Dear Editor Jöckel:

Please find below our itemized responses to the reviewers' comments and a mark-up manuscript. We have addressed all the comments raised by both reviewers, and incorporated them in the revised manuscript.

Sincerely,

Xiao Lu, Lin Zhang, et al.

Reviewer #1

Comment: The manuscript by Lu et al. investigated the lower tropospheric ozone over India and its linkage to the south Asian Monsoon by using satellite observations and GEOS-Chem model. Spatial and temporal characteristics of lower tropospheric ozone over India were analyzed in terms of seasonal cycle and inter-annual variability (for 2006-2010) and also long term-trends (for 1990-2010). The contribution/roles of different processes, including precursor emissions (anthropogenic NOx, biomass burning etc), meteorology (horizontal and vertical transport), chemical production, and dry deposition were discussed. The linkage of lower tropospheric ozone concentrations over India to the south Asian Monsoon were also quantified.

This comprehensive analysis focus on the ozone over Indian region where the precursor emissions are still rising in recent years. This study is thorough and clear, which would help to understand the local and global environment effects of India ozone. Overall, this manuscript is well organized, states the problem, outlines the model experiments, and describes the model results. This study fits the scope of ACP. I recommend publication.

Below are several comments that I think the authors may address to improve the manuscript.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments. All of them have been implemented in the revised manuscript. Please see our itemized responses below.

Comment: 1. Line 162-163. The authors reduced the 1990-1996 ACCMIP emissions by 30% to correct the gap between GFED3 and ACCMIP, and also to get a full set of data for 1990-2010. It's quite understandable and straightforward. While the readers might get the impression that GFED3 is more accurate than ACCMIP. I'm just curious is there any reference that evaluate the two biomass burning emission inventories for this region? Any discussions of the sensitivity of the biomass burning emissions would be helpful.

Response: Thanks for pointing it out; we do not have enough evidence from the literature to conclude which biomass burning emission inventory is more accurate over India. We now state in the text "Comparison of GFED3 and ACCMIP biomass burning CO emissions for their overlapping years (1997–2000) suggests ACCMIP is 30% higher. Here we reduce the 1990–1996 ACCMIP emissions by 30% to reconcile the two inventories, although this may lead to underestimates of biomass burning emission contributions for the period. We find that biomass burning emissions of CO over India (2.6 Tg a⁻¹ (per annum) for 2006–2010) are relatively small compared with anthropogenic emissions (61.9 Tg a⁻¹)".

Comment: 2. Line 177. The contributions of different processes are analyzed, including chemical production and loss, horizontal and vertical transport and dry deposition. I'm wondering if the cloud-chemistry/wet scavenging are considered as the two processes might involve the O3 chemistry. Or are they already considered but just ignored as the contribution are too small? Some discussion would be helpful.

Response: Cloud chemistry and wet deposition are included in the GEOS-Chem model, but they do not affect ozone concentrations directly. We now state here in the Section 2.3: "The GEOS-Chem model also includes cloud chemistry (e.g., formation of sulfate aerosol via aqueous-phase reactions with ozone and H_2O_2) and wet deposition of soluble gases. The two processes have small effects on ozone directly due to its low solubility and thus are not diagnosed here".

Comment: 3. Line 181. For the horizontal transport (E-W, N-S) in the text and also figure 2, it would be better to give the directions for positive/negative values.

Response: We now state here in the text "Horizontal transport for each grid is calculated by horizontal fluxes from or to adjacent grids. Here we define transport from west to east or from south to north as positive values", and in the caption of Figure 2 "Horizontal transport from west (W) to east (E) and from south (S) to north (N), and downward (D) vertical transport at 600 hPa are defined as positive values".

Comment: 4. Section 3.1. The anthropogenic NOx emissions and biomass burning emissions are shown in this section while no discussions of NMVOC emissions are provided. While anthropogenic and biogenic NMVOC are also important ozone precursors. Especially for biogenic NMVOC, it might have strong seasonal cycle which might change the NOx/VOC regime in different seasons. Some discussions would be necessary here.

Response: We now show in Figure S1 the seasonal variations of anthropogenic NMVOC emissions, biogenic isoprene emissions, and soil NO emissions. This is also for addressing Comment #6 below. We now state in the text "Anthropogenic

CO and NMVOC emissions over India are 61.9 Tg a⁻¹ and 15.5 Tg a⁻¹, respectively, with similar seasonal variations as anthropogenic NO emissions (Figure S1)".

We also discuss in this section biogenic isoprene emissions and NOx/VOC regimes, "Model calculated biogenic isoprene emissions in India are 39.8 Tg C a^{-1} , with a strong seasonality peaking in May and June (Figure S1). Previous studies have shown that the ratio of NO_x emissions to CO and NMVOCs emissions over India is relatively small compared to other regions at northern mid-latitudes (Lelieveld et al., 2001; Li et al., 2017). Here we also examine the model simulated H₂O₂/HNO₃ concentration ratios, which have been used as an indicator of ozone production chemical regime (Sillman et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2016). We find that the H₂O₂/HNO₃ ratios in the Indian lower troposphere range from 1.0 to 5.0 for all four seasons, higher than those in eastern China and eastern US (Figure S2). This indicates strong NO_x-limited conditions for ozone chemical production over India, consistent with previous studies (Kumar et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016)".

Added references: Sillman, S., He, D., Cardelino, C., and Imhoff, R. E.: The Use of Photochemical Indicators to Evaluate Ozone-NOx-Hydrocarbon Sensitivity: Case Studies from Atlanta, New York, and Los Angeles, J Air Waste Manag Assoc, 47, 1030-1040, 10.1080/10962247.1997.11877500, 1997.

Comment: 5. Line 213. When retrieving the OMI observed tropospheric ozone, some discussions of the sensitivity of the priori profile and average kernel matrices would be helpful. Are there any system biases or different biases in different seasons? Any uncertainties?

Response: Thanks for the suggestion. We now state in Section 2.1 (OMI satellite observations) "The degrees of freedom for signals (sum of the diagonal elements of averaging kernel matrices) for OMI ozone retrievals are typically 0.3–0.5 in the lower troposphere over India. Previous evaluations of the OMI retrievals with ozonesonde measurements have shown a clear improvement over the a priori in the lower troposphere of the tropics (30°S–30°N), and the mean retrieval biases in the tropics are less than 6% with little seasonality (Huang et al., 2017a)".

Comment: 6. Line 242. It's quite important to state that biogenic isoprene and soil NOx emissions are higher in the pre-summer monsoon than wintertime which help the reader to understand the results. As suggested in Q4, it will be great to give a table that lists the seasonal/annual emissions from different sources (biogenic VOC, anthropogenic VOC, soil NOx, biomass burning CO etc.)

Response: Thanks for the suggestion. We now add Figure S1 in the Supplement to show seasonal variations of anthropogenic NMVOCs, biogenic isoprene, and soil NO emissions, as described in Comment #4 above. We state in the text: "Biogenic isoprene emissions over India increases from 1.8 Tg month⁻¹ in winter to 5.2 Tg month⁻¹ in the pre-summer monsoon season. The soil NO emissions also increase from 0.08 Tg month⁻¹ to 0.21 Tg month⁻¹ (Figure S1)."

Comment: 7. Line 285. "Strong vertical convection". Just curious, is there any index to show the strength the convection?

Response: We now state in the text "Strong vertical convection with the 600 hPa upward velocity greater than 5 mm s⁻¹ in July–August effectively uplifts ozone pollution from the lower troposphere to the upper troposphere".

Comment: 8. Line 340-342. In addition of NOx emission reduction, how about the changes of reaction rates and biogenic VOC emission caused by T reduction during presummer monsoon? And their roles in the O3 production?

Response: We have discussed in Section 3.2 (Variations in the pre-summer monsoon season) the influences of changes in temperature on ozone concentration through changing natural emissions and chemical production in the pre-summer monsoon season. This sentence here was intended to emphasize the benefits of controlling NOx emissions. We now remove this sentence to avoid confusion.

Comment: 9. Line 375. Can you show the selected region in one of your figures?

Response: We now show the selected region for calculating the South Asian summer monsoon index in Figure S2. We state in the text "we then average $\delta(i, j)$ over the region of 35°E–90°E, 5°N–35°N (Figure S2) at 850 hPa and over May–August to represent the South Asian summer monsoon index (SASMI)."

Comment: 10. Line 384. How the statistics are conducted? How many samples are compared? Are the correlations for grid-to-grid? Or just the regional averages?

Response: We now state here "Interannual variations of Indian regional mean lower tropospheric ozone concentrations are significantly negative correlated with the SASMI, as can be seen for both OMI observations (r = -0.46, 2006– 2014, n = 9) and GEOS-Chem BASE results (r = -0.52, 1990–2010, n = 21)."

Comment: 11. Figure 7. The caption is not clear. Do you mean "Differences in May-August mean between the lowest and highest SASMI conditions "?

Response: Yes, we now clarify in the caption "Differences in May-August

monthly mean (a) lower tropospheric ozone concentration, (b) ... between the lowest and highest SASMI conditions. Values are calculated using averages of the five lowest SASMI years minus averages of the five highest SASMI years".

Comment: 12. Figure 3. Dry deposition is not shown here. As there is no obvious season changes? A little bit explanation would be helpful.

Response: We now present in Figure S1-d the spatial distribution and seasonal variation of ozone dry deposition to India. We also state in the text "Dry deposition of ozone to India shows a weak seasonal variation (1.5 ± 0.15 Tg month⁻¹; Figure 2c and Figure S1-d)."

Comment: 13. Figure 4. Can you give the number pairs of data?

Response: We now state in the figure caption "Interannual correlation coefficients (r) between surface temperature and ozone (number of regional averages n = 9 for observations and 21 for model results) are shown inset".

Review #2

Comment: The study of Lu et al. analyzes the processes influencing lower tropospheric ozone over the Indian subcontinent with a focus on the influence of the South Asian monsoon but also analyzing interannual variability and trends. It mainly relies on a set of 20-year (1990-2010) long GEOS-CHEM simulations and analyzing the lower tropospheric ozone budget with respect to contributions from photochemistry, transport and deposition. The model-derived results are backed up with lower tropospheric ozone data derived from OMI satellite observations of the years 2006 - 2014 which, overall, show very good agreement with the model in terms of spatial distribution and seasonal behavior.

The publication is very well written (with small grammatical issues that will require some copy-editing), clearly structured, and the analyses are comprehensive and convincing. In particular, the authors present a thorough analysis of the factors driving ozone variability over India including meteorological variability (seasonal, interannual), variations in anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, and trends in methane. The paper has a good chance to become an important reference for future studies on the lower tropospheric ozone budget over India.

I support publication of this manuscript and have only a few small comments that may require minor revisions:

Response: We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments. All of them have

been implemented in the revised manuscript. Please see our itemized responses below.

Comment: - As pointed out by Lelieveld et al. (The Indian Ocean Experiment: Widespread Air Pollution from South and Southeast Asia, Science, 2001), the ratio of emissions of NOx to those of CO and VOCs is much smaller over India than e.g. over Europe or the United States. As a consequence ozone production over India is likely strongly NOx-limited. It would have been nice if the authors had paid somewhat more attention to this aspect, notably in the analysis of the factors driving the long-term increase in ozone.

Response: Thanks for the suggestion. We now discuss the ratio of H_2O_2/HNO_3 as an indicator of ozone chemical production regime as presented in Figure S2. We state in Section 3.1: "Previous studies have shown that the ratio of NO_x emissions to CO and NMVOCs emissions over India is relatively small compared to other regions at northern mid-latitudes (Lelieveld et al., 2001; Li et al., 2017). Here we also examine the model simulated H_2O_2/HNO_3 concentration ratios, which have been used as an indicator of ozone production chemical regime (Sillman et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2016). We find that the H_2O_2/HNO_3 ratios in the Indian lower troposphere range from 1.0 to 5.0 for all four seasons, higher than those in eastern China and eastern US (Figure S2). This indicates strong NO_x -limited conditions for ozone chemical production over India, consistent with previous studies (Kumar et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016)".

We also state in Section 5 (Long term trend), "Increasing anthropogenic NO emissions (about 3% year⁻¹, Supplemental Figure S5) likely dominates the ozone increases due to the NOx-limited ozone production condition over this region as we discussed above".

Added references:

Sillman, S., He, D., Cardelino, C., and Imhoff, R. E.: The Use of Photochemical Indicators to Evaluate Ozone-NOx-Hydrocarbon Sensitivity: Case Studies from Atlanta, New York, and Los Angeles, J Air Waste Manag Assoc, 47, 1030-1040, 10.1080/10962247.1997.11877500, 1997.

Comment: - Figure 2c shows the seasonal cycle of lower tropospheric ozone together with the different monthly production and loss terms. Why do these terms not sum up to positive values when the total burden of ozone increases? Take e.g. the budget for the month of March: The losses sum up to about -12 Tg, the gains only to about +11 Tg. Despite this negative budget, the ozone concentrations increase. from March to April. Please clarify.

Response: Thanks for pointing it out. We find an error in calculation of vertical ozone fluxes for January to March. It is now corrected in the figure, and does not affect our conclusions.

Comment: - Page 4, line 86: decreases in ozone associated with the summer monsoon had also been reported based on MOZAIC aircraft observations, e.g. Srivastava et al. (Atmos. Env., 2015) or Bhattacharjee et al. (Meteorol. and Atmos. Phys., 2015).

Response: These studies are now cited in the manuscript. We state here "Decreases of tropospheric ozone with the summer monsoon in South and East Asia have been reported from ... aircrafts measurements (Bhattacharjee et al., 2015; Srivastava et al., 2015; Ojha et al., 2016) ...".

Added references:

- Bhattacharjee, P. S., Singh, R. P., and Nédélec, P.: Vertical profiles of carbon monoxide and ozone from MOZAIC aircraft over Delhi, India during 2003– 2005, Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 127, 229-240, 10.1007/s00703-014-0349-x, 2014.
- Srivastava, S., Naja, M., and Thouret, V.: Influences of regional pollution and long range transport over Hyderabad using ozone data from MOZAIC, Atmos. Environ., 117, 135-146, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.037, 2015.
- Ojha, N., Pozzer, A., Rauthe-Schöch, A., Baker, A. K., Yoon, J., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., and Lelieveld, J.: Ozone and carbon monoxide over India during the summer monsoon: regional emissions and transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3013-3032, 10.5194/acp-16-3013-2016, 2016.

Comment: - It was not always entirely clear, which regions the individual budgets and concentrations were representing, i.e., over which domain the values were averaged. "Lower troposphere over India" is not an exact term. Is this only over land, or over a rectangular domain covering India? I suggest adding such information to the figure captions.

Response: We now state in the text "In this study, we present an integrated analysis of the processes controlling lower tropospheric (surface to 600 hPa) ozone concentrations over the terrestrial land of India and their linkage to the South Asian monsoon." We have also clarified it in the figure captions.

Comment: - The same problem holds for some of the stated correlations, e.g. the correlation of r = 0.81-0.97 mentioned on page 10, line 223. What exactly was correlated here?

Response: Thanks for pointing it out. We now state here "they generally capture

the seasonal and spatial variations of OMI observed lower tropospheric ozone concentrations (r = 0.81-0.97 for the spatial variations of OMI observations vs. model results)." We have also clarified some other correlations in the text and figure captions.

Comment: - Page 15, line 343: The statement "the mean correlation over India is still dominated by the temperature impact on ozone chemical production" is not quite correct. I would argue that high temperatures and high ozone levels are both a result of intense solar radiation, rather than temperature being directly the main driver for high ozone production.

Response: We now clarify in the text: "the mean correlation over India still shows a positive effect of temperature on ozone chemical production, which can be driven by solar radiation affecting both temperature and ozone production rates, as well as the sensitivities of natural sources to temperature as discussed above."

Comment: - Page 16, Eq. 1: The grid indices i,j should probably be discarded, since equation 2 suggests that the norm is calculated by integration over a domain rather than a single grid cell.

Response: We rewrite some of the sentences here to avoid confusion. The monsoon index is first calculated for each grid and then averaged over the South Asian region. We now state here "The monsoon index is first calculated for each model grid ($\delta(i, j)$) in the Northern Hemisphere in the month *m* and year *y*" and "we then average $\delta(i, j)$ over the region of 35°E–90°E, 5°N–35°N (Figure S2) at 850 hPa and over May–August to represent the South Asian summer monsoon index (SASMI)".

Comment: Probably the manuscript would also benefit from a schematic figure of the ozone budget in the pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons, i.e., a box representing the volume of the lower troposphere over India and the individual budget terms shown as arrows (in case of transport and deposition) or just numbers (in case of chemical production and loss within the box).

Response: Thanks for the suggestion. We now present such a schematic figure in the main manuscript as Figure 4. We also state in Section 3.3 (Variations in the summer monsoon season): "Figure 4 summarizes changes of the lower tropospheric ozone budgets over India in the pre-summer monsoon season (March-April) and the summer monsoon season (June-July-August). We can see that decreases in the Indian lower tropospheric ozone in the summer monsoon season are mainly associated with the reduction in ozone net chemical production and strengthening upward transport."

Lower tropospheric ozone over India and its linkage to the South Asian monsoon

Xiao Lu^{1,2}, Lin Zhang¹, Xiong Liu³, Meng Gao², Yuanhong Zhao¹, Jingyuan Shao¹

(1) Laboratory for Climate and Ocean-Atmosphere Studies, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
(2) School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02138, USA
(3) Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA, 02138, USA

10

Correspondence to: Lin Zhang (zhanglg@pku.edu.cn)

Abstract

Lower tropospheric (surface to 600 hPa) ozone over India poses serious risks to local human and crops, and potentially affects global ozone distribution through frequent deep convection in tropical regions. Our current understanding of processes controlling seasonal to long-term variations in lower tropospheric ozone over this region is rather limited due to spatially and temporally sparse 20 observations. Here we present an integrated process analysis of the seasonal cycle, interannual variability, and long-term trends of lower tropospheric ozone over India and its linkage to the South Asian Monsoon using the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) satellite observations for years 2006– 2014 interpreted with a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) simulation for 1990–2010. 25 OMI observed lower tropospheric ozone over India averaged for 2006–2010 show the highest concentrations (54.1 ppby) in the pre-summer monsoon season (May) and the lowest concentrations (40.5 ppbv) in the summer monsoon season (August). Process analyses in GEOS-Chem show that hot and dry meteorological conditions and active biomass burning together contribute to 5.8 Tg more ozone produced in the lower troposphere of India in May than January. The onset of the summer monsoon brings ozone-unfavorable meteorological conditions and strong upward transport, all lead to 30 large decreases in the lower tropospheric ozone burden. Interannually, we find that both OMI and GEOS-Chem indicate strong interannual positive correlations (r = 0.55-0.58) between ozone and surface temperature in pre-summer monsoon seasons, with larger correlations found in high NO_x emission regions reflecting NO_x-limited production conditions. Summer monsoon seasonal mean ozone levels are strongly controlled by monsoon strengths. Lower ozone concentrations are found in 35 stronger monsoon seasons mainly due to less ozone net chemical production. Furthermore, model simulations over 1990–2010 estimate a mean annual trend of 0.19 ± 0.07 (p-value < 0.01) ppbv year⁻¹ in Indian lower tropospheric ozone over this period, which are mainly driven by increases in anthropogenic emissions with small contribution (about 7%) from global methane concentration increases. 40

1. Introduction

Ozone in the lower troposphere is a harmful air pollutant for both human and ecosystems (Monks et al., 2015), and plays a central role in atmospheric chemistry as the major source of hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Jacob, 2000). It is also a short-lived greenhouse gas with a global positive-mean radiative 45 forcing of 0.40 (0.20–0.60) W m⁻² since the preindustrial era (Myhre et al., 2013; Stevenson et al., 2013). Tropospheric ozone is produced by sunlight-driven photochemical oxidation of carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrocarbons in the presence of nitrogen oxides (NO_x \equiv NO + NO₂). These ozone precursors are released not only from anthropogenic sources such as industry and transportation, but 50 also from a number of climate-sensitive natural sources such as lightning, biomass burning, and biogenic emissions. It is also transported from the stratosphere (about 550 Tg vear-1 or 10% of chemical production in the troposphere) (Stohl, 2003; Stevenson et al., 2006). Tropospheric ozone burden (present-day 337 ± 23 Tg) has enhanced 43% since the preindustrial era due to rapid industrialization (Young et al., 2013). Zhang et al. (2016) recently revealed that increases in the tropospheric ozone burden in the past 30 years were dominated by the equatorward redistribution of 55 anthropogenic emissions to developing regions such as East and South Asia, raising increasing interests on ozone pollution over those regions.

Unlike developed regions such as Europe and eastern US, where anthropogenic emission reductions
have led to surface ozone levels flatten or decrease since 1990s (Parrish et al., 2012; Cooper et al., 2012; Oltmans et al., 2013; Strode et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2017), developing countries such as China and India have been experiencing anthropogenic emission rises and ozone enhancements (Cooper et al., 2014, Sun et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Recent studies have shown that NO_x emissions in China began to decrease since 2012 due to stringent air pollution controls (Krotkov et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017). However, air quality in India is continuously deteriorating as indicated by increasing NO₂

columns observed from satellite (Krotkov et al., 2016; Geddes et al., 2016), and may become worse in the near future considering projected trends in population and associated anthropogenic emissions (Ghude et al., 2016). Exposure of to ozone pollution in India is estimated to cause 12,000 premature deaths in 2011 by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Ghude et al., 2016), and up to 36% loss of wheat and other crop productions in India (Ramanathan et al., 2014; Sinha et al, 2015). In addition, frequent deep convection in tropical Asia allows the uplifted pollutants to influence global ozone distribution (Lelieveld et al., 2001; Sahu et al., 2006; Beig and Brasseur, 2007; Park et al., 2007; Lawrence and Lelieveld, 2010; Srivastava et al., 2012a; Lal et al., 2013). A better understanding of processes controlling lower tropospheric ozone over India thus becomes important to address its local and global environmental effects.

Distinct seasonal transitions in prevailing wind and rainfall associated with the monsoon circulation result in unique ozone variations in South and East Asia. Winter monsoon prevails in October to March and brings dry and cool weather conditions. With the onset of South Asian (East Asian) summer monsoon in May, stronger westerlies (southerlies) bring marine air from Arabian Sea (western Pacific) to the Indian subcontinent (East Asia), resulting inleading to significant enhancements of cloud fractions and rainfall (Wang and Lin, 2002; Ding and Chan, 2005). Decreases of tropospheric ozone with the summer monsoon in South and East Asia have been reported from surface (Lal et al., 2000; Naja and Lal, 2002; Naja et al., 2003; Beig et al., 2007; Reddy et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2010; Ding et al., 2013; Hou et al., 2015), ozonesonde (Ojha et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2013; Lal et al., 2014; Ojha et al., 2014; Sahu et al., 2014), aircrafts measurements (Bhattacharjee et al., 2015; Srivastava et al., 2015; Ojha et al., 2016), and satellite observations (Liu et al., 2009; Dufour et al., 2010; Safieddine et al., 2016). A number of modeling studies attribute the summertime ozone minimum in summer time over India to transport of clean marine air (Lal et al., 2014; Sahu et al., 2014) or reduced ozone photochemical production (Roy et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2012). This

seasonality is in contrast to that at mid-latitudes where surface ozone levels are usually higher in spring and summer due to stronger stratosphere-to-troposphere transport and photochemistry (Parrish et al., 2013; Cooper et al., 2014).

Most of the above-mentioned studies used individual ground-based observations or regional chemistry 95 models to study seasonal or short-term interannual (up to 5 years) variability of tropospheric ozone in India. Long-term ground-based ozone observations are extremely scarce in South Asia (Cooper et al., 2014). We also lack a comprehensive analysis of spatiotemporal distribution of lower tropospheric ozone at domestic India scale. In particular, key processes that influence the tropospheric ozone budget 100 over India have not been analyzed and quantified. In this study, we present an integrated analysis of the processes controlling lower tropospheric (surface to 600 hPa) ozone concentrations over the terrestrial land of India and their linkage to the South Asian monsoon. Satellite observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) over 2006–2014 and simulations with the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (CTM) for 1990–2010 are used to analyze the spatial, seasonal, and interannual variability of lower tropospheric ozone pollution over India before and during the South Asian summer 105 monsoon. We will further examine the potential drivers of long-term trends in lower tropospheric ozone over India.

2. Observations and model description

110 2.1. OMI satellite observations

115

The OMI instrument is onboard the NASA Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura satellite launched in July 2004 with an ascending equator crossing time of ~13:45 LT (local time) (Schoeberl et al., 2006). OMI is a nadir-viewing instrument that measures backscattered solar radiation in the 0.27–0.5 μ m wavelength range with a spectral resolution of 0.42–0.63 nm (Levelt et al., 2006). Its nadir footprint has a spatial resolution of 13×24 km² with near-daily global coverage achieved by a wide view field of

114° and a 2600 km wide swath.

We use the OMI PROFOZ ozone profile retrievals developed by Liu et al. (2010) based on the optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000). Details of the PROFOZ product have been given in Liu et al. (2010) and Kim et al. (2013), and are comprehensively validated recently by Huang et al. (2017a, b). 120 This OMI ozone profile algorithm retrieves partial ozone columns for 24 layers with about 2.5 km thickness for each layer. Validation of the surface 550/750 hPa ozone columns in the tropics with ozonesonde measurements shows a mean bias within 5% (Huang et al., 2017a). Here we grid the monthly mean OMI data to the $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution with focus on the spatial and temporal 125 distributions of Indian lower tropospheric ozone concentrations for the period of 2006–2014. Comparisons of model simulations with OMI observations retrievals need to consider OMI a priori profiles and averaging kernel matrices as described in Zhang et al. (2010). OMI a priori profiles are from the monthly ozone profile climatology of McPeters et al. (2007). The degrees of freedom for signals (sum of the diagonal elements of averaging kernel matrices) for OMI ozone retrievals are typically 0.3–0.5 in the lower troposphere over India. Previous evaluations of the OMI retrievals with 130 ozonesonde measurements have shown a clear improvement over the a priori in the lower troposphere of the tropics (30°S-30°N), and the mean retrieval biases in the tropics are less than 6% with little seasonality (Huang et al., 2017a).

135 **2.2. GEOS-Chem simulations**

We use the GEOS-Chem global CTM (v10-01; <u>http://www.geos-chem.org</u>) in this study. The model includes a detailed mechanism of ozone– NO_x –VOC–aerosol tropospheric chemistry (Bey et al., 2001; Park et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2010, 2013) using the chemical kinetics recommended by Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) (Sander, et al., 2011; IUPAC, 2013), and photolysis rates calculated by the Fast-JX scheme (Bian and Prather, 2002).

140

Stratospheric ozone chemistry is represented by the linearized ozone parameterization (LINOZ) (McLinden et al., 2000), and other stratospheric species are simulated using monthly averaged production and loss rates archived from the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) model (Murray et al., 2013). Physical processes such as deposition and planetary boundary layer (PBL) mixing schemes are summarized in Table 1. The model has been applied in a number of studies on global and regional tropospheric ozone (Wang et al., 2013; Fiore et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2017). A recent model evaluation with global tropospheric ozone datasets shows that GEOS-Chem (v10-01) provides an improved ozone simulation relative to previous model versions (e.g., v8-01 in Zhang et al., (2010)) with no significant seasonal and latitudinal biases (Hu et al., 2017).

150

145

The model is driven by the Modern Era Retrospective-analysis for Research and Application (MERRA) assimilated meteorological fields (Rienecker et al., 2011). For input to GEOS-Chem, we downgrade the MERRA data to 2.5° longitude $\times 2^{\circ}$ latitude and 47 vertical layers (extending from surface to 0.01 hPa) from the raw resolution of 0.667° longitude $\times 0.5^{\circ}$ latitude and 72 layers. Emissions in the model are <u>calculated processed</u> using the Harvard-NASA Emission Component (HEMCO) (Keller et al., 2014). Year-specific anthropogenic emissions are from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR v4.2 for emissions over 1990–2008, http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/; 2008 emissions are used for simulation afterwards), overwritten with regional emission inventories as summarized in Table 1. Asian anthropogenic emissions are from the MIX emission inventory (Li et al., 2017).

155

160

Climate-sensitive natural ozone emissions such as biogenic non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) emissions, lightning NO_x emissions, soil NO_x emissions are implemented in GEOS-Chem

as summarized in Table 1. For the biomass burning emissions, we combine the inventory of the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCIMP) (Lamarque et al., 2010) for 1990–1996 and the Global Fire Emission Database version 3 (GFED3) (van der Werf et al., 2010) for 1997–2010. Comparison_of GFED3 and ACCMIP biomass burning CO emissions for their overlapping years (1997–2000) suggests ACCMIP is 30% higher. <u>Here wWe thus</u>-reduce the 1990–1996 ACCMIP emissions by 30% to partly correct the gap betweenreconcile the two inventories, although this may lead to underestimates of biomass burning emission contributions for the period. We find that biomass burning emissions of CO over India (2.6 Tg a⁻¹ (per annum) for 2006–2010) are relatively small compared with anthropogenic emissions (61.9 Tg a⁻¹). As atmospheric methane has a relatively long lifetime (about 9 years), its concentrations are prescribed in GEOS-Chem using year-specific measured concentrations from the NOAA Global Monitoring Division (GMD) (see Table 1).

175

170

We conduct a standard simulation (BASE) with year-specific assimilated meteorology and anthropogenic emissions from 1990 to 2010 with the initial conditions generated by a two-year spin-up simulation. We also conduct sensitivity simulations by fixing one of the sources at the 1990 conditions, including anthropogenic emissions (FEMIS), global methane concentrations (FCH₄), and
biomass burning emissions (FBIOB) as summarized in Table 2. Differences between the standard simulation and the sensitivity simulations are then used to estimate influences of interannual changes in the specific source on tropospheric ozone concentrations over India. All simulations are conducted for 1990–2010 as constrained by the availability of MERRA meteorology and emissions.

185 2.3. Ozone budgets diagnosed in GEOS-Chem

We analyze processes affecting lower tropospheric ozone budgets in each model grid including ozone chemical production and loss, horizontal and vertical transport, and dry deposition._These processes are diagnosed at every hour and averaged to monthly mean. Net productions are calculated as the differences between ozone chemical production and loss rates. Horizontal transport for each grid is<u>by</u> calculated by the net-horizontal fluxes from or to adjacent grids. Here we define transport from west to

east or from south to north as positive values. Vertical transport is estimated as the flux at the top of the lower troposphere (600 hPa in this study) with positive values representing downward transport. The GEOS-Chem model also includes cloud chemistry (e.g., formation of sulfate aerosol via aqueous-phase reactions with ozone and H_2O_2) and wet deposition of soluble gases. The two processes have small effects on ozone directly due to its low solubility and thus are not diagnosed here.

195

3. Seasonal variation of lower tropospheric ozone over India

3.1. Variations of meteorology and emissions

Variations in tropospheric ozone are subject to changes in precursor emissions and meteorology
conditions such as local temperature and transport pattern. We show in Figure 1 and 2 spatial and seasonal variations in MERRA meteorological variables (surface temperature, 850 hPa specific humidity (SPHU), and cloud cover), as well as anthropogenic NO emissions, and biomass burning CO emissions over India averaged for the 5-year period (2006-2010). Meteorological conditions in India have distinct seasonal variations associated with the monsoon onset and retreat. Temperature increases from winter (January) to late spring (May) with increasing solar radiation. The onset of summer monsoon in late May brings moist air from oceans and drives strong air convergence and uplift over India, which lead to cloudy conditions, large decreases in surface temperature (about 8 °C from May to August), and enhancements in SPHU (5 g kg⁻¹) (Figure 1 and 2a). Surface temperature and SPHU become relatively stable with the retreat of summer monsoon since September, and then both decrease

Figure 1 and 2b also show anthropogenic NO emissions of 5.444.51 Tg a⁻¹ (per annum) in India, with emissions in winter (December, January, and February) 3.74.2% higher than summer (June, July, and August) due to more active residential heating. Anthropogenic CO and NMVOC emissions over India are 61.9 Tg a⁻¹ and 15.5 Tg a⁻¹, respectively, with similar seasonal variations as anthropogenic NO

emissions (Figure S1 in the Supplement). Anthropogenic emissions are higher over the northern India including the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP, extending from the plain of the Indus River to the plains of the Ganges River) and southern India, following the distribution of population density (Beig and Brasseur, 2006; Kumar et al., 2012). Biomass burning emissions in Southeast Asia are active in March and April (Figure 1e) that account for 62% of the annual biomass burning CO emissions in India (Figure 2b), and are likely due to open burnings during post-harvesting seasons as agricultural field clearance (Venkataraman et al., 2006). Hot and dry air conditions in March and April also likely enhance the wildfire frequency and strength (Westering et al., 2006; Jaffe et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2016).

225 Model calculated biogenic isoprene emissions in India are 39.8 Tg C a⁻¹, with a strong seasonality peaking in May and June (Figure S1 in the Supplement). Previous studies have shown that the ratio of NO_x emissions to CO and NMVOCs emissions over India is relatively small compared to other regions at northern mid-latitudes (Lelieveld et al., 2001; Li et al., 2017). Here we also examine the model simulated H₂O₂/HNO₃ concentration ratios, which have been used as an indicator of ozone production
230 chemical regime (Sillman et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2016). We find that the H₂O₂/HNO₃ ratios in the Indian lower troposphere range from 1.0 to 5.0 for all four seasons, higher than those in eastern China and eastern US (Figure S2 in the Supplement). This indicates strong NO_x-limited conditions for ozone chemical production over India, consistent with previous studies (Kumar et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016).

235

220

3.2. Variations in the pre-summer monsoon season

Figure 2c shows OMI observed and GEOS-Chem model simulated seasonal variations of lower tropospheric ozone concentrations averaged over India and over the 5-year (2006–2010) period. Figure 3 shows their spatial distributions. Model results are applied with OMI averaging kernel matrices and a priori profiles. OMI shows annual mean lower tropospheric ozone concentration of 45.9 ppbv over

240

India with maximum (54.1 ppbv) in the pre-summer monsoon season and minimum (40.5 ppbv) in July-August when the summer monsoon reaches its annual strongest stage. A similar seasonal cycle of lower tropospheric ozone has been found in the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) observations (Kumar et al., 2012). Spatially, observed ozone concentrations are higher in northern 245 India and IGP regions than southern regions, consistent with reported surface measurements (Lal et al., 2000; Naja and Lal, 2002; Beig et al., 2007; Reddy et al., 2008; Ojha et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2012). While model results are about 2 ppby (4.4%) higher annually with the largest overestimate occurring in January–May, they generally capture the seasonal and spatial variations of OMI observed lower tropospheric ozone concentrations (r = 0.81-0.97 for the spatial variations of OMI observations vs. 250 model results). Comparison of GEOS-Chem surface ozone concentrations for the year 2010 with measurements at 6 Indian surface sites reported by Sharma et al. (2016) also shows consistent seasonal variations but with positive biases of about 8 ppbv (Supplemental Figure S31 in the Supplement; 43.5 \pm 7.4 ppbv in the model vs. 35.0 \pm 8.4 ppbv in measurements). Similar model overestimates over India are reported in Kumar et al. (2012) using the WRF-Chem and MOZART models, and are likely due to uncertainties in NO_x emissions and the coarse model resolution.

255

Figure 2c and Figure 3 also identify the processes affecting lower tropospheric ozone burden over India. Here we separate our analysis below to four time periods: pre-summer monsoon seasons (March-April), summer monsoon seasons (May-August), post-summer monsoon seasons (August-October), and wintertime (November-following March). In March and April, the mean lower 260 tropospheric ozone concentration over India increases by 9.8 ppby from the wintertime that can be explained by significant enhancements of ozone production (from 5.1 Tg in January to 10.9 Tg in April) and net production (from 2.9 to 5.0 Tg month⁻¹). As anthropogenic emissions slightly decrease from wintertimewinter, ozone production enhancements are more likely associated with ozonefavorable weather conditions such as stronger solar radiation and increasing temperature. These

changes not only enhance ozone photochemistry efficiencies in the presence of NO_x (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Doherty et al., 2013; Psuede et al., 2015), but also increase natural emissions such as biogenic <u>NM</u>VOCs and soil NO_x emissions. <u>Biogenic isoprene emissions over India increase from 1.8</u> Tg month⁻¹ in winter to 5.2 Tg month⁻¹ in the pre-summer monsoon season. The soil NO emissions also increase from 0.08 Tg month⁻¹ to 0.21 Tg month⁻¹ (Figure S1 in the Supplement). We find that biogenic isoprene and soil NO_x emissions over India as calculated in the model are about a factor of 2 higher in the pre-summer monsoon season than wintertime. Additional ozone enhancements are due to intense biomass burning emissions. We can see strong ozone production in central eastern India in the pre-summer monsoon season (Figure 3c) associated with biomass burning regions (Figure 1e).

Horizontal transport (both west-east and north-south transport) decreases Indian lower tropospheric ozone in March–April (Figure 2c). As shown in Figure 3a, an anti-cyclonic wind pattern dominates the Indian subcontinent during January–April. Prevailing northeastern winds in northern India efficiently transport the ozone_-rich_-air downwind, and circulate into the southern India, resulting in a deficient budget in northern India but positive in southern India (Figure 3d and Supplemental-Figure S2S4 in the Supplement). This low tropospheric transport pattern implies that southern India would likely suffer ozone pollution transported from the ozone-rich northern India in winter and pre-monsoon seasons. Vertical transport at 600 hPa has a relatively-small-positive contribution (2.5 1.8–Tg month⁻¹) to the lower tropospheric ozone budget over India in March–April, partly due to offset of downward import over northern India and upward export over southern India (Figure 3e). Northern India with higher elevation is likely subject to more stratospheric ozone influences in the period as evidenced by ozonesonde observations and modeling studies (Kumar et al., 2010; Ojha et al., 2014, 2017), while southern India is usually characterized by strong air uplift through convection.

3.3. Variations in the summer monsoon season

The monthly mean lower tropospheric ozone concentration over India decreases from 54 ppbv in May to the seasonal minimum of 40.5 ppbv in August. We find that ozone decrease starts earlier in southern India than in northern India, as can be seen from Figure 3a that ozone concentrations in the south are higher in March–April than May–June, while ozone in the north reaches its annual maximum in May–June. These patterns can be explained by the temporal differences in arrivals of summer monsoon (Kumar et al., 2012).

295

The onset of South Asian summer monsoon in late May is usually diagnosed with the prevailing westerly winds from Arabian Seas to Bay of Bengal (Wang and Lin, 2002; Gadgil, 2003), leading to cloudy and rainy weather conditions over the Indian subcontinent. Rainfalls efficiently remove ozone precursors as shown from satellite observation of NO₂ column (Kumar et al., 2012). Weak solar radiation and low temperature are not favorable for ozone photochemical formations. In addition, water vapor from marine air serves as chemical loss of ozone at low NO_x conditions (Jacob et al., 2000). The onset of summer monsoon also brings strong air convergence and uplift as indicated by the large-scale air upward velocity in May–August (Figure 3e). Biomass burning emissions are negligible in the summer monsoon season, and anthropogenic emissions also reach its annual minimum. These changes together lead to declines in monthly ozone chemical production by 4.2 Tg (from 11.8 Tg in May to 7.6 Tg in August) as integrated over the Indian terrestrial land.

Comparable to chemical production, changes in vertical transport also show a large contribution on the decline of lower tropospheric ozone over India in the summer monsoon season. The monthly vertical transport flux at 600 hPa integrated over India is near zero in May, and reaches -3.3 Tg in August, offsetting the net ozone production in this month (2.9 Tg). Strong vertical convection with the 600 hPa uplift velocity greater than 5 mm s⁻¹ in July–August effectively uplifts ozone pollution from the lower troposphere to the upper troposphere that can then be carried by the easterly jet to other parts of the

world such as Mediterranean (Park et al., 2007; Lawrence and Lelieveld, 2010; Lal et al., 2013), affecting the global tropospheric ozone distribution. We find that horizontal transport from the ocean can lower ozone over northwestern India, especially in May and June when the summer monsoon onsets, consistent to previous observations (Srivastava et al., 2012b; Lal et al., 2014). Horizontal transport also enhances lower tropospheric ozone concentrations in eastern India and the Bay of Bengal (Figure 3d). The overall contribution of horizontal transport to the Indian lower tropospheric ozone budget is thus relatively small in July–August (0.91 Tg month⁻¹) relative to vertical export (Figure 2c). Figure 4 summarizes changes of the lower tropospheric ozone budgets over India in the pre-summer monsoon season (March–April) and the summer monsoon season (June–July–August). We can see that decreases in the Indian lower tropospheric ozone in the summer monsoon season are mainly associated with the reduction in ozone net chemical production and strengthening upward transport. Dry deposition of ozone to India shows a weak seasonal variation (1.5 \pm 0.15 Tg month⁻¹; Figure 2c and Figure S1-d in the Supplement).

330 **3.4.** Variations in the post-monsoon season and wintertime

Lower tropospheric ozone concentrations over India slightly increase since September and reach a second peak in October, associated with increases in precursor emissions and decreases in upward transport. With the southward movement of solar radiation and the summer monsoon retreatment, both surface temperature and lower tropospheric SHPU show decreasing patterns (Figure 1), leading to reductions in ozone chemical loss. In addition, retreatment of the summer monsoon reduces air uplift over the Indian subcontinent (Figure 3e), which allows 2.8 Tg more ozone maintaining in the Indian lower troposphere in October compared to September (Figure 2c).

In November to the following March, ozone production in the Indian lower troposphere reaches its annual minimum (4.7 Tg month⁻¹ in December) due to low temperature conditions. Horizontal wind

325

320

.0

patterns are similar to those in the pre-summer monsoon season with a large negative contribution (-5.2 6.1-Tg month⁻¹ in November–FebruaryMarch) to the Indian lower tropospheric ozone budget. The emergence of winter monsoon leads to large-scale air subsidence over northern India, with a total import flux of 1.52.9 Tg month⁻¹ in November–February-winter. The low ozone net production and strong horizontal export result in relatively low ozone levels in wintertime (43.6 ppbv in the lower troposphere). It should be noted that some observational studies reported the highest surface ozone concentrations at several urban or semi-urban sites in southern India (e.g., Ahmedabad, 23°N, 73E; Pune, 18°N, 74°E; Trivandrum, 8°N, 77°E) in wintertime instead of the pre-summer monsoon season likely due to high local precursor emissions (Beig et al., 2007; David and Nadir, 2011; Kumar et al., 2012; Lal et al., 2014; Sahu et al., 2014). Ozonesonde observations at the Ahmedabad and Hyderabad airports (Lal et al., 2014; Sahu et al., 2014) indicate that ozone concentrations in the free troposphere (near 5 km) are higher in the pre-summer monsoon season than in-winter, consistent with OMI observations in our study.

4. Interannual variability of lower tropospheric ozone over India 355

4.1. Correlation with surface temperature in pre-summer monsoon seasons

We now analyze interannual variability of lower tropospheric ozone in India with focus on presummer monsoon seasons when concentrations are highest and summer monsoon seasons when concentrations are subject to monsoon variability. As have been discussed in Sect. 3.2, tropospheric 360 ozone concentrations in the pre-summer monsoon season are largely controlled by ozone production enhancements due to ozone-favorable weather conditions such as high temperature, and are likely amplified by biomass burning emissions. We also find here strong interannual correlations between surface temperature and lower tropospheric ozone concentrations over India. Figure 54 shows that 9year (2006–2014) time-series of OMI observations have a positive interannual correlation (r = 0.55) with MERRA surface temperature averaged over India in pre-summer monsoon seasons. GEOS-Chem

350

345

model results (the BASE simulation) for the period of 1990–2010 capture this positive correlation (r = 0.58), and the correlation persists when both variables are detrended (r = 0.50).

370

Figure <u>65</u> shows the spatial distribution of correlation coefficients between the lower tropospheric ozone concentration (OMI vs. GEOS-Chem) and surface temperature in pre-summer monsoon <u>seasons</u>. Stronger correlations ($r \approx 0.8$) are found in northern India (e.g., the IGP regions) and southern India where NO_x emissions are high. The dependence of the ozone–temperature relationship on NO_x emission levels is consistent with previous studies reflecting higher ozone formation potential over high NO_x regions (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Doherty et al., 2013; Psuede et al., 2015). As pointed out

- 375 by Kumar et al. (2012) and Sharma et al. (2016), ozone production in most regions of India is NO_xlimited. Reduction of NO_x emissions over those regions during pre-summer monsoon seasons can not only lower ozone chemical production, but also weaken the sensitivity of ozone to potential future warming.
- We examine the overall sensitivity of the ozone-temperature correlation in India to emissions. As shown in Figure 54, the sensitivity simulation with fixed anthropogenic emissions (FEMIS) shows a slightly lower correlation (r = 0.54). It indicates that despite the dependence of ozone-temperature correlations on NO_x emission levels regionally as shown above, the mean correlation over India is still shows a positive effect of dominated by the temperature impact on ozone chemical production, which can be driven by solar radiation affecting both temperature and ozone production rates, as well as the

sensitivities of natural sources to temperature as discuss above.

We also calculate the interannual variability contributed by biomass burning emissions as ozone differences between the BASE simulation and the FBIOB simulation. Biomass burning emissions have a large interannual variability with CO emissions ranging from 0.97 Tg to 4.7 Tg over 1990–2010

(Supplemental Figure S3S5 in the Supplement). As can be seen from Figure 54, the ozone interannual variability contributed by biomass burning emissions is weakly correlated with the BASE lower tropospheric ozone (r = 0.29). However, they are important in high ozone and high temperature years. In years such as 1999 and 2010, biomass burning caused 1.5–2.2 ppbv higher ozone, enhancing the variability of lower tropospheric ozone. This feature has also been found in the western US (Jaffe et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2016) as high temperature conditions favor both biomass burning emissions and ozone production, and thus amplify lower tropospheric ozone concentrations.

395

4.2. Impact of monsoon strength in summer monsoon seasons

We have also shown above that lower tropospheric ozone concentrations over India vary associated with the onset and retreat of the South Asian summer monsoon. The interannual variability of lower tropospheric ozone over India in the summer monsoon seasons (May–August) can then be affected by the strength of the South Asian summer monsoon. To quantify this relationship, we calculate the monsoon strength using a monsoon index that is proposed by Li and Zeng (2002) and has been applied to quantify impacts of the East Asian monsoon on air pollution over China (Zhu et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). The monsoon index is first calculated for each model grid (δ(*i*, *j*)) δ) for grid (*i*, *j*) in the Northern Hemisphere in the month *m* and year *y* is given-as:

$$\delta_{y,m}(i,j) = \frac{\|\overline{v}_{1}(\underline{i,j}) - \overline{v}_{y,m}(i,j)\|}{\|(\overline{v}_{1}(\underline{i,j}) + \overline{v}_{7}(\underline{i,j}))/2\|} - 2$$
(1)

where \overline{V} represents monthly mean wind speed from the MERRA dataset, and \overline{V}_1 and \overline{V}_7 are 410 climatological (1990-2010 in our study) monthly wind speed in January and July, respectively. The norm of a given variable A is defined as:

$$||A|| = \left(\iint |A|^2 dS\right)^{1/2}$$
(2)

where S represents the spatial area of a model grid cell domain for integration. Details for the calculation of ||A|| are given in Li and Zeng (2002) and Zhu et al. (2012). In this study, we then

415 <u>average $\delta(i, j)$ use over</u> the region of 35°E–90°E, 5°N–35°N (Figure S2 in the Supplement) at 850 hPa and over May–August for to represent the South Asian summer monsoon index (SASMI).

Figure 76 shows the time series of SASMI anomalies relative to the 1990–2014 climatology, and their correlations with OMI observed and model simulated lower tropospheric ozone concentration 420 anomalies over India for the summer monsoon seasons. Positive and negative SASMI values represent strong and weak summer monsoons, respectively. We find no significant trend in the South Asian summer monsoon strength over 1990–2014. Interannual variations of Indian regional mean Significant negative correlations between Indian lower tropospheric ozone concentrations are significantly negative correlated with the and SASMI, values as can be seen for both OMI observations (r = -0.46, 2006–2014, n = 9) and GEOS-Chem BASE results (r = -0.52, 1990–2010, n = 21). Removing 425 interannual changes in anthropogenic emissions (FEMIS) results in a stronger correlation of -0.72, reflecting the dominant role of monsoon strength on the interannual variability of Indian lower tropospheric ozone in summer monsoon seasons. We find that the correlations between SASMI and simulated ozone are even stronger at the surface level (r = -0.72 for BASE and r = -0.83 for FEMIS; figure not shown). 430

Yang et al. (2014) previously found positive correlations between the East Asian summer monsoon strengths and surface ozone concentrations over mainland China. They attributed higher surface ozone in stronger summer monsoon years to a smaller outflow of ozone to the East China Sea. Our results show an opposite response of lower tropospheric ozone to summer monsoon strengths over India. To understand the negative correlations, we illustrate in Figure <u>87</u> the differences in meteorological variables, lower tropospheric ozone concentrations, and relevant processes between <u>the weakest</u> and strong<u>est</u> monsoon <u>conditions-years</u> (represented by averages over 5 years with the lowest and the highest SASMI over 1990–2010, respectively). We focus on model results from the FEMIS simulation

- to exclude the influence from interannual changes in anthropogenic emissions. We find that lower tropospheric ozone concentrations averaged over India are 3.4 ppbv higher in weak summer monsoon years than those in strong monsoon years. Weak summer monsoon conditions show higher surface temperature (1.1 °C), drier air (-0.5 g kg⁻¹), and lower cloud cover over India, together accounting for a higher ozone net production of 0.4 Tg month⁻¹ than the strong summer monsoon conditions (Figure 87). In addition, weaker convergence and convection in weak summer monsoon years can cause the total upward ozone flux 0.2 Tg month⁻¹ smaller, but this is closely offset by stronger horizontal outflows. Together, we find that differences in ozone net production are the key factor explaining differences in the lower tropospheric ozone burden over India between the strong and weak summer monsoon years.
- 450

5. Long-term trend and contributing drivers

As for the long-term trend in the Indian lower tropospheric ozone, the 9-year OMI observations appear to be too short to provide a long-term trend estimate. As can be seen from Figure 54 and Figure 76, OMI observed mean Indian lower tropospheric ozone concentrations over 2006–2014 show large positive trends of 0.42 ± 0.38 ppbv year⁻¹ (mean $\pm 95\%$ confidence level, p-value = 0.03) for the presummer monsoon seasons and 0.58 ± 0.71 ppbv year⁻¹ (p-value = 0.09) for the summer monsoon seasons. However, these 9-year trends are mainly driven by the low values in years 2006–2008. It should be acknowledged that the OMI dataset are likely influenced by the OMI row anomaly that potentially results in overestimates in ozone trends over the tropics (Huang et al., 2017a).

460

Here we analyze the long-term trends over 1990–2010 simulated by the GEOS-Chem model. Figure 28 shows the spatial distribution of simulated lower tropospheric ozone trends for the annual average, as well as for averages in pre-summer monsoon seasons and summer monsoon seasons. Annually, the Indian lower tropospheric ozone is increasing at a statistically significant rate of 0.19 ± 0.07 (p-value <

465 0.01) ppbv year⁻¹. Larger ozone trends (0.27 \pm 0.12 ppbv year⁻¹, p-value < 0.01) are shown in presummer monsoon seasons than those in summer monsoon seasons (0.16 \pm 0.14 ppbv year⁻¹).

The sensitivity simulations allow us to quantify potential ozone trend drivers, including changes in anthropogenic emissions, biomass burning emissions (Supplemental Figure S3S5 in the Supplement), and global methane concentrations. Figure 98 also shows contributions from each factor calculated as 470 differences in trends between the BASE simulation and the sensitivity simulations. Changes in anthropogenic emissions largely explain the increasing trends in the lower tropospheric ozone over India, which account for 0.18, 0.21, and 0.19 ppbv year⁻¹ for the annual, pre-summer monsoon seasonal, and summer monsoon seasonal means, respectively. Increasing anthropogenic NO emissions (about 3% year⁻¹, Figure S5 in the Supplement) likely dominates the ozone increases due to the NO_{x} -475 limited ozone production condition over this region as we discussed above. Global methane concentration increases also contribute small increases of 0.02 ppby year⁻¹ in the lower tropospheric ozone, and the contributions are larger in the middle and upper troposphere (figure not shown). Biomass burning emissions in East and South Asia show a decreasing trend over 1990–2010 (Figure 480 \$3\$5 in the Supplement) that results in small negative trend contributions in the lower tropospheric ozone. As anthropogenic emissions in India are projected to rise in the future (Ghude et al., 2016), we may expect further increases in the Indian tropospheric ozone. Continuous ozone monitoring measurements are required to better quantify long-term changes in tropospheric ozone over India.

485 **6.** Conclusions

In summary, we have investigated the processes controlling seasonal and interannual variations of lower tropospheric ozone concentrations over India and their linkages to the South Asian summer monsoon. We use OMI satellite observations of lower tropospheric ozone over 2006–2014 and GEOS-Chem global model simulations over 1990–2010 driven by assimilated meteorological fields and best-

Both OMI satellite observations and GEOS-Chem simulations show that ozone in the Indian lower troposphere (surface to 600 hPa) peaks in the pre-summer monsoon season (March–April, 54.1 ppbv), and decreases dramatically to the annual minimum (40.5 ppbv) during the summer monsoon season (May–August). It then re-rises in the post-summer monsoon season (September–October), and flattens in winter. GEOS-Chem process analyses on the Indian lower tropospheric ozone budget indicate that the pre-summer monsoon seasonal ozone maximum is mainly driven by enhanced ozone chemical production due to favorable meteorological conditions (strong solar radiation with low cloud cover, high temperature, and relatively dry air), as well as active biomass burning emissions in spring. We find that overall horizontal transport is important for ventilating lower tropospheric ozone budget over India in the pre-summer monsoon season.

The onset and evolution of the summer monsoon in May–August brings low temperature, weak solar
radiation conditions and moist air from the Arabian Seas, leading to significant reduction of ozone production (-4.2 Tg month⁻¹ from May to August) over India. We also highlight the contribution of upward transport on the Indian lower tropospheric ozone budget in Junely–August (-2.93.3 Tg month⁻¹), which is comparable to the change in ozone production, and potentially transport Indian ozone to other parts of the world. In the post-summer monsoon season (September–November), lower
tropospheric ozone over India re-rises due to weakening ozone upward transport associated with the summer monsoon retreat. In winter, low temperature conditions limit the ozone production, and strong horizontal outflows largely lower the ozone budget over India.

We show that interannual variability of lower tropospheric ozone over India in pre-summer monsoon

and summer monsoon seasons are strongly linked to climate variability. Both OMI observed and model simulated lower tropospheric ozone in pre-summer monsoon seasons are significantly correlated with surface temperature (r = 0.55-0.58). Higher ozone-temperature correlations (r > 0.7) are found over high NO_x emission regions. Lower tropospheric ozone in summer monsoon seasons are is strongly influenced by the South Asian monsoon strengths. Comparing the 5 weakest South Asian summer monsoon years with the 5 strongest monsoon years, we find that lower tropospheric ozone levels_over India are 3.4 ppbv higher in the weakest monsoon years, mainly because of higher temperature, drier air, and lower cloud cover that enhance ozone production as well as less ozone vertical export. These interannual variations indicate that lower tropospheric ozone concentrations in India are potentially affected by decadal climate variability such as the El Niño - Southern Oscillation (Kumar et al., 1999) and AMO (Lu et al., 2006).

We have also analyzed the long-term trends in lower tropospheric ozone over India and their drivers as suggested by the GEOS-Chem model. Model results over 1990–2010 show an annual mean increasing trend of 0.19 ± 0.07 ppbv year⁻¹ over India, which is mainly driven by rising anthropogenic emissions
with small contributions (0.02 ppbv year⁻¹) from global methane concentration increases. Our study emphasizes the importance to understand tropospheric ozone changes and drivers at multiple time scales in India. Ozone pollution in India may become more severe with rises-inincreasing anthropogenic emissions and population, and potentially exert large impacts on the global tropospheric ozone distribution due to frequent deep convection over South Asia. Analyses of long-term ozone measurements in India are in need to better understand their variations and associated environmental effects.

Data availability

The datasets including measurements and model simulations used in this study can be accessed by

540 contacting the corresponding author (Lin Zhang; zhanglg@pku.edu.cn).

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41475112) and China's National Basic Research Program (2014CB441303). Xiao Lu is also supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council. The authors thank Prof. Daniel Jacob at Harvard University for the useful comment. The authors acknowledge the Harvard GEOS-Chem Support Team for the model

maintenance and development.

53 Figures are included in the supplement related to this article.

550

555

545

References

- Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S., Galarneau, E., Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J. A., Louis, V. L. S., Talbot, R. W., Edgerton, E. S., Zhang, Y., and Sunderland, E. M.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmospheric Hg(II) and its effect on global mercury deposition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 591-603, 10.5194/acp-12-591-2012, 2012.
- Beig, G., and Brasseur, G. P.: Influence of anthropogenic emissions on tropospheric ozone and its precursors over the Indian tropical region during a monsoon, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L07808, 10.1029/2005gl024949, 2006.
- Beig, G., Gunthe, S., and Jadhav, D. B.: Simultaneous measurements of ozone and its precursors on a diurnal
 scale at a semi urban site in India, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 57, 239-253, 10.1007/s10874-0079068-8, 2007.
 - Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 23073-23095, 10.1029/2001jd000807, 2001.
- 565 Bhattacharjee, P. S., Singh, R. P., and Nédélec, P.: Vertical profiles of carbon monoxide and ozone from MOZAIC aircraft over Delhi, India during 2003–2005, Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 127, 229-240, 10.1007/s00703-014-0349-x, 2014.
 - Bian, H., and Prather, M. J.: Fast-J2: Accurate Simulation of Stratospheric Photolysis in Global Chemical Models, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 41, 281-296, 10.1023/a:1014980619462, 2002.
- 570 Cooper, O. R., Gao, R.-S., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., and Sweeney, C.: Long-term ozone trends at rural ozone

monitoring sites across the United States, 1990-2010, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D22307, 10.1029/2012jd018261, 2012.

- Cooper, O. R., Parrish, D. D., Ziemke, J., Balashov, N. V., Cupeiro, M., Galbally, I. E., Gilge, S., Horowitz, L., Jensen, N. R., Lamarque, J. F., Naik, V., Oltmans, S. J., Schwab, J., Shindell, D. T., Thompson, A. M.,
- 575 Thouret, V., Wang, Y., and Zbinden, R. M.: Global distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone: An observation-based review, Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 2, 000029, 10.12952/journal.elementa.000029, 2014.

580

600

605

- David, L. M., and Nair, P. R.: Diurnal and seasonal variability of surface ozone and NOx at a tropical coastal site: Association with mesoscale and synoptic meteorological conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 116, 10.1029/2010jd015076, 2011.
- Ding, A. J., Wang, T., Thouret, V., Cammas, J. P., and Nedelec, P.: Tropospheric ozone climatology over Beijing: analysis of aircraft data from the MOZAIC program, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1-13, 10.5194/acp-8-1-2008, 2008.
- Ding, A. J., Fu, C. B., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Zheng, L. F., Xie, Y. N., Herrmann, E., Nie, W., Petäjä, T.,
 Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Ozone and fine particle in the western Yangtze River Delta: an overview of 1 yr data at the SORPES station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5813-5830, 10.5194/acp-13-5813-2013, 2013.
 - Ding, Y, and Chan, J. C. L.: The East Asian summer monsoon: an overview, Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 89, 117-142, 10.1007/s00703-005-0125-z, 2005.
- 590 Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., Shindell, D. T., Zeng, G., MacKenzie, I. A., Collins, W. J., Fiore, A. M., Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Hess, P., Derwent, R. G., and Keating, T. J.: Impacts of climate change on surface ozone and intercontinental ozone pollution: A multi-model study, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 3744-3763, 10.1002/jgrd.50266, 2013.
- Dufour, G., Eremenko, M., Orphal, J., and Flaud, J. M.: IASI observations of seasonal and day-to-day variations
 of tropospheric ozone over three highly populated areas of China: Beijing, Shanghai, and Hong Kong, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3787-3801, 10.5194/acp-10-3787-2010, 2010.
 - Fiore, A. M., Oberman, J. T., Lin, M. Y., Zhang, L., Clifton, O. E., Jacob, D. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Pinto, J. P., and Milly, G. P.: Estimating North American background ozone in U.S. surface air with two independent global models: Variability, uncertainties, and recommendations, Atmos. Environ., 96, 284-300, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.045, 2014.
 - Gadgil, S.: The Indian monsoon and its variability, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 31, 429-467, 10.1146/annurev.earth.31.100901.141251, 2003.
 - Geddes, J. A., Martin, R. V., Boys, B. L., and van Donkelaar, A.: Long-Term Trends Worldwide in Ambient NO2 Concentrations Inferred from Satellite Observations, Environ. Health Perspect., 124, 281-289, 10.1289/ehp.1409567, 2016.
 - Ghude, S. D., Chate, D. M., Jena, C., Beig, G., Kumar, R., Barth, M. C., Pfister, G. G., Fadnavis, S., and Pithani, P.: Premature mortality in India due to PM2.5 and ozone exposure, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 4650-

4658, 10.1002/2016gl068949, 2016.

- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial
 isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 6, 3181-3210, 10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
 - Hou, X., Zhu, B., Fei, D., and Wang, D.: The impacts of summer monsoons on the ozone budget of the atmospheric boundary layer of the Asia-Pacific region, Sci. Total Environ., 502, 641-649, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.075, 2015.
- Hu, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Kim, P. S., Sulprizio, M. P., and Yantosca, R. M.: Global budget of tropospheric ozone: Evaluating recent model advances with satellite (OMI), aircraft (IAGOS), and ozonesonde observations, Atmos. Environ., <u>167</u>, 323-334, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.036, 2017.
- Huang, G., Liu, X., Chance, K., Yang, K., Bhartia, P. K., Cai, Z., Allaart, M., Ancellet, G., Calpini, B., Coetzee, G. J. R., Cuevas-Agulló, E., Cupeiro, M., De Backer, H., Dubey, M. K., Fuelberg, H. E., Fujiwara, M., Godin-Beekmann, S., Hall, T. J., Johnson, B., Joseph, E., Kivi, R., Kois, B., Komala, N., König-Langlo, G., Laneve, G., Leblanc, T., Marchand, M., Minschwaner, K. R., Morris, G., Newchurch, M. J., Ogino, S.-Y., Ohkawara, N., Piters, A. J. M., Posny, F., Querel, R., Scheele, R., Schmidlin, F. J., Schnell, R. C., Schrems, O., Selkirk, H., Shiotani, M., Skrivánková, P., Stübi, R., Taha, G., Tarasick, D. W., Thompson, A. M., Thouret, V., Tully, M. B., Van Malderen, R., Vömel, H., von der Gathen, P., Witte, J. C., and Yela, M.: Validation of 10-year SAO OMI Ozone Profile (PROFOZ) product using ozonesonde observations, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10, 2455-2475, 10.5194/amt-10-2455-2017, 2017a.
 - Huang, G., Liu, X., Chance, K., Yang, K., and Cai, Z.: Validation of 10-year SAO OMI Ozone Profile (PROFOZ) Product Using Aura MLS Measurements, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 1-25, 10.5194/amt-2017-92, 2017b.
- 630 Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: implementation and space basedconstraints, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7779-7795, 10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012, 2012.
 - Jacob, D.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2131-2159, 10.1016/s1352-2310(99)00462-8, 2000.
- 635 Jacob, D. J., and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, Atmos. Environ., 43, 51-63, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051, 2009.
 - Jaffe, D., Chand, D., Hafner, W., Westerling, A., and Spracklen, D.: Influence of fires on O₃ concentrations in the Western U.S, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 5885-5891, 10.1021/es800084k, 2008.

Keller, C. A., Long, M. S., Yantosca, R. M., Da Silva, A. M., Pawson, S., and Jacob, D. J.: HEMCO v1.0: a

- 640 versatile, ESMF-compliant component for calculating emissions in atmospheric models, Geoscientific Model Development, 7, 1409-1417, 10.5194/gmd-7-1409-2014, 2014.
 - Kim, P. S., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Warner, J. X., Yang, K., Chance, K., Thouret, V., and Nedelec, P.: Global ozone–CO correlations from OMI and AIRS: constraints on tropospheric ozone sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9321-9335, 10.5194/acp-13-9321-2013, 2013.

- 645 Krotkov, N. A., McLinden, C. A., Li, C., Lamsal, L. N., Celarier, E. A., Marchenko, S. V., Swartz, W. H., Bucsela, E. J., Joiner, J., Duncan, B. N., Boersma, K. F., Veefkind, J. P., Levelt, P. F., Fioletov, V. E., Dickerson, R. R., He, H., Lu, Z., and Streets, D. G.: Aura OMI observations of regional SO₂ and NO₂ pollution changes from 2005 to 2015, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4605-4629, 10.5194/acp-16-4605-2016, 2016.
- 650 Kuhns, H., Knipping, E. M., and Vukovich, J. M.: Development of a United States–Mexico Emissions Inventory for the Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility Observational (BRAVO) Study, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 55, 677-692, 10.1080/10473289.2005.10464648, 2005.
 - Kumar, K. K., Rajagopalan, B., and Cane, K. A.: On the weakening relationship between the Indian Monsoon and ENSO, Science, 284, 2156-2159, 10.1126/science.284.5423.2156, 1999.
- 655 Kumar, R., Naja, M., Venkataramani, S., and Wild, O.: Variations in surface ozone at Nainital: A high-altitude site in the central Himalayas, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D16302, 10.1029/2009jd013715, 2010.

Kumar, R., Naja, M., Pfister, G. G., Barth, M. C., Wiedinmyer, C., and Brasseur, G. P.: Simulations over South Asia using the Weather Research and Forecasting model with Chemistry (WRF-Chem): chemistry evaluation and initial results, Geoscientific Model Development, 5, 619-648, 10.5194/gmd-5-619-2012, 2012.

- Lal, S., Naja, M., and Subbaraya, B. H.: Seasonal variations in surface ozone and its precursors over an urban site in India, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2713-2724, doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00510-5, 2000.
- Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Srivastava, S., Gupta, S., Mallik, C., Naja, M., Sarangi, T., Acharya, Y. B., and Liu, X.: Transport effects on the vertical distribution of tropospheric ozone over the tropical marine regions surrounding India, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 1513-1524, 10.1002/jgrd.50180, 2013.
- Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Chandra, N., Cooper, O. R., Brioude, J., and Naja, M.: Transport effects on the vertical distribution of tropospheric ozone over western India, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 10012-10026, 10.1002/2014jd021854, 2014.
- Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C., Mieville, A.,
- Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J., Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7017-7039, 10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- Lawrence, M. G., and Lelieveld, J.: Atmospheric pollutant outflow from southern Asia: a review, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 10, 11017-11096, 10.5194/acp-10-11017-2010, 2010.
 - Lelieveld, J., Crutzen, P. J., Ramanathan, V., Andreae, M. O., Brenninkmeijer, C. M., Campos, T., Cass, G. R., Dickerson, R. R., Fischer, H., de Gouw, J. A., Hansel, A., Jefferson, A., Kley, D., de Laat, A. T., Lal, S., Lawrence, M. G., Lobert, J. M., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Mitra, A. P., Novakov, T., Oltmans, S. J., Prather, K. A., Reiner, T., Rodhe, H., Scheeren, H. A., Sikka, D., and Williams, J.: The Indian Ocean experiment: air pollution from South Southeast Asia, 291. widespread and Science. 1031-1036, 10.1126/science.1057103, 2001.

680

660

- Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Malkki, A., Huib, V., Johan de, V., Stammes, P., Lundell, J. O. V., and Saari, H.: The ozone monitoring instrument, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 44, 1093-1101, 10.1109/tgrs.2006.872333, 2006.
- 685 Li, J., and Zeng, Q.: A unified monsoon index, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 115-111-115-114, 10.1029/2001gl013874, 2002.

- Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J.-I., Woo, J.-H., He, K., Lu, Z., Ohara, T., Song, Y., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y., Hong, C., Huo, H., Jiang, X., Kang, S., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng, B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 935-963, 10.5194/acp-17-935-2017, 2017.
- Lin, J.-T., and McElroy, M. B.: Impacts of boundary layer mixing on pollutant vertical profiles in the lower troposphere: Implications to satellite remote sensing, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1726-1739, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.009, 2010.
- Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Payton, R., Fiore, A. M., and Tonnesen, G.: US surface ozone trends and extremes
 from 1980 to 2014: quantifying the roles of rising Asian emissions, domestic controls, wildfires, and climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2943-2970, 10.5194/acp-17-2943-2017, 2017.
 - Liu, F., Beirle, S., Zhang, Q., van der A, R. J., Zheng, B., Tong, D., and He, K.: NOx emission trends over Chinese cities estimated from OMI observations during 2005 to 2015, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9261-9275, 10.5194/acp-17-9261-2017, 2017.
- 700 Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from 210Pb and 7Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 12109-12128, 10.1029/2000jd900839, 2001.
 - Liu, X., Bhartia, P. K., Chance, K., Spurr, R. J. D., and Kurosu, T. P.: Ozone profile retrievals from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2521-2537, 10.5194/acp-10-2521-2010, 2010.
- 705 Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Cai, Z., and Chance, K.: Tibetan middle tropospheric ozone minimum in June discovered from GOME observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L05814, 10.1029/2008gl037056, 2009.
 - Lou, S., Liao, H., Yang, Y., and Mu, Q.: Simulation of the interannual variations of tropospheric ozone over China: Roles of variations in meteorological parameters and anthropogenic emissions, Atmos. Environ., 122, 839-851, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.081, 2015.
- 710 Lu, X., Zhang, L., Yue, X., Zhang, J., Jaffe, D. A., Stohl, A., Zhao, Y., and Shao, J.: Wildfire influences on the variability and trend of summer surface ozone in the mountainous western United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14687-14702, 10.5194/acp-16-14687-2016, 2016.
 - Mao, J., Jacob, D. J., Evans, M. J., Olson, J. R., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Clair, J. M. S., Crounse, J. D., Spencer, K. M., Beaver, M. R., Wennberg, P. O., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J.
- G., Hall, S. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Cohen, R. C., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., McNaughton, C., Clarke, A. D., Jaeglé, L., Fisher, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., Le Sager, P., and Carouge, C.: Chemistry of hydrogen oxide radicals (HO_x) in the Arctic troposphere in spring, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5823-5838, 10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010, 2010.

- Mao, J., Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Cohen, R. C., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Keller, C. A., Hudman, R. C., Barkley, M. P., and Horowitz, L. W.: Ozone and organic nitrates over the eastern United States: Sensitivity to isoprene chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 11,256-211,268, 10.1002/jgrd.50817, 2013.
 - Mari, C., Jacob, D. J., and Bechtold, P.: Transport and scavenging of soluble gases in a deep convective cloud, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 22255-22267, 10.1029/2000jd900211, 2000.
- McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S. C., Hannegan, B., Wild, O., Prather, M. J., and Sundet, J.: Stratospheric ozone in 3 D models: A simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 14653-14665, 10.1029/2000jd900124, 2000.
 - McPeters, R. D., Labow, G. J., and Logan, J. A.: Ozone climatological profiles for satellite retrieval algorithms, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D05308, 10.1029/2005jd006823, 2007.
 - Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law,
- K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889-8973, 10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.
- Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D20307, 10.1029/2012jd017934, 2012.
 - Murray, L. T., Logan, J. A., and Jacob, D. J.: Interannual variability in tropical tropospheric ozone and OH: The role of lightning, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 11,468-411,480, 10.1002/jgrd.50857, 2013.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee,
 D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change, The Physical Science Base, Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, Cambridge, UK, 2013.
 - Naja, M., and Lal, S.: Surface ozone and precursor gases at Gadanki (13.5°N, 79.2°E), a tropical rural site in India, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4197, 10.1029/2001jd000357, 2002.
 - Naja, M., Lal, S., and Chand, D.: Diurnal and seasonal variabilities in surface ozone at a high altitude site Mt Abu (24.6°N, 72.7°E, 1680m asl) in India, Atmos. Environ., 37, 4205-4215, 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00565-x, 2003.
 - Ojha, N., Naja, M., Singh, K. P., Sarangi, T., Kumar, R., Lal, S., Lawrence, M. G., Butler, T. M., and Chandola,
- H. C.: Variabilities in ozone at a semi-urban site in the Indo-Gangetic Plain region: Association with the meteorology and regional processes, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D20301, 10.1029/2012jd017716, 2012.
 - Ojha, N., Naja, M., Sarangi, T., Kumar, R., Bhardwaj, P., Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Sagar, R., Kumar, A., and Chandola, H. C.: On the processes influencing the vertical distribution of ozone over the central Himalayas: Analysis of yearlong ozonesonde observations, Atmos. Environ., 88, 201-211, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.01.031, 2014.

745

- Ojha, N., Pozzer, A., Rauthe-Schöch, A., Baker, A. K., Yoon, J., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., and Lelieveld, J.: Ozone and carbon monoxide over India during the summer monsoon: regional emissions and transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3013-3032, 10.5194/acp-16-3013-2016, 2016.
- Ojha, N., Pozzer, A., Akritidis, D., and Lelieveld, J.: Secondary ozone peaks in the troposphere over the Himalayas, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 6743-6757, 10.5194/acp-17-6743-2017, 2017.

770

- Oltmans, S. J., Lefohn, A. S., Shadwick, D., Harris, J. M., Scheel, H. E., Galbally, I., Tarasick, D. W., Johnson, B. J., Brunke, E. G., Claude, H., Zeng, G., Nichol, S., Schmidlin, F., Davies, J., Cuevas, E., Redondas, A., Naoe, H., Nakano, T., and Kawasato, T.: Recent tropospheric ozone changes A pattern dominated by slow or no growth, Atmos. Environ., 67, 331-351, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.10.057, 2013.
- 765 Park, M., Randel, W. J., Gettelman, A., Massie, S. T., and Jiang, J. H.: Transport above the Asian summer monsoon anticyclone inferred from Aura Microwave Limb Sounder tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D16309, 10.1029/2006jd008294, 2007.
 - Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 109, D15204, 10.1029/2003jd004473, 2004.
 - Parrish, D. D., Law, K. S., Staehelin, J., Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto, H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H. E., Steinbacher, M., and Chan, E.: Long-term changes in lower tropospheric baseline ozone concentrations at northern mid-latitudes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11485-11504, 10.5194/acp-12-11485-2012, 2012.
- 775 Parrish, D. D., Law, K. S., Staehelin, J., Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto, H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H. E., Steinbacher, M., and Chan, E.: Lower tropospheric ozone at northern midlatitudes: Changing seasonal cycle, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1631-1636, 10.1002/grl.50303, 2013.
 - Price, C., and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 9919-9933, 10.1029/92jd00719, 1992.
- 780 Pusede, S. E., Steiner, A. L., and Cohen, R. C.: Temperature and recent trends in the chemistry of continental surface ozone, Chem. Rev., 115, 3898-3918, 10.1021/cr5006815, 2015.
 - Ramanathan, V. Sundar, S., Harnish, R., Sharma, S., Seddon, J., Croes, B., Lloyd, A., Tripathi, S. N., Aggarwal,
 A., Al Delaimy, W., Bahadur, R., Bandivadekar, A., Beig, G., Burney, J., Davis, S., Dutta, A., Gandhi, K.
 K., Guttikunda, S., Iyer, N., Joshi, T. K., Kirchstetter, T., Kubsh, J., Ramanathan, N., Rehman, I. H.,
- 785 Victor, D. G., Vijayan, A., Waugh, M., Yeh, S.: India California Air Pollution Mitigation Program: Options to reduce road transport pollution in India. Published by The Energy and Resources Institute in collaboration with the University of California at San Diego and the California Air Resources Board. 2014
 - Reddy, R. R., Gopal, K. R., Reddy, L. S. S., Narasimhulu, K., Kumar, K. R., Ahammed, Y. N., and Reddy, C. V. K.: Measurements of surface ozone at semi-arid site Anantapur (14.62°N, 77.65°E, 331 m asl) in India, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 59, 47-59, 10.1007/s10874-008-9094-1, 2008.
 - Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., Bosilovich, M. G., Schubert, S. D., Takacs, L., Kim, G.-K., Bloom, S., Chen, J., Collins, D., Conaty, A., da Silva, A., Gu, W., Joiner, J.,

Koster, R. D., Lucchesi, R., Molod, A., Owens, T., Pawson, S., Pegion, P., Redder, C. R., Reichle, R., Robertson, F. R., Ruddick, A. G., Sienkiewicz, M., and Woollen, J.: MERRA: NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, J. Clim., 24, 3624-3648, 10.1175/jcli-d-11-00015.1, 2011.

- Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- Roy, S., Beig, G., and Jacob, D.: Seasonal distribution of ozone and its precursors over the tropical Indian
 region using regional chemistry-transport model, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D21307, 10.1029/2007jd009712, 2008.

795

805

815

820

- Safieddine, S., Boynard, A., Hao, N., Huang, F., Wang, L., Ji, D., Barret, B., Ghude, S. D., Coheur, P.-F., Hurtmans, D., and Clerbaux, C.: Tropospheric ozone variability during the East Asian summer monsoon as observed by satellite (IASI), aircraft (MOZAIC) and ground stations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10489-10500, 10.5194/acp-16-10489-2016, 2016.
- Sahu, L. K., Lal, S., and Venkataramani, S.: Distributions of O3, CO and hydrocarbons over the Bay of Bengal: A study to assess the role of transport from southern India and marine regions during September–October 2002, Atmos. Environ., 40, 4633-4645, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.02.037, 2006.
- Sahu, L. K., Sheel, V., Kajino, M., Deushi, M., Gunthe, S. S., Sinha, P. R., Sauvage, B., Thouret, V., and Smit,
 H. G.: Seasonal and interannual variability of tropospheric ozone over an urban site in India: A study based on MOZAIC and CCM vertical profiles over Hyderabad, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 3615-3641, 10.1002/2013jd021215, 2014.
 - Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Liu, X., Chance, K., Jaeglé, L., Palmer, P. I., Wu, S., and Fu, T. M.: Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 815-838, 10.5194/acp-7-815-2007, 2007.
 - Schoeberl, M. R., Douglass, A. R., Hilsenrath, E., Bhartia, P. K., Beer, R., Waters, J. W., Gunson, M. R., Froidevaux, L., Gille, J. C., Barnett, J. J., Levelt, P. F., and DeCola, P.: Overview of the EOS aura mission, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 44, 1066-1074, 10.1109/tgrs.2005.861950, 2006.
 - Sharma, S., Chatani, S., Mahtta, R., Goel, A., and Kumar, A.: Sensitivity analysis of ground level ozone in India using WRF-CMAQ models, Atmos. Environ., 131, 29-40, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.01.036, 2016.
 - Sillman, S., He, D., Cardelino, C., and Imhoff, R. E.: The Use of Photochemical Indicators to Evaluate Ozone-<u>NOx-Hydrocarbon Sensitivity: Case Studies from Atlanta, New York, and Los Angeles, J Air Waste</u> <u>Manag Assoc, 47, 1030-1040, 10.1080/10962247.1997.11877500, 1997.</u>
 - Sinha, B., Singh Sangwan, K., Maurya, Y., Kumar, V., Sarkar, C., Chandra, B. P., and Sinha, V.: Assessment of crop yield losses in Punjab and Haryana using 2 years of continuous in situ ozone measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9555-9576, 10.5194/acp-15-9555-2015, 2015.
 - Sinha, V., Kumar, V., and Sarkar, C.: Chemical composition of pre-monsoon air in the Indo-Gangetic Plain measured using a new air quality facility and PTR-MS: high surface ozone and strong influence of biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5921-5941, 10.5194/acp-14-5921-2014, 2014.

830 Srivastava, S., Lal, S., Naja, M., Venkataramani, S., and Gupta, S.: Influence of regional pollution and long range transport over western India: Analysis of ozonesonde data, Atmos. Environ., 47, 174-182, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.018, 2012a.

835

- Srivastava, S., Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Gupta, S., and Sheel, V.: Surface distributions of O3, CO and hydrocarbons over the Bay of Bengal and the Arabian Sea during pre-monsoon season, Atmos. Environ., 47, 459-467, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.023, 2012b.
- Srivastava, S., Naja, M., and Thouret, V.: Influences of regional pollution and long range transport over Hyderabad using ozone data from MOZAIC, Atmos. Environ., 117, 135-146, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.037, 2015.
- Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T. P. C., Wild, O., Zeng, G., Amann,
 M., Atherton, C. S., Bell, N., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G.,
 Doherty, R. M., Drevet, J., Eskes, H. J., Fiore, A. M., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Horowitz, L. W.,
 Isaksen, I. S. A., Krol, M. C., Lamarque, J. F., Lawrence, M. G., Montanaro, V., Müller, J. F., Pitari, G.,
 Prather, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M. G., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D. T.,
 Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., and Szopa, S.: Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near-future
 tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D08301, 10.1029/2005jd006338, 2006.
- Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S. B., Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R. M., Zeng, G., van Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, A., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald, A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3063-3085, 10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013, 2013.
- Stohl, A., Bonasoni, P., Cristofanelli, P., Collins, W., Feichter, J., Frank, A., Forster, C., Gerasopoulos, E.,
 Gaggeler, H., James, P., Kentarchos, T., Kromp-Kolb, H., Kruger, B., Land, C., Meloen, J., Papayannis,
 A., Priller, A., Seibert, P., Sprenger, M., Roelofs, G. J., Scheel, H. E., Schnabel, C., Siegmund, P., Tobler,
 L., Trickl, T., Wernli, H., Wirth, V., Zanis, P., and Zerefos, C.: Stratosphere-troposphere exchange: A
 review, and what we have learned from STACCATO, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108, 10.1029/2002jd002490, 2003.
- 860 Strode, S. A., Rodriguez, J. M., Logan, J. A., Cooper, O. R., Witte, J. C., Lamsal, L. N., Damon, M., Van Aartsen, B., Steenrod, S. D., and Strahan, S. E.: Trends and variability in surface ozone over the United States, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 120, 9020-9042, 10.1002/2014jd022784, 2015.
- Sun, L., Xue, L., Wang, T., Gao, J., Ding, A., Cooper, O. R., Lin, M., Xu, P., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Wen, L., Zhu, Y., Chen, T., Yang, L., Wang, Y., Chen, J., and Wang, W.: Significant increase of summertime ozone at Mount Tai in Central Eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10637-10650, 10.5194/acp-16-10637-2016, 2016.

- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707-11735, 10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010.
- Venkataraman, C., Habib, G., Kadamba, D., Shrivastava, M., Leon, J. F., Crouzille, B., Boucher, O., and Streets, D. G.: Emissions from open biomass burning in India: Integrating the inventory approach with high-resolution Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) active-fire and land cover data, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 20, GB2013, 10.1029/2005gb002547, 2006.
- Wang, B., and Ho, L.: Rainy Season of the Asian–Pacific Summer Monsoon, J. Clim., 15, 386–398, 2002.
 Wang, T., Wei, X. L., Ding, A. J., Poon, C. N., Lam, K. S., Li, Y. S., Chan, L. Y., and Anson, M.: Increasing surface ozone concentrations in the background atmosphere of Southern China, 1994–2007, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6217-6227, 10.5194/acp-9-6217-2009, 2009.
- Wang, T., Xue, L., Brimblecombe, P., Lam, Y. F., Li, L., and Zhang, L.: Ozone pollution in China: A review of
 concentrations, meteorological influences, chemical precursors, and effects, Sci. Total Environ., 575, 1582 1596, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.081, 2017.
 - Wang, Y., Shen, L., Wu, S., Mickley, L., He, J., and Hao, J.: Sensitivity of surface ozone over China to 2000– 2050 global changes of climate and emissions, Atmos. Environ., 75, 374-382, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.045, 2013.
- 885 Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of Surface Resistances to Gaseous Dry Deposition in Regional-Scale Numerical-Models, Atmos. Environ., 23, 1293-1304, Doi 10.1016/0004-6981(89)90153-4, 1989.
 - Westerling, A. L., Hidalgo, H. G., Cayan, D. R., and Swetnam, T. W.: Warming and earlier spring increase western U.S. forest wildfire activity, Science, 313, 940-943, 10.1126/science.1128834, 2006.
- Xu, X., Lin, W., Wang, T., Yan, P., Tang, J., Meng, Z., and Wang, Y.: Long-term trend of surface ozone at a
 regional background station in eastern China 1991–2006: enhanced variability, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 8, 2595-2607, 10.5194/acp-8-2595-2008, 2008.
 - Yan, Y., Lin, J., Chen, J., and Hu, L.: Improved simulation of tropospheric ozone by a global-multi-regional two-way coupling model system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 2381-2400, 10.5194/acp-16-2381-2016, 2016.
 - Yang, Y., Liao, H., and Li, J.: Impacts of the East Asian summer monsoon on interannual variations of summertime surface-layer ozone concentrations over China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6867-6879, 10.5194/acp-14-6867-2014, 2014.
 - Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J. F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2063-2090, 10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.

- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Logan, J. A., Chance, K., Eldering, A., and Bojkov, B. R.: Intercomparison
 methods for satellite measurements of atmospheric composition: application to tropospheric ozone from TES and OMI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4725-4739, 10.5194/acp-10-4725-2010, 2010.
 - Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Yue, X., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., and Blewitt, D.: Sources contributing to background surface ozone in the US Intermountain West, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5295-5309, 10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, 2014.
- 910 Zhang, L. M., Gong, S. L., Padro, J., and Barrie, L.: A size-segregated particle dry deposition scheme for an atmospheric aerosol module, Atmos. Environ., 35, 549-560, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00326-5, 2001.
 - Zhang, Y., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Thompson, A. M., Nédélec, P., Ogino, S.-Y., and West, J. J.: Tropospheric ozone change from 1980 to 2010 dominated by equatorward redistribution of emissions, Nature Geosci., 9, 875-879, 10.1038/ngeo2827, 2016.
- 915 Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Tai, A. P. K., Chen, Y., and Pan, Y.: Responses of surface ozone air quality to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition in the Northern Hemisphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9781-9796, 10.5194/acp-17-9781-2017, 2017.

- Zhou, D., Ding, A., Mao, H., Fu, C., Wang, T., Chan, L. Y., Ding, K., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Lu, A., and Hao, N.: Impacts of the East Asian monsoon on lower tropospheric ozone over coastal South China, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 044011, 10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044011, 2013.
- Zhu, J., Liao, H., and Li, J.: Increases in aerosol concentrations over eastern China due to the decadal-scale weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 10.1029/2012gl051428, 2012.

	Sources and references						
Physical processes							
Wet deposition	Wet deposition Parameterization for scavenging in both convection and large-scale precipitation for soluble gases and aerosols						
Dry deposition	Resistance-in-series algorithm	Wesely (1989); Zhang et al. (2001)					
PBL mixing	Non-local mixing scheme	Lin and McElroy (2010)					
Anthropogenic emissions							
Global	Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR v4.2)	http://edgar.jrc.ec.europ a.eu/					
East Asia and South Asia	MIX emission inventory	Li et al. (2017)					
United States	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Emission Inventory (NEI)	https://www.epa.gov/air- emissions-inventories					
Canada Europe	Canadian Criteria Air Contaminant European Monitoring and Evaluation Program (EMEP)	http://www.ec.gc.ca/ http://www.emep.int					
Mexico	Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility Kuhns et al. (2005) Observational study inventory (BRAVO)						
Natural sources							
Biogenic emissions	Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN)	Guenther et al. (2006)					
Lightning (NO _x) emissions	Parameterization based on cloud top height, and spatially constrained by satellite observed lightning flashes	Price and Rind (1992); Sauvage et al. (2007); Murray et al. (2012)					
Soil NO _x emissions	empirical parameterization of available nitrogen (N)	Hudman et al. (2012)					
Biomass burning emissions	Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCIMP) for 1990– 1996 and Global Fire Emission Database version 3 (GFED3) for 1997–2010	Lamarque et al. (2010); van der Werf et al. (2010)					
Methane	Prescribed over four latitudinal bands with year- specific mixing ratios constrained by						

Table 1. A summary of physical processes, anthropogenic and natural emissions used in GEOS-Chem

measurements from the NOAA Global Monitoring			
Division (GMD). Concentration ranges over 1990-			
2010 are given below:			
90°-30°S (1663-1732 ppbv), 30°S-0° (1666-			
1741 ppbv), 0°-30°N (1733-1801 ppbv), and			
30°–90°N (1792–1855 ppbv)			

Table 2. Configuration of the GEOS-Chem simulations. 'V' indicates that specific inputs vary interannually in the simulation, and '1990' denotes that the inputs are fixed to 1990 conditions.

Simulation	BASE	FEMIS	FBIOB	FCH ₄
Anthropogenic emissions	V	1990	V	V
Biomass burning emissions	V	V	1990	V
Global methane concentrations	V	V	V	1990



humidity, (c) cloud cover, (d) anthropogenic NO emissions, and (e) biomass burning CO emissions averaged for 2006–2010.



Figure 2. Monthly mean (averaged for 2006–2010) (a) surface temperature (red) and 850 hPa averaged specific humidity (SPHU, blue), (b) anthropogenic NO emissions (red) and biomass burning CO emissions (blue), and (c) lower tropospheric ozone (averaged for surface to 600 hPa, in unit of ppbv) from OMI satellite observations (black) and GEOS-Chem model simulations with OMI averaging kernel matrices and a priori profiles applied (dashed purple). The shading in panel (a) and (b) represents pre, during, and post summer South Asian monsoon periods. Color bars in panel (c) show processes that affect lower tropospheric ozone budget over the Indian domain land diagnosed in GEOS-Chem simulations. Horizontal transport from west (W) to east (E) and from south (S) to north (N), and downward (D) vertical transport at 600 hPa are defined as positive values.



Figure 3. Spatial distributions of bimonthly mean lower tropospheric ozone from (a) OMI satellite observations and (b) GEOS-Chem simulations-model results (with OMI averaging kernel matrices and a priori profiles applied). Also shown are changes in lower tropospheric ozone burden contributed by
955 (c) net chemical production, (d) net horizontal transport, and (e) vertical transport flux at 600 hPa. All values are averaged for 2006–2010. Wind patterns are overlaid in (a). White dots in (e) denote model grid cells where mean absolute vertical velocities at 600 hPa exceed 5 mm s⁻¹.





Figure 54. Time series of mean (a) surface temperature and (b) lower tropospheric ozone anomaly averaged for pre-summer monsoon seasons <u>over the Indian terrestrial land</u>. OMI observed ozone anomalies are shown in black circles, and model results from the BASE and FEMIS simulations are shown in black and red lines, respectively. The green line shows the ozone anomaly contributed by biomass burning emissions. <u>Interannual C</u>correlation coefficients (r) between surface temperature and ozone (number of regional averages n = 9 for observations and 21 for model results) are shown inset.



Figure 65. Spatial distribution of correlation coefficients between <u>seasonal mean</u> surface temperature and lower tropospheric ozone in pre-summer monsoon seasons <u>calculated for each model grid</u> from (a) OMI satellite observations for 2006–2014 and (b) the GEOS-Chem BASE simulation for 1990–2010. Black dots denote statistically significant (p-value < 0.05).



Figure 76. Time series of (a) South Asian summer monsoon index and (b) lower tropospheric ozone averaged over India in summer monsoon seasons. Values are anomalies over 1990–2010. OMI observed ozone anomalies are shown in black circles, and model results from the BASE and the FEMIS simulation are shown in black and red lines, respectively. Interannual cCorrelation coefficients with the monsoon index are shown inset.



990 Figure 87. Differences in May–August monthly mean (a) lower tropospheric ozone concentration, (b) surface temperature, (c) 850 hPa specific humidity, (d) lower tropospheric net ozone production, (e) lower tropospheric net horizontal transport with wind vectors overplotted, and (f) vertical transport at 600 hPa between the lowest and highest SASMI conditions. Values are calculated using averages of the 5 lowest SASMI years minus averages of the 5 highest SASMI years. between the weak and strong summer monsoon years (weak minus strong). Values inset are averages (a-c) or totals (d-f) over the 995 Indian terrestrial land. Red dots in (f) denote regions with stronger air uplift in weak compared to



Figure 28. Simulated (1990–2010) trends in lower tropospheric ozone and factors contributing to simulated trends. Trends are calculated for annual averages (left column), pre-summer monsoon seasons (central column), and summer monsoon seasons (right column). Values inset are mean trend in ppbv year⁻¹ averaged over the Indian land. Black dots in the first rows denote significant trends in BASE simulations. Trends contributed by interannual changes in anthropogenic emissions (EMIS), global methane concentrations (CH₄), and biomass burning emissions (BIOB) are estimated.