327 - use “concentrations”, plural.

Line 347 - use “events”, plural.

Line 370 - use “areas”, plural.

Line 371 - insert “to be” after “unlikely”.

Line 384 - either “more” or “future” but not both.

Line 397 - insert “A” before “negligible”.

Line 411 - use “processes” plural; insert “the” before “most”.

Figure 1 - is almost illegible, please enhance the contrast and make it larger.

Figure 9 - is too small to read the numbers.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1353>, 2019.

C4