2	Insight into the Composition of Organic Compounds
3	(≥C ₆) in PM _{2.5} in Wintertime in Beijing, China
4	
5	Ruihe Lyu ^{1,2} , Zongbo Shi ² , Mohammed Salim Alam ² ,
6	Xuefang Wu ^{2, 4} , Di Liu ² , Tuan V. Vu ² , Christopher Stark ² ,
7	Pingqing Fu ³ , Yinchang Feng ¹ and Roy M. Harrison ² ^{†*}
8 9	¹ State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Urban Ambient Air
9 10	Particulate Matter Pollution Prevention and Control, College of
10	Environmental Science and Engineering
12	Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, China
13	
14	² Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management
15	School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of
16	Birmingham Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK
17	
18	³ Institute of Surface Earth System Science, Tianjin University
19	Tianjin, 300350, China
20	⁴ Regional Department of Geology and Mineral Resources, China
21 22	University of Geosciences, Xueyuan Road 29, 100083 Beijing China
22	Chiversity of Geosciences, Aucyuan Road 29, 100005 Deijing China
24	
25	<i>†</i> Also at: Department of Environmental Sciences / Centre of Excellence in
26 27	Environmental Studies, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia
28	
29 30 31	Corresponding author: E-mail: <u>r.m.harrison@bham.ac.uk</u> (Roy M. Harrison)

32 ABSTRACT

Organic matter is a major component of PM_{2.5} in megacities. In order to understand the detailed 33 characteristics of organic compounds ($\geq C_6$) at a molecular level on non-haze and haze days, we 34 35 determined more than 300 organic compounds in the PM_{2.5} from an urban area of Beijing collected in November-December 2016 using two-dimensional gas chromatography coupled to time-of-flight mass 36 spectrometry ($GC \times GC$ -TOFMS). The identified organic compounds have been classified into groups, 37 and quantitative methods were used to calculate their concentrations. Primary emission sources make 38 significant contributions to the atmospheric organic compounds and six groups (including n-alkanes, 39 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), levoglucosan, branched-alkanes, n-alkenes and alkyl-40 benzenes) account for 66% of total identified organic compound mass. In addition, PAHs, and 41 oxygenated PAHs (O-PAHs) were abundant amongst the atmospheric organic compounds on both haze 42 43 and non-haze days. The most abundant hydrocarbon groups were observed with a carbon atom range of C_{19} - C_{28} . In addition, the total concentration of unidentified compounds present in the chromatogram was 44 45 estimated in the present study. The total identified compounds account for approximately 47% of total 46 organic compounds ($\geq C_6$) in the chromatogram on both the non-haze and haze days. The total mass concentrations of organic compounds ($\geq C_6$) in the chromatogram were 4.0 µg m⁻³ and 7.4 µg m⁻³ on the 47 48 non-haze and haze days respectively, accounting for 26.4% and 18.5% of organic matter respectively on 49 those days estimated from the total organic carbon concentration. There is strong evidence that the organic aerosol is more highly oxidised, and hence less GC-volatile on haze days. 50

51

52 **Keywords:** Organic aerosol; $GC \times GC$ -TOFMS; PAHs; Haze; PM_{2.5} Beijing, China

54 1. INTRODUCTION

55 China is suffering from severe $PM_{2.5}$ pollution, especially in its capital, the annual average concentration 56 of $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing being in the range 69.7~122 µg m⁻³ from 2000 to 2015 (Lang et al., 2017), 2.0~3.5 57 times the national standard (35 µg m⁻³). A recent study showed that the average $PM_{2.5}$ concentration 58 during the haze days was 256 µg m⁻³ in the winter period from December 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 59 in Beijing, and very much higher than that of non-haze days (24.7 µg m⁻³) (Li, et al. 2019), and 25 times 50 the World Health Organization (WHO) guideline of 10 µg m⁻³.

61

Organic matter is a large and important fraction of atmospheric fine particles, and a substantial number 62 of organic compounds can be found in the atmospheric particulate phase and may originate as either 63 primary emissions or from secondary formation process (Wu et al., 2018). The primary emission tracers 64 and precursor compounds have been extensively studied in the Beijing aerosol and showed significant 65 contributions from coal combustion, biomass burning and traffic emissions (Ren et al., 2016; Yao et al., 66 2016). These studies concentrated on the identification of individual organic compounds from the organic 67 68 aerosol, such as n-alkanes, n-alkenes, PAHs and hopanes, but the structurally specific identification of the chemical composition of the organic aerosol is far from complete. Due to its huge complexity, 69 particulate organic matter is still inadequately characterized up to the present. Hence, the identification 70 71 of organic compounds in generic groups may be more informative in elucidating the molecular 72 distribution of atmospheric organic compounds, and bulk aerosol characteristics (Alam et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown that the organic compounds were highly oxidized during haze days, and 73 secondary formation has made a significant contribution to the PM (Li et al., 2019). However, these 74 75 studies focused only on specific individual oxidized organic compounds or the ratios of C, N and O to 76 assess the entire aerosol ageing process (Li et al., 2019), and the relationship between the molecular distribution and oxidizing processes during haze formation is still not clear. 77

Two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC×GC) coupled with TOF-MS offers much enhanced 79 resolution of complex mixtures, and the technique has been extended in the last 10 years to encompass 80 atmospheric analysis. The two independent analytical dimensions in GC×GC-TOF/MS make this 81 82 technique potentially ideal for measuring the organic components within a complex matrix such as ambient particulate matter (Hamilton et al., 2004; Welthagen et al., 2003), and its ability to separate 83 complex mixtures of organics at low concentrations makes it an ideal technique to measure partially 84 oxidised, isomeric and homologous series compounds and even groups of compounds (Alam et al., 2016a; 85 Alam and Harrison, 2016; Hamilton et al., 2004). In an earlier study of organic compounds in the Beijing 86 atmosphere, Zhou et al. (2009) reported that 68.4% of particulate organic matter was in the previously 87 "unresolved complex mixture" found in conventional GC separations. The $GC \times GC$ technique is able to 88 resolve and identify the components contributing to the unresolved mixture, and the molecular 89 90 distribution of atmospheric organic compounds can be clearly identified in the chromatogram.

91

In order to establish relationships between organic compounds in fine particles and their characteristics 92 93 on non-haze and haze days, as well as to identify the relative importance of their emission sources, further 94 investigation of particulate organic matter composition has been conducted. The objective of this study 95 was to investigate the organic compounds with carbon number higher than C₆ in PM_{2.5} samples collected 96 in central Beijing during wintertime, 2016. In this paper, particle samples were analysed by the GC×GC-TOFMS technique after solvent extraction and the detailed organic composition was observed for polar 97 98 and non-polar organic compound groups. Here, we report a large number of organic compounds, and 99 their concentrations and molecular distributions sampled on non-haze and haze days. The characteristics of the molecular distribution of atmospheric organic compounds on non-haze days were analysed and 100 compared with haze days during aerosol ageing. In addition, we report their possible sources, formation 101 processes, and reveal and assess their pollution characteristics during non-haze and haze periods. Finally, 102

the mass of unidentified organic compounds (> C_6) is estimated and compared between non-haze and haze days.

105

106 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

107 2.1 Sampling Method and Site Characteristics

PM_{2.5} samples were collected at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP), Chinese Academy of 108 Sciences in Beijing, China. The sampling site (39°58'N, 116° 22'E) was located between the North 3rd 109 Ring Road and North 4th Ring Road. The site is approximately 1 km from the 3rd Ring Road, 200 m 110 west of the G6 Highway (which runs north-south) and 50 m south of Beitucheng West Road (which runs 111 east-west). The annual average vehicular speeds in the morning and evening traffic peak were 27.4 and 112 24.3 km h⁻¹, respectively. No industrial sources were located in the vicinity of the sampling site. The 113 114 experimental campaign took place from November 9 to December 11, 2016. The samples were collected onto pre-baked quartz fibre filters (Pallflex) by a gravimetric high volume sampler (Tisch, USA) with a 115 $PM_{2.5}$ inlet at a flow rate of 1.0 m³ min⁻¹ during the sampling period. The collecting time was 24 h per 116 117 sample and 3 blank samples were collected during this period. The filters were previously enveloped with aluminium foils and then baked at 450 °C for 6 hours before sampling. After sampling, each filter 118 119 was packed separately and stored in a refrigerator below -20° C until the analysis.

120

121 **2.2** Analytical Instrumentation

The sample extracts were analyzed using a 2D gas chromatograph (GC, 7890A, Agilent Technologies,
Wilmington, DE, USA) equipped with a Zoex ZX2 cryogenic modulator (Houston, TX, USA). The first
dimension was separated on a SGE DBX5, non-polar capillary column (30.0 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 mm
- 5.00% phenyl polysilphenylene-siloxane), and the second-dimension column was a SGE DBX50 (4.0
m, 0.10 mm ID, 0.10 mm – 50.0% phenyl polysilphenylene-siloxane). The GC × GC was interfaced

with a Bench-ToF-Select, time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ToF-MS, Markes International, Llantrisant,
UK). The acquisition speed was 50.0 Hz with a mass resolution of >1200 fwhm at 70.0 eV and the mass
range was 35.0 to 600 m/z. All data produced were processed using GC Image v2.5 (Zoex Corporation,
Houston, US).

131

132 2.3 Extraction and Analysis Methods of Filters

The filters were spiked with 30.0 μ L of 30.0 μ g mL⁻¹ deuterated internal standards (pentadecane-d₃₂, 133 eicosane-d₄₂, pentacosane-d₅₂, triacontane-d₆₂, butylbenzene-d₁₄, nonylbenzene-2,3,4,5,6-d₅, biphenyl-134 p-terphenyl-d₁₄; Sigma-Aldrich, UK) for quantification and 135 d10. then immersed in methanol/dichloromethane (DCM) (1:1, v/v), and ultra-sonicated for 20 min at 20°C. The extract was 136 137 filtered using a clean glass pipette column packed with glass wool and anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to 100 μ L under a gentle flow of nitrogen for analysis using GC × GC-ToF-MS. 1 μ L of 138 the extracted sample was injected in a split ratio 50:1 at 300°C. The initial temperature of the primary 139 oven (80°C) was held for 2 min and then increased at 2°C min⁻¹ to 210°C, followed by 1.5 °C min⁻¹ to 140 141 325°C. The initial temperature of the secondary oven (120°C) was held for 2 min and then increased at 3°C min⁻¹ to 200°C, followed by 2°C min⁻¹ to 300°C and a final increase of 1°C min⁻¹ to 330°C to ensure 142 all species passed through the column. The transfer line temperature was 330°C and the ion source 143 temperature was 280°C. Helium (99.999%) was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 mL 144 145 min⁻¹. Further details of the instrumentation and data processing methods are given by Alam and Harrison (2016) and Alam et al. (2016a). 146

147

148 **2.4** Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis

149 Standards used in these experiments included 26 n-alkanes (C₁₁ to C₃₆), EPA's 16 priority pollutant 150 PAHs, 4 hopanes $(17\alpha(H),21\beta(H)-22R$ -homohopane, $17\alpha(H),21\beta(H)$ -hopane, 17b(H),21a(H)-30-

norhopane and 17a(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopane, 7 decalins and tetralines (cis/trans-decalin, tetralin, 5-151 methyltetraline, 2,2,5,7-tetramethyltetraline, 2,5,8-trimethyltetraline and 1,4-dimethyltetraline), 4 152 alkyl-naphthalenes (1-methyl-naphthalene, 1-ethyl-naphthalene, 1-n-propyl-naphthalene and 1-n-153 154 hexyl-naphthalene), 13 alkyl-cyclohexanes (n-heptyl-cyclohexane to n-nonadecyl-cyclohexane), 5 alkyl-benzenes (n-butyl-benzene, n-hexyl-benzene, n-octyl-benzene, n-decyl-benzene and n-dodecyl-155 benzene) (Sigma-Aldrich, UK, purity >99.2%), 11 n-aldehydes (C_8 to C_{13}) (Sigma-Aldrich, UK, purity 156 \geq 95.0%), C₁₄ to C₁₈ (Tokyo Chemical Industry UK Ltd, purity >95.0%); and 11 2-ketones, C₈ to C₁₃ 157 and C_{15} to C_{18} (Sigma-Aldrich, UK, purity \geq 98.0%) and C_{14} (Tokyo Chemical Industry UK Ltd, purity 158 97.0%), 4 n-alcohols (2-decanol, 2-dodecanol, 2-hexadecanol and 2-nonadecanol) (Sigma-Aldrich, UK, 159 160 purity 99.0%) and 1-pentadecanol (Sigma-Aldrich, UK, purity 99.0%).

161

Compound identification was based on the GC×GC-TOFMS spectral library, NIST mass spectral 162 library and on co-injection with authentic standards. Compounds within the homologous series for 163 which standards were not available were identified by comparing the retention time interval between 164 homologues, and by comparison of mass spectra with the standards for similar compounds within the 165 series, by comparison to the NIST mass spectral library, and by the analysis of fragmentation patterns. 166 The quantification for identified compounds was performed by the linear regression method using the 167 seven-point calibration curves (0.05, 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, 2.00, 3.00 ng μ L⁻¹) established between the 168 authentic standards/internal standard concentration ratios and the corresponding peak area ratios. The 169 calibration curves for all target compounds were highly linear ($r^2 > 0.98$, from 0.978 to 0.998), 170 demonstrating the consistency and reproducibility of this method. Limits of detection for individual 171 compounds were typically in the range 0.001–0.08 ng m⁻³. The identified compounds which have no 172 commercial authentic standards were quantified using the calibration curves for similar structure 173 compounds or isomeric compounds. This applicability of quantification of individual compounds using 174

isomers of the same compound functionality (which have authentic standards) has been discussedelsewhere and has a reported uncertainty of 24% (Alam et al., 2018).

177

178 The branched alkanes, alkyl-benzenes, alkyl-decalins, alkyl-phenanthrene and anthracene (alkyl-Phe and Ant), alkyl-naphthalene (alkyl-Nap) and alkyl-benzaldehyde were identified in the samples with the 179 graphics method of the GC Image v2.5 (Zoex Corporation, Houston, US), and the detailed descriptions 180 are given elsewhere (Alam et al., 2018). Briefly, the structurally similar compounds (similar physico-181 chemical properties) were identified as a group via drawing a polygon around a section of the 182 chromatogram with the polygon selection tool. All compounds included in the polygon belong to a 183 special compound class and the total concentrations were calculated via a calibration curve of the 184 adjacent compounds and internal standards (IS). 185

186

Field and laboratory blanks were routinely analysed to evaluate analytical bias and precision. Blank 187 levels of individual analytes were normally very low and, in most cases, not detectable. The major 188 contaminants observed were very minor amounts of n-alkanes ranging from C₁₁ to C₂₁, with no carbon 189 190 number predominance and maximum at C_{18} ; PAH were not detectable. The major proportion of the 191 contaminants could be distinguished by their low concentrations and distribution fingerprints (especially 192 the n-alkanes). These contaminants did not interfere with the recognition or quantification of the compounds of interest. Recovery efficiencies were determined by analysing the blank samples spiked 193 194 with standard compounds. Mean recoveries ranged between 82 and 98%. All quantities reported here 195 have been corrected according to their recovery efficiencies. Analytical data from the GC×GC analysis were compared with a conventional GC-MS analysis for levoglucosan and 13 PAH. The results from two 196 analytical instruments were compared, and the correlations (r^2) between them were in the range of 0.5 to 197 198 0.8 with 10 mean concentrations of individual compounds from each technique within 20% of one another, 2 within 20-30% and the remainder (2) within 30-40% of one another. The largest outlier was
levoglucosan, which was underestimated, probably since it decomposed due to a lack of the usual
derivatisation.

202

203 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

204 3.1 General Aerosol Characteristics

Thirty-three samples were separated into non-haze (13) and haze (20) days (the latter with $PM_{2.5}$ exceeding 75 µg m⁻³ for 24 h average) according to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards of China (NAAQS) released in 2012 by the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) of the People's Republic of China. The concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), element carbon (EC), gaseous pollutants (SO₂, NO, NO₂, NO_x, and CO) and meteorological parameters (wind speed (WS), wind direction (WD) and relative humidity (RH)) were simultaneously determined during the field campaigns and appear in Table S1.

212

The average daily $PM_{2.5}$ mass was 99 µg m⁻³, and haze days (average 141 µg m⁻³) were four times higher 213 than that of non-haze days (35.3 μ g m⁻³). The wind and temperature during the haze and non-haze days 214 215 were 0.94 and 1.44 m/s, 6.07 and 4.0°C, respectively. However, the relative humidity during haze episodes (56.3%) was slightly higher than the non-haze periods (39.8%). The concentrations of gaseous 216 pollutants SO_x, NO_x, and CO were simultaneously elevated with the increase of PM_{2.5} concentrations, 217 218 whereas the O₃ concentration presented an opposite trend to PM_{2.5} concentrations (Lyu et al., 2019). The average concentration of organic matter (OM) was estimated as 30.2 µg m⁻³ using the OC concentration 219 (18.9 µg m⁻³) and a multiplying factor of 1.6 for aged aerosols (Turpin and Lim, 2001). The OM 220 concentration was 40.0 µg m⁻³ and 15.0 µg m⁻³ on haze and non-haze days respectively. 221

223 **3.2** The Major Classes of Organic Compounds in PM_{2.5}

More than 6000 peaks were found in the 2D chromatogram image of each sample by the data processing 224 software (GC Image v2.5). Over 300 polar and non-polar organic compounds (POCs and N-POCs) were 225 226 identified and quantified in the PM_{2.5} samples, and these compounds are grouped into more than twenty classes, including normal and branched alkanes, n-alkenes, aliphatic carbonyl compounds (1-alkanals, n-227 alkan-2-ones and n-alkan-3-ones), n-alkanoic acids, n-alkanols, PAHs, oxygenated PAHs (OPAHs), 228 229 alkylated-PAHs, hopanes, alkyls-benzenes, alkyl-cyclohexanes, pyridines, quinolines, furanones, and biomarkers (levoglucosan, cedrol, phytane, pristane, supraene and phytone). The details of aliphatic 230 hydrocarbon measurements (including n-alkanes, n-alkenes) and carbonyl compounds (including n-231 alkanals, n-alkan-2-ones, n-alkan-3-ones, furanones and phytone) have been reported in previous articles 232 (Lyu et al. 2018a,b). The total concentrations of identified organic compounds ranged from 0.94 to 5.14 233 μ g m⁻³ with the average of 2.84 ± 1.19 μ g m⁻³, accounting for 9.40 % of OM. The concentrations of 234 identified individual organic compounds are summarized in Table S2, and the percentage of each group 235 in the total identified organic compounds is in Figure 1. The n-alkanes (16%) make the greatest 236 237 contribution to the total mass of identified organic compounds, followed by levoglucosan (13%), 238 branched-alkanes (13%), PAHs (10%), n-alkenes (7%) and alkyl-benzenes (7%). These six groups account for 66% of total identified organic compounds by mass, and a total concentration of 1.41 µg m⁻ 239 240 ³, accounting for 1.42% of the particle mass. In a study in Nanjing, Haque et al. (2019) reported the most abundant classes of organic compounds to be n-alkanes (205 ng m⁻³), followed by fatty acids (76.3 ng m⁻ 241 ³), PAHs (64.3 ng m⁻³), anhydrosugars (levoglucosan, galactosan and mannosan, 56.3 ng m⁻³), fatty 242 243 alcohols (40.5 ng m⁻³) and phthalate esters (15.2 ng m⁻³).

3.3 The Characteristics of Organic Compound Groups on Non-haze and Haze Days

The average total concentration of identified groups was calculated for the non-haze (13 days) and haze periods (20 days). The comparisons of two periods (non-haze and haze days) are shown in Figure 2, and the detailed concentrations of each group are shown in the Table S3. The concentrations of most organic compound groups on the haze days were higher than non-haze days, especially for the n-alkanols and n-Cn-cyclohexanes. The alkyl-benzenes, alkyl-benzaldehydes, monoaromatic compounds and quinoline have approximately similar concentrations on the non-haze and haze days.

252

As many compound groups have not been reported in previous studies, and complete data on the relative 253 abundance of these compounds in various source emissions are not available at present, it is not yet 254 possible to calculate source contributions to ambient organic compound concentrations via molecular 255 256 marker or mathematical modelling methods. However, several important consistency checks on the potential source can be performed. In the sections that follow, the literature on the origin of each of these 257 compound classes is reviewed briefly and the measured compound concentrations are described. Table 258 259 1 shows the comparison of identified organic compounds between the present and previous studies in 260 Beijing. In many, but not all cases, concentrations are comparable.

261

262 3.3.1 n-Alkanoic acids, n-alkanols and carbonyl compounds

The n-alkanoic acids with carbon numbers from C_6 to C_{10} were identified in the PM_{2.5}. Higher molecular weight alkanoic acids generated from the biomass burning (Simoneit and Mazurek, 1982) were not identified from the samples probably due to low volatility in the G.C. The n-alkanoic acids were observed at a similar magnitude to a previous study in Beijing (Zhou et al., 2009) (Table 1).

267

268 Previous studies have found that the n-alkanoic acid homologues were significantly impacted by cooking

emissions in Beijing and showed higher concentrations on non-haze days and a similar distribution pattern in all seasons (Huang et al., 2006; He et al., 2006b; Sun et al. 2013). Consistent results for acids were observed in this study, and the Σ n-alkanoic acids had an average concentration on the non-haze days with an average concentration of 36.4 ng m⁻³, higher than 24.6 ng m⁻³ on haze days, strongly implying a dominant contribution from cooking emissions as opposed to secondary formation.

274

In the present study, 1-alkanols with even-carbon numbers from C_{12} to C_{20} were identified in the PM_{2.5}, with a quite similar molecular distribution to that of diesel engine exhaust samples (Alam et al., 2016b). In addition, other primary emission sources may make a potential contribution to these compounds, including from biomass burning (Zhang et al., 2007). The average \sum n-alkanols concentration was 38.5 ng m⁻³, and \sum n-alkanols had higher concentrations on the haze days (59.8 ng m⁻³), approximately eight times greater than 8.39 ng m⁻³ on non-haze days. The above results suggest that n-alkanol formation is more efficient on haze days, even though vehicular emissions appear to be another important source.

282

Aliphatic carbonyl compounds including n-alkanals, n-alkan-2-ones and n-alkan-3-ones, have been described in detail by Lyu et al. (2019). Briefly, the daily sum of aliphatic carbonyls (ΣAC), ranged from 8.87 to 164 ng m⁻³, accounting for 0.02–0.46% of OM. The average ΣAC was 75.8 ng m⁻³ during all haze days, approximately double the 39.5 ng m⁻³ of the non-haze period. Lyu et al. (2019) showed that the n-alkanals were mainly originated from vehicle exhaust or formed from OH oxidation of n-alkanes, while the n-alkanones were probably emitted mainly by coal combustion.

289

290 **3.3.2** Nitrogen-containing organic compounds (N-CC)

Nitrogen-containing (N-containing) organic compounds have been reported in many previous studies,and the important sources of N-containing compounds are coal combustion, biomass burning, vehicular

exhaust and atmospheric photochemical reactions (Rogge et al., 1994; Rogge et al., 1993b; Schauer et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2002; Zhang et al. 2002; Fan et al. 2018). N-containing compounds were identified in the samples, including heterocyclic compounds (alkyl-pyridines, alkyl-quinolines) and other N-containing compounds (nitro, amine compounds). The average Σ alkyl-pyridines, Σ alkyl-quinolines and Σ other N-containing compounds were 17.4 ± 7.58 , 16.6 ± 15.0 and 30.0 ± 23.1 ng m⁻³, respectively, and the average total concentrations of N-containing compounds was 64.0 ng m⁻³, accounting for approximately 0.2% of the OM.

300

The quinolines have been proposed for use as tracers of vehicular exhaust (Rogge et al., 1993a) and crude 301 302 oils and shale oil combustions (Schmitter et al., 1983; Simoneit et al., 1971), while the straight chain alkyl-pyridines (n-Cn-pyridine) are related to petrochemical industries (Botalova et al., 2009) and 303 secondary formation from pyrolysis of proteins and amino acids under a high temperature (Chiavari and 304 305 Galletti, 1992; Hendricker and Voorhees, 1998; Kögel-Knabner, 1997). This study found that both guinolines and alkyl-pyridines showed similar concentrations on the non-haze and haze days, 16.8 ± 16.5 306 ng m⁻³ (non-haze) and 16.5 \pm 14.4 ng m⁻³ (haze days) and 12.0 \pm 6.02 ng m⁻³ (non-haze days) and 15.3 \pm 307 8.36 ng m⁻³ (haze days) respectively. Amino compounds can originate form biomass burning and coal 308 combustion, and are abundant in winter fine particulate matter samples compared to summer (Zhang et 309 al., 2002; Akyiiz 2008). In the present study, the average Σ other N-containing compounds was 34.2 ± 310 24.6 ng m⁻³ on the haze days, somewhat higher than 22.6 ± 19.4 ng m⁻³ on non-haze days. 311

312

The similar concentrations on the non-haze and haze days suggests that N-containing organic compounds mainly originated from primary sources and subject to degradation during the haze formation process.

315

316 Tracers of tobacco smoke, benzoquinoline and isoquinoline have previously been determined in the PM

collected in Beijing, with concentrations of 3.10 and 0.22 ng m⁻³ respectively (Zhou et al., 2009). These two compounds were also identified in the present study, with 4.40 and 0.80 ng m⁻³, respectively. Phthalimide was identified in the PM at 0.91 ng m⁻³, and was considered to be derived from cyclization and aromatization reactions of proteins or from intermediates in the transformation of carboxyl ammonium salts to nitriles by Zhao et al. (2009).

322

323 3.3.3 Esters

Phthalate esters are organic chemicals that are commonly used in a variety of consumer products and in 324 various industrial and medical applications, and are predominantly used as plasticizers to improve the 325 flexibility of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins and other polymers. Table 1 shows a comparison of 326 phthalate esters (DBP, DEP, DEHP) between the present and previous studies in the winter in Beijing; it 327 328 seems that the concentrations of some phthalate esters have significantly decreased from earlier studies (Wang et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2009). The present study found that diisodecyl phthalates, DBP and 329 DEHP were abundant compounds in the ester group with 49.7 ± 43.2 , 16.9 ± 15.5 and 16.0 ± 12.6 ng m⁻ 330 ³, respectively. The DBP, DEP and DEHP in Beijing were far lower than that in winter in Tianjin (Kong 331 332 et al., 2013) and another fifteen cities around China (Li and Wang, 2015; Wang and Kawamura, 2005; Wang et al., 2006). In addition, the average Σ Ester was 117 ± 82.1 ng m⁻³, with 132 ± 87.1 and 89.4 ± 333 70.0 ng m⁻³ on haze and non-haze days, respectively. Since phthalates are not chemically bound to the 334 polymeric matrix, they can enter the environment by escaping from manufacturing processes and by 335 336 leaching or vaporising from final products (Staples et al., 1997).

337

338 3.3.4 PAHs, O-PAHs and alkylated-(PAHs & OPAHs)

In all, 23 PAHs (2-6 rings), 19 oxygenated PAHs (O-PAHs) and 14 alkylated-(PAHs & OPAHs) were

determined in the PM_{2.5} samples. The average total polycyclic aromatic compounds (the sum of \sum PAHs,

341 \sum O-PAHs, \sum alkylated-(PAHs & OPAHs), alkyl-PHE and ANT and alkyl-NAP) was 569 ng m⁻³, 342 accounting for 1.88 % of OM.

343

The distribution of PAHs is shown in Figure 3; the most abundant PAHs were BbF, followed by CHR, FLT, BaA and PYR. In all samples, the \sum PAHs ranged from 46.7-727 ng m⁻³ with average 281 ± 176 ng m⁻³, accounting for 0.93 % of OM. In addition, the average \sum PAHs was 364 ng m⁻³ during haze days, but only 159 ng m⁻³ on the non-haze days. It should be noted that retene was detected in most samples, with an average concentration of 14.4 ± 17.5 ng m⁻³. It has been suggested that retene predominantly originates from the combustion of conifer wood (Simoneit et al., 1991).

350

Nineteen oxygenated PAHs (O-PAHs) make up of a class of PAH derivatives that are present in the 351 352 atmosphere as a result of direct emission during combustion and secondary formation by homogeneous and heterogeneous photo-oxidation processes (Keyte et al., 2013; Ringuet et al., 2012). They are also of 353 scientific interest because they are, typically, found in the secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formed by 354 355 photo-oxidation of PAH (Shakya and Griffin, 2010). In urban samples, polycyclic aromatic ketones 356 (PAK), polycyclic aromatic quinones (PAQ) and polycyclic aromatic furanones (PAF) are typical groups 357 of compounds (Lin et al., 2015). The average total concentrations of O-PAH measured in this study (Figure 4) was 67.9 ng m⁻³. The polycyclic aromatic ketones 4,5-pyrenequinone (4,5-PyrQ) (8.75 ng m⁻ 358 ³) and 1,6-pyrenequinone (1,6-PyrQ) (7.38 ng m⁻³) were the most abundant compounds during the 359 360 sampling campaign. Four O-PAHs have been identified previously at the PKU site in the 2012 heating 361 season in Beijing (Table 1); it is notable that the concentration of AQ was up to 108 ng m⁻³, approximately 20 times that in the present study (5.12 ng m⁻³). As O-PAHs can be formed during 362 sampling, it is necessary to be very careful in reconciling their presence with specific sources (Pitts et al., 363 1980). The average \sum O-PAHs was 86.5 ng m⁻³ during haze days, but 39.7 ng m⁻³ on the non-haze days. 364

The ratio of quinone: parent PAH has been used to assess the air mass age (Alam et al., 2014; Harrison 365 et al., 2016). The average ratios of phenanthraquinone to phenanthrene (PQ:PHE), anthraquinone to 366 anthracene (AO:ANT) and benzo(a)anthracene-7,12-quinone to benzo(a)anthracene (BaAO:BaA) were 367 0.37, 1.27, 0.32, respectively, with PO:PHE, AO:ANT and BaAO:BaA ratios of 0.25, 0.88 and 0.26 on 368 the haze days, which were lower than 0.55, 1.92, 0.40 on non-haze days. The BaAQ:BaA ratios were 369 lower than earlier published data of 1.28 measured in Beijing (Li et al., 2019), 1.40 in Xian (Wang et al., 370 2016) and 0.54 in Beijing-Tianjing (Wang, 2010), but higher than the 0.08 measured in Guangzhou (Wei 371 et al., 2012) and 0.09 in Zhuanghu (Ding et al., 2012). Shen et al. (2011) reported that the BaAQ:BaA 372 ratio was 0.03 for coal combustion, 0.16 for crop residue burning (Shen et al., 2012a) and 6.6 from 373 biomass pellet burning (Shen et al., 2012b). The low ratios of O-PAHs/PAHs in our data probably 374 indicated that the particulate matter mainly originated from coal combustion and biomass burning. 375 376 However, the lower ratios on haze days than non-haze days may imply continued oxidation of the O-PAH to products which were not analysed. Li et al. (2019) also reported that ratios of Σ OPAH to Σ PAH 377 378 were very similar during haze and clean air periods, which provides support for this conclusion.

379

380 **3.3.5** Molecular markers

The hopanes are compounds present in crude oil as a result of the decomposition of sterols and other 381 biomass and are not by-products of combustion (Simoneit, 1985). They are very stable and have been 382 proposed for use as tracers for atmospheric particles from fossil fuel combustion, such as motor vehicle 383 exhaust (Simoneit, 1985) and coal combustion (Oros and Simoneit, 2000). The hopanes are widely used 384 as tracers of traffic emission due to vehicle emissions having high loadings of hopanes (Cass, 1998). The 385 comparison of hopanes between this study and previous studies in the winter or heating season of Beijing 386 are shown in Table 1. Hopanes were extensively present in Beijing PM_{2.5} samples, and their carbon 387 numbers ranged from C_{27} to C_{32} , but not C_{28} (Table 2). The average concentration of hopanes in Beijing 388

was 32.7 ± 24.7 ng m⁻³, with 15.2 ± 10.7 ng m⁻³ and 44.6 ± 24.6 ng m⁻³ on non-haze and haze days, respectively. Previous studies have found that C₂₉ (17a(H), 21h(H)-norhopane) was dominant in the hopane series and consistent with that from coal combustion (He et al., 2006a), while C₃₀ (17 β (H)21 α (H)hopane and 17a(H), 21 β (H)-hopane) was similar to C₂₉ in the winter time in Beijing and attributed to gasoline and diesel exhaust (Simoneit, 1985).

394

Levoglucosan and methoxyphenols from pyrolysis of cellulose and lignin are usually used as unique 395 tracers for biomass burning in source apportionment models (Schauer and Cass, 2000). Levoglucosan 396 (1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose) has been for a long time employed as the specific molecular marker 397 398 for long-range transport of biomass burning aerosol, based on its high emission factors and assumed 399 chemical stability (Fraser and Lakshmanan, 2000; Simoneit et al., 2000). It is a highly abundant 400 compound and the concentrations in winter in Beijing have a significant fluctuation (Table 1). The average \sum levoglucosan was 355 ± 232 ng m⁻³ during the entire sampling period, and 417 ± 223 ng m⁻³ 401 in haze episodes, approximately twofold that of the non-haze days, 238 ± 193 ng m⁻³, indicating a 402 403 significant impact of biomass burning upon wintertime aerosols in Beijing.

404

Methoxyphenols are usually also considered as tracers for wood burning (Simpson et al., 2005; Yee et al., 2013) with the average \sum Methoxyphenols 7.29 \pm 7.11 ng m⁻³, and the haze days (9.03 \pm 7.93 ng m⁻ ³) twofold greater than non-haze days (4.74 \pm 4.95 ng m⁻³) during the campaigns. In Beijing and its surrounding areas, harvest occurs in late September to October for corn, and biomass fuels are used for cooking and heating purpose in the winter. However, the methoxyphenols are abundant components in the smoke from broad-leaf tree and shrub burning (Wang et al., 2009), and have been identified in all coal smoke (Simoneit, 2002a), so cannot be used as source-specific markers for biomass burning.

413 Phenolic compounds from the thermal degradation of lignin have been proposed as potentially useful tracers for wood smoke, and many of them are emitted in relatively high quantities and are specific to 414 wood combustion sources (Simoneit, 2002b; Simoneit et al., 2004). Another important source of phenolic 415 416 compounds is oxidation of monoaromatic compounds and PAHs (Pan and Wang, 2014). Phenols and naphthalenol were identified in the PM_{2.5}, with the average Σ phenolic compounds 21.6 ± 17.0 ng m⁻³, 417 with 14.0 ± 13.2 ng m⁻³ and 25.9 ± 17.9 ng m⁻³ on the non-haze and haze days, respectively. However, it 418 is notable that the concentrations of naphthalenol identified in the present study were far lower than that 419 of previous studies (Table 1). 420

421

Pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph) have been found in the exhaust of petrol and diesel engines and in 422 lubricating oil, indicating their origin from petroleum (Simoneit, 1984). Since their presence is ubiquitous 423 424 in vehicle exhausts and negligible in contemporary biogenic sources in urban environments, they can be used as petroleum tracers for airborne particulate matter. The mean values of Pr and Ph in our samples 425 are 2.24 and 1.94 ng m⁻³, respectively. Biogenic inputs are often characterised by a predominance of the 426 427 odd carbon alkanes and Pr. Since Ph is rarely found in biological material, most biological hydrocarbons have a Pr/Ph ratio far higher than 1.0 (Oliveira et al., 2007), but values approaching unity indicate a 428 429 hydrocarbon signature derived from petrochemical use. The average Pr/Ph ratios were 1.15 for $PM_{2.5}$ 430 samples, and this finding is quite similar to the results from the southern Chinese city of Guangzhou, 1.1-1.8 (Bi et al., 2002), but almost four times greater than Beijing summer samples (0.3) (Simoneit et al., 431 432 1991). The high Pr/Ph indicated that the hydrocarbons in urban aerosol derive mainly from petroleum 433 residues probably deriving from vehicular emissions in Beijing.

434

435 **3.4** The Molecular Distributions of Aliphatic Hydrocarbons

436 Figure 4 shows the molecular distributions of aliphatic hydrocarbons on non-haze and haze days. The

details on the n-alkanes are given by Lyu et al. (2019). Briefly, the Σ n-alkanes (C₁₀-C₃₆) ranged from 42.4 to 1241 ng m⁻³ with an average 450 ± 316 ng m⁻³, and the average Σ n-alkanes was 577 ng m⁻³ during haze episodes, more than twice that of the non-haze period (264 ng m⁻³). The n-alkanes (C₂₀-C₃₁) were the most abundant homologues (Figure 4), accounting for approximately 83% of the Σ n-alkanes.

441

The total concentrations of branched alkanes (C_{12} - C_{36}) ranged from 125-647 ng m⁻³ with the average 356 442 \pm 173 ng m⁻³ during the sampling period. The average branched alkanes concentration was 440 \pm 144 ng 443 m⁻³ during all haze episodes, which was higher than 234 ± 138 ng m⁻³ on the non-haze days. The most 444 abundant branched alkanes were observed at C_{22} , with the average concentration of 29.2 ng m⁻³, and the 445 greatest abundance of branched alkanes groups was observed within the carbon atom range of C_{20} - C_{30} , 446 accounting for 67.7% of Σ branched alkanes. The branched alkanes have lower concentrations than n-447 448 alkanes when the carbon number is $>C_{20}$ on haze and non-haze days, while showing higher concentrations than n-alkanes when the carbon number is lower than C₁₉. 449

450

It is difficult to identify the potential sources of branched alkanes from the literature, although Alam et al. (2016b) reported that branched alkanes (C_{11} - C_{33}) were an abundant compound group in diesel exhaust. The increase of high molecular weight branched alkanes (C_{20} - C_{30}) from non-haze days to haze days is consistent with a primary emission source, probably linked to coal combustion or vehicular emissions. The fact that both n-alkanes and branched alkanes increase quite similarly between non-haze and haze conditions is consistent with them arising from the same source(s), or sources with highly correlated emissions.

458

459 Other groups of aliphatic and alicyclic compounds identified in the $PM_{2.5}$, include alkyl-decalins, alkyl-460 pyridines, alkyl-furanones, alkyl-cyclohexanes and alkyl-benzenes. Figure 5 shows the molecular

distributions of these series of compounds. Engine studies (Alam et al., 2016b) have also found that 461 compounds observed in vehicle exhaust beside n-alkanes and PAHs, include straight and branched 462 cyclohexanes (C_{11} - C_{25}), various cyclic aromatics, alkyl-decalins and alkyl-benzenes. The particle-bound 463 n-Cn-cyclohexanes with carbon numbers from C₁₂ to C₂₆ were identified in diesel exhaust (Alam et al., 464 2016b) with a dominant range C_{18} - C_{25} , and the total (particle + gas) concentration of n- C_n -cyclohexanes 465 was 2.05 μ g m⁻³. The n-Cn-cyclohexanes (C₂₀-C₃₀) were identified at the IAP site with average $\sum n$ -C_n-466 cyclohexane 39.4 \pm 37.1 ng m⁻³. The most abundant range was observed at C₂₂-C₂₇, highly consistent 467 with the engine study, implying a significant contribution from vehicle emissions. In addition, the 468 average Σ n-C_n-cyclohexane (C₂₀-C₃₀) was 53.3 ± 39.3 ng m⁻³ during haze episodes, approximately five 469 times higher than 10.8 ± 8.22 ng m⁻³ in the non-haze period, a larger ratio than for other primary emissions. 470 The alkyl-decalins and tetralin are products obtained by hydrogenation of naphthalene and its derivatives 471 472 during the refining process and have been identified in vehicle exhaust (Afzal et al., 2008; Alam et al., 2016b; Ogawa et al., 2007). The average Σ alkyl-decalins was 110 ng m^-3, with 85.4 \pm 65.5 and 126 \pm 473 110 ng m⁻³ on non-haze and haze days respectively. The Σ n-C_n-benzene (C₁₆-C₂₅) identified in the 474 samples ranged from 7.71 to 410 ng m⁻³ with an average of 56.6 \pm 73.0 ng m⁻³. The average Σ n-C_n-475 benzene (C₁₆-C₂₅) was 77.2 \pm 88.2 ng m⁻³ during haze episodes, approximately four times the 23.3 \pm 15.1 476 ng m⁻³ of the non-haze period. Other alkyl-benzenes (C₉-C₂₅) were also identified and have higher 477 concentrations at C_{12} , especially for the non-haze days. 478

479

480 3.5 Distribution of Compounds with respect to Volatility and Polarity, and the Estimation of 481 Unidentified Mass

The method for characterising the volatility/polarity distribution of compounds is detailed in the Supporting Information. Briefly, the chromatography image was separated into seven parts according to the main chemical and physical properties of the organic compounds and the distribution of internal standards (IS), and the detailed protocol is shown in Table S4. The diagram of the separated image with seven parts is shown in Figure 6a, and the concentrations measured in each part are shown in Figure 6 and Table 3. In the chromatogram (Figure 6), volatility decreases from left to right and polarity increases from bottom to top. Table 3 shows the estimated mass concentration of all components of the chromatogram, alongside the amount of mass not accounted for by the specific compounds reported in this paper.

491

For the non-haze days, the sum of identified organic compounds (IOC) with carbon numbers higher than C₆ was 1.84 μ g m⁻³, accounting for 46.5 % of total organic compounds. The IOC of the haze days was almost two times that of non-haze periods, with an average of 3.42 μ g m⁻³, accounting for 46.3% of total measured organic matter. In addition, the sum of unidentified compounds increased from 2.12 μ g m⁻³ on non-haze days to 3.96 μ g m⁻³ on haze days, accounting for 53.5 % and 53.7% of total measured organic matter, respectively. Hence there is no marked difference in the proportions of identified and unidentified compounds between haze and non-haze conditions.

499

For the non-haze days, Section 1 of the chromatogram has the highest concentration of 802 ng m⁻³, 500 followed by Section 7 (792 ng m⁻³), accounting for 20.3 % and 20.0 % of the total organic compounds 501 respectively, implying that both low molecular weight (LMW) hydrocarbons (Section 1) and high 502 molecular weight (HMW) PAHs (Section 7, 3~6 rings) and compounds of similar volatility/polarity were 503 the main organic components of atmospheric particulate matter measureable by the GCxGC separation 504 technique. The PAHs are important organic compounds appearing in Sections 6 + 7, accounting for 32.3% 505 of total measured organic compounds during the non-haze days. Sections 2, 3 and 4 showed relatively 506 low concentrations, and medium molecular weight hydrocarbons in the range of C_{23} - C_{27} (Section 3) were 507 508 the more abundant aliphatic hydrocarbons relative to Section 2 (C_{17} - C_{23}) and Section 4 (> C_{27}), probably

caused by primary emissions from vehicular and coal combustion (Cao et al., 2018). Section 5 contains
oxidized monoaromatic compounds, and the concentrations were higher than Section 6 (mainly
containing naphthalene derivatives) and lower than Section 1, probably mainly arising from vehicular
emissions or oxidized from the monoaromatic precursors (Section 1) (Schwantes et al., 2017).

513

The polarity distribution characteristics of atmospheric organic compounds on the non-haze days were also studied. For the volatile areas, low polarity compounds (Section 1) have a lower concentration than polar compounds (Sections 5 + 6) during the non-haze days. On the contrary, for the semi-and nonvolatile area, the sum of low polar compounds (Sections 2 + 3 + 4) have higher concentrations than polar organic compounds (Section 7).

519

520 The concentrations in all sections increased from non-haze to haze days, and the main difference between haze and non-haze days attaches to Sections 5, 6 and 7 (Figure 6b), indicating a more polar aerosol during 521 periods of haze. Section 6 has the highest concentrations on the haze days (1556 ng m⁻³), increased more 522 523 than three times on the haze days in contrast to non-haze days (485 ng m⁻³), followed by Section 7 (1337 ng m⁻³) and Section 5 (1309 ng m⁻³), indicating that the oxidized monoaromatics, naphthalene derivatives 524 and oxidized HMW PAHs were the main identified components of the atmospheric particulate matter 525 during the haze days. The concentrations were compared among the seven sections, and the highest 526 concentrations of Section 6 were probably contributed by the degradation of HMW PAHs (from Section 527 7). For the oxidized monoaromatic compounds (Section 5), the degradation of naphthalene derivatives 528 was probably a major contributor, but not compounds oxidized from Section 1. The concentrations of 529 Section 3 were also observed to increase from non-haze days (573 ng m⁻³) to haze days (1060 ng m⁻³), 530 531 indicating that accumulation has an obvious effect on the stable compounds with carbon number between C₂₃ to C₂₇ during haze formation under low wind speed (Table S1). 532

533 **3.6** Elevation of Primary and Secondary Constituents during Haze Events

By definition, concentrations of PM_{2.5} are elevated during haze events, but the question arises as to 534 whether primary or secondary organic compounds make a larger contribution to the rise in concentrations. 535 536 Constituents that are expected to be primary are typically elevated in mean concentration by a factor of around two (Table S3). Examples are n-alkanes (ratio of haze : non-haze of 2.2), levoglucosan (1.8) and 537 hopping (2.9). This is consistent with the ratios for primary gaseous emissions, including SO_2 (ratio of 538 2.6), CO (2.5) and NO_x (2.2) (Table S1). Surprisingly, however, both BC (ratio of 3.8) and EC (3.4) 539 (Table S1) are primary constituents with a large haze:non-haze ratio, comparable to that of PM_{2.5} mass 540 (4.0). Consequently the factors leading to an elevation of concentrations during the haze appear complex 541 and are likely to be resolved fully only by chemistry-transport models. 542

543

544 OC/EC ratios are used to estimate the relative contribution of primary and secondary sources; high OC/EC ratios (> 2.0) have been observed for aerosols with significant SOA contributions in Beijing (Lv 545 546 et al., 2019; Ji et al., 2018). The OC/EC ratio in this study was 3.88 on average, suggesting a significant 547 contribution of SOA in Beijing aerosols, which is consistent with the results of Section 3.5. The aliphatic carbonyls, which have both primary and secondary sources (Lyu et al., 2018a,b) range from ratios of 1.6 548 549 (n-alkanals) to 2.8 (n-alkan-2-ones). This result was consistent with Section 3.5; it was found that the 550 chromatogram Sections 2 and 3 which contained alkanals ($C_{15} \leq C_{25}$) and alkanones ($C_{15} \leq C_{25}$) have slighly higher concentrations on haze days than non-haze days. However, the low ratio alkanal and 551 alkanone compounds are quite readily oxidised (Chacon-Madrid et al., 2010; Chacon-Madrid and 552 553 Donahue, 2011), and a low ratio may reflect a high degree of further processing to form more oxidised species on the haze days compensating for enhanced formation. 554

555

556 There are no compounds in Table S3 certain to be exclusively secondary. However, the results in Figure

557 6 show an appreciable elevation in more polar compounds (upper part of the chromatogram) on haze 558 days, suggestive of a greater relative abundance of more oxidised, possibly secondary compounds in the 559 haze. The ratio of average $PM_{2.5}$ mass between haze and non-haze days was 4.0, and organic carbon, 2.7 560 (Table S1). The ratio for organic matter would be greater than 2.7, due to a higher OM/OC ratio in 561 secondary compounds. This is strongly suggestive of a greater contribution from an elevation in 562 secondary than primary species concentrations during the haze events, and that much of the mass lies 563 outside of the chromatogram due to the low volatility of the secondary species.

564 565

566 4. CONCLUSIONS

Over 300 polar and non-polar organic compounds were determined in the fine particle samples from 567 Beijing, and these compounds have been grouped into more than twenty classes, including normal and 568 569 branched alkanes, n-alkenes, aliphatic carbonyl compounds (1-alkanals, n-alkan-2-ones and n-alkan-3ones), n-alkanoic acids, n-alkanols, PAHs, oxygenated PAHs (O-PAHs), alkylated-(PAHs & OPAHs), 570 hopanes, n-C_n-benzene, alkyls-benzenes, n-C_n-cyclohexane, pyridines, quinolines, furanones, and 571 572 biomarkers (levoglucosan, cedrol, phytane, pristane, supraene and phytone). The total concentrations of identified organic compounds ranged from 0.94 to 5.14 μ g m⁻³ with an average of 2.84 \pm 1.19 μ g m⁻³, 573 574 accounting for 9.40 % of OM mass. The six groups which accounted for 66% of total identified organic 575 compound mass included n-alkanes, levoglucosan, branched-alkanes, PAHs, n-alkenes and alkyl-576 benzenes, and these were significantly impacted by primary emission sources. In addition, the average 577 total polycyclic aromatic compounds (the sum of Σ PAHs, Σ O-PAHs, Σ alkylated-(PAHs & OPAHs), 578 alkyl-PHE and ANT and alkyl-NAP) was 560 ng m⁻³, accounting for 1.88 % of OM. The comparisons of identified groups between non-haze and haze periods showed that most organic compound groups 579 have a higher concentration on the haze days relative to the non-haze days. The average sum of the 580 identified compounds increased from 1.84 µg m⁻³ to 3.42 µg m⁻³ from non-haze days to haze days. A 581

unimodal molecular distribution of alkanes was observed in the range from C₈ to C₃₆, and these 582 compounds make significant contributions to atmospheric organic compounds in the range of C_{19} - C_{28} , 583 especially on the haze days. The unidentified compounds in the chromatogram were estimated, and the 584 results show that the average sum of unidentified compounds increased from 2.12 µg m⁻³ on non-haze 585 days to 3.96 µg m⁻³ on haze days, accounting approximately for 53.5 % and 53.7% of total organic 586 compounds, respectively. Finally, the total mass concentrations of measured organic compounds ($\geq C6$) 587 was 3.96 µg m⁻³ and 7.39 µg m⁻³ on the non-haze and haze days, accounting for 26.4% and 18.5% of OM 588 mass, respectively on these days. The remaining mass is that which is not volatile under the conditions 589 of the gas chromatography. The higher percentage of non-GC-volatile organic matter on haze days is 590 indicative of a greater degree of oxidation of the organic aerosol, consistent with the difference in the 591 chromatogram between haze and non-haze days. The greater contribution of secondary constituents 592 593 during haze events has been reported previously by Huang et al. (2014) and Ma et al. (2017), but not the greater extent of oxidation of organic matter. In a modelling study, Li et al. (2017) found that during 594 winter haze conditions in Beijing the majority of secondary PM_{2.5} had formed one or more days prior to 595 596 arrival, hence explaining its highly oxidised condition.

597

598 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

599 Data supporting this publication are openly available from the UBIRA eData repository at 600 <u>https://doi.org/10.25500/edata.bham.00000303</u>.

601

602 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The study was conceived by RMH and ZS and the fieldwork was organised and supervised by ZS and PF. TV and DL undertook air sampling work and general data analyses for the campaign while RL carried analytical work on the Beijing samples under the guidance of MSA and CS. XW contributed

- analyses of data from London. RL produced the first draft of the manuscript with guidance from YF and
- 607 RMH and all authors contributed to the refinement of the submitted manuscript.

609 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 610 Primary collection of samples took place during the APHH project in which our work was funded by the
- 611 Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) (NE/N007190/1). The authors would also like to thank
- 612 the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for support to R.L.

613

614 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

615 The authors have no conflict of interest.

617 **REFERENCES**

618

Afzal, A., Chelme-Ayala, P., El-Din, A. G., El-Din, M. G.: Automotive Wastes, Water Environ., Res.,
80, 1397-1415, 2008.

621

Akyüz, M.: Simultaneous determination of aliphatic and aromatic amines in ambient air and airborne
particulate matters by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3809-3819, 2008.

Alam, M. S., Zeraati-Rezaei, S., Liang, Z., Stark, C., Xu, H., MacKenzie, A. R., Harrison, R. M.: Mapping and quantifying isomer sets of hydrocarbons ($\geq C$ 12) in diesel exhaust, lubricating oil and diesel fuel samples using GC× GC-ToF-MS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 3047, 2018.

- Alam, M. S., Stark, C., Harrison, R. M.: Using variable ionisation energy time-of-flight mass
 spectrometry with comprehensive GC×GC to identify isomeric species, Anal. Chem., 88, 4211-4220,
 2016a.
- 632

636

640

644

647

651

655

658

661

Alam, M. S., Zeraati-Rezaei, S., Stark, C. P., Liang, Z., Xu, H., Harrison, R. M.: The characterisation
of diesel exhaust particles - composition, size distribution and partitioning, Faraday. Discuss., 189, 6984, 2016b.

Alam, M. S., Harrison R. M.: Recent advances in the application of 2-dimensional gas chromatography
with soft and hard ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry in environmental analysis, Chem. Sci., 7,
3968-3977, 2016.

Alam, M., Delgado-Saborit, J. M., Stark, C., Harrison, R. M.: Investigating PAH relative reactivity
using congener profiles, quinone measurements and back trajectories, Atmos. Chem.Phys., 14, 24672477, 2014.

Bi, X., Sheng, G., Peng, P.A., Zhang, Z., Fu, J.: Extractable organic matter in PM₁₀ from LiWan
District of Guangzhou City, PR China, Sci. Tot. Environ., 300, 213-228, 2002.

Botalova, O., Schwarzbauer, J., Frauenrath, T., and Dsikowitzky, L.: Identification and chemical
characterization of specific organic constituents of petrochemical effluents, Water Res., 43, 3797-3812,
2009.

Cao, R., Zhang, H., Geng, N., Fu, Q., Teng, M., Zou, L., Gao, Y., and Chen, J.: Diurnal variations of
atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) during three sequent winter haze episodes in
Beijing, China, Sci. Tot. Environ., 625, 1486-1493, 2018.

Cass, G. R.: Organic molecular tracers for particulate air pollution sources. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.,
17, 356-366, 1998.

Chacon-Madrid, H. J., and Donahue, N.: Fragmentation vs. functionalization: chemical aging and
 organic aerosol formation, Atoms. Chem. Phys., 11,10553-10563, 2011.

Chacon-Madrid, H. J., Presto, A. A., and Donahue, N. M.: Functionalization vs. fragmentation: naldehyde oxidation mechanisms and secondary organic aerosol formation, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,
12, 13975-13982, 2010.

- 665
- 666 Chiavari, G., Galletti, G. C.: Pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry of amino acids,
- 667 J.Anal.Appl. Pyrol., 24, 123-137, 1992.
- 668

- Ding, J., Zhong, J., Yang, Y., Li, B., Shen, G., Su, Y., Chen, W., Shen, H., Wang, B., and Rong, W.:
 Occurrence and exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their derivatives in a rural chinese
 home through biomass fuelled cooking, Environ. Pollut., 169, 160-166, 2012.
- Fan, X., Wei, S., Zhu, M., Song, J., Peng, P. A.: Molecular characterization of primary humic-like
 substances in fine smoke particles by thermochemolysis–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry,
 Atmos. Environ., 180, 1-10, 2018.
- 676

683

687

690

694

698

- Fraser, M. P., Lakshmanan, K.: Using levoglucosan as a molecular marker for the long-range transport
 of biomass combustion aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 4560-4564, 2000.
- Gao, Y., Guo, X., Ji, H., Li, C., Ding, H., Briki, M., Tang, L., Zhang, Y.: Potential threat of heavy
 metals and PAHs in PM2. 5 in different urban functional areas of Beijing, Atmos. Res., 178, 6-16,
 2016.
- Guo, S., Hu, M., Guo, Q., Zhang, X., Schauer, J., Zhang, R.: Quantitative evaluation of emission
 controls on primary and secondary organic aerosol sources during Beijing 2008 Olympics, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 13, 8303-8314, 2013.
- Hamilton, J., Webb, P., Lewis, A., Hopkins, J., Smith, S., Davy, P.: Partially oxidised organic
 components in urban aerosol using GCXGC-TOF/MS. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1279-1290, 2004.
- Haque, M., Kawamura, K., Deshmukh, D. K., Fang, C., Song, W., Mengying, B., and Zhang, Y.-L.:
 Characterization of organic aerosols from a Chinese megacity during winter: predominance of fossil
 fuel combustion, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 5147-5164, 2019.
- Harrison, R. M., Alam, M.S., Dang, J., Ismail, I., Basahi, J., Alghamdi, M. A., Hassan, I., Khoder, M.:
 Relationship of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons with oxy (quinone) and nitro derivatives during air
 mass transport, Sci. Tot. Environ., 572, 1175-1183, 2016.
- He, L.-Y., Hu, M., Huang, X.-F., Zhang, Y.-H., Tang, X.-Y.: Seasonal pollution characteristics of
 organic compounds in atmospheric fine particles in Beijing, Sci. Tot. Environ., 359, 167-176, 2006a.
- He, L.-Y., Hu, M., Huang, X.-F., Zhang, Y.-H., Tang, X.-Y.: Seasonal pollution characteristics of
 organic compounds in atmospheric fine particles in Beijing, Sci. Tot. Environ., 359, 167-176, 2006b.
- Hendricker, A. D., Voorhees, K. J.: Amino acid and oligopeptide analysis using Curie-point pyrolysis
 mass spectrometry with in-situ thermal hydrolysis and methylation: mechanistic considerations, J.
 Anal. Appl. Pyrol., 48, 17-33, 1998.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, F.-H., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G.,
- 710 Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G.,
- 711 Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat,

- S., Baltensperger, U., El Haddad, I., Prevot, A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to
- particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature 514, 218-222, 2014.
- Huang, X.-F., He, L.-Y., Hu, M., Zhang, Y.-H.: Annual variation of particulate organic compounds in
- PM2.5 in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2449-2458, 2006.
- 716
- Keyte, I. J., Harrison, R. M., Lammel, G.: Chemical reactivity and long-range transport potential of
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons–a review, Chem. Soc.Rev., 42, 9333-9391, 2013.
- 719
 720 Ji, D., Yan, Y., Wang, Z., He, J., Liu, B., Sun, Y., Gao, M., Li, Y., Cao, W., Cui, Y., Hu, B., Xin, J.,
- Wang, L., Liu, Z., Tang, G., and Wang, Y.: Two-year continuous measurements of carbonaceous
 aerosols in urban Beijing, China: Temporal variations, characteristics and source analyses, Chemosphere
 200, 191-200, 2018.
- Kögel-Knabner, I.: 13C and 15N NMR spectroscopy as a tool in soil organic matter studies, Geoderma
 80, 243-270, 1997.
- 727

740

724

- Kong, S., Ji, Y., Liu, L., Chen, L., Zhao, X., Wang, J., Bai, Z., Sun, Z.: Spatial and temporal variation
 of phthalic acid esters (PAEs) in atmospheric PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} and the influence of ambient temperature
 in Tianjin, China, Atmos. Environ., 74, 199-208, 2013.
- Lang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Y., Cheng, S., Chen, D., Guo, X., Chen, S., Li, X., Xing, X., Wang, H.:
 Trends of PM_{2.5} and chemical composition in Beijing, 2000-2015, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 17, 412-425, 2017.
- Li, L. J., Ho, S. S. H., Feng, B., Xu, H., Wang, T., Wu, R., Huang, W., Qu, L., Wang, Q., and Cao, J.:
 Characterization of particulate-bound polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs) and their oxidations in
 heavy polluted atmosphere: A case study in urban Beijing, China during haze events, Sci. Tot.
 Environ., 660, 1392-1402, 2019.
- Li, J., Du, H., Wang, Z., Sun, Y., Yang, W., Li, J., Tang, X., Fu, P.: Rapid formation of a severe
 regional winter haze episode over a mega-city cluster on the North China Plain, Environ. Pollut., 223,
 605-615, 2017
- Lin, Y., Ma, Y., Qiu, X., Li, R., Fang, Y., Wang, J., Zhu, Y., Hu, D.: Sources, transformation, and
 health implications of PAHs and their nitrated, hydroxylated, and oxygenated derivatives in PM_{2.5} in
 Beijing, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 7219-7228, doi:10.1002/2015JD023628, 2015.
- Lv, D., Chen, Y., Zhu, T., Li, T., Shen, F., and Li X.: The pollution characteristics of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}
 during summer and winter in Beijing, Suning and Islamabad, Atmos. Pollut. Res., in press,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2019.01.021</u>, 2019.
- 752

- Lyu, R., Shi, Z., Alam, M. S., Wu, X., Liu, D., Vu, T. V., Stark, C., Fu, P., Feng, Y., and Harrison, R.
 M.: Alkanes and aliphatic carbonyl compounds in wintertime PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ.,
 202, 244-255, 2019.
- Lyu, R., Alam, M. S., Stark, C., Xu, R., Shi, Z., Feng, Y., Harrison, R. M.: Aliphatic Carbonyl
- 758 Compounds (C_8 - C_{26}) in Wintertime Atmospheric Aerosol in London, UK, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 759 submitted, 2018a.

760 Lyu, R., Shi, Z., Alam, M. S., Wu, X., Liu, D., Vu, T. V., Stark, C., Fu, P., Feng, Y., Harrison R. M.: Alkanes and aliphatic carbonyl compounds in wintertime PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 761 submitted, 2018b. 762 763 764 Ma, Y., Cheng, Y., Qiu, X., Cao, G., Fang, Y., Wang, J., Zhu, T., Yu, J., Hu, D.: Sources and oxidative potential of water-soluble humic-like substances (HULIS WS) in fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in 765 766 Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 5607-5617, 2018. 767 Ma, Q., Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Wang, X., Xia, Y., Liu, X., Tian, P., Han, Z., Xia, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, 768 769 R.: Roles of regional transport and heterogeneous reactions in the PM_{25} increase during winter haze episodes in Beijing, Sci. Tot. Environ., 599-600, 246-253, 2017. 770 771 772 Ogawa, H., Ibuki, T., Minematsu, T., Miyamoto, N.: Diesel combustion and emissions of decalin as a high productivity gas-to-liquid fuel, Energy & Fuels, 21, 1517-1521, 2007. 773 774 775 Oliveira, T. S., Pio, C., Alves, C. A., Silvestre, A. J., Evtyugina, M., Afonso, J., Fialho, P., Legrand, M., Puxbaum, H., Gelencsér, A.: Seasonal variation of particulate lipophilic organic compounds at nonurban 776 sites in Europe. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 112., D23S09, doi:10.1029/2007JD008504, 2007. 777 778 779 Oros, D., Simoneit, B.: Identification and emission rates of molecular tracers in coal smoke particulate 780 matter, Fuel 79, 515-536, 2000. 781 782 Pan, S., Wang, L.: Atmospheric oxidation mechanism of m-xylene initiated by OH radical, J. Phys. 783 Chem. A, 118, 10778-10787, 2014. 784 785 Pitts, J. N., Lokensgard, D. M., Ripley, P. S., Van Cauwenberghe, K. A., Van Vaeck, L., Shaffer, S. D., Thill, A. J., Belser, W. L.: Atmospheric epoxidation of benzo[a]pyrene by ozone: Formation of the 786 787 metabolite benzo[a]pyrene-4, 5-oxide, Science, 210, 1347-1349, 1980. 788 789 Ren, L., Fu, P., He, Y., Hou, J., Chen, J., Pavuluri, C.M., Sun, Y., Wang, Z.: Molecular distributions 790 and compound-specific stable carbon isotopic compositions of lipids in wintertime aerosols from Beijing, Scientific Rep., 6, 27481, 2016. 791 792 793 Ringuet, J., Albinet, A., Leoz-Garziandia, E., Budzinski, H., Villenave, E.: Reactivity of polycyclic 794 aromatic compounds (PAHs, NPAHs and OPAHs) adsorbed on natural aerosol particles exposed to atmospheric oxidants, Atmos. Environ., 61, 15-22, 2012. 795 796 797 Rogge, W. F., Hildemann, L. M., Mazurek, M. A., Cass, G. R., Simoneit, B. R.T., Sources of Fine 798 Organic Aerosol. 6. Cigaret Smoke in the Urban Atmosphere, Environ.Sci.Technol., 28, 1375-1388, 799 1994. 800 Rogge, W. F., Hildemann, L. M., Mazurek, M. A., Cass, G. R., Simoneit, B. R. T.: Sources of fine 801 organic aerosol. 2. Noncatalyst and catalyst-equipped automobiles and heavy-duty diesel trucks, 802 Environ. Sci. Technol., 27, 636-651, 1993a. 803 804 805 Rogge, W. F., Mazurek, M. A., Hildemann, L. M., Cass, G. R., Simoneit, B. R. T.: Quantification of 806 urban organic aerosols at a molecular level: Identification, abundance and seasonal variation, Atmos. Environ., Part A., 27, 1309-1330, 1993b. 807

808 Ruehl, C. R., Nah, T., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Chan, A. W. H., Kolesar, K. R., Cappa, C. D., Goldstein, A. H., and Wilson, K. R.: The Influence of molecular structure and aerosol phase on the 809 heterogeneous oxidation of normal and branched alkanes by OH, J. Phys. Chem. A., 117, 3990-4000, 810 2013. 811 812 813 Schauer, J. J., Cass, G. R.: Source apportionment of wintertime gas-phase and particle-phase air 814 pollutants using organic compounds as tracers, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 1821-1832, 2000. 815 Schauer, J. J., Rogge, W. F., Hildemann, L. M., Mazurek, M. A., Cass, G. R., Simoneit, B. R. T.: 816 817 Source apportionment of airborne particulate matter using organic compounds as tracers, Atmos. Environ., 30, 3837-3855, 1996. 818 819 820 Schmitter, J., Ignatiadis, I., Arpino, P.: Distribution of diaromatic nitrogen bases in crude oils, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 47, 1975-1984, 1983. 821 822 823 Schwantes, R. H., Schilling, K. A., McVay, R. C., Lignell, H., Coggon, M. M., Zhang, X., Wennberg, P. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Formation of highly oxygenated low-volatility products from cresol 824 oxidation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 3453-3474, 2017. 825 826 827 Shakya, K. M., Griffin, R. J.: Secondary organic aerosol from photooxidation of polycyclic aromatic 828 hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 8134-8139, 2010. 829 830 Shen, R., Schäfer, K., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Shao, L., Norra, S., Kramar, U., Michalke, B., Abbaszade, G., 831 Streibel, T., Zimmermann, R., Emeis, S.: Seasonal variability and source distribution of haze particles from a continuous one-year study in Beijing, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 9, 627-633, 2018. 832 833 834 Shen, G., Tao, S., Wei, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Wang, B., Wei, L.I., Shen, H., Huang, Y., and Chen, 835 Y.: Emissions of parent, nitro, and oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from residential 836 wood combustion in rural China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 8123-8130, 2012a. 837 838 Shen, G., Wei, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Wang, B., Li, W., Shen, H., Huang, Y., Chen, Y., and Chen, 839 H.: Emission of oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from biomass pellet burning in a 840 modern burner for cooking in China, Atmos. Environ., 60, 234-237, 2012b. 841 842 Shen, G., Tao, S., Wang, W., Yang, Y., Ding, J., Xue, M., Min, Y., Zhu, C., Shen, H., and Li, W.: Emission of oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from indoor solid fuel combustion, Environ. 843 844 Sci. Technol., 45, 3459-3465, 2011. 845 846 Simoneit, B. R. T., Kobayashi, M., Mochida, M., Kawamura, K., Lee, M., Lim, H.-J., Turpin, B. J., Komazaki, Y.: Composition and major sources of organic compounds of aerosol particulate matter 847 848 sampled during the ACE-Asia campaign, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 109, D19S10, doi:10.1029/2004JD004598, 2004. 849 850 Simoneit, B. R.: Biomass burning - a review of organic tracers for smoke from incomplete combustion, 851 Appl. Geochem., 17, 129-162, 2002a. 852 853 854 Simoneit, B. R. T.: Biomass burning - a review of organic tracers for smoke from incomplete combustion, Appl. Geochem., 17, 129-162, 2002b. 855

856 857	Simoneit, B., Rogge, W., Lang, Q., Jaffé, R.: Molecular characterization of smoke from campfire burning of pine wood (Pinus elliottii), Chemosphere-Global Change Sci., 2, 107-122, 2000.					
858	Simonit D. D. T. Shana, C. Char, V. Fr. I. Zhana, I. Ya, Y. Malamban malan studies of					
859	Simoneit, B. R. T., Sheng, G., Chen, X., Fu, J., Zhang, J., Xu, Y.: Molecular marker study of					
860	extractable organic matter in aerosols from urban areas of China, Atmos. Environ., 25, 2111-2129, 1991.					
861 862	1991.					
863	Simoneit, B. R.: Application of molecular marker analysis to vehicular exhaust for source					
864	reconciliations, Intl. J.Environ. Anal. Chem., 22, 203-232, 1985.					
865	reconcinations, intr. J.Environ. Anal. Chem., 22, 203-232, 1983.					
866	Simoneit, B. R. T.: Organic matter of the troposphere—III. Characterization and sources of petroleum					
867	and pyrogenic residues in aerosols over the western united states, Atmos. Environ., (1967) 18, 51-67,					
868	1984.					
869						
870	Simoneit, B. R., Mazurek, M. A.: Organic matter of the troposphere-II. Natural background of biogenic					
871	lipid matter in aerosols over the rural western united states, Atmos. Environ., (1967) 16, 2139-2159,					
872	1982.					
873						
874	Simoneit, B., Schnoes, H., Haug, P., Burlingame, A.: High-resolution mass spectrometry of					
875	nitrogenous compounds of the Colorado Green River Formation oil shale, Chem. Geol., 7, 123-141,					
876	1971.					
877						
878	Simpson, C. D., Paulsen, M., Dills, R. L., Liu, L. J. S., Kalman, D. A.: Determination of					
879	methoxyphenols in ambient atmospheric particulate matter: Tracers for wood combustion, Environ.,					
880	Sci. Techno., 39, 631-637, 2005.					
881						
882	Staples, C. A., Peterson, D. R., Parkerton, T. F., Adams, W. J.: The environmental fate of phthalate					
883	esters: a literature review, Chemosphere, 35, 667-749, 1997.					
884						
885	Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Fu, P., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H., Li, J., Jia, J.: Aerosol composition, sources					
886	and processes during wintertime in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4577-4592, 2013.					
887 888	Turnin P. I. Lim H. L. Spacias contributions to DM mass concentrations: Devisiting common					
889	Turpin, B. J., Lim, HJ.: Species contributions to PM _{2.5} mass concentrations: Revisiting common assumptions for estimating organic mass, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 35, 602-610, 2001.					
890	assumptions for estimating organic mass, Acrosof Ser. Teenhol., 33, 002-010, 2001.					
891	Wang, J., Ho, S. S. H., Huang, R., Gao, M., Liu, S., Zhao, S., Cao, J., Wang, G., Shen, Z., and Han, Y.:					
892	Characterization of parent and oxygenated-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Xi'an, China					
893	during heating period: An investigation of spatial distribution and transformation, Chemosphere, 159,					
894	367, 2016.					
895						
896	Wang, W.: Regional distribution and air-soil exchange of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)					
897	and their derivatives in Beijing-Tianjin area, Ph.D. Dissertation, Peking University, Beijing, China,					
898	2010.					
899						
900	Wang, G., Kawamura, K., Lee, S., Ho, K., Cao, J.: Molecular, seasonal, and spatial distributions of					
901	organic aerosols from fourteen Chinese cities, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 4619-4625, 2006.					
902						

903 Wang, G., Kawamura, K.: Molecular characteristics of urban organic aerosols from Nanjing: A case 904 study of a mega-city in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 7430-7438, 2005. 905 Wang, Z., Bi, X., Sheng, G., Fu, J.: Characterization of organic compounds and molecular tracers from 906 biomass burning smoke in South China I: Broad-leaf trees and shrubs, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3096-907 3102, 2009. 908 909 910 Wei, S., Huang, B., Liu, M., Bi, X., Ren, Z., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Characterization of PM_{2.5}-bound nitrated and oxygenated PAHs in two industrial sites of South China, Atmos. Res., 109, 76-83, 2012. 911 912 Welthagen, W., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R.: Search criteria and rules for comprehensive two-913 914 dimensional gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry analysis of airborne particulate 915 matter, J. Chromatogr., A 1019, 233-249, 2003. 916 917 Wu, X., Vu, T. V., Shi, Z., Harrison, R. M., Liu, D., Cen, K.: Characterization and Source 918 Apportionment of Carbonaceous PM_{2.5} Particles in China - A Review, Atmos. Environ., 189, 187-212, 919 2018. 920 Yao, L., Yang, L., Yuan, Q., Yan, C., Dong, C., Meng, C., Sui, X., Yang, F., Lu, Y., Wang, W.: 921 Sources apportionment of PM2. 5 in a background site in the North China Plain, Sci. Tot. Environ., 922 923 541, 590-598, 2016. 924 925 Yee, L. D., Kautzman, K. E., Loza, C. L., Schilling, K. A., Coggon, M. M., Chhabra, P. S., Chan, M. 926 N., Chan, A. W. H., Hersey, S. P., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from biomass burning intermediates: phenol and 927 methoxyphenols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8019-8043, 2013. 928 929 Zhang, H., Worton, D. R., Shen, S., Nah, T., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Wilson, K. R., and Goldstein, A. 930 931 H.: Fundamental time scales governing organic aerosol multiphase partitioning and oxidative aging, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 9768-9777, 2015. 932 933 934 Zhang, Y.-X., Shao, M., Zhang, Y.-h., Zeng, L.-M., He, L.-Y., Zhu, B., Wei, Y., Zhu, X.: Source 935 profiles of particulate organic matters emitted from cereal straw burnings, J.Environ. Sci., 19, 167-175, 936 2007. 937 938 Zhang, Q., Anastasio, C., Jimenez-Cruz, M.: Water-soluble organic nitrogen in atmospheric fine 939 particles (PM2. 5) from northern California, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 107, D11, 4112, 940 10.1029/2001JD000870, 2002. 941 Zhao, J., Peng, P. A., Song, J., Ma, S., Sheng, G., Fu, J.: Characterization of organic matter in total 942 943 suspended particles by thermodesorption and pyrolysis-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J.Environ. Sci., 21, 1658-1666, 2009. 944 945 Zhou, J., Wang, T., Zhang, Y., Zhong, N., Medeiros, P. M., Simoneit, B. R. T.: Composition and 946 sources of organic matter in atmospheric PM10 over a two year period in Beijing, China, Atmos. Res., 947 93, 849-861, 2009. 948 949

950	TABLE L	EGENDS:
951 952 953 954	Table 1:	Comparison of identified organic compounds with earlier studies in Beijing. Data from the present study are mean \pm s.d. for n = 33 samples.
955 956 957	Table 2:	Molecular formula, diagnostic ions and average concentrations of hopanes identified in PM _{2.5} .
958 959 960 961	Table 3:	Estimated average concentrations of unknown compounds (ng m ⁻³) in each section of the chromatogram for haze and non-haze conditions.
962	FIGURE I	LEGENDS:
963	D' 1	
964 065	Figure 1:	The percentages of the organic compound groups in the total identified organic compounds.
965 966 967 968 969	Figure 2:	A comparison of organic compound groups between non-haze and haze days. The average total concentration of the identified group was calculated in the non-haze (13 days) and haze periods (20 days), respectively.
970 971 972	Figure 3:	The distribution of concentrations of PAHs (shaded bars, 25%-first quartile, 75%-third quartile).
973 974 975 976	Figure 4:	The molecular distributions of aliphatic hydrocarbons and other homologous series, including n-alkanes, branched alkanes, n-alkenes, carbonyl compounds (n-alkanals, n-alkan-2-ones, n-alkan-3-ones), n-alkanoic acid and alkanols on haze and non-haze days.
977 978 979	Figure 5:	The molecular distributions of $n-C_n$ -cyclohexane, alkyl-bicyclic-alkanes, alkyl-benzenes, $n-C_n$ -benzenes, alkyl-furanones and alkyl-pyridines on haze and non-haze days.
980 981 982	Figure 6:	The concentration (ng m ⁻³) sum of identified and unknown organic compounds in each chromatogram image section during (a) non-haze and (b) haze days.

983	Table 1: Comparison of identified organic compounds with earlier studies in Beijing.	Data from the
984	present study are mean \pm s.d. for n = 33 samples.	

Compound name Present Previous study n-alkanols 1-Dodecanol 2.27 ±1.49 0.90 j; 1-Tetradecanol 2.42±88.9 3.00 j; 1-Hexadecanol 6.66±20.7 1.2 d; 6.30 j; 1-Octadecanol 1.69±1.65 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Octadecanol 1.69±1.65 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71±2.96 19.5 j; Straitkanols (C ₁₄ -C ₃₄) = 1200 e; n-alkanols (C ₁₄ -C ₃₄) = 1200 e; Namator colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2" Colspa	Concentrations, ng m-3						
1-Dodecanol 2.27±1.49 0.90 j; 1-Tetradecanol 24.2±88.9 00 j; 1-Hexadecanol 1.6 \pm 1.65 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Octadecanol 1.6 \pm 1.65 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71±2.96 19.5 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71±2.96 19.5 j; Teraikanols (C4:2-Ca:)=1200 e; Teraikanols (C4:2-Ca:)=1200 e; Stanols acid Decanoic acid 0.62 j; Octanoic acid 2.97±2.56 Decanoic acid 2.97±2.56 Decanoic acid 2.97±2.56 Decanoic acid 2.97±2.56 Decanoic acid Decanoic acid 2.91±3.06 Decanoic acid 1.55±2.74 Decanoic acid Decanoic acid Decanoic acid Decanoic acid Decanoic acid	Compound name Present Previous study						
Instrume Instrume Instrume 1-Tetradecanol 24.2±88.9 300 j; 1-Hexadecanol 1.69±1.66 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Octadecanol 1.69±1.66 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71±2.96 19.5 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71±2.96 19.5 j; n-alkanols (C 1.4 C 20.9 = 1200 e) N-alkanols (C 1.0 C 20.9 = 1200 e) 1.23 ± 1.3 0 0.62 ±	n-alkanols						
1-Hexadecanol 6.66 \pm 20.7 1.2 d; 6.30 j; 1-Octadecanol 1.69 \pm 1.65 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71 \pm 2.96 19.5 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71 \pm 2.96 19.5 j; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; n-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; n-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; N-alkanole (C ₁₄ -C ₂₆) = 1200 e; Nonanoic acid 2.97 \pm 2.56 29.6 i; 0.62 j; Nonanoic acid 2.97 \pm 2.56 2.96 i; 0.62 j; Nonanoic acid 2.97 \pm 2.56 2.94 ± 1.06 Nonanoic acid 2.91 \pm 3.06 0.22 j; In alkanoic acid (C ₁₆ -C ₂₆) = 40.6 ± (c ₁₆ -C ₂₆) = 40.6 ± (c ₁₇ -C ₂₆) = 36.3 h± <td col<="" td=""><td>1-Dodecanol</td><td>2.27±1.49</td><td>0.90 j;</td></td>	<td>1-Dodecanol</td> <td>2.27±1.49</td> <td>0.90 j;</td>	1-Dodecanol	2.27±1.49	0.90 j;			
1-Octadecanol 1.69±1.65 3.1 d; 20.1 j; 1-Eicosanol 3.71±2.96 19.5 j; 1-Eicosanol Σ n-alkanuols (Ci, -Cas) = 1200 e; n-alkanuols (Ci, -Cas) = 1200 e; n-alkanuols (Ci, -Cas) = 1200 e; Nanakanois acid 1.80±1.54 30.4 i; 0.00 j; Heytanoic acid 0.73±1.05 0.62 j; Octanoic acid 2.97±2.56 29.6 i; 0.62 j; Nonanoic acid 1.23±1.37 20.7 j; Decanoic acid 22.8±25.2 64 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; Σ n-alkanoic acid (Cas, Cas) = 426 cg; Σ n-alkanoic acid (Cas, Cas) = 436 h; Σ n-alkanoic acid (Cas, Cas) = 436 h; Hopanes 18q(H)22,29,30-trisnorhopane 1.56±2.74 2.75 a; 2.3 d; 0.5 i; 0.21 j; 17a(H)21p(H)-hopane(moretane) 5.77±6.12 1.32 j; 1.9 d; 17a(H)21p(H)-hopane(moretane) 5.71±6.12 1.32 j; 1.9 d; 17a(H)21p(H)-hopane(22R) 0.43 : 2.4 0.43 ; 1.54 17a(H)21p(H)-hopane(22R) 0.43 : 2.4 0.42 ; 1.74(H)21p(H)-hopane(22R) 17a(H)21p(H)-bishomohopane(22R) 1.41±1.73 0.7 d; 17a(H)21p(H)-bishomohopane(22R) 1.41±1.73	1-Tetradecanol	24.2±88.9	3.00 j;				
1-Eicosanol 3.71 ± 2.96 19.5 j; Σ n-alkanols (C_{14} - C_{20})= 1200-e; n-alkanols (C_{12} - C_{20})= 420 g; n-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 40-11000-e; Σ n-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 420 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N-alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N=alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N=alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N=alkanoic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 426 g; N=Altaroic acid (C_{22} - C_{23})= 7.	1-Hexadecanol	6.66±20.7	1.2 d; 6.30 j;				
Image: Second state in the second state in	1-Octadecanol	1.69±1.65	3.1 d; 20.1 j;				
n-alkanoic acids n-alkanoic acids Hexanoic acid 1.80 ± 1.54 30.4 i; 0.00 j; Heptanoic acid 0.73 ± 1.05 0.62 j; Octanoic acid 2.97 ± 2.56 29.6 i; 0.62 j; Nonanoic acid 1.23 ± 1.37 2.07 j; Decanoic acid 22.8 ± 25.2 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; Decanoic acid 22.8 ± 25.2 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; Decanoic acid 22.8 ± 25.2 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; Decanoic acid 22.8 ± 25.2 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; Decanoic acid 22.9 ± 3.05 1.23 ± 1.37 2.07 s; Decanoic acid 2.91 ± 3.06 0.22 j; $1.7\alpha(H).22,29,30$ -trisnorhopane 2.91 ± 3.06 0.22 j; $17\alpha(H).22,29,30$ -trisnorhopane 1.56 ± 2.74 2.75 a; 2.3 d; 0.5 i; 0.21 j; $17\alpha(H).21\beta(H)-hopane(moretane) 5.77\pm 6.12 1.32\pm 1.31 0.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j; 17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-homohopane(22R) 1.32\pm 1.31 0.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j; 17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-homohopane(22R) 1.41\pm 1.73 0.7 d; 17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-homohopane(22R) $	1-Eicosanol	3.71±2.96	19.5 j;				
Hexanoic acid 1.80 ± 1.54 30.4 i; 0.00 j;Heptanoic acid 0.73 ± 1.05 0.62 j;Octanoic acid 2.97 ± 2.56 29.6 i; 0.62 j;Nonanoic acid 1.23 ± 1.37 2.07 j;Decanoic acid 22.8 ± 2.52 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j;Decanoic acid 22.8 ± 2.52 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; $P = 0.0000$ $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 40.11000 e; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{3,2}$) = 42.6 g; $\sum n$ altanoic acid ($C_{1,2}, C_{2,2}$) = 3.63 h; $17\alpha(H)2.1\beta(H)$ -hopane(moretane) 5.71 ± 6.12 1.32 ± 1.21 0.53 i; 1.24 j; $17\alpha(H)2.1\beta(H)$ -homohopane(22R) 1.32 ± 1.31 0.43 ± 0.32 2.94 a; 1.24 ; 0.63 i; $17\alpha(H)2.1\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22R) 5.23 ± 6.51 0.74 1.72 $17\alpha(H)2.1\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22R) 1.41 ± 1.73 0.74 1.72 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>\sum n alkanols (C₁₄-C₃₀) = 1200 e;</td>			\sum n alkanols (C ₁₄ -C ₃₀) = 1200 e;				
Heptanoic acid0.73±1.050.62 j:Octanoic acid2.97±2.5629.6 i; 0.62 j:Nonanoic acid1.23±1.372.07 j;Decanoic acid22.8±25.26.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j;Decanoic acid22.8±25.26.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; \sum -n-alkanoic acid (C_1, C_{22}) = 40-11000 e; \sum -n-alkanoic acid (C_1, C_{22}) = 40-11000 e; \sum -n-alkanoic acid (C_1, C_{22}) = 426 g; \sum -n-alkanoic acid (C_2, C_{22}) = 4363 h;HoparasiHoparasiHoparasi18α(H)22,29,30-trisnorhopane2.91±3.060.22 j;17α(H)21β(H)-30-norhopane9.92±7.637.19 a; 4.1 d;17α(H)21β(H)-bopane(moretane)5.71±6.121.32 j; 1.9 d;17α(H)21β(H)-hopane(22R)1.32±1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.32±1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;Subtota32.7±247PALsNaphthalene (NAP,2-rings)6.03±4.520.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACF, 2-rings)6.03±4.520.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACF, 2-rings)6.04±8.94 <t< td=""><td></td><td>n-alkan</td><td>oic acids</td></t<>		n-alkan	oic acids				
Detanoic acid2.97±2.5629.6 i; 0.62 j;Nonanoic acid1.23±1.372.07 j;Decanoic acid22.8±25.26.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₇ -C ₂₄)=40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₂ -C ₂₄)=40-11000 e; \sum n-117a(H)21β(H)-biomohopane(22R)1.32±1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.5 a; i.1.54 j;17a(H)21β(H)-biomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7d3.71±2.47Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings)6.03±4.520.22 b; 2.4 i; 0.70 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i;17a(H)21β(H)-biomohopane(22R) <t< td=""><td>Hexanoic acid</td><td>1.80±1.54</td><td>30.4 i; 0.00 j;</td></t<>	Hexanoic acid	1.80±1.54	30.4 i; 0.00 j;				
Nonanoic acid 1.23±1.37 2.07 j; Decanoic acid 22.8±25.2 6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 426 g; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 426 g; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 426 g; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; \sum n-alkanoic acid (C ₁₂ -C ₂₄) = 40-11000 e; 	Heptanoic acid	0.73±1.05	0.62 j;				
Decanoic acid22.8±25.26.4 ± 5.8 i; 1.24 j;Decanoic acid22.8±25.26.4 ± 5.8 i; 1.24 j; $\begin{bmatrix} X_n = alkanoic = acid (C_1, C_{22}) = 40-11000 e; X_n = alkanoic = acid (C_2, C_{22}) = 426 g; X_n = alkanoic = acid (C_2, C_{22}) = 363 h;How How Ender State $	Octanoic acid	2.97±2.56	29.6 i; 0.62 j;				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Nonanoic acid	1.23±1.37	2.07 j;				
Image: Section of the section of t	Decanoic acid	22.8±25.2	6.4 d; 5.8 i; 1.24 j;				
$8\alpha(H)22,29,30-trisnorneohopane2.91\pm3.060.22 j;17\alpha(H)-22,29,30-Trisnorhopane1.56\pm2.742.75 a; 2.3 d; 0.5 i; 0.21 j;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-30-norhopane9.92\pm7.637.19 a; 4.1 d;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-hopane(moretane)5.77\pm6.121.32 j; 1.9 d;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-hopane3.71\pm5.493.51 a; 3.2 d; 0.8 i; 1.54 j;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-homohopane(22R)1.32\pm1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-homohopane(22S)0.83\pm0.932.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41\pm1.730.7 d;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41\pm1.730.7 d;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-bishomohopane(22R)0.22\pm1.24 f;0.7 d;17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-bishomoh$			$\overline{\sum}$ n-alkanoic acid (C ₅ -C ₃₂) = 426 g;				
$17a(H)-22,29,30$ -Trisnorhopane 1.56 ± 2.74 2.75 a; 2.3 d; 0.5 i; 0.21 j; $17a(H)21\beta(H)-30$ -norhopane 9.92 ± 7.63 7.19 a; 4.1 d; $17a(H)21\alpha(H)$ -hopane(moretane) 5.77 ± 6.12 1.32 j; 1.9 d; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -hopane 3.71 ± 5.49 3.51 a; 3.2 d; 0.8 j; 1.54 j; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane($22R$) 1.32 ± 1.31 0.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane($22R$) 0.83 ± 0.93 2.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane($22R$) 0.83 ± 0.93 2.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane($22R$) 5.23 ± 6.51 0.7 d; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane($22R$) 1.41 ± 1.73 0.7 d; $17a(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane($22R$) 1.41 ± 1.73 0.7 d;Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03 ± 4.52 0.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7 ± 9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i;Acenaphthylene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04 ± 8.94 0.79 b; $0.51g; 0.3$ i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6 ± 13.0 1.18 b; $1.65g; 0.5$ i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; $5.74g; 0.2$ i; 52.3 j;Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; $5.74g; 0.2$ i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; $76.4g; 1.1$ i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; $62.7g; 1.3$ i; 140 j;		Нор	Danes				
17α(H)21β(H)-30-norhopane9.92±7.637.19 a; 4.1 d;17β(H)21α(H)-hopane(moretane)5.77±6.121.32 j; 1.9 d;17α(H)21β(H)-hopane3.71±5.493.51 a; 3.2 d; 0.8 i; 1.54 j;17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22R)1.32±1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j;17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22S)0.83±0.932.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;PAHsNaphthalene (NAP,2-rings)6.03±4.520.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings)16.6±13.01.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings)6.04±8.940.79 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i;Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings)6.14±6.531.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings)18.9±18.222.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings)21.0±20.441.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings)21.0±20.421.0±0.0 t; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	18α(H)22,29,30-trisnorneohopane	2.91±3.06	0.22 j;				
17 β (H)21 α (H)-hopane(moretane)5.77 \pm 6.121.32 j; 1.9 d;17 α (H)21 β (H)-hopane3.71 \pm 5.493.51 a; 3.2 d; 0.8 i; 1.54 j;17 α (H)21 β (H)-homohopane(22R)1.32 \pm 1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j;17 α (H)21 β (H)-homohopane(22S)0.83 \pm 0.932.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;17 α (H)21 β (H)-bishomohopane(22S)5.23 \pm 6.510.7 d;17 α (H)21 β (H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41 \pm 1.730.7 d;17 α (H)21 β (H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41 \pm 1.730.7 d; PKHs Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings)6.03 \pm 4.520.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings)6.03 \pm 4.520.25 b; 0.3 i;Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings)10.6 \pm 13.01.18 b; 1.65g; 0.3 i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings)16.6 \pm 13.01.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings)6.14 \pm 6.531.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings)18.9 \pm 18.222.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings)21.0 \pm 20.441.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings)25.5 \pm 19.321.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	17α(H)-22,29,30-Trisnorhopane	1.56±2.74	2.75 a; 2.3 d; 0.5 i; 0.21 j;				
17α(H)21β(H)-hopane3.71±5.493.51 a; 3.2 d; 0.8 i; 1.54 j;17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22R)1.32±1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j;17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22S)0.83±0.932.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22S)5.23±6.510.7 d;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;Subtotal32.7±24.7ReAHsNaphthalene (NAP,2-rings)6.03±4.520.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings)12.7±9.930.065 b; 0.3 i;Ilorene (FLU, 3-rings)16.6±13.01.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings)6.14±6.531.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings)18.9±18.222.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (CHR, 4-rings)21.0±20.441.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings)21.0±20.421.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-30$ -norhopane	9.92±7.63	7.19 a; 4.1 d;				
$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane(22R)1.32±1.310.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j; $17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane(22S)0.83±0.932.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j; $17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22S)5.23±6.510.7 d; $17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;Subtotal32.7±24.7Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings)6.03±4.520.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings)12.7±9.930.065 b; 0.3 i;Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings)6.04±8.940.79 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings)16.6±13.01.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings)6.14±6.531.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings)18.9±18.222.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings)21.0±20.441.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings)21.9±19.321.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	$17\beta(H)21\alpha(H)$ -hopane(moretane)	5.77±6.12	1.32 j; 1.9 d;				
17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22S) 0.83 ± 0.93 2.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;17α(H),21β(H)-bishomohopane(22S) 5.23 ± 6.51 0.7 d;17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R) 1.41 ± 1.73 0.7 d; Bubtotal32.7±24.7PAHs Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03 ± 4.52 0.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7 ± 9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i;Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04 ± 8.94 0.79 b; $0.51g$; 0.3 i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6 ± 13.0 1.18 b; $1.65g$; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; $5.74g$; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9 ± 18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; $64.4g$; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; $76.4g$; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; $62.7g$; 1.3 i; 140 j;	17α(H)21β(H)-hopane	3.71±5.49	3.51 a; 3.2 d; 0.8 i; 1.54 j;				
17α(H),21β(H)-bishomohopane(22S) 5.23 ± 6.51 $0.7 d;$ 17α(H),21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R) 1.41 ± 1.73 $0.7 d;$ PAHs Subtotal32.7±24.7PAHsNaphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03 ± 4.52 $0.22 b; 2.4 i;$ Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7 ± 9.93 $0.065 b; 0.3 i;$ Acenaphthylene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04 ± 8.94 $0.79 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i;$ Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6 ± 13.0 $1.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;$ Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 $1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;$ Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9 ± 18.2 $22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j;$ Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 20.4 $41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j;$ Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 $21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;$	17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22R)	1.32±1.31	0.63 a; 1.2 d; 0.42 j;				
17α(H)21β(H)-bishomohopane(22R)1.41±1.730.7 d;Subtotal32.7±24.7PAHsNaphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03 ± 4.52 0.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings)12.7±9.930.065 b; 0.3 i;Acenaphthylene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04 ± 8.94 0.79 b; $0.51g; 0.3$ i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings)16.6±13.01.18 b; $1.65g; 0.5$ i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; $5.74g; 0.2$ i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings)18.9±18.222.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; $64.4g; 1.0$ i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; $76.4g; 1.1$ i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; $62.7g; 1.3$ i; 140 j;	17α(H)21β(H)-homohopane(22S)	0.83±0.93	2.94 a; 1.2 d; 0.63 j;				
Subtotal 32.7±24.7 PAHs Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03±4.52 0.22 b; 2.4 i; Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7±9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i; Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7±9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i; Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04±8.94 0.79 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i; Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6±13.0 1.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j; Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59±8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; 30.3g; 0.9 i; 95.7 j; Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14±6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j; Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9±18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j; Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	17α(H),21β(H)-bishomohopane(22S)	5.23±6.51	0.7 d;				
PAHs Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03±4.52 0.22 b; 2.4 i; Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7±9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i; Acenaphthylene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04±8.94 0.79 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i; Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6±13.0 1.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j; Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59±8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; 30.3g; 0.9 i; 95.7 j; Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14±6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j; Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9±18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j; Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22R)	1.41±1.73	0.7 d;				
Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings) 6.03 ± 4.52 0.22 b; 2.4 i;Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7 ± 9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i;Acenaphthylene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04 ± 8.94 0.79 b; $0.51g$; 0.3 i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6 ± 13.0 1.18 b; $1.65g$; 0.5 i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59 ± 8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; $30.3g$; 0.9 i; 95.7 j;Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; $5.74g$; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9 ± 18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; $64.4g$; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 2.04 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; $76.4g$; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; $62.7g$; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Subtotal	32.7±24.7					
Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings) 12.7±9.93 0.065 b; 0.3 i; Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04±8.94 0.79 b; 0.51g; 0.3 i; Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6±13.0 1.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j; Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59±8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; 30.3g; 0.9 i; 95.7 j; Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14±6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j; Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9±18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j; Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;		PA	AHs				
Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings) 6.04 ± 8.94 0.79 b; $0.51g; 0.3$ i;Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6 ± 13.0 1.18 b; $1.65g; 0.5$ i; 15.6 j;Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59 ± 8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; $30.3g; 0.9$ i; 95.7 j;Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; $5.74g; 0.2$ i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9 ± 18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; $64.4g; 1.0$ i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; $76.4g; 1.1$ i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; $62.7g; 1.3$ i; 140 j;	Naphthalene (NAP,2-rings)	6.03±4.52	0.22 b; 2.4 i;				
Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings) 16.6±13.0 1.18 b; 1.65g; 0.5 i; 15.6 j; Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59±8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; 30.3g; 0.9 i; 95.7 j; Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14±6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j; Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9±18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j; Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Acenaphthylene (ACY, 2-rings)	12.7±9.93	0.065 b; 0.3 i;				
Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings) 8.59±8.49 14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; 30.3g; 0.9 i; 95.7 j; Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14±6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j; Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9±18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j; Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Acenaphthene (ACE, 2-rings)	6.04±8.94	0.79 b; <u>0.51g;</u> 0.3 i;				
Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings) 6.14 ± 6.53 1.70 b; 3.3 d; 5.74 g; 0.2 i; 52.3 j;Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9 ± 18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4 g; 1.0 i; 235 j;Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0 ± 20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4 g; 1.1 i; 222 j;Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5 ± 19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7 g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Fluorene (FLU, 3-rings)	16.6±13.0	1.18 b; <u>1.65g;</u> 0.5 i; 15.6 j;				
Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings) 18.9±18.2 22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; 64.4g; 1.0 i; 235 j; Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Phenanthrene (PHE, 3-rings)	8.59±8.49	14.0 b; 0.9 d; 1.1 e; 21.65 f; <u>30.3g;</u> 0.9 i; 95.7 j;				
Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings) 21.0±20.4 41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; 76.4g; 1.1 i; 222 j; Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Anthracene (ANT, 3-rings)	6.14±6.53	3 1.70 b; 3.3 d; <u>5.74g;</u> 0.2 i; 52.3 j;				
Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings) 25.5±19.3 21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; 62.7g; 1.3 i; 140 j;	Pyrene (PYR, 4-rings)	18.9±18.2	22.3 b; 12 d; 0.58 e; 31.3 f; <u>64.4g;</u> 1.0 i; 235 j;				
	Fluoranthene (FLT, 4-rings)	21.0±20.4	41.5 b; 11 d; 0.23 e; 31.8 f; <u>76.4g;</u> 1.1 i; 222 j;				
Benz[a]anthracene (BaA, 4-rings) 17.6±14.6 23.5 b; 19 d; 43.4 f; 45.1g; 0.8 i; 62.9 j;	Chrysene (CHR, 4-rings)	25.5±19.3	21.8 b; 1.00 d; 1.00 e; 50.6 f; <u>62.7g;</u> 1.3 i; 140 j;				
	Benz[a]anthracene (BaA, 4-rings)	17.6±14.6	23.5 b; 19 d; 43.4 f; <u>45.1g;</u> 0.8 i; 62.9 j;				

Concentrations, ng m-3					
Compound name	Present Previous study				
Benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF, 4-rings)	8.81±7.68	17.0 b; 8.3 d; <u>33.6g;</u> 0.7 i; 30.5 j;			
Cyclopenta[cd]pyrene (CcP, 5-rings)	8.60±10.2	68.0 j;			
Perylene (PER, 5-rings)	3.20±2.69	2.81 b; 14 d; <u>5.99g;</u> 0.2 i;			
Benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF, 5-rings)	38.5±31.8	34.0 b; 59 d; 33.1 f; <u>53.6g;</u> 2.3 i; 134 j;			
Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP, 5-rings)	13.1±13.8	14.6 b; 14 d; 0.08 e; 40.2 f; <u>28.6g;</u> 1.1 i; 41.3 j;			
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IcdP, 6-rings)	12.3±8.82	18.1 b; 15.2 d; 0.32 e; 40.9 f; <u>32.3g;</u> 1.2 i; 18.2 j;			
Benzo[ghi]perylene (BghiP, 6-rings)	12.4±11.1	12.2 b; 12 d; 0.33 e; <u>22.2g;</u> 2.6 i; 59.0 j;			
Benzo[e]pyrene (BeP, 5-rings)	15.4±10.3	12.4 b; 12 d; 0.65 e; <u>24.7g;</u> 1.3 i; 72.6 j;			
Dibenzo [a,h]pyrene (DBA, 5-rings)	5.68±7.35	2.01 b; 3.1 d;			
Benzo[ghi]fluoranthene (BghiF,5-rings)	15.1±15.8	0.08 e; 15.3 f;			
	O-P	AHs			
Anthracenedione (AQ)	5.12±5.97	108 b;			
7,12-Benz[a]anthracenequinone (BaAQ)	4.09±3.61	2.14 b;			
Aceanthrenequinone (AceAntQ)	2.41±2.89	0.01b;			
Phenanthraquinone (PQ)	1.45±1.08	0.13 b;			
9-Fluorenone (9-FluQ)	<u>3.78±4.01</u>	<u>28.3g:</u>			
Alk	ylated-(PA	AHs & OPAHs)			
Pyrene, 1-methyl- (1-MePYR)	21.5±21.5	3.80 b			
Phenanthrene, 1-methyl- (1-MePHE)	5.29±5.38	4.29 b			
Retene	5.39±9.72	0.12 e; 0.5 i;			
	Es	ster			
Dibutyl phthalate (DBP)	16.9±15.5	21 d; 3.00 j;			
Diethyl Phthalate (DEP)	2.67±2.91	3.5 d; 24.0 j;			
Di(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP)	16.0±12.6	130 d;			
Diisobutyl phthalate	49.7±43.2	22 d;			
Dimethyl phthalate	2.58±2.80	1.5 d;			
	Biom	arkers			
Levoglucosan	355±232	310 a; 790.3 c; 171 d; 78 h; 97.1 i; 830 j;			
Phytone	14.7±11.7	0.9 j;			
Phytane	$1.94{\pm}1.05$	2.3 i; 1.30 j;			
Pristane	2.24±1.69	1.8 i; 0.67 j;			
Other nitrogen compour	nds (Nitro	, amine, heterocyclic compounds)			
Benzo[f]quinoline	4.40±4.66	3.10 j;			
Isoquinoline	0.80±0.83	0.22 j;			
	Phenolic o	compounds			
1-Naphthalenol (1-OH-NAP)	1.56±5.61	0.22 b			
	1.15 . 1.01	2.74 b			
2-Naphthalenol (2-OH-NAP)	1.15 ± 1.21	2.740			

I

- 985 a. Beijing, PKU, Heating seasons (Ma et al., 2018);
- 986 b. Beijing, PKU, Heating seasons (Lin et al., 2015);
- 987 c. Beijing, China University of Geosciences (Beijing), winter (Shen et al., 2018);
- **988** d. Beijing, winter of 2003 (Wang et al., 2006)
- 989 e. Beijing, urban, June (Simoneit et al., 1991);
- 990 <u>f.</u> Beijing, urban, haze period (Gao et al., 2016);
- 991 f.g. Beijing, PKU, haze period (Li et al., 2019);
- 992 g.h. Beijing, PKU, winter (Huang et al., 2006);
- 993 h.<u>i.</u> Beijing, PKU, winter (He et al., 2006b)
- 994 <u>Hj.</u> During the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games, PKU sites, (Guo et al., 2013);
- 995 <u>j.k.</u> Beijing, urban, winter (Zhou et al., 2009);
- 996 <u>Beijing, PKU, winter (Huang et al., 2006);</u>
- 997

Table 2: Molecular formula, diagnostic ions and average concentrations of hopanes identified in
 1000 PM_{2.5}.

Compounds		Molecular formula	Diagnostic ions	IAP, ng m ⁻³
18α(H)22,29,30-trisnorneohopane	Ts	$C_{27}H_{46}$	191/370	2.91 ± 3.06
17α(H)-22,29,30-Trisnorhopane	Tm	$C_{27}H_{46}$	191/370	1.56 ± 2.74
$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)-30$ -norhopane	29αβ	$C_{29}H_{50}$	191/398	9.92 ± 7.63
$17\beta(H)21\alpha(H)$ -hopane(moretane)	30βα	$C_{30}H_{52}$	191/412	5.77 ± 6.12
$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -hopane	30αβ	$C_{30}H_{52}$	191/412	3.71 ± 5.49
$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane(22R)	30αβ-22R	$C_{31}H_{54}$	191/426	1.32 ± 1.31
$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -homohopane(22S)	30αβ-22S	$C_{31}H_{54}$	191/426	0.83 ± 0.93
$17\alpha(H), 21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22S)	30αβ-22S	$C_{32}H_{56}$	191/440	5.23 ± 6.51
$17\alpha(H)21\beta(H)$ -bishomohopane(22R)	30αβ-22R	C ₃₂ H ₅₆	191/440	1.41 ± 1.73

Table 3: Estimated average concentrations of unknown compounds (ng m⁻³) in each section of the
 chromatogram for haze and non-haze conditions.

<i>a</i>		Non-haze		Haze	
Section	Characteristics of organic compounds	Total	Unidentified	Total	Unidentified
	Low molecular weight:	802	546	911	632
1	▶ carbon numbers (n-alkanes) ≤ 17 ;				
	 monoaromatics; 				
	Medium molecular weight:	334	137	483	147
2	▶ $17 < \text{carbon numbers (n-alkanes)} \le 23$;				
	 Oxidized hydrocarbons (alkanals, alkanones); 				
	Medium molecular weight:	573	215	1060	228
3	▶ $23 < \text{carbon numbers (n-alkanes)} \le 27$;				
	 Oxidized hydrocarbons (alkanals, alkanones); 				
4	High molecular weight:	351	188	730	320
4	> carbon numbers (n-alkanes) ≥ 27 ;				
5	Oxidized monoaromatics;	621	289	1309	985
6	2 rings PAHs	485	303	1556	879
7	3-6 rings PAHs and hopanes;	792	440	1337	774
	Total	3958	2119	7385	3964



1007 Figure 1: The percentages of the organic compound groups in the total identified organic compounds.1008



Figure 2: A comparison of organic compound groups between non-haze and haze days. The average
total concentration of the identified group was calculated in the non-haze (13 days) and haze periods
(20 days), respectively.



Figure 3: The distribution of concentrations of PAHs (shaded bars, 25%-first quartile, 75%-third quartile).



Figure 4: The molecular distributions of aliphatic hydrocarbons and other homologous series, including
 n-alkanes, branched alkanes, n-alkenes, carbonyl compounds (n-alkanals, n-alkan-2-ones, n-alkan-3 ones), n-alkanoic acid and alkanols on haze and non-haze days.



Figure 5: The molecular distributions of $n-C_n$ -cyclohexane, alkyl-bicyclic-alkanes, alkyl-benzenes, n-C_n-benzenes, alkyl-furanones and alkyl-pyridines on haze and non-haze days.

(a) Non-haze days (6)485	(7) 792			
(5)621	(2)	(3)	(4)	
(1)802	334	573	351	
(b) Haze days (6) ¹⁵⁵⁶	(7) 1337			
(5)1309	(2)	(3)	(4)	
(1)911	483	1060	730	

Figure 6: The concentration (ng m⁻³) sum of identified and unknown organic compounds in each chromatogram image section during (a) non-haze and (b) haze days.