

Interactive comment on “Effects of air pollution control policies on PM_{2.5} pollution improvement in China from 2005 to 2017: a satellite based perspective” by Zongwei Ma et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 26 February 2019

General comments The paper provides a useful overview of recent air quality control policies in China, while using an independent source of data to assess their efficacy. A statistical method is used to correlate satellite retrievals of Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) to ground level PM_{2.5} in China, by correlating AOD with meteorological data, fire spots and forest cover. It uses the large network of Chinese measurement stations to verify the model. The 2013 model, which was developed in another paper (Ma et al 2016) is used to project the concentration of PM_{2.5} backwards to 2005, while a separate model is developed each year for 2014 - 2017. This gives a 13-year PM_{2.5} dataset with complete spatial and temporal coverage for 2005 – 2017, which is then used to assess the success of China’s air quality control policy that underwent significant changes during

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



this period. Linear trends are calculated for the periods corresponding to specific policies (e.g. Five Year Plans). Calculated PM_{2.5} concentrations are also compared with official government data, to verify that targets were met. While this retrospective analysis of the success of China's control of PM_{2.5} pollution is very useful, the authors need to ensure that they acknowledge the role that inter-annual variation in meteorology may play in these trends.

Specific Comments Abstract The majority of the abstract summarises the discussion section. A brief description of the two stage statistical model, including its predictors could be added. Intro P3, L23: It may be worth adding a sentence that briefly explains what the 'scaling method' is. There is a citation to Liu 2014 to back up the statement that, "Compared to the scaling method, statistical models have greater prediction accuracy but require large amount ground-measured PM_{2.5} data to develop the statistical models (Liu, 2014)". However, there is not a reference that corresponds to the "Liu, 2014" citation. Since the justification of method choice relies on this reference, it should be added before the paper is reviewed again. Overview of air pollution control policies in China from 2005 to 2017 This section is a very broad summary of the actions within Five Year Plans and other major government directives that are relevant to air pollution control. The specific policies (e.g. 'Implement desulphurization and denitration facilities for coal-fired power sector and major industrial sectors') are summarised in Table 1, along with the metrics by which the policies' success will be judged. It may be useful to, where possible, cite government press releases/reports or literature that assess the success of these policies. However, the text in this section does not make any mention of the policies listed in Table 1. It would be useful for the reader for some information from Table 1 to be synthesised into this section, along with citations to previous studies that have attempted to assess the success of these policies (e.g. Schreifels et al, 2012) P5, L13. It may be worth defining what China's 'new air quality standard' here, where it is first mentioned. It may be useful to provide the old air quality standard, and the name of the standard (GB 3095-2012). Currently the actual threshold number of China's air quality standard is first referenced of P13, L10 in

the conclusion. Data and Method P6, L19: Paper uses PM_{2.5} data from the CNEMC. Other papers, (e.g. Rohde and Muller (2015); Liu et al (2016)) have noted quality issues with this data. Were any quality control procedures applied to this data? Since the ground monitoring stations are typically within urban areas, could this bias the statistical model so that the PM_{2.5} predictions for non-urban areas is inaccurate? Why use the updated data to create separate statistical models for 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017, yet only use the 2013 model to project back the PM_{2.5}? Why should the 2013 model be more appropriate than the other years? Why not combine all the years where measurements are available? How is it justified to fit the model separately to the data in each province? Isn't using province boundaries somewhat arbitrary? Many other studies of trends in atmospheric concentrations use a non-parametric trend estimator such as the Thiel-Sen slope estimator. The authors should justify their choice of the least squares regression to estimate the slope of the trend. In the results section, and Figures 6 & 7, a p threshold of 0.1 is mentioned, but you do not mention in the methods which statistical test you used to check the significance of your trends. Some of these questions about the methodology can be answered by reading the author's previous Ma et al 2016 paper, which is published in Environmental Health Perspectives. I recommend the authors reduce their reliance on referring to this previous paper, so that the methods section in the current paper can be understood without referring to another paper which the reader will not necessarily have access to. P5, L26: Is it useful to the reader to list 9 studies that have referenced your previous paper? This list includes studies that this paper's co-authors are also co-authors on. Results and Discussions Is it really useful to compare the PM_{2.5} trend with the corresponding FYP policies? This suggests that policies have immediate effects, and that they are the main contributor to the trends in PM_{2.5}. There are other important confounding factors such as inter-annual variation in meteorology, China's economic output etc. May be best to avoid statements on the effectiveness of certain policies, or mention the above caveats in the conclusion. I suggest the authors add a comparison of their results with other research that quantifies the trend in PM_{2.5} derived AOD in China, such as Lin et al., 2017. It

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

may be interesting to perform a non-linear trend analysis on this dataset in certain key regions (e.g. Jing-Jin-Ji or PRD). As you break down the trend into multiple overlapping periods of different lengths, it is difficult to get an overall impression of the rises and falls in the trend in different regions. Alternatively, a figure could be added with the yearly or monthly deseasonalised PM_{2.5} (averaged by different regions). I suggest the authors also mention the possibility of contribution of natural sources of aerosol to the trends. At P10, L16, the authors mention that the majority of the trend in PM_{2.5} during 2010-2013 are driven by decreases in Xinjiang and Central Inner Mongolia, which are both desert regions where the PM_{2.5} likely has a high dust component. This can be seen in your results. For example in panel (e) of Figure 7, where the western half of the Taklamakan desert has a strong positive trend, despite it being unlikely that there are large changes in emissions in this unpopulated region. Minor comments P3, L8: "However, the Chinese government did not realize the PM_{2.5} issues until 2012." This sentence seems disingenuous and qualitative so should be removed or rephrased. P4, L6: Remove or replace the word 'preliminary' P5 L14. "These policies indicated that the air pollution control in China began to focus on air quality improvement." This sentence could be rephrased, as it is currently seems tautological. P10, L22: The sentence "As the further development of social economic, the ECER policy had shown its bottleneck for PM_{2.5} reductions." does not make sense. Bottleneck may be the wrong word to describe this. P12, L25. Change 'to addressed' to "to address." P13, L6. 'Temporal' is not the right word here. Should be temporary? References Lin, C. Q., Liu, G., Lau, A. K. H., Li, Y., Li, C. C., Fung, J. C. H., & Lao, X. Q. (2018). High-resolution satellite remote sensing of provincial PM_{2.5} trends in China from 2001 to 2015. *Atmospheric Environment*, 180, 110-116. Liu, Jianzheng, Weifeng Li, and Jie Li. "Quality screening for air quality monitoring data in China." *Environmental pollution* 216 (2016): 720-723. Rohde, Robert A., and Richard A. Muller. "Air pollution in China: mapping of concentrations and sources." *PloS one* 10.8 (2015): e0135749. Schreifels, Jeremy J., Yale Fu, and Elizabeth J. Wilson. "Sulfur dioxide control in China: policy evolution during the 10th and 11th Five-year Plans and lessons for the future." *Energy Policy* 48 (2012):

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

779-789.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1191>, 2018.

ACPD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

