Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1279-AC1, 2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Optically effective complex refractive index of coated black carbon aerosols: from numerical aspects" by Xiaolin Zhang and Mao Mao

Xiaolin Zhang and Mao Mao

xInzhang@nuist.edu.cn

Received and published: 3 May 2019

Anonymous Referee #1

Comments: The work by Zhang et al. investigated optically effective complex refractive index of coated BC by the multiple-sphere T-matrix method. They investigated the optically effective ACRI of polydisperse coated BC aggregates retrieved from their accurate scattering and absorption properties on different shell/core ratio, and compared with VWA and EMT. Besides, they propose a new ACRI parameterization for fully coated BC with Dp/Dc \geq 2.0 in coarse mode. The paper is overall well written. I suggested its publication in Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics after addressing the





following issues: In line 8-10, the author said that "the simple spherical coatings on BC particles have similar effects on scattering and absorption properties to those with more complicated coating structures". But I didn't find the same conclusion from the cited paper by Dong et al., [2015] and Liu et al., [2016], and in my opinion this point may be not true in reality. Please carefully reread these two papers.

 $\sqrt{}$ This conclusion is drawn from Dong et al. [2015] and Liu et al. [2016] based on their comparisons of scattering and absorption properties of coated BC with more complicated structures with those of core-shell Mie model indirectly, and we have cited another paper (i.e., Liu et al., 2017) that gives this conclusion in its Abstract directly. (Page 4, line 6-8: "it is found that the simple spherical coatings on BC particles have similar effects on scattering and absorption properties to those with more complicated coating structures [e.g., Dong et al., 2015; F. Liu et al., 2015; C. Liu et al., 2017]")

In line 19-21, more description about fractal dimension should be added, for example, wang et al., [2017] get a fractal dimension about 2.2 for aged BC aggregates.

 $\sqrt{}$ We have added some descriptions about BC fractal dimension accordingly. (Page 4, line 14-16: "The Df can characterize the shape of BC aggregates reasonably well, and its variation reflects BC aging processes [Wang et al., 2017]").

The size of BC in figure 2-5, 7 is not mentioned throughout the manuscript. This information should be added in Methods Section.

 $\sqrt{}$ We have added accordingly. (Page 5, line 4-7: "For the accumulation mode, the radius range is set as 0.05–0.5 μ m in steps of 0.005 μ m, while the coarse radius range is assumed to be 0.5–2.5 μ m in steps of 0.05 μ m as ambient aerosols with size larger than 5 μ m are few [Zhang et al., 2014, 2018; Zhang and Mao, 2015]. Note that the exact sizes of BC aggregates are known based on these coated BC sizes and shell/core ratios.")

In Methods Section, the method calculating the ACRIs of concentric coated BC aggre-

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



gates with different particle size distributions are not mentioned. Although the author briefly introduced the method in Section 3.2, detailed methods and parameters should be added in Methods Section.

 $\sqrt{}$ We have revised accordingly. (Page 6, line 11-13: "As the optically effective ACRIs of coated BC with fixed microphysical parameters (such as shell/core ratio, BC fractal dimension, size distribution) are retrieved, it is possible to study the impacts of these microphysical parameters on retrieved optically effective ACRI with more details.")

The sketch maps of geometry of coated black carbon of two off-center core-shell structures should also be shown in the manuscript or supplementary information section.

 $\sqrt{}$ We have added accordingly in the supplementary information section. (Fig. S1)

In Section 3.3, the author needs to descript the exact size of BC aggregates. Besides, did you calculated different size and fractal dimension of BC aggregates using your new assumed parameterization of ACRI? Does this method always perform better? This should be illustrated.

√ We consider the bulk particle scattering and absorption properties averaged over a certain size distribution, which is meaningful for ambient atmospheric applications. The exact sizes of coated BC aggregates considered are 0.1–1 μ m in steps of 0.01 μ m for accumulation mode, and 1–5 μ m in steps of 0.1 μ m for coarse mode. Then the exact size of BC is restricted by shell/core ratio based on these coated BC sizes. We have added the size distribution assumed in Section 3.3 (page 10, line 21). For the performance of our new assumed parameterization of ACRI, BC fractal dimensions of 2.8 and 2.98 have already been considered in the manuscript. For monodisperse particle size, Fig. S2 compares induced relative errors of scattering and absorption coefficients of coarse coated BC at different size with BC fractal dimension of 2.8 and shell/core ratio of 2.7 based on ACRIs from the popular VWA and Equations (7-8). The results show that compared to the VWA, our simple new parameterization method reduces the relative errors in estimating absorption cross sections of coarse coated ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



BC at all particle size selected. Meanwhile, the relative errors of coated BC scattering cross are lessened at almost all monodisperse size, and this may be due to that our simple method only considers the correction of imaginary part of ACRI and its real part is the same as that based on the VWA. However, with the size distribution considered, the errors of both absorption and scattering cross sections of coarse coated BC are reduced on the basis of our new assumed parameterization method in comparison of the VWA. (Fig. S2)

In section 3.4, Due to aged BC particles having complicated coating morphologies in ambient air. Seemly, individual particle analysis provide very good coating morphologies (Wang et al., ESTL, 2017, Adachi et al., JGR, 2008; Li et al., 2016, JGR) References: Dong, J., J. Zhao, and L. H. Liu (2015), Morphological effects on the radiative properties of soot aerosols in different internally mixing states with sulfate, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 165, 43-55, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2015.06.025. Liu, F., J. Yon, and A. Bescond (2016), On the radiative properties of soot aggregates – Part 2: Effects of coating, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 172, 134-145, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2015.08.005. Wang, Y., F. Liu, C. He, L. Bi, T. Cheng, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, X. Zhang, Z. Shi, and W. Li (2017), Fractal Dimensions and Mixing Structures of Soot Particles during Atmospheric Processing, Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 4(11), 487-493, doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00418.

 $\sqrt{}$ We have revised it accordingly. (page 11, line 7-8: "Due to aged BC particles having complicated coating morphologies in ambient air, which can be provided by individual particle analysis [Adachi and Buseck, 2008; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017],")

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2018-1279/acp-2018-1279-AC1supplement.zip

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1279, 2019.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

