Regional New Particle Formation as Modulators of Cloud Condensation Nuclei and Cloud Droplet Number in the Eastern Mediterranean

Panayiotis Kalkavouras[1,2], Aikaterini Bougiatioti[1,2], Nikos Kalivitis[1], Iasonas Stavroulas[1,2,3], Maria ~~Tombrou~~[3]Tombrou[4], Athanasios ~~Nenes~~[2,4,5]Nenes[5,2,6], and Nikolaos Mihalopoulos[1,2]

~~[1]Env.~~[1]Enviromental Chemical Processes ~~Lab., Dept.~~Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, ~~Univ.~~University of Crete, Heraklion, 71003, Greece
[2]Institute of Environmental Research & Sustainable Development, National Observatory of Athens, Palea Penteli, 15236, Greece
~~[3]Department~~[3]Energy Environment and Water Research Center, The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia 2121, Cyprus
[4]Department of Physics, ~~Univ.~~University of Athens, Athens, 15784, Greece
~~[4]Laboratory~~[5]Laboratory of Atmospheric Processes and their Impacts, ~~Schools~~School of Architecture, Civil & Environmental Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland
~~[5]Institute~~[6]Institute for Chemical Engineering Science, Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Patras, 26504, Greece

Correspondence to: athanasios.nenes@epfl.ch; abougiat@noa.gr

Abstract

A significant fraction of atmospheric particles that serve as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN~~), and furthermore as cloud droplets)~~ are thought to originate from the condensational growth of new particles formed (NPF) from the gas phase. Here, ~~particle number size distributions (<850 nm),~~ 7 years of continuous aerosol ~~chemical composition~~ and meteorological ~~parameters were studied during 7 years of continuous~~ measurements (June 2008 to May 2015) at a remote background site of the eastern Mediterranean~~. 162 NPF episodes~~ were recorded and analyzed to assess the impact of NPF (of 162 episodes identified) on CCN and cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) formation in the region. A new metric is introduced to quantitatively determine the initiation and duration of the influence of NPF on the CCN spectrum. ~~Annually,~~ NPF days were found to increase CCN concentrations (between ~~40~~0.10 and ~~50% in the 0.2~~ 1.~~0~~00% supersaturation~~ range.~~) between 29 and 77%. Enhanced CCN ~~perturbations~~concentrations from NPF are ~~found to~~mostly observed, as expected, under low pre-existing particle concentrations, and occur in the afternoon, relatively later in the winter and autumn than in the summer. ~~Introducing~~Potential impacts of NPF on cloud formation was quantified by introducing the observed aerosol size distributions ~~together with~~and chemical composition into an established cloud droplet parameterization ~~showed~~. We find that the supersaturations that develop ~~however~~ are ~~much lower (below~~very low (ranging between 0.03

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Footer

and 0.~~1~~271%) for typical boundary layer dynamics (~~width of the vertical velocity distribution~~σ_w ~ 0.3 m s^{-1}) and NPF is found to enhance CDNC by ~~7 to 12.5~~a modest 13%. This considerable contrast between CCN and CDNC response is in part from the different supersaturation levels considered, but also because supersaturation drops from increasing CCN because of water vapor competition effects~~.~~ during the process of droplet formation. The low cloud supersaturation further delays the appearance of NPF impacts on CDNC to clouds formed in the late evening and nighttime – which carries important implications for the ~~extend~~extent and types of indirect effects induced by NPF events. An analysis based on CCN concentrations using prescribed supersaturation can provide much different, and even misleading, conclusions and should therefore be avoided. The proposed approach here offers a simple, yet highly effective way for a more realistic impact assessment of NPF events on cloud formation.

1. Introduction

Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and cloud droplet formation constitutes the direct microphysical link between aerosols and clouds. Quantifying how changes in aerosols affect global clouds, precipitation and climate is limited by the large number of processes and scales that need to be captured in models (Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Pöschl et al., 2010; Seinfeld et al., 2016; Cecchini et al., 2017). New particle formation (NPF), the process during which new particles are formed directly from the gas-phase, is thought to significantly shape the distribution of CCN throughout the atmosphere (Pierce and Adams 2007; Westervelt et al., 2013; Gordon et al., 2017). Although initially too small (1–2 nm; Kerminen et al., 2012) to act as CCN, particles from NPF can grow to sufficient size and hygroscopicity over a period of few hours to days and eventually act as efficient CCN.

Field studies have demonstrated substantial local enhancement in CCN number from NPF. For example, Wiedensohler et al. (2009) observed that the CCN size distribution was dominated by the growing nucleation ~~-~~ mode (above 80%) in a highly polluted region around Beijing~~-~~, while Dameto de España et al. (2017), found that NPF in Vienna, Austria increases the CCN number concentration by up to 143% at 0.50% supersaturation. Sihto et al. (2011) found ~~in~~at the Hyytiälä ~~that NPF increase~~Forestry Field Station of the University of Helsinki, Finland that NPF increases the CCN concentrations in the evening of a NPF day by 70-110% depending on the supersaturation level, while Rose et al. (2017) observed that CCN concentrations were increased by 168 to 996% at Chacaltaya during NPF events~~.~~ at the Chacaltaya station, Bolivia (5,240 m a.s.l.). Additionally, model investigations suggest atmospheric NPF to be an important contributor to CCN, and thereby to aerosol-cloud-climate interactions. Spracklen et al. (2008) have shown that boundary layer (BL) particle formation can cause an increase in global BL

Formatted: Footer

CCN concentrations at 0.~~2~~20% supersaturation by 3-20%, and by 5-50% at 1.~~0~~00% supersaturation, respectively. Merikanto et al. (~~2009~~2010) found that 45% of global low-level cloud CCN at 0.~~2~~ 20% supersaturation originates from ~~nucleation~~NPF. Moreover, Westervelt et al. (2014) estimated an average ~~global~~49 - 78% increase in ~~the~~global boundary-layer CCN number concentration (at 0.~~2~~20% supersaturation ~~due to nucleation ranging between 49 and 78%.~~) from NPF.

NPF events followed by growth to CCN-sized particles are observed to take place frequently and over relatively large spatial scales in continental boundary layers, including forested areas at mid and high latitudes, other remote continental regions, urban areas and even highly-polluted environments (e.g. ~~Kulmala and~~ Kerminen~~, 2008~~ et al., 2018). NPF events are long known to occur in marine environments, highlighting the role of iodine species as precursors for new particle cluster formation (Sellegri et al., 2016), from oxidation of biogenic alkyl-halides in near-coastal areas (e.g. O'Dowd et al., 2002; Vaattovaara et al., 2006) and providing the most comprehensive mechanistic description of coastal NPF presented to date (Sipilä et al., 2016). Furthermore, NPF can be triggered by the rapid dimethylsulphide (DMS) oxidation above clouds (Bates et al., 1987; Kreidenweis et al., 1991; Katoshevski et al., 1999~~), oxidation of biogenic alkyl-halides and VOC in near-coastal areas (e.g., O'Dowd et al., 2002; Vaattovaara et al., 2006~~) and cloud outflow regions associated with convection (e.g. Hermann et al., 2003). NPF within marine boundary layers can strongly affect CCN number concentrations at all cloud-relevant supersaturations (e.g. Kalivitis et al., 2015; Kalkavouras et al., 2017; Debevec et al., 2018). When these small particles however are mixed within the boundary layer, they may subsequently grow to CCN-relevant sizes, or even act as CCN in strongly convective clouds (Fan et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016).

A thorough assessment of NPF impacts on CCN levels requires knowledge of all events and subsequent microphysical processing that occurred throughout the path of an air-mass. Observationally, this is almost impossible to carry out; one can therefore only quantify the CCN concentration perturbation, or enhancement, above "background" levels that existed prior to an NPF event (Peng et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2016). Although conceptually straightforward, studies differ in the approach used to define the initiation of ~~an~~a NPF event (e.g.~~,~~. a strong enhancement in total particle number, the shape of the size distribution), the pre-event CCN concentration (e.g.~~,~~. a 30-minute or 1 hour-average CCN concentration before the initiation time~~)~~), and also the metric used to quantify the CCN enhancement from ~~an~~a NPF event (e.g.~~,~~. peak enhancement, a time-averaged enhancement, and the size defining the lower limit of CCN activation). Furthermore, observational studies quantify CCN enhancements from measurements of aerosol number size distribution; the link to CCN concentrations is done by using a prescribed (or calculated) "critical diameter" (d_c), above which all particles act as CCN

Formatted: Footer

in clouds. Studies widely vary in the approach used to determine this critical diameter, d_c, so additional considerations are required between assessments. Theoretically, d_c depends on the level of supersaturation that develops in clouds and the chemical composition of the particles (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Often, d_c is prescribed between 50 and 150 nm, corresponding roughly to clouds with maximum saturation levels between 1.~~0~~00%, and 0.~~1~~10%, respectively (Kerminen et al. 2012). However, clouds are not characterized by a constant supersaturation, rather exhibit variable levels that instantaneously adjust to the intensity of cloud updrafts and the CCN spectra (e.g. Nenes and Seinfeld, 2003; Hudson et al., 2014). It is clear that all the above conventions need careful consideration, as they can affect the magnitude and duration of CCN enhancement for each event.

Asmi et al. (2011) at the Pallas GAW station in northern Finland estimated the contribution of NPF to CCN concentration. The method adopted was to subtract the concentration of particles larger than 80 nm diameter (N_{80}) at the end of the NPF, from the average N_{80} before the NPF influence (defined from the time where the NPF started up to where the nucleation~~-~~ mode particles reach 80 nm diameter). A similar approach was used to quantify the enhancement from NPF to particles larger than 50, and 100 nm (N_{50}, and N_{100}, respectively). The relative enhancement of N_{50}, N_{80}, and N_{100} from NPF was 160±270%, 210±110%, and 50±130%, respectively. In the boreal forest station of Hyytiälä, Kerminen et al. (2012) calculated the CCN number concentrations using the particle number size distributions, for diameters above 50, ~~80,~~and 100 ~~and 150~~ nm. The contribution of any NPF event was determined from the ~~ratio~~comparison of the maximum particle number concentration (N_{max}) that develops during an event (1-h average) over the particle number concentration (N_{prior}) prior to the event ~~and the maximum particle number concentration (N_{max}) that develops. N_{prior} is a one hour average concentration prior to the appearance of the freshly formed nucleation mode particles, while N_{max} is a maximum one hour~~(1-h average ~~concentration during an event. In Hyytiälä,~~). $N_{50(max)}$ / $N_{50(prior)}$ and $N_{100(max)}$ / $N_{100(prior)}$ presented an increase of 317% and 202%, respectively, in CCN concentration. The approach of Kerminen et al. (2012) has been used in China (Peng et al., 2014), where the contribution of NPF events to CCN at 0.~~2~~20% supersaturation was 6% on regional sites, while Wu et al. (2015) using 2-h averaging in Melpitz, Germany found that NPF enhance CCN number concentration 63, 66, and 69% for 0.~~1~~10, 0.~~4~~40, and 0.~~6~~60% supersaturation, respectively.

Apart from impacting ~~solely on~~ CCN number concentrations, NPF events can also ~~impact on direct aerosol-cloud interactions~~influence clouds and climate~~-relevant properties. According to Sullivan et al. (2018) regional NPF can lead to~~ by promoting cloud dimming, ~~and~~ thus~~,~~ regional warming, ~~at least~~ during periods with high NPF frequency over the comparatively polluted area of Midwestern ~~U.S.A.~~USA (Sullivan et al., 2018). Furthermore, it is clear that the timing of the

Formatted: Footer

initiation of the NPF event and the subsequent growth of particles to CCN and eventually droplets is of utmost importance, as the time delay between the different processes actually limits the time during which the albedo of clouds is affected by NPF. In reality, the total contribution of atmospheric nucleation ~~process~~ (including indirect effects) to a ~~present-day~~ net short-wave radiation balance in the atmosphere, depends on the rate in which the emissions of gas-phase compounds are responsible for nucleation (and subsequent growth~~,~~), as well as of primary particles ~~acting,~~ act as a sink for ~~nucleated~~the freshly formed particles, ~~throughout an~~during a NPF day.

Although most prior observation studies linked NPF to CCN number enhancement, very few ~~of them~~ actually link NPF to the process of cloud droplet formation and cloud droplet number~~.~~ concentration (CDNC). The latter distinction is important, given that droplet number in clouds exhibit a sub-linear response to aerosol increases~~,~~ (Twomey et al., 1977; Leaitch et al., 1986; Ghan et al., 1993; Boucher and Lohmann, 1995; Gultepe and Isaac, 1996; Nenes et al., 2001; Ramanathan et al., 2001; Ghan et al., 2011; Sullivan et al., 2016), owing to the elevated competition for water vapor and reduction in cloud supersaturation. The understanding of NPF impacts on CCN levels may therefore provide a biased view on its potential impact on droplet number (N_d) and the aerosol indirect effect. Using cloud droplet parameterizations to interpret observed aerosol size distribution data, however, may allow one to address this issue~~.~~ in a simple but effective way. Kalkavouras et al. (2017) illustrated this issue by using a "conventional" approach to quantify CCN enhancement ~~(with a~~, using the critical diameter (d_c) at which all particles act as CCN ~~depended~~depending on observed composition and a prescribed supersaturation~~) and reporting~~. They reported much higher CCN number ~~enhancements~~enhancement (~87%) for two sites in the eastern Mediterranean (Santorini and Finokalia) than in cloud droplet number concentration, N_d, (~12%) during two consecutive NPF episodes in summer. The reason for this 8-fold discrepancy ~~is~~lies in the drastically different supersaturation used to quantify CCN enhancement (0.~~2~~20, 0.~~4~~40, 0.~~6~~60, and 0.~~8~~80%) than what was computed for cloud droplet number concentrations (0.10 and 0.13% for updraft velocities of 0.3 m s^{-1} and of 0.6 m s^{-1}, respectively).

~~This~~The current study follows up on the initial work of Kalkavouras et al. (2017) and quantifies the impact of NPF on CCN levels and cloud droplet number concentrations in the ~~Eastern~~eastern Mediterranean atmosphere over 7 years of ~~continuous~~field measurements (June 2008 to May 2015) of aerosol number size distributions and chemical composition. From this data, we aim to (*i*) quantify the seasonality and contribution of atmospheric NPF to the production of newly CCN in the eastern Mediterranean marine atmosphere, (*ii*) ~~characterize~~determine the ~~differences between nucleated~~timing properties of newly-formed particles from the beginning of NPF events (i.e. starting time (t_{start}) and duration) throughout

Formatted: Footer

their activation into cloud droplets, and their relative contribution to the CCN budget, and, (*iii*) investigate the NPF impacts on ~~cloud droplet number concentration~~ CDNC (N_d) and on maximum supersaturation (s_{max}) formed in clouds in the vicinity of Finokalia. In the process of addressing these goals, we consider ~~all the~~major issues regarding the calculation of cloud supersaturation and event characteristics that affect the NPF impact calculations.

2. Methodology

2.1 Experimental site

From June 2008 to May 2015, measurements were performed at the atmospheric observation station of the University of Crete at Finokalia, Crete, Greece (35º 20´ N, 25º 40´ E; 50 m from the shore and 250 m ~~above sea level (~~a.s.l.~~)).~~). The monitoring station of Finokalia (http://finokalia.chemistry.uoc.gr~~/),/~~) is located at the top of a hill over the coastline, in the northeast part of the island of Crete, facing the Aegean Sea in the wide north sector. Since the site was established in 1993, Finokalia experiences two characteristic periods during the year; the dry period from April to September, and the wet one from October to ~~April~~March. The dry period is dominated by strong winds of N/NW direction (up to 90%, originating from ~~Central~~central and ~~Eastern~~eastern Europe and Balkans) of speed exceeding 10 m s^{-1}. The wet period is characterized by limited prevalence of the N/NW sector, and significant transport from Sahara (S/SW winds; occurrence up to 20%). An extensive description of the site and prevailing meteorology can be found in Mihalopoulos et al.~~,~~ (1997~~.~~).

2.2 Aerosol composition and size distribution

Number size distribution of particles having mobility diameters from 9 to 848 nm (scanned range) were measured with a 5 min time resolution, using a custom-built scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS; TROPOS-Type, Wiedensohler et al., 2012). The system is a closed-loop, with a 5:1 ratio between the aerosol and sheath flow, and it comprises a Kr-85 aerosol neutralizer (TSI 3077), a Hauke medium differential mobility analyzer (DMA), and a TSI-3772 condensation particle counter (CPC). The sampling was made through a PM_{10} sampling head and the sample humidity was regulated to a relative humidity below 40% using Nafion® dryers in both the aerosol and sheath flow~~, and thereafter~~. Particles were charged via a Kr-85 neutralizer, and thereafter introduced into the DMA. By setting different voltages in the DMA, particles of different electrical mobility are selected and their particle number concentration can be measured. The fluctuation of voltage yields an electrical particle mobility distribution, which can be inverted into a particle number size distribution. The recorded number size distributions were corrected for particle losses by diffusion on the various parts of the SMPS following the recommendations by Wiedensohler et al. (2012). Three different types of calibration were performed for the SMPS, namely DMA voltage supply calibration, aerosol and sheath flows calibrations, and size calibrations.

Formatted: Footer

The complete dataset of particle size distributions was checked for the presence of NPF events, identified by a sudden increase of the nucleation-mode particles concentration (i.e. those with diameters below 25 nm), and further growth of these freshly-formed particles that lead to a continuous increase in larger particle concentrations over a short period of time (usually less than 4h~~).~~) (Kulmala et al., 2004). The NPF event progression is characterized by the relative changes of the three particle ~~-~~modes, "nucleation" (diameter less than 25 nm), "Aitken" (diameter between 25 and 100 nm), and "accumulation" (diameter larger than 100 nm). The modal concentration of particles ~~in each mode~~ is obtained from ~~each~~the respective SMPS size ~~distribution using an algorithm to parameterize each particle's mode with a multi-log-normal distribution function (Hussein et al., 2005),~~bins, as follows:

$$N_{nucleation}=\int_0^{25} n(d_p)\mathrm{d}d_p \approx \sum_{i_9}^{i_{25}} \Delta N_i \sum_{9}^{25} \Delta N_i \quad (1)$$

$$N_{Aitken} = \int_{25}^{100} n(d_p)\mathrm{d}d_p \approx \sum_{i_{25}}^{i_{100}} \Delta N_i \sum_{25}^{100} \Delta N_i \quad (2)$$

$$N_{Accumulation} = \int_{100}^{\infty} n(d_p)\mathrm{d}d_p \approx \sum_{i_{100}}^{i_{848}} \Delta N_i \sum_{100}^{848} \Delta N_i \quad (3)$$

where $n(d_p)$ is the aerosol number size distribution, ΔN_i is its binned approximation from the SMPS data ~~and i_9, i_{100}, i_{848} are the SMPS size bins that correspond to particles of 9, 100 and 848 nm, respectively.~~for particles in each mode (9-25 nm for nucleation, 25-100 nm for Aitken, and 100-848 nm for accumulation) and particle concentration of each mode being the sum of particle concentration in all size bins of the corresponding diameter range. The upper and lower sizes are limits of size detection for the particular SMPS.

From the period between June 2008 and December 2011, the bulk aerosol chemical composition of PM_{10} was measured in parallel with the size distributions using daily 24-h quartz fiber filters (PALL Tissuquartz, 2500 QAT 47 mm). Samples were analyzed for water-soluble ions after extraction with nanopure water. The solutions acquired were first filtered using syringe filters (PALL IC Acrodisc® (PES), 0.45 µm, 13 mm) to remove any non-soluble species and subsequently analyzed using ion chromatography (IC) for anions (Cl^-, Br^-, NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}) and cations (K^+, Na^-, NH_4^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}), using the procedure of Bardouki et al. (2003). Furthermore, the PM_{10} quartz filters were analyzed for organic and elemental carbon (Carbon Aerosol Analysis Lab Instrument, SUNSET Laboratory Inc.) using the EUSAAR 2 protocol of analysis (Cavalli et al., 2010). For the estimation of the fine particulate matter fraction (PM_1) chemical composition, the respective concentrations of sulfates, organics, and ammonium from the bulk PM_{10} are considered using the approach presented in Bougiatioti et al. (2009). According to this study, bulk chemical composition from daily filter analysis was used to calculate the volume fraction of organics and ammonium sulfate. With the subsequent

Formatted: Footer

application of Köhler theory, CCN number concentrations were calculated for closure purposes considering two different scenarios for the solubility of organics. As far as CCN concentrations are concerned, results showed that limitations of using bulk, instead of size-resolved and daily chemical composition are minimal, as CCN closure was achieved with an error of 0.6±6%. For the conversion of organic carbon to matter needed for the application of Köhler theory and the calculation of the organics volume fraction, a ratio of OM/OC of 2.1 was used, based on other studies from this site (Sciare et al., 2005; Hildebrandt et al., 2010). Any CCN prediction uncertainty from using bulk, daily chemical composition is further reduced when used to compute droplet number (e.g., Sotiropoulou et al., 2007; Kalkavouras et al., 2017). ~~From May 2012 to May 2015, the mass and chemical composition of non-refractory submicron aerosol particles (SO_4^{2-}, NO_3^-, NH_4^+, Cl^-, and organics) was provided by an Aerodyne Research Inc. Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM; Ng et al., 2011), with a 30 min time resolution. Throughout the measurement period, ambient air was drawn into the ACSM through a PM$_1$ Sharp Cut Cyclone (BGI Inc.) at 3.5 l min^{-1}.~~

From May 2012 to May 2015, the mass and chemical composition of non-refractory submicron aerosol particles (SO_4^{2-}, NO_3^-, NH_4^+, Cl^-, and organic matter) was provided with a 30 min time resolution, by an Quadrupole Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM), equipped with a standard vaporizer (Ng et al., 2011). The instrument sampled through a BGI Inc. SCC 1.197 sharp cut cyclone operated at 3 L min^{-1}, yielding a cut-off diameter of almost 2 μm. The response factor (RF) for nitrate along with the relative ionization efficiencies (RIEs) for ammonium and sulfate were determined by ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate calibrations, and the RIE for sulfate was determined according to the fitting approach proposed by Budisulistiorini et al. (2014). Mass concentrations were corrected using a chemical composition dependent collection efficiency (Middlebrook et al., 2012).

2.3 Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN)

Measurements of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentration (cm^{-3}) between 0.~~2~~38 and ~~1~~0.73% supersaturation~~,~~ were conducted using a Droplet Measurement Technologies (DMT) ~~constant-flow-streamwise-thermal-gradient~~Continuous Flow Streamwise Thermal Gradient CCN counter (CFSTGC; Roberts and Nenes, 2005), from November 2014 to May 2015. The CFSTGC is composed of a cylindrical diffusion chamber in which supersaturation is generated and controlled by the air flow rate, pressure, and a streamwise temperature gradient maintained by a heater and a set of thermoelectric coolers (Roberts and Nenes, 2005; Lance et al., 2006). The ~~air-flow~~airflow rate used was 0.5 L min^{-1} ~~with~~^{1}with a sheath-to-aerosol flow ratio of 10:1, and a top-bottom column temperature difference, ΔT, between 4 and 15 K. Concentrations were measured at each supersaturation (0.~~2, 0.~~38, 0.52, 0.66, and 0.73%) for 15 min, yielding a CCN spectrum consisting of ~~5~~4 different supersaturations approximately every hour. Calibration of

Formatted: Footer

the instrument supersaturation was performed by determining the minimum diameter of ~~classified~~monodisperse ammonium sulfate aerosol ~~that~~generated from a differential mobility analyzer (DMA), which activates at given chamber flow rate, ΔT, and chamber pressure~~,~~ following the procedure of Bougiatioti et al. (2009). The CCN instrument was calibrated numerous times throughout the campaign. For the lower supersaturation, the relative variability between calibrations did not exceed 1%, whereas for the highest supersaturation the variability was under 4%. As CCN concentrations during the measurement period rarely exceeded 5,000 cm^{-3}, no correction for water vapor depletion inside the CFTGC chamber was deemed necessary (Lathem and Nenes, 2011).

2.4 Calculation of CCN concentrations from size distribution data

As in numerous prior studies, CCN number concentrations can be calculated from the observed number size distributions by integrating the SMPS data from a characteristic diameter d_c to the largest size particles measured:

$$CCN(d_c) = \int_{d_c}^{\infty} n(d_p)\mathrm{d}d_p \approx \sum_{i_{dc}}^{i_{848}} \Delta N_i \sum_{dc}^{848} \Delta N_i \quad (4)$$

where ~~i_{dc}~~d_c is the SMPS size bin that contains ~~d_c~~ the critical diameter and ~~i_{848} is~~848is the bin with the largest particles measured by the SMPS. Instead of prescribing d_c (as done in other studies), we link it to a desired supersaturation level, s_c, using κ-Köhler theory:

$$d_c = \left(\frac{4A^3}{27\kappa s_c^2}\right)^{1/3}, \quad A = \frac{4M_w\sigma_w}{RT\rho_w}, \quad (5)$$

where M_w is the molar mass of water, σ_w is the surface tension of water, R is the universal gas constant, T is the temperature, and ρ_w is the density of water. ~~CCN~~Even though when using bulk, daily chemical composition, one kappa value is used per day, d_c changes also depend on temperature and critical supersaturation. In our case, where past experience has shown that the composition displays remarkably consistent behavior (Bougiatioti et al., 2009; 2011) the successful CCN closure shows that indeed the used approach is sufficient in calculating effectively the d_c and not using a prescribed value. CCN number concentrations are then taken as being equal to the concentration of particles with diameter above d_c (Kalkavouras et al., 2017). The aerosol hygroscopicity parameter, κ, is calculated assuming that it is a mixture of an organic and inorganic component with volume fraction ε_{org}, ε_{inorg} and characteristic hygroscopicity κ_{org}, κ_{inorg} respectively~~.~~ ($\kappa=\varepsilon_{inorg}\kappa_{inorg}+\varepsilon_{org}\kappa_{org}$). Past studies at Finokalia have suggested that ~~assuming~~prescribing κ_{org}=0.16 and κ_{inorg}=0.6 ~~reproduce~~reproduces CCN to within 2% on average, but exhibit some size dependence (Bougiatioti et al., 2009; 2011). ~~For~~Furthermore, Koulouri et al. (2008), and Bougiatioti et al. (2013) have established that sulfate is by majority found in the fine fraction (82.7±12.7% of PM_{10} sulfate found in PM_1) and

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Justified, Space After: 12 pt, Tab stops: 3", Centered + 5.69", Right

Formatted: Footer

the same applies also for ammonium (88±13.3% of PM_{10} ammonium found in PM_1). Therefore the uncertainty on the κ calculation, as far as sulfate and ammonium is concerned arising from the use of PM_{10} chemical composition to derive the respective PM_1 information is minimal. This is not the case for the organic matter, as it appears that 75±11% of PM_{10} organic matter is found in PM_1. This is translated in a difference in the calculation of κ in the order of 2.5±0.2%, with the recalculated κ values being higher, as organics contribution decreases. Nevertheless, this 2.5% difference in kappa has an almost insignificant impact on CDNC and CCN, as changes of kappa by more than a factor of 2 are expected to begin impacting on CDNCs.

Indicatively, for 4 NPF days during August and September 2012, the combined processing of the concurrent CCN and ACSM data during NPF events provides the size-resolved κ (Fig. S1), which can be used to assess the validity of using a common κ for all sizes (supersaturations). For supersaturations below 0.~~2~~20%, the size-resolved κ from the CCN data is higher by 23% compared to the bulk κ from the ACSM data, while for supersaturations between 0.~~2~~20 and 0.~~4%,~~40%, the CCN-derived values agree quite well with bulk chemical composition data (slope 0.94), but with considerable scatter. For supersaturations above 0.~~4%~~40%, κ derived from the chemical composition data exhibits on average an overestimation bias of 38.5%. Altogether, the κ trends suggest that the composition of particles tends to increasingly deviate (or vary) from the bulk as they get smaller (i.e~~.~~,. with higher supersaturation) – indication of enrichment by organics, often observed for NPF-derived particles (e.g~~.~~,. Cerully et al., 2011). The large scatter at around 0.~~4~~40% supersaturation can be attributed to chemical composition fluctuations, given that concentrations are affected by both the fresh organic-rich and aged sulfate-rich modes, more at least than found in the higher or lower supersaturation CCN. Overall however, this level of hygroscopicity error~~,~~ is not expected to induce substantial errors in CCN concentration predictions, as demonstrated in the closure study below; a size-dependent consideration of hygroscopicity is therefore deemed unnecessary.

We subsequently test the aforementioned approach for calculating CCN from chemical composition and size-distribution measurements (Eq. 4) against direct CCN measurements (Section 2.3) collected from ~~September~~November 2014 to ~~March~~May 2015. The degree of "CCN closure" is assessed with 5 minute-averaged data at 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, and 0.73% supersaturation (Fig. S2). The measured values of CCN at each supersaturation correlate strongly with the predicted values, when considering all the available data. With increasing supersaturation, *s*, the value of ~~R^2~~the coefficient of determination (R^2) increased and the scattering of data decreased (Table S1). For the lowest supersaturations (0.38 and 0.52%), there is an overestimation (22%) of predicted CCN concentrations – consistent with the fact that using bulk κ, which is higher than the "real" size-dependent κ, would lead to slight overestimations in CCN. Interestingly enough, although these κ biases increase with decreasing

Formatted: Footer

size, the overestimation and scatter in CCN is decreased, for the higher supersaturations (0.66 and 0.73% - estimated and measured values agree within 10%) because an increasingly larger fraction of the aerosol activates, so the error in absolute CCN number is diminished. Regardless of supersaturation, CCN prediction errors and scatter do not seem to exceed 40%; these are considered minor, especially within the context of droplet number calculations – because the former exhibit a strongly sub-linear response to CCN changes in the eastern Mediterranean (e.g.~~, Kalkavouras et al., 2017; Bougiatioti et al., 2016) which means that CCN errors translate to much smaller errors in CDNC.~~ . Bougiatioti et al., 2016; Kalkavouras et al., 2017) which means that CCN errors translate to much smaller errors in CDNC. Conversely, to contrast our method against using a prescribed d_c, from the available CCN data we calculated a mean d_c at each supersaturation level, and afterwards estimated the CCN number concentrations for this respective "fixed" d_c. Using both the calculated CCN from a "fixed" d_c against the CCN concentrations from chemical composition and size-distribution measurements, we evaluated the two different approaches at 0.20, 0.38, 0.52, 0.73 and 1.00% suprsaturation, respectively. The values of our initial approach with estimated CCN concentrations from kappa and size-distribution measurements, are generally higher. More specifically, when using a "fixed" d_c estimated CCN concentrations are almost 30% lower compared to the respective ones when using kappa and size-distribution measurements for all supersaturation levels above 0.38%, whereas for 0.20% supersaturation, the estimated CCN concentrations are approximately 60% lower for the "fixed" d_c approach, and this would further translate in higher discrepancies in an attempted closure study.

2.5 Cloud droplet formation calculations

From knowledge of the aerosol hygroscopicity, size distribution and cloud ~~vertical~~updraft velocity, we can determine the cloud droplet number concentrations (N_d) and maximum supersaturation for clouds forming in the vicinity of Finokalia, during all NPF events. Such calculations are useful to directly link aerosol with ~~cloud droplet number~~CDNC in NPF-influenced clouds, and~~,~~ determine the "cloud-relevant" supersaturations for which CCN perturbation calculations are relevant. For such calculations we use the droplet parameterization based on the "population splitting" concept~~"~~ of Nenes and Seinfeld (2003), later improved by Fountoukis and Nenes (2005), Barahona et al. (2010), and Morales and Nenes (2014). These formulations provide a rapid and accurate calculation of ~~droplet number~~CDNC that forms in cloud updrafts, and largely captures the ~~droplet numbers~~CDNCs that form in ambient clouds (e.g.~~,~~. Ghan et al., 2011; Morales~~ Betancourt~~ et al., 2011). When calculating N_d, the size distribution is described using a sectional representation (Nenes and Seinfeld, 2003) derived directly from the SMPS distribution data, similar to what was done in Kalkavouras et al. (2017). Observations of cloud updraft velocity are not available at Finokalia for the time period

Formatted: Footer

examined, but published measurements and model simulations suggest that the distribution of ~~vertical~~updraft velocities in cloudy boundary layers in the region of Finokalia show a dispersion of σ_w = 0.2-0.3 m s^{-1} during the period of northerly (Etesian) winds (Tombrou et al., 2015; Dandou et al., 2017~~),~~).The aforementioned distribution of the cloud updraft velocity in the marine boundary layer of Finokalia, is consistent with ~~the dynamics~~values observed in ~~cloud-capped~~ marine boundary layers (e.g. ~~Meskhidze~~Albrecht et al., ~~2005~~1998 and references therein; Fountoukis et al., 2007; Ghan et al., 2011~~). ~~), where they display a spectral dispersion around zero value (σ_w is calculated to be between 0.2 and 0.3 m s^{-1}).Thus, we can use the characteristic cloud updraft velocity approach of Morales and Nenes (2010) when applying the droplet parameterization to obtain the cloud updraft velocity PDF-averaged values of cloud droplet number concentration ~~(CDNC)~~ and s_{max}. Moreover, a sensitivity test also considers a more ~~vigorous~~turbulent boundary layer (σ_w = 0.6 m s^{-1}), following Kalkavouras et al. (2017). Furthermore, we determine the relative contribution of aerosol chemical composition, $\varepsilon\kappa$, and aerosol number concentration, εN_{total}, to variations in droplet number using a propagation of variance (Sullivan et al., 2016; Bougiatioti et al., 2016; 2017),

$$\varepsilon N_{total} = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial N_{total}}\sigma N_{total}\right)^2}{\sigma^2 N_d} \quad (5) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon\kappa = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial \kappa}\sigma\kappa\right)^2}{\sigma^2 N_d} \quad (6$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial N_{total}}\sigma N_{total}\right)^2}{\sigma^2 N_d} \quad (6) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon\kappa = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial \kappa}\sigma\kappa\right)^2}{\sigma^2 N_d} \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma^2 N_d = (\overline{\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial N_{total}}}\sigma N_{total})^2 + (\overline{\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial \kappa}}\sigma\kappa)^2$ is the variance of the droplet number, σN_{total} is the standard deviation of the total aerosol number, $\sigma\kappa$ is the standard deviation of the hygroscopicity parameter, and $\overline{\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial N_{total}}}, \overline{\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial \kappa}}$ represent the average sensitivity of N_d to aerosol number and hygroscopicity, respectively throughout a NPF episode, as calculated by the droplet parameterization (Bougiatioti et al., 2016; 2017). The relative contribution of κ, and N_{total} to the N_d droplet number variation is estimated only during periods with high temporal resolution in chemical composition in order to capture the diurnal variability of κ (ACSM measurements, ~~June~~May 2012 to May 2015).

2.6 Back-trajectories and meteorological data

For the entire dataset, three-dimensional back-trajectories have been calculated to determine the origin and trajectories of air-masses arriving at Finokalia. The HYSPLIT4 ~~model~~ (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory; http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php) ~~were used for the analysis~~back-trajectory model (Stein et al., 2015~~),~~)was used. The back-trajectories initially with meteorological conditions from GDAS (0.5° resolution), were

Formatted: Footer

calculated at several heights (100, 500, and 1000 m above ground level (a.g.l.)), with a duration of 48 hours. The back-trajectories are important for understanding the provenance of the different air masses and how they related to the occurrence and evolution of NPF events. Meteorological parameters, as wind speed and direction, temperature, relative humidity, and solar radiation were also continuously monitored during the study period, by the automatic weather station installed at Finokalia at 2 m a.g.l., and the time resolution for all of the measurements was 5 minutes (http://finokalia.chemistry.uoc.gr/).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Aerosol chemical composition and hygroscopicity during NPF events

162 NPF episodes were recognized (Kalivitis et al., ~~2018~~2019) and the chemical composition of submicron particulate matter during these episodes was primarily composed of sulfate, contributing on average by 39±8% to the total estimated PM_1 mass ~~as derived~~ from ~~the~~ June 2008 to December 2011 as derived from the respective bulk PM_{10} 24-h quartz fiber filters, and by 51±12% from May 2012 to May 2015 as derived from the ACSM high-resolution measurements, respectively. ~~Moreover, regarding~~Regarding the organic material ~~the~~its contribution to the total estimated PM_1 mass was found to be in the order of 38±10% using the bulk PM_{10} 24-h quartz fiber filters, and to the total PM_1 mass was calculated to be 44±12~~%, respectively~~% using the ACSM data, indicating that the relative abundance of sulfate~~,~~ and organics dictate to a high extent the hygroscopic and cloud-activating properties of submicron particles over Finokalia. ~~Sulfate~~Figure S3 shows that sulfate contributed to a greater fraction of the aerosol during summer and autumn ~~(average contribution 43±7%),~~ and to ~~a lesser~~an almost equal extent in winter and springtime ~~(35±9%), whilst~~ when considering the daily 24-h quartz fiber filters and the ACSM data, respectively. On the contrary, for both chemical composition techniques, organic material contributed more during winter ~~(44±14%) and in~~due to the long-range transport of organic-rich material from the Greek mainland, whilst its contribution was minimum during autumn ~~(32±8%), respectively~~.

Following Section 2.4, κ~~,~~ was calculated using the chemical composition data. The predicted κ derived from the estimated PM_1 varied from 0.~~34~~21 to 0.~~57~~52, with a mean value of 0.~~48~~38±0.~~04~~06, while when the ACSM data were considered, κ varied from 0.20 to 0.45, with a mean value of 0.36±0.06. This insignificant difference regarding the κ is due to the lower ~~concentrations~~values of ~~organics~~organic and ~~sulfate~~inorganic volume fractions ε_{org}, ε_{inorg} derived from the ACSM data. Mean κ values were estimated to be somehow lower in winter and higher during autumn, while in spring and summer the average aerosol hygroscopicity exhibited generally similar values. Indicatively, the diurnal variability of the κ derived from the chemical composition analysis and from the CCN data for supersaturations below 0.~~2~~20%, and

Formatted: Footer

for supersaturations ranging from 0.~~2~~20 to 0.~~4~~40, 0.~~4~~40 to 0.~~5~~50, and 0.~~6~~60 to 0.~~7~~70% on 29 August 2012 is presented in Figure ~~S3~~S4. It can be seen that κ ~~tended to decrease~~exhibited lower values throughout the ~~early~~ morning hours (~~6~~06:00 to ~~9~~09:00 LT~~)~~), and tended to increase between 12:00 and 21:00 LT, when considering the data derived from the ACSM, and the CCN counter for each critical supersaturation ~~probably owing~~. This increase regarding the κ can be ascribed to the downward transport of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) during the boundary layer mixing, whilst at some point after noon, κ begun to augment probably linked to the formation of particulate sulfate during this period. In particular, the increase was estimated to be as 21% when the ACSM data were considered, and 21, 24, 29, 69 and 42% for supersaturations under 0.20%, from 0.20 to 0.40%, from 0.40 to 0.50%, and from 0.60 to 0.73%, respectively when the CCN data were used. As expected, lower supersaturation levels are associated with higher κ values, indicating that smaller particles were much less hygroscopic than larger ones, with ~~the~~an average difference being of 0.2 ~~–~~ κ units between the lower (under 0.~~2~~20%) and the maximum supersaturation (0.~~6~~60-0.~~7~~70%). This feature has been attributed to the enrichment of organic material in sub-100 nm particles (Kalivitis et al., 2015). The chemically-derived κ from the ACSM measurements generally does not present any remarkable fluctuation~~,~~ (see grey crosses in Fig. S4), and it seems to converge better with the CCN-derived κ values ~~of lower~~for supersaturations~~.~~ varying from 0.20 to 0.40%, compared to the other supersaturations. This relative constant character of the chemically-derived κ, may be an evidence that using prescribed levels of supersaturation or critical diameters to calculate CCN number concentrations can provide a biased influence of NPF events on CCN, since ~~there is a clear dependence between the chemical composition and~~the pre-assigned s or d_c are essentially different from those occurring in the ~~size of a particle.~~"real" cloud-forming conditions (see below the Section 3.3).

3.2 Characteristics and interpretation of the Finokalia NPF events

In ~~all~~several studies to date (summarized in the introduction), NPF impacts on CCN number concentrations is based on analysis of the evolution of the aerosol size distribution over time, to quantify *i*) how long it takes before freshly-formed particles in a given air-mass reach CCN-relevant sizes, and, *ii*) the degree to which CCN number concentrations are augmented from the NPF. Here we present in detail the corresponding methodology used to interpret the NPF data from Finokalia, by applying to a "representative" type-I NPF event (according to the Dal Maso et al., 2005 classification) observed at Finokalia on 29 August 2012 (Fig. ~~1). Regarding the intensity, it was a strong episode, since according to Zhang et al. (2004) N_{total} (where N_{total} is the number of particles larger than 9 nm) exceeds 10,000 cm^{-3} for at least 1h (in Fig. 3, N_{total} exhibits values exceeding 10,000 cm^{-3} between 10:00 to 15:30 LT). Subsequent growth of the aerosol~~1), where the subsequent growth of the aerosols generates a characteristic "banana

Formatted: Footer

shape" in the time-series of diurnal particle number concentration (Fig. 1a). The episode was characterized by a burst in particle number concentration in the 9 to 25 nm diameter range (nucleation-mode), and enables a robust determination of the starting time (t_{start}) of the NPF event. Following Since we had no means to determine the intermediate negative-ion concentrations we modified the concept of Leino et al. (2016), we) using the intermediate nucleation-mode particles, which corresponds to particles with diameters from 9 to 25 nm in order to determine the initiation of a NPF event. We calculated half-hour median concentrations of the nucleation-mode particles from the measurement data, since the half-hour median concentration was deemed sufficient to determine the t_{start}. When plotting the time series of the intermediate nucleation-mode particles, the NPF is distinctly visible as the particle concentrations rapidly increase from 3,850 to just over 17,000 cm^{-3} over a 2.5 h period starting at 8:30 LT08:30LT (Fig. 1b). The starting of the NPF is further confirmed by the evolution of the particle size distribution ("banana shape" pattern; see Fig. 1a) when the new 9–nm particles appear and shift gradually towards to larger sizes. The nucleation-mode particles peak at 11:00 LT (see Fig. 1c), without any visible change in the Aitken-mode concentrations until after 11:30 LT. This increase, in conjunction with the decrease of the nucleation-mode particles in number, strongly suggests the transfer of nucleation-mode to Aitken-mode particles fromowing to condensation and coagulation. The NPF event is said to terminate when the nucleation-mode particles start to decrease. The appearance and formation of the nucleation-mode particles are linked to the onset of solar radiation (Fig. 2). Afterwards, particles continued to grow faster in size for several hours, consistently with finding in other studies (e.g. Paasonen et al., 2018), exceeding 100nm100 nm in diameter at 21:30 LT. Following the methodology of the mode-fitting (Hussein et al., 2004; Kulmala et al., 2012) the nucleation-mode particles exhibited a growth rate of 3.7 nm h^{-1}, while the formation rate value of particles in the nucleation-mode was 2.0 cm^{-3} s^{-1} (Kulmala et al., 2012), which are well in the range of the representative values reported by Kalivitis et al. (20182019) at Finokalia site.

To quantify the impact of NPF on CCN number concentrations, the following approach is used. From the time-series of the aerosol size distribution and chemical composition that spans each NPF event, the time-series of CCN concentration for a number of supersaturations s, CCN_s, is calculated following Section 2.4. It should be noted that, from June 2008 to December 2011 when daily bulk PM_{10} quartz fiber filters were used, there was only one κ available for each NPF day. We then determine the starting time, t_{start}, and its corresponding CCN concentration, $CCN_{s,\,t_{start}}$. The enhancement of CCN from the NPF at supersaturationssupersaturation s, R_s, is then calculated by normalizing the CCN time series with $CCN_{s,\,t_{start}}$ for each NPF event, $R_s = \frac{CCN_s}{CCN_{s,t_{start}}}$. By definition, the R_s is equal to unity at t_{start} and theoretically should remain so until

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Footer

the "wave" of new particles ~~(from the new particles)~~ reaches a large enough size to influence CCN_s.

Figure 3a, presents the evolution of the R_s for each supersaturation against aerosol number concentrations, before, during and after the event. ~~Prior to 8~~From 08:30 LT (t_{start}) and for 5 hours later (13:30 LT), the R_s displays a similar pattern, especially for ~~all~~ supersaturations~~,~~ above 0.38%, with values ranging from 0.~~46~~75 to 1.~~30~~32 (average ~~0.83~~1.00±0.~~17~~06) according to the conceptual model. This pattern reveals that during the morning hours and until 13:30 LT, the estimated CCN number concentrations exhibit almost equal values for each supersaturation, since the denominator is constantly the same. At 13:30 LT, the R_s acquires different values in a given supersaturation as depicted in Figure 3a. This time is crucial in order to estimate the initiation of the influence on the potential CCN due to NPF, and is termed the "decoupling time", t_{dec}. We determine the t_{dec}, and therefore the period (i.e. start and end) of intense NPF impact on the CCN spectrum, based on the temporal evolution of the relative dispersion (RD) of the R_s for all supersaturations (Fig. 3b). RD was calculated by ~~diving~~dividing the standard deviation of the instantaneous values of the R_s (at 0.10, 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, 0.73, and 1.00% supersaturation) with their average value~~.~~ at each time step (5-min temporal resolution). RD is useful, at it is highly sensitive to the introduction and evolution of particles from NPF as they transit the distribution over the resolved supersaturation range. It is said that, NPF influences the CCN as long as the RD exceeds the envelope of (low) values seen during the initial stages of the NPF event. Indeed, from 08:30 to 13:30 LT, the RD is low (generally less than 0.1), and rapidly increases at 13:30 LT and on – indicative of the large spread in R_s from the influence of NPF on the production of particles which activate at larger supersaturations; therefore 13:30 LT corresponds to the t_{dec}. The impact of NPF on the CCN spectrum is terminated when the RD drops to values seen prior to t_{dec} (21:30 LT, see Fig. 3b), presumably when the NPF has evenly affects CCN number concentrations at all s levels. However, it should be clarified that this "end time" (e.g. 21:30 LT) is identified on the day of the NPF episode, since we had no real means to record the continued growth processes due to NPF from a previous day and beyond the point of the influence of NPF on the droplet's formation (t_{Nd}, see in Section 3.3). The elevated RD seen after 23:00 LT may be a result of residual NPF particles mixing in the ~~airmasses~~air-masses sampled at Finokalia, or a result of other small-scale variations (from local sources) in the CCN spectrum.

Subsequently, we calculate the evolution of the R_s before and after the t_{dec} for each supersaturation on 29 August 2012 (Fig. 3a). Specifically, "before" is the time period between t_{start} and t_{dec}, whereas "after" is the period from the t_{dec} until the end of CCN production (21:30 LT). This variation of the R_s ~~can be equivalent to~~ indicates, for each supersaturation value, the ~~percentage contribution~~increase of the CCN ~~owing to~~number concentration due to particles

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Footer

originating from the NPF. The R_s was estimated to be 0.89±0.09, 0.94±0.08, 1.02±0.09, 1.04±0.09, 1.03±0.09, and 0.99±0.08 prior to the starting of the CCN production (i.e. between 808:30 and 13:30 LT), and 0.90±0.23, 1.09±0.60, 1.21±0.52, 1.25±0.43, 1.26±0.40, and 1.39±0.32 for 0.10, 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, 0.73, and 1.000% supersaturation, respectively after 13:30 LT and until the end of the production. The time intervals and t_{dec} are driven by the processes that affect the aerosol number distributions (i.e. coagulation and condensation), and hence affect the CCN population. Assuming a constant growth rate (e.g. 3.7 nm h^{-1}) for particles with diameter smaller than 100 nm,), we approachestimate the duration time which the new particles after the t_{start} are able to , during which the freshly formed particles need to grow toin size reaching the respective d_c (35 to 67 nm for *s* 1.0 to 0.38%) and act as CCN. This time fluctuates, 43, 46, 54, 67, and 162 nm for *s* 1.00, 0.73, 0.66, 0.52, 0.38, and 0.10%. respectively)and act as CCN. Considering an initial diameter of 9 nm for the newly formed particles at t_{start}, t_{dec} appears 7 to 41 h after the t_{start} for supersaturations between 1.00 and 0.10%, and from 2.7 to 10.5 h in the 1.0 0.38%37 h when an initial diameter of 25 nm is considered for the same supersaturation range, showing. This feature shows that larger, when only constant growth rate is considered, the freshly nucleated atmospheric particles (67 nm) start to "feel"attain the largest sizes (d_c=162 nm when *s*=0.10%) during the night (21:30 LT) of the following day (30th August), and early in the morning (01:30 LT) on the 31st August, when 25 and 9 nm were considered as initial diameters at t_{start}, respectively. Therefore, it takes longer time compared to the RD methodology to observe the influence of NPF on the concentration of particles which are able to act as CCN at lower supersaturations (e.g. 0.10%), when the GR is the sole factor determining the time delay from NPF late in the afternoon (19:00 LT). t_{dec} is later for supersaturations below 0.7%,t_{start} to t_{dec}. Observed t_{dec} is generally earlier compared to the aforementioned values, and this differencetemporal inconsistency may occur due to the owing to the previous consideration of a constant growth rate, since the growth rate has the ability to change of the growth rate, which has been reported, and specifically to increase with an increasing particle diameter (Paasonen et al., 2018). Concurrently there are also several microphysical processes (i.e. the synoptic wind flow, the boundary layer dynamics, the presence of pre-existing particles) which influence the time lag between t_{start} and t_{dec}.

The R_s exhibits almost similar mean values after the t_{dec} until 21:30 LT, for 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, and 0.73% supersaturation. Thus, the number of the newly-formed particles which reach the CCN-size (d_c varying from 43 to 6754 nm) is independent from *s*, indicating that indicative of the newly formed particles in this size range may exhibitassumption of a similar chemical composition (internal mixture),for all sizes, or could be merely that particle number in the size range between 43-6754 nm particles increased more or less to the same extent, after the t_{dec}. The Using the above-mentioned values of the R_s, we determined the subsequent percentage

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Footer

~~contribution~~increase of ~~NPF into~~the CCN ~~population at the same time period~~number concentrations related to particles originating from NPF. The enhancement of the CCN number concentrations was calculated to be 1, 16, 19, 20, 22, and 40% for ~~the above-mentioned~~ *s* 0.10, 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, 0.73, and 1.00%, respectively. For supersaturation of 0.10% the increase was merely 1%, while for the supersaturations~~, respectively. The first four contributions are~~ 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, and 0.73 the augmentation was generally the same, which is consistent with the similar~~, since the d_e spans from 67 nm for 0.38% to 43 nm for 0.73%, respectively.~~ R_s observed in the same size range, as mentioned above .Regarding the *s* of 1.~~0~~00%, the aerosol sizes are even smaller (~35 nm~~),~~) and the contribution of NPF on CCN increases considerably. When looking at the diurnal evolution of the aerosol size distribution (Fig. 1a), particles in the size range of around 35 nm also pre-existed the NPF event (t_{start}) and could contribute to CCN number concentrations. These contributions are suggestive of the convolution of NPF with condensational growth of both fresh and ~~preexisting~~pre-existing ("background") particles ~~to produce CCN size range particles~~, introducing ~~a~~ an upper limit of bias of approximately 50%, which ~~can reach up to 50% regarding~~could originate from the ~~exact activation~~pre-existence of large enough particles ~~solely~~(not originating from ~~the~~ NPF~~.~~) that can grow to CCN-relevant sizes. The amount of the "background" particles, which ~~take place into the processes of activation from newly formed particles~~are large enough and also have sufficient time to grow to CCN, was calculated by subtracting the mean value of the concentration of particles in the nucleation~~ ~~mode from t_{start} until 11:30 LT (the formation of the nucleation~~ ~~mode particles ceased - Fig. 1a) and the respective mean value2 hours prior to the t_{start}. The latter depicts that the impact of NPF on CCN number concentrations, and subsequently on cloud properties, also depends on the background conditions (clean vs polluted air). Under clean air conditions (limited pre-existing particles preceding the NPF), which constitute the 40% of the NPF days, it has been found that CCN concentrations are enhanced by 45 to 80% in the 0.10-1.00% supersaturation range, compared to more polluted conditions.

The procedure outline in ~~section~~Section 3.2 is repeated for the all the 161 remaining NPF episodes to determine the ~~relative contribution~~increase of the CCN number concentrations owing to particles originating from the NPF episodes~~ to the R_s and subsequently to the CCN budget~~. The comprehensive results are presented in Table S2, and an extensive seasonal analysis in the Supplementary Material 3.~~3~~2 (SM 3.~~3~~2). Altogether, when considering all 162 NPF episodes we found that, the average contribution of NPF to the CCN budget over the eastern Mediterranean varied from ~~39~~29 to ~~69~~77% in the 0.~~38~~10-1.~~0~~00% supersaturation range, and displayed a seasonal variation (Fig. 4). In winter, t_{start} was observed during daytime (median 11:00 LT), followed by t_{dec} 2.5 hours later. The ~~contribution~~augmentation on CCN ~~production~~number concentrations due to the atmospheric NPF and growth was estimated to be

Formatted: Footer

~~47, 47, 48, 50~~30, 43, 43, 44, 46, and 54% for 0.10, 0.38, 0.52, 0.66, 0.73 and 1.~~0~~00% supersaturation ~~(Fig. 4),~~, respectively~~.~~ (Fig. 4). For spring and summer, t_{start} exhibited a median value at 10:00 LT, and ~~9~~09:00 LT, respectively, whilst the t_{dec} was on average 2.5 hours after the t_{start}. The CCN production ~~for~~ associated with the nuclei growth to larger sizes increase by almost ~~40~~41% for both seasons (Fig. 4), and for the aforementioned supersaturations. Finally, throughout autumn, t_{start} was detected in the morning (median ~~9~~09:30 LT), followed by t_{dec} on average 3.5 hours after the t_{start}, whereas the NPF episodes elevated the CCN numbers by ~~46~~29, 47, 52, 55, 58, and ~~69~~77% (Fig. 4) for each supersaturation, respectively. Hence, according to the above conceptual model, NPF taking place in the eastern Mediterranean may considerably influence CCN numbers (compared to levels prior to t_{dec}), ~~at cloud supersaturations encountered in this environment~~for prescribed levels of superasaturation. According to Kalivitis et al. (2019), higher growth rates are calculated for summer and autumn, compared to winter and spring. Consequently, it would be expected that the time delay between t_{start} and t_{dec} would be lower during summer and autumn, if only the influence of growth rate is taken into account. Nevertheless, the GR is not entirely responsible for the growth of the freshly nucleated atmospheric particles into CCN-relevant sizes and cloud droplets, and further microphysical processes favor the NPF and consequently determine the t_{dec}, as we have seen above. The air-masses reaching at Finokalia during summer, contain significant amount of pre-existing particles (before t_{start} on average higher by 58% compared to winter and spring, with larger load in the Aitken-mode) providing a sink for newly-formed particles via condensation and coagulation (Dameto de España et al., 2017), a feature which has an impact on the growth of the freshly-formed particles to larger sizes, and therefore also determines t_{dec} as already seen in the RD analysis.

3.43 Impact of NPF on droplet number and cloud formation

Following the proposed methodology (Section 2.5), we estimated the number of droplets (N_d) and the maximum supersaturation (s_{max}) that would form in a cloud, based on the aerosol number size distribution (N_{total}), chemical composition (κ), and cloud updraft velocity (σ_w) throughout each NPF event. Results of N_d are shown in Figure 5 for cloud updraft velocities of 0.3 m s^{-1} (bottom) and of 0.6 m s^{-1} (top), ~~whereas~~while Figure 6 depicts the corresponding s_{max} during the "representative" NPF event recorded at Finokalia on 29 August 2012. As expected, the higher cloud updraft velocity generates larger values of both s_{max}, and N_d. On the time period between ~~8~~08:30-17:25 LT, which includes the formation (08:30-11:00 LT) and growth hours (after 11:00 LT) of the episode ~~(8:30-11:00 LT),~~ as well as the starting of the CCN influence due to NPF (13:30 LT), the arrival of the air~~-~~mass is followed by a depression in N_d (relative mean decrease 7.9±2.9% for σ_w=0.3 m s^{-1} and 13.5±3.9% for σ_w= 0.6 m s^{-1}). Concurrently, there is a slight increase in the maximum supersaturation (relative mean ~~increases4~~increases

Formatted: Footer

4.7±2.1% for σ_w=0.3 m s^{-1} and 6.9±2.3% for σ_w= 0.6 m s^{-1}). Both trends are related to decreases in the accumulation- mode aerosol number~~, related~~ (from 08:30 to 17:25 LT, see Fig. 1c, right-hand y axis), owing to processes other than NPF (~~growth~~e.g. development of the boundary layer, ~~and~~ dry deposition) – as the latter has not had the chance to influence particles that act as CCN in clouds. ~~N_d exhibits the minimum value at 17:25 LT (Fig. 5) and corresponds to when droplet formation begins to "feel" the particles generated from NPF.~~For both cloud updraft velocities the s_{max} was calculated to be under 0.17% highlighting the low levels of s_{max} developed. Hence, according to these low values of supersaturation formed in the clouds, and in conjunction with the mean d_c at 162 nm for 0.10% supersaturation, it is clear that most of the activated droplets belong to the accumulation-mode particles. N_d exhibits the minimum value at 17:25 LT (Fig. 5), coinciding with when s_{max} begins decreasing (CCN start to grow further to form droplets, and they compete for water vapor thus decreasing s_{max}), depecting the moment when droplet formation begins to "feel" the particles generated from NPF. Furthermore, this time stamp also coincides with the time when the number concentration of particles in the accumulation-mode exhibits the lowest value as well (see Fig. 1c). Hereafter, this time will be expressed as t_{Nd} (Fig. 5). There is a time lag between t_{dec} and t_{Nd}, since particles formed in ~~an~~a NPF event need sufficient time to grow into CCN-relevant sizes, and subsequently into a cloud droplet. After t_{Nd}, s_{max} is negatively correlated with N_d for both cloud updraft velocities, ~~owing~~due to the increasing competition for water vapor from the growing number of CCN. For both cloud updraft velocities, the increase of N_d until the ~~sunset (around 21:30 LT)~~midnight was similar and on the order of ~~21.9~~ 20.0±6.5%, leading to a simultaneous decrease of s_{max} by ~~11.8~~6.0±2.7~~%. Water~~% (Table S3). Interestingly, water vapor competition effects can be assessed by comparing ~~N_d at sunset~~ the number concentration of N_d with the estimated CCN number for ~~s_{max} at~~a supersaturation equal to the value of s_{max} at the time of t_{Nd} (where competition effects from the NPF-generated particles are vanishingly small~~).~~) for the time period between t_{Nd} and midnight. Using this approach, and by comparing the derived estimated CCN with the respective N_d (assuming that without competition effects all CCN would activate in droplets), we find that competition effects suppress N_d by 20% for σ_w=0.3 m s^{-1} and 12.3% for σ_w=0.~~3~~6 m s^{-1}. It is worth noting that, if s_{max} did not vary over the period of N_d influence, the increase of N_d from the t_{Nd} until ~~21:30 LT~~midnight was similar for both σ_w and merely of 5.5±2.5%, since the competition for water vapor is restricted considerably. ~~This~~The above clearly shows that the prescription of a constant supersaturation in the CCN analysis may lead to biased results regarding the impact of NPF on regional clouds. Since N_d does not increase significantly but until midnight, it is clear that most of the impact of the NPF is on nocturnal clouds, which carries important implications for the formation of drizzle and structure of the boundary layer in the following day.

Formatted: Footer

The degree to which N_{total} and κ variations influences N_d variability can be expressed by calculating the relative contribution of the total aerosol number, and the hygroscopicity to the droplet number using the equations (~~4), (5),~~6),, and (~~6~~7) in ~~section~~Section 2.5. The results are displayed thoroughly in Table S4. We find that ~~N_d varies~~the variance of the droplet number (see in Section 2.5) from t_{Nd} to midnight ~~by~~exhibits an average value of 30 cm^{-3} ~~for~~when σ_w is equal to 0.3 m s^{-1}, and 35 cm^{-3} for σ_w equal to 0.6 m s^{-1}, respectively. 68% of this variance can be attributed to aerosol number and the remaining 32% to changes the chemical composition. -The above procedure, when carried out for the 161 remaining NPF episodes, provides consistently similar results (Results depicted in Table S3) for both cloud updraft velocities examined. A detailed summary of the analysis by episode and season is presented in the Supplementary Material 3.~~4~~3 (SM 3.~~4~~3).

Overall, during the 162 NPF days, the s_{max} formed in clouds augments slowly after the t_{start} and decreases gradually - after the t_{Nd} - when the particles from NPF begin contributing to N_d. After the t_{Nd}, the mean value of the s_{max} was calculated to be 0.11±0.03%, and 0.15±0.05% for cloud updraft velocities (σ_w) of 0.3 m s^{-1}, and 0.6 m s^{-1}, respectively. Concurrently, N_d is influenced from the afternoon and on, and their average increase due to the NPF varied from 1 to 55%, and from 0.2 to 62% for each σ_w, respectively (Table S3). In wintertime, t_{Nd} was observed in the afternoon (median value at 17:30 LT). A slight decrease of the s_{max} was calculated after the t_{Nd}, compared to the period between t_{start} and t_{dec} (10% for σ_w=0.3 m s^{-1} and 9% for σ_w=0.6 m s^{-1}), whilst the respective increase regarding the N_d due to the NPF episodes was estimated to be 13% and 17%, for the aforementioned σ_w (see Table S5). For spring, the t_{Nd} showed a median value at 15:40 LT. s_{max} decreases by 10% and 7.5% for both cloud updraft velocities, whilst the expected augmentation of the N_d compared to pre-t_{Nd} values during the NPF days was calculated to be 12% (for σ_w=0.3 m s^{-1}) and 15% (for σ_w=0.6 m s^{-1}). Throughout summer, t_{Nd} occurred at 15:00 LT (median value). For both σ_w the decrease of s_{max} caused by the NPF was on average all the same (10%), whereas at the same time the NPF is followed by a limited augmentation (7% and 9%, for σ_w equal to 0.3 m s^{-1} and 0.6 m s^{-1}, respectively) regarding the N_d. In autumn, the t_{Nd} displayed a median value at 16:30 LT, and the variations regarding the s_{max} and N_d are similar with the respective values calculated during spring (see Table S5). Lastly, from the relative contribution of the total aerosol number and chemical composition to N_d, it can be seen that in all seasons, the variance of the total aerosol number (on average 91%) dominates, with chemical composition contributing the remaining 9% droplet number variance (Table S5).

4. Summary and Conclusions

The aerosol particle number size distributions along with chemical composition and meteorological parameters were studied at a remote background site in the ~~Eastern~~eastern

Formatted: Footer

Mediterranean over a 7-year period in order to quantify how regional new particle formation (NPF) events modulate the concentration of aerosol, cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), droplet number and maximum supersaturation developed in clouds of the region.

Overall, 162 NPF episodes were recorded with the majority occurring during spring and summer (32 and 30.8%, respectively), few during winter (14.8%) and the rest (22.4%) during autumn. The timing and duration of NPF influences on the CCN spectrum and cloud droplet number concentration were ~~accurately~~ determined using a set of new statistical metrics derived from the observational data. Wintertime NPF events were found to start around 11:00 LT (t_{start}) and begin ~~affecting~~to increase the CCN ~~spectrum~~ number concentrations 3 hours ~~into~~after the ~~event~~t_{start}, while in springtime were initiated one hour earlier and ~~increased~~ start to increase the CCN number concentrations 2.5 hours ~~into~~after the ~~event~~t_{start}. During summer, the recorded NPF events started the earliest (~~9~~09:30 LT) and the ~~impact~~augmentation on the CCN number concentrations occurred roughly 2.5 h after the t_{start}, while in autumn NPF episodes ~~occurred~~were observed between ~~9~~09:30 and 10:00 LT, but with the largest delays ~~in observing~~ regarding the increase of the CCN ~~impacts~~number concentrations - 3h 30 min after the ~~start of the event. Overall~~t_{start}. Generally, when accounting for all NPF episodes, we found that the average increase on CCN levels (0.~~38~~10-1.~~0~~00% supersaturation) from the NPF over eastern Mediterranean ranged from ~~37 to 69%.~~29 to 77%, with air-masses containing lower amount of pre-existed particles (cleaner air) exhibiting a higher increase in the CCN number concentration, and consequently to the cloud droplet number concentrations due to the NPF

When the observed size distributions and chemical composition are used in conjunction with a cloud droplet parameterization, the impact of NPF on N_d differs considerably from the CCN-based analysis. Regardless of season, we find that the maximum supersaturation developed in typical boundary layer clouds ~~(~~in the eastern Mediterranean (cloud updraft velocities of the order of 0.3 m s^{-1}) vary between 0.07% and 0.12%, giving on average cloud droplet number increases of 7% to 13%. This 4 ~~to 10~~to10-fold decrease in N_d sensitivity to NPF (compared to what is deduced from the CCN analysis) is primary from the actual cloud supersaturation being much lower than the prescribed levels in the CCN analysis. N_d sensitivity to NPF however is further reduced during the evolution of NPF events owing to their increased competition for water vapor when forming cloud droplets (the droplet response can be suppressed by almost 1/5 compared to assuming constant supersaturation throughout the NPF). Nevertheless, most of this droplet variability is driven by changes in aerosol number (91%), the rest being driven by composition changes. The lowest impact on N_d is observed during summer, as this season exhibits the highest aerosol concentrations prior to NPF events - that either act as CCN or grow to become so during an event. Pre-existing particles have been estimated to contribute up to 50% of the activated CCN during summer, denoting the importance of background conditions.

Formatted: Footer

A striking consequence of the low cloud supersaturations is that NPF impacts on N_d are observed much later in the event, typically in the ~~late~~ afternoon (after ~~16~~15:00 LT), and that N_d is relatively insensitive to increases in CCN during the course of an event owing to the competition effects for water vapor. Thus, the impacts of NPF events on eastern Mediterranean clouds occur during the late evening and nighttime. Although such N_d enhancements may limit the short-term impact of NPF on shortwave cloud forcing – it may reduce cloud drizzle and promote stabilization of the marine boundary layer with potentially important implications for the overall boundary layer structure (e.g.~~,~~. Rosenfeld et al., 2006) in days following NPF events.

Perhaps one of the most important findings of this study is the importance of constraining the levels of supersaturation that are generated in ambient clouds, and the diurnal characteristics of the influence ~~during~~of NPF events~~. Choosing~~ on cloud properties. Even though the events themselves can occur early in the day, CCN number concentrations start becoming affected after 2-3 hours and CDNC much later, in the late afternoon and early evening. Thus, choosing prescribed levels of supersaturation or diameters to define CCN number concentrations can provide substantially biased or incomplete insights on the influence of NPF events on regional clouds, the hydrological cycle, and climate. The approach presented here offers a simple and ~~highly~~ effective paradigm for quantifying the potential impacts of NPF events on clouds, with tools available to interested researchers upon request.

Author contributions

~~AK, NK and NM contributed measurements.~~ AN and AB conceived the study and developed the analysis tools~~,~~. AB, NK and NM contributed measurements. AN, AB, PK ~~and NM~~ carried out the analysis and AN, AB, PK, NM wrote the paper. All authors commented on the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This research is co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Social Fund- ESF) through the Operational Programme «Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning» in the context of the project “Reinforcement of Postdoctoral Researchers” (MIS-5001552), implemented by the State Scholarships Foundation (IKY). This study also received financial support from the PANhellenic infrastructure for Atmospheric Composition and climatE change” (MIS 5021516) which is implemented under the Action “Reinforcement of the Research and Innovation Infrastructure”, funded by the Operational Programme "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020) and co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Regional Development Fund). We also

Formatted: Footer

acknowledge project PyroTRACH (ERC-2016-COG) funded from H2020-EU.1.1. - Excellent Science - European Research Council (ERC), project ID 726165

References

Albrecht, B.A.: Observations of cloudy boundary layers, Chapter 8, Verhandelingen Natuurkunde, Eerste Reeks, deel 48, 179-198, 1998.Asmi, E., Kivekäs, N., Kerminen, V.-M., Komppula, M., Hyvärinen, A.-P., Hatakka, J., Viisanen, Y., and Lihavainen, H.: Secondary new particle formation in Northern Finland Pallas site between the years 2000 and 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12959–12972, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12959-2011, 2011.

Barahona, D., West, R.-E.-L., Stier, P., Romakkaniemi, S., Kokkola, H., and Nenes, A.: Comprehensively accounting for the effect of giant CCN in cloud activation parameterizations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2467–2473, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2467-2010, 2010.

Bardouki, H., Liakakou, H~~,~~., Economou, C., Sciare, J., Smolík, J., Ždímal, V., Eleftheriadis, K~~,~~., Lazaridis, M., Dyef, C., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Chemical composition of size-resolved atmospheric aerosols in the eastern Mediterranean during summer and winter, Atmos. Environ. Vol. 37, Issue 2, Pages 195-208, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00859-2, 2003.

Bates, T.-S., Charlson, R.-J., and Gammon, R.-H.: Evidence for the climatic role of marine biogenic sulphur, Nature 329, 319-321, doi:10.1038/329319a0, 1987.

Boucher, O., and Lohmann, U.: The sulfate-CCN-cloud albedo effect, Tellus 47B, 281-300, 1995.

Bougiatioti, A., Fountoukis, C., Kalivitis, N., Pandis, S.-N., Nenes, A., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Cloud condensation nuclei measurements in the marine boundary layer of the Eastern Mediterranean: CCN closure and droplet growth kinetics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7053–7066, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7053-2009, 2009.

Bougiatioti, A., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Kalivitis, N., Pandis, S.-N., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Size-resolved CCN ~~distributionsand~~distributions and activation kinetics of aged continental and marine aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8791–8808, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8791-2011, 2011.

Bougiatioti, A., Zarmpas, P., Koulouri, E., Antoniou, M., Theodosi, C., Kouvarakis, G., Saarikoski, S., Mäkelä, T., Hillamo, R., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Organic, elemental and water-soluble ~~organiccarbon~~organic carbon in size segregated aerosols, in the marine boundary ~~layerof~~layer of the Eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Environ., 64, 251–262, doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.071, 2013.

Bougiatioti, A., Bezantakos, S., Stavroulas, I., Kalivitis, N., Kokkalis, P., Biskos, G., Mihalopoulos, N., Papayannis, A., and Nenes, A.: Biomass-burning impact on CCN number,

Formatted: Footer

hygroscopicity and cloud formation during summertime in the eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,16,7389-7409, doi:10.5194/acp-16-7389-2016, 2016.

Bougiatioti, A., Argyrouli, A., Solomos, S., Vratolis, S., Eleftheriadis, K., Papayannis, A., and Nenes, A.: CCN activity, variability and influence on droplet formation during the HygrA-Cd campaign in Athens, Atmosphere ~~2017~~, 8(6), 108, doi.org/10.3390/atmos8060108~~.~~, 2017.

~~Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K.~~ Budisulistiorini, S.H., Canagaratna, M.R., Croteau, P.L., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E.S., Kollman, M.S., Ng, N.L., Verma, V., Shaw, S.L., Knipping, E.M., Worsnop, D.R., Jayne, J.T., Weber, R.J., and Surratt, J.D.: Intercomparison of an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM) with ambient fine aerosol measurements in downtown Atlanta, Georgia, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 1929–1941, doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-1929-2014, 2014.Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K.E., Genberg, J., and Putaud, J.-P.: Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: the EUSAAR protocol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 79-89, doi:10.5194/amt-3-79-2010, 2010.

Cecchini, M.-A., Machado, L.-A.-T., Andreae, M.-O., Martin, S.-T., Albrecht, R.-I., Artaxo, P., Barbosa, H.-M.-J., Borrmann, S., Fütterer, D., Jurkat, T., Mahnke, C., Minikin, A., Molleker, S., Pöhlker, M.-L., Pösch, U., Rosenfeld, D., Voigt, C., Weinzierl, B., and Wendisch, M.: Sensitivities of Amazonian clouds to aerosols and updraft speed, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 10037-10050, doi:10.5194/acp-17-10037-2017, 2017.

Cerully, K.-M., Raatikainen, T., Lance, S., Tkacik, D., Tiitta, P., Petäjä, T., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D.-R., Laaksonen, A., Smith, J.-N., and Nenes, A.: Aerosol hygroscopicity and CCN activation kinetics in a boreal forest environment during the 2007 EUCAARI campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12369-12386, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12369-2011, 2011.

Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P.-P., and Lehtinen, K.E.-J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 323–336, 2005.

Dameto de España, C., Wonaschütz, A., Steiner, G., Rosati, B., Demattio, A., Schuh, H., and Hitzenberger, R.: Long-term quantitative field study of New Particle Formation (NPF) events as a source of Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN) in the urban background of Vienna, Atmos. Environ., 164, 289-298, doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.001, 2017.

Dandou, A., Tombrou, M., Kalogiros, J., Bossioli, E., Biskos, G., Mihalopoulos, N., and Coe, H.: Investigation of Turbulence Parametrization Schemes with Reference to the Atmospheric Boundary Layer Over the Aegean Sea During Etesian Winds', Boundary-Layer Meteorology, vol. 164, no. 2, pp. 303–329, doi.org/10.1007/s10546-017-0255-0, 2017.

Formatted: Footer

Debevec, C., Sauvage, S., Gros, V., Sellegri, K., Sciare, J., Pikridas, M., Stavroulas, I., Leonardis, T., Gaudion, V., Depelchin, L., Fronval., I., Sarda-Esteve, R., Baisnée, D., Bonsang, B., Savvides, C., Vrekoussis, M., and Locoge, N.: Driving parameters of biogenic volatile organic compounds and consequences on new particle formation observed at an eastern Mediterranean background site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14297-14325, doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14297-2018, 2018.

Fan, J., Leung, R., Rosenfeld, D., Chen, Q., Li, Z., Zhang, J., and Yan, H.: Microphysical effects determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds, PNAS, 110 (48) E4581-E4590; doi:10.1073/pnas.1316830110, 2013.

Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: Continued development of a cloud droplet formation parameterization for global climate models, J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 110, D11212, doi:10.1029/2004JD005591, 2005.

Fountoukis, C., Nenes, A., Meskhidze, N., Bahreini, R., Conant, W.C., Jonsson, H., Murphy, S., Sorooshian, A., Varutbangkul, V., Brechtel, F., Flagan, R.C., and Seinfeld, J.H.: Aerosol-cloud drop concentration closure for clouds sampled during the International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation 2004 campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S30, doi:10.1029/2006JD007272, 2007.

~~Gerasopoulos, E., Kouvarakis, G., Vrekoussis, M., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Ozone variability in the marineboundary layer of the eastern Mediterranean based on 7-year observations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, D15309, doi:10.1029/2005JD005991, 2005.~~

Gordon, H., Kirkby, J., Baltensperger, U., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Curtius, J., Dias, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N.-M., Dunne, E.-M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R.-C., Frege, C., Fuchs, C., Hansel, A., Hoyle, C.-R., Kulmala, M., Kürten, A.~~,~~., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Molteni, U., Rissanen, M.–P., Stozkhov, Y., Tröstl, J., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wagner, R., Williamson, C., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P.–M., Yan, C., and Carslaw, K.–S.: Causes and importance of new particle formation in the present-day and preindustrial atmospheres, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 8739–8760, doi:10.1002/2017JD026844, 2017.

Ghan, S., Chung, C., and Penner, J.: A parameterization of cloud droplet nucleation part I: single aerosol type, Atmosph. Res., ~~,~~ JVol. 30, Issue 4, 198-221, doi:10.1016/0169-8095(93)90024-I, 1993.

Ghan, S., Abdul-Razzak, H., Nenes, A., Ming, Y., Liu, X., Ovchinnikov, M., Shipway, B., Meskhidze, N., Xu, J., and Shi, X.: Droplet Nucleation: Physically-based Parameterization and Comparative Evaluation, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 3, M10001, doi:10.1029/2011MS000074, 2011.

Formatted: highwire-cite-metadata-doi, Font: +Body (Calibri)

Formatted: Footer

Gultepe, I., and Isaac, G.A.: The relationship between cloud droplet and aerosol number concentrations for climate models, International Journal of Climatology, Vol. 16, 941-946, 1996.

Hermann, M., Heintzenberg, J., Wiedensohler, A., Zahn, A., Heinrich, G., and Brenninkmeijer C.–A.–M.: Meridional distribution of aerosol particle number concentrations in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere obtained by Civil Aircraft for Regular Investigation ~~ofthe~~of the Atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container (CARIBIC) flights, J. Geophys. Res.,108(D3), 4114, doi:10.1029/2001JD001077, 2003.

Hildebrandt, L., Engelhart, G.J., Mohr, C., Kostenidou, E., Lanz, V.A., Bougiatioti, A., DeCarlo, P.F., Prevot, A.S. H., Baltensperger, U., Mihalopoulos, N., Donahue, N.M., and Pandis, S.N.: Aged organic aerosol in the Eastern Mediterranean: the Finokalia Aerosol Measurement Experiment – 2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4167-4186, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4167- 2010, 2010a.

Hudson, J.-G., and Noble, S.: CCN and vertical velocity influences on droplet concentrations and supersaturations in clean and polluted stratus clouds, Journal of the Atmos. Sciences, Volume 71, doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-086.1, 2014.

Hussein, T., Puustinen, A., Aalto, P.-P., Mäkelä, J.-M., Hämeri, K., and Kulmala, M.: Urban aerosol number size distributions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 391-411, ~~https://~~doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-391-2004, 2004.

~~Hussein, T., Dal Maso, M., Petäjä, T., Koponen, I., Paatero, P., Aalto, P., Hämeri, K., Kulmala, M.: Evaluation of an automatic algorithm for fitting the particle number size distributions. Boreal Env.Res., 10, 337-355, 2005.~~

Kalivitis, N., Kerminen, V.-M., Kouvarakis, G., Stavroulas, I., Bougiatioti, A., Nenes, A., Manninen, H.-E., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9203-9215, doi:10.5194/acp-15-9203-2015, 2015.

Kalivitis, N., Kerminen, V.-M., Kouvarakis, G., Stavroulas, I., Tzitzikalaki, E., Kalkavouras, P., Daskalakis, N., Myriokefalitakis, S., Bougiatioti, A., Manninen, H.-E., Roldin, P., Petäjä, T., Boy, M., Kulmala, M., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Formation and growth of atmospheric nanoparticles in the eastern Mediterranean: results from long-term measurements and process simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys~~. Discuss.~~,., 19, doi~~:~~.org/10.5194/acp-~~2018-229, 2018~~19-2671-2019, 2019.

Kalkavouras, P., Bossioli, E., Bezantakos, S., Bougiatioti, A., Kalivitis, N., Stavroulas, I., Kouvarakis, G., Protonotariou, A.-P., Dandou, A., Biskos, G., Mihalopoulos, N., Nenes, A., and Tombrou, M.: New particle formation in the southern Aegean Sea during the Etesians:

Formatted: Footer

importance for CCN production and cloud droplet number, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,17, 175-192, doi:10.5194/acp-17-175-2017, 2017.

Katoshevski, D., Nenes, A., Seinfeld, J.-H.: A Study of processes that govern the maintenance of aerosols in the marine boundary layer, Journal of the Atmos. Sciences. Volume 30, Issue 4, 503-532, doi:10.1016/S0021-8502(98)00740-X, 1999.

Kerminen, V.-M., Paramonov, M., Antilla, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., Asmi, E., Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Swietlicki, E., Svenningsson, B., Asmi, A., Pandis, S.N., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Cloud condensation nuclei production associated with ~~atmosphericnucleation~~atmospheric nucleation: a synthesis based on existing literature and new results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12037–12059, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012, 2012.

Kerminen, V.-M., Chen, X., Vakkari, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Bianchi, F.: Atmospheric new particle formation and growth: review of field observations, Environ. Res. Lett. 13 (2018) 103003, doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aadf3c, 2018.

Koulouri, E., Saarikosko, S., Theodosi, C., Markaki, Z., Gerasopoulos, E., Kouvarakis, G., Mäkelä, T., Hillamo, R., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Chemical composition and sources of fine and coarse aerosol particles in the Eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Environ. 42(2008), 6542-6550, doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.04.010, 2008.

Kreidenweis, S.-M., Penner, J.-E., Yin, F., and Seinfeld, J.-H.: The effects of dimethylsulfide upon marine aerosol concentrations, Atm. Environ., Vol. 25A, No.11, pp. 2501-2511, 1991.

Kulmala, M~~. and Kerminen, V. M.: On the formation and growth ofatmospheric nanoparticles, Atmos. Res., 90, 132–150, 2008~~., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W., and McMurry, P.H.: Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of observations, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 143–176, 2004.

Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H.-E., Lehtipalo, K., Dal Maso, M., Aalto, P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K.-E.-J., Laaksonen, A., and Kerminen, V.-M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, Nat. Protocol., 7, 1651–1667, doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.091, 2012.

Lance, S., Nenes, A., Medina, J., and Smith, J.N.: Mapping the operation of the DMT continuous flow CCN counter, Aerosol Science and Technology, 40:4, 242-254, DOI: 10.1080/02786820500543290, 2006.

Lathem, T.L., and Nenes, A.: Water vapor depletion in the DMT continuous-flow CCN chamber: effects on supersaturation and droplet growth, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45:5, 604-615, DOI: 10.1080/02786826.2010.551146, 2011.

Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Footer

Leaitch, W.R., Strapp, J.W., and Isaac, G.A.: Cloud droplet nucleation and cloud scavenging of aerosol sulphate in polluted atmospheres, Tellus (1986), 38B, 328-344, 1986.

Leino, K., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H.E.,Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Intermediate ions as a strong indicator of new particle formation bursts in a boreal forest, Boreal Environ. Res., 21, 274–286, 2016.

Ma, N., Zhao, C., Tao, J., Wu, Z., Kecorius, S., Wang, Z., Größ, J., Liu, H., Bian, Y., Kuang, Y., Teich, M., Spindler, G., Müller, K., Pinxteren, D., Herrmann, H., Hu, M., and Wiedensohler, A.: Variation of CCN activity during new particle formation events ~~inthe~~in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 8593–8607, doi:10.5194/acp-16-8593-2016, 2016.

Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D.-V., Mann, G.-W., Pickering, S.-J., and Carslaw, K.-S.: Impact of nucleation on global CCN, Atmos. Chem. Phys., ~~9, 8601-8616~~10, 695-705, doi~~:~~.org/10.5194/acp-~~9-8601-2009-2009~~10-695-2010, 2010.

~~Meskhidze, N., Nenes~~Middlebrook, A~~., Conant, W. C~~.M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J.L., and ~~Seinfeld, J. H~~Canagaratna, M.R.: Evaluation of ~~a new Cloud Droplet Activation Parameterization withIn Situ~~Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer using Field Data, Aerosol Sci. Technol., ~~from CRYSTAL-FACE and CSTRIPE, J. Geophys.Res., 110, D16202~~46, 258–271, doi~~:~~.org/10.~~1029/2004JD005703, 2005~~1080/02786826.2011.620041, 2012.

Mihalopoulos, N., Stephanou, E., Kanakidou, M., Pilitsidis, S., and Bousquet, P.: Tropospheric aerosol ionic composition in the Eastern Mediterranean region, Tellus B, 49, 314–326, ~~1997.~~doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.49.issue3.7.x, 1997.

Morales, R. and Nenes, A.: Characteristic updrafts for computing distribution-averaged cloud droplet number and stratocumulus cloud properties, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D18220, doi:10.1029/2009JD013233, 2010.

Morales, R., Nenes, A., Jonsson, H., Flagan, R.-C. and Seinfeld, J.-H.: Evaluation of a diabatic droplet activation parameterization using in-situ cloud data, J. Geoph. Res., 116, D15205, doi:10.1029/2010JD015324, 2011.

Morales Betancourt, R. and Nenes, A.: Droplet activation parameterization: the population-splitting concept revisited, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2345–2357, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-2345-2014, 2014.

Nenes, A~~. and Seinfeld, J.~~., Chan, S., Abdul-Razzak, H., Chuang, P., and Seinfeld, J.H: Kinetic limitations on cloud droplet formation and impact on cloud albedo, Tellus 53B, 133-149, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v53i2.16569, 2001.

Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Footer

Nenes, A. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Parameterization of cloud droplet formation in global climate models, J. Geophys. Res, 108, 4415, doi:10.1029/2002JD002911, 2003.

Ng, N.-L., Herndon, S.-C., Trimborn, A., Canagaratna, M.-R., Croteau, P.-L., Onasch, T.-B., Sueper, D., Worsnop, D.-R., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y.-L., and Jayne, J.-T.: An Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM) for routine monitoring of the composition and mass concentrations of ambient aerosol, Aerosol Sci. Technol.,45, 770–784, doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.560211, 2011.

Lance, S., Nenes, A., Medina, J., and Smith, J. N.: Mapping the operation of the DMT continuous flow CCN counter, Aerosol Science and Technology, 40:4, 242-254, DOI: 10.1080/02786820500543290, 2006.

Lathem, T. L., and Nenes, A.: Water vapor depletion in the DMT continuous flow CCN chamber: effects on supersaturation and droplet growth, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45:5, 604-615, DOI: 10.1080/02786826.2010.551146, 2011.

Leino, K., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Intermediate ions as a strong indicator of new particle formation bursts in a boreal forest, Boreal Environ. Res., 21, 274–286, 2016.

O'Dowd, C.–D., Hämeri, K., Mäkelä, J.–M., Pirjola, L., Kulmala, M., Jennings, S.–G., Berresheim, H., Hansson, H.-C., Leeuw, G., Kunz, G.-J., Allen, A.-G., Hewitt, C.-N., Jackson, A., Viisanen, Y., and Hoffmann, T.: A dedicated study of new Particle Formation and Fate in the Coastal Environment (PARFORCE): Overview of objectives and achievements, J. Geophys. Res., 107, D19, 8108, doi:10.1029/2001JD000555, 2002.

Paasonen, P., Peltola, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Comprehensive analysis of particle growth rates from nucleation mode to cloud condensation nuclei in boreal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12085–12103, doi:10.5194/acp-18-12085-2018, 2018.

Peng, J.-F., Hu, M., Wang, Z.-B., Huang, X.-F., Kumar, P., Wu, Z.-J., Guo, S., Yue, D.-L., Shang, D.-J., Zheng, Z., and He L.-Y.: Submicron aerosols at thirteen diversified sites in China: size distribution, new particle formation and corresponding contribution to cloud condensation nuclei production, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 10249–10265, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10249-2014, 2014.

Petters, M. D. and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleusactivity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1961-1971, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1961-2007, 2007.

Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Footer

Pierce, J.-R. and Adams, P.-J.: Efficiency of cloud condensation nuclei formation from ultra-fine particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1367–1379, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1367-2007, 2007.

Pöschl, U., Martin, S.-T., Sinha, B., Chen, Q., Gunthe, S.-S., Huffman, J.-A., Borrmann, S., Farmer, D.-K., Garland, R.-M., Helas, G., Jinemez, J.-L., King, S.-M., Manzi, A., Mikhailov, E., Pauliquevis, T., Petters, M.-D., Prenni, A.-J., Roldin, P., Rose, D., Schneider, J., Su, H., Zorn, S.-R., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M.-O.: Rainforest aerosols as biogenic nuclei of clouds and precipitation in the Amazon, Science, Vol. 329, Issue 5998, pp. 1513-1516, DOI: 10.1126/science.1191056, 2010.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P.J., Kiehl, J.T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle, Science 294 (5549), 2119-2124, doi:10.1126/science.1064034, 2001.

Roberts, G.–C.-., and Nenes, A.: A Continuous-Flow Streamwise Thermal-Gradient CCN Chamber for Atmospheric Measurements, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 39, 206–221, 2005.

Rose, C., Sellegri, K., Moreno, I., Velarde, F., Ramonet, M., Weinhold, K., Krejci, R., Andrade, M., Wiedensohler, A., Ginot, P., and Laj, P.: CCN production by new particle formation in the free troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1529–1541, doi:10.5194/acp-17-1529-2017, 2017.

Rosenfeld, D., Kaufman, Y.-J, and Koren, I.: Switching cloud cover and dynamical regimes from open to closed Benard cells in response to the suppression of precipitation by aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2503-2511, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2503-2006, 2006.

Sciare, J., Oikonomou, K., Cachier, H., Mihalopoulos, N., Andreae, M.O., Maenhaut, W., and Sarda-Estève, R.: Aerosol mass closure and reconstruction of the light scattering coefficient over the Eastern Mediterranean Sea during the MINOS campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2253–2265, doi:10.5194/acp-5-2253-2005, 2005.

Seinfeld, J. and Pandis, S. (Eds.): Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, 2nd Edn., ~~JohnWiley~~John Wiley, edited by: Hoboken, N. J., ISBN: 978-0-471-72018-8,1232 pp., 2006.

Seinfeld, J.-H., Bretherton, C.-S., Carslaw, K.-S., Coe, H., DeMott, P.-J., Dunlea, E.-J., Feingold, G., Ghan, S.-J., Guenther, A.-B., Kahn, R.-A., Kracunas, I.-P., Kreidenweis, S.-M., Molina, M. J., Nenes, A., Penner, J.–E., Prather, K.–A., Ramanathan, V., Ramaswamy, V., Rasch, P.-J., Ravishankara, A.–R., Rosenfeld, D., Stephens, G., Wood R.: Improving Our Fundamental Understanding of the Role of Aerosol-Cloud Interactions in the Climate System, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci, 113, 21, 5781-5790, doi: 10.1073/pnas.151404311, 2016.

Sellegri, K., Pey, J., Rose, C., Culot, A., DeWitt, H.L., Mas, S., Schwier, A.N., Temime-Roussel, B., Charriere, B., Saiz-Lopez, A., Mahajan, A.S., Parin, D., Kukui, A., Sempere, R., D'Anna, B., and Marchand, N.: Evidence of atmospheric nanoparticle formation from

Formatted: Footer

emissions of marine microorganisms, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 6596-6603, doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069389, 2016.

Sihto, S.-L., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Ehn, M., Liao, L., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P.-P., Duplissy, J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Boy, M., and Kulmala, M.: Seasonal variation of CCN concentrations and aerosol activation properties in boreal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 13269–13285, doi:10.5194/acp-11-13269-2011, 2011.

Sipilä, M., Sarnela, N., Jokinen, T., Henschel, H., Junninen, H., Kontkanen, J., Richters, S., Kangasluoma, J., Franchin, A., Peräkylä, O., Rissanen, M.-P., Ehn, M., Vehkamäki, H., Kurten, T., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Worsnop, D., Ceburnis, D., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., and O' Dowd, C.: Molecular-scale evidence of aerosol particle formation via sequential addition of HIO_3, Nature, volume 537, pages 532–534, doi: 10.1038/nature19314, 2016.

Sotiropoulou, R.E.P, Nenes, A., Adams, P.J., Seinfeld, J.H. Cloud condensation nuclei prediction error from application of Kohler theory: Importance for the aerosol indirect effect, J.Geoph.Res., 112, D12202, doi:10.1029/2006JD007834, 2007.

Spracklen, D.-V., Carslaw, K.-S., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Sihto, S.-L., Riipinen, I., Merikanto, J., Mann, G.-W., Chipperfield, M.-P., Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., and Lihavainen, H.: Contribution of particle formation to global cloud condensation nuclei concentrations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L06808, doi:10.1029/2007GL033038, 2008a.

Spracklen, D.-V., Bonn, B., and Carslaw, K.-S.: Boreal forests, aerosols and the impacts of clouds and climate, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. A., 366, 4613–4626, 2008b.

Stein, A., F., Draxler, R.-R, Rolph, G.-D., Stunder, B.-J.-B., Cohen, M.D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 2059–2077, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2015.

Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosols effects on ~~cloudsand~~clouds and precipitation in a buffered system, Nature, 461, 607–613, doi:10.1038/nature08281, 2009.

Sullivan, S.C., Lee, D., Oreopoulos, L., and Nenes, A.: The role of updraft velocity in temporal variability of cloud hydrometeor number, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 113, 21, 5781-5790, doi:10.1073/pnas.1514043113, 2016.

Sullivan, R.-C., Crippa, P., Matsui, H., Leung, L.-R, Zhao, C., Thota, A., and Pryor, S.-C.: New particle formation leads to cloud dimming, Climate and Atmospheric Science (2018) 1:9; doi:10.1038/s41612-018-0019-7, 2018.

Tombrou, M., Bossioli, E., Kalogiros, J., Allan, J.-D., Bacak, A., Biskos, G., Coe, H., Dandou, A., Kouvarakis, G., Mihalopoulos, N., Percival, C.-J., Protonotariou, A.-P., and Szabó-Takács,

Formatted: Footer

B.: Physical and chemical processes of airmasses in the Aegean Sea during Etesians: Aegean-GAME airborne campaign, Sci. Total Environ., 506–507, 201–216, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.098, 2015.

~~Tunved, P., Hansson, H. C.,~~ Twomey, S.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 34, 1977.

~~Kerminen, V.-M., Ström, J., Dal Maso, M., Lihavainen, H., Viisanen, Y., Aalto, P. P., Komppula, M., and Kulmala, M.: High Natural Aerosol Loading Over Boreal Forests, Science, 312, 261–263, doi:10.1126/science.1123052, 2006.~~

Vaattovaara, P., Huttunen, P.-E., Yoon, Y.-J., Joutsensaari, J., Lehtinen, K.-E.-J., O'Dowd, C. D., and Laaksonen, A.: The composition of nucleation and Aitken modes particles during coastal nucleation events: evidence for marine secondary organic contribution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4601–4616, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4601-2006, 2006.

Wang, Q., Zhao, J., Du, W., Ana, G., Wang, Z., Sun, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, F., Li, Z., Ye, X., Sun, Y.: Characterization of submicron aerosols at a suburban site in central China. Atmos. Environ. 131, 115–12, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.01.054, 2016.

Westervelt, D.-M., Pierce, J.-R., Riipinen, I., Trivitayanurak, W., Hamed, A., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Decesari, S., and Adams, P.-J.: Formation and growth of nucleated particles into cloud condensation nuclei: model–measurement comparison, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7645–7663, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7645-2013, 2013.

Westervelt, D.-M., Pierce, J.-R., and Adams, P.-J.: Analysis of feedbacks between nucleation rate, survival probability and cloud condensation nuclei formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5577–5597, doi:10.5194/acp-14-5577-2014, 2014.

Wiedensohler, A, Chen, Y.-F., Nowak, A, Wehner, B., Achtert, P., Berghof, M., Birmili, W., Wu, Z.-J., Hu, M., Zhu, T., Takegawa, N., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Lou, S.-R., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Wahner, A., Gunthe, S.-S, Rose, D., Su, H., and Pöschl, U.: Rapid aerosol particle growth and increase ~~ofcloud~~of cloud condensation nucleus activity by secondary aerosol formation and condensation: A case study for regional air ~~pollutionin~~pollution in northeastern China, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00G08, doi:10.1029/2008JD010884, 2009.

Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., Nowak, A., Sonntag, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Wehner, B., Tuch, T., Pfeifer, S., Fiebig, M., Fjäraa, A.-M., Asmi, E., Sellegri, K., Depuy, R., Venzac, H., Villani, P., Laj, P., Aalto, P., Ogren, J.-A., Swietlicki, E., Williams, P., Roldin, P., Quincey, P., Hüglin, C., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Riccobono, F., Santos, S., Grüning, C., Faloon, K., Beddows, D., Harrison, R., Monahan, C., Jennings, S.-G., O'Dowd, C.-D., Marinoni, A., Horn, H.-G., Keck, L., Jiang, J., Scheckman, J., McMurry, P. H., Deng,

Z., Zhao, C.S., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Löschau, G., and Bastian, S.: Mobility particle size spectrometers: harmonization of technical standards and data structure to facilitate high quality long-term observations of atmospheric particle number size distributions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 657–685, doi:10.5194/amt-5-657-2012, 2012.

Wu, Z.J., Poulain, L., Birmili, W., Größ, J., Niedermeier, N., Wang, Z.-B., Herrmann, H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Some insights into the condensing vapors driving new particle growth to CCN sizes on the basis of hygroscopicity measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13071–13083, doi:10.5194/acp-15-13071-2015, 2015.

~~Zhang, Q., Stanier, C. O., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Pandis, S. N., and Jimenez, J. L.: Insights intothe Chemistry of New Particle Formation and Growth Events in Pittsburgh Based on Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, Environ. Sci.Technol., 38, 4797–4809, doi:10.1021/es035417u, 2004.~~

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

Formatted: Footer