Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1121-RC1, 2019 @ Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



ACPD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Satellite Data Reveals a Common Combustion Emission Pathway for Major Cities in China" by Wenfu Tang et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 11 January 2019

A very interesting approach is shown to analyse the ratio of CO/NOx and SO2/NOx spatially over megacities and its development over time. The manuscript is basically well-written but it contains some carelessness, which I will mention below.

Page 1, Line 15-19 [Our resultsrelative to 2005]: This sentence is very confusing and ambiguously written. A range of ratios is given, but 4 cities are mentioned, it is relative to 2005 and the sentence is ending with an dependent clause. I suggest to split-up this sentence and give a some more explanation.

Page 1, Line 20 [...sectors in Shanghai and Shenzen...]: Only Shanghai and Shenzen are mentioned. What about Shenyang and Beijing?

Page 4, line 21, Page 5, line 14: If you are looking at a 2 x 2 degree area around cities

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



in China, does this not lead to overlap, for instance, in the case of Guangzhou and Shenzhen.

Page 7, line 18: The results start with Figure 3, while Figure 2 is mentioned later. This is unusual, but moreover I think the storyline of your paper becomes clearer if you start with explaining Figure 2 first.

Page 7, line 24-28: When I compare the numbers of the given ratios with Figure 3, the unit reads %/year instead of %. The rate is in fact an annual rate.

Page 7, line 31 (also on Page 10, line 7): Which four levels of development do you mean ? These development within cities is a very important aspect of the paper, nevertheless the four levels are not discussed nor defined.

Page 8, line 14: Here a reference to Figure 3a is made. However, Figure 3a is not defined while the first subfigure is about Shenyang.

Page 11, line 17: The reference has been forgotten here.

Appendix A, page 15, line 17: "..the fractional contribution of x emission sector f." Change to "..the fractional contribution of emission sector f for species x."

Appendix B: The estimation of H depends on a-prior information because it is an underdetermined problem. Can you also give an indication how much information is coming from the measurements and how much of the a-priori?

Figure 3: - The grey area is very hard to see in this Figure. - It would also be helpful if the underlying data points of the fit are plotted in the Figure as has been done in Figure 2. - The error bars mentioned in the caption are missing.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1121, 2018.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

