

Referee report acp-2018-1164 Revised Manuscript (March 2019)

The authors should be applauded for their efforts to improve their manuscript. Based on the reply to reviewers' comments and suggestions, I think the scientific soundness and readability of the manuscript has been improved significantly. Because track change was not used and the point-by-point response did not employ line numbers to indicate the revision, I could not find time to compare the original submission and revised manuscript in a line-by-line fashion. However, I believe the presentation still has much room of improvement, for example, the title, captions of some figures/tables, and the main body, including some over-reaching statements as pointed out last time. In case there is another chance that authors could improve their manuscript, I am willing to provide detailed comments and suggestions.