Report #1

The authors characterized organic nitrates at an urban site in south China based on the measurements of aerosol mass spectrometer and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The ratios of NO+/NO2+ and positive matrix factorization were used to estimate the concentrations of organic nitrates. The authors found that organic nitrates contribute substantial fractions of total nitrate in spring, summer and autumn, and the reactions between biogenic VOCs and NO3 radical were the major formation pathway. This manuscript is generally well written, and I have some comments below.

1. Concerning the PMF results, the authors need to show more diagnostic plots to justify the results. For example, previous study by the same group (He et al., 2011) was able to identify four OA factors in autumn at the same site, while the biomass burning OA was not resolved in this study. The spectrum of LO-OOA in autumn (Figure S1) shows clear m/z 60 and 73 signals, suggesting that more factors are needed. In addition, I would suggest the authors checking the changes in NO+, NO2+ in OA factors across different fpeak values, and give an estimation of uncertainties.

REPLY:

According to the diagnostic plots of the PMF analysis shown in Figure S2 to S4 in the supplementary, the same organic factors were obtained in PMF based on only OA spectra and OA spectra combining with NO_X⁺ ions. Although the 3-factor solution for OA seemed to have a "mixed factor" problem (Zhu et al., 2018), such as HOA mixed with COA (clear $C_3H_3O^+$ in m/z 55 for spring, summer and autumn) (Mohr et al., 2012) and BBOA mixed with LO-OOA (clear m/z 60 and 73 signals in LO-OOA for autumn) (Cubison et al., 2011), running PMF with more factors would produce unexplained factors but little influence the apportion between organic nitrate and inorganic nitrate (Table S1). In addition, the uncertainties of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ in OA factors across different fpeak values (from –1.0 to 1.0) were very small (Table S2). Thus, the 3-factor solution was finally used for quantifying organic nitrates in spring, summer and autumn. We have added the related description in section 2.3.

Table S1. The correlation coefficients (R) of NO3, org and NO3, inorg in 3- to 5-factors solutions estimated

		3-factor solution		4-factor solution		5-factor solution	
		NO _{3,org}	NO _{3,inorg}	NO _{3,org}	NO _{3,inorg}	NO _{3,org}	NO _{3,inorg}
		(NOx vs.PMF)	(NOx vs.PMF)	(NOx vs.PMF)	(NOx vs.PMF)	(NOx vs.PMF)	(NOx vs.PMF)
Spring	R	0.82	0.92	0.81	0.90	0.80	0.91
	Slope	1.21	0.76	1.15	0.78	1.20	0.82
Summer	R	0.82	0.87	0.82	0.88	0.81	0.90
	Slope	1.53	0.70	1.50	0.65	1.45	0.64
Autumn	R	0.77	0.86	0.75	0.85	0.76	0.83
	Slope	0.81	0.85	0.76	0.82	0.75	0.78

by PMF method with these estimated by NOx method, respectively.

			НОА	LO-OOA	MO-OOA
Spring	NO ⁺	fpeak=0	1.3*10 ⁻²	1.4*10-2	9.8*10 ⁻³
		uncertainty	3.4%	1.4%	6.8%
	NO ₂ ⁺	fpeak=0	1.2*10 ⁻²	1.5*10-4	3.0*10 ⁻⁸
		uncertainty	8.5%	3.8%	4.3%
Summer	NO ⁺	fpeak=0	1.5*10-2	1.0*10-2	1.2*10-2
		uncertainty	1.5%	5.1%	3.0%
	NO_2^+	fpeak=0	1.47*10 ⁻⁶	6.7*10-4	1.8*10-3
		uncertainty	4.8%	6.9%	4.0%
Autumn	NO^+	fpeak=0	1.1*10 ⁻²	3.1*10-2	1.0*10-2
		uncertainty	4.5%	0.5%	1.2%
	NO ₂ ⁺	fpeak=0	7.0*10 ⁻⁸	9.8*10-8	2.8*10 ⁻⁷
		uncertainty	0.6%	1.9%	1.2%

Table S2. The mass fraction of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ in OA factors when fpeak is 0 and the uncertainties of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ in OA factors across different fpeak values (from -1.0 to 1.0)

2. High NO+ signal and NO+/NO2+ ratio were also observed in HOA spectrum, are they organic nitrates from direct emissions or some other sources. Please calculate the mass fractions of organic nitrates in three OA factors. A major fraction would be expected in LO-OOA, and not surprisingly, organic nitrates were well correlated with LO-OOA.

REPLY:

The mass fraction of organic nitrates in HOA, LO-OOA and MO-OOA was 31%, 49% and 20%, respectively, in spring; 28%, 52% and 20%, respectively, in summer; 30%, 46% and 24% in HOA, LO-OOA and MO-OOA, respectively, in autumn. We have added this statement in section 3.1.

3. The authors calibrated AMS every two weeks, could the authors show the NO+/NO2+ ratio for each calibration to check the stability of the instrument.

REPLY:

In this study, R_{NH4NO3} was determined by IE calibration using pure NH4NO3 on every two weeks for each campaigns and the results show stable values: In spring, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 2.66 for the first IE calibration and 2.94 for the second IE calibration; in summer, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.05 and 3.34 for the first and second IE calibration, respectively; in autumn, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.33 and 3.31 for the first and second IE calibration, respectively; in winter, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.45 and 3.51 for the first and second IE calibration, respectively. We have added this in section 2.3.

4. In the abstract and conclusions, the authors highlight the importance of organic nitrates using its

contribution in total nitrates. I would suggest the authors using the mass fractions of organic nitrates in total OA. In addition, could the authors compare the mass concentrations of organic nitrates with previous studies in Pearl River Delta?

REPLY:

We have amended the description in the abstract and conclusions according to this comment. According to the best of our knowledge, no literature has reported the mass concentrations of organic nitrates in Pearl River Delta region, but we have added section 3.5 to compare the organic nitrates in this study to other similar studies across the world.

5. I suggest the authors adding the time series of non-refractory aerosol species during three seasons in supplementary. This is good for readers to evaluate the sources of organic nitrates. For example, the time series of organic nitrates in spring in Figure 2(a) showed clear plumes, which were very likely from regional transport. Without showing other aerosol species, it is hard to tell.

REPLY:

We have added the time series of non-refractory aerosol species in Figure S1 in the supplementary.

6. A more detailed description of VOC measurements is needed in experimental methods.

REPLY:

We have added the related description in section 2.2.2. "In the spring campaign, ambient VOC concentrations were also measured using an on-line VOC monitoring system (TH-300B, Tianhong Corp.), including an ultralow-temperature preconcentration cold trap and an automated in-situ gas chromatograph (Agilent 7820A) equipped with a mass spectrometer (Agilent 5977E). The system had both a flame ionization detector (FID) gas channel for C2–C5 hydrocarbons and a mass spectrometer (MS) gas channel for C5–C12 hydrocarbons, halohydrocarbons and oxygenated VOCs. A complete working cycle of the system was one hour and included five steps: sample collection, freeze-trapping, thermal desorption, GC-FID/MS analysis, heating and anti-blowing purification. The sample collection time was 5 min, the sampling flow was 60 ml min-1, and the anti-blowing flow was 200 ml min-1. The calibration of over 100 VOCs was performed using mixed standard gas before and after the campaign. Detection limits for most compounds were near 5pptv. More description of this instrument can be found in Wang et al. (2014)."

7. Spell out "VOCs" in the abstract, and show slopes in Figure 2(b).

REPLY:

We have amended it and the slopes were given in Table S1.

References

Wang, M.; Zeng, L.; Lu, S.; Shao, M.; Liu, X.; Yu, X.; Chen, W.; Yuan, B.; Zhang, Q.; Hu, M.; Zhang, Z.: Development and validation of a cryogen-free automatic gas chromatograph system (GC-MS/FID) for online measurements of volatile organic compounds, 6, (23), 9424-9434. Analytical Methods, <u>https://doi.10.1039/C4AY01855A</u>, 2014.

Report #2

This study used an HR-ToF-AMS to investigate the particulate organic nitrate (pON) in Shenzhen, China over one-year measurement. The authors applied two methods (i.e., NO+/NO2+ ratio and PMF analysis including NO+ and NO2+ ions) to estimate the concentration of pON nitrate. The fractions of pON in total nitrate in different seasons are reported. Further, it is concluded that biogenic VOCs+nitrate radical is the major source of pON, even though the sampling site is located in polluted urban area. The topic is of interest to the community. Overall, the results are clearly presented and consistent with previous studies.

1. My major concern is that this paper is scientifically correct, but not obviously a significant advance in the field. This study mirrored the analysis procedure from previous publications, but did not emphasize the unique contribution to our knowledge on pON. One interesting point to expand discussions on, as the authors briefly discussed, is that pON concentration in this study is similar to that in the southeastern U.S., a region with lower NOx. A deeper investigation on this comparison may reveal whether the pON formation is VOCs- or NOx-limited across different regions. It is also helpful to contrast to the pON concentration in Europe [*Kiendler-Scharr et al.*, 2016], where the NOx is likely comparable to that in this study. In addition, the diurnal variation of pON (i.e., the increase near 3am) is another interesting point to explore.

REPLY:

We have added some description in Introduction to address the contribution of this paper on organic nitrates studies in detail. "Ng et al. (2017) reviewed the nitrate radical chemistry and the abundance of particulate organic nitrates in the United States and Europe, and further concluded that particulate organic nitrates are formed substantially via NO3+BVOC chemistry, which plays an important role in SOA formation. Unfortunately, relevant Chinese datasets are scarce yet and not included in this review. This was because (1) the contributions of organic nitrates in SOA and total nitrates in Chinese atmosphere remain poorly understood; (2) the anthropogenic and biogenic precursor emissions in China are largely different from those in the United States and Europe, and thus cannot be easily estimated. To our best knowledge, few studies have investigated the concentrations and formation pathways of particulate organic nitrates in China. Xu et al. (2017) estimated the mass concentration of organic nitrogen in Beijing using AMS, but in this study they ignored the contribution of NO_{X^+} family, which are the major fragments of organic nitrates." In addition, we added section 3.3 to discuss the size distribution characteristics of organic nitrates. We used the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio as an indicator to investigate the size distribution characteristics of organic nitrates. It is clearly found that the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio generally increases towards smaller size in spring, summer, and autumn, while the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio keep similar to the value of R_{NH4NO3} throughout the full size range in winter. It should also be noted that in spring, summer, and autumn, the lowest values of NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio at > 1 μ m are also approximate to the seasonal values of R_{NH4NO3}. These characteristics clearly indicate that organic nitrates occurred mostly in fresh particles with smaller sizes, and thus should be mainly of local origin. The diurnal trends of size distributions of NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio show higher values in small size range at night comparing that in the day in spring, summer and autumn, suggesting a dominant nighttime origin of organic nitrates. Furthermore, according to the calculated production potential of organic nitrates from a NO₃+VOC reaction and SOA yields in section 3.4, we find that besides the BVOCs species, anthropogenic styrene plays an important role in nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation in urban atmosphere in China. Finally, we compared this study with other particulate organic nitrate studies in section 3.5 and the results show that the formation of particulate organic nitrate is more likely

NOx-control than BVOCs-control and high NOx emissions could promote biogenic SOA formation at night. The detailed reply regarding the diurnal variation of pON can be found in Question 5 below.

2. The diurnal trends of PMF factors should be included. Please add the diurnal trend of LO-OOA in Figure 5.

REPLY:

We have added the diurnal trend of LO-OOA in Figure 6.

3. Cautions are required when using the method in section 3.3 to estimate the pON formation. To investigate the sources of measured pON, what is really required is the reacted amount of VOCs. The calculated pON, on the other hand, is based on the measured VOCs existing in the atmosphere. Thus, the calculated pON is not directly comparable to measured pON. This analysis can only serve as a ballpark estimation. The conclusion that biogenic VOCs + NO3 is the major source of pON should be toned down.

REPLY:

We have made a lot of modification in the manuscript and tone down the conclusion that biogenic VOCs + NO3 is the major source of pON. According to the section 3.2 and 3.3, we can conclude the nighttime NO₃+VOCs reactions serve as an important source for organic nitrates, and in section 3.4, further investigation on potential pathway for nighttime particulate organic nitrates formation was performed. We used the NO₃ loss rate at night, which can be calculated as $K_i \cdot [VOC_i]$ in Eq. (9), to roughly judge the production potential of organic nitrates from a NO₃+VOC reaction:

 $[Production Potential]_{NO3+VOCi} = K_i \cdot [VOC_i] \cdot [NO_3] (9)$

Where K_i represents the reaction rate coefficient for NO₃ radical and a VOC, $[VOC_i]$ is the concentration of the specific VOC and $[NO_3]$ is the concentration of NO₃ radical. According to the distribution of production potential, five biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) (i.e., α -pinene, limonene, camphene, β -pinene and isoprene) and one anthropogenic VOC (styrene) were identified as notable VOC precursors with high production potential, while the sum of production potential from the other VOCs was negligible. In addition, the estimated SOA production from NO3+VOCs reactions using SOA mass yields shows that α -pinene, limonene and styrene were the key VOC precursors for nighttime organic nitrates formation in urban atmosphere in Shenzhen. This result highlights the key role of this anthropogenic VOC precursor in nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation in urban atmosphere in China, and relevant smog chamber studies for anthropogenic VOCs+NO3 reactions are needed to support parameterization in modeling.

4. Figure 3. What is "NO3,org1_ratio"?

REPLY:

The NO3 estimation based on NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio method using the upper bounds of R_{ON}/R_{NH4NO3} is denoted as NO_{3.org1_ratio}, Please see the footnote in Table 2.). In order to see it clearly, we changed it to "NO_{3_org_ratio_1}" in the text.

5. Figure 5 and Line 209. What causes the pON increase near 3am?

REPLY:

We have added the detailed discussion in section 3.4 to explain the pON increase near 3am. "Figure 6 shows the average nighttime variations of BC, LO-OOA, NO_{3.org_ratio_1}, NO_{3.org_PMF} and production

potential of the six notable VOCs identified during the spring campaign. The concentrations of BC and LO-OOA generally decreased slowly after sunset till sunshine due to the combined effect of both the planetary boundary layer variation and traffic emissions, while particulate organic nitrates showed a different trend with two clear growth processes (19:00-22:00 and 3:00-6:00) at night, suggesting their unique sources. In contrast, the production potentials of the six notable VOCs with NO₃ had two roughly similar increases at the same periods as those of particulate organic nitrate organic nitrates, which supported the key role of NO₃+VOCs reactions for nighttime organic nitrate formation."

6. Line 31. Please add reference to support this statement. Replace "recognize" with "recognized".

REPLY:

We have added the reference and replaced "recognized" with "recognize".

7. Line 99. NO, NO2, NOON, and NO2, ON need superscript "+". This should be revised throughout the manuscript.

<u>REPLY:</u>

We have corrected it.

8. Line 131. How is the SA calculated?

REPLY:

SA is calculated from the size-resolved particle number concentrations assuming spherical particles measured by a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) (TSI Inc., USA, 3775 CPC and TSI Inc. 3080 DMA). And 220 μ m² cm⁻³ is actually under dry conditions, the ambient (wet) aerosol SA is 475 μ m² cm⁻³ by using the hygroscopic growth factor in Liu et al. (2010). We have added and corrected the related description in Text S1 in the supplementary. We have added this description in Text S1 in the supplementary.

9. Line 149. As a sanity check, are NO+ and NO2+ exclusively apportioned into NIA in winter? **<u>REPLY:</u>**

The NO_x⁺ method is not suitable to estimate the mass concentration of organic nitrates due to more than 50% ambient NO⁺/NO₂⁺ values smaller than R_{NH4NO3}. Thus, we use PMF method to estimate the organic nitrates and the result are shown in the figure (added to FigureS6) below. A majority NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ ions are apportioned into NIA and the contribution of organic nitrates in total nitrates is about 4%. We have added some description in Section 3.1. "It should be noted that the small difference between the average R_{obs} and R_{NH4NO3} in winter leads to a large portion of negative data using the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio method (Table 1), and the result from the PMF method shows the contribution of organic nitrates in total nitrates is only 4.2% in winter (Figure S6), suggesting a negligible contribution of organic nitrates. Thus, we will only discuss organic nitrate estimation results in spring, summer and autumn."

10. Line 197. It should be section 2.4, instead of 2.3.**REPLY:** We have corrected it.

References

- Liu, X.G., Zhang, Y.H., Wen, M.T., Wang, J.L., Jung, J.S., Chang, S.-Y., Hu, M., Zeng, L.M. and Kim,
 Y.J.: A closure study of aerosol hygroscopic growth factor during the 2006 Pearl River Delta
 Campaign. Adv. Atmos. Sci. 27, 947–956, 2010.
- Ng, N. L., Brown, S. S., Archibald, A. T., Atlas, E., Cohen, R. C., Crowley, J. N., Day, D. A., Donahue, N. M., Fry, J. L., Fuchs, H., Griffin, R. J., Guzman, M. I., Herrmann, H., Hodzic, A., Iinuma, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Lee, B. H., Luecken, D. J., Mao, J., McLaren, R., Mutzel, A., Osthoff, H. D., Ouyang, B., Picquet-Varrault, B., Platt, U., Pye, H. O. T., Rudich, Y., Schwantes, R. H., Shiraiwa, M., Stutz, J., Thornton, J. A., Tilgner, A., Williams, B. J., and Zaveri, R. A.: Nitrate radicals and biogenic volatile organic compounds: oxidation, mechanisms, and organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2103-2162, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2103-2017, 2017.
- Xu, W., Sun, Y., Wang, Q., Du, W., Zhao, J., Ge, X., Han, T., Zhang, Y., Zhou, W., Li, J., Fu, P., Wang,
 Z., Worsnop, D.R.: Seasonal Characterization of Organic Nitrogen in Atmospheric Aerosols Using
 High Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometry in Beijing, China. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. 1, 673–682.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00106, 2017.

Report #3

1. Some of the methods are reasonably well described and follow on methods developed and described in the literature, although additional details are needed for several aspects. However, overall nothing new seems to be offered in terms of method development nor advancement in understanding of atmospheric science (which Ref #2 recognized as well). The conclusions the authors arrive at are not surprising and have been previously published. Thus, it largely comes across as a data report, where measurements were made, previous methods are applied and the scientific analysis/interpretation is conducted in this case with less rigor than prior publications. Thus the value to the literature essentially amounts a report of measurements and simple calculations conducted in a different location. The results section consists of only a few figures and ~2 pages of 1.5-space text, a reflection of the thin-ness of new content. Importantly, some conclusions are overstated with insufficient evidence or even faulty logic presented, so in present form is in fact misleading.

REPLY:

We have done lots of modification and corrected the mistakes based on each comment to offer more solid and convincing conclusions. Please see the details as below.

2. Text was generally readable but there are lots of grammar errors. A few pervasive errors include the addition or omission of articles (e.g. "the", "a") or plurality when not needed or needed. Reviewing by native English speaker BEFORE submission is recommended. Much of the text is written clearly, while in many cases insufficient details were provided to understand exactly what was done.

REPLY:

We have corrected them in the text.

3. I agree with Referee 1 that there is not sufficient detail provided on the PMF (both justification of solutions, as well as summary of results). This should also include the NOx+ ratios for each factor, discussion of the meaning and/or possible biases related to the HOA factor containing nitrates and the inorganic factor having a different NOx+ ratios than calibrations. Correlations of organic nitrates vs all of the factors should be presented (ideally a version of Fig. 3 for each factor in the supplementary). Simply showing that organic nitrates correlate with LO-OOA does not make a convincing case that they are related since often all concentrations largely increase and decrease at a given sampling site together. Additionally diurnal cycles should be shown for all factors

REPLY:

The key diagnostic plots of chosen factors and the mass spectrum profiles of 3 to 5 factors for spring, summer and autumn are shown in Figure S2 to S4 (in the supplementary). The NOx⁺ ratios for NIAs are given in section 3.1 in the manuscript (2.93 for spring, 3.53 for summer and 3.54 for autumn), and NOx⁺ ratios for OA factors are shown in Table S2 in the supplement. And the uncertainties of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ in OA factors across different fpeak values are also shown in Table S2. Correlations of organic nitrates vs. all of factors are presented in Figure S7 to S9 in the supplementary. The diurnal cycles for OA factors in each season are shown in Figure S5 and it shows that all OA factors have distinctive variation trends in different seasons.

Table S2. The values of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ in OA factors when fpeak is 0 and the uncertainties of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ in OA factors across different fpeak values (from -1.0 to 1.0)

			HOA	LO-OOA	MO-OOA
Spring	NO^+	fpeak=0	1.3*10-2	1.4*10-2	9.8*10 ⁻³

		uncertainty	3.4%	1.4%	6.8%
	NO_2^+	fpeak=0	1.2*10-2	1.5*10-4	3.0*10-8
		uncertainty	8.5%	3.8%	4.3%
Summer	NO^+	fpeak=0	1.5*10-2	1.0*10-2	1.2*10-2
	unce		1.5%	5.1%	3.0%
	NO_2^+	fpeak=0	1.47*10 ⁻⁶	6.7*10-4	1.8*10 ⁻³
		uncertainty	4.8%	6.9%	4.0%
Autumn	NO^+	fpeak=0	1.1*10-2	3.1*10-2	1.0*10-2
		uncertainty	4.5%	0.5%	1.2%
	NO ₂ ⁺	fpeak=0	7.0*10-8	9.8*10 ⁻⁸	2.8*10-7
		uncertainty	0.6%	1.9%	1.2%

4. Evidence is thin to install confidence that the methods for separation of organic nitrates is producing meaningful separation. While the methods have been applied before in other papers, the methods may be prone to substantial error and potentially bias when organic nitrates are a small fraction of total nitrate, as is the case here for all seasons except summertime. Diurnal cycles of the total nitrate and inorganic and organic nitrates calculated by the different methods would be helpful. Showing correlations of both the organic AND inorganic nitrate with the PMF factors may also be informative. **REPLY:**

We have added more discussion to support that the separation between organic and inorganic nitrates is meaningful in section 3.1. Diurnal cycles of total nitrate and inorganic and organic nitrates calculated by the different methods are given in Figure 2b in the manuscript. The inorganic nitrate (NO_{3 inorg*}) obtained by subtracting NO3_org_ratio_1 from total measured nitrates also correlated well with the inorganic nitrate estimated using the PMF method (R=0.92 for spring, 0.87 for summer and 0.86 for autumn). Furthermore, the diurnal trends of organic nitrates obtained by the two methods were also similar in each season, generally with lower concentrations in the daytime and higher concentrations at night, while they were distinctive from those of inorganic nitrate (Figure 2b), supporting that organic nitrates had been well separated from inorganic nitrate in this study.

5. The NO3 radical concentration calculation is bewildering. The loss in the steady-state calculation is based on only a-pinene and limonene with no justification for this choice (Section 2.4, Table S1). Then the concentrations are neither reported nor shown, it is unclear if they are calculated for only one fixed value or as a time series. What season was this done for? What season does Table S1 pertain to? This is a critical calculation since the conclusion that NO3 dominates over O3 for BVOC losses and for the SOA modeling. The calculation that NO3 accounts for nearly 100% of the BVOC loss at night is a little surprising and also from which major conclusions of this manuscript flow. For the Xu et al. (2015b) study (referenced in the manuscript), they calculated that only 20%/38% of the reacted a/b-binene was with NO3 at night. Might the NO3 calculated here be biased high since only two VOC losses were considered?

REPLY:

Since on-line VOCs measurement was only performed during the spring campaign, the following theoretical analysis of NO₃+VOCs reactions will be just applied to the spring case. Typical nighttime VOC concentrations, their reaction rate coefficients for reacting with NO₃ radical are listed in Table S3. five biogenic VOCs (i.e., α -pinene, isoprene, β -pinene, Comparing to limonene and camphene)accounting for 99% NO₃ loss in Table S3 in Xu et al. (2015b), one anthropogenic VOC, styrene made the third largest contribution to NO₃ loss, which should not be ignored in the related SOA estimation analysis. But α -pinene and limonene do contribute to nearly 90% of NO₃ loss in our case due to their much higher nighttime concentrations than other BVOCs and rapid reaction rates with NO₃ radical. The nighttime estimated concentration of NO₃ radical in this study is 1.24±0.76pptv, which is about 15 times higher than the nighttime concentration of NO₃ radicals (0.076 pptv) reported in Xu et al. (2015b), this is because that the value of [NO₂]×[O₃] (20ppbv×6.8ppbv) in this case is just about 15 times higher than that value (0.54ppbv for NO₂ and 21 ppbv for O₃) in Xu et al. (2015b). Thus, the nighttime concentration of NO₃ and O₃ is 0.076 pptv and 21ppbv, respectively. The much higher NO₃ level and lower O₃ level in this study leads to almost all VOCs reacting with NO₃ radical over O₃ at night.

Table S3.	The average campaign	concentrations of	f VOCs measured with an	automated in situ
gas-chrom	atography mass spectr	ometer (GC-MS),	their reaction rate coeffic	ients for reacting with NO3
radical and	l the production potent	tial from NO ₃ +VO	C in spring.	

VOC species	Mean concentration (ppbv)	Rate Coefficient	Production potential
			(pptv/s)
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	0.057	1.90E-15	3.72E-06
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.177	1.80E-15	1.10E-05
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.051	8.80E-16	1.54E-06
1,3-Butadiene	0.052	1.00E-13	1.79E-04
1-Butene	0.415	1.32E-14	1.89E-04
1-Hexene	0.022	1.20E-14	9.06E-06
1-Pentene	0.022	1.20E-14	9.27E-06
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.068	9.00E-17	2.10E-07
2,2-Dimethylbutane	0.199	4.40E-16	3.01E-06
2,3,4-Trimethylpentane	0.022	1.90E-16	1.44E-07
2,3-Dimethylbutane	0.299	4.40E-16	4.54E-06
2,3-Dimethylpentane	0.293	1.50E-16	1.51E-06
2-Methylheptane	0.034	1.90E-16	2.20E-07
2-Methylhexane	0.514	1.50E-16	2.66E-06
2-Methylpentane	1.582	1.80E-16	9.81E-06
3-Methylheptane	0.027	1.90E-16	1.74E-07
3-Methylhexane	0.534	1.50E-16	2.76E-06
3-Methylpentane	1.411	2.20E-16	1.07E-05
Acetaldehyde	1.249	2.70E-15	1.16E-04
Acetylene	0.941	5.10E-17	1.65E-06
Acrolein	0.042	3.30E-15	4.73E-06
Benzene	0.599	3.00E-17	6.19E-07
cis-2-Pentene	0.005	3.70E-13	6.57E-05
Cyclohexane	1.164	1.40E-16	5.61E-06
Cyclopentane	0.416	1.40E-16	2.00E-06
Ethane	1.567	1.00E-17	5.40E-07

Ethylbenzene	0.563	1.20E-16	2.34E-06
Isoprene	0.032	6.96E-13	7.76E-04
m/p-Xylene	0.602	3.80E-16	7.88E-06
Methacrolein	0.012	3.40E-15	1.44E-06
Methylcyclohexane	0.172	1.40E-16	8.29E-07
Methylcyclopentane	0.673	1.40E-16	3.25E-06
n-Butanal	0.044	1.10E-14	1.68E-05
n-Butane	1.848	4.60E-17	2.93E-06
n-Decane	0.060	2.80E-16	5.74E-07
n-Heptane	0.351	1.50E-16	1.81E-06
n-Hexane	1.916	1.10E-16	7.25E-06
n-Nonane	0.033	2.30E-16	2.59E-07
n-Pentanal	0.128	1.50E-14	6.61E-05
n-Pentane	0.593	8.70E-17	1.78E-06
n-Propylbenzene	0.029	6.00E-16	6.01E-07
Octane	0.064	1.90E-16	4.17E-07
o-Xylene	0.464	3.80E-16	6.06E-06
Propanal	0.144	6.31E-15	3.12E-05
Propane	3.678	7.00E-17	8.86E-06
Propene	0.477	9.54E-15	1.57E-04
Styrene	0.194	1.50E-12	1.00E-02
Toluene	3.120	7.00E-17	7.52E-06
alpha-Piene	0.391	6.21E-12	8.36E-02
beta-Piene	0.013	2.51E-12	1.10E-03
Camphene	0.276	6.20E-13	5.91E-03
Limonene	0.137	1.22E-11	5.77E-02

6. As Referee 2 points out regarding the analysis in Sect. 3.3 on the estimation of pON formation, the sources of measured pON depend on reacted VOCs, not on the amount of VOCs present in the atmosphere. The authors seem to be equating the two. Essentially the authors appear to be calculating the relative amounts of production expected if the sampled airmass was allowed to react to completion with no further emissions. This does not equate to the regional productions since it would systematically underweight more reactive compounds and the two metrics may only be loosely connected. In general, Section 3.3. is highly undersupported and speculative considering the evidence shown. It is not clear what the modeled SOA (blue trace in Fig. 5) even is. Is that the amount produced per unit time from the model? It cannot be the cumulative production since it increases and decreases (and the model does not have dynamics, dilution, mixing, etc.). In any case, the features of organic nitrates and the ambiguous modeled SOA don't match all that well. Also, it seems likely that the day-to-day variability may be of similar or larger magnitude to the variations in the average diurnal cycle (variability bars such as standard deviations would be helpful here). Potentially the very rough similarities may be an averaging artifact? Thus, the diurnal cycle should be supplemented additional evidence, such as time series of the relevant metrics and correlations plots to make a convincing case that the model may be indeed be representing the key processes and explain the measurements. Also, inclusion of inorganic nitrates together with the organic nitrates (diurnal cycle and other relevant plots), would help make a stronger case that the

separation of the organic nitrates is meaningful and robust. Based on this section, the authors conclude (as stated in the abstract) that BVOC + NO3 at night are the dominant formation pathway of organic nitrates in the polluted atmosphere. This simply has not been demonstrated. Moreover, it appears that the authors are equating boundary layer concentration with overall regional production importance. As the authors note in Sect. 3.3, the boundary layer is expected to be lower during nighttime. Consequently, the concentrations observed represent a smaller volume of air, so equating lower concentrations during daytime with lower overall (column integrated, regional) importance is faulty logic. BL-effects were not considered here nor production during the daytime modeled, thus no conclusions beyond nighttime boundary layer concentrations should be drawn based on this analysis. Yet, this manuscript seems to do just that – an example of the vastly overstated implications claimed.

REPLY:

We have accepted this comment and changed the analysis in section 3.4 significantly. First, we used the NO₃ loss rate at night, which can be calculated as $K_i \cdot [VOC_i]$ in Eq. (9), to roughly judge the production potential of organic nitrates from a NO₃+VOC reaction:

$[Production Potential]_{NO3+VOCi} = K_i \cdot [VOC_i] \cdot [NO_3] (9)$

Where K_i represents the reaction rate coefficient for NO₃ radical and a VOC, $[VOC_i]$ is the concentration of the specific VOC and $[NO_3]$ is the concentration of NO₃ radical. In the spring campaign, the diurnal variations of NO₂, O₃ and estimated NO₃ radical concentrations are shown in Figure S10 (with standard deviations). It was found that the high concentrations of NO₂ (19.93±2.31 ppb) at night leaded to high yield of NO₃ radical (1.24±0.76 ppt) in Shenzhen.

According to the distribution of production potential, five biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) (i.e., α -pinene, limonene, camphene, β -pinene and isoprene) and one anthropogenic VOC (styrene) were identified as notable VOC precursors with high production potential, while the sum of production potential from the other VOCs was negligible as shown in Figure 5b.

In addition, Figure 6 shows the average nighttime variations of BC, LO-OOA, NO_{3.org_ratio_1}, NO_{3.org_PMF} and production potential of the six notable VOCs identified during the spring campaign. The concentrations of BC and LO-OOA generally decreased slowly after sunset till sunshine due to the combined effect of both the planetary boundary layer variation and traffic emissions, while particulate organic nitrates showed a different trend with two clear growth processes (19:00-22:00 and 3:00-6:00) at night, suggesting their unique sources. In contrast, the production potentials of the six notable VOCs with NO₃ had two roughly similar increases at the same periods as those of particulate organic nitrates, which supported the key role of NO₃+VOCs reactions for nighttime organic nitrate formation.

Finally, based on the production potential evaluation above, we further estimated roughly the nighttime SOA bulk yield of NO₃+the six notable VOC precursors. And the estimated SOA production from NO₃+VOCs reactions using SOA mass yields in the literature was 0-0.33 μ g m⁻³ for α -pinene, 0.09-1.28 μ g m⁻³ for limonene, 0.24 μ g m⁻³ for styrene, 0.004-0.06 μ g m⁻³ for β -pinene and 0.002-0.02 μ g m⁻³ for isoprene. The SOA yield from camphene is currently unknown in the literature. It is seen that the average observed nighttime concentration of particulate organic nitrates during the spring campaign (0.39-0.83 μ g m⁻³, converting NO_{3.org_ratio_1}, NO_{3.org_PMF} in Figure 6 into organic nitrates assuming the average molecular weight of organic nitrates of 200 to 300 g mol⁻¹) was well within the estimated SOA concentration ranges produced by α -pinene, limonene and styrene, indicating that these three VOCs were the key VOC precursors in urban atmosphere in Shenzhen. Considering both the production potentials and SOA yields, the contributions of β -pinene and isoprene to nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates study be negligible. Besides the BVOCs species, this study

highlights the key role of anthropogenic styrene in nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation in urban atmosphere in China, and relevant smog chamber studies for anthropogenic VOCs+NO₃ reactions are needed to support parameterization in modeling.

7. Kiendler Scharr et al. (2016), Ng et al. (2017), Xu et al. (2015a, 2015b) and others have reported that at many sites throughout the US and Europe, including polluted urban areas, organic nitrates can be substantial fractions of aerosol nitrates and NO3+BVOC reactions can be an important source. The manuscript fails to make a case for what new information this study from one polluted city provides and how it would add to the body of atmospheric literature.

REPLY:

In the revised manuscript, we have proposed several new information: 1.adding some description in Introduction to address the contribution of this paper on organic nitrates studies in detail. "Ng et al. (2017) reviewed the nitrate radical chemistry and the abundance of particulate organic nitrates in the United States and Europe, and further concluded that particulate organic nitrates are formed substantially via NO3+BVOC chemistry, which plays an important role in SOA formation. Unfortunately, relevant Chinese datasets are scarce yet and not included in this review. This was because (1) the contributions of organic nitrates in SOA and total nitrates in Chinese atmosphere remain poorly understood; (2) the anthropogenic and biogenic precursor emissions in China are largely different from those in the United States and Europe, and thus cannot be easily estimated. To our best knowledge, few studies have investigated the concentrations and formation pathways of particulate organic nitrates in China. Xu et al. (2017) estimated the mass concentration of organic nitrogen in Beijing using AMS, but in this study they ignored the contribution of NO_{X^+} family, which are the major fragments of organic nitrates"; 2. in section 3.3, we discussed the size distribution characteristics of organic nitrates. We used the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio as an indicator to investigate the size distribution characteristics of organic nitrates. It is clearly found that the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio generally increases towards smaller size in spring, summer, and autumn, while the NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio keep similar to the value of R_{NH4NO3} throughout the full size range in winter. It should also be noted that in spring, summer, and autumn, the lowest values of NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio at > 1 µm are also approximate to the seasonal values of R_{NH4NO3} . These characteristics clearly indicate that organic nitrates occurred mostly in fresh particles with smaller sizes, and thus should be mainly of local origin. The diurnal trends of size distributions of NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio show higher values in small size range at night comparing that in the day in spring, summer and autumn, suggesting a dominant nighttime origin of organic nitrates; 3. according to the analysis in section 3.4, we can find that besides the BVOCs species, anthropogenic styrene plays an important role in nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation in urban atmosphere in China; 4. we compared this study with other particulate organic nitrate studies in section 3.5 and the results show that the formation of particulate organic nitrate is more likely NOx-control than BVOCs-control and high NOx emissions could promote biogenic SOA formation at night.

8. The authors broadly refer to their results as pertaining to "South China" which is a large and diverse areas. Unless there is evidence that this site is generally representative of that geographic area, text and conclusions should be limited to just this one urban area. This is an example of overselling the story without providing the supporting analysis.

REPLY:

We have toned down this conclusion and only addressed this case as a typical urban site in South China.

9. L32-3: "Play a larger role" for what? Reference?

<u>REPLY:</u>

We have added the related references.

10. L40-41: The Rollins et al. (2002) paper demonstrated the application of the aerosol-only organic nitrate measurement. The technique for total nitrates was developed and demonstrated a decade earlier (Day et al., 2002).

REPLY:

We have corrected it.

11. L41: "measured" would be better than "obtained"

REPLY:

We have corrected it.

12. L66: "to obtain more representative samples" seems vague. Clarify.

REPLY:

We have deleted "more representative".

13. L74: "literatures" should not be plural.

REPLY:

We have corrected it.

14. L82-4: state if the RIE of ammonium was calibrated or assumed.

REPLY:

RIE of ammonium was assumed and we have added the statement in section 2.2.1: The relative ionization efficiencies (RIEs) used in the study were 1.2 for sulfate, 1.1 for nitrate, 1.3 for chloride, 1.4 for organics, and 4.0 for ammonium (Jimenez et al., 2003).

15. L105-6: Site Xu et al. (2015a) since isn't this is exactly what they did?

REPLY:

We have added this reference.

16. L107-8: Stating that negative calculated organic nitrates means that concentrations must be low is not very analytically sound. E.g., can't this just mean the method isn't working well or there are large uncertainties due a variety of possible factors? Please revise to be more precise and inclusive of the possible causes.

REPLY:

We have modified this statement and provided more detailed and precise discussion in section 3.1.

17. L127: Fry et al. 2013 is not the proper reference for heterogeneous N2O5 reaction with aerosol. They just performed the calculation.

REPLY:

We have replaced the right literature (Dentener and Crutzen, 1993) with it in the manuscript.

18. L130: Units for velocity are wrong.

REPLY:

We have corrected it.

19. L131: Where did the aerosol surface area concentration for the modeling come from? It does not appear that an aerosol sizing instrument was used in the study.

REPLY:

SA is calculated from the size-resolved particle number concentrations assuming spherical particles measured by a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) (TSI Inc., USA, 3775 CPC and TSI Inc. 3080 DMA). And 220 μ m² cm⁻³ is actually under dry conditions, the ambient (wet) aerosol SA is 475 μ m² cm⁻³ by using the hygroscopic growth factor in Liu et al. (2010). We have added and corrected the related description in section 2.2.2 and Text S1.

20. L133-135: Why do the authors include the calculation of the N2O5+H2O gas-phase reaction? The lifetime is 500 years!

REPLY:

We have corrected the value of daily maximum $[H_2 O]$ (5.5*10¹⁷molecule cm⁻³) and the calculated value of N₂O₅ lifetime with respect to the reaction with H₂O (1470 s).

21. L145: "6.82 and 19.38 ppb": Too many significant figures. It would be more useful to report averages and standard deviations.

REPLY:

The concentrations of NO_2 and O_3 with their standard deviations are shown in Figure S10 in the supplementary.

22. L159: "may explain" instead? Without any quantitative assessment, it is not justified to say that it does explain it.

REPLY:

We have replace "explain" with "may explain".

23. L165: "adequate" for what or by what measure? Too vague – needs clarification.

REPLY:

We have replace "adequate" with "good".

24. L173-4: Statement points out that the organic nitrate is similar to SEUS "even though" BC and NOx are higher in S. China. How is this meaningful? If terpenes are dominantly reacting with NO3 then the production would be largely controlled by the amount of terpenes present - which appear to be quite modest at this location. Statements like this need more context/discussion to be meaningful. Or remove such comparisons if not informative and making a clear point.

REPLY:

We have deleted this statement.

25. L180: "both organic spectra" should instead read "both OOA spectra"? **REPLY:**

We have corrected it

26. L180-2: Unclear. Is this referring to running PMF with and without the NOx ions? Please clarify. **REPLY:**

We have clarified this statement: The mass spectrum profiles and diurnal patterns of each OA factor using PMF based on OA spectra only in spring, summer and autumn are shown in Figure S2-S5.

27. 182-3: Please report these correlations (table?) and show the correlations in the SI (i.e. duplicate Fig. 3 for MO-OOA and HOA).

REPLY:

We have added Figure S7-S9 to show the correlations between organic nitrates with HOA and MO-OOA.

28. L196-198: Limonene and a-pinene shown to account for 90% of NO3 loss? Assuming the authors are referring to Sect. 2.4 (not 2.3 as written), this is not shown there - or anywhere else. Only 2 compounds were considered according to the text (which references Table S1).

REPLY:

We have added the related information in section 3.4 and table S3.

29. Table 1: "NO3-": Is that inorganic nitrate or total nitrate? If total, then the ionic denotation isn't appropriate.

REPLY:

We have replace "NO3-" with "total NO3-".

30. Figure 5: beta-pinene misspelled. **REPLY:**

We have corrected it.

31.Table S1: a-pinene SOA yield: Why was this single reference value picked, considering that there is a substantial range reported in the literature? See Table 2 in Ng et al. (2017). A range would seem more appropriate here unless the authors justify why this particular one is more appropriate for this study.

REPLY:

We have added more references of SOA yield, please see Table 3 in the manuscript.

References

Liu, X.G., Zhang, Y.H., Wen, M.T., Wang, J.L., Jung, J.S., Chang, S.-Y., Hu, M., Zeng, L.M. and Kim,

Y.J.: A closure study of aerosol hygroscopic growth factor during the 2006 Pearl River Delta

Campaign. Adv. Atmos. Sci. 27, 947-956, 2010.

A list of all relevant changes

1. Line 14-16: Changed to "Based on cross validation of two different data processing methods, organic nitrates were effectively quantified to contribute a notable fraction of organic aerosol (OA): 9-21% in spring, 11-25% in summer and 9-20% in autumn; while organic nitrates were found to little exist in winter."

2. Line 18-26: Added "The size distribution of organic nitrates also implied that organic nitrates were mainly a local product and could have strong removal during air mass transport. Furthermore, theoretical calculations of nighttime SOA production of NO3 reactions with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) measured during the spring campaign were performed, resulting in two biogenic VOCs (-pinene and limonene) and one anthropogenic VOC (styrene) identified as the key VOC precursors for particulate organic nitrates. The comparison with similar studies in the literature implied that nighttime particulate organic nitrates formation could be NOX-controlled. This study proposes that different from the previous cases in the United States and Europe, modeling nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation should incorporate not only biogenic VOCs but also anthropogenic VOCs with high SOA yield for urban air pollution in China, which call for relevant smog chamber studies to support in future."

3. Line 35: Changed "recognize" to "recognized" and added references" (Teng et al., 2015, 2017)".

4. Line 48-56: Added "Ng et al. (2017) reviewed the nitrate radical chemistry and the abundance of particulate organic nitrates in the United States and Europe, and further concluded that particulate organic nitrates are formed substantially via NO₃+BVOC chemistry, which plays an important role in SOA formation. Unfortunately, relevant Chinese datasets are scarce yet and not included in this review. This was because (1) the contributions of organic nitrates in SOA and total nitrates in Chinese atmosphere remain poorly understood; (2) the anthropogenic and biogenic precursor emissions in China are largely different from those in the United States and Europe, and thus cannot be easily estimated. To our best knowledge, few studies have investigated the concentrations and formation pathways of particulate organic nitrates in China. Xu et al. (2017) estimated the mass concentration of organic nitrogen in Beijing using AMS, but in this study they ignored the contribution of NOX+ family, which are the major fragments of organic nitrates."

5. Line 69: Deleted "more representative".

6. Table1: Changed "NO₃" to "Total NO₃".

7. Line 83-84: Added "The relative ionization efficiencies (RIEs) used in this study were 1.2 for sulfate,
1.1 for nitrate, 1.3 for chloride, 1.4 for organics and 4.0 for ammonium, respectively (Jimenez et al., 2003)."

8. Line 92-94: Added "An SMPS system (3775 CPC and 3080 DMA, TSI Inc.) was used to obtain the particle number size distribution in 15–615 nm (mobility diameter) with a time resolution of 5 min." 9. Line 95-103: Added "In the spring campaign, ambient VOC concentrations were also measured using an on-line VOC monitoring system (TH-300B, Tianhong Corp.), including an ultralow-temperature preconcentration cold trap and an automated in-situ gas chromatograph (Agilent 7820A) equipped with a mass spectrometer (Agilent 5977E). The systemhad both a flame ionization detector (FID) gas channel for C2–C5 hydrocarbons and a mass spectrometer (MS) gas channel for C5–C12 hydrocarbons, halohydrocarbons and oxygenated VOCs. A complete working cycle of the system was one hour and included five steps: sample collection, freeze-trapping, thermal desorption, GC-FID/MS analysis, heating and anti-blowing purification. The sample collection time was 5 min, the sampling flow was 60 ml min⁻¹, and the anti-blowing flow was 200 ml min⁻¹. The calibration of over 100 VOCs was performed using mixed standard gas before and after the campaign. Detection limits for most compounds were near 5 pptv. More description of this instrument can be found in Wang et al. (2014)."

10. Line 108: Corrected to "NO₂+" and "NO+".

11. Line 114-117: Added "In spring, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 2.66 for the first IE calibration and 2.94 for the second one; in summer, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.05 and 3.34 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively; in autumn, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.33 and 3.31 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively; in winter, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.45 and 3.51 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively; in winter, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.45 and 3.51 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively."

12. Line 138-144: Added "It should be noted that although the 4-factor solution seemed to have a "mixed factor" problem to some extent (Zhu et al., 2018), such as HOA mixed with COA (clear $C_3H_3O^+$ in m/z 55 for spring, summer and autumn) (Mohr et al., 2012) and BBOA mixed with LO-OOA (clear m/z 60 and 73 signals in LO-OOA in autumn) (Cubison et al., 2011), running PMF with more factors would produce unexplained factors but little influence the apportion of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ ions between organic nitrates and inorganic nitrate (Table S1). In addition, the uncertainties of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ ions in the OA

factors across different fpeak values (from -1.0 to 1.0) were very small (Table S2). Therefore, the 4-factor solution was finally used for quantifying organic nitrates in spring, summer and autumn."

13. Put Section 2.4 to Text S1 in the supplementary.

14 Line 150-151: Added "and the result from the PMF method shows the contribution of organic nitrates in total nitrates is only 4.2% in winter (Figure S6), suggesting a negligible contribution of organic nitrates."

15. Line 158-159: Added "In the PMF method, the mass fractions of organic nitrates in HOA, LO-OOA and MO-OOA were 31%, 49% and 20%, respectively, in spring; 28%, 52% and 20%, respectively, in summer; 30%, 46% and 24%, respectively, in autumn."

16. Added "Winter" row in Table 2

17. Line 173-178: Added "The inorganic nitrate ($NO_{3_{inorg}}$) obtained by subtracting $NO_{3_{org_ratio_1}}$ from total measured nitrates also correlated well with the inorganic nitrate estimated using the PMF method (R=0.92 for spring, 0.87 for summer and 0.86 for autumn). Furthermore, the diurnal trends of organic nitrates obtained by the two methods were also similar in each season, generally with lower concentrations in the daytime and higher concentrations at night, while they were distinctive from those of inorganic nitrate (Figure 2b), supporting that organic nitrates had been well separated from inorganic nitrate in this study."

18. Added Figure 2(b) diurnal trends of organic nitrates and NO_{3, org} estimated by the different methods (right panel).

19. Line 187-190: Added "Generally, organic nitrates were indeed found better-correlated with LO-OOA (R=0.69-0.77 in Figure 3) than with HOA and MO-OOA (R=0.03-0.69 in Figures S6-S8), consistent with the fact that the majority of organic nitrates were associated with LO-OOA in the PMF method."
20. Line 196-214: Added Section 3.3.

21. Line 217-232: Added "NO₃+VOCs reactions would yield a large mass of gas- and particle-phase organic nitrates (Rollins et al., 2012; Nah et al., 2016; Boyd et al., 2015, 2017; Xu et al., 2015a, 2015b; Lee et al., 2016). We used the NO₃ loss rate at night, which can be calculated as $K_i \cdot [VOC_i]$ in Eq. (9), to roughly judge the production potential of organic nitrates from a NO₃+VOC reaction:

 $[Production Potential]_{NO3+VOCi} = K_i \cdot [VOC_i] \cdot [NO_3] (9)$

Where K_i represents the reaction rate coefficient for NO₃ radical and a VOC, $[VOC_i]$ is the concentration of the specific VOC and $[NO_3]$ is the concentration of NO₃ radical. In the spring

campaign, the diurnal variations of NO₂, O₃ and estimated NO₃ radical concentrations are shown in Figure S10. It was found that the high concentrations of NO₂ (19.93 \pm 2.31 ppb) at night leaded to high yield of NO₃ radical (1.24 \pm 0.76 ppt) in Shenzhen, as calculated in Text S1, compared to nighttime NO₃ radical concentrations reported in literature in the United States (Rollins et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015a). Typical measured nighttime VOC concentrations, their reaction rate coefficients with NO₃ radical and the production potentials calculated are listed in Table S3 and shown in Figure 5. These VOCs were considered based on their higher ambient concentrations and availability for reaction kinetics with NO₃ radical. According to the distribution of production potential, five biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) (i.e., α pinene, limonene, camphene, β -pinene and isoprene) and one anthropogenic VOC (styrene) were identified as notable VOC precursors with high production potential, while the sum of production potential from the other VOCs was negligible as shown in Figure 5b. "

22. Added Figure 5(b).

23. Line 237-242: Added "The concentrations of BC and LO-OOA generally decreased slowly after sunset till sunshine due to the combined effect of both the planetary boundary layer variation and traffic emissions, while particulate organic nitrates showed a different trend with two clear growth processes (19:00-22:00 and 3:00-6:00) at night, suggesting their unique sources. In contrast, the production potentials of the six notable VOCs with NO₃ had two roughly similar increases at the same periods as those of particulate organic nitrates, which supported the key role of NO₃+VOCs reactions for nighttime organic nitrate formation."

24. Line 246-263: Added "Based on the production potential evaluation above, we further explore SOA yield of NO₃+the six notable VOC precursors according to the analysis method of particulate organic nitrate formation in Xu et al. (2015a). Briefly, NO₃ and ozone are two main oxidants for SOA formation from VOCs at night. Based on the concentrations of oxidants and the reaction rate constants for VOCs with NO₃ and ozone, the branching ratio of each VOC that reacts with NO₃ can be estimated as in Eq. (10). By combining the estimated branching ratios and SOA yields from chamber studies (Table 3), SOA from these VOCs can be calculated as in Eq. (11) (Xu et al., 2015a):

$$branching \ ratio_{species \ i+NO3} = \frac{k_{[species \ i+NO3]} \times [NO_3]}{k_{[species \ i+NO3]} \times [NO_3] + k_{[species \ i+O3]} \times [O_3]}$$
(10)

 $[SOA]_{species, oxidant} = [species] \times branching \ ratio_{species, oxidant} \times yield_{species, oxidant} \ (11)$

The results in Table 3 show that all the six notable VOC species were prone to react with NO₃ radical

instead of O₃ at night, and the estimated SOA production from NO₃+VOCs reactions using SOA mass yields in the literature was 0-0.33 μ g m⁻³ for α -pinene, 0.09-1.28 μ g m⁻³ for limonene, 0.24 μ g m⁻³ for styrene, 0.004-0.06 μ g m⁻³ for β -pinene and 0.002-0.02 μ g m⁻³ for isoprene. The SOA yield from camphene is currently unknown in the literature. It is seen that the average observed nighttime concentration of particulate organic nitrates during the spring campaign (0.39-0.83 μ g m⁻³, converting NO_{3.org_ratio_1}, NO_{3.org_PMF} in Figure 6 into organic nitrates assuming the average molecular weight of organic nitrates of 200 to 300 g mol⁻¹) was well within the estimated SOA concentration ranges produced by α pinene, limonene and styrene in Table 3, indicating that these three VOCs were the key VOC precursors in urban atmosphere in Shenzhen. Considering both the production potentials and SOA yields, the contributions of β -pinene and isoprene to nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates could be negligible. "

25. Line 274-283: Added "It should be noted that, all previous studies on nighttime organic nitrate formation in the US and Europe focused on mechanisms of NO₃ reactions with BVOCs (Hallquist et al., 1999; Spittler et al., 2006; Perraud et al., 2010; Fry et al.,2014; Nah et al., 2016; Boyd et al., 2015, 2017). In this study, however, we found that styrene, one of major aromatics derived from anthropogenic emissions (Cabrera-Perez et al., 2016), served as a key VOC precursor for organic nitrate formation in Shenzhen, theoretically with comparable SOA producing ability to those of α -pinene and limonene and much higher ability than those of β -pinene and isoprene. In China, styrene has been actually identified as an important VOC of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) in urban areas, and has a notable contribution to ozone formation and SOA production (An et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2019). This study further highlights the key role of this anthropogenic VOC precursor in nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation in urban atmosphere in China, and relevant smog chamber studies for anthropogenic VOCs+NO₃ reactions are needed to support parameterization in modeling."

26. Line 284-302: Added Section 3.5.

27. Line 309-316: Added "The diurnal trend analysis of size distribution of NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio further suggested that organic nitrate formation mainly occurred at night, and also presented that organic nitrates concentrated on smaller sizes, suggesting that they were mostly local product. The calculated theoretical nighttime production potential of NO₃ reactions with VOCs measured in spring showed that six VOC species (i.e., α -pinene, limonene, styrene, camphene, β -pinene and isoprene) were notable precursors. The SOA yield analysis further indicated that α -pinene, limonene and styrene contributed mostly to

nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates in spring in Shenzhen, highlighting the unique contribution of anthropogenic VOCs in comparison with previous studies in the US and Europe. Finally, the comparison of the results in this study with other similar studies implied that nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates is more likely NOx-limited than VOCs-limited."

Characterization of nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates based on high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry in an urban atmosphere in China

- 4 Kuangyou Yu^{1,2,*}, Qiao Zhu^{1,*}, Ke Du², Xiao-Feng Huang¹
- ¹Key Laboratory for Urban Habitat Environmental Science and Technology, School of Environment and Energy, Peking
 University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen, 518055, China.
- ⁷ ²Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.
- 8 * Authors have equal contribution.
- 9

10 Abstract. Organic nitrates are important atmospheric species that significantly affect the cycling of NOx and ozone production. 11 However, characterization of particulate organic nitrates and their sources in polluted atmosphere is a big challenge and has 12 been little performed in Asia. In this study, an Aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-13 AMS) was deployed at an urban site in China from 2015 to 2016 to characterize particulate organic nitrates in total nitrates 14 with high time resolution. Based on cross validation of two different data processing methods, organic nitrates were effectively 15 quantified to contribute a notable fraction of organic aerosol (OA): 9-21% in spring, 11-25% in summer and 9-20% in autumn; 16 while organic nitrates were found to little exist in winter. The good correlation between organic nitrates and fresh secondary 17 organic aerosol (SOA) at night as well as the diurnal trend of size distribution of organic nitrates indicated a key role of 18 nighttime secondary formation in Shenzhen, which is consistent with what found in the US and Europe. The size distribution 19 of organic nitrates also implied that organic nitrates were mainly a local product and could have strong removal during air 20 mass transport. Furthermore, theoretical calculations of nighttime SOA production of NO₃ reactions with volatile organic 21 compounds (VOCs) measured during the spring campaign were performed, resulting in two biogenic VOCs (α -pinene and 22 limonene) and one anthropogenic VOC (styrene) identified as the key VOC precursors for particulate organic nitrates. The 23 comparison with similar studies in the literature implied that nighttime particulate organic nitrates formation could be NO_xcontrolled. This study proposes that different from the previous cases in the United States and Europe, modeling nighttime 24 25 particulate organic nitrate formation should incorporate not only biogenic VOCs but also anthropogenic VOCs with high SOA 26 vield for urban air pollution in China, which call for relevant smog chamber studies to support in future.

- 27
- Correspondence to: X.-F. Huang (huangxf@pku.edu.cn)
- 28 29

30 **1. Introduction**

Organic nitrates (ON) in aerosols have an important impact on the fate of NO_X and ozone production (Lelieveld et al., 2016), which can be formed in a minor channel of the reaction between peroxy radicals and NO (R1 and R2) (usually, an increased fraction of this reaction leads to the formation of alkoxy radicals and NO₂ (R3)) or via the NO₃-induced oxidation of unsaturated hydrocarbons (R4). Even though some recent studies have suggested that the formation of organic nitrates from peroxy radicals and NO may play a larger role than previously recognized (Teng et al., 2015, 2017), yields of organic nitrates via NO₃ reacting with alkenes are generally much higher (Fry et al., 2009; Ayres et al., 2015; Boyd et al., 2015, 2017).

- 37 $\operatorname{RH} + \operatorname{OH} + O_2 \rightarrow RO_2 + H_2O$ (R1)
- 38 39

40

$RO_2 + NO \rightarrow RONO_2$	(R2)
$RO_2 + NO \rightarrow RO + NO_2$	(R3)
$R = R' + NO_3 \rightarrow R(ONO_2) R'$	(R4)

Several direct methods have been developed to measure total organic nitrates (gas + particle) in the real atmosphere. For 41 42 example, Rollins et al.(2012) used a thermal-dissociation laser-induced fluorescence technique (TD-LIF) to observe organic 43 nitrates in the United States; Sobanski et al. (2017) measured organic nitrates in Germany using the thermal dissociation cavity 44 ring-down spectroscopy (TD-CRDS). Field and laboratory studies around the world indicated that particulate organic nitrates 45 could contribute a large portion of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Rollins et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015a; Fry et al., 2013; 46 Ayres et al., 2015; Boyd et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016). Recently, researchers have proposed some estimation methods for 47 particle-phase organic nitrates based on aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) with high time resolution (Farmer et al., 2010; Hao 48 et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2015a, 2015b). Ng et al. (2017) reviewed the nitrate radical chemistry and the abundance of particulate 49 organic nitrates in the United States and Europe, and further concluded that particulate organic nitrates are formed substantially 50 via NO₃+BVOC chemistry, which plays an important role in SOA formation. Unfortunately, relevant Chinese datasets are 51 scarce yet and not included in this review. This was because (1) the contributions of organic nitrates in SOA and total nitrates 52 in Chinese atmosphere remain poorly understood; (2) the anthropogenic and biogenic precursor emissions in China are largely 53 different from those in the United States and Europe, and thus cannot be easily estimated. To our best knowledge, few studies 54 have investigated the concentrations and formation pathways of particulate organic nitrates in China. Xu et al. (2017) estimated the mass concentration of organic nitrogen in Beijing using AMS, but in this study they ignored the contribution of NO_{x^+} 55 56 family, which are the major fragments of organic nitrates.

57 Shenzhen is a megacity of China in a subtropical region, where photochemical reactions are very active with high NOx and 58 both biogenic and anthropogenic VOC emissions (Zhang et al., 2008). To assess the evolution of particulate organic nitrates 59 in a polluted urban atmosphere, we deployed an Aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-60 AMS) and other instruments in Shenzhen from 2015 to 2016 in this study. Organic nitrates and their contributions to OA in 61 different seasons were estimated by different methods using the HR-ToF-AMS datasets obtained, based on which, the 62 secondary formation pathway of particulate organic nitrates in Shenzhen was further explored.

63 **2. Experiment methods**

64 **2.1 Sampling site and period**

The sampling site (22.6°N, 113.9°E) was on the roof (20 m above ground) of an academic building on the campus of Peking

66 University Shenzhen Graduate School (PKUSZ), which is located in the western urban area in Shenzhen (Figure 1). This site

67 is mostly surrounded by subtropical plants without significant anthropogenic emission sources nearby, except a local road

- 68 ~100 m from the site. In this study, we used the statistical data from the Meteorological Bureau of Shenzhen Municipality
- 69 (http://www.szmb.gov.cn/site/szmb/Esztq/index.html) as the reference data to determine the sampling periods for four
- 70 different seasons during 2015-2016, as shown in Table 1.



71 72

Figure 1. The location of the sampling site.

Table 1.Meteorological conditions, PM₁ species concentrations and relevant parameters for different sampling periods in
 Sharehan

74 Shenzhen.

	Sampling period	4.1-4.30, 2016	8.1-8.31, 2015	11.4-11.30,2015	1.21-2.3, 2016
		Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter
Meteorology	T (°C)	24.5±2.5	29.0±3.0	23.6±3.7	10.7±4.7
	RH (%)	78.0±12.7	71.2±17.5	68.2±15.8	75.4±18.7
	WS (m s^{-1})	1.4±0.8	1.0±0.7	1.2±0.7	1.5±0.8
	Org	4.3±3.2	10.0±6.9	7.8±5.9	5.1±3.5
Species	SO ₄ ²⁻	3.2±1.8	5.8±3.3	2.3±1.5	1.9±1.2
Species	Total NO ₃	0.96±1.4	0.91±0.90	1.3±1.4	1.6±1.0

-3	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	1.4±0.8	2.0±1.1	1.1±0.8	1.2±0.6
(µg m)	Cl	0.14±0.19	0.03±0.05	0.22±0.36	0.64±0.85
	BC	1.9±2.1	2.4±1.6	3.5±2.6	2.4±1.5
	Total	12.0±8.9	15.1±13.8	11.8±9.5	12.2±7.2
	R _{NH4NO3}	2.80	3.20	3.32	3.48
ON relevant	R _{obs}	3.74	6.14	4.30	3.55
parameters	Fraction of positive numbers of	99%	99%	84%	47%
	Robs- RNH4NO3				

75 2.2 Instrumentation

76 2.2.1 High Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer

77 During the sampling periods, chemical composition of non-refractory PM₁ was measured by an Aerodyne HR-ToF-AMS, and 78 detailed descriptions of this instrument are given in the literature (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007). The setup 79 and operation of the HR-ToF-AMS can be found in our previous publications (Huang et al., 2010, 2012; Zhu et al., 2016). To 80 remove coarse particles, a PM_{2.5} cyclone inlet was installed before the sampling copper tube with a flow rate of 10 l min⁻¹. 81 Before entering the AMS, the sampled air was dried by a nafion dryer (MD-070-12S-4, Perma Pure Inc.) to eliminate the 82 potential influence of relative humidity on particle collection (Matthew et al., 2008). The ionization efficiency (IE) calibrations 83 were performed using pure ammonium nitrate every two weeks. The relative ionization efficiencies (RIEs) used in this study 84 were 1.2 for sulfate, 1.1 for nitrate, 1.3 for chloride, 1.4 for organics and 4.0 for ammonium, respectively (Jimenez et al., 2003). 85 Composition-dependent collection efficiencies (CEs) were applied to the data according to the method in Middlebrook et al. 86 (2012). The instrument was operated at two ion optical modes with a cycle of 4 min, including 2 min for the mass -sensitive 87 V-mode and 2 min for the high mass resolution W-mode. The HR-ToF-AMS data analysis was performed using the software 88 and PIKA (version 1.16) written in Igor Pro 6.37 (Wave Metrics Inc.) SOUIRREL (version 1.57) 89 (http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenezgroup/ToFAMSResources/ToFSoftware / index.html).

90 2.2.2 Other co-located instruments

In addition to the HR-ToF-AMS, other relevant instruments were deployed at the same sampling site. An aethalometer (AE-31, Magee) was used for measurement of refractory black carbon (BC) with a resolution of 5 min. An SMPS system (3775 CPC and 3080 DMA, TSI Inc.) was used to obtain the particle number size distribution in 15–615 nm (mobility diameter) with a time resolution of 5 min. Ozone and NO_X were measured by a 49i ozone analyzer and a 42i nitrogen oxide analyzer (Thermo Scientific), respectively. In the spring campaign, ambient VOC concentrations were also measured using an on-line VOC monitoring system (TH-300B, Tianhong Corp.), including an ultralow-temperature preconcentration cold trap and an

- automated in-situ gas chromatograph (Agilent 7820A) equipped with a mass spectrometer (Agilent 5977E). The system had
 both a flame ionization detector (FID) gas channel for C2–C5 hydrocarbons and a mass spectrometer (MS) gas channel for
 C5–C12 hydrocarbons, halohydrocarbons and oxygenated VOCs. A complete working cycle of the system was one hour and
 included five steps: sample collection, freeze-trapping, thermal desorption, GC-FID/MS analysis, heating and anti-blowing
 purification. The sample collection time was 5 min, the sampling flow was 60 ml min⁻¹, and the anti-blowing flow was 200 ml
 min⁻¹. The calibration of over 100 VOCs was performed using mixed standard gas before and after the campaign. Detection
 limits for most compounds were near 5 pptv. More description of this instrument can be found in Wang et al. (2014).
- 104 **2.3 Organic nitrate estimation methods**
- In this study, we used two independent methods to estimate particulate organic nitrates based on the AMS data, following the approaches in Xu et al. (2015b). The first method is based on the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio (NO_X⁺ ratio) in the HR-AMS spectrum. Due to the very different NO_X⁺ ratios of organic nitrates and inorganic nitrate (i.e., R_{ON} and R_{NH4NO3}, respectively) (Farmer et al., 2010; Boyd et al., 2015; Fry et al., 2008; Bruns et al., 2010), the NO₂⁺ and NO⁺ concentrations of organic nitrates ($NO_{2,ON}$ and NO_{ON}) can be quantified with the HR-AMS data via Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively (Farmer et al., 2010):

110
$$NO_{2}^{+}{}_{,ON} = \frac{NO_{2}^{+}{}_{,obs} \times (R_{obs} - R_{NH4NO3})}{R_{ON} - R_{NH4NO3}}$$
(1)

$$NO^{+}_{ON} = R_{ON} \times NO_{2,ON}$$
(2)

- 112 where R_{obs} is the NO_{X⁺} ratio from the observation. The value of R_{ON} is difficult to determine because it varies between 113 instruments and precursor VOCs. However, R_{NH4NO3} was determined by IE calibration using pure NH4NO3 every two weeks 114 for each campaign and the results showed stable values: In spring, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 2.66 for the first IE calibration and 115 2.94 for the second one; in summer, the average $R_{\rm NH4NO}$ was 3.05 and 3.34 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively; 116 in autumn, the average R_{NH4NO3} was 3.33 and 3.31 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively; in winter, the average 117 $R_{\rm NH4NO3}$ was 3.45 and 3.51 for the first and second IE calibrations, respectively. We adopted the $R_{\rm ON}/R_{\rm NH4NO3}$ estimation range 118 (from 2.08 to 3.99) for variation of precursor VOCs in the literature to determine R_{ON} (Farmer et al., 2010; Boyd et al., 2015; 119 Bruns et al., 2010; Sato et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2015b), and thus two R_{ON} values were calculated for each season to provide the 120 upper bound (NO_{3 org ratio 1}) and lower bound (NO_{3 org ratio 2}) of NO_{3,org} mass concentration.
- 121 The second method is based on the traditional positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis of HR organic mass spectra for 122 resolving different organic factors (Zhang et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2013), and the same analysis of HR organic 123 mass spectra, but combined with NO+ and NO2+ ions, was performed to separate NO+ and NO2+ ions into different organic 124 factors and an inorganic nitrate factor (Hao et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2015b). The PMF analysis procedures in this study can be 125 found in our previous publications (Huang et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2016; He et al., 2011), resulting in three organic factors and 126 one inorganic factor in spring, summer and autumn: a hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA) characterized by $C_nH_{2n+1}^+$ and $C_nH_{2n-1}^+$ and 127 O/C of 0.11 to 0.18, a less-oxidized oxygenated OA (LO-OOA) characterized by $C_xH_yO_z^+$ especially $C_2H_3O^+$ and O/C of 0.28 128 to 0.70, a more-oxidized oxygenated OA (MO-OOA) also characterized by $C_xH_yO_z^+$ especially CO_2^+ and O/C of 0.78 to 1.24,

- and a nitrate inorganic aerosol (NIA) characterized by overwhelming NO⁺ and NO₂⁺, as indicated in Fig S6. According to the diagnostic plots of the PMF analysis shown in Figure S2 to S4, the same organic factors as those in the traditional PMF analysis of only organic mass spectra were indeed obtained. The NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ ions were distributed among different OA factors and the NIA factor; thus the concentrations of nitrate functionality (NO_{org}^+ and $NO_{2,org}^+$) in organic nitrates (NO_{3, org}) are equal to the sum of NO₂⁺ and NO⁺ via Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively (Xu et al., 2015b):
- 134 $NO_{2\,ora}^{+} = \sum ([OA \ factor]_{i} \times f_{NO2\,i}) \quad (3)$
 - 135

- $NO_{org}^{+} = \sum ([OA \ factor]_{i} \times f_{NO,i})$ (4)
- where $[OA \ factor]_i$ represents the mass concentration of OA factor *i*, and $f_{NO2,i}$ and $f_{NO,i}$ represent the mass fractions of NO₂⁺ and NO⁺, respectively.
- 138 It should be noted that although the 4-factor solution seemed to have a "mixed factor" problem to some extent (Zhu et al., 139 2018), such as HOA mixed with COA (clear $C_3H_3O^+$ in m/z 55 for spring, summer and autumn) (Mohr et al., 2012) and BBOA
- 2010, such as from finded with COA (clear C₃11₃O⁻ in five 35 for spring, summer and autumit) (Molin et al., 2012) and bbox
- 140 mixed with LO-OOA (clear m/z 60 and 73 signals in LO-OOA in autumn) (Cubison et al., 2011), running PMF with more
- 141 factors would produce unexplained factors but little influence the apportion of NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ ions between organic nitrates
- and inorganic nitrate (Table S1). In addition, the uncertainties of NO^+ and NO_2^+ ions in the OA factors across different fpeak
- 143 values (from -1.0 to 1.0) were very small (Table S2). Therefore, the 4-factor solution was finally used for quantifying organic
- 144 nitrates in spring, summer and autumn.
- 145 **3. Results and discussion**

146 **3.1 Organic nitrate estimation**

- 147 Table 2 shows the concentrations of nitrate functionality in organic nitrates (i.e., $NO_{3, org}$), estimated by both the NO^+/NO_2^+ 148 ratio method and PMF method, and their contributions to the total measured nitrate. It should be noted that the small difference 149 between the average R_{obs} and R_{NH4NO3} in winter leads to a large portion of negative data using the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio method 150 (Table 1), and the result from the PMF method shows the contribution of organic nitrates in total nitrates is only 4.2% in winter 151 (Figure S6), suggesting a negligible contribution of organic nitrates. Thus, we will only discuss organic nitrate estimation 152 results in spring, summer and autumn. No matter by the NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio method or by the PMF method, organic nitrates had 153 the highest ambient concentration $(0.34-0.53 \ \mu g \ m^3)$ and proportion in total nitrates (41-64%) in summer among the different 154 seasons, which is consistent with the finding in the literature (Ng et al., 2017) and presents a different seasonal trend in 155 comparison with that of total nitrates in Table 1. Assuming the average molecular weight of organic nitrates of 200 to 300 g 156 mol⁻¹ (Rollins et al., 2012), we found that organic nitrates contributed 9-21% to OA in spring, 11-25% in summer and 9-20% 157 in autumn.
- 158 In the PMF method, the mass fractions of organic nitrates in HOA, LO-OOA and MO-OOA were 31%, 49% and 20%,
- respectively, in spring; 28%, 52% and 20%, respectively, in summer; 30%, 46% and 24%, respectively, in autumn. The major

160 fraction of organic nitrates occurring in LO-OOA for the three seasons implied that organic nitrates were mostly related to 161 fresher secondary OA formation. The NIA factor in all seasons was dominated by NO⁺ and NO₂⁺, but also contained some 162 organic fragments, such as CO_2^+ and $C_2H_3O^+$, which agreed with the findings in the literature (Hao et al., 2014; Xu et al., 163 2015b; Sun et al., 2012) and indicated potential interference of organics in the NIA factor. Also note that the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratios 164 in NIA (2.93 for spring, 3.53 for summer and 3.54 for autumn) were higher than that for pure NH₄NO₃ (Table 1), indicating 165 an underestimation of NO_{3,org} concentration by the PMF method. This may also explain why the concentration of NO_{3,org} 166 estimated using the PMF method was always close to the lower estimation bound of NO_{3,org} concentration using the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ 167 ratio method in each season in Table 2.

Sampling period	NO ⁺ /NO ₂ ⁺ ratio method				PMF method		
	NO _{3,org}	(µg m ⁻³) ^a	NO _{3,01}	-g/NO ₃	<i>NO</i> _{3,org} (μg m ⁻³) ^b	NO _{3,org} /NO ₃	
	lower	upper	lower	upper			
Spring	0.12	0.19	13%	21%	0.12	12%	
Summer	0.34	0.53	41%	64%	0.39	43%	
Autumn	0.21	0.33	16%	25%	0.21	16%	
Winter	/	<mark>/</mark>	/	<mark>/</mark>	<mark>0.07</mark>	<mark>4.2%</mark>	

168	Table 2.Summary	of organic nitrate	estimations using	the NO ⁺ /NO ₂ ⁺	+ ratio method	and the PMF method
-----	-----------------	--------------------	-------------------	---	----------------	--------------------

^a NO_{3, org} for upper bound is denoted as NO_{3 org} ratio 1, and NO_{3, org} for lower bound is denoted as NO_{3 org} ratio 2.

^bNO_{3, org} estimated using the PMF method is denoted as NO_{3_org_PMF}.

171 To further verify the reliability of the estimated results of organic nitrates, the NO_{3, org} concentration time series calculated by 172 the two methods in each season are shown in Figure 2a, and their correlation coefficient (R) is good (0.82 for spring, 0.82 for 173 summer and 0.77 for autumn), indicating that similar results were achieved. The inorganic nitrate (NO_{3 inorg}^{*}) obtained by 174 subtracting NO_{3 org ratio 1} from total measured nitrates also correlated well with the inorganic nitrate estimated using the PMF 175 method (R=0.92 for spring, 0.87 for summer and 0.86 for autumn). Furthermore, the diurnal trends of organic nitrates obtained 176 by the two methods were also similar in each season, generally with lower concentrations in the daytime and higher 177 concentrations at night, while they were distinctive from those of inorganic nitrate (Figure 2b), supporting that organic nitrates 178 had been well separated from inorganic nitrate in this study.



Figure 2. (a) Time series of $NO_{3, org}$ concentration estimated by the NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio method and PMF method for each

181 season; (b) correlations between NO_{3_org_ratio_1} and NO_{3_org_PMF} (left panel); diurnal trends of organic nitrates and NO_{3,org}

182 estimated by the different methods (right panel).

183 **3.2 Correlation between organic nitrates and OA factors**

184 As indicated by the results in the PMF method, the majority of organic nitrates were associated with LO-OOA in spring, 185 summer and autumn in the urban atmosphere in Shenzhen, implying a dominant secondary origin of organic nitrates. To further 186 confirm this relationship, we made the correlation analysis between organic nitrates estimated by the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio method 187 and the three factors resolved by the PMF analysis with only organic mass spectra in the three seasons. Generally, organic 188 nitrates were indeed found better-correlated with LO-OOA (R=0.69-0.77 in Figure 3) than with HOA and MO-OOA (R=0.03-0.69 in Figures S6-S8), consistent with the fact that the majority of organic nitrates were associated with LO-OOA in the PMF 189 190 method. However, the moderate correlation between organic nitrates and HOA implied possibility of direct emissions of 191 organic nitrates. Furthermore, we found a noticeably improved correlation between LO-OOA and organic nitrates at night 192 (19:00-6:00) and a reduced correlation during the daytime (7:00-18:00) in Figure 3, especially in summer, implying that 193 organic nitrates formation might be more closely related to secondary formation at night.



195 **Figure 3.**Correlation of NO_{3_org_ratio_1} and LO-OOA in each season for the whole day (a), at night (b) and in the daytime (c).

196**3.3 Size distribution characteristics of organic nitrates**

197 In this section, we used the NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio as an indicator to investigate the size distribution characteristics of organic nitrates. The average size distributions of different aerosol species and NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio in four seasons are shown in Figure 4. It is 198 199 clearly found that the NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio generally increased towards smaller size in spring, summer and autumn, while the 200 NO^{+}/NO_{2}^{+} ratio kept similar to the value of R_{NH4NO3} throughout the full size range in winter. It should also be noted that in 201 spring, summer and autumn, the lowest values of NO⁺/NO⁺ ratio occurring at >1 µm were approximate to the corresponding 202 seasonal values of R_{NH4NO3}. These characteristics clearly indicated that organic nitrates occurred mostly in fresh particles with 203 smaller sizes and thus should be mainly of local origin. Different from the bulk OA and inorganic spices, organic nitrates 204 seemed to exist scarcely in larger aged particles, implying that they could be easily removed by deposition and/or chemical degradation during air mass transport. In addition, the diurnal trends of size distribution of NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio in spring, summer 205 206 and autumn in Figure 4 show apparent higher values at small sizes at night, suggesting an important nighttime local origin of 207 organic nitrates. Combining with the analysis in section 3.2, local nighttime secondary formation of organic nitrates in warmer seasons in the urban polluted atmosphere in Shenzhen is highlighted. This is well consistent with the previous findings in the 208 209 US and Europe that the nighttime NO₃+VOCs reactions serve as an important source for particulate organic nitrates (Rollins 210 et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015a, 2015b; Fry et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2016). We will then explore the nighttime NO₃+VOCs reactions 211 in Shenzhen in the following section.



Figure 4.(a) Average size distributions of aerosol species and NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio (red dotted line represents R_{NH4NO3}); (b) diurnal trends of size distribution of NO⁺/NO₂⁺ ratio.

3.4 Nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation via NO₃+VOCs

216	Since on-line VOCs measurement was only performed during the spring campaign, as described in section 2.2, the following
217	theoretical analysis of NO ₃ +VOCs reactions will be just applied to the spring case. NO ₃ +VOCs reactions would yield a large
218	mass of gas- and particle-phase organic nitrates (Rollins et al., 2012; Nah et al., 2016; Boyd et al., 2015, 2017; Xu et al., 2015a,
219	2015b; Lee et al., 2016). We used the NO ₃ loss rate at night, which can be calculated as $K_i \cdot [VOC_i]$ in Eq. (9), to roughly judge
220	the production potential of organic nitrates from a NO ₃ +VOC reaction:
221	$[Production Potential]_{NO3+VOCi} = K_i \cdot [VOC_i] \cdot [NO_3] (9)$
222	Where K_i represents the reaction rate coefficient for NO ₃ radical and a VOC, $[VOC_i]$ is the concentration of the specific VOC
223	and $[NO_3]$ is the concentration of NO ₃ radical. In the spring campaign, the diurnal variations of NO ₂ , O ₃ and estimated NO ₃
224	radical concentrations are shown in Figure S10. It was found that the high concentrations of NO ₂ (19.93 \pm 2.31 ppb) at night
225	leaded to high yield of NO ₃ radical (1.24 \pm 0.76 ppt) in Shenzhen, as calculated in Text S1, compared to nighttime NO ₃ radical
226	concentrations reported in literature in the United States (Rollins et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015a).
227	Typical measured nighttime VOC concentrations, their reaction rate coefficients with NO3 radical and the production potentials
228	calculated are listed in Table S3 and shown in Figure 5. These VOCs were considered based on their higher ambient
229	concentrations and availability for reaction kinetics with NO3 radical. According to the distribution of production potential,
230	five biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) (i.e., α -pinene, limonene, camphene, β -pinene and isoprene) and one anthropogenic VOC
231	(styrene) were identified as notable VOC precursors with high production potential, while the sum of production potential
232	from the other VOCs was negligible as shown in Figure 5b.



Figure 5. (a) Mean concentrations of VOCs and the corresponding calculated production potential of NO₃+VOC at night during the spring campaign; (b) production potential ranking of VOCs at night during the spring campaign.

233

Figure 6 shows the average nighttime variations of BC, LO-OOA, NO_{3.org_ratio_1}, NO_{3.org_PMF} and production potential of the six notable VOCs identified during the spring campaign. The concentrations of BC and LO-OOA generally decreased slowly after sunset till sunshine due to the combined effect of both the planetary boundary layer variation and traffic emissions, while particulate organic nitrates showed a different trend with two clear growth processes (19:00-22:00 and 3:00-6:00) at night, suggesting their unique sources. In contrast, the production potentials of the six notable VOCs with NO₃ had two roughly similar increases at the same periods as those of particulate organic nitrates, which supported the key role of NO₃+VOCs reactions for nighttime organic nitrate formation.



243

Figure 6.Nighttime variations of BC, LO-OOA, NO_{3.org_ratio_1}, NO_{3.org_PMF} and production potential (PP) of six notable VOCs during the spring campaign.

- 246 Based on the production potential evaluation above, we further explore SOA yield of NO₃+the six notable VOC precursors
- 247 according to the analysis method of particulate organic nitrate formation in Xu et al. (2015a). Briefly, NO₃ and ozone are two
- 248 main oxidants for SOA formation from VOCs at night. Based on the concentrations of oxidants and the reaction rate constants

249	for VOCs with NO_3 and ozone, the branching ratio of each VOC that reacts with NO_3 can be estimated as in Eq. (10). By
250	combining the estimated branching ratios and SOA yields from chamber studies (Table 3), SOA from these VOCs can be
251	calculated as in Eq. (11) (Xu et al., 2015a):
252	$branching \ ratio_{species \ i+NO3} = \frac{k_{[species \ i+NO3]} \times [NO_3]}{k_{[species \ i+NO3]} \times [NO_3] + k_{[species \ i+O3]} \times [O_3]} \ (10)$

253
$$[SOA]_{species, oxidant} = [species] \times branching ratio_{species, oxidant} \times yield_{species, oxidant}$$
 (11)

254	The results in Table 3 show that all the six notable VOC species were prone to react with NO ₃ radical instead of O ₃ at night,
255	and the estimated SOA production from NO ₃ +VOCs reactions using SOA mass yields in the literature was 0-0.33 μ g m ⁻³ for
256	α-pinene, 0.09-1.28 µg m ⁻³ for limonene, 0.24 µg m ⁻³ for styrene, 0.004-0.06 µg m ⁻³ for β-pinene and 0.002-0.02 µg m ⁻³ for
257	isoprene. The SOA yield from camphene is currently unknown in the literature. It is seen that the average observed nighttime
258	concentration of particulate organic nitrates during the spring campaign (0.39-0.83 μ g m ⁻³ , converting NO _{3.org_ratio_1} , NO _{3.org_PMF}
259	in Figure 6 into organic nitrates assuming the average molecular weight of organic nitrates of 200 to 300 g mol ⁻¹) was well
260	within the estimated SOA concentration ranges produced by α -pinene, limonene and styrene in Table 3, indicating that these
261	three VOCs were the key VOC precursors in urban atmosphere in Shenzhen. Considering both the production potentials and
262	SOA yields, the contributions of β -pinene and isoprene to nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates could be
263	negligible.

- 264 **Table 3.** Average concentrations, reaction branching and SOA production of α -pinene, limonene, styrene, camphene, β -
- 265 pinene and isoprene with respect to different oxidants at night in the spring campaign.

Species	Concentration	Rate coefficient ^a		Branching ratio		SOA yield from	SOA from	
	(ppbv)					the literature	$VOCs + NO_3$	
	-	NO ₃	O ₃	NO ₃	O ₃	(with NO ₃)	(µg m ⁻³)	
α-pinene	0.39	6.64E-12	7.2E ⁻ 17	0.962	0.038	0-0.16 ^b	0-0.33	
Limonene	0.14	1.22E-11	1.54E ⁻ 16	0.957	0.043	0.12-1.74 ^c	0.09-1.28	
Styrene	0.19	1.50E-12	1.70E-17	0.941	0.059	0.23 ^d	0.24	
Camphene	0.28	6.20E-13	9.0E-19	0.992	0.008	/	/	
β-pinene	0.01	2.51E-12	1.50E-17	0.968	0.032	0.07-1.04 ^e	0.004-0.06	
Isoprene	0.032	6.96E-13	1.27E-17	0.908	0.091	0.02-0.24 f	0.002-0.02	

^a Rate coefficients for all species except camphene are from the Master Chemical Mechanism model

267 (<u>http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/;</u> under 25 °C), rate coefficients for camphene are from Martínez et al. (1999) and Atkinson et
 268 al. (1990).

- ^b Hallquist et al. (1999); Spittler et al. (2006); Perraud et al. (2010); Fry et al. (2014); Nah et al. (2016).
- ^c Fry et al. (2011, 2014); Spittler et al. (2006); Boyd et al. (2017).

- ^d Cabrera-Perez et al. (2017).
- ^e Griffin et al. (1999); Fry et al. (2009); Fry et al. (2014); Boyd et al. (2015).

^f Rollins al. (2009); Ng et al. (2008).

It should be noted that, all previous studies on nighttime organic nitrate formation in the US and Europe focused on mechanisms 274 275 of NO₃ reactions with BVOCs (Hallquist et al., 1999; Spittler et al., 2006; Perraud et al., 2010; Fry et al., 2014; Nah et al., 2016; 276 Boyd et al., 2015, 2017). In this study, however, we found that styrene, one of major aromatics derived from anthropogenic 277 emissions (Cabrera-Perez et al., 2016), served as a key VOC precursor for organic nitrate formation in Shenzhen, theoretically 278 with comparable SOA producing ability to those of α -pinene and limonene and much higher ability than those of β -pinene and 279 isoprene. In China, styrene has been actually identified as an important VOC of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) in urban 280 areas, and has a notable contribution to ozone formation and SOA production (An et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 281 2019). This study further highlights the key role of this anthropogenic VOC precursor in nighttime particulate organic nitrate 282 formation in urban atmosphere in China, and relevant smog chamber studies for anthropogenic VOCs+NO₃ reactions are

283 needed to support parameterization in modeling.

284 **3.5** Comparison with other similar studies and implications

285 Table 4 shows the average ambient temperatures, average concentrations of NO, NO₂, monoterpenes, NO_{3,org}, the ratio of 286 NO_{3 org} to NO_{3 total} and the ratio of organic nitrates to total organics in a few similar field campaigns available in the literature. 287 which all found the key role of NO₃+VOCs reactions for nighttime particulate organic nitrate formation. Generally, the 288 concentrations of particulate organic nitrates varied less than an order of magnitude $(0.06-0.98 \text{ µg/m}^3)$ among the different 289 sites. Higher concentrations of particulate organic nitrates generally corresponded to higher NOx concentrations rather than 290 BVOC concentrations, implying that the formation of particulate organic nitrates is more likely NOx-limited than BVOCs -291 limited. Note that, particulate organic nitrates constituted the major part (86-100%) of total nitrates in the atmosphere scarce 292 of NOx (in Centreville and Woodland Park), suggesting that NOx was very quickly consumed to form particulate organic 293 nitrates and thus the formation of particulate organic nitrates should be NOx-limited. On the other hand, although the BVOC 294 concentrations in Bakersfield were far less than in the other campaigns, the concentration of particulate organic nitrates there 295 showed a medial level among all the campaigns. In the spring campaign of this study, we examined the correlation between 296 organic nitrates and NO₂ or VOCs (by the sum of α -pinene, limonene and styrene) at night (Figure S11) and found a significant 297 correlation of organic nitrates with NO₂ (R=0.40-0.47) rather than with VOCs (R=0.06-0.20), implying a dominant role of 298 NOx in the organic nitrate formation. Therefore, it is inferred that formation of particulate organic nitrates through BVOC

299 reactions may be indirectly NOx-controlled, and high NOx emissions could promote biogenic SOA formation at night.

300 **Table 4.** Average ambient temperatures, average concentrations of monoterpenes, NO_{3,total}, NO_{3,org}, NO_{3,org}/ NO_{3,total} and the ratio of organic nitrates to total organics (ON/Org) for different

Sampling site	Site	Sampling	Temperature	NO	NO ₂	Monoterpenes	NO _{3,org}	NO _{3,org} /	ON/Org	Reference/Note
	type	period	(°C)	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(µg m ⁻³)	NO _{3,total}		
Bakersfield, US	rural	May-June, 2010	23.0		8.2	0.045 (α-pinene) 0.004 (β-pinene)	0.16	0.28	0.23	Rollins et al. (2012)/ NO3,org measured by TD- LIF
Woodland Park, US	high attitude	July-August, 2011	15.0		1.2	0.034 (innonene)	0.06	0.86	0.09	Fry et al. (2013)/ Use AMS data to estimate NO3,org
Centreville, US	rural	June-July, 2013	24.7	0.1	1.1	0.350 (α-pinene)* 0.312 (β-pinene)* 0.050 (limonene)*	0.08	1.00	0.10	Xu et al. (2015a) Xu et al. (2015b)/ Use AMS data to estimate NO3,org
Barcelona, Spain	urban	March, 2009	13.3	11.0	23.6	0.423 (monoterpene)	0.48	0.13	0.13	Mohr et al. (2012) Pandolfi et al. (2014) / Use AMS data to estimate NO3,org
Shenzhen, China	urban	April, 2016	24.5	8.0	19.4	0.391 (α-pinene)* 0.013 (β-pinene)* 0.137 (limonene)*	0.16	0.17	0.11	This study/ Use AMS data to estimate NO _{3,org}

301 field campaigns around the world. The ON results at the European and US sites are from Kiendler-Scharr et al. (2016) and Ng et al. (2017).

302 *BVOC concentration at night.

303 4. Conclusions

304 An Aerodyne HR-ToF-AMS was deployed in urban Shenzhen for about one month per season during 2015–2016 to 305 characterize particulate organic nitrates with high time resolution. We found that the mass fractions of organic nitrates in total organics were substantial during warmer seasons, including spring (9-21%), summer (11-25%) and autumn (9-20%), while 306 307 particulate organic nitrates were negligible in winter. The correlation analysis between organic nitrates and each OA factor 308 showed higher correlation (R=0.77 in spring, 0.91 in summer and 0.72 in autumn) between organic nitrates and LO-OOA at 309 night. The diurnal trend analysis of size distribution of NO^+/NO_2^+ ratio further suggested that organic nitrate formation mainly 310 occurred at night, and also presented that organic nitrates concentrated on smaller sizes, suggesting that they were mostly local 311 product. The calculated theoretical nighttime production potential of NO₃ reactions with VOCs measured in spring showed 312 that six VOC species (i.e., α -pinene, limonene, styrene, camphene, β -pinene and isoprene) were notable precursors. The SOA 313 yield analysis further indicated that α -pinene, limonene and styrene contributed mostly to nighttime formation of particulate 314 organic nitrates in spring in Shenzhen, highlighting the unique contribution of anthropogenic VOCs in comparison with 315 previous studies in the US and Europe. Finally, the comparison of the results in this study with other similar studies implied

316 that nighttime formation of particulate organic nitrates is more likely NOx-limited than VOCs-limited.

317 Acknowledgments

- 318 This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC0213901), National Natural Science Foundation
- of China (91544215; 41622304) and Science and Technology Plan of Shenzhen Municipality (JCYJ20170412150626172).

320 **References**

- An, J. L., Wang, Y. S., Sun, Y.: Effects of nonmethane hydrocarbons on ozone formation in Beijing. Ecology & Environmental
 Sciences.
- Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S. M., Arey, J.: Rate constants for the gas-phase reactions of OH and NO3 radicals and O3 with
 sabinene and camphene at 296±2 K. Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics, 24, (10), 2647-2654,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(90)90144-C, 1990
- Ayres, B.R., Allen, H.M., Draper, D.C., Brown, S.S., Wild, R.J., Jimenez, J.L., Day, D.A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Hu, W., De
 Gouw, J.A., Koss, A., Cohen, R.C., Duffey, K.C., Romer, P., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E., Takahama, S., Thornton, J.A.,
 Lee, B.H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F.D., Mohr, C., Wennberg, P.O., Nguyen, T.B., Teng, A.P., Goldstein, A.H., Olson, K., Fry,
 J.L.: Organic nitrate aerosol formation via NO3+ biogenic volatile organic compounds in the southeastern United States.
 Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 13377-13392. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13377-2015, 2015.

- Boyd, C.M., Nah, T., Xu, L., Berkemeier, T., Ng, N.L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol(SOA) from Nitrate Radical Oxidation of
 Monoterpenes: Effects of Temperature, Dilution, and Humidity on Aerosol Formation, Mixing, and Evaporation. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 51, 7831–7841. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01460</u>, 2017.
- Boyd, C.M., Sanchez, J., Xu, L., Eugene, A.J., Nah, T., Tuet, W.Y., Guzman, M.I., Ng, N.L.: Secondary organic aerosol
 formation from the β-pinene+NO3 system: effect of humidity and peroxy radical fate. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 7497 7522. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-7497-2015, 2015.
- Bruns, E.A., Perraud, V., Zelenyuk, A., Ezell, M.J., Johnson, S.N., Yu, Y., Imre, D., Finlayson-Pitts, B.J., Alexander, M.L.:
 Comparison of FTIR and particle mass spectrometry for the measurement of particulate organic nitrates. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 44, 1056-1061. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9029864, 2010.
- Cabrera-Perez, D., Taraborrelli, D., Sander, R., and Pozzer, A.: Global atmospheric budget of simple monocyclic aromatic
 compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6931-6947, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-6931-2016</u>, 2016.
- Cabrera-Perez, D., Taraborrelli, D., Lelieveld, J., Hoffmann, T., and Pozzer, A.: Global impact of monocyclic aromatics on
 tropospheric composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-928</u>, 2017.
- Canagaratna, M.R., Jayne, J.T., Jimenez, J.L., Allan, J.D., Alfarra, M.R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T.B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H.,
 Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L.R., Trimborn, A.M., Northway, M.J., DeCarlo, P.F., Kolb, C.E., Davidovits, P.,
 Worsnop, D.R.: Chemical and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass
 spectrometer. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 26, 185-222. https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20115, 2007.
- Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W. H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S.,
 Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny, T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber,
 R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A., and Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning
 smoke in aircraft and laboratory studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12049-12064, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12049-2011.
- Day, D. A., Liu, S., Russell, L. M., and Ziemann, P. J.: Organonitrate group concentrations in submicron particles with high
 nitrate and organic fractions in coastal southern California, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1970–1979,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.045, 2010.
- DeCarlo, P.F., Kimmel, J.R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M.J., Jayne, J.T., Aiken, A.C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T.,
 Docherty, K.S., Worsnop, D.R., Jimenez, J.L.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass
 spectrometer. Anal. Chem. 78, 8281-8289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac061249n</u>, 2006.
- Farmer, D.K., Matsunaga, A., Docherty, K.S., Surratt, J.D., Seinfeld, J.H., Ziemann, P.J., Jimenez, J.L.: Response of an aerosol
 mass spectrometer to organonitrates and organosulfates and implications for atmospheric chemistry. Proc. Natl. Acad.
 Sci. 107, 6670-6675. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912340107, 2010.
- Fry, J.L., Draper, D.C., Barsanti, K.C., Smith, J.N., Ortega, J., Winkler, P.M., Lawler, M.J., Brown, S.S., Edwards, P.M.,
 Cohen, R.C.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation and Organic Nitrate Yield from NO3 Oxidation of Biogenic
 Hydrocarbons. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 11944–11953. https://doi.org/10.1021/es502204x, 2014.

- Fry, J.L., Draper, D.C., Zarzana, K.J., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D.A., Jimenez, J.L., Brown, S.S., Cohen, R.C., Kaser, L.,
 Hansel, A., Cappellin, L., Karl, T., Hodzic Roux, A., Turnipseed, A., Cantrell, C., Lefer, B.L., Grossberg, N.:
 Observations of gas- and aerosol-phase organic nitrates at BEACHON-RoMBAS 2011. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 85858605. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8585-2013, 2013.
- Fry, J.L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Rollins, A.W., Brauers, T., Brown, S.S., Dorn, H.P., Dubé, W.P., Fuchs, H., Mensah, A., Rohrer,
 F., Tillmann, R., Wahner, A., Wooldridge, P.J., Cohen, R. C.: SOA from limonene: Role of NO3 in its generation and
 degradation. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 3879-3894. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3879-2011</u>, 2011.
- Fry, J.L., Kiendlerscharr, A., Rollins, A.W., Wooldridge, P.J., Brown, S.S., Fuchs, H., Dube, W.P., Mensah, A., Dal Maso,
 M., Tillmann, R.: Organic nitrate and secondary organic aerosol yield from NO 3 oxidation of β-pinene evaluated using
 a gas-phase kinetics/aerosol partitioning model. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 1431–1449. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1431-
 2009, 2009.
- Griffin, R. J., Cocker, D. R., III, Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Organic aerosol formation from the oxidation of biogenic
 hydrocarbons. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 3555–3567, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998jd100049, 1999.
- Hallquist, M., Wängberg, I., Ljungström, E., Barnes, I., Becker, K.H.: Aerosol and product yields from NO3radical-initiated
 oxidation of selected monoterpenes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 33, 553-559. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es980292s</u>, 1999.
- Hao, L.Q., Kortelainen, A., Romakkaniemi, S., Portin, H., Jaatinen, A., Leskinen, A., Komppula, M., Miettinen, P., Sueper,
 D., Pajunoja, A., Smith, J.N., Lehtinen, K.E.J., Worsnop, D.R., Laaksonen, A., Virtanen, A.: Atmospheric submicron
 aerosol composition and particulate organic nitrate formation in a boreal forestland-urban mixed region. Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. 14, 13483-13495. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-13483-2014, 2014.
- He, L.Y., Huang, X.F., Xue, L., Hu, M., Lin, Y., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Zhang, Y.H.: Submicron aerosol analysis and organic
 source apportionment in an urban atmosphere in Pearl River Delta of China using high-resolution aerosol mass
 spectrometry. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 116, D12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014566</u>, 2011.
- Huang, X.F., He, L.Y., Hu, M., Canagaratna, M.R., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhu, T., Xue, L., Zeng, L.W., Liu, X.G., Zhang, Y.H.,
 Jayne, J.T., Ng, N.L., Worsnop, D.R.: Highly time-resolved chemical characterization of atmospheric submicron particles
 during 2008 Beijing Olympic games using an aerodyne high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
 10, 8933-8945. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8933-2010, 2010.
- Huang, X.F., He, L.Y., Xue, L., Sun, T.L., Zeng, L.W., Gong, Z.H., Hu, M., Zhu, T.: Highly time-resolved chemical
 characterization of atmospheric fine particles during 2010 Shanghai World Expo. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 4897-4907.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4897-2012</u>, 2012.
- Huang, X.F., Xue, L., Tian, X.D., Shao, W.W., Sun, T. Le, Gong, Z.H., Ju, W.W., Jiang, B., Hu, M., He, L.Y.: Highly time resolved carbonaceous aerosol characterization in Yangtze River Delta of China: Composition, mixing state and
 secondary formation. Atmos. Environ. 64, 200-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.059, 2013.

- Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., Shi, Q., Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R., Yourshaw, I., Seinfeld, J. H., Flagan, R. C., Zhang, X. F.,
 Smith, K. A., Morris, J. W., and Davidovits, P.: Ambient aerosol sampling using the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer,
 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 447–457, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001213, 2003.
- Kiendler-Scharr, A., Mensah, A. A., Friese, E., Topping, D., Nemitz, E., Prevot, A. S. H., Äijälä, M., Allan, J., Canonaco, F.,
 Canagaratna, M., Carbone, S., Crippa, M., Dall Osto, M., Day, D. A., De Carlo, P., Di Marco, C. F., Elbern, H., Eriksson,
- 402 A., Freney, E., Hao, L., Herrmann, H., Hildebrandt, L., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Laaksonen, A., McFiggans, G., Mohr,
- 403 C., O'Dowd, C., Otjes, R., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S. N., Poulain, L., Schlag, P., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P.,
 404 Vermeulen, A., Wahner, A., Worsnop, D., and Wu, H. C.: Organic nitrates from night-time chemistry are ubiquitous in
 405 the European submicron aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 7735–7744, <u>https://doi:10.1002/2016GL069239</u>, 2016.
- Lee, B.H., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F.D., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Lee, L., Romer, P., Cohen, R.C., Iyer, S., Kurten, T., Hu,
 W., Day, D.A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J.L., Xu, L., Ng, N.L., Guo, H., Weber, R.J., Wild, R.J., Brown, S.S., Koss,
 A., de Gouw, J., Olson, K., Goldstein, A.H., Seco, R., Kim, S., McAvey, K., Shepson, P.B., Starn, T., Baumann, K.,
 Edgerton, E.S., Liu, J., Shilling, J.E., Miller, D.O., Brune, W., Schobesberger, S., D'Ambro, E.L., Thornton, J.A.: Highly
 functionalized organic nitrates in the southeast United States: Contribution to secondary organic aerosol and reactive
 nitrogen budgets. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 1516-1521. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508108113, 2016.
- Lelieveld, J., Gromov, S., Pozzer, A., Taraborrelli, D.: Global tropospheric hydroxyl distribution, budget and reactivity. Atmos.
 Chem. Phys. 16, 12477-12493. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12477-2016</u>, 2016.
- 414 Martínez, E.; Cabañas, B.; Aranda, A.; Martín, P.; Salgado, S.: Absolute Rate Coefficients for the Gas-Phase Reactions of
 415 NO3 Radical with a Series of Monoterpenes at T = 298 to 433 K. Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 33, (3), 265-282.
 416 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006178530211, 1999.
- 417 Middlebrook, A.M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J.L., Canagaratna, M.R.: Evaluation of composition-dependent collection
 418 efficiencies for the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer using field data. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 46, 258-271.
 419 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.620041</u>, 2012.
- Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P. F., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Slowik, J. G., Richter, R., Reche, C., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Seco, R.,
 Peñuelas, J., Jiménez, J. L., Crippa, M., Zimmermann, R., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Identification and
 quantification of organic aerosol from cooking and other sources in Barcelona using aerosol mass spectrometer data,
 Atmos. Chem.Phys., 12, 1649–1665, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1649-2012, 2012.
- Nah, T., McVay, R. C., Zhang, X., Boyd, C. M., Seinfeld, J. H., and Ng, N. L.: Influence of seed aerosol surface area and
 oxidation rate on vapor wall deposition and SOA mass yields: a case study with α-pinene ozonolysis, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 16, 9361–9379, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-9361-2016, 2016.
- Ng, N. L., Brown, S. S., Archibald, A. T., Atlas, E., Cohen, R. C., Crowley, J. N., Day, D. A., Donahue, N. M., Fry, J. L.,
 Fuchs, H., Griffin, R. J., Guzman, M. I., Herrmann, H., Hodzic, A., Iinuma, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Lee,
- 429 B. H., Luecken, D. J., Mao, J., McLaren, R., Mutzel, A., Osthoff, H. D., Ouyang, B., Picquet-Varrault, B., Platt, U., Pye,
- 430 H. O. T., Rudich, Y., Schwantes, R. H., Shiraiwa, M., Stutz, J., Thornton, J. A., Tilgner, A., Williams, B. J., and Zaveri,

- R. A.: Nitrate radicals and biogenic volatile organic compounds: oxidation, mechanisms, and organic aerosol, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 17, 2103-2162, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2103-2017</u>, 2017.
- Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Tian, J., Ulbrich, I. M., Kroll, J. H., Docherty, K.S., Chhabra, P.S.,
 Bahreini, R., Murphy, S.M., Seinfeld, J.H., Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N.M., Decarlo, P.F., Lanz, V.A., Prévôt, A.S.H.,
 Dinar, E., Rudich, Y., Worsnop, D.R.: Organic aerosol components observed in Northern Hemispheric datasets from
 Aerosol Mass Spectrometry. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 4625-4641. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4625-2010, 2010.
- Ng, N. L., Kwan, A. J., Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Chhabra, P. S., Sorooshian, A., Pye, H. O. T., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg,
 P. O., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from reaction of isoprene with
 nitrate radicals (NO3), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4117–4140, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-4117-2008, 2008.
- Pandolfi, M.; Querol, X.; Alastuey, A.; Jimenez, J. L.; Jorba, O.; Day, D.; Ortega, A.; Cubison, M. J.; Comerón, A.; Sicard,
 M.; Mohr, C.; Prévôt, A. S. H.; Minguillón, M. C.; Pey, J.; Baldasano, J. M.; Burkhart, J. F.; Seco, R.; Peñuelas, J.; van
- 442 Drooge, B. L.; Artiñano, B.; Di Marco, C.; Nemitz, E.; Schallhart, S.; Metzger, A.; Hansel, A.; Lorente, J.; Ng, S.; Jayne,
- 443 J.; Szidat, S.: Effects of sources and meteorology on particulate matter in the Western Mediterranean Basin: An overview
- 444 of the DAURE campaign. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, (8), 4978-5010.
 445 <u>https://10.1002/2013JD021079</u>, 2014.
- Perraud, V., Bruns, E. A., Ezell, M. J., Johnson, S. N., Greaves, J.,and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Identification of organic nitrates
 in the NO3 radical initiated oxidation of α-pinene by atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry,
 Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 5887–5893. https://doi.org/10.1021/es1005658, 2010.
- Rollins, A.W., Browne, E.C., Min, K.-E., Pusede, S.E., Wooldridge, P.J., Gentner, D.R., Goldstein, A.H., Liu, S., Day, D.A.,
 Russell, L.M., Cohen, R.C.: Evidence for NOx Control over Nighttime SOA Formation. Science. 337, 1210-1212.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1221520, 2012.
- Rollins, A. W., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Fry, J. L., Brauers, T., Brown, S. S., Dorn, H.-P., Dubé, W. P., Fuchs, H., Mensah, A.,
 Mentel, T. F., Rohrer, F., Tilmann, R., Wegener, R., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Isoprene oxidation by nitrate
 radical: alkyl nitrate and secondary organic aerosol yields, tmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6685–6703, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6685-2009, 2009.
- 456 Sato, K., Takami, A., Isozaki, T., Hikida, T., Shimono, A., Imamura, T.: Mass spectrometric study of secondary organic aerosol 457 formed from the photo-oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons. Atmos. Environ. 44, 1080-1087. 458 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.013, 2010.
- Sobanski, N., Thieser, J., Schuladen, J., Sauvage, C., Song, W., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., Crowley, J.N.: Day and night-time
 formation of organic nitrates at a forested mountain site in south-west Germany. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17, 4115-4130.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-4115-2017, 2017.
- 462 Spittler, M., Barnes, I., Bejan, I., Brockmann, K.J., Benter, T., Wirtz, K.: Reactions of NO3 radicals with limonene and α 463 pinene : Product and SOA formation. Atmos. Environ. 40, 116–127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.093</u>,
 464 2006.

- Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Schwab, J.J., Yang, T., Ng, N.L., Demerjian, K.L.: Factor analysis of combined organic and inorganic
 aerosol mass spectra from high resolution aerosol mass spectrometer measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 8537–8551.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8537-2012, 2012.
- Teng, A.P., Crounse, J.D., Lee, L., St. Clair, J.M., Cohen, R.C., Wennberg, P.O.: Hydroxy nitrate production in the OHinitiated oxidation of alkenes. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 139, 5367-5377. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4297-2015</u>, 2015.
- 470 Teng, A.P., Crounse, J.D., Wennberg, P.O.: Isoprene Peroxy Radical Dynamics. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 15, 4297-4316.
 471 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b12838</u>, 2017.
- 472 Wang, H.; Lu, K.; Chen, X.; Zhu, O.; Chen, Q.; Guo, S.; Jiang, M.; Li, X.; Shang, D.; Tan, Z.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Z.; Zou, O.; Zheng, Y.; Zeng, L.; Zhu, T.; Hu, M.; Zhang, Y.: High N₂O₅ Concentrations Observed in Urban Beijing: Implications of a Large 473 474 Nitrate Formation Pathway. Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 4. (10),416-420. https://doi.10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00341, 2018. 475
- Wang, M.; Zeng, L.; Lu, S.; Shao, M.; Liu, X.; Yu, X.; Chen, W.; Yuan, B.; Zhang, Q.; Hu, M.; Zhang, Z.: Development and
 validation of a cryogen-free automatic gas chromatograph system (GC-MS/FID) for online measurements of volatile
 organic compounds, 6, (23), 9424-9434. Analytical Methods, <u>https://doi.10.1039/C4A Y01855A</u>, 2014.
- Xu, L., Guo, H., Boyd, C.M., Klein, M., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K.M., Hite, J.R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Kreisberg, N.M.,
 Knote, C., Olson, K., Koss, A., Goldstein, A.H., Hering, S. V., de Gouw, J., Baumann, K., Lee, S.-H., Nenes, A., Weber,
 R.J., Ng, N.L.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions on aerosol formation from isoprene and monoterpenes in the
 southeastern United States. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112, 37-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1417609112</u>, 2015a.
- Xu, L., Suresh, S., Guo, H., Weber, R.J., Ng, N.L.: Aerosol characterization over the southeastern United States using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: Spatial and seasonal variation of aerosol composition and sources with a focus on
 organic nitrates. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 7307-7336. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-7307-2015</u>, 2015b.
- Xu, W., Sun, Y., Wang, Q., Du, W., Zhao, J., Ge, X., Han, T., Zhang, Y., Zhou, W., Li, J., Fu, P., Wang, Z., Worsnop, D.R.:
 Seasonal Characterization of Organic Nitrogen in Atmospheric Aerosols Using High Resolution Aerosol Mass
 Spectrometry in Beijing, China. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. 1, 673–682. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00106</u>,
 2017.
- Yan, C., Nie, W., Äijälä, M., Rissanen, M.P., Canagaratna, M.R., Massoli, P., Junninen, H., Jokinen, T., Sarnela, N., Häme,
 S.A.K., Schobesberger, S., Canonaco, F., Yao, L., Prévôt, A.S.H., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Sipilä, M., Worsnop, D.R.,
 Ehn, M.: Source characterization of highly oxidized multifunctional compounds in a boreal forest environment u sing
 positive matrix factorization. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 16, 12715-12731. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12715-2016, 2016.
- Yuan, B., Hu, W. W., Shao, M., Wang, M., Chen, W. T., Lu, S. H., Zeng, L. M., and Hu, M.: VOC emissions, evolutions and
 contributions to SOA formation at a receptor site in eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8815-8832,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8815-2013,2013.

23

- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J.L., Canagaratna, M.R., Ulbrich, I.M., Ng, N.L., Worsnop, D.R., Sun, Y.: Understanding atmospheric
 organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass spectrometry: A review. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 401, 3045-3067.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5355-y</u>, 2011.
- Zhang, Y.H., Su, H., Zhong, L.J., Cheng, Y.F., Zeng, L.M., Wang, X.S., Xiang, Y.R., Wang, J.L., Gao, D.F., Shao, M., Fan,
 S.J., Liu, S.C.: Regional ozone pollution and observation-based approach for analyzing ozone-precursor relationship
 during the PRIDE-PRD2004 campaign. Atmos. Environ. 42, 6203-6218. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.05.002</u>,
 2008.
- Zhu, B., Han, Y., Wang, C., Huang, X. F., Xia, S. Y., Niu, Y. B., Yin, Z. X, He, L.Y. :Understanding primary and secondary
 sources of ambient oxygenated volatile organic compounds in Shenzhen utilizing photochemical age-based
 parameterization method. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 75, 105-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2018.03.008,
 2019.
- 508 Zhu, Q., He, L.Y., Huang, X.F., Cao, L.M., Gong, Z.H., Wang, C., Zhuang, X., Hu, M.: Atmospheric aerosol compositions
- and sources at two national background sites in northern and southern China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 16, 10283-10297.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10283-2016, 2016.
- 511 Zhu, Q., Huang, X.-F., Cao, L.-M., Wei, L.-T., Zhang, B., He, L.-Y., Elser, M., Canonaco, F., Slowik, J. G., Bozzetti, C., El-
- 512 Haddad, I., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Improved source apportionment of organic aerosols in complex urban air pollution using
- 513 the multilinear engine (ME-2), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 1049-1060. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-1049-2018</u>, 2018.