# **Responses to Comments from Reviewer and Editor**

Thanks for the comments and suggestions. The point-by-point response is given below, in **bold**.

The discussion section could be moved earlier in the paper (before the conclusions section) - this would be a more usual structure and would help give the conclusions more prominence.

# R: Agree, it is moved.

Following my previous comment on calculating the CDNC, the gridbox mean values are not really suitable for calculating the CDNC as the calculation is highly non-linear. Doing the calculation using the joint histogram does not require that much more work, but will produce better results. This may not change the results much, but it might be a good idea for future studies at the very least. I have attached a plot of the mean CDNC in March-April-May over the study region, calculated from the MODIS L3 histograms for the period 2006-2010 (the data I had easily available). The plot on the left shows the mean CDNC across the nearby region.

R: Thanks. We very much appreciate the illustrated plot. We have followed the suggestion using the joint histogram data; see Section 2.1.3 and all the CDNC values and relevant tables/figures have been changed. As pointed out by the Reviewer, the main conclusions remain.

The English in the paper could still use some improvement, although the meaning is usually clear. I have not gone through the paper again to highlight all the changes, but they seem to be primarily in the new sections.

# R: We have polished the manuscript.

Other comments:

L307 - The SH is defined correctly earlier as the specific humidity, rather than the ``water vapor content"

# R: The sentence is deleted.

L434 - The cases of heavy rainfall using CDNC seem more extreme - then at L440 - the change in rainfall intensity is not significant. Please clarify this

R: The "extreme" did not mean the rainfall intensity, but the bigger difference between clean and polluted cases using CDNC. Since we re-calculated the CDNC, and accordingly this sentence is now meaningless and has been deleted. L639 - 'accumulate more water in the cloud' - Is this a reference to some kind of Albrecht (1989) type effect? If so, it might be good to state it (although an earlier start to precipitation does not match this). If not, it would also be good to state it (and explain what it is).

R: Our results indicate that the CF, COT and CWP become larger when AOD/CDNC increases (Sect. 4.1) and that the liquid CER becomes larger when moisture increases in the polluted environment (Sect. 4.2), we suggest that more aerosols can serve as CCN, which in a moisture sufficient environment can hold more liquid water in the cloud. Therefore, it is not the same as the second indirect effect on cloud extent and lifetime as in Albrecht (1989), which states that, for a fixed liquid water path, the increased CCN would lead to smaller cloud particle sizes and thus suppression of precipitation and prolonging of the cloud lifetime. The sentence is modified accordingly, see L410-412 and L468-470.

L654(and associated section) - CDNC is not CCN. As the editor has pointed out, in an updraft limited regime, variations in CDNC come primarily from changes in updraft. Just stating that you are using CDNC to represent CCN does not make CDNC actually represent CCN.

R: Yes, it is re-written, see L427 and L432.

L694 - Following from my comments in previous reviews - The relationship is not completely meaningless, just difficult to interpret. Also, this sentence does not make much sense to me - you state that this comparison cannot be done, then use it to infer the role of aerosols. I would suggest you leave this sentence out, you have plenty of other results without relying on this.

**R:** Agree, the sentence is deleted.

L853 - It is not clear the large scale updraft is a good measure of the in-cloud updraft relevant for droplet formation.

R: Agree, we have modified the statement, see L550-553.

1	An observational study of the effects of aerosols on diurnal variation of heavy rainfall
2	and associated clouds over Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei
3	
4	Siyuan Zhou <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Jing Yang <sup>1,2*</sup> , Wei-Chyung Wang <sup>3</sup> , Chuanfeng Zhao <sup>4</sup> , Daoyi Gong <sup>1,2</sup> , Peijun Shi <sup>1,2</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Process and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal University, China
7	<sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Environmental Change and Natural Disaster, Faculty of Geographical Science, Beijing
8	Normal University, China
9	<sup>3</sup> Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York, Albany, New York 12203, USA
10	<sup>4</sup> College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University, China
11	
12	
13	Submitted to ACP
14	Oct 2018
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31 22	
32 22	*Correspondence to: ling Vang. State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Dracess and Descurse Ecology/ Key
37	Laboratory of Environmental Change and Natural Disaster, Equility of Geographical Science, Poiiing Normal
34 25	Laboratory of Environmental Change and Natural Disaster, Faculty of Geographical Science, Belling Normal
33	University, 19# Anijiekouwai Sueet, naturan District, Deijing 1008/3, Unina. E-mail: yangjing@bhu.edu.ch

36 Abstract: Our previous study found that the observed rainfall diurnal variation over Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei 37 shows distinct signature of the effects of pollutants. Here we used the hourly rainfall data together with satellite-based daily information of aerosols and clouds to further investigate changes in heavy rainfall and 38 39 clouds associated with aerosol changes. Because of the strong coupling effects, we also examined the 40 sensitivity of these changes to moisture (specific humidity) variations. For heavy rainfall, three distinguished 41 characteristics are identified: earlier start time, earlier peak time, and longer duration; and the signals are 42 robust using aerosol indicators based on both aerosol optical depth and cloud droplet number concentration. In-depth analysis reveals that the first two characteristics occur in the presence of (absorbing) black carbon 43 44 aerosols and that the third is related to more (scattering) sulfate aerosols and sensitive to moisture abundance. 45 Cloud changes are also evident, showing increases in cloud fraction, cloud top pressure, the liquid/ice cloud optical thickness and cloud water path, and decrease in ice cloud effective radius; and these changes are 46 insensitive to moisture. Finally, the mechanisms for heavy rainfall characteristics are discussed and 47 48 hypothesized.

49 Key words: aerosol, heavy rainfall, diurnal variation, cloud, Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, observational study

50

#### 51 1. Introduction

Aerosols modify the hydrologic cycle through direct radiative and indirect cloud adjustment effects (IPCC, 52 53 2013). The direct effect, through absorbing and scattering solar radiation, leads to heating in the atmosphere 54 (e.g. Jacobson 2001; Lau et al. 2006) and cooling on the surface (Lelieveld and Heintzenberg 1992; Guo et al. 2013; Yang et al., 2018), causing changes in atmospheric vertical static stability and subsequently modulation 55 of rainfall (e.g. Rosenfeld et al. 2008). On the other hand, water-soluble aerosols serving as cloud 56 condensation nuclei (CCN) affect the warm-rain and cold-rain processes through influencing the cloud droplet 57 size distributions, cloud top heights and other cloud properties (Jiang et al., 2002; Givati and Rosenfeld 2004; 58 Chen et al., 2011; Lim and Hong 2012; Tao et al., 2012). For Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) the significant 59 60 increase in pollution in recent decades has raised issues concerning aerosol-radiation-cloud-precipitation interactions. While the impact of aerosols on light rainfall or warm-rain processes is in general agreement 61 62 among studies for this region (e.g., Qian et al., 2009), the uncertainties of the effects on heavy convective 63 rainfall are still large (Guo et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016).

The clouds that can generate heavy convective rainfall in BTH region usually contain warm clouds, cold clouds and mixed-phase clouds (e.g. Guo et al., 2015). Because the aerosol-cloud interactions in different types of clouds are distinct (Gryspeerdt et al., 2014b), aerosol indirect effect during heavy rainfall is more complicated than its direct effect (Sassen et al., 1995; Sherwood, 2002; Jiang et al., 2008, Tao et al., 2012). For warm clouds, by serving as CCN that nucleates more cloud droplets, aerosols can increase cloud albedo so called albedo effect or Twomey effect (Twomey, 1977), lengthen the cloud lifetime so called lifetime effect



70 (Albrecht, 1989), and enhance thin cloud thermal emissivity so called thermal emissivity effect (Garrett and 71 Zhao, 2006). The above effects tend to increase the cloud microphysical stability and suppress warm-rain processes (Albrecht 1989; Rosenfeld et al. 2014). For cold clouds and mixed-phase clouds, many studies 72 73 reported that the cloud liquid accumulated by aerosols is converted to ice hydrometeors above the freezing 74 level, which invigorates deep convective clouds and intensifies heavy precipitation so called invigoration 75 effect (Rosenfeld and Woodley, 2000; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Lee et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2014). The Twomey 76 effect infers that aerosols serving as CCN that increase the cloud droplets could reduce cloud droplet size within a constant liquid water path (Twomey, 1977). However, the opposite results of relationship between 77 78 aerosols and cloud droplet effective radius were reported in observations (Yuan et al., 2008; Panicker et al., 79 2010; Jung et al., 2013; Harikishan et al., 2016; Qiu et al., 2017), which might be related with the moisture 80 supply near the cloud base (Yuan et al., 2008; Qiu et al., 2017). Besides, the influence of aerosols on ice clouds also depends upon the amount of moisture supply (Jiang et al., 2008). Therefore, how the aerosols 81 82 modify the heavy convective rainfall and associated cloud changes does not reach a consensus, particularly if 83 considering the different moisture conditions.

84 Heavy convective rainfall over BTH region usually occurs within a few hours, thus studying on the 85 relationship between aerosols and rainfall diurnal variation could deepen our understanding of aerosol effects on heavy rainfall. Several previous studies have found that aerosols are related to the changes of the rainfall 86 diurnal variation in other regions (Kim et al., 2010; Gryspeerdt et al., 2014b; Fan et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016; 87 88 Lee et al., 2016). However, the above studies do not address the change of cloud properties and its sensitivity 89 to different conditions of moisture supply. Although our recent work over BTH region (Zhou et al. 2018) 90 attempted to remove the meteorological effect including circulation and moisture and found that the peak of heavy rainfall shifts earlier on the polluted condition, it only excluded the extreme moisture conditions and 91 focused on aerosol radiative effect on the rainfall diurnal variation. Therefore, this study aims to deepen the 92 93 previous study (Zhou et al., 2018) through investigating the following questions: (1) how do aerosols 94 (including absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols) modify the behaviors of the heavy rainfall diurnal variation (start time, peak time, duration and intensity)? And what is the role of moisture in them? (2) how do 95 aerosols influence the associated cloud properties with inclusion of moisture? To solve above questions, we 96 used aerosol optical depth (AOD) as a macro indicator of aerosol pollution and cloud droplet number 97 98 concentration (CDNC) as a micro indicator of CCN served by aerosols respectively to compare the 99 characteristics of heavy rainfall diurnal variation and associated cloud properties between clean and polluted 100 conditions, and applied aerosol index (AI) to distinguish the different effects of absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols. In addition, we used the specific humidity (SH) at 850 hPa as an indicator of moisture 101 102 condition to investigate the possible role of moisture in the relationship between aerosols and rainfall or 103 clouds, The paper is organized as following: The data and methodology are introduced in Sect. 2. Section 3 104 addresses the relationship between aerosol pollution and diurnal variation of heavy rainfall, covering the 105 distinct characteristics of heavy rainfall on clean/polluted condition; the different behaviors of heavy rainfall

	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: associated
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: supply
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
/	已删除: effects
/ /	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: on
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: and
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: and compared them with the effects
	of aerosols
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: using AOD and CDNC

- 114 diurnal variation along with different types of aerosols, and the <u>influence</u> of moisture on the relationship
- 115 <u>between aerosols and heavy rainfall</u>. Section 4 describes the concurrent changes of cloud properties associated
- 116 with aerosols and compares the possible influences of <u>CCN (represented by CDNC)</u> and moisture (represented
- 117 <u>by SH</u>) on the cloud properties. Section 5 gives the hypothesis about the mechanisms of aerosol effects on the
- 118 heavy rainfall. <u>Discussion</u> and <u>conclusions</u> will be given in Sect. 6.
- 119

## 120 2. Approach

#### 121 2.1 Data

Four types of datasets from the year 2002 to 2012 (11 years) are used in this study, which include (1) precipitation, (2) aerosols, (3) clouds, and (4) other meteorological fields.

#### 124 2.1.1 Precipitation

To study the diurnal variation of heavy rainfall, the gauge-based hourly precipitation datasets are used, which 125 126 were obtained from the National Meteorological Information Center (NMIC) of the China Meteorological 127 Administration (CMA) (Yu et al., 2007) at 2420 stations in China from 1951 to 2012. The quality control made by CMA/NMIC includes the check for extreme values (the value exceeding the monthly maximum in 128 129 daily precipitation was rejected), the internal consistency check (wiping off the erroneous records caused by 130 incorrect units, reading, or coding) and spatial consistency check (comparing the time series of hourly 131 precipitation with nearby stations) [Shen et al., 2010]. Here we chose 176 stations in the plain area of BTH region that are below the topography of 100 meter above sea level as shown in Fig.1, because we purposely 132 removed the probable orographic influence on the rainfall diurnal variation, which is consistent with our 133 134 previous work (Zhou et al., 2018). The record analyzed here is the period of 2002 to 2012. We selected heavy 135 rainfall days when the hourly precipitation amount is more than 8.0 mm/hour (defined by Atmospheric Sciences Thesaurus, 1994). Here "a day" is counted from 8 LST to 8 LST next day (0 UTC to 24 UTC). 136

#### 137 2.1.2 Aerosols

In this study, we used two satellite data and one reanalysis data to investigate the aerosol optical amount and distinguish the different aerosol types.

AOD is a proxy for the optical amount of aerosol particles in a column of the atmosphere and serves as the macro indicator for the division of aerosol pollution condition in this study, which was obtained from MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) Collection 6 <u>Level-3</u> aerosol product with the horizontal resolution of  $1^{\circ}x1^{\circ}$  onboard the Terra satellite (Tao et al., 2015). The quality assurance of marginal or higher confidence is used in this study. The reported uncertainty in MODIS AOD data is on the order of (-0.02-10%), (+0.04+10%) (Levy et al., 2013). The Terra satellite overpass time at the equator is around 10:30 local solar time (LST) in the daytime, and the satellite data is almost missing when it is rainy during the overpass time.

4

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: comparison
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: heavy rainfall behaviors influenced respectively by
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
<b>已删除:</b> and
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: (CCN
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: Conclusions
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: discussion

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除:L3 As shown in Fig.3, the occurrence of selected heavy rainfall events in this study is mainly later than the satellite overpass time. Therefore, the AOD used here represents the situation of the air quality in advance of heavy rainfall appearance. Many studies have indicated the value of AOD is influenced by moisture condition, which is aerosol humidification effect (Twohy et al., 2009; Altaratz et al., 2013). Hence, we comprehensively analyzed the moisture effect on the rainfall and tried to remove the moisture effect from the relationship between aerosols and rainfall/clouds.

The ultraviolet AI from Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) on board the Aura satellite which was 161 launched in July 2004, is used for detecting the different types of aerosols in this study. The OMI ultraviolet 162 163 AI is a method of detecting absorbing aerosols from satellite measurements in the near-ultraviolet wavelength 164 region (Torres et al., 1998). The positive values of ultraviolet AI are attributed to the absorbing aerosols such 165 as smoke and dust while the negative values of AI stand for the non-absorbing aerosols (scattering aerosols) 166 such as sulfate and sea salt (Tariq and Ali, 2015). The near-zero values of AI occur when clouds and Rayleigh 167 scattering dominate (Hammer et al., 2018). Considering the near-zero values have more uncertainties, we only compare the extreme circumstances of absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols in this study. The horizontal 168 resolution of AI data is  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  and it covers the period of 2005 to 2012. 169

170 MACC-II (Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate Interim Implementation) reanalysis product 171 produced by ECMWF (the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts), provided the AOD 172 datasets for different kinds of aerosols (BC, sulfate, organic matter, mineral dust and sea salt). MACC-II reanalysis products are observationally-based within a model framework, which can offer a more complete 173 174 temporal and spatial coverage than observation and reduce the shortcomings of simulation that fail in simulating the complexity of real aerosol distributions (Benedetti et al., 2009). The horizontal resolution of 175 176 MACC-II is also  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  with the time interval of six-hour covering the period of 2003 to 2012, and the daily 177 mean values are used in this study in order to be consistent with other datasets.

### 178 2.1.3 Clouds

Daily cloud variables, including cloud fraction (CF), cloud top pressure (CTP), cloud optical thickness (COT, 179 liquid and ice), cloud water path (CWP, liquid and ice) and cloud effective radius (CER, liquid and ice), were 180 181 obtained from MODIS Collection 6 Level-3 cloud product onboard the Terra satellite. The MODIS cloud 182 product combines infrared emission and solar reflectance techniques to determine both physical and radiative cloud properties (Platnick et al., 2017). The validation of cloud top properties in this product has been 183 conducted through comparisons with CALIOP (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization) data and 184 other lidar observations (Holz et al., 2008; Menzel et al., 2008), and the validation and quality control of cloud 185 optical products is performed primarily using in situ measurements obtained during field campaigns as well as 186 the MODIS Airborne Simulator instrument (https://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov/products/cloud). Consistent 187 188 with AOD, the measure of above cloud variables is before the occurrence of heavy rainfall.

5

# 已删除: results in the analysis of heavy rainfall show consistent based on the

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: which is shown in the figures and morning values that before the occurrence of heavy rainfall. MACC-II data covers the period of 2003 to 2012

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: L3 In addition to the variables in MODIS cloud product, we also calculated CDNC using the joint histogram of liquid COT and CER <u>from the MODIS Collection 6 Level-3 cloud</u> product. CDNC is retrieved as the proxy for CCN and also the micro indicator for separating different aerosol conditions in this study. Currently, most derivations of CDNC assume that the clouds are adiabatic and horizontally homogeneous; CDNC is constant throughout the cloud's vertical extent, and cloud liquid water content varies linearly with altitude adiabatically (Min et al., 2012; Bennartz and Rausch, 2017). According to Boers et al. (2006) and Bennartz (2007), we calculated CDNC (unit: cm<sup>-3</sup>) through:

204 Where  $C_w$  is the moist adiabatic condensate coefficient, and its value depends slightly on the temperature of the cloud layer, ranging from 1 to 2.5 x  $10^{-3}$  gm<sup>-4</sup> for a temperature between 0 °C and 40 °C (Brenguier, 205 1991). In this study, we calculated the  $C_w$  through the function of the temperature (see Fig.1 in Zhu et al., 206 2018) at a given pressure that is 850 hPa. And we have tested the sensitivity of CDNC to the amount of  $C_w$ 207 and found it almost keeps the same when the  $C_w$  changes from 1 to 2.5 x 10<sup>-3</sup> gm<sup>-4</sup>. The coefficient k is the 208 209 ratio between the volume mean radius and the effective radius, and varies between 0.5 and 1 (Brenguier et al., 2000). Here we used k = 1 for that we cannot get the accurate value of k and the value of k does not influence 210 the rank of CDNC for the division of aerosol condition in this study.  $\rho_w$  is cloud water density.  $\tau$  and  $R_e$  are 211 the liquid COT and CER with twelve and nine bins respectively in the joint histogram, and we calculated the 212 213 CDNC of each bin and get the grid mean CDNC based on the probability distribution of the bin counts from 214 the joint histogram. To reduce the uncertainty of CDNC retrieval caused by the heterogeneity effect from thin clouds (Nakajima and King, 1990; Quaas et al., 2008; Grandey and Stier, 2010; Grosvenor et al., 2018), we 215 selected the CF more than 80%, the liquid COT more than 4 and the liquid CER more than 4 µm when 216 calculating the CDNC (Quaas et al., 2008). 217

#### 218 2.1.4 Other meteorological data

In this study, wind, temperature, pressure and SH data, were obtained from the ERA-Interim reanalysis
datasets with 1°x1°horizontal resolution and 37 vertical levels at six-hour intervals. The daily mean values of
these variables are used in the study<sub>\*</sub> ERA-Interim is a global atmospheric reanalysis produced by ECMWF,
which covers the period from 1979 to near-real time (Dee et al., 2011). \*

#### 223

#### 224 2.2 Methodology

We used both station data of gauge-based precipitation and gridded data including aerosols, clouds and other meteorological variables. Gridded datasets in this study were downloaded with the horizontal resolution of  $1^{\circ}\times1^{\circ}$ , which are consistent with the resolution of MODIS <u>Level-3</u> products. To unify the datasets, we interpolated all the gridded datasets onto the selected 176 rainfall stations using the average value in a  $1^{\circ}\times1^{\circ}$ 

# Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PN

已删除: in this

(1)

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已设置格式: 下标
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: obtained from MODIS Collection 6 L3 cloud product
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已设置格式
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: resolution
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已设置格式
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
<b>已删除:</b> 1°x1°.

#### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除:, and we also verified the results based on the morning values that before the occurrence of heavy rainfall.

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 F

已删除: The SH, which stands for the water vapor content, serves as the indicator of moisture supply condition in this study.

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: L3

grid as the background condition of each rainfall station, i.e., the stations in the same  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid have the same aerosol, cloud and meteorological conditions.

#### 243 2.2.1 Selection of sub-season and circulation

Consistent with our previous work, we focused on the early summer period (1 June to 20 July) which is before the large-scale rainy season start, in order to remove the large-scale circulation influence and identify the effect of aerosols on local convective precipitation because BTH rainfall during this period is mostly convective rainfall (Yu et al., 2007) with heavy pollution (Zhou et al., 2018). And to unify the background atmospheric circulation, we only selected the rainfall days with southwesterly flow, which is the dominant circulation accounting for 40% of total circulation patterns over the BTH region during early summer (Zhou et al., 2018).

#### 250 2.2.2 Classification of clean/polluted cases and moisture conditions

With the circulation of southwesterly, we used two indicators to distinguish the clean and polluted conditions from macro and micro perspectives, which are AOD and CDNC. The 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of AOD/CDNC of the whole rainfall days are used as the thresholds of clean and polluted conditions, and the values are shown in Tab.1. There are 514 cases of heavy rainfall on the polluted days and 406 cases of that on the clean days when using AOD, and <u>805/812</u> cases on the polluted/clean condition when using CDNC (Fig. 3).

256 The absorbing aerosols are detected using the positive values of AI that is named as absorbing aerosol index (AAI) here, and we can retrieve the scattering aerosol index (SAI) using the negative values of AI. AAI and 257 SAI are also divided into two groups using the threshold of 25th/75th percentile as shown in Tab.1. We used 258 AAI/SAI more than 75th percentile as the extreme circumstances of absorbing/scattering aerosols to compare 259 260 their impacts on the heavy rainfall. The sample numbers are 375 and 550 respectively for the extreme AAI and SAI cases. Using the same method, we chose cases with more BC/sulfate when the AOD of BC/sulfate is 261 larger than the 75th percentile of itself in all rainy days, and cases with less BC/sulfate when that is less than 262 the 25th percentile of itself in the same situation. Accordingly, we selected 459 heavy rainfall cases with more 263 BC and 274 cases with less BC. Similarly, 361 cases with more sulfate and 419 cases with less sulfate were 264 selected (Fig. 6). 265

The SH at 850 hPa is used as the indicator of moisture <u>condition</u> under the cloud base. We chose wet cases when the SH on that day is larger than  $75^{th}$  percentile of the whole rainy days, and chose dry cases when SH on that day is less than the  $25^{th}$  percentile of the whole rainy days (the thresholds are shown in Tab. 1).

#### 269 2.2.3 Statistical analysis

We adopted the probability distribution function (PDF) to compare the features of heavy rainfall and cloud variables on different conditions of aerosols, through which we can understand the changes of rainfall/cloud properties more comprehensively. The numbers of bins we selected in the study have been all tested for better representing the PDF distribution. Student's t-test is used to examine the <u>statistical</u> significance level of the Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 630/716

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: supply

276 differences or correlations between the different groups variables.

#### 277

### 278 3. Changes of heavy rainfall

In this study, we <u>applied</u> two indicators (AOD and CDNC) to identify the aerosol pollution. AOD is usually used as the macro indicator of aerosol pollution, which represents the optical <u>feature</u> of aerosol particles<u>rather</u> than the micro CCN (Shinozuka et al., 2015). To better identify the aerosol-cloud interaction, we intentionally applied the CDNC as the indicator of CCN (Zeng et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2018).

We first investigated the value distribution of AOD and CDNC over the BTH region. Figure 2a&b shows 283 284 the PDFs of AOD and CDNC on the non-rainfall days, rainfall days and heavy rainfall days respectively. We found that the ranges of AOD values under the above three conditions are almost similar that is between 0-5 285 286 and their probability peaks all\_occur at around 1.2 (Fig. 2a). In contrast, CDNC shows different ranges among the three conditions, which ranges from around 30 cm<sup>-3</sup> to 600 cm<sup>-3</sup> on the rainfall days and heavy rainfall 287 days, while from around 50 cm<sup>-3</sup> to 800 cm<sup>-3</sup> on the non-rainfall days. Besides, the proportion of low CDNC is 288 relatively high on the non-rainfall days (Fig. 2b). Accordingly, the range of AOD remains similar while the 289 290 range of CDNC is shortened, on the rainfall days, probably because the cloud droplets become larger on 291 rainfall days, which could cause the reduction of number concentration. Therefore, to obtain comparable 292 samples, we use percentile method to select respective clean and polluted cases based on above two indicators 293 in order to better compare the characteristics of heavy rainfall. Hence the heavier pollution corresponds to 294 larger optical amount of aerosols measured by AOD, and more amount of aerosols that could serve as CCN 295 measured by CDNC.

### 296 3.1 Characteristics

Our previous study (Zhou et al. 2018) has reported the distinct peak shifts of rainfall diurnal variation between clean and polluted days using the indicator of AOD over the BTH region during early summer. Similar with our previous study, the PDF of the heavy rainfall peak time shows that the maximum of rainfall peak is about two hours earlier on the polluted days (20:00 LST) than that on the clean days (22:00 LST) (Fig. 3a). To comprehensively recognize the changes of rainfall diurnal variation associated with air qualities, here we examined the PDF of the start time, the duration and the intensity besides the peak time of heavy rainfall.

As shown in Fig. 3a, the start time of heavy rainfall exhibits a significant advance on the polluted days. The secondary peak on the early morning is ignored here because the early-morning rainfall is usually associated with the mountain winds (Wolyn et al., 1994; Li et al., 2016) and the nighttime low-level jet (Higgins et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2012) that is beyond the scope of this study. The time for the maximum frequency of heavy rainfall initiation is around 6 hours earlier on the polluted days, shifting from around 0:00 LST on the clean days to the 18:00 LST (Fig. 3a). Regarding the rainfall durations, the average persistence of heavy rainfall on polluted days is 0.8 hours longer than that on clean days (Tab. 2). According to the PDF shown as in Fig. 3a, the

### 8

#### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PI

- 已删除: of aerosol conditions. The differences between any two groups that have passed 95% statistical confidence level are considered significant. And two ...ariables are constitution Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已设置格式: 字体、加相
- Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
- 已删除: used...pplied two indicators (.... [2]]



Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已设置格式: 字体颜色: 文字 1 Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: ....while from around 50 cm<sup>2</sup>... (4) occurrence of short-term precipitation (≤6 hours, Yuan et al., 2010) decreases while that of long-term
 precipitation (>6 hours, Yuan et al., 2010) increases. The intensity of hourly rainfall exhibits a non-significant
 increase on the polluted days.

361 The distinct behaviors of heavy rainfall diurnal variation between clean and polluted days have been well 362 demonstrated using the indicator of AOD. Using CDNC as the indicator of CCN, the above-mentioned results 363 are also significant, as shown in Fig. 3b. The start time and peak time of heavy rainfall on the polluted condition also show significant advances compared with that on the clean condition, with the average 364 advances of 2.2 hours and 2.6 hours respectively (Tab. 2). The duration of heavy rainfall on the polluted 365 366 condition is also prolonged, which is 0.5 hours longer in average (Tab. 2). Similar with the results based on 367 AOD, the difference of rainfall intensity between clean and polluted conditions using CDNC does not pass the 368 95% statistical confidence level as well.

369 Hence, the results using either AOD or CDNC show that the start and peak time of heavy rainfall occur 370 earlier and the duration becomes longer under pollution. We found the AOD and CDNC only have a 371 non-significant positive correlation, which denotes that the selected cases could be different between using 372 AOD and CDNC. The differences between the two indicators might be attributed to the non-linear 373 relationship between CCN and aerosol pollution (e.g., Jiang et al., 2016), the misdetection of AOD when the 374 humidity is high (Boucher and Quaas, 2012), the calculation uncertainty of CDNC, and the sampling 375 differences between AOD and CDNC. Since the two indicators represent aerosols from the different perspectives, we cannot identify which one is more reliable. Because the change of rainfall intensity is not 376 377 significant based on either AOD or CDNC, the following analysis only focuses on studying the changes of 378 start time, peak time and duration of heavy rainfall along with aerosol pollution.

#### 379 3.2 Sensitivities to aerosol types

Using the indicator of AI, we further investigated the distinct behaviors of heavy rainfall diurnal variation 380 related to absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols respectively. The PDF of start time, peak time and 381 382 duration of heavy rainfall under the extreme circumstances of absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols are compared in Fig. 4. Here, we briefly named the days with extreme large amount of absorbing aerosols as 383 384 absorbing aerosol days and with more scattering aerosols as scattering aerosol days. The start time of heavy 385 rainfall on absorbing aerosol days shows a significant earlier compared with that on scattering aerosol days (Fig. 4a), with 0.7 hours advance in average (Tab. 3). Similarly, the rainfall peak time also shows earlier on 386 absorbing aerosol days (Fig. 4b), with an average advance of 1.6 hours (Tab. 3). The rainfall duration on 387 388 scattering aerosol days shows longer than that on absorbing aerosol days, which are 6.0 hours and 5.0 hours respectively in average (Tab. 3). All the above-mentioned differences between the two groups have passed 95% 389 390 statistical confidence level. The results indicate that the absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols may have 391 different or inverse effects on the heavy rainfall that absorbing aerosols may generate the heavy rainfall in advance while the scattering aerosols may delay and prolong the heavy rainfall. 392

9

### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 1.4 Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 3.0 Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 2.2

#### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除:, although there are some quantitative differences between the two indicators. Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: The cases of heavy rainfall using CDNC seem more extreme, because the rainfall behaviors exhibit more evident changes using CDNC than using AOD. The result

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: using

404 To further verify the different behaviors of heavy rainfall diurnal variation associated with two different 405 types of aerosols, we purposely re-examine the above-mentioned phenomena using BC/sulfate that can represent typical absorbing/scattering aerosols over the BTH region. BC has its maximum center over BTH 406 407 region (Fig. 5a) and our previous study has indicated that the radiative effect of BC low-level warming may 408 facilitate the convective rainfall generation (Zhou et al., 2018). The percentage of sulfate is also large over the BTH region (Fig. 5b) and sulfate is one of the most effective CCN that influences the precipitation in this 409 410 region (Gunthe et al., 2011). Accordingly, we selected the cases with different amounts of BC and sulfate AOD to compare their roles on the diurnal variation of heavy rainfall. The methods have been described in 411 412 Sect. 2.2.2. The PDF of the start time, peak time and duration of heavy rainfall in the cases with more/less 413 amount of BC are shown in Fig. 6a, respectively. The most striking result is that the maximum frequency of 414 rainfall start time in the more BC cases evidently shifts earlier (Fig. 6a). Meanwhile, the mean peak time in the more BC cases shows 1.1 hour earlier than that in the less BC cases (Tab. 3). And the duration of heavy 415 416 rainfall is slightly shortened by the averaged 0.2 hours in the more BC cases. The features in more BC cases 417 are consistent with the above results of absorbing aerosols. In contrast, when the sulfate has larger amount, the mean start time of rainfall is delayed by 0.5 hours, while the duration shows a significant increase by 1.5 418 hours in average (Tab. 3). The behaviors in the more sulfate cases also exhibit similar with the above results 419 of scattering aerosols, except for the peak time that shows later in the scattering aerosol cases but a little 420 earlier in the more sulfate cases (Tab. 3). 421

#### 422 3.3 Influence of moisture

423 Moisture supply is an indispensable factor for the precipitation formation, and it also has an important impact 424 on AOD (Boucher and Quaas, 2012). Since the southwesterly circulation can not only transport pollutants but also plenty of moisture to the BTH region (Wu et al., 2017), more pollution usually corresponds to more 425 moisture for the BTH region (Sun et al., 2015) so that it is hard to completely remove the moisture effect on 426 the above results in a pure observational study. Here we attempt to recognize the moisture effect on the heavy 427 428 rainfall to further understand the above aerosol-associated changes. Because the moisture supply for BTH is 429 mainly transported via low-level southwesterly circulation, we purposely used the SH at 850 hPa as the 430 indicator of moisture condition.

431 Using the similar percentile method with polluted/clean days, we compared the heavy rainfall 432 characteristics in the more humid (more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile) and the less humid (less than 25<sup>th</sup> percentile) 433 environments regardless of the aerosol condition, as shown in Fig. 7a. The results show that the start time of 434 heavy rainfall is delayed by 0.9 hours, the peak time is 0.6 hours earlier and the duration is prolonged by 2.0 hours in average in the more humid environment, which is similar with the results of the more sulfate cases. 435 Besides, the same results are obtained using different moisture indicator, e.g. the 850 hPa absolute humidity. 436 437 These results indicate the advance of heavy rainfall start time on the polluted days is not caused by more moisture supply, while the longer duration and earlier peak in the more sulfate cases might be related to the 438

10

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: the

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: higher

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除:the

442 increased moisture supply. To further identify the role of sulfate, we examined the sensitivities of the results 443 associated with sulfate under different moisture condition. In the dry (SH less than 25th percentile) and 444 intermediate cases (SH between 25th -\_75th percentiles), the heavy rainfall still shows later start time, earlier peak and significant longer duration with the increase of sulfate, while the change of peak time is not 445 446 significant in the dry cases, in the high moisture cases (SH more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile), it shows earlier peak 447 and shorter duration in the more sulfate cases while the change of start time is not significant. Therefore, we 448 suppose that the impact of sulfate aerosols on the heavy rainfall is sensitive to moisture, and notably the 449 sulfate could contribute to the longer duration in the polluted cases when it is relatively dry.

450 We also investigate the distributions of moisture and rainfall behaviors in the clean and polluted cases 451 respectively using AOD and CDNC (Fig. 7 b&c). The results show that the relationship between moisture and 452 rainfall start time/peak time/duration is not linear. The distribution of SH exhibits a slight increase with 453 pollution in the AOD cases, indicating that the polluted cases selected by AOD are accompanied with more 454 moisture than the clean cases, However, when fixing the moisture at a certain range especially at the relative dry condition, (for example, the SH between 8-12 g/kg), we can detect the similar phenomena of earlier 455 start/peak time and longer duration in the polluted cases, based on either AOD or CDNC. To further clarify the 456 457 characteristics of heavy rainfall associated with pollution, we removed the samples with high SH (SH more 458 than 75th percentile) and found that the results in section 3.1 remain, that is the start/peak time of heavy rainfall is in advance and the duration is prolonged with the increase of AOD/CDNC when SH is less than 459 460 12.95 g/kg (75<sup>th</sup> percentile) (Fig. 8).

461 The above results indicate that the advance of heavy rainfall start in the polluted cases is independent of 462 moisture condition, while the advance of peak time and longer duration could be influenced by the moisture effect. For the earlier peak time of heavy rainfall, we suppose the role of BC (absorbing aerosols) might be 463 dominant because the change of peak time in the former analysis is more significant (Tab. 3) although the 464 sulfate and moisture also have positive contribution. The increased sulfate (scattering aerosols) contributes to 465 466 the longer duration of heavy rainfall (Fig. 6b), but the role of sulfate is kind of sensitive to the moisture 467 condition. With the increase of sulfate, the duration is longer when the moisture condition is relatively dry 468 while becomes shorter when it is extremely wet. Overall, when removing the extremely high moisture cases, 469 the earlier start/peak time and longer duration of heavy rainfall associated with aerosol pollution are 470 significant.

471

#### 472 **4. Changes of clouds**

To understand the cloud effect of aerosols during heavy rainfall diurnal variation, we need to recognize the associated cloud characteristics on the clean and polluted conditions. The cloud properties we used were obtained from satellite product that <u>was</u> measured at the same time with aerosols before the occurrence of

11

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: tested ... xamined the sensitivitie

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: Using either AOD or CDNC ... [6]

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: might...ould be related

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除:were

521 heavy rainfall. The differences of cloud features were examined in both macroscopic (including CF, CTP,

522 COT and CWP) and microscopic properties (including CER) on the clean and polluted conditions based on

523 AOD and CDNC respectively.

#### 524 4.1 Characteristics

Using AOD as the macro aerosol indicator, as shown in Fig. 9, the PDF distribution shows that the CF on the 525 polluted condition is evidently larger than that on the clean condition. The average CF is 62.8% on the clean 526 condition, and 89.3% on the polluted condition (Tab. 4). The average CTP on the polluted condition is 487.3 527 hPa, which is larger than 442.3 hPa on the clean condition, indicating that the cloud top height is lower on the 528 529 polluted days. The COT, CWP and CER were further analyzed for the liquid and ice portions of clouds as shown in Fig. 9. Both liquid and ice COT on the polluted condition exhibit significant increases compared 530 with that on the clean condition. The mean amount of liquid COT is increased by 3.1 and ice COT increases 531 by 6.2 (Tab. 4). Similar with COT, the amounts of liquid and ice CWP also increase under pollution, which 532 increase by 33.6 g/m<sup>2</sup> and 88.2 g/m<sup>2</sup> respectively. In addition, the liquid CER is increased by 0.8 µm and the 533 ice CER is decreased by 2.8 µm on the polluted days. The differences of above cloud properties between clean 534 and polluted cases have all passed the 95% statistical confidence level. 535

536 Using CDNC as the micro aerosol indicator, the above-mentioned changes of cloud properties are consistent with that using AOD, except for liquid CER (Fig. 9). Since the calculation method of CDNC is not 537 538 independent on the liquid COT and liquid CER, we would not directly compare the results of liquid COT and 539 CER based on CDNC with those based on AOD here. But according to other variables that are independent of 540 the CDNC calculation, we found the cases with more CDNC are accompanied with the increase of CTP, ice COT and liquid & ice CWP, which increase by 90.2 hPa, 24.4, 112.4 g/m<sup>2</sup> and 224.1 g/m<sup>2</sup> respectively (Tab. 4) 541 and all of which are consistent with the results based on AOD. The CER of ice clouds also shows a consistent 542 decrease by  $2.5 \ \mu m$  on the polluted condition based on CDNC. We noticed that the changes of 543 544 COT/CWP/CER for both liquid and ice based on CDNC are much larger than that based on AOD, which indicates that these cloud properties might be more sensitive to the indicator of CDNC rather than AOD. 545

According to the above comparison, the concurrent changes of cloud properties along with heavy rainfall 546 547 diurnal variation show consistent results using the two aerosol indicators (AOD and CDNC). The pollution corresponds to the increase of CF, ice COT, liquid and ice CWP, but the decrease of cloud top height (the 548 increase of CTP corresponds to the decrease of cloud top height) and ice CER. The liquid COT and liquid 549 CER are also increased with the enhanced pollution in the AOD analysis. Besides, the above-mentioned 550 results exhibit significant when we limited the moisture to the dryer condition (SH less than 25th percentile) or 551 intermediate condition (SH between  $25^{th}$ ,  $75^{th}$  percentile). When the moisture is higher (SH more than  $75^{th}$ 552 553 percentile), the change of CTP become not significant based on CDNC.

554 According to these results, we made the following speculation: First, the CF, liquid & ice COT and CWP

#### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: between

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: of CF

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 4), which is increased by 26.1%

-	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	<b>已删除:</b> 32.8
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	<b>已删除:</b> 215.8
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	<b>已删除:</b> 370.9
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	<b>已删除:</b> 8.8
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
/	已删除: these
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
/	已删除: more than
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: percentile and less than
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: does
_	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: show
-	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: For

568 increase with pollution, because the aerosols serving as CCN can nucleate a larger number of cloud droplets 569 which in a moisture sufficient environment can hold more liquid water in the cloud, Second, the CTP increases (the cloud top height decreases) under pollution using both AOD and CDNC, because the earlier 570 start of the precipitation process (Fig. 3) inhibits the vertical growth of clouds. Third, the ice CER decreases 571 572 under pollution using either AOD or CDNC, because the increased cloud droplet number leads to more cloud 573 droplets transforming into ice crystals and causes the decrease of ice CER (Chylek et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 574 2018; Gryspeerdt et al., 2018). However, the results of liquid CER might have uncertainties. The liquid CER is increased when AOD increases (Fig. 9), which might be related to the aerosol humidification effect, the 575 576 misdetection of AOD and cloud water, and the earlier formation of the clouds and precipitation on the polluted 577 days. Since we cannot distinguish the liquid part of mix-phased clouds from liquid (warm) clouds in the 578 observation, the above, mentioned change of liquid cloud properties might come from that of both the liquid (warm) clouds and the liquid part of mixed-phase clouds. Likewise, the above-mentioned change of ice cloud 579 580 properties might come from that of both ice (cold) clouds and the ice part of mixed-phase clouds. Currently 581 the physical processes of cold clouds and mixed-phase clouds have been not clarified yet, including the 582 diffusional growth, accretion, riming and melting process of ice precipitation (Cheng et al., 2010), which needs numerical model simulations to be further explored. 583

#### 584 4.2 Sensitivities to CCN (represented by CDNC) and moisture

Section 3.3 has shown that the diurnal variation of heavy rainfall with more moisture supply is similar with the changes of heavy rainfall with more sulfate aerosols. We assume that the moisture under the cloud base and the sulfate serving as CCN both influence the cloud properties (Yuan et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2017). To identify the effect of CCN on clouds and its sensitivity to moisture, using CDNC to represent CCN, we purposely investigated the changes of above cloud properties on the different conditions of the CDNC and the low-level moisture (850hPa SH) respectively.

591 We categorized all cases of heavy rainfall into four groups, which are (1) clean and dry, (2) polluted and 592 dry, (3) clean and wet, (4) polluted and wet, and checked the changes of above cloud properties, as shown in Tab. 5. To retrieve the comparable samples, here "clean/polluted" refers to the CDNC on that day less/more 593 than 25<sup>th</sup>/75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the CDNC among the heavy rainfall days, and similarly, the "dry/wet" refers to the 594 SH on that day less/more than 25<sup>th</sup>/75<sup>th</sup> percentile of itself among the heavy rainfall days. The average CDNC 595 is 125.54 cm<sup>-3</sup> on the dry condition and 120.71 cm<sup>-3</sup> on the wet condition, and the average SH is 11.62 g/kg 596 and 1173 g/kg on the clean and polluted conditions respectively, thus we consider the CDNC or SH remain 597 almost the same when the other condition changes. We tested the significance of differences between group 1 598 and 2, group 1 and 3, group 2 and 4, group 3 and 4. Because the CF is fixed above 80% when calculating the 599 CDNC (see in Sect. 2.1.3), here the selected groups all belong to the condition of higher CF. 600

Comparing the results of group 1 and 2, which are both on the dry condition, we can identify the influence of CDNC on the cloud properties, which <u>represents</u> the effect of CCN. The changes of these cloud variables

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: might
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: and accumulate
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: thus increase the CF, COT and CWP.
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: which denotes the decrease of the cloud top height, might
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: probably
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: also might result from
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: heavy rainfall
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: changes
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: changes
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: detailed
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除:grow

1	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	<b>已删除:</b> 68.58
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: 68.56
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除:3
/	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除:8
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: can
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: remains
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: made
	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: significant test
-	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已刪除・stands for

625 are the same as that in Sect. 4.1, that the CF, ice COT and liquid & ice CWP are increased on the polluted 626 condition, while the cloud top height and ice CER are decreased based on CDNC. Among these variables, the ice COT and liquid & ice CWP are especially larger on the polluted condition, which are 3-4 times larger than 627 that on the clean condition (Tab. 5). On the wet condition, comparing the group 3 and 4, the changes are 628 629 similar that the CF, ice COT and liquid & ice CWP are increased and the ice CER are decreased but the 630 change of CTP becomes not significant. However, the changes of these variables on the dry condition are 631 evidently enhanced than that on the wet condition, which indicates these cloud properties might be more sensitive to CDNC on the dry condition. The above comparisons indicate that with the increase of CDNC 632 (CCN), the CF, ice COT and liquid & ice CWP are increased while the ice CER is decreased regardless of the 633 634 moisture amount.

635 Comparing the results of group 1 and 3, we can get the changes of cloud properties related only to moisture on the same clean condition. A common feature is that CF, CTP, COT and CWP both for liquid and ice exhibit 636 637 increases along with the increase of moisture. Compared with the CTP on the clean and dry condition, it increases on both polluted & dry condition (group 2) and clean & wet condition (group 3), but on the former 638 condition its increase is larger, which indicates the influence of moisture on CTP might be secondary 639 compared to the CDNC (CCN) effect. Similarly, comparing the COT/CWP in group 2 and 3, the increases of 640 641 COT and CWP both for liquid and ice in group 2 are much larger than that in group 3, which indicates that the influences of moisture on COT and CWP may not overcome the influence of CCN. With the increase of 642 moisture, the change of liquid CER is not significant on the same clean condition, but the ice CER is 643 significantly decreased. On the polluted condition, comparing group 2 and 4, we found the COT and CWP 644 both for liquid and ice on the wet condition are evidently smaller than that on the dry condition, which 645 indicates that increasing the moisture might partly compensate for the influence of CDNC (CCN) on 646 647 COT/CWP. Besides, the liquid CER exhibits a slight increase with increased moisture in the same polluted environment, which may further support the idea that the increased CCN could nucleate more cloud water 648 with increased moisture. 649

650 The results above indicate that both CDNC (CCN) and moisture have impacts on cloud properties. They 651 both contribute to the increase of CF, CTP, COT and CWP, in which the influence of CDNC (CCN) on COT 652 and CWP are significantly larger than moisture. Both CDNC and moisture correspond to the significant decrease of ice CER, while only CDNC corresponds to the decrease of liquid CER and that might be ascribed 653 654 to the calculation method of CDNC. To reduce uncertainties, we have tested the SH at different levels (e.g., 700 hPa and 800 hPa) and different moisture indicator (e.g. absolute humidity) to verify these results, and 655 656 found most cloud variables show the similar changes with above except for the CTP and the liquid CER, 657 which indicates the changes of CTP and liquid CER are more sensitive and have larger uncertainties. Since the behaviors of cloud changes are similar along with the increase of either CDNC (CCN) or moisture but 658 659 more sensitive to the former, the results in Sect. 4.1 might actually reflect the combined effect of CCN and 660 moisture, and the aerosol (CCN) effect on these cloud properties might be dominant on the polluted days.

14

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

**已删除:**5-6

#### an 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: Although the comparisons of liquid COT and liquid CER based on CDNC are meaningless since the CDNC is calculated by the two variables, we infer that the increase of liquid COT and the decrease of liquid CER (Tab. 5) might be not completely caused by CDNC calculation but the natural effect of CCN.

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 3-6 times

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: The increase of either CDNC or moisture corresponds to the increase of CTP. But when the CDNC and moisture increase simultaneously, the CTP becomes smaller. Therefore, considering the results from this subsection and Sect. 3.3 that the changes of cloud features
 become smaller in the higher moisture environment than that in the dryer environment and the duration of
 heavy rainfall is relatively shortened with pollution when it is extremely wet (Sect. 3.3), we speculate that the
 sulfate (CCN) effect might be suppressed in a relatively wet environment. Due to the limitations of
 observational study, we currently cannot figure out the respective roles of aerosols and moisture.

#### 680

### 681 5. Hypothesis

682 According to all the above results, we have made hypotheses about the aerosol effects on the heavy rainfall 683 over the BTH region. In Sect. 3.1 we found that the heavy rainfall has earlier start and peak time, and longer duration on the polluted condition. And afterwards, the earlier start of rainfall under pollution was found 684 685 related to absorbing aerosols mainly referring to BC (Fig. 4a&6a). We also compared the effect of BC on the 686 associated clouds. Figure 10a shows the CF larger than 90% rarely occurs in the more BC environment, which might be associated with the semi-direct effect of BC (Ackerman, 2000) or estimated inversion strength and 687 BC co-vary. This result indicates the influence of BC on the heavy rainfall in Fig. 6a is mainly due to the 688 radiative effect rather than the cloud effect. The mechanism of BC effect on the heavy rainfall can be 689 interpreted by our previous study (Zhou et al., 2018) as: BC absorbs shortwave radiation during the daytime 690 and warms the lower troposphere at around 850 hPa, and then increases the instability of the lower to middle 691 692 atmosphere (850-500 hPa) so that enhances the local upward motion and moisture convergence. As a result, 693 the BC-induced thermodynamic instability of the atmosphere triggers the occurrence of heavy rainfall in 694 advance. Thus, the low-level heating effect of BC might play a dominant role in the beginning of rainfall especially before the formation of clouds during the daytime. 695

The delayed start of heavy rainfall with scattering aerosols in Fig. 4a and more sulfate in Fig. 6b is 696 consistent with many studies that both the radiative effect and cloud effect of sulfate-like aerosols could delay 697 or suppress the occurrence of rainfall (Guo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Rosenfeld et al. 2014). Sulfate-like 698 699 aerosols as scattering aerosols could prevent the shortwave radiation from arriving at the surface thus cool the surface and stabilize the atmosphere, which suppresses the rainfall formation (Guo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 700 701 2016). Sulfate-like aerosols serving as CCN can also suppress the rainfall by cloud effect through reducing the 702 cloud droplet size and thus suppressing the collision-coalescence process of cloud droplets (Albrecht 1989; Rosenfeld et al. 2014). Figure 10b does shows that in contrast with BC, the CF larger than 90% is 703 704 significantly increased in the more sulfate environment, which indicates the sulfate-like aerosols might have 705 more evident influence on the clouds and subsequently the rainfall changes associated with sulfate are probably due to the cloud effects. Another significant feature is the longer duration of heavy rainfall in the 706 707 scattering aerosol cases, more sulfate cases and high moisture cases (Fig 4c, 6b&7a). We speculate that the 708 longer duration is caused by both the cloud effect of sulfate-like aerosols and the increased moisture supply, because increasing either CCN or the moisture supply can increase cloud water (Sect. 4.2), which could lead 709

# 15

#### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: Therefore, combining with the results in Sect. 3.3, although we cannot completely separate the aerosols and moisture, the CCN is assumed to play a vital role on the clouds and precipitation especially in a relatively dry environment. In the relatively wet environment, the CCN might have some inhibitory effect since the duration of heavy rainfall is shorter with the increase of sulfate when it is extremely wet, and the changes of cloud features along with the CDNC increase are smaller on the wet condition.

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: 500hPa

# Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

**己删除:** the postponed start of heavy rainfall is mainly due to the effect of sulfate-like aerosols, while

726 to the longer rainfall duration. To further investigate the mechanism of longer duration, we need the assistance 727 of numerical model simulations in the future work.

Accordingly, we speculate that the earlier start time of heavy rainfall related to absorbing aerosols (BC) is 728 729 due to the radiative heating of absorbing aerosols, while the longer rainfall duration is probably caused by 730 both the cloud effect of sulfate-like aerosols and the increased moisture supply. As a summary we use a 731 schematic diagram (Fig. 11) to illustrate how aerosols modify the heavy rainfall in the meteorological background of southwesterly over the BTH region. On one hand, BC heats the lower troposphere, changing 732 733 the thermodynamic condition of atmosphere, which increases the upward motion and accelerates the 734 formation of clouds and rainfall. On the other hand, the increased upward motion transports more sulfate-like 735 particles and moisture into the clouds so that the increased aerosols serving as CCN could nucleate more cloud 736 water, thus prolong the duration of rainfall. As a result, the earlier start and peak time, and longer duration of 737 heavy rainfall over BTH region might due to the combined effect of aerosol radiative effect, aerosol cloud 738 effect. To further verify the individual effect, we need to conduct numerical model simulations in our future 739 study.

740

#### 6. Discussion and conclusions 741

#### 742 6.1 Discussion

743 In this study we used two aerosol indicators, AOD and CDNC, which discriminates the pollution levels for 744 different purposes. AOD is a good proxy for the large-scale pollution level, but it stands for the optical feature of aerosols and cannot well represent CCN when we focused on the aerosol-cloud interaction (Shinozuka et al., 745 2015). CDNC is a better proxy for CCN compared with AOD, which facilitates the study on the cloud changes 746 associated with aerosol pollution. But the retrieved CDNC has larger uncertainties. First, the assumptions in 747 the calculation of CDNC are idealized that CDNC is constant with height in a cloud and cloud liquid water 748 749 increases monotonically at an adiabatic environment (Grosvenor et al., 2018), but the target of this study is the 750 convective clouds with rainfall that may be not consistent with the adiabatic assumption. Second, as indicated 751 by Grosvenor et al. (2018), the uncertainties in the pixel-level retrievals of CDNC from MODIS with 1°x1° spatial resolution can be above 54%, which come from the uncertainties of parameters and the original COT 752 and CER data using in the calculation, and also the influence of heterogeneity effect from thin clouds. To 753 reduce the influence of heterogeneity effect as much as possible, we have attempted to limit the conditions of 754 755 CF, liquid COT and CER when calculating CDNC in the study. Besides, this study primarily focuses on the 756 relative changes of CDNC, which may be also influenced by the potential systematic biases in the CDNC calculation, but actually reduced the uncertainties of absolute values. Another problem about CDNC in this 757 study is that the CDNC <u>could be</u> influenced by updraft velocity <u>because</u> both <u>increased</u> CCN and updraft 758 759 velocity could enhance aerosol activation and increase CDNC (Reutter et al., 2009). Since we cannot get any

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: might
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: heavy rainfall over BTH region in southwesterly shows
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: as well as the moisture effect.
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: distinguish
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: Conclusions
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: discussion
Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
已删除: Based on macro and micro aerosol indicators that are AOD from MODIS aerosol product and calculated CDNC from MODIS cloud product, we found three features of heavy rainfall changing associated with aerosols that the rainfall start and peak time occur earlier and the duration becomes longer The quantitative differences exist between the
two indicators, i.e., the statistic differences of

已删除: CCN and sufficient moisture increase

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34

the

### Sivuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

1	已删除: of
I	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
(	已删除: is actually
Å	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: when we use CDNC to represent CCN since
4	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: contribute to
1	Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM
	已删除: We

conditions are 0.7, 1.0, 0.8 hours based on AOD and 1.4, 3.0, 2.2 hours based on

in-cloud long-term updraft data, we used the vertical velocity at 850 hPa obtained from ERA-interim
 reanalysis data to roughly represent the cloud base updraft and investigated the possible relationship between
 CDNC and updraft, The results show that there is no significant correlation between CDNC and vertical
 velocity, although the updraft is relatively intensified in the polluted cases. We also examined the change of
 rainfall based on CDNC under three certain ranges of vertical velocity (less than 25<sup>th</sup> percentile, between 25<sup>th</sup>
 r75<sup>th</sup> percentile and more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile), and found the primary results are similar.

794 In addition to AOD and CDNC, we also applied ultraviolet AI and AOD of BC/sulfate to identify different 795 types of aerosols. We found that the AI has a weak positive correlation with AOD from MODIS, which 796 indicates the results on absorbing aerosol days might represent the results on polluted days if identified by 797 AOD. To avoid the uncertainty, we re-examined the results using AI when removing the polluted cases 798 identified by AOD, and found the major results remain. The comparisons of BC/sulfate AOD cases also have 799 uncertainties because they are retrieved from MACC reanalysis data. Although the above four indicators have 800 their own uncertainties, currently we cannot find more reliable datasets in a long-term observational record. The major findings using these four indices could well identify the changes of rainfall and clouds 801 accompanied with aerosols, but are insufficient to clarify the aerosol effect on clouds and precipitation. 802

803 This study has clearly identified the relationship of the aerosol pollution and the diurnal changes of heavy 804 rainfall and associated clouds in the BTH region. However, although this work has attempted to exclude the 805 impacts from the meteorological background particularly circulation and moisture, the observation study still has its limitations on studying aerosol effects on rainfall and clouds: first, the observational datasets have their 806 807 noise and uncertainty, including the misdetection of CF in the satellite product when AOD is large (Brennan et 808 al., 2005; Levy et al., 2013) and the mutual interference between liquid and ice clouds (Holz et al., 2008; Platnick et al., 2017); Second, the meteorological co-variations cannot be completely removed thus bring the 809 uncertainties of the results, e.g., the meteorology might affect the relationship between AOD and CF (Quaas et 810 al., 2010; Grandey et al., 2013) and the relationship between AOD and CTP (Gryspeerdt et al., 2014a); Third, 811 the different types of aerosols cannot be completely well separated, although we used AI index and AOD of 812 813 BC/sulfate to jdentify the respective effects of absorbing aerosols and scattering aerosols. In addition, we 814 selected the extreme ranges of AOD/CDNC to compare the characteristics of heavy rainfall and associated 815 clouds, which could bring such uncertainties that these extreme conditions might be related with distinct microphysical process or meteorological background. We further examined the results using the middle range 816 of AOD and CDNC such as  $25^{th} - 50^{th}$  percentile versus  $50^{th} - 75^{th}$  percentile. The results are basically the same 817 818 except that the peak time change is not significant based on AOD, Numerical model simulations are 819 necessarily applied to further study the specific impact of aerosols on the heavy rainfall. And the detailed 820 processes of aerosol effect on the precipitation formation of mix-phased and cold clouds also needs further exploration in our future study. 821

822 <u>6.2</u> Conclusions

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已设置格式: 字体颜色: 红色

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: are not changed.

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

已删除: of different observational data cannot be avoid, e.g.,... including th ... [10]

Using the gauge-based hourly rainfall records, aerosol and cloud satellite products and high temporal resolution reanalysis datasets during 2002-2012, this study investigated the different characteristics of heavy rainfall in the diurnal time scale on the clean and polluted conditions respectively. Based on the macro and micro aerosol indicators including AOD from MODIS aerosol product and calculated CDNC from MODIS cloud product, three significant features of heavy rainfall diurnal change associated with aerosols are found, that is the rainfall start and peak time occur earlier and the duration becomes longer under pollution.

The different relationships of absorbing and scattering aerosols with the heavy rainfall diurnal shift were distinguishable using ultraviolet AI from OMI and reanalysis AOD of two aerosol types (BC and sulfate). The absorbing aerosols (BC) correspond to the earlier start and peak time of heavy rainfall, while the scattering aerosols (sulfate) correspond to the delayed start time and the longer duration. <u>Considering the plausible effect</u> of moisture, further analysis indicates the duration of heavy rainfall is prolonged in the presence of more sulfate on the relatively dry condition but is shortened on the extremely wet condition.

By comparing the characteristics of cloud macrophysics and microphysics variables, using both AOD and CDNC we found the CF, ice COT, liquid and ice CWP are increased on the polluted condition, but the cloud top height and the ice CER are reduced. Liquid COT and liquid CER are also increased in AOD analysis. Comparing the influences of CDNC which represents CCN and SH at 850 hPa which represents moisture condition respectively on these cloud variables, the cloud properties show similar changes with the increase of CDNC and moisture, but seem more sensitive to the CDNC (CCN), e.g., the liquid & ice COT and CWP are increased more significantly in high CDNC than in high SH.

According to these results, we speculate that both aerosol radiative effect and cloud effect have impacts on
the diurnal variation of heavy rainfall in the BTH region. The heating effect of absorbing aerosols especially
BC increases the instability of the lower to middle atmosphere so that generates the heavy rainfall occurrence
in advance. And the increased moisture supply and increased aerosols which could nucleate more cloud water
in the cloud, leading to the longer duration of heavy rainfall.

895

#### 896 Data availability

We are grateful to the National Meteorological Information Centre (NMIC) of the China Meteorological Administration (CMA) for providing hourly precipitation datasets. MODIS aerosol and cloud data were obtained from http://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov; ultraviolet AI data from OMI was obtained from https://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets?keywords=OMI&page=1; MACC-II and ERA-interim reanalysis datasets were obtained from http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets.

#### 902 Author contributions

903 JY and SZ conceived the study. SZ processed data and drew the figures. SZ and JY analyzed the observational



- results and WCW, CZ and DG gave the professional guidance. PS provided the hourly precipitation dataset.
- 905 SZ and JY prepared the manuscript with contributions from WCW and CZ.

# 906 Competing interests

907 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 908 Acknowledgements

Jing Yang, Daoyi Gong & Peijun Shi are supported by funds from the National Natural Science Foundation of 909 China (41775071 and 41621061) and the National Key Research and Development Program-Global Change 910 and Mitigation Project: Global Change Risk of Population and Economic System: Mechanism and 911 Assessment (2016YFA0602401 and 2018YFC1505903), Siyuan Zhou is supported by funds from State Key 912 913 Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology and Key Laboratory of Environmental Change 914 and Natural Disaster. Wei-Chyung Wang acknowledges the support of grants (to SUNYA) from the Office of Sciences (BER), U.S. DOE and the U.S. National Science Foundation (1545917) in support of the Partnership 915 for International Research and Education project at the University at Albany. We deeply appreciate two 916 anonymous referees for their in-depth comments and constructive suggestions. 917

#### 918

#### 919 References:

- Ackerman, A. S.: Reduction of Tropical Cloudiness by Soot, Science, 288, 1042-1047,
   doi:10.1126/science.288.5468.1042, 2000.
- Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science, 245, 1227-1230, doi:10.1126/science.245.4923.1227, 1989.
- 924 Altaratz, O., Bar-Or, R. Z., Wollner, U., and Koren, I.: Relative humidity and its effect on aerosol optical 925 depth in the vicinity of convective clouds, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 034025, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034025, 2013. 926
- 927 Anonymous: Atmospheric Sciences Thesaurus, China Meteorological Press: Beijing, China, 1994. (in928 Chinese)
- Anonymous: IPCC fifth assessment report, Weather, 68, 310-310, 2013.
- Bellouin, N., Quaas, J., Morcrette J. -J., and Boucher, O.: Estimates of aerosol radiative forcing from the
   MACC re-analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2045-2062, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2045-2013, 2013.
- 932 Benedetti, A., Morcrette, J. J., Boucher, O., Dethof, A., Engelen, R. J., Fisher, M., Flentje, H., Huneeus, N.,
- Jones, L., Kaiser, J. W., Kinne, S., Mangold, A., Razinger, M., Simmons, A. J., and Suttie, M.: Aerosol
  analysis and forecast in the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Integrated Forecast
  System: 2. Data assimilation, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D13205, doi:10.1029/2008JD011115, 2009.
- 936 Brennan, J., Kaufman, Y., Koren, I., and Rong, L.: Aerosol-cloud interaction-Misclassification of MODIS
- 937 clouds in heavy aerosol, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 43, 911–915, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2005.844662, 2005.

### 19

#### Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM 已删除: and 41775071

- Bennartz, R., and Rausch, J.: Global and regional estimates of warm cloud droplet number concentration
  based on 13 years of AQUA-MODIS observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9815-9836,
  doi:10.5194/acp-17-9815-2017, 2017.
- Bennartz, R.: Global assessment of marine boundary later cloud droplet number concentration from satellite, J.
  Geophys. Res., 112, D02201, doi:10.1029/2006JD007547, 2007.
- Boers, R., Acarreta, J. A., and Gras, J. L.: Satellite monitoring of the first indirect aerosol effect: Retrieval of
  the droplet concentration of water clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D22208, doi:10.1029/2005JD006838,
  2006.
- Boucher, O., and Quaas, J.: Water vapour affects both rain and aerosol optical depth, Nat. Geosci., 6, 4-5,
  doi:10.1038/ngeo1692, 2012.
- Chen, Q., Yin, Y., Jin, L., Xiao, H., and Zhu, S.: The effect of aerosol layers on convective cloud microphysics and precipitation, Atmos. Res., 101, 327-340, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.03.007, 2011.
- Cheng, C. T., Wang, W. C., and Chen, J. P.: A modeling study of aerosol impacts on cloud microphysics and
   radiative properties, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 133, 283–297, doi:10.1002/qj.25, 2007.
- 953 Cheng, C. T., Wang, W. C., and Chen, J. P.: Simulation of the effects of increasing cloud condensation nuclei
  954 on mixed-phase clouds and precipitation of a front system, Atmos. Res., 96, 461-476, doi:
  955 10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.02.005, 2010.
- Chylek, P., Dubey, M. K., Lohmann, U., Ramanathan, V., Kaufman, Y. J., Lesins, G., Hudson, J., Altmann,
  G., and Olsen, S.: Aerosol indirect effect over the Indian Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L06806,
  doi:10.1029/2005GL025397, 2006.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.
  A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N.,
  Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., H'olm, E.
  V., Isaksen, L., K°allberg, P., K"ohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M.,
  Morcrette, J. –J., Park, B. –K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Th'epaut, J. –N., Vitart, F.: The
  ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. R.
  Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- Fan, J. W., Rosenfeld, D., Yang, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, L. R., and Li, Z. Q.: Substantial contribution of
  anthropogenic air pollution to catastrophic floods in Southwest China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42,
  6066-6075, doi:10.1002/2015GL064479, 2015.
- Garrett, T. J. and Zhao, C.: Increased Arctic cloud longwave emissivity associated with pollution from
   mid-latitudes, Nature, 440, 787-789, doi:10.1038/nature04636, 2006.
- Givati, A., and Rosenfeld, D.: Quantifying precipitation suppression due to air pollution, J. Appl. Meteor., 43,
  1038-1056, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<1038:QPSDTA>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- 973 Grandey, B. S., and Stier, P.: A critical look at spatial scale choices in satellite-based aerosol indirect effect
- 974 studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11459–11470, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11459-2010, 2010.



- Grandey, B. S., Stier, P. and Wagner, T. M.: Investigating relationships between aerosol optical depth and
   cloud fraction using satellite, aerosol reanalysis and general circulation model data, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
   13, 3177-3184, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3177-2013, 2013.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Sourdeval, O., Quaas, J., Delanoë, J., Krämer, M., and Kühne, P.: Ice crystal number
  concentration estimates from lidar-radar satellite remote sensing Part 2: Controls on the ice crystal
  number concentration, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14351–14370, doi:10.5194/acp-18-14351-2018, 2018.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Stier, P., and Grandey, B. S.: Cloud fraction mediates the aerosol optical depth-cloud top
  height relationship, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 3622-3627, doi:10.1002/2014GL059524, 2014a.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Stier, P., and Partridge, D. G.: Links between satellite-retrieved aerosol and precipitation,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9677–9694, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9677-2014, 2014b.
- Gunthe, S. S., Rose, D., Su, H., Garland, R. M., Achtert, P., Nowak, A., Wiedensohler, A., Kuwata, M.,
  Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zhu, T., Andreae, M. O., and Poschl, U.: Cloud
  condensation nuclei (CCN) from fresh and aged air pollution in the megacity region of Beijing, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11, 11023-11039, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11023-2011, 2011.
- Guo, C. W., Xiao, H., Yang, H. L., and Tang, Q.: Observation and modeling analyses of the macro-and
   microphysical characteristics of a heavy rain storm in Beijing, Atmos. Res., 156, 125-141,
   doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.01.007, 2015.
- Guo, J. P., Deng, M. J., Lee, S. S., Wang, F., Li, Z. Q., Zhai, P. M., Liu, H., Lv, W., Yao, W., and Li, X. W.:
  Delaying precipitation and lightning by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta, Part I: Observational
  analyses. J. Geophys. Res., 121, 6472-6488, doi:10.1002/2015JD023257, 2016.
- Guo, L., Highwood, E. J., Shaffrey, L. C., and Turner, A. G.: The effect of regional changes in anthropogenic
  aerosols on rainfall of the East Asian Summer Monsoon, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 1521-1534,
  doi:10.5194/acp-13-1521-2013, 2013.
- Guo, X. L., Fu, D. H., Guo, X., and Zhang, C. M.: A case study of aerosol impacts on summer convective
  clouds and precipitation over northern China, Atmos. Res., 142, 142-157,
  doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.10.006, 2014.
- Hammer, M. S., Martin, R. V., Li, C., Torres, O., Manning, M., and Boys, B. L.: Insight into global trends in aerosol composition from 2005 to 2015 inferred from the OMI Ultraviolet Aerosol Index, Atmos. Chem.
   Phys., 18, 8097-8112, doi:10.5194/acp-18-8097-2018, 2018.
- Harikishan, G., Padmakumari, B., Maheskumar, R. S., Pandithurai, G., and Min, Q. L.: Aerosol indirect effects
  from ground-based retrievals over the rain shadow region in Indian subcontinent, J. Geophys. Res., 121,
  2369-2382, doi:10.1002/2015JD024577, 2016.
- Higgins, R. W., Yao, Y., Yarosh, E. S., Janowiak, J. E. and Mo, K. C.: Influence of the Great Plains low-level
  jet on summertime precipitation and moisture transport over the central United States, J. Climate, 10,
  481-507, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<0481:IOTGPL>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- .010 Holz, R. E., Ackerman, S. A., Nagle, F. W., Frey, R., Dutcher, S., Kuehn, R. E., Vaughan, M. A., and Baum,

- .011 B.: Global Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) cloud detection and height .012 evaluation using CALIOP, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D00A19, doi: 10.1029/2008JD009837, 2008.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, Nature, 409, 695-697, doi:10.1038/35055518, 2001.
- Jiang, H., Feingold, G., and Cotton, W. R.: Simulations of aerosol-cloud-dynamical feedbacks resulting from
   entrainment of aerosol into the marine boundary layer during the Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition
   Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D24), 4813, doi:10.1029/2001JD001502, 2002.
- Jiang, J. H., Su, H., Schoeberl, M. R., Massie, S. T., Colarco, P., Platnick, S., and Livesey, N. J.: Clean and
   polluted clouds: Relationships among pollution, ice clouds, and precipitation in South America, Geophys.
   Res. Lett., 35, L14804, doi: 10.1029/2008GL034631, 2008.
- Jiang, M. J., Li, Z. Q., Wan, B. C., and Cribb, M.: Impact of aerosols on precipitation from deep convective
   clouds in eastern China, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 9607-9620, doi:10.1002/2015JD024246, 2016.
- Johnson, D. B.: The role of giant and ultra-giant aerosol particles in warm rain initiation, J. Atmos. Sci., 39,
  448–460, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039<0448:TROGAU>2.0.CO;2, 1982.
- Jung, W. S., Panicker, A. S., Lee, D. I., and Park, S. H.: Estimates of aerosol indirect effect from Terra
  MODIS over Republic of Korea, Advances in Meteorology, 2013 (976813), 1-8, doi:10.1155/2013/976813, 2013.
- Kim, K. –M., Lau, K. M., Sud, Y. C., and Walker, G. K.: Influence of aerosol radiative forcings on the diurnal and seasonal cycles of rainfall over West Africa and Eastern Atlantic Ocean using GCM simulation, Clim.
   Dyn., 35, 115-126, doi: 10.1007/s00382-010-0750-1, 2010.
- Lau, K. M., Kim, M. K., and Kim, K. M.: Asian summer monsoon anomalies induced by aerosol direct forcing: the role of the Tibetan Plateau, Clim. Dyn., 26, 855-864, doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0114-z, 2006.
- Lee, S. S., Donner, L. J., and Phillips, V. T. J.: Impacts of aerosol chemical composition on microphysics and
   precipitation in deep convection, Atmos. Res., 94, 220-237, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.05.015, 2009.
- Lee, S. S., Guo, J., and Li, Z: Delaying precipitation by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta: 2. Model
  simulation, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 11739-11760, doi:10.1002/2015JD024362, 2016.
- Lelieveld, J. and Heintzenberg, J.: Sulfate cooling effect on climate through in-cloud oxidation of
   anthropogenic SO2, Science, 258, 117-120, doi:10.1126/science.258.5079.117, 1992.
- Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Remer, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Patadia, F., and Hsu, N. C.: The
  Collection 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2989–3034,
  doi:10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013, 2013.
- Li, H., Cui, X., Zhang, W., and Qiao, L.: Observational and dynamic downscaling analysis of a heavy rainfall
  event in Beijing, China during the 2008 Olympic Games, Atmos. Sci. Lett., 17, 368-376,
  doi:10.1002/asl.667, 2016.
- Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical
   development of clouds and precipitation, Nat. Geosci., 4, 888-894, doi:10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011.

- Lim, K. S. and Hong, S.: Investigation of aerosol indirect effects on simulated flash-flood heavy rainfall over
   Korea, Meteor. Atmos. Phys., 118, 199-214, doi:10.1007/s00703-012-0216-6, 2012.
- Liu, G., Shao, H., Coakley Jr. J. A., Curry, J. A., Haggerty, J. A., and Tschudi, M. A.: Retrieval of cloud
   droplet size from visible and microwave radiometric measurements during INDOEX: Implication to
   aerosols' indirect radioactive effect, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D1), 4006, doi:10.1029/2001JD001395, 2003.
- Liu, J., Wang, S., Zhang, W., and Wei, X.: Mechanism analysis of a strong convective weather in Hebei
   Province, Advances in Marine Science, 30, 9-16, 2012. (in Chinese)
- Menzel, W. P., Frey, R. A., Zhang, H., Wylie, D. P., Moeller, C. C., Holz, R. E., Maddux, B., Baum, B. A.,
  Strabala, K. I., and Gumley, L. E.: MODIS global cloud-top pressure and amount estimation: Algorithm
  description and results, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 47, 1175-1198, doi: 10.1175/2007JAMC1705.1, 2008.
- Min, Q., Joseph, E., Lin, Y., Min, L., Yin, B., Daum, P. H., Kleinman, L. I., Wang, J., and Lee, Y. –N.:
  Comparison of MODIS cloud microphysical properties with in-situ measurements over the Southeast
  Pacific, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11261-11273, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11261-2012, 2012.
- Nakajima, T. and King, M. D.: Determination of the optical thickness and effective particle radius of clouds
   from reflected solar radiation measurements. Part I: Theory, J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 1878-1893,
   doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1990)047<1878:DOTOTA>2.0.CO;2, 1990.
- Panicker, A. S., Pandithurai, G., and Dipu, S.: Aerosol indirect effect during successive contrasting monsoon
   seasons over Indian subcontinent using MODIS data, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1937-1943,
   doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.015, 2010.
- Platnick, S., Meyer, K., King, M. D., Wind, G., Amarasinghe, N., Marchant, B., Arnold, G. T., Zhang, Z.,
  Hubanks, P. A., Holz, R. E., Yang, P., Ridgway, W. L., and Riedi, J.: The MODIS cloud optical and
  microphysical products: Collection 6 updates and examples from Terra and Aqua, IEEE Trans. Geosci.
  Remote Sens., 55, 502-525, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2016.2610522, 2017.
- Qian, Y., Gong, D. Y., Fan, J. W., Leung, L. R., Bennartz, R., Chen, D. L., Wang, W. G.: Heavy pollution
  suppresses light rain in China: Observations and modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00K02,
  doi:10.1029/2008JD011575, 2009.
- Qiu, Y., Zhao, C., Guo, J., and Li, J.: 8-Year ground-based observational analysis about the seasonal variation
  of the aerosol-cloud droplet effective radius relationship at SGP site, Atmos. Environ., 164, 139-146,
  doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.002, 2017.
- Quaas, J., Boucher, O., Bellouin, N. and Kinne, S.: Satellite-based estimate of the direct and indirect aerosol
  climate forcing, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05204, doi:10.1029/2007JD008962, 2008.
- Quaas, J., Stevens, B., Stier, P., and Lohmann U.: Interpreting the cloud cover aerosol optical depth
  relationship found in satellite data using a general circulation model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6129-6135,
  doi:10.5194/acp-10-6129-2010, 2010.
- .081 Reutter, P., Su, H., Trentmann, J., Simmel, M., Rose, D., Gunthe, S. S., Wernli, H., Andreae, M. O., and
- .082 Po "schl, U.: Aerosol- and updraft-limited regimes of cloud droplet formation: influence of particle



- .083 number, size and hygroscopicity on the activation of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), Atmos. Chem. .084 Phys., 9, 7067-7080, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7067-2009, 2009.
- Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Takacs, L., Liu, H. C., Gu, W., Sienkiewicz, M., .085 Koster, R. D., Gelaro, R., Stajner, I., Nielsen, J. E.: The GEOS-5 Data Assimilation .086 .087 System-Documentation of Versions 5.0.1 and 5.1.0, and 5.2.0. NASA Technical Report Series on .088 Global Modeling and Data Assimilation NASA/TM-2008 -104606 27: 92 pp, 2008.
- Rosenfeld, D.: TRMM observed first direct evidence of smoke from forest fires inhibiting rainfall, Geophys. .089 Res. Lett., 26, 3105-3108, doi:10.1029/1999GL006066, 1999. .090
- .091 Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., Andreae, M. .092 O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation? Science, 321, 1309-1313, .093 doi:10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.
- Rosenfeld, D., Sherwood, S., Wood, R., and Donner, L.: Climate effects of aerosol-cloud interactions, Science, .094 .095 343, 379-380, doi:10.1126/science.1247490, 2014.
- .096 Rosenfeld, D., and Woodley, W. L .: Convective clouds with sustained highly supercooled liquid water down to -37.5°C, Nature, 405, 440-442, doi:10.1038/35013030, 2000. .097
- Sassen, K., Starr, D., Mace, G. G., Poellot, M. R., Melfi, S. H., Eberhard, W.L., Spinhirne, J. D., Eloranta, E. .098 W., Hagan, D. E., and Hallett, J.: The 5-6 December 1991 FIRE IFO II jet stream cirrus case study: .099 Possible influences of volcanic aerosols, J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 97-123, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1995) .100 .101 052<0097:TDFIIJ>2.0.CO;2, 1995.
- .102 Shen, Y., Xiong, A., Wang, Y., and Xie, P.: Performance of high-resolution satellite precipitation products over China, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D02114, doi:10.1029/2009JD012097, 2010. .103
- .104 Sherwood, S.: Aerosols and ice particle size in tropical cumulonimbus, J. Clim., 15, 1051-1063, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<1051:AAIPSI>2.0.CO;2, 2002. .105
- .106 Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A. D., Nenes, A., Jefferson, A., Wood, R., McNaughton, C. S., Ström, J., Tunved, P., .107 Redemann, J., Thornhill, K. L., Moore, R. H., Lathem, T. L., Lin, J. J., and Yoon, Y. J.: The relationship .108 between cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentration and light extinction of dried particles: indications of underlying aerosol processes and implications for satellite-based CCN estimates, Atmos. .109 .110 Chem. Phys., 15, 7585-7604, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7585-2015, 2015.
- Song, X. L. and Zhang, G. J.: Microphysics parameterization for connective clouds in a global climate model: .111 Description and single-column model tests, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D02201, doi:10.1029/2010JD014833, .112 2011. .113
- Squires, P.: The growth of cloud drops by condensation: I. general characteristics, Aust. J. Sci. Res., Ser. A, 5, .114 66-86, 1952. 115
- Squires, P., and Twomey, S.: A comparison of cloud nucleus measurements over central North America and .116 Caribbean Sea, J. Atmos. Sci., 23, 401-404, doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1966)023<0401:ACOCNM> .117 -2.0.CO;2, 1966.
- .118

- Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Du, W., Zhang, Q., Wang, Q. Q., Fu, P. Q., Pan, X. L., Li, J., Jayne, J., and Worsnop,
  D. R.: Long-term real-time measurements of aerosol particle composition in Beijing, China: seasonal
  variations, meteorological effects, and source analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 10149-10165,
  doi:10.5194/acp-15-10149-2015, 2015.
- Tariq, S., and Ali, M.: Spatio-temporal distribution of absorbing aerosols over Pakistan retrieved form OMI on
   board Aura Satellite, Atmos. Pollution Res., doi: 10.5094/APR.2015.030, 2015.
- Tao, M. H., Chen, L. F., Wang, Z. F., Tao, J. H., Che, H. Z., Wang, X. H., and Wang, Y.: Comparison and
  evaluation of the MODIS Collection 6 aerosol data in China, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 6992-7005,
  doi:10.1002/2015JD023360, 2015.
- Tao, W. K., Chen, J. P., Li, Z., Wang, C., and Zhang C.: Impact of aerosols on convective clouds and
   precipitation, Rev. Geophy., 50, RG2001/2012, 1-62, doi: 10.1029/2011RG000369, 2012.
- Torres, O., Bhartia, P.K., Herman, J.R., Ahmad, Z., Gleason, J.: Derivation of aerosol properties from satellite
  measurements of backscattered ultraviolet radiation: Theoretical basis, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 17099–
  17110, doi:10.1029/98JD00900, 1998.
- Twohy, C. H., Coakley, J. A., and Tahnk, W. R.: Effect of changes in relative humidity on aerosol scattering
  near clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D05205, doi:10.1029/2008JD010991, 2009.
- Twomey, S.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 34, 1149–1152, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<1149:TIOPOT>2.0.CO;2, 1977.
- Wang, J., Feng, J., Wu, Q., and Z. Yan, Z.: Impact of anthropogenic aerosols on summer precipitation in the
  Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei urban agglomeration in China: Regional climate modeling using WRF-Chem, Adv.
  Atmos. Sci., 33, 753-766, doi:10.1007/s00376-015-5103-x, 2016.
- Wolyn, P. G., and Mckee, T. B.: The mountain plains circulation east of a 2-km-high north south barrier, Mon.
  Weather Rev., 122, 1490-1508, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<1490:TMPCEO>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- Wu, P., Ding, Y. H., and Liu, Y. J.: Atmospheric circulation and dynamic mechanism for persistent haze
  events in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 34, 429-440,
  doi:10.1007/s00376-016-6158-z, 2017.
- Yang, X., Zhao, C., Zhou, L., Li, Z., Cribb, M., and Yang, S.: Wintertime cooling and a potential connection
  with transported aerosols in Hong Kong during recent decades, Atmos. Res., 211, 52-61,
  doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.04.029, 2018.
- Yu, R. C., Zhou, T. J., Xiong, A. Y., Zhu, Y. J., and Li, J. M.: Diurnal variations of summer precipitation over
   contiguous China, Geophys. Res. Lett, 34, L017041, doi:10.1029/2006GL028129, 2007.
- Yuan, T., Li, Z., Zhang, R., and Fan, J.: Increase of cloud droplet size with aerosol optical depth: An
  observation and modeling study, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D04201, doi:10.1029/2007JD008632, 2008.
- Yuan, W. H., Yu, R. C., Chen, H. M., Li, J., and Zhang, M. H.: Subseasonal Characteristics of Diurnal
  Variation in Summer Monsoon Rainfall over Central Eastern China, J. Climate, 23, 6684-6695,
  doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3805.1, 2010.

.155	Zeng, S., Riedi, J., Trepte, C. R., Winker, D. M., and Hu, YX.: Study of global cloud droplet number					
.156	concentration with A-Train satellites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 7125-7134, doi:					
.157	10.5194/acp-14-7125-2014, 2014.					
.158	Zhao, B., Gu, Y., Liou, KN., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Huang, L., Jiang, J. H., and Su, H.: Type-Dependent					
.159	Responses of Ice Cloud Properties to Aerosols From Satellite Retrievals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 3297-					
.160	3306, doi:10.1002/2018GL077261, 2018.					
.161	Zhou, S., Yang, J., Wang, W. C., Gong, D., Shi, P., and Gao, M.: Shift of daily rainfall peaks over the					
.162	Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region: An indication of pollutant effects? Int. J. Climatol. 2018;1-10,					

.162 Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei region: An indication of pollutant ef .163 doi:10.1002/joc.5700, 2018.

.164 Zhu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Li, Z.: Under what conditions can we trust retrieved cloud drop concentrations in
 .165 broken marine stratocumulus? J. Geophys. Res., 123, 8754-8767, doi:10.1029/2017JD028083, 2018.

#### .166

# .167 Tables

.168

Indicator Source	Source	Begin time	Thresholds	
	Source		25th percentile	75th percentile
AOD	MODIS	2002	0.98	2.00
CDNC (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	MODIS	2002	80.70	199.08
AAI	OMI	2005	0.13	0.52
SAI	OMI	2005	- 0.13	- 0.35
AOD of BC	MACC	2003	0.04	0.06
AOD of sulfate	MACC	2003	0.46	0.87
SH at 850 hPa (g/kg)	ERA-interim	2002	9.96	12.95

.169

.172 .173 .174 .175

.170 Table 1. The indicators of aerosols and moisture used in the study and their sources, begin times and the .171 thresholds (25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles). The end time of all data is to 2012.

	Indicator	Soi
	AOD	MC
	CDNC (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	MC
	AAI	0
	SAI	0
	AOD of BC	MA
	AOD of sulfate	MA
二则除.	SH at 850 hPa (g/kg)	ERA-

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

Characteristics	Cle	ean	Poll	uted	Diffe	erence	Signif	ìcance
of heavy rainfall	AOD	CDNC	AOD	CDNC	AOD	CDNC	AOD	CDNC
Start time	24.2 (3.9)	22.4 (4.3)	23.5 (4.8)	20.2 (4.1)	- 0.7	- 2.2	P<0.05	P<0.05
Peak time	23.0 (4.0)	22.2 (5.7)	22.0 (4.8)	19.6 (5.4)	- 1.0	- 2.6	P<0.05	P<0.05
Duration	4.0 (2.1)	5.9 (3.7)	4.8 (2.8)	6.4 (3.9)	0.8	0.5	P<0.05	P<0.05
Intensity	164.9 (98.4)	166.4 (92.4)	169.6 (94.3)	163.2 (90.0)	4.7	- 3.2	P>0.1	P>0.1

.177

Table 2. The mean values of start time (units: LST), peak time (units: LST), duration (units: hours) and intensity (units: 0.1mm/hour) of heavy rainfall respectively on the clean and polluted conditions using two indicators of AOD and CDNC, and their differences (polluted minus clean) and significances. The numbers in the brackets stand for the standard deviations on the means. "P<0.05" stands for the difference has passed the significance test of 95%, and "P>0.1" stands for the difference did not pass the significance test of 90%.

.184

Characteristics of heavy rainfall	AAI	SAI	Difference (AAI-SAI)	Less BC	More BC	Difference (More-Less)	Less sulfate	More sulfate	Difference (More-Less)
Start time	23.4 (4.8)	24.1 (4.4)	-0.7	24.2 (4.8)	23.9 (4.4)	-0.3	24.0 (4.3)	24.5 (4.4)	0.5
Peak time	21.0 (5.3)	22.6 (5.1)	-1.6	23.4 (5.3)	22.3 (4.0)	-1.1	23.2 (4.5)	22.9 (4.8)	-0.3
Duration	5.0 (3.1)	6.0 (3.8)	-1.0	4.8 (2.6)	4.6 (2.7)	-0.2	4.0 (2.1)	5.5 (3.0)	1.5

.185

Table 3. The mean values of start time (units: LST), peak time (units: LST) and duration (units: hours) of heavy rainfall respectively on the conditions with more absorbing aerosols (AAI more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, from OMI), more scattering aerosols (SAI more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, from OMI), less or more BC (AOD of BC less than 25<sup>th</sup> or more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, from MACC), less or more sulfate (AOD of sulfate less than 25<sup>th</sup> or more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, from MACC), and their differences. Numbers in the brackets stand for the standard deviations on the means. All differences have passed the significant test of 95%.

.192

.193 .194

.195

# 27

# Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

	Characteristics	Cl	ean
	of heavy rainfall	AOD	(
	Start time	24.2 (3.9)	
	Peak time	23.0 (4.0)	
	Duration	4.0 (2.1)	
	Intensity	164.9 (98.4)	
已删除:			

Clean/	Dolluted	CE	СТР	CO	TC	CV	WP	CE	ER
Clean	ronuteu	Сг	CIF	liquid	ice	liquid	ice	liquid	ice
	Clean	62.8 (17.6)	442.3 (149.6)	6.9 (4.5)	6.7 (8.5)	62.8 (36.6)	123.1 (168.9)	16.7 (4.4)	32.0 (8.7)
AOD	Polluted	89.3 (12.9)	487.3 (145.7)	10.0 (5.8)	12.9 (17.0)	96.4 (52.5)	211.3 (279.3)	17.5 (3.5)	29.2 (9.0)
CDNC	Clean	95.4 (5.7)	369.9 (110.0)	11.7 (12.9)	8.7 (13.6)	153.2 (159.0)	238.0 (281.9)	20.0 (2.8)	34.1 (5.5)
CDNC	Polluted	96.9 (4.7)	460.1 (145.6)	28.4 (22.3)	33.1 (22.6)	265.6 (210.4)	462.1 (443.5)	12.5 (2.0)	24.6 (8.9)

.197 .198

.199

Table 4. The mean values of CF (units: %), CTP (units: hPa), COT (liquid and ice, units: none), CWP (liquid and ice, units:  $g/m^2$ ) and CER (liquid and ice, units:  $\mu m$ ) from MODIS C6 cloud product on the clean condition (less than 25th percentile) and polluted condition (more than 75th percentile) using two indicators of AOD and CDNC. Numbers in the brackets stand for the standard deviations on the means. Numbers in grey indicate the results of liquid COT & CER are related to the calculation of CDNC. The differences between clean and polluted conditions have all passed the significant test of 95%.

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

j

	Clean/	Polluted	CF
	AOD	Clean	62.8 (17.6)
	AOD	Polluted	89.3 (12.9)
	CDNC	Clean	94.5 (6.1)
	CDNC	Polluted	97.4 (4.2)
ゴ 뻬 除:			

	(0	Group case number)	CF
	1	Clean, dry (153)	93.8 (6.1)
	2	Polluted, dry (128)	95.6 (5.1)
	3	Clean, wet (155)	92.7 (7.0) p <sub>1,3</sub> >0.05
	4	Polluted, wet (194)	97.8 (4.4)
已删除:			

Siyuan 20/2/19 11:34 PM

3 Clean wet	(4. <i>9</i> ) 95.6		19.2	18.0	(233.3)	354.9	192(27)	(8.2)
(178)	(6.0)	464.3 (131.1)	(17.9)	(17.9)	(216.5)	(364.3)	$p_{1,3} > 0.05$	(4.3)
4.Polluted, wet	97.5	462.7 (156.4)	32.2	24.6	259.0	393.3	12.8 (2.1)	24.0

已删除: has passed the significance test of 90 but

已删除:%, and "P>0.1" stands for the difference did not pass the significance test of 90

.205

.206

.207

Group	CE	СТР	CO	TC	CV	VP	CEH	ξ
(case number)	CI	CII	liquid	ice	liquid	ice	liquid	ice
1.Clean, dry (123)	91.7 (6.8)	413.5 (129.4)	9.9 (9.0)	7.9 (8.9)	119.9 (122.7)	163.2 (180.9)	19.9 (2.8)	35.7 (6.2)
2.Polluted, dry (140)	96.0 (4.9)	493.6 (140.1)	39.2 (24.6)	37.3 (22.4)	311.0 (233.3)	683.5 (458.0)	12.5 (2.1)	28.3 (8.2)
3.Clean, wet (178)	95.6 (6.0)	464.3 (131.1)	19.2 (17.9)	18.0 (17.9)	219.4 (216.5)	354.9 (364.3)	19.2 (2.7) p <sub>1,3</sub> >0.05	32.7 (4.3)
4.Polluted, wet (195)	97.5 (4.7)	$\begin{array}{c} 462.7(156.4) \\ p_{3,4} \!\!>\!\! 0.05 \end{array}$	32.2 (22.0)	24.6 (21.4)	259.0 (219.1)	393.3 (418.3)	12.8 (2.1)	24.0 (8.2)

.208 .209

.210

.211 .212

.213

.214

.215

# 28

and ice, units:  $g/m^2$ ) and CER (liquid and ice, units:  $\mu m$ ) in four groups. Numbers in the brackets stand for the

standard deviations on the means. Italic numbers in grey represent that the differences are not significant, in

which "P>0.05" stands for the difference did not pass the significance test of 95%.

.223	
.224	
.225	
226	
227	
.227	
.228	
.229	
.230	
.231	
.232	
.233	
.234	
.235	
.236	
.237	
238	
.230	
.239	
.240	
.241	
.242	Figures

# .243



.244

- .245 Figure 1. Selected rainfall stations (blue dots) and topography (shading, units: m) in the BTH region (red box,
- .246 36–41° N, 114–119° E).
- .247







.249 Figure 2. PDF of (a .250 rainfall days (blue li

Figure 2. PDF of (a) AOD and (b) CDNC (cm<sup>-3</sup>) (data from MODIS) on non-rainfall days (black lines), rainfall days (blue lines) and heavy rainfall days (red lines) in southwesterly during early summers from 2002 to 2012. Numbers in the legends denote the sample number.

.254

.252 .253

.255











.260 0.1mm/hour) of heavy rainfall (data from CMA) on selected clean (blue lines) and polluted (red lines)
.261 conditions, respectively using indicator of (a) AOD and (b) CDNC (cm<sup>-3</sup>), during early summers from 2002 to
.262 2012.





.264

Figure 4. PDF of (a) start time (units: LST), (b) peak time (units: LST), and (c) duration (units: hours) of
heavy rainfall on the days with SAI more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile (blue lines, data from OMI) and days with AAI
more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile (red lines, data from OMI), during early summers from 2005 to 2012.

.268 .269

> (a) Percentage of BC AOD (b) Percentage of sulfate AOD BC sul 50N 50N 40N 40N 30N 30N 20N 20N 70E 100E 110E 120E 100E 110E 130 140E 70 120E 908 0.05 0.06 0.05 0.3 0.04 0.02 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.5

140E



.271 Figure 5. Percentages of AOD for (a) BC and (b) sulfate from MACC reanalysis data in summers (June –

.272 August) during 2002 to 2012.

- .273
- .274
- .275



.276

Figure 6. PDF of start time (units: LST), peak time (units: LST) and duration (units: hours) of heavy rainfall
on the different conditions of (a) BC and (b) sulfate. Blue/red lines stand for the condition of less/more BC or
sulfate (AOD of BC or sulfate less than 25<sup>th</sup> /more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, data from MACC) during early
summers from 2003 to 2012.

.281

.282





.283

Figure 7. (a) PDF of start time (units: LST), peak time (units: LST), and duration (units: hours) of heavy .284 rainfall with less moisture (blue lines, SH at 850 hPa less than 25th percentile, data form ERA-interim) and .285 more moisture (red lines, SH at 850 hPa more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, data form ERA-interim). (b) and (c) are .286 .287 scatter distributions of SH-start time/peak time/duration for clean cases (blue points) and polluted cases (red points) respectively using AOD and CDNC. Green lines stands for the start/peak time at 8:00 LST or the .288 duration is 0 hours. Positive (negative) values stand for the hours away from 8:00 LST or 0 hours in clean .289 (polluted) cases. Blue (red) lines stand for the mean values of rainfall characteristics at each integer of SH in .290 clean (polluted) cases. .291

.292 .293





# .295 .296

Figure 8. PDF of start time (units: LST), peak time (units: LST), and duration (units: hours) of heavy rainfall
on selected clean (blue lines) and polluted (red lines) conditions with SH at 850 hPa (from ERA-interim) less
than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, respectively using indicator of (a) AOD and (b) CDNC (cm<sup>-3</sup>), during early summers from
2002 to 2012.

.301 .302

.303

.304

.305





.307

.308

.309

.310

.311 .312 .313 .314 .315

Figure 9. PDF of CF (units: %), CTP (units: hPa), COT (liquid and ice, units: none), CWP (liquid and ice, units: g/m<sup>2</sup>) and CER (liquid and ice, units: μm) on selected clean (blue dash lines: AOD<25<sup>th</sup> percentile; blue solid lines: CDNC<25<sup>th</sup> percentile) and polluted (red dash lines: AOD>75<sup>th</sup> percentile; red solid lines: CDNC>75<sup>th</sup> percentile) heavy rainfall days. All cloud variables are obtained from MODIS C6 cloud product.



.316

Figure 10. PDF of CF (units: %, data from MODIS) respectively for the conditions of less BC/sulfate (blue
lines, AOD of BC/sulfate less than 25<sup>th</sup> percentile, data from MACC) and more BC/sulfate (red lines, AOD of
BC/sulfate more than 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, data from MACC) cases with heavy rainfall during 10 early summers
(2003-2012).

- .322
- .323
- .324



.325



.327

.328

.329

