Measuring Light Absorption by Organic Aerosols: Correction Factors for Solvent Extraction-Based Photometry Techniques
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Abstract

Recent studies have shown that organic aerosol (OA) could have a non-trivial role in atmospheric light absorption at shorter visible wavelengths. Good estimates of OA absorption are therefore necessary to accurately calculate radiative forcing due to these aerosols in climate models. One of the common techniques used to measure OA light absorption is the solvent extraction technique from filter samples which involves the use of a spectrophotometer to measure bulk absorbance of the solvent-soluble organic fraction of particulate matter. Measured bulk absorbance is subsequently converted to particle-phase absorption coefficient using correction factors. The appropriate correction factors to use for performing this conversion under varying scenarios of organic carbon (OC) to total carbon (TC) mass ratios has been an unexplored area of research. The conventional view is to apply a correction factor of 2 for water-extracted OA based on Mie calculations.

Here, we performed a comprehensive laboratory study involving three solvents (water, methanol, and acetone) to investigate the corrections factors for converting from bulk-to-particle phase
absorption coefficients \( \frac{b_{\text{abs,OA}}}{b_{\text{abs,bulk}}} \) for primary OA emitted from biomass burning. We parametrized these correction factors as a function of OC/TC mass ratio and single scattering albedo (SSA). We observed these correction factors to be a function of the OC/TC ratio of the aerosol, and that the conventionally used correction factor of 2 for water-extracted OA could severely underpredict OA absorption at high EC mass fractions. We recommend using \( \frac{b_{\text{abs,OA}}}{b_{\text{abs,bulk}}} \) values between 2 and 11 for water extracts and values between 1 and 4 for methanol extracts based on OC/TC ratios, for EC mass fractions less than 0.25. Furthermore, a linear correlation between SSA and OC/TC ratio was also established. Finally, from the spectroscopic data, we analyzed the differences in Absorption Ångström Exponents (AÅE) obtained from bulk- and particulate-phase measurements. We noted that AÅE from bulk measurements deviate significantly from their OA counterparts.

1 Introduction

Carbonaceous aerosols constitute a major short-lived climate pollutant, and even though they have been studied extensively in recent years, estimates of their contribution to shortwave radiative forcing remains highly uncertain (IPCC, 2013). Based on their thermal-refractory properties, carbonaceous aerosols are categorized as elemental carbon (EC) or organic carbon (OC) (Chow et al., 2007b; Bond et al., 2013), and the sum of OC and EC is referred to as total carbon (TC). When defined optically, the refractory EC component is approximately referred to as black carbon (BC) (Chow et al. 2007b; Bond et al., 2013); BC aerosol constitute the strongest of the light absorbing aerosol components in the atmosphere (Andreae and Gelencsér 2006; Ramanathan and Carmichael 2008; IPCC, 2013). While BC absorbs strongly in the visible spectrum, the contribution of OC towards absorption has largely been neglected, even though many studies have demonstrated significant OC absorption at lower visible wavelengths (Yang et
al., 2009; Chen and Bond, 2010; Chakrabarty et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2018). The atmospheric mass of OC can be 3-12 times larger than that of BC (Husain et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008) which warrants its inclusion as an atmospheric light absorber. Only recently have a few global modeling studies incorporated radiative forcing by organic aerosol (OA) absorption (Chung et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014). Thus, having accurate estimates for OA absorption is necessary to help improve climate models.

A convenient and prevalent methodology of measuring OA absorption is based on collecting aerosol particles on a filter substrate followed by extracting the organic compounds into a solvent. This is a good analytical method used in many studies as it excludes any interference from EC and provides only the OC absorption spectra (Mo et al., 2017; Chen and Bond, 2010; Liu et al., 2013). The absorbance of the organic chromophores in the solvent extract is measured using an ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer and the imaginary part of the complex refractive index of the solvent is calculated using the measured absorbance and extract concentrations. The absorbance values can be converted to corresponding bulk phase absorption coefficients ($b_{\text{abs,bulk}}$), and the imaginary index along with an assumed number size distribution can be used as inputs to Mie theory for calculating the absorption coefficient for the dissolved OC particles. Past studies have suggested that $b_{\text{abs,bulk}}$ is not representative of the corresponding particulate-phase organic aerosol absorption coefficient ($b_{\text{abs,OA}}$) (Liu et al., 2013; Moosmüller et al., 2011). The situation thus warrants appropriate correction factors be applied to account for the size-dependent absorption properties of OA when estimating $b_{\text{abs,OA}}$ using the solvent-extract methodology. Using absorption coefficients determined with Mie Theory, previous studies have determined a narrow range of solvent-dependent correction factors from 2 for water extracts to 1.8 for methanol extracts, all corresponding to a mean particle diameter of 0.5 μm (Liu et al.,...
These correction factors have been deemed relatively independent of particle size as long as the diameters do not get much smaller than the wavelength of light, in which case their values fall to a range of 0.69 - 0.75 (Sun et al., 2007). Further, these factors were calculated assuming homogeneous composition and external mixing states of the OC aerosol in addition to fixed values of the real part of the refractive index and effective density. No attempts have been made to quantify the variation in these correction factors with varying aerosol intrinsic properties, such as the single scattering albedo, and EC/OC ratios, even though these properties influence the types and fractions of organics extracted by a given solvent (Zhang et al., 2013; Saleh et al., 2014) thereby affecting \( b_{\text{abs,bulk}} \).

In-situ measurement of particulate-phase absorption coefficient is commonly and accurately accomplished using a photoacoustic spectrometer (PAS) (Lack et al., 2006; Arnott et al., 2005; Arnott et al., 2003). However, a single-wavelength PAS cannot distinguish between absorption by OC and BC aerosol and it typically measures the total particle-phase absorption coefficient \( b_{\text{abs,tot}} \) of the aerosol population in the cell (Moosmüller et al., 2009). One must make use of a multi-wavelength PAS using which \( b_{\text{abs,OA}} \) could be separated out from that of BC, based on the difference in their Absorption Ångström Exponent (AAE) (Washenfelder et al., 2015; Arola et al., 2011; Kirchstetter and Thatcher; 2012). The AAE for pure BC is well-constrained at 1 in the visible and near-infrared wavelengths (Moosmüller et al., 2009). The value of \( b_{\text{abs,OA}} \) is then the difference between \( b_{\text{abs,tot}} \) and the BC absorption coefficient. A correction factor \( \frac{b_{\text{abs,OA}}}{b_{\text{abs,bulk}}} \) can thus be determined, provided simultaneous measurements of bulk- and particle-phase absorption properties have been carried out during a study.
Here, we burnt a range of different biomass fuels under different combustion conditions and the resulting aerosol emissions were passed through various in-situ instruments while simultaneously being collected on quartz-fiber filters. The particle phase absorption coefficient was obtained using integrated photoacoustic-nephelometer spectrometers (IPNs) at wavelengths 375, 405 and 1047 nm. Organics collected on quartz-fiber filters were extracted in water, acetone, and methanol, and corresponding \(b_{\text{abs,bulk}}\) values were calculated. These values were compared with corresponding \(b_{\text{abs,OA}}\), and the change in \(b_{\text{abs,OA}}/b_{\text{abs,bulk}}\) with varying single scattering albedo (SSA) values and OC/TC ratios was established. SSA was parametrized with the OC/TC ratios with trends similar to those observed by Pokhrel et al., (2016). ÅÅE from spectroscopic data for bulk and particle phase measurements were compared, and the Mie Theory based correction factor was also verified for a few samples.

2 Methods:

2.1 Sample generation and collection

Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of our experimental setup, which consists of a sealed 21 m\(^3\) stainless-steel combustion chamber housing a fan for mixing and recirculation (Sumlin et al., 2018b). Aerosol samples were generated by burning several types of biomass including pine, fir, grass, sage, and cattle dung (sources are given in the Supplementary Information). During a chamber burn, 10-50 g of a given biomass was placed in a stainless-steel pan and ignited by a butane lighter. The chamber exhaust was kept closed for the duration of a given experiment. The biomass bed was either allowed to burn to completion or it was prematurely extinguished and brought to a smoldering phase by extinguishing the flame beneath a lid. The different combustion conditions were used to generate samples with varying properties: OC/TC ratios
ranged from 0.55-1, and SSA values ranged from 0.56-0.98 for wavelengths of 375, 405, and 1047 nm.

For one set of experiments, the particles were directly sampled from the chamber; in another set, the sampling was done from a hood placed over the burning biomass. A diffusion dryer removed excess water from the sample stream, and the gas-phase organics were removed by a pair of activated parallel-plate semi-volatile organic carbon (SVOC) denuders. The gas-phase organics were stripped to reduce artifacts produced by the adsorption of organic vapors on the quartz filters. The aerosols were finally sent to a 208-liter stainless-steel barrel, from which they were continuously sampled by the three IPNs and a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI, Inc.). The SMPS was not used in all the experiments, however, it gave an estimate of the range over which the size distributions varied and was used to determine the geometric mean of the size distribution. The real-time absorption and scattering coefficients were measured by the IPNs, and samples were simultaneously collected on quartz fiber filters once a steady state signal was achieved. The absorption and scattering coefficients were used to calculate the SSA, which is simply the scattering coefficient divided by the extinction coefficient. Radiative forcing calculations for absorbing OC require good estimates of OC absorption at different SSA values (Lin et al, 2014; Feng et al, 2013; Chakrabarty et al, 2010) underscoring the need for correction factors as a function of SSA. The particles were passed through the filter samplers at a flowrate of 5 lmin⁻¹, with sampling times ranging from 2-15 minutes. Two or more filters were collected for each steady state condition. One of these filters was used to determine the OC and EC fractions of the deposited particles, and the other filters were used for the extraction experiments.

2.2 Analytical Techniques

2.2.1 Absorption by solvent extracted OC
Quartz filters (Pallflex Tissuquartz) collected during sampling were split into four quarters, and each quarter was extracted using either deionized water, acetone, hexane, or methanol. The absorption by hexane extracts were low and prone to errors, so data for its extracts were not analyzed. The filters were placed in a covered beaker along with 3-5 ml of the solvent for 24 hours. The solvent volumes were measured both before and after the extraction and the differences between the two measurements were within 8%. The extracts were then passed through syringe filters with 0.22 μm pores to remove any impurities introduced by the extraction process.

The light absorbance of the extracts was measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Varian Inc., Cary 50) at wavelengths from 300 nm to 800 nm. To compare the absorbance \(A(\lambda)\) of the chromophores in the solution with the absorption coefficient of the particles in the atmosphere, all absorbance values were converted to solution-phase absorption coefficients at given wavelengths \(b_{\text{abs,bulk}}(\lambda)\):

\[
b_{\text{abs,bulk}}(\lambda) = \frac{A(\lambda) - A(700)}{V_a V_l} \cdot \ln(10),
\]

where \(V_l\) is the volume of solvent the filter was extracted into, \(V_a\) is the volume of air that passed over the given filter area, and \(l\) is the optical path length that the beam traveled through the cuvette (1 cm). The absorbance at a given wavelength is normalized to the absorbance at 700 nm to account for any signal drift within the instrument. The resulting absorption coefficient (m\(^{-1}\)) was multiplied by \(\ln(10)\) to convert from base 10 (provided by the UV-Vis spectrophotometer) to natural logarithms.

### 2.2.2 Absorption by BC and OC in particle phase
To estimate the BC absorption at 375 nm and 405 nm, the absorption data from the IPN operated in the infrared regime at a wavelength of 1047 nm was converted to equivalent particulate absorption at the near UV wavelengths, using a BC absorption Ångström exponent ($\text{AAC}_{\text{BC}}$) value of 1 (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006). The assumption here being that all the absorption at 1047 nm could be attributed to BC aerosol (Bahadur et al., 2012). The BC light absorption coefficient at shorter wavelengths ($b_{\text{abs,BC}}(\lambda_1)$) was calculated by:

$$b_{\text{abs,BC}}(\lambda_1) = b_{\text{abs,IPN}}(1047) \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{1047}\right)^{-\text{AAC}_{\text{BC}}},$$

where $\lambda_1$ is the wavelength at which the absorption will be calculated and AAC is defined for a pair of wavelengths $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ as the exponent in a power law expressing the ratio of the absorption coefficients as follows (Moosmüller et al., 2009):

$$\text{AAC}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{b_{\text{abs,IPN}}(\lambda_1)}{b_{\text{abs,IPN}}(\lambda_2)} \right)}{\ln \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)},$$

AAE is an optical descriptor of the inherent material property. For BC particles, typical values of AAE $\approx 1$, while for OC particles AAE $> 4$ (Moosmüller et al., 2009). The value of $b_{\text{abs,BC}}$ at 375 nm and 405 nm was then subtracted from $b_{\text{abs,tot}}$ at those wavelengths to calculate $b_{\text{abs,OA}}$. The ratio $b_{\text{abs,OA}}(\lambda)/b_{\text{abs,bulk}}(\lambda)$ was calculated to give the conversion factor for converting from the bulk solvent phase absorption to OA absorption coefficient.

The organic and elemental carbon compositions of the filters were measured with a thermal-optical OC/EC analyzer (Sunset Laboratory, Tigard, OR) using the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE)-A analysis method (Chow et al., 2007a). The
OC/TC ratios were assumed to be constant for a given steady state IPN reading, which allowed us to relate the absorption data to the OC/TC data.

2.3 Mie Theory Calculations

A commonly used method to correct for differences between the chromophore absorption in solution and aerosol particle absorption is by using Mie Theory (Liu et al., 2013; Washenfelder et al., 2015). The imaginary part \( k \) of the complex refractive index \( m = n + ik \) can be determined from the bulk solution absorption data and converted to an equivalent organic carbon particulate absorption, using Mie Theory along with a range of assumptions.

To find \( k \), the mass absorption efficiency \( (\alpha/\rho) \) was determined using the absorbance data and the OC mass concentration in the solution:

\[
\frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{\rho} = \frac{b_{abs,\text{bulk}}(\lambda)}{M},
\]

(4)

where \( b_{abs,\text{bulk}}(\lambda) \) is the bulk absorption coefficient determined in Eq. (1), and \( M \) is the mass concentration of OC in the solution. In the given study, the mass concentration was measured for some of the water extracts using a total organic carbon (TOC) analyzer (Shimadzu, TOC-L). The corresponding water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) was then used to estimate \( \alpha/\rho \) of the bulk solution. The calculated \( \alpha/\rho \) was then used to determine \( k \) for the WSOC by:

\[
k(\lambda) = \frac{\rho \lambda \left( \frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{\rho} \right)}{4\pi},
\]

(5)

where \( \lambda \) is the light wavelength at which \( k \) needs to be calculated, and \( \rho \) is the density of the dissolved organic compounds. A \( \rho \) value of 1.6 (Alexander et al., 2008) was used to calculate the \( k \) values, and also used in all subsequent calculations using density. A Mie based inversion
algorithm was used to extract the real part of the refractive index ($n$) using data from the SMPS and IPN (Sumlin et al., 2018a). A sensitivity analysis was performed by varying the $n$ value from 1.4 to 2, and the change in Mie calculated absorption was within 18%. The size distribution for the WSOC was estimated assuming the same geometric mean and standard deviation as that of the original aerosol, but with number concentrations calculated based on the extracted mass. Calculations for the number concentration are provided in the SI.

After the size distribution and complex refractive index were determined, they were used to calculate the absorption coefficient based on Mie Theory, which was then compared to $b_{\text{abs,bulk}}$ to determine Mie based correction factors for converting from bulk to particle phase absorption.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Correction factor as a function of Single Scattering Albedo

Fig. 2 shows the trends in $b_{\text{abs,OA}}(\lambda)/b_{\text{abs,bulk}}(\lambda)$ with varying SSA. The error bars account for uncertainties in IPN measurements, UV-Vis spectrophotometer measurements, flowrates for filter sampling, and extract volume measurements. The SSA values of fractal BC aggregates are between 0.3-0.4 for light wavelengths of 400 nm (Cheng et al., 2013), and due to this particularly low SSA of BC compared to OC, an increase in the BC content of the aerosol composition is expected with decreasing SSA. This relation is explored further in Section 3.2 and 3.3. Fig 2. also indicates that the light absorbed by methanol and acetone extracts were almost identical and would imply that the amount and type of OC extracted by the two solvents were similar, as seen in other studies as well (Chen and Bond, 2010; Wang et al., 2014). The differences between the conversion factors for water and methanol are discussed further in Section 3.3. The differences
between the mean values of \( b_{\text{abs,OA}(\lambda)}/b_{\text{abs,bulk}(\lambda)} \) at 375 and 405 nm were less than or close to the errors associated with them, hence any trends with wavelength were not explored.

The value of \( b_{\text{abs,OA}(\lambda)}/b_{\text{abs,bulk}(\lambda)} \) approached a constant in the measured range of data. A power law \( y = k_0 + k_1 x^{k_2} \) was used to fit the points in Fig. 2, and the corresponding fit parameters, along with root mean square error (RMSE) values, are listed in Table 1. The value of BC AÅE has a significant effect on the conversion factor for particles with SSA smaller than 0.7 at 375 nm and smaller than 0.825 at 405 nm. A sensitivity analysis was performed to observe the change in \( b_{\text{abs,OA}(\lambda)}/b_{\text{abs,bulk}(\lambda)} \) due to a change in BC AÅE from 0.85 to 1.1, which are typical values observed in other studies (Lack et al., 2008; Bergstrom et al., 2007; Lan et al., 2013). For the correction factors at 375 nm, on varying the AÅE we calculated a change of less than 14% for aerosols with SSA greater than 0.7, whereas a change as high as 200% was seen for particles with SSA less than 0.7. Similar changes in the correction factor were observed for SSA less than 0.825 at 405 nm. These fluctuations indicate that the current method is best suited for particles with relatively higher SSA values. The perforated lines in Fig. 2 separate points with high AÅE based fluctuations (at lower SSA values) from those that have relatively small variation with changes in the BC AÅE.

### 3.2 SSA parametrized with OC/TC

Fig. 3 shows the variation in SSA with change in the OC/TC ratio of the aerosol. The OC/TC ratio was determined using the IMPROVE-A TOR protocol with the thermal optical EC/OC analyzer at Sunset laboratories. The resulting fits for the plots in Fig. 3 are linear with correlation coefficients of 0.9 and 0.95 for wavelengths 375 nm and 405 nm respectively. A linear relation between the SSA and the EC/TC ratio (which is simply the OC/TC ratio subtracted from 1) was also observed by Pokhrel et al. (2016). However, when the data from that study were converted
to OC/TC values for comparison, it was noted that the slopes and intercepts of the resulting fits were different from those observed in this study. Table 2 has a list of the slope and intercept of fits for comparable wavelengths in both studies, along with the correlation coefficient.

In Fig. 3, the points corresponding to high OC/TC ratios are associated with SSA values that are close to 1, because pure OC aerosols are predominantly light-scattering. However, it is also noted that the aerosols with OC/TC of 1 have SSA values less than 1 at the measured wavelengths and the fit values indicate that the absorption has large spectral variation. The fit yielded SSA values of 0.86 and 0.95 at 375 and 405 nm respectively indicating that the aerosols can be categorized as brown carbon (Chakrabarty et al. 2010). Thus, it is useful to note that the fit parameters in Table 2 capture the effects of the brown carbon, because the SSA values for pure OC are below 1 at both wavelengths.

A useful conclusion from Fig. 3 is that the OC/TC ratio can predict the SSA of the aerosol even though information on size distributions, burn conditions, and fuel type are not used. This correlation, however, has high variations at OC/TC ratios very close to 1, where fuel type and burn conditions do dictate the composition and absorption properties (Chen and Bond, 2010; Budisulistiorini et al., 2017) of organics released and hence a larger range of SSA values exist for the given OC/TC value. Further studies need to be conducted using more fuels with a variety of distinct size distributions and burn conditions to determine the validity and exact parameters for the fit.

### 3.3 Correction factor as a function of OC/TC ratio

Fig. 4 depicts the variation in $b_{abs,OA}(\lambda)/b_{abs,bulk}(\lambda)$ with different OC/TC ratios. Because the OC/TC ratio and the SSA are well correlated, we expect to see a similar trend for Fig. 4 as in
Fig. 2. The correction factor for the three solvents approaches a constant as the OC/TC ratio decreases. However, it is important to note that the magnitude of the conversion factor could change due to uncertainties in the value of the AÅE used for the BC. A power law like the one in Fig. 2 was fit to the data in Fig. 4. The fit parameters for the different solvents at the two wavelengths, along with the RMSE value for each fit, are presented in Table 3. The errors due to uncertainty in BC AÅE are more pronounced at higher EC fractions, leading to high fluctuations in correction factors at OC/TC ratios below 0.75. Thus, the correction factors determined by this method are valid primarily for aerosols with EC fraction < 0.25.

We also fit an exponential curve to \( \frac{b_{abs,OA}(\lambda)}{b_{abs,bulk}(\lambda)} \) as a function of EC/OC values (\( y = k_0 + k_1 \cdot (1 - \exp(- (EC/OC)/k_2)) \)). The fits had comparable RMSE values, but because strong relationships between the SSA and OC/TC ratios were previously established, the plots described here continue along those lines. The curves plotted with EC/OC, along with the parameters for the fits, are provided in the SI.

The differences in the magnitudes of the correction factors between acetone/methanol extracts and water extracts increase as the EC composition of the aerosols increases. A change in the absorption properties of OC at different EC/OC ratios was observed by Saleh et al. (2014) which could explain the increasing difference in the magnitude of \( \frac{b_{abs,OA}}{b_{abs,bulk}} \) between water and methanol/acetone extracts. The increasing difference in absorbance between water and methanol with increasing EC content would indicate a decrease in the total absorbing WSOC compared to total absorbing OC. An increase in the amount of water insoluble organic carbon with increasing EC content of the aerosol was also observed by Zhang et al. (2013), which strengthens our hypothesis for a steeper increase in \( \frac{b_{abs,OA}(\lambda)}{b_{abs,bulk}(\lambda)} \) of water extracts as compared to methanol with increasing BC mass fraction of the aerosol.
3.4 Variations in AÅE with solvents and OC/TC ratios

The AÅE values, for organics extracted in different solvents and those obtained from $b_{\text{abs,OA}}$ are compared in Table 4. The AÅE values were calculated between $\lambda = 375$ and 405 nm from spectrophotometer data. Consistent with previous studies (Chen and Bond, 2010; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013), the AÅE values of the water extracts were larger than the AÅE of the acetone and methanol extracts. The lower AÅE values for the methanol and acetone extracts compared to water would indicate that the former two solvents are better at extracting the higher molecular weight compounds than water, and they can thus absorb more light at longer wavelengths, leading to smaller AÅE.

The AÅE values calculated for OA ranged from 4.4 to 14.61 and overall the AÅE for OA measurements decreased with increasing EC mass fraction in the aerosol. The AÅE calculated for OC in both the particle- and bulk-phase decreased at lower OC/TC ratios indicating a drastic decrease in the spectral dependence of OC co-emitted with BC. Table 4 shows that AÅE values for OA were greater than or close to their bulk counterparts at OC/TC ratios close to 1. These bulk measurements of AÅE suggest that they deviate significantly from the spectral dependence of OC in the particle phase, and future studies and models should not use AÅE data from bulk measurements to be representative of the particle phase.

3.4 Mie Calculations

The absorption coefficient determined from the bulk absorbance using Eq. (1) was compared to the absorption coefficient calculated using Mie theory for three samples of smoldering sage. The EC/OC analysis (IMPROVE-A protocol) determined that these samples consisted purely of OC,
and because the SMPS measurements and TOC analysis were not performed on all samples, the
three samples of sage were considered optimum for the Mie calculations.

The Mie based correction factors for bulk to particulate absorption for the three samples are
presented in Table 5. The calculated correction factors at 375 nm and 405 nm are close to 2 as
observed in previous studies (Liu et al., 2013; Washenfelder et al., 2015). The values for the
correction factor vary from 1.99 to 2.05 at 375 nm and 2.15 to 2.29 at 405 nm. However, it is
important to note that the correction factor of 2 cannot be used for all conditions, as observations
from Fig. 2 and Fig. 4 indicate that the Mie theory based correction factor might severely
underestimate the absorption by the total absorbing OA particles in accumulation mode.

4 Conclusions

Under controlled laboratory conditions, we determined the factor required for converting the
absorption coefficient of bulk solvent extracts to particle phase absorption coefficients for
organic aerosol emissions from biomass combustion. We combusted a range of different
wildland fuels under different combustion conditions, generating a span of different SSA and
OC/TC values. The SSA values ranged from 0.55 to 0.87 at 375 nm, and from 0.69 to 0.95 at
405 nm, the OC/TC values ranged from 0.55 to 1. We observed that the conversion factor tends
towards a constant with increasing EC content for the range of SSA and OC/TC analyzed, and
these factors were parametrized with the SSA and OC/TC of the total aerosol for three solvents
(water, methanol, and acetone). We also demonstrated that the SSA and OC/TC ratios can be
well parametrized with a linear fit that captures the effects of brown carbon aerosol. We analyzed
the validity of the conventionally used correction factor of 2 for water extracts of aerosol
particles in accumulation mode and noted that, while the factor is reproducible, its use can
significantly underestimate OA absorption. We recommend using \( \frac{b_{abs,OA}}{b_{abs,bulk}} \) values between
2 and 11 for water extracts and values between 1 and 4 for methanol extracts based on OC/TC ratios for EC mass fractions less than 0.25.

For future studies, a better technique to quantify BC absorption at lower wavelengths, such as a thermodenuder to strip off all OC, or a single particle soot photometer along with core-shell Mie calculations to determine BC absorption can be used to decrease uncertainties from BC ÅÅE. Here, BC is assumed to be externally mixed with OC; correction factors accounting for BC absorption enhancement can be explored to improve estimates of b_{abs,OA}/b_{abs,bulk}. Zhang et al. (2013) observed lower ÅÅE for WSOC from a particle into liquid sampler (PILS) than for methanol extracts. The hypothesis was that the highly dilute environment in PILS increased dissolution of organics in water. The validity and reason for lower ÅÅE from PILS data can be explored in future studies along with finding corresponding differences in b_{abs,OA}/b_{abs,bulk}.
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Figures and Tables:

Fig. 1: A schematic representing the experimental setup. The aerosol emissions were either sampled directly from the chamber wall or through a hood placed directly above the combusting biomass.
Fig. 2: Variation in $b_{abs, OA}/b_{abs, bulk}$ with change in the SSA at (a) 375 nm and (b) 405 nm. The error bars represent one standard deviation from the mean. The perforated lines separate points at lower SSA, which have high fluctuations with changing BC AAE, from the data at high SSA.
Fig. 3: SSA at (a) 375 nm and (b) 405 nm as a function of the OC/TC ratio. The solid red lines are linear fits to the data. Pearson’s r values are given at the bottom right in each plot, and the parameters for the fits are listed in Table 2.
Fig. 4: The values of $b_{\text{abs,OA}}/b_{\text{abs,bulk}}$ plotted with the OC/TC ratio, instead of the SSA, as in Fig. 2.
Table 1: Fit coefficients for $\frac{b_{abs,OA}(\lambda)}{b_{abs,bulk}(\lambda)}$ as a function of SSA ($y = k_0 + k_1 (SSA)^{k_2}$) for tested solvents and the fuels analyzed in this study along with the RMSE value for each fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Fit Parameters</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_0$</td>
<td>$k_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>-19.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>-50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>-41.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>-52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>-18.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-29.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Fit coefficients along with 95% confidence intervals in brackets for plots of SSA v/s OC/TC ratios (y = m (OC/TC) + c) for the different biomass fuels used in this study, and parameters for fits from Pokhrel et al. (2016) for 405 nm, along with the Pearson’s r value for each linear fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>m (±)</th>
<th>c (±)</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>0.585 (±0.040)</td>
<td>0.281 (±0.036)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405</td>
<td>0.475 (± 0.023)</td>
<td>0.475 (± 0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pokhrel</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>0.874 (±0.049)</td>
<td>0.036 (±0.042)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Fit parameters for ratios of the absorption coefficient of organics in the particle phase to the absorption coefficient of the bulk phase, as a function of the OC/TC ratio \( y = k_0 + k_1 \frac{OC}{TC} + k_2 \) for the fuels analyzed in this study, along with the RMSE value for each fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Fit Parameters</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>10.71 -5.13 24.32</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>4.26 -2.6 48.11</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4.26 -2 53.6</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>12.89 -9.02 21.06</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>4.29 -3.28 31.5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4.96 -3.39 40.85</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: The AÅE of OA from various fuels extracted in water, acetone, and methanol, along with the AÅE calculated for $b_{abs,OA}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>OC/TC ratio</th>
<th>AÅE$_{375-405}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dung</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>10.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Correction factors for bulk solution absorption to particle phase absorption, based on Mie Theory calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>Geometric standard deviation</th>
<th>Mie based Correction Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>375 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sage</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>