

***Interactive comment on “Seasonality in the  $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$  measured in urban aerosols highlights an additional oxidation pathway for atmospheric  $\text{SO}_2$ ” by D. Au Yang et al.***

**Whitehill**

whitehill.andrew@epa.gov

Received and published: 11 December 2018

This is an excellent article that provides additional data to the evolving picture of tropospheric sulfate isotope signatures (and the processes that affect them). I would like to see more discussion about the  $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$  values of the initial  $\text{SO}_2$  and more justifications / measurements / citations for these assumptions. Iron production in the Quebec / Ontario region of Canada is a high emitter of sulfur dioxide. My first order assumption would be that processing iron from Archean banded iron formations would release  $\text{SO}_2$  with non mass dependent isotope signatures.  $\text{SO}_2$  signatures from this region can be transported long distances and may contribute to non mass dependent isotope

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



signatures significantly downwind (e.g. Boston, MA). It would be useful to understand your reasoning as to why either (1) SO<sub>2</sub> emitted from processing iron from banded iron formations will not produce non mass dependent SO<sub>2</sub> or (2) this is not a substantial source of SO<sub>2</sub> for this region and will not affect the observed isotope signatures. You invoke complex reactions (e.g. SO<sub>2</sub> photooxidation and stabilized Criegee intermediates) without constraining the SO<sub>2</sub> source signature. A mixing of SO<sub>2</sub> from different sources would have different d<sub>34</sub>S (and likely D<sub>33</sub>S and D<sub>36</sub>S) values and may contain seasonality as observed in this study.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1091>, 2018.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)