Response to Reviewer #1

This work developed an algorithm for the simultaneous retrieval of aerosol optical thickness (AOT) and normalized water leaving radiance (nLw) by using multispectral satellite measurements. Authors used a coupled atmosphere-ocean radiative transfer (RT) model combined with a oceanic bio-optical module

- 5 as the forward RT simulation. Then they used an optimization approach by adjusting retrievals to fit with multispectral observation in an iterative manner. The accuracy of the algorithm is evaluated by comparing retrievals with products collected from 8 AERONET-OC sites and products from MODIS standard atmospheric correction (AC) scheme. This work presents great improvement in the accuracy of AOT and nLw. However, authors did not mention the computational efficiency of their iterative
- 10 algorithm compared to MODIS AC scheme. Overall, this manuscript is well written and straightforward to follow. This manuscript is recommended for publication in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics after minor revision.

Response: Thanks for the reviewer's insightful comments very much, which helped improve the manuscript greatly. We have revised our paper based on your comments carefully. We also have reworded/rephrased some sentences and added some references that may improve the paper.

- 15 reworded/rephrased some sentences and added some references that may improve the paper. Generally, current algorithm makes full use of spectral information as well as shorter bands measurements in the simultaneous retrieval of AOT and nL_w based on an optimization approach, so that the aerosol spectral properties can be better estimated and cases of negative values of derived nL_w can be avoided. Since the algorithm adopts the coupled radiative transfer (RT) model as forward radiation
- 20 calculation and AOT and nL_w are derived in an iteration manner, the computational efficiency of current scheme is lower a lot than that of standard atmospheric correction schemes. In an effort to solve this problem, a neutral network (NN) solver is being used to replace the RT model as the forward RT simulation, where the calculation efficiency will improve over thousand times faster. Such a NN solver has already been successfully implemented in the estimation of solar radiation (Takenaka et al., 2011)
- 25 and related acceleration results for current algorithm will be explicated in another paper. More description of computational efficiency of current scheme are added in the last section of manuscript as "Since current algorithm adopts the coupled RT model and optimization approach to derive AOT and nL_w in an iteration manner, the computation efficiency will be lower a lot than that of standard AC schemes. In order to overcome this problem, a Neutral Network solver, which has been successfully
- 30 implemented in the estimation of solar radiation (Takenaka et al., 2011) from geostationary satellite measurement and joint retrieval of AOT and ground surface albedo over land (Hashimoto et al., 2017), is being constructed to accelerate the algorithm by a factor of several thousand and related study will be explicated in another work".

Reference:

35 Takenaka, H., Nakajima, T. Y., Higurashi, A., Higuchi, A., Takamura, T., Pinker, R. T., and Nakajima, T.: Estimation of solar radiation using a neural network based on radiative transfer, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 116, 2011.

Hashimoto, M., Takenaka, H., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Adaptation of an aerosol retrieval algorithm using multi-wavelength and multi-pixel information of satellites (MWPM) to GOSAT/TANSO-CAI, In Proceedings of the AGU Fall Meeting, A21A-2152, 2017.

1

Response to Reviewer #2

The authors present an optimization approach for the simultaneous determination of aerosol optical thickness (AOT) and normalized water leaving radiance (nLw) from MODIS. Retrieval of AOT from satellite over turbid oceans close to the coast has suffered from large uncertainties due to difficulties in

5 separating radiances from the atmosphere and ocean surface, besides cloud contamination and an inadequate knowledge of aerosol optical properties (c.f. Li et al. 2009 for an overview). The problems were noted and demonstrated by Jeong and Li (2005, JGR) across the Northern Pacific and Atlantic off the Africa where they are most serious but have not been resolved. The retrieval algorithm presented here is novel and promising to tackle with the problems more effectively than any existing methods.
10 Overall, the paper is well-written.

Dear Prof. Li, we appreciate your review very much, which helped improve our manuscript greatly. We have revised our paper based on your comments carefully. We also have reworded/rephrased some sentences and added some references that may improve the paper. Our responses are listed in below after each comment.

- 15 This paper seems to be a part of a systematic study tackling with the same set of problems which should be commended, but it is also necessary to clearly elaborate their distinct merits, e.g. with the following study: Shi, C., Nakajima, T., and Hashimoto, M.: Simultaneous retrieval of aerosol optical thickness and chlorophyll concentration from multi-wavelength measurement over East China Sea, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 121, 14084-14101, 2016. How much of the improvement presented in this paper originated from that paper? Are they different just in terms of aerosol models used in different regions?
- The Section 2.3 of this paper is rather similar to the Section 3 of Shi et al. (2016). The description of this method is not clear enough. It'd be better to add a flow chart of the algorithm.

Response: Thanks for the comments. In this study, we generally follow the bio-optical module of oceanic substances developed in the study of Shi et al. (2016) (hereafter S2016) except for the modeling

- 25 of seawater absorption due to the calibration between retrieval and observation of nL_w . The main distinct merit of this study is that we focus on the retrieval of spectral nL_w instead of direct determination of Chl shown in S2016, since the estimation of spectral nL_w is significantly important to many bio-optical inversion models and ocean color. In contrast, the performance of Chl retrieval could be simpler sometimes due to the parameterization adjustment of some factors. Therefore, we firstly
- 30 develop a full-physical technique to calculate the spectral nL_w values from Eq. (2)~(4) and modify the oceanic module of radiative transfer model. Then the vicarious calibration is conducted before retrieval to get a high accuracy estimation of nL_w . The retrieved concentrations of Chl, sediment and CDOM are just three parameters to adjust the spectral nL_w values in this study and their concrete values are less important. To make the description of this method clearer, we add a flow chart of the algorithm
- 35 followed by your comments.



Figure1. Flow chart of retrieval algorithm

It'd be nice to present any spatial distribution maps of the retrieval results for the AOT and nLw.

Response: Thanks for the comments. We add a mapped result for the retrieval of AOT at 550 nm and nL_w at 412 and 554 nm in Fig.8. The following description is added at the end of section 4.2.

- "The algorithm is then applied to the selected image obtained around the East China Sea on October 2011. Spatial distributions of the simultaneous retrieval of total AOT at 550 nm, nL_w at 412 nm and 554 nm are shown in Fig. 8(c), 8(e) and 8(g), the MODIS standard aerosol products (Fig. 8(a)) and OC products (Fig. 8(b), 8(d) and 8(f)) are also added as comparisons. In general, the retrieved AOT are
- 10 mostly similar to that of MODIS aerosol products, as well as OC products, where the high aerosol loading around Bohai Sea can be observed in Fig. 8(a) and 8(c), however, the MODIS AC scheme can not produce useful AOT data in this heavy aerosol area (Fig. 8(b)). In regards to the estimated nL_w at 412nm and 554 nm, there are also good consistencies between MODIS OC products and those derived from the simultaneous retrieval approach, while the retrieved nL_w at 412 nm from MODIS OC products
- 15 are reported to be negative values in the north of Yellow Sea (Fig. 8(d)), where such case can be avoided using current scheme shown in Fig. 8(e)."



Figure8: Comparison of satellite simultaneously retrieved AOT at 550 nm and nL_w (mw sr⁻¹ cm⁻² μ m⁻¹) at 412 nm and 554 nm with MODSI operational products over East China Sea on 18th Oct. 2011. (a) MODIS Aerosol AOT products; (b), (d), (f) MODIS Ocean Color AOT and nL_w products; (c), (e), (g): Simultaneously retrieved AOT and nL_w in this study

Page 7 line 27, what is the spatial resolution used in this research for MODIS data?

Response: we use the MODIS MYD021KM calibrated radiances products.

Page 26, Table 3, it is better to be "nLw (412 nm) nLw (442nm) nLw (488nm) nLw (554nm)".

Response: Thanks. Done.

Page 32, Figure 6f, the rational for the particular values (The upper and lower black lines of (f) are 1:2 and 2:1) of the estimated error is not explained. Why were these values chosen? Are they purely arbitrary numbers, or are they based on some error analyses?

Response: The upper and lower black lines of (f) with 1:2 and 2:1 are just arbitrary defined.

5 Page 34, Figure 8, the legend of the AERONET seems solid red line, please check it.

Response: Thanks. To make it clearer, we modify the red dashed line to solid.

Figure 8a and b should include other statistical measures, such as R2Âa and RMSE.

Response: Thanks. Done.

Page 32, Figure 6f, Page 35, Figure 9f, the meanings should be given of the dashed lines of different colors? Figure 6 and Figure 9 are described chiefly based on the 1:1 linear relationship which is insufficient for an objective understanding of the quality of the retrieval results.Âa^{*}It's suggested to add the regression line with some additional statistical measure such as r or r squared, RMSE and p-value, etc.

Response: Thanks. The description of dashed lines in Figure 6f and 9f are given in the related captions with the meaning of liner trend. Besides, we add some statistical parameters in Figure 6 and 9, such as regression line, correlated coefficient, RMSE and p-values as you mentioned.



Response to Thomas Schroeder

5

The paper could be significantly improved by addressing the following issues.

Dear Thomas, we appreciate your comments very much, which helped improve our manuscript greatly. We have revised our paper based on your comments carefully. We also have reworded/rephrased some sentences and added some references that may improve the paper. Our responses are listed in below after each comment.

(1) Please provide examples of derived AOT and corresponding nLw in form of images to illustrate good separability of atmospheric (AOT) and oceanic (nLw) signals, especially for glint-contaminated cases.

- 10 Response: Thanks for the comments. We add a mapped result for the retrieval of AOT at 550 nm and nL_w at 412 and 554 nm in Fig.8. The following description is added at the end of section 4.2. "The algorithm is then applied to the selected image obtained around the East China Sea on October 2011. Spatial distributions of the simultaneous retrieval of total AOT at 550 nm, nL_w at 412 nm and 554 nm are shown in Fig. 8(c), 8(e) and 8(g), the MODIS standard aerosol products (Fig. 8(a)) and OC
- 15 products (Fig. 8(b), 8(d) and 8(f)) are also added as comparisons. In general, the retrieved AOT are mostly similar to that of MODIS aerosol products, as well as OC products, where the high aerosol loading around Bohai Sea can be observed in Fig. 8(a) and 8(c), however, the MODIS AC scheme can not produce useful AOT data in this heavy aerosol area (Fig. 8(b)). In regards to the estimated nL_w at 412 nm and 554nm, there are also good consistencies between MODIS OC products and those derived
- 20 from the simultaneous retrieval approach, while the retrieved nL_w at 412 nm from MODIS OC products are reported to be negative values in the north of Yellow Sea (Fig. 8(d)), where such case can be avoided using current scheme shown in Fig. 8(e)."

Since current acceleration process by the Neutral Network is not finished yet for the glint-contaminated circumstance, we will elaborate this case study in another work.



Figure 8: Comparison of satellite simultaneously retrieved AOT at 550 nm and nL_w (mw sr⁻¹ cm⁻² μ m⁻¹) at 412 nm and 554 nm with MODSI operational products over East China Sea on 18th Oct. 2011. (a) MODIS Aerosol AOT products; (b), (d), (f) MODIS Ocean Color AOT and nL_w products; (c), (e), (g): Simultaneously retrieved AOT and nL_w in this study

(2) The term "one-step" inversion of the proposed algorithm is misleading as the approach is based on iterative fitting. Please clarify.

Response: Thanks. We wanted to describe the term "one-step" as the meaning that AOT and nL_w are determined simultaneously, which is different from the standard atmospheric correction schemes

deriving the AOT firstly and then estimating the nL_w based on the retrieved AOT values. To make a clearer description, we modify related description on current algorithm from "one-step" to "iterative fitting" or "optimal estimation" in the revision.

(3) MODIS has no centre bands at 867 and 1628 nm. Please check the provided band settings and explain why an arbitrary mix of 1 km and 0.5 km resolution bands was used in this study.

Response: Thanks. The description of band setting is changed to "869 and 1640 nm". In this study, we use the MODIS L1b calibrated radiance data with 1km resolution, where the 554nm and 1640nm observation with 0.5km resolution have already been aggregated to 1km resolution in the MODIS MYD021KM product.

10 (4) Please provide a reference for the MODIS standard atmospheric correction (AC) and a detailed discussion of the Siegel et al. 2000, Stumpf et al. 2003 and Bailey et al. 2010 modifications to account for non-zero NIR water-leaving radiance.

Response: The basic MODIS atmospheric correction scheme is from the study of Gordon and Wang (1994). The description between Line 24-26, Page 2, of original manuscript are changed as "Such

- 15 methods use aerosol optical properties of nearby non-turbid areas or other spectral information, such as ultraviolet or shortwave infrared (SWIR) bands (Hu et al., 2000; Ruddick et al., 2000; Pan and Mao, 2001; Wang and Shi, 2007; He et al., 2012; Mao et al., 2013), as well as iteration techniques to determine the NIR L_w values derived from bio-optical models and L_w at visible bands based on convergence criterion (Siegel et al., 2000; Stumpy et al., 2003; Bailey et al., 2010)"
- 20 (5) Analyse and quantify in detail AOT overestimation for those cases where negative nLw was retrieved by the MODIS standard AC algorithm (Fig 6b). Are negative nLw simply a result of AOT overestimation or due to differences of the retrieved aerosol type (e.g. Angstroem coefficient)?

Response: Thanks for the comments a lot. We agreed that the negative nL_w might also be caused by the inaccurate estimation of Angstrom exponent. We check the data again and found that there are 10 cases

- 25 that $nL_w(412nm)$ values are negative, of which all the derived AOT(412nm) values are overestimated. However, the retrieved AOT at other bands are underestimated in several cases. The description of Line 10 Page 12 is modified from "which may be caused by the overestimation of AOT" to "which may be caused by the overestimation of AOT in those bands or inappropriate estimation of Angstrom exponent".
- 30 (6) Provide information of the simulated AOT ranges.

5

Response: Currently, we define the accepted AOT at 550 nm range is from 0.0 to 2.0.

(7) The manuscript contains numerous spelling and grammatical errors and requires careful proof-reading before publication.

8

Response: Thank you very much. We have had a careful check and reworded/rephrased some sentences, as well as acknowledgment and reference section, in the revision.

Response to A.M. Saver

I have a few guestions/comments about the approach taken in this paper. In general I think that a simultaneous atmosphere/ocean inversion like this is a good way to go, and Optimal Estimation is a good inversion technique to apply for a problem like this. The application to data within Sun glint is

also interesting. But I had some questions about the details which I didn't spot in the manuscript 5 (perhaps I missed something).

Dear Saver, we appreciate your comments very much, which helped improve our manuscript greatly. We have revised our paper based on your comments carefully. We also have reworded/rephrased some sentences and added some references that may improve the paper. Our responses are listed in below after each comment.

A strength of Optimal Estimation is the uncertainty estimates provided (Equation 7), as well as the ability to use a priori data. The authors note the a priori values for parameter, but I don't see the a priori uncertainties anywhere (except for Chl where it is noted to be the variance of the standard MODIS product). What are they? Likewise, I am curious what the averaging kernels look like for these

- retrievals. Al-though one can retrieve 8 things from 8 measurements, considering that there is a high 15 degree in spectral correlation between the measurements, there must be far fewer than 8 degrees of freedom. So it is likely to me that there are degenerate solutions, large null space uncertainties (hinted at for e.g. wind speed outside of glint, in Figure 7), and/or strong dependence on the a priori assumptions in some cases. For example I wonder if some of the skill for the aerosol composition is coming from a tight constraint to the SPRINTARS model? 20
 - Response: Thanks for the comments. We agreed that the degrees of freedom are fewer than 8, actually about 3.5~4.5 in this study. In general, a higher averaging kernel values are existed for the retrieval of AOT, sediment and CDOM than that of soot fraction, wind speed and Chl. Therefore, we use the NCEP wind speed, annual average MODIS Chl in 2009, SPRINTARS AOT and soot fraction as the apriori
- constraint. For the AOT of fine particle, sea salt and dust, as well as soot fraction in fine particle, the 25 monthly averaged aerosol products of SPRINTARS global simulation from 2007 to 2009 are used as apriori and uncertainties values. The uncertainties values for wind speed, sediment and CDOM are 0.5 m s⁻¹, 1.0 g m⁻³ and 0.1 m⁻¹, respectively. Indeed, the retrieved wind speed value is strongly dependent on the apriori values when the measurements are out of sun glint, but it has little effect on the satellite
- reflectance in such cases except sun glint contamination. In addition, the soot fraction in fine particle is still difficult to be determined due to the weak sensitivity to observation (maybe without adequate ultraviolet bands information) and also dependent on the apriori values in most cases. Moreover, since we focus on the retrieval of spectral nL_w instead of concentration of oceanic components, the Chl, sediment and CDOM are just three parameters to adjust the spectral L_w, of which detail values are less 35 important.

10

It would also be useful to include the uncertainty estimates on retrieved parameters on the validation results shown in e.g. Figure 4. That way we can see whether they are reasonable or not. Figure 7 shows simulations but since there is validation shown with real data, it would be good to see the uncertainty estimates for these real data as well.

Response: Thanks a lot. It is a good idea to include the statistical uncertainties on retrieved parameters for the validation results. Eq. (7) can be used to determine the estimated uncertainty for parameters that are retrieved, as well as parameters that are not directly retrieved if they can be expressed by the state vector \mathbf{x} . Since we directly retrieve the AOT for each particle and concentrations of oceanic substances, an equation is used to determine the estimated uncertainty for total AOT and spectral nL_w as follows,

$$\sigma_a = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i,j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_j}}$$

5

where a is a parameter that are not directly retrieved, i.e. total AOT and spectral nL_w in this study.



Figure: Comparison of satellite simultaneously retrieved AOT at 550 nm and nLw (mw sr-1 cm-2 μm-1) with
AERONET-OC observations. Red line represents the 1:1 line (a: Ieodo_Station; b: GOT_Seaprism; c: Lucinda; d: Abu_Al_Bukhoosh; e: Galata_Platform; f: COVE_SEAPRISM; g: Thornton_C-power; h: USC_SEAPRISM).

I suggest adding the MODIS 1.2 and 2.1 micron bands as well. These will not have much ocean colour information, but may help better constrain the aerosol contribution to the signal. By increasing the number of measurements relative to retrieved quantities, this should in general decrease any degeneracies in the retrieved state.

5 Response: Thanks. We only use one shortwave infrared (SWIR) band in this study just want to estimate the ability and flexibility of algorithm in turbid waters, so that it can be used to other satellite instruments with only one SWIR band, such as GOSAT2/CAI2. We also do the retrieval adding 1.2 and 2.1µm bands, the retrieved nL_w are improved generally, particularly for nL_w at 488 nm, however, the accuracy of retrieval AOT at relative clear waters, such as at USC_SEAPRISM sites, are decreased,
10 which inspiring us to optimizing the aerosol or oceanic module again.

I am curious how the minimisation actually works computationally (I did not see this mentioned in the paper). Is it a minimisation from a multidimensional lookup table? Or is the radiative transfer code called for each iteration of the retrieval? If it is a lookup table, is the full atmosphere/ocean state included in the simulation (which is the most accurate but then requires a higher-dimensional lookup table) or is there some assumption like linear mixing to include the contribution from multiple aerosol

15 table) or is there some assumption like linear mixing to include the contribution from multiple aerosol components more simply? Linear mixing is used in e.g. the standard MODIS AOT product for computational efficiency, but has systematic biases when there is absorption in the atmosphere. See Abdou et al (1997, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/96JD03434/abstract) for some discussions of limitations of linear mixing and a modified method (which decreases, but does not eliminate, this error source).

Response: Thanks for the comments and reference introduction. The coupled atmosphere-ocean radiative transfer code is called for each iteration in this study. We agree that the lookup table will require a higher dimensionality with huge size volume for this study, particularly that a lot of parameters are needed to be retrieved and determined in advanced (actually about 15 parameters in this

25 study), thus, a Neutral Network Solver (Takenaka et al., 2011) is being trained to replace the RT model as a result that the calculation efficiency is expected to be increased over thousand times and no LUTs are needed. Such work will be elaborated in another paper.

What is the first guess at the retrieval solution? Is this initialised from the a priori value?

Response: We define low values of state vector (0.01) for the first guess of retrieved parameters except 30 wind speed from a priori value.

Page 7, lines 15-16: I do not understand this sentence. Is the soot fraction in fine particles retrieved (as stated in line 15) or assumed (as stated in line 16)?

Response: The soot fraction in fine particles is also retrieved in this algorithm. As the previous explanation, it is still difficult to be determined currently.

35 For the vicarious calibration, are 18 points really enough to state with confidence that there is no significant temporal or geometric dependence in the results? What are the uncertainties on the derived calibration coefficients? Also, are the Werdell (2006) results shown in Figure 3 the latest coefficients used in the MODIS ocean colour algorithm? There have been numerous reprocessing since then and

calibration coefficients have changed. I looked at the MODIS webpage and the calibration gains there for the 2014 Aqua reprocessing (https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/reprocessing/r2014/aqua/), which I think is the latest, are different. I think it is also worth stating up front as well that the vicarious calibration effectively calibrates out the average bias in the sensor plus forward model at the calibration site, as some readers may not be aware of this. This in turn means that biases can be introduced in

conditions which are different from those at the site used to tune the data in this way.

Response: Thank. It is studied that the derived gain factors show no significant temporal or geometric dependencies, and the mean values can be stabilized after approximate 20 high-quality calibration samples (Franz et al., 2007). In this study, we make a strict data screening criteria followed by Franz et

- 10 al. (2007), and adopt the observed AOT at 550 nm and spectral nL_w products of AAOT site for vicarious calibration, which is similar to the study of Yoshida et al. (IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing, 2005). We add 12 data with number of 30 points for the vicarious calibration in the revision, and find that the variation of calculated averaged calibration coefficients are generally less than 1% compared with original ones. Since we also use the ground observed AOT at 550nm data for
- 15 the vicarious calibration, which is different from the standard calibration scheme that only use nL_w observation, such a comparison with the standard calibration gain factors seems to be meaningless and is deleted in the revised manuscript as shown in the below figure. However, it is still a difficult thing to systematically investigate the biases introduced by the used vicarious coefficients derived from other locations currently.



5

20

25

Figure: Averaged vicarious calibration coefficient for each channel.

Figures 5, 8: I would remove the regression lines from these plots. Linear least squares regression is not valid for AOT data because the expected error is AOT-dependent (and type-dependent), the uncertainties are not Gaussian, the points are not independent, sampling is highly skewed to the low-AOT end, and the expected relationship between truth and retrieval is not necessarily linear. So the same and interact and their uncertainties astimated using this technique are micloading. It is a popular

slope and intercept, and their uncertainties, estimated using this technique are misleading. It is a popular technique but it is mathematically inappropriate for these data.

Response: Thanks. The regression lines of figure 5 and 8 are removed in the revised manuscript.

Although not directly relevant to the point of coupled ocean/atmosphere inversion, since the authors also look at the MODIS ocean colour product, it would be interesting to see the comparison of AOT for the MODIS aerosol products as well. This sort of simultaneous inversion should result in better results than the MODIS aerosol product, since the standard aerosol product does not account for variations in

ocean colour (as the authors rightly point out in the introduction). Similarly it should help by having more aerosol information than the standard ocean colour approach, which may alleviate some biases in standard ocean colour prod- ucts. On that point the authors may be interested in the study Kahn et al (2016, http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JTECH-D-15-0121.1), which assesses some of these contextual biases in ocean colour data.

5

15

Response: Thanks for the comments and reference introduction, which is added in the revised manuscript. The below figure shows the comparison of jointly retrieved AOT at 550 nm from simultaneous retrieval algorithm, MODIS OC products and MODIS atmosphere products using satellite measurements out of sun glint with AERONET-OC in situ products. There are only two coincided data
from MODIS atmosphere products in sun glint cases and such comparison is ignored. Generally, MODIS atmosphere aerosol products have a best estimation of AOT over 550 nm bands, on the contrary, current algorithm exerts a more accurate retrieval of AOT below 550 nm bands. Since this manuscript focuses on the retrieval of AOT and spectral nL_w, we only show the comparison results from



Figure: Statistical mean AOT values, RMSE, and APD results retrieved by these three approaches compared with AERONET-OC products

Finally, it would be interesting to see some mapped results of the algorithm as opposed to just scatter and line plots. I suggest the authors add some case studies with different aerosol/ocean features, and
show true colour images together with their retrieved data fields and uncertainties. It would also be instructive to show some of the standard NASA MODIS ocean colour and/or aerosol products, to see whether spatial patterns are consistent, and whether any differences or discontinuities can be related to

whether spatial patterns are consistent, and whether any differences or discontinuities can be related to sur- face/aerosol features not accounted for by one of the algorithms. In particular, since this paper was submitted to ACP and not AMT, it would be good to see more comparison/application of the results rather than just algorithm description and validation.

Response: Thanks for the comments. We add a mapped result for the retrieval of AOT at 550 nm and nL_w at 412 and 554 nm in Fig.8. The following description is added at the end of section 4.2.

"The algorithm is then applied to the selected image obtained around the East China Sea on October 2011. Spatial distributions of the simultaneous retrieval of total AOT at 550 nm, nL_w at 412 nm and 554
nm are shown in Fig. 8(c), 8(e) and 8(g), the MODIS standard aerosol products (Fig. 8(a)) and OC products (Fig. 8(b), 8(d) and 8(f)) are also added as comparisons. In general, the retrieved AOT are mostly similar to that of MODIS aerosol products, as well as OC products, where the high aerosol loading around Bohai Sea can be observed in Fig. 8(a) and 8(c), however, the MODIS AC scheme can

not produce useful AOT data in this heavy aerosol area (Fig. 8(b)). In regards to the estimated nL_w at 412 nm and 554 nm, there are also good consistencies between MODIS OC products and those derived from the simultaneous retrieval approach, while the retrieved nL_w at 412 nm from MODIS OC products are reported to be negative values in the north of Yellow Sea (Fig. 8(d)), where such case can be avoided using current scheme shown in Fig. 8(e)."

5

10



Figure 8: Comparison of satellite simultaneously retrieved AOT at 550 nm and nL_w (mw sr⁻¹ cm⁻² μ m⁻¹) at 412 nm and 554 nm with MODSI operational products over East China Sea on 18th Oct. 2011. (a) MODIS Aerosol AOT products; (b), (d), (f) MODIS Ocean Color AOT and nL_w products; (c), (e), (g): Simultaneously retrieved AOT and nL_w in this study.

Simultaneous determination of aerosol optical thickness and water leaving radiance from multispectral measurements in coastal waters

Chong Shi^{1,2,3}, Teruvuki Nakaiima¹

5

¹Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Earth Observation Research Center, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8505, Japan

²Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China

³Key Laboratory of Middle Atmosphere and Global Environmental Observation, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

Correspondence to: C. Shi (shi,chong@ac.jaxa.jp); T. Nakajima (nakajima.teruyuki@jaxa.jp)

10 Abstract. Retrieval of aerosol optical properties and water leaving radiance over ocean is challenging since the latter mostly accounts for ~10% of the satellite observed signal and can be easily influenced by the atmospheric scattering. Such an effort would be more difficult in turbid coastal waters due to the existence of optically complex oceanic substances or high aerosol loading. In an effort to solve such problems, we present an optimization approach for the simultaneous determination of aerosol optical thickness (AOT) and normalized water leaving radiance (nL_w) from multi-spectral satellite measurements. In 15 this algorithm, a coupled atmosphere-ocean radiative transfer model combined with a comprehensive bio-optical oceanic module is used to jointly simulate the satellite observed reflectance at the top of atmosphere and water leaving radiance just above the ocean surface. Then an optimal estimation method is adopted to retrieve AOT and nL_w iteratively. The algorithm is validated using Aerosol Robotic Network Ocean Color (AERONET-OC) products selected from eight OC sites distributed over different waters, consisting of observations that covered glint and non-glint conditions from the Moderate Resolution

- 20 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instrument. Results show a good consistency between retrieved and in situ measurements at each site. It is demonstrated that more accurate AOT are determined based on the simultaneous retrieval method, particularly in shorter wavelengths and sun glint conditions, where the averaged percentage difference (APD) of retrieved AOT generally reduced by approximate 10% in visible bands compared with those derived from the standard atmospheric correction (AC) scheme, since all the spectral measurements can be used jointly to increase the information
- 25 content in the inversion of AOT and the wind speed is also simultaneously retrieved to compensate the specular reflectance error estimated from the rough ocean surface model. For the retrieval of nL_w, over atmospheric correction can be avoided to have a significant improvement for the inversion of nLw at 412 nm. Furthermore, generally better estimates of band ratios of $nL_w(443)/nL_w(554)$ and $nL_w(488)/nL_w(554)$, are obtained using simultaneous retrieval approach with less root mean square errors and relative differences than those derived from the standard AC approach in comparison to the AERONET-OC
- products, as a result that the APD value of retrieved Chl decreases by about 5%. On the other hand, the standard AC scheme 30 yields a more accurate retrieval of nLw at 488 nm, prompting a further optimization of oceanic bio-optical module of current model.

1

SEKI 1/18/2018 11:03 Deleted: changeling SEKI 1/18/2018 14:06 Deleted: contaminated SEKI 1/18/2018 14:07 Deleted: difficulty

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:08
Deleted: full-physical nonlinear optimization
SEKI 1/18/2018 14:08
Deleted: in one step
SEKI 1/18/2018 14:10
Deleted: observation cases covered both in and out of sun glint
SEKI 1/18/2018 14:11
Deleted: in
SEKI 1/18/2018 14:12
Deleted: reduce
SEKI 1/18/2018 14:20
Deleted: . It is caused that

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:24

Deleted: , which are employed in the inversion of chlorophyll a concentration (Chl)

1 Introduction

<u>Aerosol</u> monitoring is indispensable for evaluating the global energy budget and material exchange (Li et al., 2009). They exert significant impacts on the radiation process through both direct and indirect effects (Boucher et al, 2013). Quantifying aerosols optical properties is also crucial for the remote sensing of ocean color (OC) as atmospheric backscattering, which is

- composed of aerosol and Rayleigh scattering, contributes a greater fraction of signals at the top of atmosphere than that of the spectral water leaving radiance (L_w) from ocean body (Gordon and Morel, 1983). Since the Rayleigh scattering can be determined <u>at</u> a high precision level by considering the effects of polarization, surface pressure and roughness (Gordon et al., 1988; Wang, 2002), accurate estimation of aerosols becomes <u>particularly important for</u> the determination of water leaving radiance and oceanic substances.
- To derive the aerosol optical properties over ocean, some approaches compare observed and pre-calculated reflectance at selected channels assuming that the water leaving radiance can be neglected or empirically estimated. For example, the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Collection 5 operational over-ocean algorithm specifies zero water leaving radiance for all except at 550 nm where a value of reflectance 0.005 is assumed (Remer et al., 2005, 2006).
 When to evaluate the influence of aerosols on the remote sensing of ocean color, other approaches decouple the atmosphere
- 15 and ocean using atmospheric correction procedures, which are performed in two steps. First, the aerosol reflectance, after the correction of Rayleigh scattering and surface reflectance, is estimated by comparing measurements with lookup tables (LUTs) in the red or near infrared (NIR) channels, where the water leaving radiance can be neglected due to the high absorption of <u>waters</u> at those bands. The LUTs contain pre-calculated radiation fields for sets of candidate aerosol modes, which are characterized by different optical properties and relative humidity values. Second, the best fitting aerosol modes
- 20 are selected and extrapolated to shorter wavelengths to calculate the aerosol scattering and water leaving radiance in those band regions (Gordon and Wang, 1994; Fukushima et al., 1998: Antoine and Morel, 1999; Gao et al., 2000; Wang, 2010). In addition, other efforts or approaches have been made to compensate the traditional atmospheric correction procedures under more challenging measurement conditions in the presence of non-null water leaving radiance of turbid waters. Such methods use aerosol optical properties of nearby non-turbid areas or other spectral information, such as ultraviolet or shortwave
- 25 infrared (SWIR) bands (Hu et al., 2000; Ruddick et al., 2000; Pan and Mao, 2001; Wang and Shi, 2007; He et al., 2012; Mao et al., 2013), as well as iteration techniques to determine the NIR L_{av} values derived from bio-optical models and L_{av} at visible bands based on convergence criterion (Siegel et al., 2000; Stumpy et al., 2003; Bailey et al., 2010). Furthermore, in consideration of the insufficiency of aerosol modes in the LUTs approach, other research has expanded the LUTs to encompass more actual aerosol models derived from Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations (Ahmad et al.,
- 30 2010) or combined with the aerosol scattering using linear combination method (Frouin et al., 2006; Shi and Nakajima, 2017). In this respect, after the process of atmospheric correction, the water leaving radiance, which only typically accounts for ~10% of satellite observed signal, are determined. To further reduce errors caused by the atmospheric correction algorithm and instrumental radiometric uncertainties, vicarious calibration is conducted to improve the accuracy of L_w by

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:24 Deleted: Aerosols

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:24 **Deleted:** in SEKI 1/18/2018 14:25 **Deleted:** an important mission in

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:26 Deleted: in SEKI 1/18/2018 14:26 Deleted: another

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:26 Deleted: ocean body

SEKI 1/18/2018 14:43 Formatted: Subscript SEKI 1/18/2018 14:49 Formatted: Subscript

comparing satellite-observed radiance with simulations using ground-truth observation data (Gordon, 1998; Fougnie et al., 1999; Wang and Franz, 2000; Murakami et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2005; Franz et al., 2007).

However, many of these algorithms relies on the observation of only several spectral channels, the retrieved aerosol spectral properties are not always fully consistent with the measurements at other bands (Jeong and Li, 2005; Dubovik et al., 2011;

- 5 Kahn et al., 2016), as a result that the retrieved L_w are sometimes negative due to the overestimation of aerosol scattering, particularly in short wavelengths (Fan et al., 2017). In the past decades, other feasible methods using a direct optimization-based inversion algorithm (Bricaud and Morel, 1987; Doerffer and Fischer, 1994; Zhao and Nakajima, 1997; Chomko and Gordon, 1998; Stamnes et al., 2003; Dubovik et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008; Kuchinke et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2016) or Bayesian methodology (Frouin and Pelletier, 2015) have been developed to complement prevailing atmospheric
- 10 correction schemes. These retrieval approaches involve a direct inversion scheme that simultaneously determines <u>aerosol</u>, <u>radiometric quantities or oceanic substances</u> with minimal assumption, which have an advantage in dealing flexibly with the inversion problems in the absorption aerosol loading conditions and increasing the available measurements information content. Most of these approaches adopt the radiative transfer (RT) model to enable simulated radiance to converge with observation by defining the appropriate retrieved parameters and estimation schemes or neutral network method (Schroeder
- 15 et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2017), to make full use of the satellite observed data, as well as multiple geometry, pixel or polarization information (Dubovik et al., 2011; Hasekamp et al., 2011; Knobelspiesse et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2016). To model the radiative transfer in the atmosphere and ocean system, simple methods use Fresnel-Snell law to combine the two non-uniformly refracting layered media for a flat ocean surface. However, better modeling schemes are required with respect to wind speed for the rough ocean surface. [Cox and Munk, 1954: Nakajima and Tanaka, 1983; Fischer and Grassl.
- 20 1984; Mobley, 1994; Fell and Fischer, 2001; Jin et al., 2006; Chowdhary et al., 2006; Ota et al., 2010; He et al., 2010; Zhai et al., 2010, 2017; Chami et al., 2015], particularly in the sun glint conditions. Sun glint is a major issue for the remote sensing of aerosols or ocean color. In sun glint contaminated satellite ocean imagery, the received radiation can be so bright that there may be significant errors in the satellite retrieval of atmospheric and oceanic components and sometimes obtaining relevant information is even impossible. This is related to the strong reflectance of the ocean surface, which is like a mirror
- 25 and generates information that is more robust than that of atmospheric scattering and water leaving radiance. Therefore, satellite instruments, such as CZCS, SeaWiFS, and POLDER, have a tilting capacity to avoid sun glint observation. However, for the MODIS and MERIS that have no glint tilting function, glint contamination might be more severe. Nevertheless, studies suggest that sun glint information can be valuable for many applications. For example, it can provide additional information content for the retrieval of aerosol optical properties and types including absorption aerosol (Kaufman
- 30 et al., 2002; Ottaviani et al., 2013). In addition, the sun glint signal is useful in oil monitoring (Chust and Sagarminaga, 2007; Hu et al., 2009), investigation of below-surface conditions (Hu, 2011), and retrieval of wind speed over the ocean surface (Breon and Henriot, 2006; Harmel and Chami, 2012). To correct sun glint contamination in the atmospheric correction process, several approaches have been introduced using Cox-Munk sea surface model (Cox and Munk, 1954) to

SEKI 1/25/2018 17:06 Deleted: one-step SEKI 1/18/2018 14:28

Deleted: aerosols and oceanic substances

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:18

Deleted: In this respect, traditional atmospheric correction methods perhaps fail, as a result of degrading of spatial coverage of useful products. SEKI 1/18/2018 15:13

Deleted: in

calculate direct solar reflectance from the wind speed prediction (Wang and Bailey, 2001) or the spectral matching method (Steinmetz et al., 2011).

Recent studies suggest that there are still some questionable results in coastal waters using those improved atmospheric correction schemes (Jamet et al., 2011; Goyens et al., 2013), due to the existence of high aerosol loading or more optically

- complex oceanic substances, such as sediment or colored, dissolved organic matter (CDOM), than that in open waters where only chlorophyll *a* concentration (Chl) dominates, as a result that the null water leaving radiance assumption breaks down. Besides, even the slight sun glint signals may also introduce large uncertainties in the retrieval of AOT and L_w. In an effort to cover such problems, we introduce a<u>n iterative fitting</u> scheme in this study, which is simultaneous retrieval of aerosol optical thickness (AOT) and water leaving radiance from multi-spectral measurements in coastal waters. To minimize the
- 10 uncertainties of spectral radiance from traditional atmospheric correction schemes in the decoupled atmosphere-ocean system, the forward radiation calculation is performed using a coupled radiative transfer model combined with a comprehensive bio-optical oceanic module. Then, a nonlinear optimization approximation approach constrained by a global three-dimensional spectral radiation-transport aerosol model (Takemura et al., 2000) is used to jointly estimate aerosol optical thickness (fine, sea spray and dust), wind speed and oceanic substances, which are consisted of Chl, sediment and
- 15 CDOM, based on the multispectral observations. The spectral water leaving radiance is correspondingly estimated through the developed bio-optical module followed by a full-physical formulation for the calculation of transmission matrix of rough ocean surface simultaneously.

The objectives of this paper are 1) to calibrate the algorithm in the estimation of <u>AOT and L</u>_w based on vicarious calibration, 2) to validate the availability of <u>optimal estimation</u> algorithm from *in situ* measurements using Aerosol Robotic Network –

- 20 Ocean Color (AERONET-OC) products, 3) to estimate the inversion accuracy in the condition of sun glint measurements. The general structure of the bio-optical module and design of retrieval algorithm are introduced in section 2. The datasets used and strict spatial-temporal match-up criteria are shown in section 3. Then, we present retrieval results derived from the MODIS instrument after vicarious calibration and compare them with *in situ* products collected from 8 AERONET-OC sites distributed over different ocean regions, as well as the products from the MODIS standard atmospheric correction scheme.
- 25 As an illustration, retrievals are conducted using all available satellite data covering both in and out of sun glint observations. Finally, conclusion and perspectives are provided in the last section.

2. Procedure of retrieval algorithm

2.1 Atmospheric module

In this study, the forward RT simulation is performed by a vector coupled atmosphere-ocean model (Ota et al., 2010). The 30 model employs the discrete ordinate and matrix operator method and was developed based on the Nakajima–Tanaka scheme (Nakajima and Tanaka, 1983, 1986, 1988). It has been proven to be highly accurate in simulating the radiation processes in the atmospheric system (Kokhanovsky et al., 2010). In this algorithm, six different atmospheric profiles, Mid-latitude

4

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:18 **Deleted:** one-step SEKI 1/18/2018 15:19 **Deleted:** inversion

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:20 Deleted: one-step SEKI 1/18/2018 15:21 Deleted: retrieval summer/winter, sub-arctic summer/winter, tropical and U.S. standard profiles are adopted based on the observation time and location. The atmosphere is divided into 15 layers with the top at 120 km above the surface. The gas absorption coefficient is calculated by a correlated k-distribution approach (Sekiguchi and Nakajima, 2008) where several main absorptive gases of water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, nitrous oxide, carbon monoxide, methane, and oxygen are considered. The

- 5 depolarization factor of atmospheric Rayleigh scattering is assumed as 0.0279. For the aerosol, a more realistic scattering multi-component approach is adopted within the aerosol model, wherein the refractive index of each component of aerosol particle is calculated considering hygroscopic growth (Shettle and Robert, 1979; Yan et al., 2002). It is assumed that the external mixture aerosol model consists of fine particles, sea spray particles, and dust particles (which are defined as non-spherical particles); and that an internal mixture of water-soluble, dust-like and soot aerosols exist within the fine
- 10 particles, of which the refractive index are calculated by the sum of each internal component contribution based on its volume fraction. The fine and sea spray particles are added from the surface to 2 km height, while dust particles are added from $4 \sim 8$ km height, where the vertical distributions of fine and dust particles are homogeneous on contrary to that of sea spray particles with exponential decrease. For each external particle type, the size distribution is assumed to follow log-normal (Nakajima and Higurashi, 1997; Higurashi et al., 1999; Dubovik and King, 2000) as follows,

15
$$\frac{dV}{d\ln r} = C \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\ln r - \ln r_m}{\ln s})^2]$$
 (

where C denotes the particle volume concentration, V is the aerosol volume density, $r_{\rm m}$ is the mode radius, and $\ln s$ is the standard deviation of $\ln r$. The phase matrix of aerosols is calculated by Mie theory for spherical particles and Dubovik et al.'s (2002) method for non-spherical particles. In general, the aerosol modes are summarized in Table 1.

2.2 Bio-optical oceanic module

- 20 The accuracy of RT scheme in the ocean system is conducted based on a comparison to the standard underwater RT problem proposed by Mobley et al. (1993) (Shi et al., 2015). In this study, we implement a three-component bio-optical module developed by Shi et al. (2016) to model the inherent optical properties (IOPs) of oceanic substances. A brief overview of procedures is shown in Table 2. However, we do make some slight changes to the module in relation to the seawater absorption coefficient based on a calibration between retrieval and measurement. It assumes that the ocean is divided into 4
- 25 layers with infinite depth, where the vertical distributions of oceanic substances are homogeneous except that of Chl defined as Gaussian (Morel and Maritorena, 2001). The Raman scattering is temporarily neglected in current model. After the modeling of IOPs of oceanic substances, the reflection and transmission matrices for each oceanic layer are obtained through the discrete ordinate solution. Then the adding theory is applied to determine the unknown integral constants and solve the inhomogeneous layer in the coupled atmosphere-ocean system (Ota et al., 2010). To connect the
- 30 water leaving radiance with underwater light field, we develop a full physical technique to calculate Lw in the model, as:

5

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:25

Deleted: The atmospheric molecules scattering is viewed as Rayleigh scattering with the depolarization factor of 0.0279

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:32

Deleted: existed

1)

$$L_{w}(\lambda;\mu,\phi;[Chl],S_{s},a_{y}(440)) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} L_{w}^{m}(\lambda;\mu;[Chl],S_{s},a_{y}(440)) \frac{\cos m\phi}{\pi(1+\delta_{0m})}$$
(2)

where λ is the wavelength and δ_{0m} denotes Kronecker's delta; μ and ϕ are the cosine of the viewing zenith angle and relative azimuth angle, respectively. [*Chl*], S_s and $a_y(440)$ are concentrations of Chl, sediment and CDOM, respectively. L_w^m is the *m*th order Fourier component of L_w as calculated by:

5
$$L_w^m(\lambda;\mu;[Chl],S_s,a_y(440)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} L_u^m(\lambda;\tau^{0-};\mu_i;[Chl],S_s,a_y(440))T^m(\lambda;\mu,\mu_i)$$
 (3a)

$$T^{m}(\lambda;\mu,\mu_{i}) = \frac{1}{\mu_{i}} \int_{\mu_{i}-1/2}^{\mu_{i}+1/2} \mu' d\mu' \int_{0}^{2\pi} T(\lambda;\mu,\mu';\phi) \cos m\phi d\phi$$
(3b)

where L_{u}^{m} denotes the *m*th order Fourier component of upward radiance just below the ocean surface (τ^{0-}), which can be calculated by the adding method in the model; μ_{i} are the points for a discrete quadrature of N_{s} in the ocean system. $T(\lambda;\mu,\mu';\phi)$ is the diffuse transmission function of rough ocean surface related to the emergent and incident normal angles, μ and μ' , just above and below the ocean surface, respectively. $T(\lambda;\mu,\mu';\phi)$ can be derived from wind speed values based on the ocean surface mode of Nakajima and Tanaka (1983). To be consistent with the conventional ocean color products using normalized water leaving radiance (nL_w), which is approximately the water leaving radiance in the absence of

$$nL_{w}(\lambda;[Chl],S_{s},a_{y}(440)) = (\frac{d_{0}}{d})^{2} \frac{L_{w}\{\lambda;Nadir;[Chl],S_{s},a_{y}(440)\}}{E_{d}^{0+}(\lambda)}F_{0}(\lambda)$$
(4)

15 where d_0 is the Earth-Sun average distance where solar irradiance F_0 is reported, d is the Earth-Sun distance when the measurement is conducted. E_d^{0+} is the downward irradiance just above the ocean surface.

atmosphere, with the sun at the zenith and the mean earth-sun distance (Gordon and Clark, 1981), nL_w is computed as,

2.3 Optimization approach for retrieval

In the nonlinear atmosphere and ocean system, the measurements vector \mathbf{y} , such as the observed radiance or reflectance in each channel, can be simplified by an expression of forward radiative transfer model $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})$ with error $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, which is consist of measurement error and model error.

$y = F(x, b) + \varepsilon$

10

20

(5)

where \mathbf{x} is the state vector for a set of parameters being retrieved, and \mathbf{b} comprises the quantities that influence the observation but not to be retrieved. The inversion problem is to determine \mathbf{x} from the observations \mathbf{y} . Such an equation can be solved by Bayesian theory based on the assumption that the probability distribution function of measurement and

state vector are Gaussian distributions (Rodgers, 2000). Then the maximum a posterior optimization approach is adopted to minimize the cost function under the condition that a priori information is constrained. The optimal solution of retrieved parameters is determined in an iterative way through the Newton iteration method optimized by the Levenberg-Marququardt algorithm (Levenberg, 1944; Marquardt, 1963) to accelerate the convergence of algorithm, as,

5
$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_{i} + \left[\left(\mathbf{K}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{i} + (1+\gamma_{i}) \mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1} \right) \right]^{-1} \cdot \left[\mathbf{K}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right) - \mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{a} \right) \right]$$
(6)

where \mathbf{x}_i is the state vector to be retrieved at the i-th iteration; \mathbf{x}_a denotes the priori values of the state vector, \mathbf{S}_e is the measurement error covariance matrix, and \mathbf{S}_a is the variance-covariance matrix defined by a priori state values; \mathbf{K} is the Jacobian matrix or weighting function, which is derived by the forward model to the state vector as $\mathbf{K} = \partial \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) / \partial \mathbf{x}$. γ is a non-negative parameter chosen in each iteration to minimize the cost function using the method of Press (1994). Since the retrieved state vector is usually nonunique and also follows a Gaussian distribution with expect value $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and covariance

 $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$. The statistical uncertainties in retrieved parameters are given as,

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} = (\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1})^{-1}$$
(7)

Where the square roots of its diagonals are the 1 sigma uncertainties of each retrieved parameters.

- In this study, the state vector, **x**, consisted of eight parameters needed to be retrieved: AOT of fine particles, AOT of sea 15 spray, AOT of dust, volume soot fraction in fine particles, wind speed, [Chl], S_s and $a_y(440)$. The soot fraction in fine particles is assumed as the retrieval parameters to consider the inversion cases of absorptive aerosol loading. The spectral nL_w values are calculated based on Eq. (2)-(4) simultaneously. In terms to the priori information in the retrieval, a global three-dimensional spectral radiation-transport aerosol model named SPRINTARS (Takemura et al., 2000) is used to generate the apriori and uncertainty conditions of AOT for each type. Besides, the annual average and variance values of Chl from 20 MODIS Level 3 products in 2009 are adopted as the priori constrain for the determination of Chl. The apriori and uncertainty values of sediment and CDOM are defined as 1.0 g m⁻³ and 0.1 m⁻¹, respectively. The algorithm corrects the satellite-received reflectance using Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) data for ozone absorption and relative humidity, surface temperature, pressure and wind speed from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis data. A global averaged value of 35.5 PSU of oceanic salinity is used temporarily in current algorithm. The general
- 25 <u>flowchart of the retrieval scheme is shown in Fig. 1.</u>

3. Data and statistical method

3.1 MODIS data

10

MODIS/Aqua level 1b radiances and geometry information with 1km spatial resolution obtained from the collection 6 dataset derived from the MODIS land and atmosphere team are used in this study, because of the highly accurate instrument

calibration and wide observation bands used. Eight wavelengths measurement within the nominal central wavelengths of 412, 442, 488, 554, 678, 747, <u>869</u>, and <u>1640</u> nm are adopted in the joint inversion of aerosol and nL_w . Those wavelengths are selected so that the number of the measurement vector is consistent with the number of unknown parameters; In addition, the selected spectral observations are sensitive to either variations in aerosols or oceanic substances.

5 3.2 In situ data

The AERONET-OC dataset is employed in this study to validate the accuracy of retrieved AOT and nL_w using the simultaneous retrieval algorithm. The AERONET is a globally distributed ground-based aerosol monitoring system used for validating aerosol optical properties (Holben et al., 1998). Since 2006, a new component called AERONET Ocean Color (AERONET-OC) has been established and implemented to support long-term satellite ocean color investigations. It uses cross-site consistent and accurate measurements collected by modified sun photometers installed on offshore fixed platforms (Zibordi et al., 2009). Both the atmospheric conditon, such as aerosol and Rayleigh optical thickness, and the oceanic condition, such as normalized water leaving radiance and chlorophyll *a* concentration, are provided by AERONET-OC high-level products. In this study, level 2 data released after cloud-screening and from a quality-assured scheme of the NASA Goddard space flight center are adopted for validation. The AERONET-OC *in situ* spectral values of AOD and nL_w

are interpolated using a cubic spline function to the satellite bands.

In situ data are selected and collected from 8 AERONET-OC stations distributed in different ocean regions (as shown in Fig. 2) and then used to compare with satellite-derived results. The data are collected from four stations in the Pacific Ocean (Ieodo_Station, GOT_Seaprism, Lucinda and USC_SEAPRISM), two stations in the Atlantic Ocean (COVE_SEAPRISM and Thornton_C-power), and another two stations near Sahara Desert (Abu_Al_Bukhoosh and Galata_Platform,

20 respectively). The period of the mostly available data used in this study is started from 2010 to 2015. The data are selected to enable consideration of the complexity and variation of local atmospheric and oceanic <u>conditions</u>, with the aim of reaching an objective and reasonable assessment of the satellite retrieved data from <u>optimal estimation</u> algorithm.

In addition, we also calculated the averaged and standard deviation of AOT at 550 nm, Chl, nL_w in 412nm, 442nm, 488nm and 554nm (listed in Table 3) from all the observation values. It is demonstrated that there are distinct distribution for AOT

25 and Chl within different ocean regions; high AOT loading can be seen at Ieodo_Station, GOT_Seaprism, and Abu_Al_Bukhoosh and high values of Chl are seen at Ieodo_Station, Thornton_C-power, and COVE_SEAPRISM.

3.3 Comparison between satellite and in situ data

In order to have a better comparison between satellite retrieved results and *in situ* values, several criteria are adopted to extract the available data in this study: (1) more than 9 pixels can be analyzed successfully in 5×5 pixel windows around

30 each AERONET-OC site; (2) the time difference between AERONET observations and the satellite overpass is less than 1 hour; (3) cloudy scenes are determined when the reflectance at 488 nm is greater than 0.4, or the standard deviation of satellite reflectance of 550 nm in 3 × 3 pixels is greater than 0.0025 (Remer et al, 2005); (4) The sun glint is assumed when

8

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:42

Deleted: 867 SEKI 1/18/2018 15:42

Deleted: 1628

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:43 Deleted: circumstances

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:43 Deleted: good

SEKI 1/18/2018 17:38 Deleted: 1

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:45 Deleted: circumstances SEKI 1/18/2018 15:45 Deleted: one-step the reflected sun angle lies between 0° and 36° (Ackerman et al., 1998); (5) The retrieved or *in situ* chlorophyll *a* concentration over 10 mg m⁻³ are excluded. By adopting strict spatial-temporal match-up criteria, 123 dataset are achieved consisting of 105 cases where observation covered non-glint and 18 cases where observation covered glint.

Several statistical parameters are used in the evaluation, such as root mean square error (RMSE), average percentage 5 difference (APD), and mean percentage bias, which are calculated as follows,

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - x_i)^2}{N}}$$

$$APD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \times 100\%$$
(8b)
$$P_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \times 100\%$$
(8b)

 $Bias = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \times 100\%$ (8c)

where x_i is AERONET-OC results, y_i is satellite retrieved values, N is the number of match-up datasets.

10 4. Results and discussions

4.1. Vicarious calibration

The vicarious calibration is indispensable to have a better estimation of nL_w since the desired uncertainties on nL_w can not be achieved through instrument calibration and characterizations alone (Gordon, 1998) owing to the low proportion of L_w on the satellite observed radiance. To derive vicarious calibration coefficients, which force the satellite radiance to agree with

15 the simulated radiance, the ground observed nL_w as well as AOT are <u>used in the forward radiative transfer model</u>. The effects of gas absorption, surface pressure, aerosols wet growth process and polarization, are all considered. However, we ignore the influence of whitecaps by limiting data with low surface wind speed.

In this study, the dataset of AOT at 550 nm and spectral nL_w from one of AERONET-OC sites, i.e., Acqua Alta Oceanographic Tower (AAOT, also indicated as 'Venise'), located in the northern Adriatic Sea at approximately 8 nautical

- 20 miles from the main land, are adopted for the vicarious calibration. The site is selected since it provides an almost uninterrupted series of data with low uncertainties of nL_w (Zibordi et al., 2015) in visible and near infrared bands and has also been successfully used by Mélin and Zibordi (2010) in the determination of calibration coefficients used in their algorithm. To have a set of quality proved satellite and *in situ* measurement data, several criteria are adopted to select data. Generally, the match-up process is similar to those used for <u>SeaWiFS</u> from the study of Franz et al. (2007). (1) The
- 25

chlorophyll *a* concentration is lower than 0.2 mg m⁻³; (2) the aerosol optical thickness in 865 nm is lower than 0.15; (3) the satellite zenith angle and solar zenith angle are lower than 56° and 70°, respectively; (4) the reflected solar angle is larger than 36° to exclude the sun glint conditions; (5) the wind speed at 10 meters just above the ocean surface is lower than 7 m

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:47

(8a)

Deleted: sun glint is covered out SEKI 1/18/2018 15:48 Deleted: sun glint is covered in

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:51
Deleted: inputted
SEKI 1/18/2018 15:52
Deleted: to
SEKI 1/18/2018 15:52
Deleted: in this study

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:52 Deleted: SeaWIFS

s⁻¹. Due to the extensive quality screening process, 18 available data are selected for the vicarious calibration. It is studied that the derived coefficients show no significant temporal or geometric dependencies, and the mean values can be stabilized after approximate 20 high-quality calibration samples (Franz et al., 2007). The ensembles of nL_w for each case are shown in Fig. 3, the average values of nL_w are denoted by black solid line.

We simulate radiance at the top of atmosphere using the above input data. Figure <u>4</u> demonstrates the averaged ratio of the simulated radiance using ground-truth data to the satellite observed radiance in the band range from 400 to 800 nm. It is demonstrated that the simulated radiance generally compare well with the satellite observation with the ratio near 1 in 412 nm. In the interval of 450–750 nm, the coefficients are slightly lower than 1, which indicates the simulated atmospheric contribution tends to be underestimated in comparison with the satellite measurements. Moreover, larger variability is shown at longer wavelength.

10

15

5

4.2. Using satellite measurements out of sun glint

Based on the obtained vicarious coefficients, retrievals are firstly performed for eight *in situ* sites (shown in Fig. 2) to assess the accuracy of algorithm using the satellite measurements out of sun glint. Figure 5 shows results of the comparison between satellite simultaneously retrieved AOT at 550 nm and nL_w in 412 nm, 443 nm, 488nm and 554 nm, with AERONET observation values. Here AOT refers to the total aerosol optical thickness, which is the sum of the optical thickness of fine, sea spray, and dust particles, and only the nL_w in shorter wavelengths are demonstrated in this study due to

- their more obvious sensitivity to the variation of Chl and more accurate measurements from AERONET-OC. It is noted that uncertainties for the AERONET-OC *in situ* nL_w data are estimated less than 5% in the 412–551 nm spectral range and of approximately 8% at 667 nm (Zibordi et al., 2009). In the Ieodo_Station, the retrieved AOT and nL_w are significantly
- 20 consistent with the observation results shown in Fig. 5(a). High aerosol loading and water reflectance conditions are demonstrated due to the transportation from inland pollutant as a result of increase of oceanic substances or primary productivity (Tan et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2014). The retrieved Chl and sediment concentrations are mostly higher than those of other sites with averaged Chl and S_s up to 2.16 mg m⁻³ and 1.75 g m⁻³ in this study, since the location of this site is typically viewed as high turbid waters. In the GOT Seaprism, the retrieved AOT compare well with the AERONET reported
- 25 values, nevertheless several unconsistent cases of nL_w are shown in Fig. $\zeta(b)$, specifically, the derived nL_w in 488 nm are generally overestimated. For the retrieval results at the Lucida site (Fig. $\zeta(c)$) located in the Southern Hemisphere, low aerosol loading occur in this station, however, the estimated nL_w are overestimated in several cases, where the general variability patterns are significantly similar to those of MODIS standard OC products (not shown) as well as those occurred in Abu Al Bukhoosh (Fig. $\zeta(d)$). In regards to the derived AOT in Galata Platform and Abu Al Bukhoosh near the Sahara
- 30 Desert, the simultaneous retrieval algorithm has a good performance both in low and large aerosol concentration conditions, particularly for Abu_Al_Bukhoosh site, where obvious higher AOT of dust particles than those of other sites are derived with a good discrimination between sea spray and dust for the coarse aerosols in this study. In addition, the retrieved nL_w are

10

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:23

Deleted: 2

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:23 Deleted: 3

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:53

Deleted: The blue line shows the results in this study

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:53

Deleted: The results from the study of Werdell et al. (2006), which uses the Marine Optical Buoy data for the vicarious calibration of MODIS, are also shown as a comparison and denoted by red line. In general, the coefficients obtained in this study are fairly consistent with the Werdell et al's coefficients between 480 and 670 nm, while larger differences are demonstrated in the shorter and near infrared bands, which may be caused by different aerosol modes and radiative transfer model used in these two algorithms.

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 1 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24

Deleted: 4

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 4 SEKI 1/18/2018 15:55 Deleted: occurred

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 4 SEKI 1/18/2018 15:56 Deleted: in SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 4 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 4 generally consistent to the observations with estimated Chl of 1.55 mg m⁻³ averagely in Galata_Platform (Fig. $\xi(e)$), which is similar to the AERONET reported values of 1.51 mg m⁻³. In the Atlantic Ocean, two AERONET sites, COVE_SEAPRISM and Thornton_C-power, are selected for the validation of retrieval algorithm, which are located in the west and east coastal regions, respectively. Similar retrieval patterns are shown in Fig. $\xi(f)$ and $\xi(g)$. It is demonstrated that both the AOT and nL_w can be well determined in this study with low aerosol concentration and unconspicuous changing pattern. Nevertheless, the retrieved nL_w have larger amplitudes of temporal variations that maybe imply the constantly changing of oceanic environment. In the USC_SEAPRISM site located in the west coastal of United State, retrieved AOT are well consistent to the AERONET reported values with correlation coefficient and RMSE of 0.9242 and 0.0202, respectively, however, relatively slight temporal variations of nL_w are shown in this site (Fig. $\xi(h)$), which are obviously different to those of COVE SEAPRISM (Fig. $\xi(f)$) in east coastal regions.

5

10

Summaries of retrieved AOT at 550 nm, which can be jointly derived from current and MODIS standard atmospheric correction (AC) algorithms, versus AERONET-OC observations are shown in the scatter plots in Fig. $f_{(a)}$ and $f_{(b)}$, with the number of match-up pairs being 95. Results demonstrate that both algorithms have an accurate estimation of AOT in comparison to *in situ* measurements. However, current algorithm shows a more accurate retrieval of AOT than MODIS

- 15 standard AC algorithm of which AOT are <u>a little</u> overestimated. In addition, more cases can be determined in this study, particularly in the conditions of high aerosol loading demonstrated in Fig. $\oint(c)$, in which most of those occur at leodo_Station and Galata_Platform sites (shown in Fig. $\oint(a)$ and $\oint(c)$). Furthermore, a comparison with AERONET-OC data demonstrates a better estimation of AOT for current algorithm at other bands. Specifically, the mean AOT derived by these two approaches are plotted in Fig. $\oint(d)$. A more consistence between measurements and estimation from current algorithm is
- 20 identified, while the mean AOT retrieved by the standard AC scheme are generally overestimated, particularly $a_{\pm}412$ nm, as a result that the retrieved nL_w are sometimes negative. Such overestimation of AOT values from the standard AC algorithm were also reported by Goyens et al. (2013) and Fan et al. (2017) based on more data intercomparison. On the other hand, the simultaneous scheme decreases the RMSE of retrieved AOT up to less than 0.04 and reduces almost by up to 10% APD values compared with those derived from standard AC algorithm in the visible bands <u>averagely</u> (shown in Fig. $\rho(e)$ and $\rho(f)$).
- 25 This is because that all the spectral information can be used in current algorithm to increase the information content and more constraint in the inversion of AOT instead of conventional atmospheric correction schemes only using longer wavelengths, such as NIR or NIR/SWIR bands, and then extrapolating to shorter wavelengths. Moreover, the aerosol modes used in those two algorithms are different and may also influence the retrieval accuracy of AOT, of which current scheme adopts a three-component external mixture mode with internal mixture in each type in the consideration of soot particles
- 30 viewed as absorptive aerosol, while MODIS standard AC algorithm uses the two-component model of Ahmad et al. (2010) based on data collected mainly at open ocean. On the contrary, the retrieved AOT from these two algorithms are significantly similar in the NIR bands, with the differences of RMSE and APD of 0.001 and 1.31% at 867nm, respectively, even though the visible spectral information are also used in this study, which is also implied that the observation data in the

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 4 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 16:05 Deleted: slightly SEKI 1/18/2018 19:24 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:25 Deleted: 4 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:25 Deleted: 4 SEKI 1/18/2018 16:05 Deleted: in SEKI 1/18/2018 19:25 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 16:05 Deleted: in SEKI 1/18/2018 16:06 Deleted: shorter SEKI 1/18/2018 16:06 Deleted: wavelengths (412 nm-443 nm) SEKI 1/18/2018 16:07 Deleted: in those bands SEKI 1/18/2018 16:12 Deleted: averagely SEKI 1/18/2018 19:25 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:25 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 16:13 Deleted: It is caused that SEKI 1/18/2018 16:14

Deleted: AERONET sites

NIR channels plays a major role in the inversion of AOT, particularly AOT at NIR bands, while simultaneous retrieval algorithm using multispectral measurements is more effective to derive AOT at visible bands.

Figure 2 shows comparisons of jointly retrieved nL_w at 412, 442, 488 and 554 nm from simultaneous retrieval algorithm and MODIS standard AC algorithm with AERONET-OC observations. Results indicate that "over atmospheric correction" can

- 5 be avoided to have a better estimation of nL_w at 412 nm and 442 nm using simultaneous retrieval algorithm (shown in Fig. $\mathcal{Z}(a)$), where several of nL_w values derived from standard atmospheric correction scheme are negative (shown in Fig. $\mathcal{Z}(b)$), which may be caused by the overestimation of AOT in those bands just as Fig. $\mathcal{L}(d)$ shows or inappropriate estimation of AOT in the simultaneous algorithm can reduce APD by up to 15% with a significant improvement compared with the standard AC scheme shown in Fig. $\mathcal{Z}(d)$. However, MODIS standard
- 10 algorithm has a better estimation of nL_w at 488 nm than those from simultaneous retrieval approach with smaller values of RMSE and APD (Fig. 2c and 2d), even though more accurate retrieval of AOT at 488 nm using current scheme is identified shown in Fig. 6(d) - 6(f). Possible reasons may arise from the deficient modeling of IOPs of oceanic substances in the ocean module of RT model as small variations of IOPs might introduce large errors of nL_w retrieval at blue bands just as Fig. 2ddemonstrated, besides, the slight underestimation of AOT at 488 nm (shown in Fig. 6(d)) may also render the overestimation
- 15 of nL_w correspondingly. To develop empirical bio-optical schemes for the retrieval of Chl, band ratios of the normalized water leaving radiances are often used (O'Reilly et al., 1998). Figure Z(e) presents the comparison of ratios of nL_w(442)/nL_w(554) and nL_w(488)/nL_w(554) obtained from the two approaches with AERONET-OC observation values. Results demonstrate that simultaneous retrieval algorithm obtains better inversion of nL_w(442)/nL_w(554) and nL_w(488)/nL_w(554), with RMSE of 0.392 and 0.276, respectively, and APD of 16.30% and 11.34%, respectively. In contrast,
- values from MODIS standard AC algorithm is less consistent to AERONET-OC products, with RMSE of 0.491 and 0.379, respectively, and APD of 24.50% and 12.94%, respectively, even though there are not significant difference of RMSE and APD for the individual values of nL_w at 442 and 554 nm shown in Fig. 7(c) and 7(d). As a result that the estimated Chl from current algorithm based on OC4V4 scheme (without nL_w at 510 nm in this study) (O'Reilly et al., 1998) are more consistent to the AERONET Chl products (Fig. 2(f)), with the RMSE and APD of 0.571 mg m⁻³ and 36.35%, in comparison to those
- 25 from MODIS standard AC approach of 0.849 mg m⁻³ and 41.27%, respectively. It may be caused by the different methods adopted in these two algorithms for the determination of L_w, where current scheme calculates L_w mostly based on underwater conditions from Eq. (3) while L_w derived by the MODIS operational scheme are obtained from the residual values of satellite radiance after atmospheric correction.

The algorithm is then applied to the selected image obtained around the East China Sea on October 2011. Spatial distributions of the simultaneous retrieval of total AOT at 550 nm, nL_{gv} at 412 nm and 554 nm are shown in Fig. 8(c), 8(c)

and 8(g), the MODIS standard aerosol products (Fig. 8(a)) and OC products (Fig. 8(b), 8(d) and 8(f)) are also added as comparisons. In general, the retrieved AOT are mostly similar to that of MODIS aerosol products, as well as OC products, where the high aerosol loading around Bohai Sea can be observed in Fig. 8(a) and 8(c), however, the MODIS AC scheme can not produce useful AOT data in this heavy aerosol area (Fig. 8(b)). In regards to the estimated nL_w at 412 nm and 554 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 6

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 6 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 6 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 6 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 6c SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 6d SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: (2 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted:) SEKI 1/18/2018 19:29 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:30 Deleted: 6 SEKI 1/18/2018 17:34 Deleted: 5 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:30 Deleted: 6 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:30 Deleted: 6 Deleted: 6 Formatted: Subscript

SEKI 1/18/2018 18:05 Formatted: Subscript

nm, there are also good consistencies between MODIS OC products and those derived from the simultaneous retrieval approach, while the retrieved nLw at 412 nm from MODIS OC products are reported to be negative values in the north of Yellow Sea (Fig. 8(d)), where such case can be avoided using current scheme shown in Fig. 8(e).

4.3. Retrieval results based on sun glint observation

- 5 In this study, the reflectance and transmission function of the ocean surface are calculated using the formulation of Nakajima and Takana (1983), these functions are derived using wind speed values, which is similar to the Cox and Munk's ocean model (Cox and Munk, 1954) but without offset. To investigate the retrieval accuracy using sun glint observation, a simulation retrieval experiment is conducted firstly. The simulation is run for a solar zenith angle of 45° and viewing angle in the total specular reflection and out of sun glint conditions. Five total AOT at 550 nm of 0.039, 0.084, 0.123, 0.181, 0.346,
- 10 are used (Knobelspiesse et al., 2012) with the fine mode fraction of 70%. The ocean is modeled with a low wind speed of 3 m s⁻¹ (Ottaviani et al., 2013) and values of Chl of 0.5 mg m⁻³, respectively, where sediment and CDOM are neglected. The accuracy of the satellite radiance in the measurement is set to 2%. Figure 2 shows the retrieval uncertainties in different AOT values from in glint and out of glint observation using eight MODIS wavelengths measurements. It is demonstrated that the retrieval uncertainty of coarse AOT increases significantly in high value conditions when the observation is covered in sun
- 15 glint, while the relative magnitude of the uncertainty of fine AOT is not surprising with of less than 25% on average from the sun glint measurement. A more accurate retrieval of wind speed is achieved using sun glint observations due to the significant sensitivity to measurements (the apriori value of wind speed is set to 5 m s⁻¹). For the determination of chlorophyll *a* concentration, there is not significant difference of retrieval uncertainty using sun glint or not, it is caused by the unconspicuous influence of sun glint signal on the underwater filed, which is similar to the study of Ottaviani et al.
- 20 (2013) using more observation information. Therefore, relatively accurate retrieval of AOT can be determined based on the simultaneous retrieval of wind speed in sun glint measurements, when the aerosol is dominated by fine mode particles or low value conditions.

The inversion algorithm is then applied to investigate the accuracy of retrieved AOT and nL_w using real satellite data covered in sun glint region. The NCEP data are used as the priori constrain of wind speed values in this study. Figure <u>10</u> shows the comparisons of retrieved AOT values, which can be jointly estimated from these two approaches, to the AERONET observations with the number of match-up pairs being 18. Results indicate that the sun glint do contaminate the estimation of AOT, where the accuracy reduced by up to 15% or more compared with those using out-of-sunglint measurements. Generally, the derived AOT at 550 nm from current algorithm are much more consistent with AERONET-OC data than those obtained from MODIS standard AC algorithm shown in Fig. <u>10(a) and 10(b)</u>, where the 30 reported AOT are mostly overestimated in sun glint regions. Such overestimation conditions are also occurred in other bands shown in Fig. <u>10(c)</u>. Different to the retrieval cases based on the measurements out of sun glint (Fig. <u>6(d)</u>), the mean AOT values from standard AC scheme are <u>much larger</u> than AERONET-OC data at NIR bands. In general, the estimated AOT

from <u>current</u> scheme compare well with the *in situ* pruducts, of which the RMSE and APD reduce by up to 0.023 and

13

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32

Deleted: 7

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32 Deleted: 8 SEKI 1/18/2018 16:17 Deleted: to introduce more retrieval error SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32 Deleted: 8 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32 Deleted: 8

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32 Deleted: 8 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32 Deleted: 5

SEKI 1/18/2018 16:17 Deleted: still larger a lot

SEKI 1/25/2018 17:06

Deleted: one-step

45.25% in blue bands and 0.043 and 70.14% in green and even NIR bands, respectively, when compared with those derived from the standard AC algorithm shown in Fig. 10(d) and 10(e). This could be caused by the underestimation of the contribution of the direct solar beam reflection from the ocean surface to the satellite received signals and more AOT is reciprocally estimated. In contrast, wind speed is not fixed but simultaneously retrieved in this study to compensate the

5 estimated specular reflectance error using Cox and Muck model (Cox and Munk, 1954) even though this model has been proved to be of good performance validated by satellite measurements (Zhang and Wang, 2010). However, the simultaneous algorithm seems to over-correct the sun glint contamination to estimate the AOT values lower a lot than the standard AC scheme in high aerosol loading conditions, just as Fig. <u>10</u>(a) and <u>10</u>(b) shown.

For the retrieval of nL_w in sun glint region, the <u>optimal estimation</u> algorithm has a significant improvement in the <u>determination</u> of nL_w at 412 nm with less RMSE and APD values and slight better inversion of nL_w at 442 and 554 nm, but worse retrieval of nL_w at 488 nm than those from the standard AC scheme in contrast to *in situ* measurements shown in Fig.
 ↓1(a) - ↓1(d). Such situations are also similar to that using the satellite measurements out of sun glint (Fig. √(c) - √(d)). In regards to the band ratios of nL_w(443)/nL_w(554) and nL_w(487)/nL_w(554), current algorithm estimates less values of RMSE but higher values of APD than the MODIS standard AC algorithm (Fig. ↓1(e)) compared with *in situ* products. The retrieved

- 15 Chl in this study has a slightly better correlation coefficient and a smaller RMSE and APD than MODIS OC products in contrast to AERONET-OC data. It is shown that there are several cases that Chl are much more overestimated shown in Fig.
 1(f), which may be caused by the overestimation of atmospheric contribution in the atmospheric correction procedure, where most of them occur at Galata_Platform sites. Compared with the AERONET-OC products, MODIS operational AC scheme tends to overestimate the Chl values, which is contrary to current algorithm seemed to underestimate the Chl values.
- 20 generally, as those demonstrated in Fig. 7(f) and 1(f), using satellite measurements covered out of sun glint and in sun glint, respectively.

5. Conclusions and outlooks

Satellite remote sensing of aerosols and water leaving radiance in coastal waters remains a challenging problem due to the difficulty of estimating the path radiance over turbid coastal waters. Besides, the direct sun glint reflected on the ruffled

- 25 ocean also influences the retrieval accuracy due to its contamination in satellite measurements. Standard atmospheric correction (AC) schemes of ocean color, such as the ones implemented for MODIS instrument, use near infrared (NIR) bands or combined NIR and shortwave infrared (SWIR) bands to retrieve the aerosol optical properties based on the assumption of null water leaving radiance and then extrapolate to the shorter wavelengths using the derived aerosol modes from pre-calculated look up tables. However, the retrieved aerosol spectral properties are not always fully consistent with the
- 30 observations at shorter wavelengths using conventional AC algorithm as a result that the AOT values are sometimes reported to be overestimated and the derived water leaving radiance is occasionally negative in those bands.

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32 Deleted: 8 SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32

Deleted: 8

SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32
Deleted: 8
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:32
Deleted: 8
SEKI 1/25/2018 17:07
Deleted: one-step
SEKI 1/25/2018 17:08
Deleted: estimation
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 9
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 9
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 6
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 6
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 9
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 9
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 6
SEKI 1/18/2018 19:33
Deleted: 9
SEKI 1/18/2018 16:18
Deleted: existence of optically complex water body or high aerosol loading
SEKI 1/18/2018 16:19
Deleted: on
SEKI 1/18/2018 16:19
Deleted: in



To deal with these problems and make full use of spectral information as well as shorter bands measurements in the joint determination of aerosol optical thickness and water leaving radiance, a different algorithm that simultaneously retrieves AOT and L_w from multispectral measurements is developed in this study. In this algorithm, we use a coupled atmosphere-ocean radiative transfer (RT) model combined with a comprehensive oceanic bio-optical module, in

- 5 consideration of influence of sediment and CDOM, as well as temperature and salinity, as the forward RT simulation. Then an optimization approximation scheme is developed to adjust the retrieved parameters consisted of AOT of fine, sea spray and dust particles, soot fraction in fine particle, wind speed, chlorophyll *a* concentration, sediment and CDOM, to fit observation with spectral measurements in an iterative manner. The adjustment of retrieved parameters allows the retrieval of water leaving radiance calculated by the developed oceanic module simultaneously.
- 10 We derived the <u>system</u> vicarious calibration coefficients using ground-truth measurements for <u>the</u> current algorithm firstly. The following retrievals are then performed based on the calibration results. Validation is carried out using the AERONET-OC products selected from 8 sites distributed over different ocean regions to investigate the accuracy and flexibility of the simultaneous retrieval algorithm in determining AOT and nL_w. For the retrieval using satellite measurements out of sun glint, a good agreement between results is obtained at each station, including in the cases of high
- 15 aerosol loading and turbid waters, such as at leodo Station in yellow sea. Generally, the retrieved spectral AOT values are more consistent to the AERONET products, particularly for the AOT in visible bands, with the averaged percentage difference (APD) reduced by up to 10% compared with those using standard AC scheme, where derived AOT values are tend to be overestimated. At NIR bands, the two algorithms have a similar estimation of AOT. For the retrieval of nL_w, over atmospheric correction can be avoided to have a significant improvement for the inversion of nL_w at 412 nm and slight better
- 20 estimation of nL_w at 443 nm. Band ratios of nL_w(443)/nL_w(554) and nL_w(488)/nL_w(554) derived from current algorithm have a less root mean square error (RMSE) and APD to obtain a better estimation of Chl than those from standard AC scheme, with the APD of Chl decreased by approximate 5%. Similar situations are also occurred using satellite measurements covered in sunglint, where the accuracy of derived AOT is improved more significantly than that from standard AC algorithm, where AOT values are mostly overestimated. It may be caused that the wind speed, which has significant
- 25 weighting function in sun glint measurements, is also simultaneously retrieved in this study to compensate the specular reflectance error from the ocean surface. On the other hand, the standard AC scheme has a better retrieval of nL_w at 488 nm generally, with the APD value of 5% lower than that from current algorithm, prompting a further improvement of oceanic bio-optical module in this study.
- In future, optimization of the bio-optical model should be conducted using more extensive *in situ* observation data, such as 30 the study of Maritorena et al. (2002), to have a better modeling of radiation process in the ocean system, since small variations of inherent optical properties of ocean substance may translate into large nL_w retrieval errors. Moreover, improvement of algorithm adopting multi-pixel constrain technique (Dubovik et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2016; Hashimoto and Nakajima, 2017) is also part of our ongoing study. Since current algorithm adopts the coupled RT model and optimization approach to derive AOT and nL_w in an iteration manner, the computation efficiency will be lower a lot than that of standard

SEKI 1/18/2018 16:21 Deleted: has

SEKI 1/18/2018 16:48 **Deleted:** and acceleration scheme (Takenaka et al., 2011) SEKI 1/18/2018 16:49 **Deleted:** work

AC schemes. In order to overcome this problem, a Neutral Network solver, which has been successfully implemented in the estimation of solar radiation (Takenaka et al., 2011) from geostationary satellite measurement and joint retrieval of AOT and ground surface albedo over land (Hashimoto et al., 2017), is being constructed to accelerate the algorithm by a factor of several thousand and related study will be explicated in another work.

5 Acknowledgements

This supported by funds from MOEJ&JAXA/GOSAT&GOSAT2, JST/CREST/JPMJCR15K4, work was JAXA/EarthCARE&GCOM-C, MOEJ/ERTDF/S-12, National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (41590875), Key Laboratory of Meteorological Disaster of Ministry of Education, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology (KLME1509). The authors express their sincere thanks to the personnel and relevant PIs (Giuseppe Zibordi, Brent Holben, Thomas Schroeder, Young-Je Park, Hak-Yeol You, Dimitry Van der Zande, Burton Jones, Curtiss Davis) for 10 their continuous effort in establishing and maintaining the AERONET-OC sites used in this investigation. The Australian Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS) and the CSIRO are acknowledged for funding the Lucinda AERONET-OC site. The MODIS, OMI and NCEP science teams are acknowledged for releasing the data. We also thank Prof. Chuanmin Hu and Prof. Robert Frouin for their insightful suggestions to improve our work. We thank the one anonymous reviewer and Prof. Zhanqing Li for providing constructive review comments, as well as Dr. Thomas Schroeder and A. M. Sayer for their 15

valuable short comments.

References

Ackerman, S. A., Strabala, K. I., Menzel, W. P., Frey, R. A., Moeller, C. C., and Gumley, L. E.: Discriminating clear sky from clouds with MODIS, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 103, 32141-32157, 1998.

20 Ahmad, Z., Franz, B. A., McClain, C. R., Kwiatkowska, E. J., Werdell, J., Shettle, E. P., and Holben, B. N.: New aerosol models for the retrieval of aerosol optical thickness and normalized water-leaving radiances from the SeaWiFS and MODIS sensors over coastal regions and open oceans, Appl. Opt., 49, 5545-5560, 2010.

Antoine, D., and Morel, A.: A multiple scattering algorithm for atmospheric correction of remotely sensed ocean colour (MERIS instrument): principle and implementation for atmospheres carrying various aerosols including absorbing ones, Int J

25 Remote Sens., 20, 1875-1916, 1999.

Bailey, S. W., Franz, B. A., and Werdell, P. J.: Estimation of near-infrared water-leaving reflectance for satellite ocean color data processing, Opt. Express, 18, 7521-7527, 2010.

Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.-M., Kondo, Y., Liao, H., Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., S.K, S., Sherwood, S., B., S., and Zhang, X. Y.: Clouds and aerosols, in: Climate change 2013: The

physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, Cambridge University Press, 571-657, 2013.

Breon, F. M., and Henriot, N.: Spaceborne observations of ocean glint reflectance and modeling of wave slope distributions, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 111, 10.1029/2005jc003343, 2006.

Bricaud, A., and Morel, A.: Atmospheric corrections and interpretation of marine radiances in CZCS imagery: use of a 5 reflectance model, Oceanol. Acta, 7, 33-50, 1987.

Bricaud, A., Babin, M., Morel, A., and Claustre, H.: Variability in the chlorophyll-specific absorption coefficients of natural phytoplankton: Analysis and parameterization, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 13321-13332, 1995.

Bricaud, A., Morel, A., and Prieur, L.: Absorption by dissolved organic matter of the sea (yellow substance) in the UV and 10 visible domains, Limnol. Oceanogr, 26, 43-53, 1981.

Chami, M., Lafrance, B., Fougnie, B., Chowdhary, J., Harmel, T., and Waquet, F.: OSOAA: a vector radiative transfer model of coupled atmosphere-ocean system for a rough sea surface application to the estimates of the directional variations of the water leaving reflectance to better process multi-angular satellite sensors data over the ocean, Opt. Express, 23, 27829-27852, 2015.

15 Chomko, R. M., and Gordon, H. R.: Atmospheric correction of ocean color imagery: use of the Junge power-law aerosol size distribution with variable refractive index to handle aerosol absorption, Appl. Opt., 37, 5560-5572, 1998.

Chowdhary, J., Cairns, B., and Travis, L. D.: Contribution of water-leaving radiances to multiangle, multispectral polarimetric observations over the open ocean: bio-optical model results for case 1 waters, Appl. Opt., 45, 5542-5567, 2006. Chust, G., and Sagarminaga, Y.: The multi-angle view of MISR detects oil slicks under sun glitter conditions, Remote Sens

Environ., 107, 232-239, 2007. 20

> Cox, C., and Munk, W.: Measurement of the roughness of the sea surface from photographs of the sun's glitter, J. Opt. Soc. Am, 44, 838-850, 1954.

> Doerffer, R., and Fischer, J.: Concentrations of chlorophyll, suspended matter, and gelbstoff in case II waters derived from satellite coastal zone color scanner data with inverse modeling methods, J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans (1978-2012), 99,

25 7457-7466, 1994.

> Dubovik, O., and King, M. D.: A flexible inversion algorithm for retrieval of aerosol optical properties from Sun and sky radiance measurements, J. Geophys, Res.: Atmospheres (1984-2012), 105, 20673-20696, 2000.

> Dubovik, O., Herman, M., Holdak, A., Lapyonok, T., Tanré, D., Deuzé, J., Ducos, F., Sinyuk, A., and Lopatin, A.: Statistically optimized inversion algorithm for enhanced retrieval of aerosol properties from spectral multi-angle polarimetric satellite observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 975-1018, 2011.

30

Dubovik, O., Holben, B., Lapyonok, T., Sinyuk, A., Mishchenko, M., Yang, P., and Slutsker, I.: Non-spherical aerosol retrieval method employing light scattering by spheroids, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 2002.

Dubovik, O., Lapyonok, T., Kaufman, Y., Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kahn, R., and Sinyuk, A.: Retrieving global aerosol sources from satellites using inverse modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 209-250, 2008.

Fan, Y., Li, W., Gatebe, C. K., Jamet, C., Zibordi, G., Schroeder, T., and Stamnes, K.: Atmospheric correction over coastal waters using multilayer neural networks, Remote Sens Environ., 199, 218-240, 2017.

5 Fell, F., and Fischer, J.: Numerical simulation of the light field in the atmosphere-ocean system using the matrix-operator method, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 69, 351-388, 2001.

Fischer, J., and Grassl, H.: Radiative transfer in an atmosphere—ocean system: an azimuthally dependent matrix-operator approach, Appl. Opt., 23, 1032-1039, 1984.

Fougnie, B., Deschamps, P.-Y., and Frouin, R.: Vicarious calibration of the POLDER ocean color spectral bands using in situ measurements, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 37, 1567-1574, 1999.

Fournier, G. R., and Forand, J. L.: Analytic phase function for ocean water, Ocean Optics XII, 194-201, 1994.

Franz, B. A., Bailey, S. W., Werdell, P. J., and McClain, C. R.: Sensor-independent approach to the vicarious calibration of satellite ocean color radiometry, Appl. Opt., 46, 5068-5082, 2007.

Frouin, R., and Pelletier, B.: Bayesian methodology for inverting satellite ocean-color data, Remote Sens Environ., 159, 332-360, 2015.

Frouin, R., Deschamps, P.-Y., Gross-Colzy, L., Murakami, H., and Nakajima, T. Y.: Retrieval of chlorophyll-a concentration via linear combination of ADEOS-II Global Imager data, J. Oceanogr., 62, 331-337, 2006.

Fukushima, H., Higurashi, A., Mitomi, Y., Nakajima, T., Noguchi, T., Tanaka, T., and Toratani, M.: Correction of atmospheric effect on ADEOS/OCTS ocean color data: Algorithm description and evaluation of its performance, J.

20 Oceanogr., 54, 417-430, 1998.

10

Gao, B.-C., Montes, M. J., Ahmad, Z., and Davis, C. O.: Atmospheric correction algorithm for hyperspectral remote sensing of ocean color from space, Appl. Opt., 39, 887-896, 2000.

Gordon, H. R., and Clark, D. K.: Clear water radiances for atmospheric correction of coastal zone color scanner imagery, Appl. Opt., 20, 4175-4180, 1981.

25 Gordon, H. R., and Morel, A. Y.: Remote assessment of ocean color for interpretation of satellite visible imagery: A review, American Geophysical Union, 114 pp., 1983.

Gordon, H. R., and Wang, M.: Retrieval of water-leaving radiance and aerosol optical thickness over the oceans with SeaWiFS: a preliminary algorithm, Appl. Opt., 33, 443-452, 1994.

Gordon, H. R., Brown, J. W., and Evans, R. H.: Exact Rayleigh scattering calculations for use with the Nimbus-7 coastal 30 zone color scanner, Appl. Opt., 27, 862-871, 1988.

Gordon, H. R.: In-orbit calibration strategy for ocean color sensors, Remote Sens Environ., 63, 265-278, 1998.

Goyens, C., Jamet, C., and Schroeder, T.: Evaluation of four atmospheric correction algorithms for MODIS-Aqua images over contrasted coastal waters, Remote Sens Environ., 131, 63-75, 2013.

Hale, G. M., and Querry, M. R.: Optical constants of water in the 200-nm to 200-µm wavelength region, Appl. Opt., 12, 555-563, 1973.

Harmel, T., and Chami, M.: Determination of sea surface wind speed using the polarimetric and multidirectional properties of satellite measurements in visible bands, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 2012.

5 Hasekamp, O. P., Litvinov, P., and Butz, A.: Aerosol properties over the ocean from PARASOL multiangle photopolarimetric measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmospheres, 116, 10.1029/2010jd015469, 2011.

Hashimoto, M., and Nakajima, T.: Development of a remote sensing algorithm to retrieve atmospheric aerosol properties using multi-wavelength and multi-pixel information, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 10.1002/2016JD025698, 2017.

Hashimoto, M., Takenaka, H., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Adaptation of an aerosol retrieval algorithm using
 multi-wavelength and multi-pixel information of satellites (MWPM) to GOSAT/TANSO-CAI, In Proceedings of the AGU
 Fall Meeting, A21A-2152, 2017.

He, X. Q., Bai, Y., Pan, D. L., Tang, J. W., and Wang, D. F.: Atmospheric correction of satellite ocean color imagery using the ultraviolet wavelength for highly turbid waters, Opt. Express, 20, 20754-20770, 10.1364/oe.20.020754, 2012.

He, X., Bai, Y., Zhu, Q., and Gong, F.: A vector radiative transfer model of coupled ocean-atmosphere system using matrix-operator method for rough sea-surface, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 111, 1426-1448, 2010.

Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Development of a two-channel aerosol retrieval algorithm on a global scale using NOAA AVHRR, J. Atmos. Sci, 56, 924-941, 1999.

Holben, B., Eck, T., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J., Kaufman, Y., and Nakajima, T.: AERONET—A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, Remote Sens Environ., 66, 1-16,

20 1998.

Hu, C., Carder, K. L., and Muller-Karger, F. E.: Atmospheric correction of SeaWiFS imagery over turbid coastal waters: a practical method, Remote Sens Environ., 74, 195-206, 2000.

Hu, C., Li, X., Pichel, W. G., and Muller-Karger, F. E.: Detection of natural oil slicks in the NW Gulf of Mexico using MODIS imagery, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, 2009.

25 Hu, C.: An empirical approach to derive MODIS ocean color patterns under severe sun glint, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, 2011. Huang, J., Wang, T., Wang, W., Li, Z., and Yan, H.: Climate effects of dust aerosols over East Asian arid and semiarid regions, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119, 2014.

Huibers, P. D.: Models for the wavelength dependence of the index of refraction of water, Appl. Opt., 36, 3785-3787, 1997.

Huot, Y., Morel, A., Twardowski, M. S., Stramski, D., and Reynolds, R. A.: Particle optical backscattering along a 30 chlorophyll gradient in the upper layer of the eastern South Pacific Ocean, Biogeosciences, 5, 495-507, 2008.

Kahn, R. A., Sayer, A. M., Ahmad, Z., and Franz, B. A.: The Sensitivity of SeaWiFS Ocean Color Retrievals to Aerosol Amount and Type, J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech., 33, 1185-1209, 2016.

Jamet, C., Loisel, H., Kuchinke, C. P., Ruddick, K., Zibordi, G., and Feng, H.: Comparison of three SeaWiFS atmospheric correction algorithms for turbid waters using AERONET-OC measurements, Remote Sens Environ., 115, 1955-1965, 2011.



Jeong, M. J., and Li, Z.: Quality, compatibility, and synergy analyses of global aerosol products derived from the advanced very high resolution radiometer and Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 110, 2005.

Jin, Z., Charlock, T. P., Rutledge, K., Stamnes, K., and Wang, Y.: Analytical solution of radiative transfer in the coupled atmosphere-ocean system with a rough surface, Appl. Opt., 45, 7443-7455, 2006.

5 Kaufman, Y., Martins, J., Remer, L., Schoeberl, M., and Yamasoe, M.: Satellite retrieval of aerosol absorption over the oceans using sunglint, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 34-31-34-34, 2002.

Knobelspiesse, K., Cairns, B., Mishchenko, M., Chowdhary, J., Tsigaridis, K., van Diedenhoven, B., Martin, W., Ottaviani,
 M., and Alexandrov, M.: Analysis of fine-mode aerosol retrieval capabilities by different passive remote sensing instrument designs, Opt. Express, 20, 21457-21484, 2012.

10 Kokhanovsky, A. A., Budak, V. P., Cornet, C., Duan, M., Emde, C., Katsev, I. L., Klyukov, D. A., and Korkin, S. V.: Benchmark results in vector atmospheric radiative transfer, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 111, 1931-1946, 2010. Kou, L., Labrie, D., and Chylek, P.: Refractive indices of water and ice in the 0.65-to 2.5-μm spectral range, Appl. Opt., 32, 3531-3540, 1993.

Kuchinke, C. P., Gordon, H. R., and Franz, B. A.: Spectral optimization for constituent retrieval in Case 2 waters I: Implementation and performance, Remote Sens Environ., 113, 571-587, 2009.

Levenberg, K.: A method for the solution of certain non-linear problems in least squares, Q. Appl. Math., 2, 164-168, 1944. Li, W., Stamnes, K., Spurr, R., and Stamnes, J.: Simultaneous retrieval of aerosol and ocean properties by optimal estimation: SeaWiFS case studies for the Santa Barbara Channel, Int J Remote Sens., 29, 5689-5698, 2008.

 Li, Z., Zhao, X., Kahn, R., Mishchenko, M., Remer, L., Lee, K.-H., Wang, M., Laszlo, I., Nakajima, T., and Maring, H.:
 Uncertainties in satellite remote sensing of aerosols and impact on monitoring its long-term trend: a review and perspective, Ann. Geophys., 2009, 2755-2770.

Mao, Z. H., Chen, J. Y., Hao, Z. Z., Pan, D. L., Tao, B. Y., and Zhu, Q. K.: A new approach to estimate the aerosol scattering ratios for the atmospheric correction of satellite remote sensing data in coastal regions, Remote Sens Environ., 132, 186-194, 10.1016/j.rse.2013.01.015, 2013.

25 Maritorena, S., Siegel, D. A., and Peterson, A. R.: Optimization of a semianalytical ocean color model for global-scale applications, Appl. Opt., 41, 2705-2714, 2002.

Marquardt, D. W.: An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters, SIAM J Appl Math., 11, 431-441, 1963.

Mélin, F., and Zibordi, G.: Vicarious calibration of satellite ocean color sensors at two coastal sites, Appl. Opt., 49, 798-810, 30 2010.

Mobley, C. D., Gentili, B., Gordon, H. R., Jin, Z., Kattawar, G. W., Morel, A., Reinersman, P., Stamnes, K., and Stavn, R. H.: Comparison of numerical models for computing underwater light fields, Appl. Opt., 32, 7484-7504, 1993.

Mobley, C. D., OCEAN OPTICS Web Book, 2014.

15

Mobley, C. D.: Light and water: Radiative transfer in natural waters, Academic press, 1994.

SHI SEKI 1/31/2018 09:52 Deleted: Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres Morel, A., and Maritorena, S.: Bio-optical properties of oceanic waters: A reappraisal, J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans (1978–2012), 106, 7163-7180, 2001.

Morel, A.: Optical properties of pure water and pure sea water, Optical aspects of oceanography, 1, 22pp, 1974.

5

10

30

Morrison, J. R., and Nelson, N. B.: Seasonal cycle of phytoplankton UV absorption at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) site, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 215-224, 2004.

Murakami, H., Yoshida, M., Tanaka, K., Fukushima, H., Toratani, M., Tanaka, A., and Senga, Y.: Vicarious calibration of ADEOS-2 GLI visible to shortwave infrared bands using global datasets, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 43, 1571-1584, 2005.

Nakajima, T., and Higurashi, A.: AVHRR remote sensing of aerosol optical properties in the Persian Gulf region, summer 1991, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 102, 16935-16946, 1997.

Nakajima, T., and Tanaka, M.: Algorithms for radiative intensity calculations in moderately thick atmospheres using a truncation approximation, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 40, 51-69, 1988.

Nakajima, T., and Tanaka, M.: Effect of wind-generated waves on the transfer of solar radiation in the atmosphere-ocean system, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 29, 521-537, 1983.

15 Nakajima, T., and Tanaka, M.: Matrix formulations for the transfer of solar radiation in a plane-parallel scattering atmosphere, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 35, 13-21, 1986.
Nulstiine T. Tanaka, M. Versen, M. Chicker, M. Ann. K. and Nulstriki, V. Annaka et al. Annaka et al. (2014)

Nakajima, T., Tanaka, M., Yamano, M., Shiobara, M., Arao, K., and Nakanishi, Y.: Aerosol optical characteristics in the yellow sand events observed in May, 1982 at Nagasaki-Part II Models, J. Meteor. Soc. Japan. Ser. II, 67, 279-291, 1989.

Ota, Y., Higurashi, A., Nakajima, T., and Yokota, T.: Matrix formulations of radiative transfer including the polarization effect in a coupled atmosphere–ocean system, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 111, 878-894, 2010.

Ottaviani, M., Knobelspiesse, K., Cairns, B., and Mishchenko, M.: Information content of aerosol retrievals in the sunglint region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 631-634, 2013.

Pan, D., and Z. Mao: Atmospheric correction for China's coastal water color remote sensing, Acta Oceanolog. Sin., 20(3), 343–354, 2001.

25 Pope, R. M., and Fry, E. S.: Absorption spectrum (380–700 nm) of pure water. II. Integrating cavity measurements, Appl. Opt., 36, 8710-8723, 1997.

Press, W. H.: Numerical recipes 2nd edition: The art of scientific computing, Cambridge university press, 1994.

Prieur, L., and Sathyendranath, S.: An optical classification of coastal and oceanic waters based on the specific spectral absorption curves of phytoplankton pigments, dissolved organic matter, and other particulate materials, Limnol. Oceanogr., 26, 671-689, 1981.

Quan, X., and Fry, E. S.: Empirical equation for the index of refraction of seawater, Appl. Opt., 34, 3477-3480, 1995.

Remer, L. A., Kaufman, Y., Tanré, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., Ichoku, C., Levy, R., and Kleidman, R.: The MODIS aerosol algorithm, products, and validation, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 947-973, 2005.

Remer, L. A., Tanre[´], D., and Kaufman, Y. J.: Algorithm for re- mote sensing of tropospheric aerosol from MODIS: Collection 5, MODIS Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document, http://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov/MOD04 L2/atbd.html, 2006. Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding: Theory and practice, World scientific, Singapore, 2000.

Röttgers, R. d., Doerffer, R., McKee, D., and Schönfeld, W.: Pure water spectral absorbtion, scattering, and real part of 5 refractive index model, ESA algorithm technical basis document, 2010.

Ruddick, K. G., Ovidio, F., and Rijkeboer, M.: Atmospheric correction of SeaWiFS imagery for turbid coastal and inland waters, Appl. Opt., 39, 897-912, 2000.

Schroeder, T., Behnert, I., Schaale, M., Fischer, J., and Doerffer, R.: Atmospheric correction algorithm for MERIS above case-2 waters, Int. J. Remote Sens., 28, 1469-1486, 2007.

10 Sekiguchi, M., and Nakajima, T.: A k-distribution-based radiation code and its computational optimization for an atmospheric general circulation model, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 109, 2779-2793, 2008.

Shettle, E. P., and Fenn, R. W.: Models for the aerosols of the lower atmosphere and the effects of humidity variations on their optical properties, 1979.

Shi, C., and Nakajima, T.: Estimation of chlorophyll concentration in waters near Hokkaido using the linear combination method, Opt. Express, 25, A963-A979, 2017.

15

25

Shi, C., Nakajima, T., and Hashimoto, M.: Simultaneous retrieval of aerosol optical thickness and chlorophyll concentration from multi-wavelength measurement over East China Sea, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 121, 14084-14101, 2016.

Shi, C., Wang, P., Nakajima, T., Ota, Y., Tan, S., and Shi, G.: Effects of Ocean Particles on the Upwelling Radiance and Polarized Radiance in the Atmosphere–Ocean System, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 32, 1-11, 2015.

20 Siegel, D. A., Wang, M., Maritorena, S., and Robinson, W.: Atmospheric correction of satellite ocean color imagery: the black pixel assumption, Appl. Opt., 39, 3582-3591, 2000.

Smith, R. C., and Baker, K. S.: Optical properties of the clearest natural waters(200-800 nm), Appl. Opt., 20, 177-184, 1981.
Stamnes, K., Li, W., Yan, B., Eide, H., Barnard, A., Pegau, W. S., and Stamnes, J. J.: Accurate and self-consistent ocean color algorithm: simultaneous retrieval of aerosol optical properties and chlorophyll concentrations, Appl. Opt., 42, 939-951, 2003.

Steinmetz, F., Deschamps, P.-Y., and Ramon, D.: Atmospheric correction in presence of sun glint: application to MERIS, Opt. Express, 19, 9783-9800, 10.1364/oe.19.009783, 2011.

Stumpf, R., Arnone, R., Gould, R., Martinolich, P., and Ransibrahmanakul, V.: A partially coupled ocean-atmosphere model for retrieval of water-leaving radiance from SeaWiFS in coastal waters, NASA Tech. Memo, 206892, 51-59, 2003.

30 Takemura, T., Okamoto, H., Maruyama, Y., Numaguti, A., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Global three-dimensional simulation of aerosol optical thickness distribution of various origins, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 17853-17817,17873, 2000. Takenaka, H., Nakajima, T. Y., Higurashi, A., Higuchi, A., Takamura, T., Pinker, R. T., and Nakajima, T.: Estimation of solar radiation using a neural network based on radiative transfer, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 116, 2011.

Tan, S. C., Shi, G. Y., Shi, J. H., Gao, H. W., and Yao, X.: Correlation of Asian dust with chlorophyll and primary productivity in the coastal seas of China during the period from 1998 to 2008, J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosciences, 116, 2011. Tassan, S.: Local algorithms using SeaWiFS data for the retrieval of phytoplankton, pigments, suspended sediment, and yellow substance in coastal waters, Appl. Opt., 33, 2369-2378, 1994.

5 Wang, M., and Bailey, S. W.: Correction of sun glint contamination on the SeaWiFS ocean and atmosphere products, Appl. Opt., 40, 4790-4798, 2001.

Wang, M., and Franz, B. A.: Comparing the ocean color measurements between MOS and SeaWiFS: A vicarious intercalibration approach for MOS, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 38, 184-197, 2000.

Wang, M., and Shi, W.: The NIR-SWIR combined atmospheric correction approach for MODIS ocean color data processing, Opt. Express, 15, 15722-15733, 2007.

Wang, M.: Atmospheric correction for remotely-sensed ocean-colour products, Reports and Monographs of the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG), 2010.

Wang, M.: The Rayleigh lookup tables for the SeaWiFS data processing: accounting for the effects of ocean surface roughness, Int J Remote Sens., 23, 2693-2702, 2002.

- 15 Xu, F., Dubovik, O., Zhai, P.-W., Diner, D. J., Kalashnikova, O. V., Seidel, F. C., Litvinov, P., Bovchaliuk, A., Garay, M. J., Harten, G. v., and Davis, A. B.: Joint retrieval of aerosol and water-leaving radiance from multispectral, multiangular and polarimetric measurements over ocean, Atmos Meas Tech., 9, 2877-2907, 2016. Yan, B., Stamnes, K., Li, W., Chen, B., Stamnes, J. J., and Tsay, S.-C.: Pitfalls in atmospheric correction of ocean color imagery: how should aerosol optical properties be computed?, Appl. Opt., 41, 412-423, 2002.
- 20 Yoshida, M., Murakami, H., Mitomi, Y., Hori, M., Thome, K. J., Clark, D. K., and Fukushima, H.: Vicarious calibration of GLI by ground observation data, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 43, 2167-2176, 2005. Zhai, P.-W., Hu, Y., Chowdhary, J., Trepte, C. R., Lucker, P. L., and Josset, D. B.: A vector radiative transfer model for coupled atmosphere and ocean systems with a rough interface, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 111, 1025-1040, 2010. Zhai, P.-W., Hu, Y., Winker, D. M., Franz, B. A., Werdell, J., and Boss, E.: Vector radiative transfer model for coupled
- 25 atmosphere and ocean systems including inelastic sources in ocean waters, Opt. Express, 25, A223-A239, 2017. Zhang, H., and Wang, M.: Evaluation of sun glint models using MODIS measurements, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer., 111, 492-506, 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2009.10.001, 2010.

Zhang, X., and Hu, L.: Estimating scattering of pure water from density fluctuation of the refractive index, Opt. Express, 17, 1671-1678, 10.1364/oe.17.001671, 2009.

30 Zhang, X., Hu, L., and He, M.-X.: Scattering by pure seawater: Effect of salinity, Opt. Express, 17, 5698-5710, 10.1364/oe.17.005698, 2009.

Zhao, F., and Nakajima, T.: Simultaneous determination of water-leaving reflectance and aerosol optical thickness from Coastal Zone Color Scanner measurements, Appl. Opt., 36, 6949-6956, 1997.

SEKI 1/18/2018 15:53

Deleted: Werdell, P. J., Franz, B. A., Bailey, S. W., and McClain, C. R.: Recent Advances in the Operational Vicarious Calibration of Visible and Near-infrared Ocean Color Satellite Radiometry, Ocean Optics XVIII, Montreal, Quebec, 9-13, 2006.

Zibordi, G., Mélin, F., Berthon, J.-F., Holben, B., Slutsker, I., Giles, D., D'Alimonte, D., Vandemark, D., Feng, H., and Schuster, G.: AERONET-OC: a network for the validation of ocean color primary products, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 26, 1634-1651, 2009.

Zibordi, G., Mélin, F., Voss, K. J., Johnson, B. C., Franz, B. A., Kwiatkowska, E., Huot, J.-P., Wang, M., and Antoine, D.:

5 System vicarious calibration for ocean color climate change applications: Requirements for in situ data, Remote Sens Environ., 159, 361-369, 2015.

Table 1. Aerosol modes used in this study

Туре	Components	Spherical	Height (km)	$r_m(\mu m)$	S
Fine	Water-soluble, dust-like and soot	Yes	$0 \sim 2$	0.175	2.240
Sea spray	Sea salt	Yes	$0 \sim 2$	2.200	2.010
Dust	Dust-like/Yellow sand ^a	No	$4 \sim 8$	4.000	3.000

^aThe yellow sand mode (Nakajima et al., 1989) is only used in the retrieval of AOT in Ieodo_Station.

Table 2. Bio-optical ocean	n module used	l in the	e RT	model
----------------------------	---------------	----------	------	-------

Component	Parameters	Formula ^a	References
	Real part of refractive index	$n_{r}(T,S,\lambda) = n_{a} \times [n_{0} + (n_{1} + n_{2}T + n_{3}T^{2})S + n_{4}T^{2} + (n_{5} + n_{6}S + n_{7}T) / \lambda + n_{8} / \lambda^{2} + n_{9} / \lambda^{3}]$	Hale and Querry (1973) Quan and Fry (1995) Huibers (1997) Zhang and Hu (2009)
Seawater	Imaginary part of refractive index	Cubic spline fitting	Hale and Querry (1973) Smith and Baker (1981) Kou et al. (1993) Pope and Fry (1997)
	Absorption coefficient	$a_w(T,S,\lambda) = a_w(T_0,S_0,\lambda) + (T-T_0)\psi_T(\lambda) + (S-S_0)\psi_S(\lambda)$	Röttgers et al. (2010)
	Scattering coefficient	$b_{w}(T,S,\lambda) = \frac{8\pi}{3}\beta_{w}(90^{\circ},T,S,\lambda)\frac{2+\delta_{w}}{1+\delta_{w}}$	Zhang et al. (2009) Zhang and Hu (2009)
	Phase function	$\beta_{w}(\Theta, T, S, \lambda) = \beta_{w}(90^{\circ}, T, S, \lambda)$ $(1 + \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} \cos^{2} \Theta)$	Morel (1974)
	Absorption coefficient	$a_{_{ph}}(\lambda) = A(\lambda)[Chl]^{{}^{1-B(\lambda)}}$	Bricaud et al. (1995) Morrison and Nelson (2004) Mobley (2014)
	Scattering coefficient $b_{ph}(\lambda) = 0.347[Chl]^{0.766}[\lambda/660]^{\nu([Chl])}$		Morel and Maritorena (2001) Huot et al. (2008)
Chl	Phase function ^b	$P_{ph}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi(1-\delta)^2 \delta^{\nu}} \{\nu(1-\delta) - (1-\delta^{\nu}) + [\delta(1-\delta^{\nu}) - \nu(1-\delta)\sin^{-2}(\Theta/2)] \} + \frac{1-\delta_{180}^{\nu}}{16\pi(\delta_{180}-1)\delta_{180}^{\nu}} (3\cos^2(\Theta) - 1) $ $\nu = (3-\mu)/2, \delta = 4/[3(n-1)]\sin^2(\Theta/2)$	Fournier and Forand (1994)
Sediment	Scattering	$b_{sed}(\lambda) = b_s(550)(\lambda / 550)^{n_s} S_s$	Tassan (1994)

	Phase function ^b	$P_{sed}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi(1-\delta)^2 \delta^{\nu}} \{\nu(1-\delta) - (1-\delta^{\nu}) + [\delta(1-\delta^{\nu}) - \nu(1-\delta)\sin^{-2}(\Theta/2)] \} + \frac{1-\delta_{180}^{\nu}}{16\pi(\delta_{180}-1)\delta_{180}^{\nu}} (3\cos^2(\Theta)-1)$	Fournier and Forand (1994)	
		$v = (3-\mu)/2, \delta = 4/[3(n-1)]\sin^2(\Theta/2)$		
CDOM	Absorption	$a(\lambda) = a(440)\exp(-0.014(\lambda - 440))$	Pricoud et al. (1081)	
CDOM	coefficient	$u_y(x) = u_y(x) \exp(-0.014(x + 10))$	Difeate et al. (1961)	

^aT (0–30) is temperature in degrees Celsius; S (0–40) is salinity in PSU (practical salinity units); $\psi_T(\lambda)$ and $\psi_S(\lambda)$ are the temperature and salinity correction coefficients for water absorption; $\beta_w(90^\circ)$ is the volume scattering function at 90°; δ_w is the depolarization factor defined as 0.039 by default (Zhang et al., 2009). S_s and $a_y(440)$ are the concentration of sediment and absorption

coefficient of CDOM in 440 nm. The absorption effect of sediment is neglect. Besides, CDOM is assumed as pure absorber.

-

⁵ ^bThe phase function is an analytical approximation form of the scattering angular distribution of an ensemble of particles. It has a hyperbolic size distribution based on the exact Mie theory and is calculated using the real part of particles refractive index, n, and slope parameter, μ . For chlorophyll, n and μ are determined as 1.068 and 3.38 to derive the backscattering fraction is 0.0065 as Li et al. (2008). For sediment, n and μ are fixed at 1.200 and 3.275 so that the backscattering fraction is corresponding to 0.015 as used by Tassan (1994).

Table 3. Mean values and the standard deviation of *in situ* AOT at 550 nm, Chl (mg m⁻³) and nL_w (mw sr⁻¹ cm⁻² μ m⁻¹) at selected stations

Station	AOT	[Chl]	nL _w (412nm)	<u>nL_w(442nm)</u>	<u>nL_w(488nm)</u>	<u>nL_w(554nm)</u>
Ieodo_Station	0.250 ± 0.191	2.070 ± 0.443	1.502 ± 0.351	2.075 ± 0.469	2.852 ± 0.580	2.827 ± 0.684
GOT_Seaprism	0.248±0.118	0.301 ± 0.149	$0.870 {\pm} 0.278$	0.873±0.211	0.847 ± 0.145	0.329 ± 0.077
Lucinda	$0.075 {\pm} 0.035$	2.049 ± 0.892	$0.776{\pm}0.403$	1.084 ± 0.542	1.435 ± 0.695	1.303 ± 0.706
Abu_Al_Bukhoosh	0.271 ± 0.170	$0.890{\pm}0.397$	0.475 ± 0.207	0.602 ± 0.262	0.801 ± 0.348	0.548 ± 0.318
Galata_Platform	0.147 ± 0.089	1.512±2.466	$0.364{\pm}0.162$	0.535±0.241	0.833±0.371	0.846 ± 0.352
Thornton_C-power	0.125 ± 0.092	5.057 ± 4.407	0.418 ± 0.186	0.591 ± 0.284	0.934 ± 0.464	1.181 ± 0.503
COVE_SEAPRISM	0.087 ± 0.062	2.602 ± 0.975	0.428 ± 0.241	0.624 ± 0.300	0.977 ± 0.426	1.057±0.485
USC_SEAPRISM	$0.090{\pm}0.051$	0.505 ± 0.564	$0.731{\pm}0.208$	0.742±0.179	0.740±0.133	0.339 ± 0.088

SEKI 1/18/2018 17:25
Deleted: $nL_w(412)$
SEKI 1/18/2018 17:26
Formatted: Subscript
SEKI 1/18/2018 17:25
Deleted: nL _w (442)
SEKI 1/18/2018 17:25
Deleted: nL _w (488)
SEKI 1/18/2018 17:25
Deleted: $nL_w(554)$

28



Figure 1: Flow chart of retrieval algorithm.



Figure 2: Locations of selected AERONET-OC stations (star symbols)

SEKI 1/18/2018 17:04

Deleted: 1



Figure $\frac{3}{4}$ Spectra of AAOT nL_w used for the calculation of vicarious calibration coefficients in this study. The gray lines represent the individual spectra, the black line shows the average spectrum, the dotted lines denote the average spectrum ± 1 standard deviation

SEKI 1/18/2018 17:05 Deleted: 2





study, red line are results from Werdell et al. (2006).









Figure \oint : Comparison of jointly retrieved AOT at 550 nm from simultaneous retrieval algorithm (a) and MODIS OC products (b) using satellite measurements out of sun glint with AERONET-OC *in situ* products; Comparison of retrieved AOT at 550nm in high aerosol loading conditions from current algorithm with AERONET-OC observation (c). Statistical mean AOT values (d), RMSE (e) and APD (f) results retrieved by these two approaches compared with AERONET-OC products at 412, 442, 488, 554, 670, 746, and 867 nm.













<u>Figure 8: Comparison of satellite simultaneously retrieved AOT at 550 nm and nL_w (mw sr⁻¹ cm⁻² µm⁻¹) at 412 nm and 554 nm with MODSI operational products over East China Sea on 18th Oct. 2011. (a) MODIS Aerosol AOT products; (b), (d), (f) MODIS Ocean Color AOT and nL_w products; (c), (e), (g): Simultaneously retrieved AOT and nL_w in this study.</u>



Figure 2: Simulated retrieval absolute uncertainties (components of \hat{S}) as a function of total AOT at 550 nm. Results using sun glint observation are shown in solid lines, and those from out of sun glint are in dashed lines.

SEKI 1/18/2018 17:13

Deleted: 7









