Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1062-RC2, 2019
© Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



ACPD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Comparison of two automated aerosol typing methods and their application on an EARLINET station" by Kalliopi Artemis Voudouri et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 18 February 2019

The manuscript applies two different algorithms to investigate the capability to correctly classify an aerosol layer based on the intensive optical properties.

To my opinion: - A clear demonstration of the aerosol classification methodology should be done in section 3.1 and 3.2 with a sketch. The aerosol properties used in each of the algorithms should be clarified in the manuscript. - A table with the aerosol classes finally used would be helpful along with the aerosol mixtures for each aerosol class - More complex cases should be also presented in the manuscript. - Clean continental cases are not well justified in the manuscript

Detailed corrections/suggestions is within the upload reviewed file.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2018-1062/acp-2018-1062-RC2-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1062, 2018.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

