1	Influence	of	Intense	secondary	aerosol	formation	and	long
---	-----------	----	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----	------

2 range transport on aerosol chemistry and properties in the

3 Seoul Metropolitan Area during spring time: Results from

4 KORUS-AQ

5 Hwajin Kim^{1,2} Qi Zhang^{3,4*}, and Jongbae Heo⁵

- 6 [1] Center for Environment, Health and Welfare Research, Korea Institute of Science and
- 7 Technology, Seoul, Korea
- 8 [2] Department of Energy and Environmental Engineering, University of Science and Technology,
- 9 Daejeon, Korea
- 10 [3] Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.
- 11 [4] Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- 12 [5] Center for Healthy Environment Education & Research, Graduate School of Public Health,
- 13 Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
- 14
- 15
- 16 *Corresponding author: Qi Zhang
- 17 Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California 1 Shields Avenue, Davis,
- 18 California 95616
- 19 Phone: (530)-752-5779
- 20 Email: <u>dkwzhang@ucdavis.edu</u>
- 21

22 Abstract

Non-refractory submicrometer particulate matter (NR-PM₁) was measured in the Seoul
 Metropolitan Area (SMA), Korea, using an Aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass
 spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) from April 14 to June 15, 2016, as a part of the Korea-U.S. Air

Quality Study (KORUS-AQ) campaign. This was the first highly time-resolved, real-time
 measurement study of springtime aerosol in SMA and the results reveal valuable insights into the
 sources and atmospheric processes that contribute to PM pollution in this region.

4 The average concentration of submicrometer aerosol ($PM_1 = NR-PM_1 + black carbon (BC)$) 5 was 22.1 µg m⁻³, which was composed of 44% organics, 20% sulfate, 17% nitrate, 12 % 6 ammonium, and 7 % BC. Organics had an average atomic oxygen-to-carbon (O/C) ratio of 0.49 7 and an average organic mass-to-carbon (OM/OC) ratio of 1.82. Four distinct sources of OA were 8 identified via positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis of the HR-ToF-AMS data: vehicle 9 emissions represented by a hydrocarbon like OA factor (HOA; O/C = 0.15; 17% of OA mass), 10 food cooking activities represented by a cooking-influenced OA factor (COA; O/C = 0.19; 22% 11 of OA mass), and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) represented by a semi-volatile oxygenated OA 12 factor (SV-OOA; O/C = 0.44; 27% of OA mass) and a low volatility oxygenated OA factor (LV-13 OOA; O/C = 0.91; 34% of OA mass).

14 Our results indicate that air quality in SMA during KORUS-AQ was influenced strongly by 15 secondary aerosol formation with sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, SV-OOA, and LV-OOA together 16 accounting for 76% of the PM₁ mass. In particular, the formation of LV-OOA and sulfate was 17 mainly promoted by elevated ozone concentrations and photochemical reactions during daytime whereas SV-OOA and nitrate formation was contributed by both nocturnal processing of VOC and 18 19 nitrogen oxides, respectively, and daytime photochemical reactions. In addition, lower nighttime 20 temperature promoted gas-to-particle partitioning of semivolatile species and formation of SV-21 OOA and nitrate. During a period of 4 days (from May 20 to May 23), LV-OOA increased 22 dramatically and accounted for up to 41% of the PM1 mass. This intense LV-OOA formation event 23 was associated with large enhancements of both anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs (e.g., isoprene 24 and toluene), high concentration of O_x (= O_3 + NO_2), strong solar radiation, and stagnant 25 conditions, suggesting that it was mainly driven by local photochemical formation. We have also 26 investigated the formation and evolution mechanisms of severe haze episodes. Unlike the winter 27 haze events which were mainly caused by intense local emissions coupled with stagnant 28 meteorological conditions, the spring haze events appeared to be influenced by both regional and 29 local factors. For example, there were episodes of long range transport of plumes followed by calm 30 meteorology conditions, which promoted the formation and accumulation of local secondary 31 species, leading to high concentrations of PM. Overall, our results indicate that PM pollutants in

urban Korea originate from complex emission sources and atmospheric processes and that the
 concentrations and composition of PM are controlled by various factors including meteorological
 conditions, local anthropogenic emissions, and upwind sources.

4

5

1 Introduction

Particulate matter (PM) in the atmosphere can reduce visibility, damage human health, and
impact climate directly by absorbing and reflecting solar radiation and indirectly by modifying
cloud formation and properties (IPCC, 2013;Pope III and Dockery, 2006;Pöschl, 2005). PM
pollution in urban areas is commonly associated with elevated anthropogenic emissions, stagnant
meteorological conditions, and regional transport of pollutants from upwind locations (Cao et al.,
2012;Guo et al., 2014;Sun et al., 2014;Zheng et al., 2015;Molina, 2004;Young et al., 2015).

The Seoul Metropolitan Area (SMA) is one of the most populated and developed places in Korea and is ranked as the fourth largest metropolitan area in the world. SMA is experiencing persistent air quality problems despite of continuous regulatory control efforts for many years. Aerosol concentration in this area often exceeds the PM_{2.5} annual standards set by the Ministry of Environment in Korea ($25 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), as well as those by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA, $12 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), the World Health Organization (WHO, $10 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$).

18 SMA is the commercial, industrial, and residential center of South Korea with a population 19 of ~ 24 million and an area of 605.21 km² (approximately 15 km in radius). Air quality in SMA is 20 driven predominantly by local anthropogenic emissions but is also influenced by emissions from 21 surrounding areas such as industrial emissions in the west of SMA and emissions from biogenic, 22 agricultural and biomass burning sources in the East (Kim et al., 2010). Air quality in SMA can 23 also be influenced by long-range transport of air pollutants. For example, due to its location in the 24 central-west of the Korean Peninsula facing the Yellow Sea on the west, air quality in SMA can 25 be impacted heavily by continental outflows from Asian continent (Kim et al., 2010). Furthermore, due to confluence of a wide range of emissions, ranging from local to regional, marine to 26 27 continental, and biogenic to anthropogenic, the interactions among these emissions are likely as 28 important as the emissions themselves in determining the formation and evolution of particulate 29 pollutants in SMA. Consequently, developing effective mitigation strategy for air pollution in 30 SMA remains a great challenge (Harrison and Yin, 2000).

1 In addition to various emission sources, previous studies have shown that the concentration 2 and the composition of ambient aerosol in SMA are influenced by atmospheric processes and 3 meteorological conditions as well (Heo et al., 2009;Kim et al., 2017). According to measurements 4 by the Seoul Research Institute of Public Health and Environment, PM2.5 concentrations in SMA 5 during past 9 years was generally higher during winter (DJF, average $\pm 1\sigma = 30 \pm 16 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$) and spring (MAM; $29 \pm 14 \mu \text{g/m}^3$) than in summer (JJA; $23 \pm 13 \mu \text{g/m}^3$) and fall (SON; $23 \pm 14 \mu \text{g/m}^3$). 6 7 Previous studies have shown that elevated anthropogenic emissions (e.g., from heating) coupled 8 with a lower planetary boundary layer (PBL) height and stagnant meteorological conditions are 9 mainly responsible for poor air quality in Seoul during winter, although long-range transport of 10 pollutants from upwind areas may have some influences as well (Kim et al., 2014;Kim et al., 2017). 11 The severe air quality problem during spring in SMA is frequently driven by long range transport 12 of wind-blown dust (yellow dust) and smokes from fires from the west and northwest (Kim et al., 13 2010). In addition, compared to winter, photochemical formation of secondary aerosol is expected 14 to be more intense due to increased solar radiation and higher temperature during spring and affects 15 air quality in SMA more actively. However, so far there is little information available on the 16 formation, properties and transport of atmospheric aerosol during spring in SMA, although a 17 fundamental understanding of aerosol chemistry and dynamics is necessary for predicting how 18 changes in atmospheric composition influence air quality in this region.

19 The Korea-U.S. Air Quality Study (KORUS-AQ) is an international cooperative air quality 20 field study that took place in Korea in spring 2016. This field study was aimed at integrating 21 information from satellites, aircraft and ground measurements, and model simulations to better 22 understand satellite performance and atmospheric composition and to improve model fidelity in 23 simulating the current atmospheric state and possible future scenarios (KORUS-AQ mission 24 whitepaper, 2015). One of the key scientific goals of KORUS-AQ is to determine the most 25 important factors governing ozone photochemistry and aerosol evolution. Specifically, this study 26 aims at addressing two questions for aerosol: 1) what portion of aerosol in SMA is comprised with 27 secondary process and what are the major sources and factors to control its variation? and 2) How 28 important are local and regional influences on air quality in SMA?

As part of the KORUS-AQ, many aerosol, gas-phase, and meteorological measurements were made at several ground sites in SMA during spring. One of the sites was located on the Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST) campus, where a comprehensive, real-time dataset on

1 size-resolved chemical composition and number distribution of submicrometer particles (PM₁) 2 was acquired - using an Aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-3 ToF-AMS) in parallel with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) for 2 months from April 14, 4 2016 to June 15, 2016. Here we report results from detailed analyses of this dataset. Specifically, 5 in addition to the high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and elemental ratios determined by the 6 HR-ToF-AMS, distinct organic aerosol (OA) factors were derived through analyzing HRMS to 7 gain insights into the sources and atmospheric processing of OA. Our goals are to reach a detailed 8 understanding of the chemical properties of aerosol particles in SMA and to elucidate the emission 9 sources and formation and transformation processes that drive their temporal and diurnal variations 10 over this region during spring. Given that SMA is located in a region impacted by both local 11 emissions from anthropogenic and biogenic activities and long-range transported emissions from 12 upwind sources, complex pollutant interactions tend to occur on fast time scales. An in-depth 13 understanding of these processes will be useful for developing parameterization for future satellite 14 retrievals, specifically for geostationary (GEO) satellites, which offer higher time and spatial 15 resolution information compared to low Earth orbit (LEO), including detailed daily variation 16 patterns of atmospheric pollutants.

Here, we report: (1) the mass concentrations, size distributions, chemical composition, and temporal and diurnal variations of PM_1 species; (2) the characteristics and dynamic variations of OA sources and processes using positive matrix factorization (PMF); (3) discussions on the intensive formation of secondary species; and (4) a case study of haze event.

21

22 2 Experimental Methods

23 **2.1 Sampling site description**

24 The KORUS-AQ field campaign took place in SMA from April 14 to June 15, 2016. A 25 map of the SMA with the location of the ground-based sites is given in Fig. 1a. Measurements reported in this paper were performed on the 5th floor of a building on the campus of KIST (37.60N, 26 27 127.05E, 60 m above sea level) at \sim 7 km to the northwest of the Olympic Park, which is the main 28 supersite of KORUS-AQ. Detailed descriptions of the KIST site can be found in Kim et al. (2017). 29 Briefly, KIST is located ~ 400 m from a busy highway and is surrounded by a residential area and 30 a commercial area, thus the air quality at this site tends to be influenced by abundant anthropogenic 31 and primary sources. During spring, KIST, SMA in general, is influenced by highly consistent winds from west and south west (Fig. 1 c, d), where a number of cities and large-scale industrial facilities are located (Fig. 1a) and are significant sources of NO_x and SO_x (Kim et al., 2017). However, sometimes, dominant wind was blown from north and east, where emissions from agricultural and biogenic sources are generally more intense (Fig. S1). In this manuscript, pollutants from inside and outside of the SMA are treated as "local" and "regional" scale pollutants, respectively. Air pollution episodes associated with transport from outside of Korea is considered as "long-range transport".

8 2.2 Measurements

9 At the KIST site (37.60N, 127.05E), NR-PM₁ components including sulfate, nitrate, 10 ammonium, chloride, and organics as well as their size distributions were measured by an Aerodyne HR-ToF-AMS (DeCarlo et al., 2006) at a time resolution of 3 min. In parallel, black 11 12 carbon (BC) concentration was measured every minute with a multi angle absorption photometer 13 (MAAP; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Both instruments sampled downstream of a PM_{2.5} cyclone (URG Corp.; Chapel Hill, NC, USA) and Nafion dryer (Perma Pure LLC, USA). 14 15 The number size distributions of aerosol particles with mobility diameters between 20-1000 nm 16 were measured by a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS 3080; TSI Inc., St Paul, MN, USA). The concentrations of trace gases (e.g., CO, O₃, NO₂ and SO₂) were acquired at the Gireum site 17 (37.61N, 127.03E) operated by the Seoul Research Institute of Public Health and Environment. 18 19 Meteorological measurement data such as ambient temperature, relative humidity (RH), wind 20 speed and wind direction were obtained from the nearby Jungreung site (37.61N, 127.00E) 21 maintained by the Korea meteorological administration. VOC data were obtained from the 22 Gwangjin supersite (37.55N, 127.09E) maintained by the Seoul Research Institute of Public Health 23 and Environment. The data reported in this paper are in local time, which is Korea Standard Time 24 (KST) and is 9 h earlier than the Universal Coordinated Time (UTC).

In this study, the HR-ToF-AMS was operated in the standard configuration and obtained mass spectra (MS) and particle time of flight (PToF) data. Furthermore, the HR-ToF-AMS was operated under the 'V' and 'W' modes, where high sensitivity but low mass resolution was achieved in 'V' mode, and low sensitivity, but high mass resolution was achieved in 'W' mode. Ionization efficiency (IE) and particle sizing calibrations were performed following standard protocols (Canagaratna et al., 2007) immediately before, during, and at the end of the measurement period.

1 2.3 AMS data analysis

2 2.3.1 Basic HR-ToF-AMS data analysis

3 HR-ToF-AMS data were processed and analyzed using the standard toolkit (SeQUential 4 Igor data RetRiEval (SQUIRREL; ver. 1.57I), and PIKA (ver. 1.16I))(ToF-AMS software 5 downloads, 2017); within Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA). Details on the data processing procedures are described in previous papers (e.g., Aiken et al., 2008; Allan et al., 6 7 2004; Jimenez et al., 2003; Setyan et al., 2012). Briefly, the standard fragmentation table described 8 by Allan et al. (2004) was used, with some modifications, to process the raw MS. The 9 modifications were based on data from six measurements of filtered ambient air to properly 10 remove the background contributions from gas-phase signals to particle measurements. Specifically, adjustments were made to the measured CO_2^+ (m/z = 44) signal to remove the 11 contributions from gas phase CO₂ as well as the ¹⁶O⁺ to ¹⁴N⁺ ratio for air signals at m/z = 29 based 12 on measurements of particle-free ambient air. Relative ionization efficiencies (RIE) of 1.1, 1.07, 13 and 3.938 were used for nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium, respectively, based on values determined 14 15 from calibrations using pure NH4NO3 and (NH4)2SO4 particles. A composition-dependent 16 collection efficiency (CDCE) was applied to the data based on an algorithm by Middlebrook et al. 17 (2012). The campaign average $(\pm 1\sigma)$ CDCE was 0.5 ± 0.01 (Fig. S2).

18 The quantification of NR-PM₁ species was validated through comparisons between the total PM_1 mass ($PM_1 = NR-PM_1 + BC$) and the apparent particle volume measured by the SMPS 19 (Fig. S3). As shown in Fig. S3c, the SMPS-measured particle volume correlated strongly with the 20 AMS measured total mass ($R^2 = 0.88$). The slope from the linear fit of PM₁ mass against SMPS 21 22 volume is 1.24 g/cm³, which was lower than the average ($\pm 1\sigma$) particle density of 1.50 (± 0.08) g/cm³ estimated using the measured chemical composition in this study (Zhang et al., 2005a) (Fig. 23 24 S3d). Note that the average ($\pm 1\sigma$) organic aerosol density was estimated to be 1.21 (± 0.07) g/cm³ 25 based on the approach reported in Kuwata et al. (2012) using the average elemental ratios of bulk 26 OA determined using the Aiken-Ambient method (Aiken et al., 2008) (Table S3, Fig. S5). The 27 diurnal pattern of the AMS total mass-based size distribution also compared well with the volume-28 based size distribution from SMPS measurements throughout the day (Fig. 5a and b). In addition, 29 total PM₁ mass (= NR-PM₁ measured by AMS + BC) correlates well with PM_{2.5} mass measured 30 using beta attenuation mass monitor (Thermo, FH62C14) at the Gireum site (~5 km to the west of 31 the KIST site), showing the 67 % of PM_{2.5} (Fig. S4). The detection limits of the main chemical

components by the HR-ToF-AMS are listed in Table S1, and are generally far lower than the
 observed concentrations. All the reported mass concentrations in this study are based on ambient
 conditions.

4 The elemental ratios between oxygen, carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulfur as well as the 5 organic mass to carbon ratio (OM/OC) of OA, were determined by analyzing the W mode high 6 resolution mass spectra (HRMS) data using both the Aiken-Ambient method (Aiken et al., 2008) 7 and the updated method recently reported by Canagaratna et al. (2015). The ratios reported by the 8 two methods correlate very well and the Canagaratna method report higher values by a factor of 9 1.28, 1.02 and 1.28 for O/C, H/C and OM/OC, respectively (Table S3 and Fig. S5). Unless 10 otherwise indicated, the O/C, H/C, and OM/OC ratios reported in this paper are all from the 11 Canagaratna et al. (2015) method.

12 2.3.2 Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) of the HR-ToF-AMS Mass Spectra

The HRMS acquired during this study were analyzed using PMF. The analysis was performed using the PMF2 algorithm in robust mode (Paatero and Tapper, 1994), with the PMF Evaluation Toolkit (PET ver 2.05) (PMF_Evaluation_Tool_Software, 2017;Ulbrich et al., 2009). The data and error matrices were prepared according to the protocol described by Ulbrich et al. (2009) and outlined in Table 1 of Zhang et al. (2011).

The PMF analysis was performed on the combined matrices of organic and inorganic ions using the method reported in Sun et al. (2012) since including the inorganic signals allows better separation and evaluation of physically meaningful organic aerosol factors. For example, the solutions of the combined matrix provide information on the distributions of inorganic signals among different sources and the association between inorganic and organic aerosol components in individual factors. This information is helpful for interpreting the sources, chemical characteristics, and evolution processes of different types of OA (Sun et al., 2012;Zhou et al., 2017).

The combined matrix includes organic ions in the range of m/z = 12 to 120 amu and the major ions of inorganic species, i.e., SO⁺, SO₂⁺, HSO₂⁺, SO₃⁺, HSO₃⁺, and H₂SO₄⁺ for sulfate; NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ for nitrate; and NH⁺, NH₂⁺, and NH₃⁺ for ammonium. Chloride related ions were not included because of their low signal-to-noise ratios during this study. The ion signals in the HRMS and error matrices analyzed with PMF were expressed in nitrate-equivalent concentrations. The number of factors (*p*) in the solution was explored from one up to nine with varying rotational parameters ($-1 \le FPEAK \le 1$, in increments of 0.1). After a detailed evaluation of the key

1 diagnostics, i.e., mass spectral signatures, diurnal profiles, and correlations with external tracers, 2 as outlined in Zhang et al. (2011), the six factor solution (four organic factors and two inorganic 3 factors) with fPeak = 0, was selected for further analyses. A summary of the key diagnostics is 4 presented in Fig. S6 in the Supplement. The six factor solution was found to be very stable as the 5 mass distributions of the factors remained relatively constant between fPeaks -0.7 and +0.7 (Fig. 6 S6c). Fig. S7 shows the mass spectra and the time series of the five- and seven-factor solutions. 7 The five-factor solution was unable to deconvolve a meaningful COA factor whereas the temporal 8 variations of the organic factors from the seven-factor solution showed indications of factor 9 splitting and mixing of inorganics. For example, two separate nitrate and sulfate factors (factors 1 10 and 2) as well as one mixed factor of nitrate and sulfate (factor 3) were identified. Given the fact 11 that having only two inorganic factors (i.e., the 6-factor solution set) did not influence the 12 separation of the other organic factors, it was not necessary to go for higher number of factors. 13 Consequently, the 6-factor solution, which resolved HOA, COA, two types of OOA and two 14 inorganics was chosen as it appears to best represent OA sources and processes in the SMA during 15 KORUS-AQ.

16 In this study, we also performed regular PMF analysis on the OA matrix only (Ulbrich et 17 al., 2009), but the analysis was unsuccessful at retrieving meaningful factors (Fig. S8). A minimum 18 of four factors was needed to adequately account for the observed variance but the solution showed 19 indications of mixing factors without being able to resolve a meaningful HOA factor. One the 20 other hand, the five-factor solution, although was able to resolve two POA factors representing 21 COA and HOA, respectively, it showed indications of splitting and mixing of OOA factors (Fig. 22 S8).

23

2.3.3 Backtrajectory and Bivariate conditional probability function analyses

24 In this study, 96-h backtrajectories were calculated every hour using version 4.9 of the 25 Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Draxler, 26 2012; Draxler, 1997) for the sampling periods from April 14, 2016 to June 15, 2016. Every 27 trajectory was released at half of the mixing height at the KIST (latitude: 37.60N; longitude: 28 127.05E) and the average starting height for the back trajectories for entire period of this study 29 was approximately 190 m (Fig. S9). Note that the half of mixing height was automatically 30 calculated by the HYSPLIT model. To identify pollutant characteristics in different predominant transport patterns, cluster analysis was performed on the trajectories using HYSPLIT4 and 5 31

clusters were identified according to their similarity in spatial distribution. In addition,
 backtrajectories were calculated separately for episode periods, i.e., organic dominant period (from
 May 20 to May 23) and Haze period (from May 26 to May 31) to identify the directions and
 characteristics of significantly influenced plumes during those periods.

- 5 In addition, conditional probability function (CPF) (Kim et al., 2003) was performed to 6 estimate the local sources and their impacts on PM₁ composition and individual organic aerosol 7 sources from PMF analysis, using wind directions coupled with the time series of concentration of 8 each species. The CPF plots represent the probability that a specific compound or source is located 9 in certain wind direction, assisting to find local point sources.
- 10

11 **3** Results and discussions

12 **3.1** Overview of submicron aerosol characteristics

13 3.1.1 Temporal variations of PM₁ composition and chemical properties

14 The overall characteristics and temporal variations of PM1 at KIST during KORUS-AQ are 15 shown in Fig. 2, along with the time series of gaseous pollutants, e.g., CO, SO₂, O₃, and O_x ($O_x =$ $O_3 + NO_2$ (Herndon, 2008)), and meteorological conditions (RH, temperature, wind direction, 16 17 wind speed). From April 14, 2016 to June 15, 2016, the average concentration of PM₁ (= NR-PM₁ + BC) was 22.1 μ g m⁻³, ranging from 0.76 to 71 μ g m⁻³. In addition to a severe haze episode with 18 daily PM₁ concentration above 30 µg m⁻³ that continued for 6 days during May 26- May 31; shorter 19 haze episodes (daily $PM_1 > 30 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) occurred several times as well (Fig. 2). In between high 20 21 loading periods, aerosol concentration was relatively low with daily PM₁ concentration typically 22 lower than 14 μ g m⁻³. The dramatic variations in PM₁ mass concentrations (0.76 to 71 μ g m⁻³ for 23 2.5 min average; Fig. 2f) and other pollutants (Figs. 2c, d), such as CO (0.2 to 1 ppm for 1min 24 average), O₃ (3 to 82 ppb for 1min average), and NO₂ (6 to 76 ppb for 1min average) reflect the 25 impacts of dynamic changes in emission sources, atmospheric processes, and meteorological 26 conditions on air quality in SMA during spring.

The variations of individual PM₁ components were substantial as well (Figs. 2g, h). For instance, the mass concentration of organics ranged from 0.39 to 39 μ g m⁻³ during this study and on May 20, it rapidly increased from 7.6 μ g m⁻³ to 24 μ g m⁻³ over a period of ~ 25 minutes and reached as high as 39 μ g m⁻³ on May 23 (Fig. 2h). The accumulation of OA during this episode appeared to be related to a large enhancement of VOCs (e.g., isoprene, toluene) (Fig. 2e) coupled

1 with high concentration of O_x ($O_3 + NO_2$), strong solar radiation and stagnant conditions, which 2 together promoted intensive formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA). The mass 3 concentration of sulfate also varied widely from 0.19 to 21 µg m⁻³ during the entire period and increased from 1.2 µg m⁻³ to 20 µg m⁻³ from May 24 to May 26, likely due to favorable 4 meteorological conditions for sulfate formation and influences from long range transport. The 5 variation of nitrate concentration was substantial too, from 0.05 µg m⁻³ to 23.4 µg m⁻³ with low 6 7 concentrations generally occurring during daytime due to high temperature and low humidity. 8 Investigation of these different events (e.g., haze periods, high organic) can provide insights into 9 how different sources and atmospheric processes influence air quality in this region. Detailed 10 discussions on the processes that led to high aerosol pollution events are presented in section 3.3 11 and 3.4.

Since the molar equivalent ratios of total inorganic anions to cation for NR-PM₁ (= $(SO_4^{2-1})^{/48 + NO_3^{-}/62 + Cl^{-}/35.5)$ / $(NH_4^{+}/18)$) were close to 1 (Fig. S10), submicron aerosols appeared to be bulk neutralized and the ionic species were mainly present in the forms of NH₄NO₃, $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, and NH₄Cl. Possible sources of ammonia/ammonium in the SMA include on-road vehicle emissions, neutralizer usage in industry, and agricultural emissions at the outskirts of SMA.

17 Overall, organics were an important aerosol component, on average accounting for 44% of PM₁ mass. POA (= HOA + COA) and SOA (= SV-OOA + LV-OOA) accounted for 59% and 41%, 18 19 respectively, of the OA mass (detailed discussions on OA sources are provided in section 3.3). 20 Secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA = sulfate + nitrate + ammonium) on average accounted for 37 21 % of the total PM_1 mass with sulfate contributing the most (20%) (Fig. 1e). The non-refractory 22 chloride concentrations measured by the HR-ToF-AMS were mostly below detection limit during 23 the present study. On average, $\sim 24\%$ of PM₁ was composed of primary materials (POA + BC), with the remainder (76%) being secondary species (NO₃⁻ + SO₄²⁻ + NH₄⁺ + SOA) (Fig.1e), 24 25 indicating that the aerosol pollution problem in SMA during spring is mainly caused by secondary 26 aerosol formation.

The average concentration and composition of PM₁ measured in SMA during this study were significantly different from those measured during wintertime. For instance, compared to winter, the average PM₁ concentration was lower during spring ($22 \text{ vs } 27 \mu \text{g m}^{-3}$), the mass fraction of sulfate was higher (20 vs 10%) but that of nitrate was lower (17 vs 24%), and the total contribution of secondary species was higher (76 vs 64%) (Kim et al., 2017). As discussed in the following sections, these differences reflect the differences between the two seasons in
 meteorological conditions and emissions and formation processes of air pollutants.

3 3.1.2 Diurnal patterns of PM₁ composition and formation processes

4 As shown in Fig. 3, the diurnal cycles were vastly different among different aerosol species. 5 The daily variation of the average concentration of sulfate was relatively flat and its mass-based 6 size distribution shows a persistent accumulation mode that peaks at 650 nm (D_{va}) (Fig. S11). These observations together with a dispersed feature of the sulfate bivariate polar plot (Fig. S13) 7 8 indicate that particulate sulfate over SMA is mainly associated with regional sources, such as the 9 industrial facilities located on the west and southwest of SMA (Fig. 1) (Kim et al., 2017). Indeed, 10 the polar plot of SO₂ shows a strong association of high SO₂ concentrations with west and 11 southwest winds (Fig. S13). Fig. 4c shows the diurnal patterns of springtime sulfate, SO₂, and the molar ratio of sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) to SO_x (= $SO_4^{2-} + SO_2$), i.e., f_{SO4} , which is an indicator for the extent 12 of SO₂ oxidation (Kaneyasu et al., 1995). fso₄ decreased from 0.24 to 0.21 between 6:00 - 10:00, 13 14 during which SO₂ increased by ~ 1 ppb (Fig. 4a). This change was likely due to the breaking of 15 the boundary layer which mixed down air masses more enriched of SO₂ from aloft. Also, f_{SO4} increased gradually from 11:00 till 6:00 of the next day, which can be explained by daytime 16 17 photochemical formation of H_2SO_4 (Fig. 4c) and aqueous phase oxidation of SO_2 facilitated by the high RH condition at night. Indeed, SO₂ began to decrease at ~ 19:00, when RH increased and T 18 19 decreased (Fig. 4a). Possible oxidants during night are NO₂ and O₃ since particles appeared to be 20 bulk neutralized (Fig. S10), where SO₂ oxidation by both oxidants could occur actively (Seinfeld 21 and Pandis, 2006). Furthermore NO₂ has been investigated as an important oxidant in aerosol water 22 under hazy conditions (Cheng et al., 2016). Similar trends were observed during winter as well, although higher SO₂ and lower SO₄²⁻ and f_{SO4} were observed (Fig. 4d). Lower SO₂ concentration 23 during spring was likely due to less coal combustion for heating and the higher SO4²⁻ and fso4 were 24 due to more efficient conversion of SO₂ to SO₄²⁻ during spring under stronger solar radiation or 25 more regional transport of SO4²⁻. Previous study indicates that nighttime aqueous phase processing 26 27 was an important driver for sulfate formation during winter in SMA (Kim et al., 2017). However, gas phase photochemical oxidation of SO2 and regional transport appear to be more important 28 contributors to SO₄²⁻ during spring. Indeed, f_{SO4} correlated less well with RH during spring than 29 during winter ($R^2 = 0.27$ vs. 0.59) (Fig. S14). 30

1 Unlike sulfate, nitrate shows more dynamic diurnal cycles during both spring and winter. 2 Overall, nitrate concentration was lower in spring than in winter despite faster photochemical 3 production. This is due to higher temperature (Figs. 4a,b), which drives the evaporation of 4 ammonium nitrate, particularly during spring daytime. Indeed, a depression of nitrate 5 concentration occurred during the daytime of spring, whereas a midday peak (between 9:00-15:00) 6 due to photochemical formation of nitrate was observed during winter. The overnight increase of 7 nitrate during springtime was likely driven by enhanced gas-to-particle partitioning of ammonium 8 nitrate associated with lower temperature as well as nighttime formation of nitrate (e.g., through 9 N₂O₅ hydrolysis), which is consistent with the high concentrations of O₃ (~20 ppb) and NO₂ (~42 10 ppb) throughout the night (18:00 - 6:00). However, the peak nitrate concentration (at ~9:00) 11 occurred 3h later than the peaking of the ammonium nitrate equilibrium constant (K_{AN}) (~6:00), 12 which might be due to the mixing down of a nocturnal residual layer (Prabhakar et al., 2017). The 13 equilibrium constant *k*_{AN} can be calculated as:

14

$$k_{AN} = k(298)exp\left\{a\left(\frac{298}{T}-1\right)+b\left[1+\ln\left(\frac{298}{T}\right)-\frac{298}{T}\right]\right\}$$

where T is the ambient temperature in Kelvin, $k(298) = 3.36 \times 10^{16}$ (atm⁻²), a = 75.11, and 15 b = -13.5 (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Significant nitrate formation through nighttime chemistry 16 occurred during winter as well, due to lower temperature and relatively high nighttime 17 18 concentrations of NO₂ and O₃. However, compared to springtime, the product of NO₂ and O₃ 19 ([NO₂][O₃]) during winter was \sim a factor of 2 lower during night (Figs. 4a,b), indicating that 20 nighttime nitrate formation is more significant in spring. [NO₂][O₃] is a proxy for nighttime 21 formation rate of particulate nitrate, since the reaction between NO₂ and O₃ produces N₂O₅ and 22 nitrate radical (·NO₃), which can react heterogeneously to form HNO₃ and subsequently particulate 23 nitrate (Young et al., 2016).

Organics dominated PM₁ composition throughout the day, with 1-hr average mass fractions varying from 40 to 48% (Fig. 3). The average diurnal profile of organics showed elevated concentration overnight and a clear daytime peak from 13:00 to 18:00. The nighttime enhancement was consistent with the accumulation of primary emissions from traffic and cooking due to low boundary layer height and stagnant air condition whereas the daytime enhancement was likely the outcome of photochemical formation of SOA. Detailed discussions are given in Section 3.2.

1 BC presented two peaks, one occurring during morning rush hour (7:00 - 10:00) and the 2 other in the afternoon between 14:00 - 15:00 (Fig. 3). Similar trends were observed with HOA (section 3.3) and particle number concentration (Fig. 3), indicating that both peaks of BC were 3 4 contributed by vehicle emissions. The morning rush hour peak of primary air pollutants is 5 commonly observed in many other studies as well as during winter at the same site (Kim et al., 6 2017), however, the enhancement of these species in the afternoon, when elevated mixed layer 7 height tends to dilute primary pollutants, is unique. In addition, the afternoon increase of BC, 8 HOA, and particle number concentration, began at ~12:00 and reached a maximum around 16:00 9 (Fig. 3 and 8). This time period corresponded to the effective transport of air masses from urban 10 and industrial areas located on the south and southwest of the KIST site (Fig. 1a) by a predominant southwesterly flow during 11:00 - 17:00 (Fig. S1). With an average wind speed of ~ 2 m/s, the 11 12 southwesterly wind would take $\sim 1-5$ hours to bring plumes from upwind urban sites that are \sim 13 7.2 km (e.g., Anyang) to 36 km (e.g., Incheon, Siheung and Ansan) away from the KIST site (Fig. 14 1). Furthermore, the large increase of particle number concentration (Fig. 3) and the apparent growth of ultrafine particles (Fig. 5c) between ~12:00 and 16:00 suggest that new particle events 15 16 might have happened in association with transport of plumes from the southwest.

17 3.1.3 Size distributions of the main components of PM₁

Fig. 5 shows the average mass-based size distributions of NR-PM1 species over the entire 18 19 KORUS-AQ campaign and their daily evolution behaviors. Sulfate, nitrate and ammonium in 20 spring all show very similar size distribution profiles with a mode peaking at around 650 nm in 21 vacuum aerodynamic diameter (D_{va} ;(DeCarlo et al., 2004)), suggesting that SIA were internally 22 mixed. The springtime size distribution profiles of SIA at KIST are somewhat different than those 23 observed during winter, which peaked around 400-500 nm (Kim et al., 2017). The finding of bigger 24 particle sizes during spring than in winter could be due to faster particle growth rates caused by 25 higher photochemical activity during spring. Similarly, a recent study in Beijing reported that the 26 peak size of SIA during summer (600 nm in D_{va}) was bigger than during winter (350 nm) (Hu et 27 al., 2016).

The average mass-based size distribution of organics was in general wider than those of inorganic species with a peak at ~ 550 nm and a shoulder peaking at ~ 300 nm and extending down to ~ 60 nm (Fig. 6b). Similar observations were made in the winter at SMA and a number of urban areas in China and North America (e.g., (Kim et al., 2017) and references in therein). The wider size distribution of organics reflected the contributions made by both primary and secondary aerosols, i.e., the ultrafine mode dominated by primary aerosols and the accumulation mode comprised mainly of secondary aerosols. The mode of the organics in spring (500–600 nm) was bigger than in winter (400 nm), likely due to the same reason that the size mode of SIA was bigger during spring – enhanced photochemical activity for secondary aerosol formation in spring than in winter as well as less contributions of primary particles to fine mode particles from vehicular, cooking, and biomass burning sources.

8 The organic fraction was above 50% across the whole size range and almost 100% in 9 ultrafine mode particles (especially in $D_{va} < 100$ nm), whereas SIA dominated (> 60% of NR-PM₁)

10 in accumulation mode particles with $D_{va} > 500$ nm in spring (Fig. 6).

11

12 **3.2** Characteristics and source apportionment of organic aerosol

13 Overall, on a mass basis, OA from SMA during spring was composed of approximately 14 66% carbon, 24% oxygen, 8% hydrogen, and 2% nitrogen (Fig. 7). The average carbon-normalized 15 molecular formula of OA was C1H1.67O0.49N0.02S0.002, yielding an average organic mass-to-carbon 16 ratio (OM/OC) of 1.82. The average elemental ratios, which were calculated using the updated 17 elemental analysis method (Canagaratna et al., 2015), are within the range of the revised values observed at other urban locations (Canagaratna et al., 2015; Young et al., 2016 and references 18 19 therein). Upon examining the diurnal patterns of the atomic ratios among elements in OA, we 20 found that O/C and OM/OC ratios had similar patterns but the pattern of H/C was different, due to 21 variations in the relative contributions of POA and SOA. Also, nitrogen-to-carbon (N/C) ratios 22 showed a distinct diurnal profile with a bimodal feature peaking at 10:00 and 16:00, similar to the 23 O/C diurnal profile.

In this study, four distinct OA factors were determined, including two types of POA (HOA and COA) and two types of OOA (LV-OOA and SV-OOA). The O/C ratios for LV-OOA, SV-OOA, COA, and HOA were 0.91, 0.44, 0.19 and 0.15, respectively. An overview of the chemical composition and temporal and diurnal variations of the four OA factors are shown in Fig. 2i, and 8. LV-OOA (34%) represents the largest fraction of the OA mass followed by SV-OOA (27%), COA (22%) and HOA (17%) (Fig. S17).

30 Briefly, HOA showed the typical picket fence fragmentation pattern as commonly seen in 31 freshly emitted vehicle POA with major peaks at m/z's 41, 43, 55, and 57 which are mostly

1 composed of $C_{3}H_{5}^{+}$, $C_{3}H_{7}^{+}$, $C_{4}H_{7}^{+}$, and $C_{4}H_{9}^{+}$ ions, respectively (Fig. 8a). HOA also showed the 2 strong correlations with tracer ions, $C_{3}H_{7}^{+}$ (r = 0.87), $C_{4}H_{7}^{+}$ (r = 0.81), $C_{4}H_{9}^{+}$ (r = 0.95), and $C_{5}H_{11}^{+}$ 3 (r = 0.96) (Fig. S18 and Table S3). The average ratio of HOA/BC was 1.03 which is lower than 4 the ratio for light-duty vehicles (1.4) and higher than that for diesel trucks (0.5) (Ban-Weiss et al., 5 2008), reflecting the fact that SMA traffic comprises of both gasoline and diesel vehicles. Similar 6 HOA/BC values were observed in other large urban areas, such as Pittsburgh (1.41 ± 0.22) ; (Zhang 7 et al., 2005b)), New York City (1.29) (Sun et al., 2011), Mexico City (1.25) (Aiken et al., 2009), 8 and Xianghe, China (0.91) (Sun et al., 2016). A lower HOA/BC ratio was observed in winter in 9 Korea (0.58), probably due to the impacts of biomass burning (Kim et al., 2017).

10 A COA factor was resolved during this study and showed a mass spectrum almost identical to the COA spectrum determined in winter 2015 – 2016 at the same site (Fig. 8b and S15) (Kim et 11 12 al., 2017). As shown in Fig. S18 and summarized in Table S2, the key HR-AMS tracer ions for COA, such as $C_5H_8O^+$ (*m*/*z* 84), $C_6H_{10}O^+$ (*m*/*z* 98) and $C_7H_{12}O^+$ (*m*/*z* = 112) (Sun et al., 2011), all 13 14 showed good correlations with COA in time series. The correlation between COA and C₆H₁₀O⁺ is 15 particularly good with Pearson's r values of 0.96 and 94% of the signal in this ion was attributed 16 to COA (Fig. S16). In addition, using the approach reported in Mohr et al. (2012), we examined 17 the ratios between f55 and f57 for POA (i.e., OA subtracted of contributions from LV-OOA and 18 SV-OOA) and found that the ratio increased proportionally as the fractional contribution of COA 19 to total OA increased (Fig. S19b), consistent with the behaviors of COA and HOA reported for several urban AMS data sets (Mohr et al., 2012). The diurnal pattern of the COA factor in this 20 21 study displayed a large enhancement in the evening around 19:00, due to dinnertime cooking 22 emissions coupled with lower boundary layer height, and a small peak at $\sim 12:00$ corresponding 23 to lunchtime emissions. These observations confirm the identification of COA in this study. On 24 average, COA accounted for 22% of the OA mass during this study and 20% in winter (Kim et al., 25 2017), indicating that cooking related activities are an important source of air pollution in the SMA 26 area. This finding is consistent with observations made in a large number of urban locations, where 27 cooking emissions have been frequently identified as a significant contributor to fine particle mass 28 (He et al., 2004;Adhikary et al., 2010;Mohr et al., 2009;Zhao et al., 2007;Ge et al., 2012;Sun et 29 al., 2011; Young et al., 2016; Allan et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2013; Hayes et al., 30 2013;Mohr et al., 2012;Dall'Osto et al., 2013).

1 Besides the two POA factors, two OOA factors were identified and both showed major ion 2 fragments representative of oxidized organics, e.g., CO_2^+ (m/z 44) and $C_2H_3O^+$ (m/z 43). SV-OOA 3 (O/C = 0.56; H/C = 1.90) resides within the region representing fresher SOA in the triangle plots 4 in Fig. S19, whereas LV-OOA factor is characterized by high O/C ratio (=0.91), indicating aged 5 and highly oxidized OA, respectively. It was found to account for an average of 61% of the OA 6 mass (Fig. S17a) with LV-OOA and SV-OOA being 34 and 27%, respectively. Both SV-OOA and LV-OOA correlated positively with O_x during afternoon (r = 0.53, 0.6, respectively) and the 7 8 correlation between total SOA (= LV-OOA + SV-OOA) and O_x was even higher (r = 0.65; Fig. 9 9a), indicating that afternoon SOA formation was strongly impacted by photochemistry. This 10 observation is consistent with Herndon et al. (2008), who observed a strong correlation between 11 OOA and O_x in photochemically processed urban plumes from Mexico City. The average OOA/ O_x 12 ratio observed in the present study (0.13 μ g m⁻³ppbv⁻¹) is within the range of values from Mexico City and other megacities including Tokyo, Los Angeles and Paris (0.13-0.18) (Zhang et al., 2015). 13 14

•••

15 **3.3 Impacts of Intense SOA formation on Haze**

PM₁ concentration jumped from 11 to 55 μ g m⁻³ between 17:00 to 17:45 on May 20, during 16 17 which concentrations of all PM₁ species (except for COA), SO₂, NO₂, and biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs (e.g., isoprene and toluene) increased sharply (Fig. 2h). As shown in Fig. 18 19 S20, the onset of this pollution episode was associated with a change of wind direction from 20 southeast to northwest, indicating that it was mainly caused by transport of polluted air masses. 21 Wind speed was low and wind direction alternated between north and east during the next three days, and the concentrations of most air pollutants rose and fell in correlation with the wind shifts. 22 However, LV-OOA remained elevated after the initial sharp rise from 5.6 to 16 µg m⁻³ and 23 increased to a maximum concentration of ~ 25 μ g m⁻³ on May 23. SOA (= SV-OOA + LV-OOA) 24 25 was a dominant aerosol component throughout the entire episode (May 20 17:00 to May 24 0:00) 26 and on average accounted for ~ 60 % of the PM₁ mass (Fig. 10a). Since this episode was 27 characterized with high daytime O₃ concentration, air temperature, and solar radiation and elevated 28 VOC concentrations (Fig. 2), SOA production was likely fast. In addition, the meteorological 29 conditions were generally stagnant (e.g., slow wind speed and low mixing height) during this 30 period (Rapid Science Synthesis Report, 2017), facilitating the accumulation of pollutants. Overall, 31 intense photochemical reactions, high concentrations of gaseous precursors, and stagnant

1 atmospheric conditions were likely responsible for the intense formation and accumulation of SOA 2 during this episode. For example, as shown in Fig. 9, the correlation between SV-OOA and O_x 3 during this period was tight and showed a slope (i.e., SV-OOA/O_x ratio) twice higher than the rest 4 of the study (0.11 µgm⁻³ ppb⁻¹ vs. 0.053 µgm⁻³ ppb⁻¹). This is an indication that SOA was formed more efficiently during this high SOA episode. However, the correlation of LV-OOA and total 5 6 OOA = (LV-OOA + SV-OOA) vs. Ox were both poor during this high OA episode, suggesting that 7 in addition to photochemical reactions, other factors such as aging processes which occurred under 8 the stagnant air flow condition likely contributed to the high concentration of SOA as well.

9 On the other hand, formation of secondary inorganic aerosol species was limited during 10 this event. One of the reasons was that air masses that arrived at the KIST site during this period 11 $(5/20\ 17:00\ -\ 5/24\ 0:00)$ were mainly originated from the east (Fig. 9c), where SO₂ emission 12 sources are sparse, thus contained low sulfate concentration. Another reason was that temperature 13 was high $(24 \pm 3 \text{ °C})$ and RH was low $(36 \pm 11\%)$ during this period, unfavorable for particulate 14 nitrate formation. These results indicate that SOA formation could be a leading cause for haze 15 episode in SMA during springtime.

16

17 **3.4** Regional and local influences on Haze events

18 Haze episodes occur often in East Asia including Seoul, Korea (e.g., (Kim et al., 2017) and 19 references therein). Many investigations were conducted in China and suggest that the formation 20 of severe haze pollution is a combined result of stagnant meteorological conditions associated with 21 intense secondary aerosol formation, regional transport and primary emissions (Huang et al., 22 2014;Sun et al., 2014;Herndon et al., 2008;Sun et al., 2010;Wang et al., 2016a;Wang et al., 23 2016b;Zheng et al., 2015). Our investigation of the occurrence of haze episodes in Seoul during 24 winter 2015-2016 suggested that accumulation of primary pollutants and enhanced formation of 25 secondary pollutants on a local scale were the main causes of wintertime haze episodes (Kim et 26 al., 2017). However, the characteristics and the causes of haze episodes in the other seasons have 27 not yet been investigated, although this information is required to better design reduction strategies 28 for PM in SMA. To address this knowledge gap, in this section, the lifecycle of a major springtime 29 haze episode in SMA is discussed.

Shorter haze episodes with daily average PM₁ concentration higher than 30 µg m⁻³ occurred 1 2 several times during this study (Fig. 2). In addition, a severe haze episode lasted for 6 days from 3 May 26 to May 31. Fig. 11 presents a case study of the full cycle of this haze episode, which is 4 classified into four stages: Stage 1 (S1, May 24, 07:30-11:30) representing a clean period 5 (precipitation) before the haze, Stage 2 (S2, May 24 11:30– May 26 18:00) representing the formation stage of the haze, Stage 3 (S3, May 26 18:00- - May 31 24:00) representing the haze 6 7 period with high concentrations of PM, and Stage 4 (S4, June 1 00:00–June 2 24:00) representing 8 the clean of haze. This classification was done mainly based on changes in atmospheric conditions, 9 i.e., precipitation, wind direction and speed.

10 On May 24, there was a short clean period (7:30 to 11:30; Period S1) when average PM₁ concentration was only 9 µg m⁻³ due to precipitation. PM concentration started to increase 11 substantially after the rain stopped and the increase was accompanied with a change of aerosol 12 13 composition. During both Period S1 and S2 (May 24, 11:30 – May 26, 18:00), the predominant 14 wind direction was southwest (Fig. 11b). Analyses of the MODIS images (Fig. S21), 15 backtrajectories (Fig. S22) and meteorological conditions (Rapid Science Synthesis Report, 2017) 16 all indicated direct transports of air masses from northwest, where large SO₂ emission sources are 17 located. The change of PM₁ composition during Period S2 reflected the influence from such 18 regional transport processes. For example, the mass fractions of species associated with regional 19 sources, such as sulfate (28% during S2 vs 20% during entire period) and LV-OOA (18 vs 15%), 20 increased (Fig. 11, Table S4), whereas the fractions of local pollutants such as SV-OOA (5 vs 12%), 21 HOA (5 vs 10%), COA (5 vs 7%) and BC (4 vs 7%) decreased compared to averaged PM1 composition during entire period. In addition, the mass fraction of nitrate, one of the local 22 23 secondary species, also enhanced (20 vs 17%), and this was mainly due to the gas-particle 24 partitioning of HNO₃ and nighttime heterogeneous reactions in the nitrate formation facilitated by 25 high RH (78%) and low temperature (18 °C) (Table S4). A good correlation (r²=0.48) between 26 nitrate and RH corroborates the role of aqueous processes (Fig. S23).

During Period S3 (May 26 18:00 – May 31 24:00), wind speed was reduced (Fig. 11, Table S4) and a more stagnant condition had developed over the SMA. High mass loadings of submicron aerosol species persisted due to lack of ventilation. In addition, similar to observation during a winter haze study at SMA (Kim et al., 2017), stagnant condition facilitated the accumulation of primary and secondary pollutants from local sources while limited the transport of regional 1 species. For example, the mass fractions of all the local pollutants in PM_1 enhanced during S3

2 compared to S2, e.g., BC (6 % during S3 vs. 4% during S2), HOA (8% vs. 5%), COA (6% vs. 5%)

3 and, nitrate (22% vs. 20%) whereas the fractions of regional species decreased, e.g., sulfate (25%

4 vs. 28%) and LV-OOA (9% vs. 18%).

From June 1 to June 2 (Period S4), wind direction suddenly changed from west to north/northeast and average wind speed increased to 1.7 m/s (Table S4). This process cleaned out the atmosphere and reduced PM₁ concentration to an average value of 14 μ g/m³. OA was a major chemical species during this period, followed by sulfate and nitrate. During this time (S4), RH was low (~ 48%) which was less favorable for nighttime formation of nitrate. Furthermore, wind was predominantly from the north, whereas main sources of SO₂ and sulfate were located in the west, resulting in a low concentration of sulfate in SMA.

Overall, unlike the haze episodes observed in winter, 2015 (Kim et al., 2017), which were mainly due to local influences under stagnant conditions, the spring haze events observed in this study occurred due to a combination of regional and local effects. A thorough understanding of the various haze scenarios and the underlying causes for they is required to better design air quality improvement strategies.

17

18 4 Conclusions

Aerosol composition, size distribution, sources, and evolution processes were investigated using an HR-ToF-AMS and an SMPS in SMA, Korea, during spring 2016 as a part of the KORUS-AQ campaign. The average PM₁ concentration was 22.1 μ g m⁻³ and the total mass was dominated by organics (44%) and secondary inorganic species such as sulfate (20%) and nitrate (17%). Oxygenated organic aerosol and inorganic species (i.e., nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium) together accounted for 76% of the PM₁ mass, thus indicating that aerosol pollution in SMA during spring time is influenced strongly by secondary aerosol formation.

Meteorological conditions and various emission sources influenced the concentrations, compositions, size distributions, and chemical composition of aerosol particles in SMA. Sulfate was found to be mainly associated with regional transport and to a lesser degree formed by local photochemical processes during late afternoon. In contrast, nitrate was formed more locally due to intense urban emissions of NO_x coupled with elevated ozone concentrations and enhanced gas-toparticle partition during nighttime. Aqueous-phase processing under high humidity and lower

temperature might have increased particulate nitrate concentrations occasionally as well. The two types of SOA showed significantly different features of diurnal patterns which indicated that both were formed by photochemical reactions. However, SV-OOA represented freshly formed local SOA and was enhanced by gas-to-particle partition during nighttime whereas LV-OOA usually increased in late afternoon, indicating that it is photochemically generated and regionally transported.

7 Based on detailed analyses of the haze periods in this study, we found that meteorological 8 conditions played a significant role in controlling air quality in SMA. However, unlike in winter 9 2015, when haze episodes were found to occur mainly under stagnant conditions due to local 10 influences, the springtime haze events occurred due to a combination of regional transport and 11 local emissions. For example, a haze episode was found to begin with transport of plumes from 12 upwind sources followed by stagnant conditions as well as meteorological conditions favorable 13 for secondary aerosol formation. The sequential occurrence of plume transport and stagnant periods led to more severe air pollution that can last for several days. We also observed an episode 14 15 dominated by OA started with the transport of plumes enriched of both PM1 and VOCs from the 16 north and proceed under stagnant conditions with low mixing height. During this episode, 17 inorganic aerosol formation was limited since the SO₂ concentration was low and the 18 meteorological condition was not favorable for nitrate aerosol formation (e.g., high temperature 19 and low RH). However, due to high concentrations of VOCs and O₃, intense formation of SOA 20 was observed. These results indicate that the high PM pollution in SMA during springtime was 21 caused by a combination of factors, including local emissions, regional transport, and 22 meteorological conditions which promote secondary aerosol formation or accumulation of 23 pollutants. Therefore, understanding the haze episode is important for developing efficient 24 mitigation to improve air quality.

25 Acknowledgments

26 This work was supported by the Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST) and Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by 27 28 the Ministry of Science and ICT (2017R1A2B3004950). Also This research was supported by the 29 National Strategic Project-Fine Particle of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the 30 31 Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT), the Ministry of Environment (ME), and 32 the Ministry of Health and Welfare (MOHW) (2017M3D8A1092015). QZ acknowledges the 33 Changjiang Scholars program of the Chinese Ministry of Education.

1 References

- 2 Adhikary, B., Carmichael, G. R., Kulkarni, S., Wei, C., Tang, Y., D'Allura, A., Mena-Carrasco, 3 M., Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., Pierce, R. B., Al-Saadi, J. A., Emmons, L. K., Pfister, G. G., 4 Avery, M. A., Barrick, J. D., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Fried, A., 5 Heikes, B. G., Huey, L. G., O'Sullivan, D. W., Sachse, G. W., Shetter, R. E., Singh, H. B., 6 Campos, T. L., Cantrell, C. A., Flocke, F. M., Dunlea, E. J., Jimenez, J. L., Weinheimer, A. J., 7 Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Schauer, J. J., Stone, E. A., Jaffe, D. A., and Reidmiller, D. 8 R.: A regional scale modeling analysis of aerosol and trace gas distributions over the eastern 9 Pacific during the INTEX-B field campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 2091-10 2115, 2010. Aiken, A. C., Decarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, 11
- 12 I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, 13 14 J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC ratios of 15 primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol 16 spectrometry, Environmental Science & Technology, 4478-4485, mass 42, 17 10.1021/es703009q, 2008.
- Aiken, A. C., Salcedo, D., Cubison, M. J., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Docherty, 18 19 K. S., Sueper, D., Kimmel, J. R., Worsnop, D. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Stone, E. A., 20 Schauer, J. J., Volkamer, R. M., Fortner, E., de Foy, B., Wang, J., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, 21 V., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Gaffney, J., Marley, N. A., Paredes-Miranda, G., Arnott, W. P., 22 Molina, L. T., Sosa, G., and Jimenez, J. L.: Mexico City aerosol analysis during MILAGRO 23 using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry at the urban supersite (T0) - Part 1: Fine 24 particle composition and organic source apportionment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 25 9, 6633-6653, 10.5194/acp-9-6633-2009, 2009.
- Allan, J. D., Delia, A. E., Coe, H., Bower, K. N., Alfarra, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Middlebrook, A.
 M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: A
 generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from aerodyne
 aerosol mass spectrometer data, J Aerosol Sci, 35, 909-922, 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.02.007,
 2004.
- Allan, J. D., Williams, P. I., Morgan, W. T., Martin, C. L., Flynn, M. J., Lee, J., Nemitz, E.,
 Phillips, G. J., Gallagher, M. W., and Coe, H.: Contributions from transport, solid fuel burning
 and cooking to primary organic aerosols in two UK cities, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 647-668,
 10.5194/acp-10-647-2010, 2010.
- Ban-Weiss, G. A., McLaughlin, J. P., Harley, R. A., Lunden, M. M., Kirchstetter, T. W., Kean, A.
 J., Strawa, A. W., Stevenson, E. D., and Kendall, G. R.: Long-term changes in emissions of
 nitrogen oxides and particulate matter from on-road gasoline and diesel vehicles, Atmospheric
 Environment, 42, 220-232, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.049, 2008.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch,
 T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M.,
 Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P., and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical
 and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass
 spectrometer, Mass Spectrometry Reviews, 26, 185-222, 10.1002/mas.20115, 2007.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt
 Ruiz, L., Fortner, E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J.
- 46 T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol

- mass spectrometry: characterization, improved calibration, and implications, Atmospheric
 Chemistry and Physics, 15, 253-272, 10.5194/acp-15-253-2015, 2015.
- Cao, J.-j., Wang, Q.-y., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Tie, X.-x., Shen, Z.-x., Wang, P., and An, Z.s.: Impacts of aerosol compositions on visibility impairment in Xi'an, China, Atmospheric
 Environment, 59, 559-566, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.036, 2012.
- 6 Cheng, Y., Zheng, G., Wei, C., Mu, Q., Zheng, B., Wang, Z., Gao, M., Zhang, Q., He, K.,
 7 Carmichael, G., Pöschl, U., and Su, H.: Reactive nitrogen chemistry in aerosol water as a
 8 source of sulfate during haze events in China, Science Advances, 2, 10.1126/sciadv.1601530,
 9 2016.
- Dall'Osto, M., Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Martin, D., Healy, R. M., O'Connor, I. P., Kourtchev,
 I., Sodeau, J. R., Wenger, J. C., and O'Dowd, C.: Characterization of urban aerosol in Cork
 city (Ireland) using aerosol mass spectrometry, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 4997 5015, 10.5194/acp-13-4997-2013, 2013.
- DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Worsnop, D. R., Davidovits, P., and Jimenez, J. L.: Particle
 morphology and density characterization by combined mobility and aerodynamic diameter
 measurements. Part 1: Theory, Aerosol Science and Technology, 38, 1185-1205, Doi
 10.1080/02786820590928897, 2004.
- DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin,
 M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Fielddeployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Analytical Chemistry,
 78, 8281-8289, 10.1021/ac061249n, 2006.
- 22ToF-AMSsoftwaredownloads:http://cires.colorado.edu/jimenez-23group/ToFAMSResources/ToFSoftware/index.html, 2017.
- Draxler, R. R., Stunder, B., Rolph, G., Stein, A., and Taylor, A.: HYSPLIT_4 User's Guide,
 available at <u>http://www.arl.noaa.gov/documents/reports/hysplit_user_guide.pdf</u>, NOAA Air
 Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, Maryland, USA, 2012.
- Draxler, R. R. a. H., G. D.: Description of the HYSPLIT_4 modeling system, available at:
 <u>http://www.arl.noaa.gov/documents/reports/arl-224.pdf</u> (last access: 5 January 2014),NOAA
 Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, Maryland,USA, 1997.
- Ge, X., Setyan, A., Sun, Y., and Zhang, Q.: Primary and secondary organic aerosols in Fresno,
 California during wintertime: Results from high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry, Journal
 of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 117, 10.1029/2012jd018026, 2012.
- 33 Guo, S., Hu, M., Zamora, M. L., Peng, J., Shang, D., Zheng, J., Du, Z., Wu, Z., Shao, M., Zeng, 34 L., Molina, M. J., and Zhang, R.: Elucidating severe urban haze formation in China, 35 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 17373-17378. 36 10.1073/pnas.1419604111, 2014.
- Harrison, R. M., and Yin, J.: Particulate matter in the atmosphere: which particle properties are
 important for its effects on health?, Science of the Total Environment, 249, 85-101,
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00513-6</u>, 2000.
- Hayes, P. L., Ortega, A. M., Cubison, M. J., Froyd, K. D., Zhao, Y., Cliff, S. S., Hu, W. W.,
 Toohey, D. W., Flynn, J. H., Lefer, B. L., Grossberg, N., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B.,
 Taylor, J. W., Allan, J. D., Holloway, J. S., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., De Gouw, J. A.,
 Massoli, P., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Weber, R. J., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Isaacman, G.,
 Worton, D. R., Kreisberg, N. M., Goldstein, A. H., Thalman, R., Waxman, E. M., Volkamer,
- 45 R., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Dusanter, S., Griffith, S.,
- 46 Stevens, P. S., Brioude, J., Angevine, W. M., and Jimenez, J. L.: Organic aerosol composition

- and sources in Pasadena, California, during the 2010 CalNex campaign, Journal of
 Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 118, 9233-9257, 10.1002/jgrd.50530, 2013.
- He, L. Y., Hu, M., Huang, X. F., Yu, B. D., Zhang, Y. H., and Liu, D. Q.: Measurement of
 emissions of fine particulate organic matter from Chinese cooking, Atmospheric Environment,
 38, 6557-6564, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.08.034, 2004.
- Heo, J. B., Hopke, P. K., and Yi, S. M.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 in Seoul, Korea,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 4957-4971, 2009.
- Herndon, S. C., Onasch, T. B., Wood, E. C., Kroll, J. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Zavala,
 M. A., Knighton, W. B., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M. K., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L., Seila, R.,
 Gouw, J. A. d., Foy, B. d., Fast, J., Molina, L. T., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: The
 correlation of secondary organic aerosol with odd oxygen in Mexico City, , Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 35, 2008.
- Hu, W., Hu, M., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Yuan, B., Chen, W., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Chen, C., Wang,
 Z., Peng, J., Zeng, L., and Shao, M.: Chemical composition, sources, and aging process of
 submicron aerosols in Beijing: Contrast between summer and winter, Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Atmospheres, 121, 1955-1977, 10.1002/2015JD024020, 2016.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik,
 J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M.,
 Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J.,
 Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., El Haddad, I., and Prevot, A. S. H.:
 High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China,
 Nature, 514, 218-222, 10.1038/nature13774, 2014.
- Huang, X. F., He, L. Y., Hu, M., Canagaratna, M. R., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhu, T., Xue, L., Zeng,
 L. W., Liu, X. G., Zhang, Y. H., Jayne, J. T., Ng, N. L., and Worsnop, D. R.: Highly timeresolved chemical characterization of atmospheric submicron particles during 2008 Beijing
 Olympic Games using an Aerodyne High-Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometer,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 8933-8945, 10.5194/acp-10-8933-2010, 2010.
- IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
 Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
 Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen,
 S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University
 Press, Cambridge, UK, New York, NY, USA, 3-29, 2013.
- Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., Shi, Q., Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R., Yourshaw, I., Seinfeld, J. H.,
 Flagan, R. C., Zhang, X. F., Smith, K. A., Morris, J. W., and Davidovits, P.: Ambient aerosol
 sampling using the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 108, -, 10.1029/2001jd001213, 2003.
- Kaneyasu, N., Ohta, S., and Murao, N.: Seasonal variation in the chemical composition of
 atmospheric aerosols and gaseous species in SAPPORO, JAPAN, Atmospheric Environment,
 29, 1559-1568, 10.1016/1352-2310(94)00356-p, 1995.
- Kim, E., Hopke, P. K., and Edgerton, E. S.: Source identification of Atlanta aerosol by positive
 matrix factorization, Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 53, 731-739, 2003.
- Kim, H., Zhang, Q., Bae, G. N., Kim, J. Y., and Lee, S. B.: Sources and atmospheric processing
 of winter aerosols in Seoul, Korea: insights from real-time measurements using a highresolution aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2009-2033, 10.5194/acp-172009-2017, 2017.

- Kim, S.-W., Choi, I.-J., and Yoon, S.-C.: A multi-year analysis of clear-sky aerosol optical
 properties and direct radiative forcing at Gosan, Korea (2001–2008), Atmospheric Research,
 95, 8, 2010.
- Kim, Y., Kim, S.-W., Yoon, S.-C., Kim, M.-H., and Park, K.-H.: Aerosol properties and associated
 regional meteorology during winter pollution event at Gosan climate observatory, Korea,
 Atmospheric Environment, 85, 9-17, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.041, 2014.
- Kuwata, M., Zorn, S. R., and Martin, S. T.: Using Elemental Ratios to Predict the Density of
 Organic Material Composed of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Oxygen, Environmental Science &
 Technology, 46, 787-794, 10.1021/es202525q, 2012.
- 10 Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of 11 Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer 12 Field Data. Aerosol Science and Technology, 46, 258-271, using 13 10.1080/02786826.2011.620041, 2012.
- Mohr, C., Huffman, J. A., Cubison, M. J., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Kimmel, J. R., Ulbrich,
 I. M., Hannigan, M., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of Primary Organic Aerosol
 Emissions from Meat Cooking, Trash Burning, and Motor Vehicles with High-Resolution
 Aerosol Mass Spectrometry and Comparison with Ambient and Chamber Observations,
 Environmental Science & Technology, 43, 2443-2449, Doi 10.1021/Es8011518, 2009.
- Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P. F., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Slowik, J. G., Richter, R., Reche, C.,
 Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Seco, R., Penuelas, J., Jimenez, J. L., Crippa, M., Zimmermann, R.,
 Baltensperger, U., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Identification and quantification of organic aerosol
 from cooking and other sources in Barcelona using aerosol mass spectrometer data,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 1649-1665, 10.5194/acp-12-1649-2012, 2012.
- Molina, M. J., and Molina, L.T.: Megacities and Atmospheric Pollution, Air and Waste Manage.
 Assoc., 36, 2004.
- Paatero, P., and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization a nonnegative factor model with optimal
 utilization of error estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111-126,
 10.1002/env.3170050203, 1994.
- 29 PMF_Evaluation_Tool_Software: <u>http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-</u>
 30 group/wiki/index.php/PMF-AMS Analysis Guide#PMF Evaluation Tool Software, 2017.
- Pope III, C. A., and Dockery, D. W.: Health Effects of Fine Particulate Air Pollution: Lines that
 Connect, Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 56, 709-742, 2006.
- Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric Aerosols: Composition, Transformation, Climate and Health Effects,
 Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 44, 7520-7540, 10.1002/anie.200501122, 2005.
- Prabhakar, G., Parworth, C., Zhang, X., Kim, H., Young, D., Beyersdorf, A. J., Ziemba, L. D.,
 Nowak, J. B., Bertram, T. H., Faloona, I. C., Zhang, Q., and Cappa, C. D.: Observational
 assessment of the role of nocturnal residual-layer chemistry in determining daytime surface
 particulate nitrate concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2017, 1-58, 10.5194/acp2017-512, 2017.
- 40 Rapid Science Synthesis Report: https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov, 2017.
- Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to
 Climate Change, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1232 pp., 2006.
- Setyan, A., Zhang, Q., Merkel, M., Knighton, W. B., Sun, Y., Song, C., Shilling, J. E., Onasch, T.
 B., Herndon, S. C., Worsnop, D. R., Fast, J. D., Zaveri, R. A., Berg, L. K., Wiedensohler, A.,
- 45 Flowers, B. A., Dubey, M. K., and Subramanian, R.: Characterization of submicron particles
- 46 influenced by mixed biogenic and anthropogenic emissions using high-resolution aerosol mass

- spectrometry: results from CARES, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8131-8156,
 10.5194/acp-12-8131-2012, 2012.
- Sun, J., Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Y., Ng, N. L., Sun, Y., Jayne, J. T., Zhang, X.,
 Zhang, X., and Worsnop, D. R.: Highly time- and size-resolved characterization of submicron
 aerosol particles in Beijing using an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Atmospheric
 Environment, 44, 131-140, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.020, 2010.
- Sun, Y., Jiang, Q., Wang, Z., Fu, P., Li, J., Yang, T., and Yin, Y.: Investigation of the sources and
 evolution processes of severe haze pollution in Beijing in January 2013, Journal of
 Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 119, 4380-4398, 10.1002/2014jd021641, 2014.
- Sun, Y., Jiang, Q., Xu, Y., Ma, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Li, W., Wang, F., Li, J., Wang, P., and Li,
 Z.: Aerosol characterization over the North China Plain: Haze life cycle and biomass burning
 impacts in summer, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121, 2508-2521,
 10.1002/2015jd024261, 2016.
- Sun, Y. L., Zhang, Q., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., Chen, W. N., Bae, M. S., Hung, H. M.,
 Hogrefe, O., Frank, B., Rattigan, O. V., and Lin, Y. C.: Characterization of the sources and
 processes of organic and inorganic aerosols in New York city with a high-resolution time-offlight aerosol mass apectrometer, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 1581-1602,
 10.5194/acp-11-1581-2011, 2011.
- Sun, Y. L., Zhang, Q., Schwab, J. J., Yang, T., Ng, N. L., and Demerjian, K. L.: Factor analysis of
 combined organic and inorganic aerosol mass spectra from high resolution aerosol mass
 spectrometer measurements, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8537-8551,
 10.5194/acp-12-8537-2012, 2012.
- Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H. B., Li, J., and Jia, J. J.: Aerosol
 composition, sources and processes during wintertime in Beijing, China, Atmospheric
 Chemistry and Physics, 13, 4577-4592, 10.5194/acp-13-4577-2013, 2013.
- Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation
 of organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of aerosol mass spectrometric data,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 2891-2918, 10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009, 2009.
- Wang, D., Zhou, B., Fu, Q., Zhao, Q., Zhang, Q., Chen, J., Yang, X., Duan, Y., and Li, J.: Intense
 secondary aerosol formation due to strong atmospheric photochemical reactions in summer:
 observations at a rural site in eastern Yangtze River Delta of China, The Science of the total
 environment, 571, 1454-1466, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.212, 2016a.
- Wang, J., Ge, X., Chen, Y., Shen, Y., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y., Xu, J., Ge, S., Yu, H., and Chen, M.:
 Highly time-resolved urban aerosol characteristics during springtime in Yangtze River Delta,
 China: insights from soot particle aerosol mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 91099127, 10.5194/acp-16-9109-2016, 2016b.
- 37 KORUS-AQ mission whitepaper: https://espo.nasa.gov/home/korus-aq, 2015.
- 38 Young, D. E., Allan, J. D., Williams, P. I., Green, D. C., Flynn, M. J., Harrison, R. M., Yin, J.,
- Gallagher, M. W., and Coe, H.: Investigating the annual behaviour of submicron secondary
 inorganic and organic aerosols in London, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 63516366, 10.5194/acp-15-6351-2015, 2015.
- 42 Young, D. E., Kim, H., Parworth, C., Zhou, S., Zhang, X., Cappa, C. D., Seco, R., Kim, S., and
- 43 Zhang, Q.: Influences of emission sources and meteorology on aerosol chemistry in a polluted
- 44 urban environment: results from DISCOVER-AQ California, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 542745 5451, 10.5194/acp-16-5427-2016, 2016.

- Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Time- and sizeresolved chemical composition of submicron particles in Pittsburgh: Implications for aerosol sources and processes, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 110, 10.1029/2004jd004649, 2005a.
- Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R., Canagaratna, M. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Hydrocarbon-like and
 oxygenated organic aerosols in Pittsburgh: insights into sources and processes of organic
 aerosols, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5, 3289-3311, 2005b.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Ulbrich, I. M., Ng, N. L., Worsnop, D. R., and Sun,
 Y.: Understanding atmospheric organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass
 spectrometry: a review, Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 401, 3045-3067,
 10.1007/s00216-011-5355-y, 2011.
- Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., Freney, E., Sellegri, K., Pichon, J. M., Schwarzenboeck, A., Colomb,
 A., Bourrianne, T., Michoud, V., and Borbon, A.: Formation of secondary organic aerosol in
 the Paris pollution plume and its impact on surrounding regions, Atmospheric Chemistry and
 Physics, 15, 13973-13992, 10.5194/acp-15-13973-2015, 2015.
- Zhao, Y., Hu, M., Slanina, S., and Zhang, Y.: Chemical compositions of fine particulate organic
 matter emitted from Chinese cooking, Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 99-105,
 10.1021/es0614518, 2007.
- Zheng, G. J., Duan, F. K., Su, H., Ma, Y. L., Cheng, Y., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., Huang, T., Kimoto,
 T., Chang, D., Pöschl, U., Cheng, Y. F., and He, K. B.: Exploring the severe winter haze in
 Beijing: the impact of synoptic weather, regional transport and heterogeneous reactions,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2969-2983, 10.5194/acp-15-2969-2015, 2015.
- Zhou, S., Collier, S., Jaffe, D. A., Briggs, N. L., Hee, J., Sedlacek Iii, A. J., Kleinman, L., Onasch,
 T. B., and Zhang, Q.: Regional influence of wildfires on aerosol chemistry in the western US
 and insights into atmospheric aging of biomass burning organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 17, 2477-2493, 10.5194/acp-17-2477-2017, 2017.
- 27
- 28

1 Figures



Figure 1. (a) The map of SMA and surrounded by other nearby cities including Incheon where industrial facilities are located (west and south) and agricultural and biogenic areas (east and south) and Bukhansan national park (north); (b) The location of sampling site in Seoul which is at the north-east of the city center and north of Han river. Also shown the other supersite located at Olympic park. Blue lines shown at the figure indicate roadways (c) Wind rose plot for the entire study period; (d) Bivariate polar plots of PM₁ (non-refractory-PM₁ plus black carbon (BC)) concentrations (in $\mu g/m^3$); (e) Average compositional pie chart of PM₁ species and each of the OA factors over the whole campaign. The green outline indicates the fraction of total OA.



1 2 3 Figure 2. Overview of the temporal variations of submicron aerosols at the Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST) in SMA from April 14, 2016 to June 15, 2016: (a) Time series of 4 ambient air temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), and precipitation (Precip.); (b) Time series 5 of wind direction (WD; 0° indicates north, 90° indicates east), with colors showing different wind 6 speeds (WS); (c) Time series of CO,SO₂, and NO₂; (d) Time series of O_x (NO₂ + O₃) and O₃; (e) 7 Time series of toluene and isoprene; (f) Time series of total particulate matter (PM₁), scanning 8 mobility particle sizer (SMPS) volume concentrations and also shown are the 24 h averaged 9 PM₁+BC with bars. Estimated NIER's and WHO's daily PM₁ standards (40 μ g/m³ and 20 μ g/m³, 10 respectively) are also shown with dashed line for the comparisons; (g) Time series of the nitrate (NO_3^-) , sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) and ammonium (NH_4^+) aerosols; (h) Time series of the organic (Org.) and 11 12 BC aerosols; (i) Time series of each factor derived from the positive matrix factorization (PMF) 13 analysis; (j) Time series of the mass fractional contribution of organic aerosols (Org.), nitrate (NO₃⁻ 14), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), ammonium (NH₄⁺), and BC to total PM₁



volume concentration, number concentration (bottom). Two of the figures at the bottom from the

right show the fraction of PM1 mass and organic mass respectively.



Figure 4. One-hour averaged diurnal profiles for sulfate, nitrate and various parameters and proxies for formation pathways in winter (Dec. 5, 2015 - Jan. 21, 2016) and spring (Apr. 14 -Jun. 15, 2016); Temperature, relative humidity and KAN as the equilibrium constant for gas-toparticle partitioning for ammonium nitrate in (a) 2016 and (b) 2015. The one-hour averaged diurnal profiles of SO₂, SO₄²⁻, fSO₄ and [SO₂] times solar radiation as a proxy for daytime H₂SO₄ formation in (c) spring, 2016 (d) winter, 2015; the one-hour averaged diurnal profiles of NO₂, NO₃, [NO₂][O₃] as a proxy for nighttime formation of HNO₃ and subsequently particulate nitrate, and [NO₂] times solar radiation as a proxy for daytime HNO₃ formation in (e) spring, 2016 (f) winter, 2015.





Figure 6. (a) Averaged mass fractional contributions of each NR-PM₁ species to the total NR-PM₁ mass as a function of size; (b) Campaign-averaged size distributions for individual NR-PM₁ species



- 24
- 25
- 26

Figure 7. (a) Average high-resolution mass spectrum of OA colored by the different ion families. The average elemental ratios for the OA fraction are described; (b) Average diurnal profiles of the organic matter to organic carbon (OM/OC), oxygen to carbon (O/C), hydrogen to carbon (H/C), nitrogen to carbon (N/C), where the O/C, H/C and OM/OC elemental ratios were determined using the updated method (Canagaratna et al., 2015).Table shown is the Overview of the OA compositions in SMA during KORUS-AQ

33



Figure 8. Overview of the results from PMF analysis including high-resolution mass spectra of the (a) Hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA), (b) Cooking OA (COA), (c) Semi volatile oxygenated OA (SV-OOA), and (d) Low volatility oxygenated OA (LV-OOA) colored by different ion families; (e-h) Average diurnal profiles of each of the OA factors (the 90th and 10th percentiles are denoted by the whiskers above and below the boxes, the 75th and 25th percentiles are denoted by the top and bottom of the boxes, the median values are denoted by the horizontal line within the box, and the mean values are denoted by the colored markers) with various tracer species.





Figure 10. (a) Average compositional pie chart of PM₁ species (non-refractory-PM₁ plus black carbon (BC)) and each of the OA factors over an organic dominant period (5/20 17:00 - 5/24 0:00). The green outline indicates the fraction of total OA; and **(b)** Average high-resolution mass spectrum of OA colored by the different ion families. The average elemental ratios for the OA fraction are described (a); **(c)** Two clusters of back trajectories of air masses arriving at KIST during organic dominant period (5/20 17:00 - 5/24 0:00).



2 Figure 11. (a) Time series of ambient air temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), and 3 precipitation (Precip.); (b) Time series of total particulate matter (PM₁), scanning mobility particle 4 sizer (SMPS) volume concentrations, and the 24 h averaged PM₁+BC with bars; (c) Time series 5 of the organic (Org.), nitrate (NO₃⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), ammonium (NH₄⁺) and BC aerosols; (d) Time 6 series of the mass fractional contribution of organic aerosols (Org.), nitrate (NO₃⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻ 7), ammonium (NH4⁺), and BC to total PM1 concentration; (e) Time series of each factor derived 8 from the positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis; (f) Time series of mass fractional 9 contribution of OA factors to OA; (g-j)Wind rose plots, colored by wind speed and; (k-n) 10 Fractional contributions of each species to the total PM₁ (non-refractory-PM₁ plus BC) mass for 11 each stage in haze life.