Response to Comments by Referee #2

Referee comments in boldface type
Author responses in italics

The author sincerely appreciates the referee’s offer to review the manuscript, all the associated efforts, and helpful comments.

Anonymous Referee #2
Referee report on manuscript acp-2018-1047
General comments.
The paper is devoted to explanation of the OH* rotational temperatures dependence on vibrational numbers. Author found that the existence of bimodal OH* rotational population distributions is an inherent feature of rotational relaxation. In the manuscript OH* rotational temperatures dependence on vibrational numbers is explained by the bimodality of the OH*(v) rotational population distributions. The result is obtained based on analysis of selected examples from former investigations. On my opinion the provided analysis is correct and author’s conclusions are reasonable.

Specific comments.
Is the explanation of the temperature trend by bimodal rotational population distribution only one possible? If – not, please discuss other with corresponding references.
This report demonstrates that the OH rotational temperature dependence on the vibrational level that has been reported previously based on measurements of only the few lowest rotational levels contains large systematic errors. Therefore, the previously reported trend should be considered an artefact and does not reflect how the temperature of the thermalized portion of the OH rotational population distribution depends on the vibrational level. Not enough information is available yet to establish what the actual trend and its variability are.
Another key point is that we do not know how collisional relaxation influences the bimodality in the rotational population distributions. As stated in lines 6-8 of the discussion on page 5, “...we do not fully understand all the relevant collisional relaxation processes and the variability of the bimodal character in the OH rotational population distributions.” It seems quite remarkable that after seven decades of measurements on OH rotational temperature, one finds that in many crucial aspects we are just at the beginning.
Other than the comments provided above, the manuscript was left unchanged.

Page 4, line 12: “the fact that the OH(v) radiative lifetime decreases as the vibrational level increases” – add reference.
This sentence was corrected as follows and a reference was added:
“This behaviour results from the fact that the OH(v) radiative lifetime decreases as the vibrational level increases (Brooke et al., 2016) and, consequently, the higher OH vibrational levels experience fewer collisions with the ambient atmosphere.”

Technical corrections

I recommend for references in the manuscript to use unique style, i.e. ( ) or [ ] through the entire manuscript

Corrected as suggested using parentheses.

Page 1, line 20: “von Savigny 2017” - add comma.
Corrected as suggested

The following reference was added:

Corrected as suggested

Corrected as suggested

Corrected as suggested

Page 4, line 10: “highest vibrational levels,” – without the comma.
Corrected as suggested

Page 4, line 20: “of a bimodal OH” – the (?! I am not sure).
This sentence was changed as follows:
“We now consider the effect of bimodal OH rotational population distributions on the determination of OH rotational temperatures by considering an example for OH(v = 9).”

Corrected as suggested

Generally, after specific and technical corrections, I recommend this paper for publication in Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.