We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her helpful comments which improved the manuscript considerably. Answers to comments are written in blue. Changes in the manuscript are marked with red.

5 Review: Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.; acp-2017-90 (Martinsson et al.)

General comments:

This manuscript explores the connection between chemical speciation at the micro level and air mass surface exposure at the macro level. The study is based on a comprehensive chemical data set of organic species. An expected outcome of the study is that that the surface category "coniferous forest" had a clear impact on the mass concentration of the measured compounds, whereas the surface category "sea and ocean" only had a low explanatory power. As the authors state, the biogenic source and surface origin of the dicarboxylic acids, azelaic acid, suberic acid and pimelic acid, which are closely related, is not clear, and should be the focus of future

15

Specific comments:

studies.

Page 2 – line 14: Another monoterpene to be considered is Δ_3 -carene (Räisänen et al., 2013).

We have added this information.

20

Page 2 – 25: The number of carbon atoms in a molecule should be indicated with a subscript: C₃-C₆, C₇-C₉.

This has been corrected.

This has been corrected.

Page 16 – Table 1: The structure of MBTCA should be corrected as follows:

This has been corrected.

Technical corrections:

Page 2 – line 6 and many places elsewhere: a space is missing after ";" in the cited references.

35

An error in the citation software won't allow the authors to insert this space. We are aware of this and hope that it can be fixed through typesetting if the manuscript gets accepted.

Page 2 – line 16: gas-phase products

40

This has been corrected

Page 2 – line 17: in the aerosol phase.

45 This has been corrected.

Page 3 – line 3: low-volatility

This has been corrected.

Page 3 – line 20: a one-year study

The current study is not a one-year study. It stretches from June 2012-July 2012.

Page 4 – line 2: high-volume

This has been corrected.

10 Page 4 – lines 3, 5 and 12: a space is missing before " 0 C".

This has been corrected.

Page 4 – line 9: 15 µL.....

15

5

This has been corrected.

Page 4 – lines 16, 17 and 18: min ("minutes" is abbreviated as "min").

20 This has been corrected.

Page 4 – lines 9 and 11: mL

This has been corrected.

25

Page 4 – line 19: The abbreviation "MS" stands for the technique "mass spectrometry" and not for theinstrument. Thus: "The ESI-q-TOF-MS instrument" (see Murphy et al., 2013).

This has been corrected.

30

Page 5 – line 13: of precipitation,

This has been corrected.

35 Page 7 – line 3: fatty acid-derived

This has been corrected.

Page 7 – line 12/13: fatty acid-derived

40

This has been corrected.

Page7 – line 13: have a different origin than isoprene- and monoterpene-generated acids,

This has been corrected.

Page 8 – line 3: "broad-leaved forest".....

5 This has been corrected.

Page 8 – line 23: and monoterpenes

This has been corrected.

10

Page 8 – line 26: monoterpene-derived both monoterpene- and isoprene-derived

This has been corrected.

15 Page 8 – line 33: "broad-leaved forest".....

This has been corrected.

Page 9 – line 12: "broad-leaved forest".....

20

This has been corrected.

Page 9 – line 14: (C_7-C_9) (see specific comment above). This has been corrected.

25

Page 9 – line 19: is thought to

This has been corrected.

30 Pages 11 – 14: Titles of journal articles should not be capitalized.

This has been corrected.

Table 2 – legend: Measured *m/z*, f) Surratt et al. (2008), h) Surratt et al. (2010).

35 This has been corrected.

References:

K. K. Murray, R. K. Boyd, M. N. Eberlin, G. J. Langley, L. Li, Y. Naito. Definitions of terms relating to mass spectrometry (IUPAC Recommendations 2013). Pure Appl. Chem., 85, 1515-1609, 2013.

40

T. Räisänen, A. Ryyppö, S. Kellomäki. Effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on monoterpene emission of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.). Atmos. Environ. 2008, 42, 4160.

We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her helpful comments which improved the manuscript considerably. Answers to comments are written in blue. Changes in the manuscript are marked with red.

Interactive comment on "Exploring sources of biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds using chemical analysis and the FLEXPART model" by Johan Martinsson et al.

10 Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 16 March 2017

Reviewer comments: acp-2017-90, Exploring sources of biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds using chemical analysis and the FLEXPART model

15

20

The authors quantify a number of organic compounds in PM2.5 samples collected on filters in rural Sweden, in particular several acids, di-acids, and organosulfates. By modeling (using FLEXPART) the landcover types that influenced each sample, the authors are able to use principle component analysis to connect landcover to composition and qualitatively determine the importance of different landcover types. This is, in general, a valuable and important goal, and a reasonable approach to doing so.

- The conclusions of this work add to the body of work demonstrating the importance of coniferous forests to European aerosol loadings, and the work is generally scientifically rigorous with an honest assessment of capabilities and limitations. There are certain places in this work where the authors could dig deeper, discuss
- ²⁵ further implications, or further clarify and frame the proper interpretation of the data; these are discussed below and may make this analysis stronger, but these are generally only minor revisions.

General comments:

- The crux of the scientific contribution of this work is the PCA, in particular the connection between landcover and composition, and the correlations between some of the straight-chain di-acids. However, the results of the PCA are not particularly surprising (biogenic products come from forests), while some substantial lingering questions that are not wholly addressed by the authors:
- The main conclusions revolve around PC1, which includes a large majority of the measured components, as
 well as most of the explained variability. As noted by the authors, the compounds include both monoterpene and isoprene products, which are known to be dominant emissions from different tree species –including further components may split this out (or not), did the authors consider trying other PC solutions or parameters?

We performed several PCAs by varying the number of rotated factors from 2 to 6. We then judged the interpretability of the PCAs by attempts to associate logical and physical explanations to the extracted factors. In this dataset, the subjective best interpretation was observed by using VARIMAX rotation for 4 extracted factors. We have added some information regarding this issue in the "2.4.3 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)" section.

2) For PC2 (and PC4 to a lesser extent), no compounds really correlate with these cover types – was there lower loadings in general, or was PM2.5 just comprised of compounds not measured in this work? We, as authors, do not really understand this comment and guestion. The PCA loadings are displayed in Table 7, and they vary depending on which PC you study.

We found detectable concentrations of all presented compounds. Hence, the case might be that the strongly contributing land-cover types in PC2 and PC4 do not contribute to the observed PM-species.

3) There are some biogenic compounds that don't really fall strongly in to any of the PCs (OS250, both NOS) any thoughts about why that is or how those are different from all the others that co-vary? In the case of pinonic acid, for instance, previous work (Thompson et al., http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2016.1254719) has

shown it is mostly in the gas phase, so filter samples may be mostly gas-phase artifacts which may make it 10 different than the other lower volatility products.

Formation of NOS depends on availability of precursors, including NOx, which could affect their variation compared to other tracers, OS250 is a product of alpha/beta-pinene and it is not clear why the correlation to other OS is low.

15

5

We agree that a major fraction of pinonic acid is found in the gas phase, though the partitioning is expected to be somewhat shifted at the lower temperatures in Sweden compared to the study of Thompson et al. in Alabama during summer, favoring partitioning to the particle phase in this work. Previous work of Kristensen et al.

- (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.10.046) showed that as much as 80% of pinonic acid collected with a 20 high volume sampler could be due to gas phase adsorption, but if gaseous and particulate products are transported in the same air masses this should only affect the variation to a minor degree.
- 25 4) Was any attempt made to consider the age of the particle as it relates to landcover?

That is, a coniferous forest (or pixel) near the site will emit pinene, but not be turned in to pinic acid before the site, while a farther forest (or pixel) might. So all pixels are not created equal, and in many ways these factors are probably a driving force in variability. For instance, is it not possible for likely that the covariance of isoprene and monoterpene products that put them in the same PC is due to chemical processes, not differences in emission from landcover?

This is a very good idea. It is a bit out of the scope of this study but we have added some sentences regarding this approach in the outlook.

- Still, monoterpenes and isoprene are, as far as the authors are aware, derived from different types of forests 35 (more specifically, plants). Hence, any similarity in emission patterns may portrait emissions from mixed forests while differences may indicate emissions from very specific land-cover types.
- 5) Throughout the work, the authors classify the di-acids into two groups, anthropogenic and fatty acid, but it's not 40 clear why that is and given their structures why they wouldn't just get binned. Unless it is in the introduction and I missed it. This is especially confusing given that the authors go on to demonstrate that they co-vary, or at least if they are two different groups (adipic vs. others) it is not in the breakdown pre-supposed.

We have added some information on the precursor sources to these acids in the results and discussion section.

45

30

Specific comments:

P. 2 L. 2 – Why start the paper with a comment about PM10 when the rest of the paper is about PM2.5?

This has been changed.

P. 2 L. 9 – "Four main categories: ::" This is an odd breakdown, they aren't really symmetrical categories. Isoprene isn't really a "category" it's just one compound, and there is no clear split between "other reactive" and "less reactive". So the categories are 'individual compound', 'class of compounds', 'compounds of a certain poorly defined property'. It should be classes, or sources, or properties, or some unifying 'type' of categories. I can deal with isoprene being treated as a 'class' if there is

5 properties, or some unifying 'type' of categories. I can deal with isoprene being treated as a 'class' if there is generally other symmetry, but the switch of 'class' to 'property' is asymmetric.

Very good comment. We have changed "categories" to "classes". We have also re-phrased the sentence and removed the last two "categories".

10

P. 3 L. 1 – "BVOCs constitute more than 50% of all atmospheric VOCs: : :" – If I'm not mistaken, that is low, generally BVOCs are more like 90%.

The numbers given are correct according to the cited references.

15

P. 3 L. 9 – Use "Influence" instead of "enhancement". While the influence of sulfate is generally enhancing, the presence of OSs only points to influence, they do not necessarily indicate that BSOA mass would have been lower in the absence of anthropogenic influence, just that it would have been different composition.

20 This has been corrected.

P. 4 L. 15 – Eluent A is 0.1% acetic acid in what? Water?

Yes, in water. This has been clarified.

25

P.5. L. 14 – "A formal source apportionment would require a precise accounting of these factors, which is extremely complicated and is clearly out of the scope of this study." This is a subjective sentence that could be re-worded to more precisely state the reasons for not providing more discussion or detail into the impact of the factors discussed in the previous sentence. Even if "out of the scope," some discussion of these factors would

- 30 greatly enhance the discussion and interpretation of these results, see General Comment 4. Change to something more like "A formal source apportionment that includes a precise accounting of these factors is out of the scope of this study which is focused on landcover types, but some discussion of these factors is included where relevant."
- 35 Good comment. We have changed this in accordance to the reviewers comment.

P. 5. L. 29 – put a separation in 100000, either a space as used elsewhere, or change all of them to commas (my preference, as an American: : :) or periods

40 This has been corrected.

P. 6 L. 1 – Typo in "ocean"

This has been corrected.

- 45
- P. 6 L. 14 Change to "PCA was: : :"

This has been corrected.

50 P.6 L. 20 – Should be "noting" instead of "noticing".

This has been corrected.

P.6 L. 21 – "most probably" is fairly informal, and "has" is the wrong tense. Can probably just combine this and 5 the next sentence into one sentence.

This has been corrected.

P. 6 L. 26 – see General Comment 5, why are the di-acids split into different categories?

10

We have added some information on the precursor sources to these acids in the results and discussion section.

P. 7 L. 15 – Here, and in general, the discussion and analysis would be bolstered by testing for the effect of excluding these days with peaks. Does the correlation become more like that of the other acids? Does it fall into the same PC as the other acids? In other words, is the big difference of this acid just these two peaks? And if so, is there any indication in the back trajectories or composition of what might be causing these peaks?

- We have removed the concentration peaks in adipic acid and re-analyzed the data and the PCA. The outcome of this re-analysis is stated in section "3.3 Connection between surface type and measured species".
- 20

25

P. 7 L. 29 – The intuitive interpretation of these data is of course what the authors not should not be interpretation, that the land cover exposure is a measure of the contribution of the landcover to aerosol production. It would help for the authors to re-iterate what the proper interpretation is, since it is not wholly clear (note that in the methods section, the back trajectories are discussed in the subheading of "Source Apportionment"). This clarification may help shape the discussion somewhat.

We, as authors, are not sure what the intention of the reviewer's comment is here.

In the mentioned line we are discussing the exposure contribution of the "Other" category. We are stating that this category has significant impact on the exposure and a deeper discussion on the "Other" category follows a few rows down: "Further, the category "other" is also increased during this particular period....."

P. 8 L. 22 – Should be "Methods section"

35 This has been corrected.

P. 9 L. 6 – It would be interesting to see if adipic ended up in this factor if the 2 spikes were excluded (see comment below about PC4)

40 We have removed the concentration peaks in adipic acid and re-analyzed the data and the PCA. The outcome of this re-analysis is stated in section "3.3 Connection between surface type and measured species".

P. 9 L. 12 – Interpreting 0.21 has a meaning contribution is probably somewhat overinterpreting. Perhaps this is a place where exploring other correlations or factors may be worth discussion.

45

We agree with the reviewer that drawing conclusions from a loading of 0.21 is dangerous and may lead to false interpretations. Hence, we have removed the concluding sentence stating that broad-leaved forest may contribute to carboxylic acid production.

50 We have added more discussion regarding the carboxylic acids further down in the same section.

P. 9 L. 16 – Again, how much of this is due to those two spikes? To speculate for a moment, is it not possible that the landcover types associated with PC4 just happen to be co-located with some strong point source of adipic acid, so it is all due to an unidentified covariance?

We have removed the concentration peaks in adipic acid and re-analyzed the data and the PCA. The outcome of this re-analysis is stated in section "3.3 Connection between surface type and measured species".

10 P. 10 L. 9 – Remove "totally." Again, a comprehensive implementation may be out scope, but some more discussion of these factors is warranted in the PC analysis, particularly results that are difficult to explain by landcover.

We have removed "totally". Further, we have added more discussion in the 3.3 section. Especially, regarding the carboxylic acids and the effect of removing concentration peaks of adipic acids and the followed re-analysis.

We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her helpful comments which improved the manuscript considerably. Answers to comments are written in blue. Changes in the manuscript are marked with red.

Interactive comment on "Exploring sources of biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds using chemical analysis and the FLEXPART model" by Johan Martinsson et al.

10 Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 27 March 2017

Review of Martinsson et al., "Exploring sources of biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds using chemical analysis and the FLEXPART model"

15

Synopsis

In this work, the abundance and time variability of molecular compounds identified in filter samples of ambient air measured at the Vavihill site in Sweden is investigated. The authors then use a numerical Lagrangian particle dispersion model (FLEXPART) and statistical analysis (PCA) to identify source regions for the different

20 compounds they have identified. They find that coniferous forests contribute strongly to monoterpene tracers found in organic aerosol samples.

General comments

The authors attempt to use backtrajectory calculations to qualitatively connect exposure of an air mass to land

- 25 surface types to molecular markers found in organic aerosol samples, thereby investigating their formation formation processes. This is a nice and straightforward idea. The authors struggle, however, to convince the reviewer that their analysis and modeling has been conducted in a knowledgeable way. Their use of beta release software without justification, plus a number of other vaguenesses in the methods description are a warning sign that considerable caution should be taken before this manuscript can be published. Apart from questions
- 30 regarding the methods used, the final results of the analysis don't seem to provide much new information. The fact that coniferous forest emits monoterpenes which then forms SOA has been shown numerous times. If there are other important findings, they are not apparent to the reviewer.

In summary I am tempted to recommend rejecting the manuscript due to the deficiencies in the methods section and the lack of scientifically new findings. It should be noted that in my review I cannot comment on aspects of

35 and the lack of scientifically new findings. It should be noted that in my review I cannot comment on aspects of the chemical analysis, as this is not my field of expertise. Hence I will recommend 'major revisions' here, as the chemical analysis might contain information that is novel for other readers.

Specific comments

40 * Use of beta software in analysis

The current stable release version of FLEXPART is 9.02, while the authors (claim to?) use version 10.0. Software in beta versions is considered unstable and for testing purposes only and can surely not be used in a scientific publication.

The justification for using FLEXPART 10.0 over FLEXPART 9.02 is purely practical: we already had an installation of FLEXPART 10.0 (or based on FLEXPART 10.0: some of the output subroutines were modified by us) running for another project, and it was simple to re-use it for computing these aerosol footprints.

We haven't encountered any instability, neither have we discovered any weirdness in the results that could point 5 to a specific problem of aerosol simulations in FLEXPART 10.0. Therefore, unless there is such a known problem, we don't see the justification for re-computing the footprints with FLEXPART 9.02.

* HYSPLIT and FLEXPART together

It is unclear why simulations using the HYSPLIT model are 1) done at all and 2) presented as auxiliary analysis which is different from the FLEXPART analysis. Both HYSPLIT and FLEXPART solve the transport equations backwards in time. HYSPLIT as used here calculates single, deterministic trajectories, while FLEXPART calculates a large number (100000 in the present case) of trajectories, applying processes like turbulence and convection stochastically. FLEXPART by default delivers mass-weighted center trajectories and clusters (see documentation), which provide information equivalent to HYSPLIT. There is no additional information gained from

15 the use of the HYSPLIT model, unless the authors start and compare the model results in detail. I recommend removing this completely, at most leaving a sentence stating that they evaluated HYSPLIT and it gave similar results.

We compute these HYSPLIT trajectories routinely, as auxiliary data of the measurements. They are, as the reviewer notices, very simplistic, and we didn't use them in the interpretation of the data. The FLEXPART-based analysis was done in a later stage, and is meant to be more thorough. We however agree that the interest of showing the HYSPLIT data is limited; therefore we removed them from Figure 2.

* Uncertainties due to neglected sources and sinks during transport

- 25 On several occasions the authors caution that what they are doing is neither a full source inversion, nor a modeling effort considering (non-linear) effects of chemistry and other sources and sinks in the atmosphere. Statements like: "a formal source apportionment would require precise accounting of these factors, which is extremely complicated and is clearly out of scope of this study" (p 5, I 14-15) leave the reader wondering what this study is about, then, as more then half of the manuscript deals with exactly this kind of analysis on a simple
- 30 level. This leaves the reader with the uneasy feeling that he/she cannot attribute significance to the findings. How large are those uncertainties? Where do they come from?

Aerosols (some of them at least) contain reactive chemical species. The aerosol mass concentration of an air mass can change with factors such as the amount of solar radiation, the presence of reactive gas species in the air mass (OH, NOx, O₃, etc.), temperature and humidity, etc. Furthermore the aerosol mass concentration can itself influence the aforementioned parameters (i.e. reaction with chemical species will deplete these chemical species, it can change the albedo of the Earth in some wavelength ranges of the solar spectrum, which can in turn affect the temperature, etc.).

- 40 It is possible to attempt to reproduce these processes in a numerical model, and to use observations to evaluate the model results and possibly to provide an estimation of aerosols sources/sinks, in a top-down approach. This requires however 1) a more specialized model than FLEXPART, in particular one that can handle non-linear reaction between different transported species, 2) at least some prior knowledge on the aerosol production/destruction associated to each land surface type, and 3) a lot more observations. Two month of
- 45 measurements of aerosol chemical composition at just one site is not nearly enough to provide constraints on aerosols sources and sinks, even locally.

The main objective of this paper is therefore not to produce an estimate of aerosol production, but to publish our measurements, in the hope that they will be useful to future studies. We attempted to interpret the data, within the limits of what is permitted by the size of the dataset: we chose a relatively simplistic modeling approach, and the scientific conclusions are limited, but it is unlikely that a more complex modeling approach would have led to more robust results. The bottleneck is the amount of data.

* "Surface vertical level" method

5

The "surface vertical level" definition as half the PBL height for each particle is not a standard FLEXPART output product that I am aware of (at least not in v 9.02). There is no documentation of this feature judging by a cursory

- look over the available publication (Stohl et al., 2005, ACP) and a quick source code survey. While surely useful, 10 I don't see how the authors have achieved this without coding it themselves. This would have to be described accordingly, if this is what they did. Furthermore, the choice of 1/2 the PBLH is arbitrary, and the reasoning ("nonlinear processes" again) is insufficient.
- The feature is indeed not standard FLEXPART, we implemented it ourselves. It involves mainly two changes to 15 the code:
 - The PBL height at each particle position that is calculated in advance.f90 (in standard FLEXPART) is saved in an array.
 - A new output module has been written, which accumulates in a 3D (lat, lon, time) array the residence -
- time of particles between the surface and a user-defined threshold altitude, which can be either a fixed 20 altitude or a fraction of the PBL. In the latter case, the height of these "virtual" surface grid-boxes varies from one place to another, and from one time-step to another, therefore we do not accumulate the residence time directly, but the residence times divided by the "virtual grid box" height and density, so that the resulting response function has a unit of $s.m^2/kg$.
- These changes to FLEXPART were done for a different project, for which a manuscript is in preparation. The 25 objective was to improve the representation of the diurnal variability in CO₂ and CH₄ simulations. For these aerosol simulations, the impact is in fact negligible (the samples are taken over a 24 hours period, so the diurnal variability is smoothed), and that feature was just used as a default settings (there is no strong argument against or in favor of it). We recognize however that it should be better described and evaluated, and
- 30 since this paper is not the good place for this, all the simulations in the revised manuscript use a more standard fixed surface level thickness of 400 m.

* AERO-Tracer

Justify the use of the particle diameter used, as this has considerable effect on the lifetime of the particles and 35 hence the exposure calculation. I suggest recalculating for large and small particles.

FLEXPART distributes the particle diameters according to the mean diameter setting ("douer", which we set to 250 nm), and to the "dsig" parameter (which controls the spread of the size distribution. We use a value of 12.5 for dsig, which means that the particles in a 250/12.5=20 nm to 12.5*250=3125 nm make 68% of the total particles mass.

40

45

50

The results are indeed dependent on the particle diameter. Smaller particles travel longer, and will therefore show higher sensitivity to remote land areas. We do not know accurately the size distribution of the particles we measured, but previous size distribution measurements at the measurement station during summer have shown a mean size distribution around a central value of 100 nm (Kristensson et al., 2008).

We experimented different mean particle diameters, i.e. we performed new simulations of 50 nm and 1 µm particles. However, these new simulations did not result in very different PCA results, at least not for the components which explained most of the variance in the data. PCA tables for particles of 50 nm and 1 µm are found in the supplement.

* Source apportionment

Given the description on page 6 (top paragraph), you are simply multiplying the response function output fields (units of s m3 kg-1) by the fractional land cover - did you correct for grid area and level thickness?

5 Yes (see previous point for the level thickness correction).

* Principal component analysis (PCA) method

The method description of the PCA is insufficient. Citing a commercial, non-free software package is not an appropriate source of information for the reader. Also: for a PCA to be meaningful, a number of preconditions

10 have to be met, out of which I wonder if two are met: 1) sample size: 38 data points (filter samples) is quite small, can you show that the results are still reasonable? 2) outliers: did you remove them?

We have added more information about the PCA setup and performance in the methods-section.

- 15 1. We agree with the reviewer that it would have been desirable to have more samples than the 38 samples we have in this study. More samples would result in a more robust PCA and perhaps results that were easier to interpret. However, we believe that the current interpretation of the PCA output is sound, logical and satisfactory.
- 20 We have not seen any strict recommendations regarding the number of samples vs. number of variables in PCA. By searching the literature we found that this ratio varies significantly between studies. In our study we had 38 samples and 32 variables (22 chemical species and 10 surface categories), that gives a sample-to-variable ratio of 1.19. van Pinxteren et al. (2010) used 29 samples and 60 variables (sample-to-variable ratio of 0.48). Viana et al. (2006) used 41 samples and 28 variables (sample-to-variable ratio of 1.46).

Further, we have stressed the importance of larger datasets in future atmospheric PCA appliances. This is found in the discussion section.

Initially, we did not remove any potential outliers prior to the PCA. This is because we have no reason to distrust the data, even though it contains outliers. Outlier selection and removal is not trivial. Outliers can contain valuable information, in this particular case they can provide information regarding the sources of aerosols. The most obvious example of suspicious outliers in this dataset is the concentration of adipic acid which peaked during the 27th of June and 6th of July. Being aware of the potential disruption in the PCA caused by outliers, we removed the concentration peaks of adipic acid and re-analyzed the data. Hence, the manuscript now contains analysis with and without the adipic acid concentration peaks. A discussion on this matter is given in section 3.3 in the manuscript.

* PCA results

40 The kind of PCA performed should be described in the methods section, see above.

We have added more information about the PCA setup and performance in the methods-section.

References

Kristensson, A., Dal Maso, M., Swietlicki, E., Hussein, T., Zhou, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M. Characterization of new particle formation events at a background site in Southern Sweden: relation to air mass history. Tellus, 60B, 330-344, 2008.

45

van Pinxteren, D., Bruggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Muller, K., Thiel, C., and Herrmann, H.: A GIS based approach to back trajectory analysis for the source apportionment of aerosol constituents and its first application, J Atmos Chem, 67, 1-28, 10.1007/s10874-011-9199-9, 2010.

Viana, M., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Gil, J. I., and Menendez, M.: Identification of PM sources by principal component analysis (PCA)
 coupled with wind direction data, Chemosphere, 65, 2411-2418, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.04.060, 2006.

- 5 We would like to thank Dr. van Pinxteren for his helpful comment which improved the manuscript. Answers to the comment are written in blue. Changes in the manuscript are marked with red.
- Interactive comment on "Exploring sources of biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds using chemical analysis and the FLEXPART model" by Johan Martinsson et al.
 - D. Vall Fillkleren
- 15 dominik@tropos.de

Received and published: 7 March 2017

This is a nice and interesting approach to fold back trajectories with land surface data for qualitative aerosol source apportionment. It strongly reminds me of some of our earlier work (van Pinxteren et al., 2010), where we derived a "residence time" parameter very similar to the "exposure" parameter described here and included it into a PCA as done here as well. We used HYSPLIT back trajectory ensembles, which might give somewhat coarser results than FLEXPART footprints, but nevertheless proved themselves valuable in a number of further qualitative source apportionment studies, including one on small-chain dicarboxylic acids (van Pinxteren et al.,

25 2014). The authors might want to consider these papers and maybe reassess their statement on P10 L11-12 that such information cannot be derived from simple trajectories.

We have removed the above-mentioned statement and included an acknowledgement to the study by van Pinxteren et al. 2010: "van Pinxteren et al. (2010) demonstrated how air mass exposure to land cover affected the measured size-resolved organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC) and inorganic compounds at a receptor site in Germany by using the HYSPLIT model." This sentence is to be found in the introduction.

References

van Pinxteren, D., Brüggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Müller, K., Thiel, C., and Herrmann,
 H.: A GIS based approach to back trajectory analysis for the source apportionment of aerosol constituents and its first application, J. Atmos. Chem., 67, 1-28, doi: 10.1007/s10874-011-9199-9, 2010.

 van Pinxteren, D., Neusüß, C., and Herrmann, H.: On the abundance and source contributions of dicarboxylic
 acids in size-resolved aerosol particles at continental sites in central Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3913-3928, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-3913-2014, 2014.

Exploring sources of biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds using chemical analysis and the FLEXPART model

- Johan Martinsson^{1,2}, Guillaume Monteil³, Moa K. Sporre⁴, Anne Maria Kaldal Hansen⁵, Adam Kristensson¹, Kristina Eriksson Stenström¹, Erik Swietlicki¹, Marianne Glasius⁵
 ¹Division of Nuclear Physics, Lund University, Box 118, SE-22100, Lund, Sweden
 ²Centre for Environmental and Climate Research, Lund University, Ecology Building, SE-22362, Lund, Sweden
 ³Department of Physical Geography, Lund University, Lund, Box 118, SE-22100, Lund, Sweden
- ⁴Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Postboks 1022, Blindern, 0315, Oslo, Norway
 ⁵Department of Chemistry and iNANO, Aarhus University, Langelandsgade 140, DK-8000, Aarhus C, Denmark

Correspondence to: Johan Martinsson (johan.martinsson@nuclear.lu.se)

Abstract. Molecular tracers in secondary organic aerosols (SOA) can provide information on origin of SOA, as well as regional scale processes involved in their formation. In this study nine carboxylic acids, eleven organosulfates (OSs) and two

- 15 nitrooxy organosulfates (NOSs) were determined in daily aerosol particle filter samples from Vavihill measurement station in southern Sweden during June and July 2012. Several of the observed compounds are photo-oxidation products from biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs). Highest average mass concentrations were observed for carboxylic acids derived from fatty acids and monoterpenes (12.3±15.6 and 13.8±11.6 ng/m³, respectively). The FLEXPART model was used to link 9 specific surface types to single measured compounds. It was found that the surface category "sea and ocean" was
- 20 dominating the air mass exposure (56%) but contributed to low mass concentration of observed chemical compounds. A principal component (PC) analysis identified four components, where the one with highest explanatory power (49%) displayed clear impact of coniferous forest on measured mass concentration of a majority of the compounds. The three remaining PCs were more difficult to interpret, although azelaic, suberic, and pimelic acid were closely related to each other but not to any clear surface category. Hence, future studies should aim to deduce the biogenic sources and surface category
- of these compounds. This study bridges micro level chemical speciation to air mass surface exposure on the macro level.

1 Introduction

Carbonaceous aerosols are abundant in ambient air around the world and account for 40% of the European $PM_{2.5}$ mass (Putaud et al., 2010). The carbonaceous aerosol fraction has severe effects on human health as well as a profound effect on the Earth climate system (Dockery et al., 1993;Pope et al., 1995). During summer, carbonaceous aerosols are mainly of

- 5 biogenic origin, emitted either through primary emissions or gas-phase oxidation products from biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) (Genberg et al., 2011;Yttri et al., 2011). BVOCs are primarily emitted from plants as a tool for communication and to handle biotic and abiotic stress (Laothawornkitkul et al., 2009;Monson et al., 2013;Penuelas and Llusia, 2003;Sharkey et al., 2008). The emissions of BVOCs tend to increase with increasing temperature and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) (Guenther et al., 1995;Guenther et al., 1993;Hakola et al., 2003). Global BVOC
- 10 emissions are dominated by isoprene (C_5H_8) and monoterpenes ($C_{10}H_{16}$) (Laothawornkitkul et al., 2009). Isoprene is emitted from a variety of plants, however mainly from deciduous forests and shrubs which may account for more than 70% of the emissions (Guenther et al., 2006). Monoterpenes are largely emitted from coniferous trees like pine and spruce, but also from some deciduous trees, such as birch (Mentel et al., 2009). The most abundant monoterpenes in the boreal forests include α pinene, β -pinene, Δ^3 -carene and limonene (Hakola et al., 2012;Räisänen et al., 2008).
- 15 Biogenic secondary organic aerosols (BSOA) are formed by photo-oxidation of BVOCs, a process which tends to lower the saturation vapor pressure of the oxidation products relative to that of the BVOCs, thus forcing the gas-phase products to partition in the aerosol phase. BSOA has been shown to dominate over combustion source aerosols during summer (Genberg et al., 2011;Yttri et al., 2011). Yttri et al. (2011) performed source apportionment at four sites in Scandinavia during August 2009 and found that the biogenic contribution to the carbonaceous aerosol dominated (69-86%) at all four sites. Genberg et al.
- 20 al. (2011) performed a one year source apportionment at one site in southern Sweden where they apportioned 80% of the summer-time carbonaceous aerosol to biogenic sources. Gelencser et al. (2007) also reported biogenic source dominance (63-76%) of the carbonaceous aerosol at 6 sites in south-central Europe during summer. Castro et al. (1999) observed a maximum and minimum in SOA in Europe during summer and winter, respectively. The relative SOA contribution was higher in rural forest and ocean measurement sites compared to urban sites (Castro et al., 1999).
- 25 BSOA consists of a myriad of organic compounds. Small (carbon number: C₃-C₆) and larger (C₇-C₉) dicarboxylic acids are highly hydrophilic and hygroscopic which have shown to result in potential strong climate effect due to their cloud condensation properties (Cruz and Pandis, 1998;Kerminen, 2001). Dicarboxylic acid contribution to carbon mass has been estimated to 1-3% in urban and semi-urban areas and up to 10% in remote marine areas (Kawamura and Ikushima, 1993;Kawamura and Sakaguchi, 1999). Primary aerosol sources of dicarboxylic acids in atmospheric aerosols include ocean
- 30 emissions, engine exhausts and biomass burning (Kawamura and Kaplan, 1987;Kundu et al., 2010;Mochida et al., 2003). However, the main source of dicarboxylic acids are oxidation/photo-oxidation processes of VOCs (Zhang et al., 2010). These VOC precursors may originate from both anthropogenic and biogenic sources (Mochida et al., 2003). However,

BVOCs constitute more than 50% of all atmospheric VOCs, which is approximately equal to 1150 Tg carbon y^{-1} (Guenther et al., 1995;Hallquist et al., 2009).

Organosulfates (OSs) and nitrooxy organosulfates (NOSs) are low-volatility SOA products that in recent years have gained increased attention due to their potential properties as tracers for atmospheric ageing of aerosols in polluted air masses

- 5 (Hansen et al., 2015;Hansen et al., 2014;Kristensen, 2014;Kristensen and Glasius, 2011;Nguyen et al., 2014). Many of these compounds are formed from isoprene and monoterpene oxidation products that react with sulfuric acid in the aerosol phase (Iinuma et al., 2007;Surratt et al., 2010;Surratt et al., 2007b). Since atmospheric sulfuric acid is mainly of anthropogenic origin (Zhang et al., 2009), presence of OSs from biogenic organic precursors thus indicates an effect of anthropogenic influence on BSOA (Hansen et al., 2014). Recently, OSs from anthropogenic organic precursors such as alkanes and PAHs
- have also been discovered (Riva et al., 2016;Riva et al., 2015). Tolocka and Turpin (2012) estimated that OSs could comprise up to 10% of the total organic aerosol mass in the U.S.
 Many carboxylic acids and OSs originate from biogenic sources, however, the exact vegetation types emitting the precursor are poorly explored (Mochida et al., 2003;Tolocka and Turpin, 2012). Coniferous forests, deciduous forests, arable land,
- pastures etc. are all examples of potential BVOCs sources. Information on specific land surface type BVOCs and BSOA
 emissions is potentially crucial if an increased understanding should be reached on how land-use changes will affect organic aerosol levels and composition. van Pinxteren et al. (2010) demonstrated how air mass exposure to land cover affected the
- measured size-resolved organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC) and inorganic compounds at a receptor site in Germany by using the HYSPLIT model. Yttri et al. (2011) measured one dicarboxylic acid (pinic acid), four OSs and two NOSs at four locations in Scandinavia and connected this measurement data to the FLEXPART model (Stohl et al., 2005) footprint of
 specific surface landscape types. They used thirteen types of surface landscapes and found that the two NOSs (MW 295 and
- MW 297, both formed from monoterpenes) correlated with air mass exposure to mixed forest (Yttri et al., 2011). In this study, a comprehensive measurement campaign was conducted in order to investigate sources and levels of BSOA. 38 sequential 24h filter samples were analyzed for 9 species of carboxylic acids, 11 species of OSs and 2 species of NOSs at a rural background station in southern Sweden. FLEXPART model simulations at the time and location of the observations were then used to estimate the potential origin of the aerosols sampled.
 - 2 Methods

2.1 Location and sampling

The Vavihill measurement station is a rural background station in southern Sweden (56°01' N, 13°09' E, 172 m.a.s.l.) within ACTRIS (Aerosols, Clouds and Trace gases Research Infrastructure) and EMEP (European Monitoring and Evaluation

30 Programme). The surrounding landscape consists of pastures, mixed forest and arable land. The largest nearby cities are Helsingborg (140 000 inhabitants), Malmö (270 000 inhabitants) and Copenhagen (1 990 000 inhabitants) at a distance of 25, 45 and 50 km, respectively. These cities are in the west and southwest direction from the measurement station. Previous observations have shown that air masses from continental Europe are usually more polluted than air masses from the north and westerly direction, i.e. Norwegian Sea and Atlantic Ocean (Kristensson et al., 2008).

38 filter samples of aerosols were collected at the Vavihill field station in southern Sweden from 10th of June to 18th of July 2012. Aerosols were collected on 150 mm quartz fibre filters (Advantec) using a high-volume sampler (Digitel, DHA-80)

5 with a PM_1 inlet. The filters were heated to 900 °C for four hours prior to sampling, with the purpose of removing adsorbed organic compounds from the filters. The sampling air flow was 530 litres per minute and total sampling time per filter was 24 hours. Sampled filters were wrapped in aluminium foil and stored at -18 °C until extraction.

2.2 BSOA analysis

- The method for extraction and analysis is based on previous studies (Hansen et al., 2014;Kristensen and Glasius, 2011;Nguyen et al., 2014) and thus only described briefly here. For extraction each filter was placed in a beaker and spiked with 15 μL of a 100 μg/mL recovery standard (camphoric acid). The filter was covered with 90% acetonitrile with 10% MilliQ water and extracted in a cooled ultrasound bath for 30 min. The extract was filtered through a Teflon filter (0.45 μm pore size, Chromafil) and evaporated until dryness using a rotary evaporator. The sample was then re-dissolved twice in 0.5 mL 3% acetonitrile, 0.1% acetic acid, and stored in a refrigerator (3-5 °C) until analysis. The samples were analysed with
- 15 an Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatograph (UHPLC, Dionex) coupled to a quadrupole Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (q-TOF-MS, Bruker Daltonics) through an electro-spray ionisation (ESI) inlet. The UHPLC stationary phase was an Acquity T3 1.8μm (2.1 × 100 mm) column from Waters, and the mobile phase consisted of eluent A: 0.1% acetic acid in MilliQ water and eluent B: Acetonitrile with 0.1% acetic acid. The operational eluent flow was 0.3 mL/min and an 18 min multistep gradient was applied: From 1 min to 10 min eluent B increased from 3% to 30%, then eluent B increased to
- 20 90% during 1 min, where it was held for 1 min, before eluent B was increased further to 95% (during 0.5 min) kept here for 3.5 min before reduction to 3% (during 0.5 min) for the remaining 0.5 min of the analysis. The ESI-q-TOF-MS instrument was operated in negative ionisation mode with a nebulizer pressure of 3.0 bar and a dry gas flow of 8 L/min. All data were acquired and processed using Bruker Compass software. The analyzed dicarboxylic acids, OSs and NOSs are summarized in Table 1 and 2, respectively. Authentic standards were used for identification and quantification of all carboxylic acids, while
- OSs and NOSs were identified based on their MS/MS loss of HSO₄⁻ (m/z=97) and an additional neutral loss of HNO₃ (u=63) in the case of NOSs. This work focused on identification of OSs from biogenic organic precursors, since OSs from alkanes and PAHs had not been discovered at the time of the analysis. OSs and NOSs were quantified using surrogate standards of OS 250 derived from β -pinene (synthesized in-house), octyl sulfate sodium salt (\geq 95% Sigma-Aldrich) or D-mannose-6sulfate sodium salt (\geq 90% Sigma-Aldrich) based on their retention times in the UHPLC-q-TOF-MS system (Table 2). A
- 30 linear or quadratic relationship between peak area and concentration was demonstrated for all standards and surrogates, and the correlation coefficients, R^2 , of all calibration curves were better than 0.98 (n = 7 data points). The analytical uncertainty was estimated to be <20% for carboxylic acids and <25% for OSs and NOSs. The uncertainty of the absolute concentrations of OSs and NOSs are higher than carboxylic acids due to lack of authentic standards.

2.3 Auxiliary measurements and analysis

 $PM_{2.5}$ was measured with one hour time resolution using a tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM, Thermo, 8500 FDMS), and estimated uncertainty was less than 25%. Geographical air mass origin was analyzed with the Hybrid Single

- 5 Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model (HYSPLIT) model (Draxler and Hess, 1998;Stein et al., 2015). Gridded meteorological data from the Centre of Environmental Predictions (NCEP) Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) were used as input by the trajectory model. Back-trajectories were calculated at an hourly frequency 120-hour backward in time and the trajectories started 100 m above ground at the Vavihill measurement site. For each filter sample, 24 trajectories were used since the sampling time was 24 hours.
- 10

2.4 Source apportionment

The concentration and chemical composition of an aerosol sample depends on the trajectory of the sampled air mass in the days preceding the observation (whether or not it gets in contact with a source of aerosols or of aerosol precursors), but also on other meteorological factors such as the temperature and the amount of solar radiation (which control the chemical

15 reactions that lead to production, destruction and transformation of aerosols), and the occurrence of precipitation, which can lead to a rapid scavenging of aerosol particles.

A formal source apportionment would typically involve using a complex chemistry-transport model, able to account for the most important of these factors, and comparing this model result's with the observations to validate or refute hypotheses on the origin of the aerosols. The size of our observation dataset is unfortunately too limited for such an exercise to provide

20 meaningful results. Instead, we opted for a much simpler approach: We first used the FLEXPART model to compute back-trajectories corresponding to the air masses sampled. We then used these back-trajectories to estimate the exposure of each sample to various land-surface types. Finally, we analyzed the relations between the surface type exposures and the aerosols chemical composition of the samples to deduce information about the origin of the sampled aerosols.

25 2.4.1 Footprint computations

For each observation, seven days footprints (i.e. sensitivity of the observations to surface processes) are computed, using the FLEXPART Lagrangian particle dispersion model in its version 10.0 (Seibert and Frank, 2004;Stohl et al., 2005). The response functions are computed hourly, seven days backward, on a $0.2^{\circ}x0.2^{\circ}$ grid ranging from 30°N to 65°N and from 2°W to 32°E. Only one (surface) layer is used, ranging from the surface to 400 m altitude. This choice of a relatively thick

30 surface layer is a compromise between the necessity to account for a maximum of the aerosol production, which doesn't occurs only at the earth (or canopy) surface, and the fact that the higher the altitude, the more mixed the air. This setting also means that we do not compute the sensitivity of the observations to aerosol production/destruction above 400 m. Even though aerosol formation occurs throughout the whole troposphere (de Reus et al., 2000), it would be impossible, with our simple model approach, to distinguish in-situ aerosol production from long-range transport.

Each footprint was computed based on the dispersion seven days backward in time of 100 000 particles. An average particle size of 250 nm was used, with a size distribution parameter ("dsigma") of 12.5, meaning that 68% of the total particles mass is in a 250/12.5 nm to 250*12.5 nm range. Previous particle-size measurements at Vavihill measurement station have shown a distribution around a mean of ~100 nm (Kristensson et al., 2008). The particles density was set to 1500 kg m⁻³. We briefly

5 discuss the impact of these selected parameters in Section 3.4. FLEXPART configuration files are provided in Supplementary Information.

2.4.2 Land surface type exposures

To compute the exposure of each sample to different land surface types, we coupled the information from the footprints to

- 10 the CORINE 2012 land cover map (Copernicus, 2012). CORINE 2012 is a high resolution (250x250 m) map of the land surface types in the European Union (44 land surface categories, to which we added a "sea and ocean" category). The exposure E_i of one observation to the land type *i* is given by $E_i = \sum_j f_j^i R_j$, where *j* is one pixel of the domain, f_j^i is the fraction of the land surface type *i* in that pixel, and R_j is the sensitivity of the observation to that pixel (i.e. the value of the footprint at that location), divided by the height of the surface layer (400 m) and by the size of the grid-cell.
- 15 It is important to remember that since aerosol formation/destruction along the particles trajectories is not accounted for in the FLEXPART simulations (except for deposition processes), these land surface exposures are not a proper source apportionment, but are only a tool to interpret the observations.

2.4.3 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- 20 In order to deduce potential sources of measured BSOA compounds a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on measured chemical compounds together with air mass exposure to the landscape surface types derived from the FLEXPART model. The principle of PCA is that if measured parameters from the same source are strongly correlated they are treated as one principal component (PC), i.e. PCA identifies variables that have a prominent role by analysis of correlation and variance. PCA has been an extensively used tool in order to reduce the complexity of atmospheric data and
- 25 has been applied in several studies on aerosol chemical composition (Almeida et al., 2006;Chan and Mozurkewich, 2007;Ito et al., 2004;Nyanganyura et al., 2007;van Pinxteren et al., 2010;van Pinxteren et al., 2014;Viana et al., 2006;Wehner and Wiedensohler, 2003). PCA with VARIMAX rotation was performed by using the software SPSS (version 23, IBM). VARIMAX rotation was chosen due to its property of producing uncorrelated principal components (PCs) which aids interpretation of the data. In PCA, it is of good practice to transform all variables into a standardized format (i.e. Z-score),
- 30 however the PCA solution from the standardized variables did not differ from the unstandardized one, hence unstandardized variables were used in the analysis. Extracted factors were varied from 2-6 in order to achieve the best logical and physical interpretation of the derived factors. The most interpretable result was found using 4 extracted factors.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Variations and features in BSOA compounds

A total of 9 organic acids, 11 OSs and 2 NOSs of anthropogenic and biogenic origin were determined in the samples (Tables 1 and 2). All organic acids were quantified with authentic standards whereas the other compounds were quantified with surrogates (see experimental section). On average, the total mass of the organic chemical species from filters contributed to

- 5 0.3% (\pm 0.2%, standard deviation) to PM_{2.5}. However, it is worth noting that the particles were sampled through a PM₁ inlet, which may have excluded a considerable portion of the mass collected on filters compared to the PM_{2.5} mass measured by the TEOM. On the other hand, it has been shown that PM₁ can comprise up to 90% of PM_{2.5} in rural locations during summertime (Gomiscek et al., 2004). Since no gravimetric analysis of filters was performed, no information on the total mass loading of PM₁ is available.
- 10 In Table 3 and Fig. 1A concentrations of observed compounds during the sampling period are given. The compounds have been merged into groups based on their likely precursors in Fig. 1A (see Tables 1 and 2). It should be noted that pimelic acid, in Table 1 listed as having cycloheptene as a suggested precursor (i.e. to be of anthropogenic origin), also can be synthesized from salicylic acid (Müller, 1931), which is a compound naturally found in plants. Hence, whether the main formation route of pimelic acid is anthropogenic or natural is unclear. On the other hand, adipic acid is rarely found naturally
- 15 and is originally synthesized from benzene (Tuttle Musser, 2000). Table 3 summarizes concentration ranges, means and standard deviations (SDs) for individual dicarboxylic acids, OSs and NOSs. In general the organic acids from monoterpenes and fatty acids dominate the total concentration over the entire period, where the concentration of acids from monoterpenes range from 1.7 to 49.0 ng m⁻³ and the concentration of organic acids from fatty acids range from 0.03 to 64.1 ng m⁻³. The concentration of isoprene-derived OSs ranges from 0.34 to 21.6 ng m⁻³ over the sampling period and dominates over the
- 20 monoterpene-derived OSs. This pattern has also been observed in other studies in the Nordic countries (Yttri et al., 2011), and is in line with high emissions of isoprene during summer. The NOSs are low in average concentration (NOS 295=0.12±0.11 ng/m³, NOS 297=0.05±0.03 ng/m³), and are lower than the observed mean concentration by Yttri et al. (2011) from the summer of 2011 (NOS 295=0.74 ng/m³, NOS 297=1.2 ng/m³). This could be due to differences in aerosol sources and surrogate standards for quantification between the two studies.
- 25 The fatty acid-derived azelaic acid was found to be the most abundant dicarboxylic acid with a concentration range from 0.03 to 55.3 ng/m³ (mean=10.5±13.8 ng/m³). Hyder et al. (2012) who measured 9 dicarboxylic acids in aerosol samples obtained at the Vavihill measurement station 2008-2009 also found azelaic acid to be the most prominent with peak concentration during summer (16.2 ng/m³). The concentration of the anthropogenic acids is low (mean≈2 ng/m³) except during the 27th of June and the 6th of July when the concentration reaches 19.6 and 16.0 ng/m³, respectively. The spike in concentration of anthropogenic acids during these two days is caused by an increase in the concentration of adipic acid.
- Correlations between the different compounds was investigated by Pearson correlation. All Pearson r-coefficients are given in Table 4. In general, the biogenic compounds (derived from isoprene and monoterpenes) correlated well ($r \ge 0.8$) with each other. The only exception was OS 250, which showed low to medium correlation with the other compounds.

Three dicarboxylic acids (azelaic, pimelic and suberic acid) correlated well with each other (r>0.87). It is likely that the fatty acid-derived dicarboxylic acids has a different origin than isoprene- and monoterpene-generated acids, a conclusion that also was reached in a previous study (Hyder et al., 2012). It was expected that adipic acid would show good agreement with pimelic acid since they are both suggested to be of anthropogenic origin. However, this correlation was poor (r=0.16) and is

5 believed to be explained by two strong concentration peaks in adipic acid (27th of June and 6th of July, Fig. 1A) with no corresponding peak in pimelic acid. Removing these two concentration peaks led to a better agreement between the two acids (r=0.67).

3.2 Air mass surface exposure

- 10 Figure 1B displays the exposures of the samples to the nine largest surface categories as percentage contribution and Tables 5 and 6 present the mean exposures and a correlation matrix for the investigated surface types. These surface categories are explained in more detail in the supplemental information. The "sea and ocean" category is dominating the exposure with an average of 56% (±16%). This is hardly surprising since a majority of the incoming air mass is from the westerly region where the North Atlantic Ocean, North Sea and Norwegian Sea are situated. The second most common surface exposure is
- 15 from "non-irrigated arable land" (mean=19% \pm 8%). This is a common land type in continental Europe which is anticorrelated (r=-0.84) to the "sea and ocean" surface category. The fact that several land-based surface categories anticorrelated to the "sea and ocean" category may be an indicator of the model working properly. The category "other" has a significant contribution to the total exposure (mean=8% \pm 3%), but it groups 34 surface categories and is therefore difficult to interpret beyond the common fact that all these categories are land masses. It is important to remember that these exposures
- 20 should not be read as a representation of the contribution of the land surface types to the production of the aerosols measured. For that, an estimation of the aerosol production (or transformation) associated to each surface category would be required. However, correlating the land surface exposures to the measured aerosol time series can provide an indication on the origin of the aerosols.

During a period of increased concentrations of molecular BSOA compounds (6th to 8th of July) the air mass was more

- 25 exposed to land surface categories such as "non-irrigated arable land", "coniferous forest", "broad-leaved forest" and "pastures" on the expense of "sea and ocean" (Fig. 1A-B). Further, the category "other" is also increased during this particular period. Within the "other" category, "mixed forest", "complex cultivation patterns", "land principally occupied by agriculture, with significant areas of natural vegetation" and "transitional woodland/shrub" are dominating (more information about the surface categories can be found on the CORINE database homepage) (EEA, 2016). This particular
- 30 concentration increase is caused by the fatty acid-derived organic acids, monoterpene-derived organic acids and isoprenederived OSs (Fig. 1A). The concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ does not provide any explanation of the cause of the high concentrations, since $PM_{2.5}$ is in general high during the entire campaign period. Both the HYSPLIT and FLEXPART model revealed that arriving air masses during this period mainly had an origin from continental Europe (Fig. 2). As stated earlier, it has been

observed that air masses arriving from this direction usually carry more PM and OSs than from other directions (Nguyen et al., 2014;Kristensson et al., 2008).

The period of increased concentrations of molecular BSOA compounds (6th to 8th of July) are in large contrast to the "clean periods" observed during 12th-16th of June and 16th-18th of July (Fig. 1A-B). In particular, the latter period shows very low

5 values of molecular BSOA compounds and a corresponding "sea and ocean" exposure of 79-86%. Hence, "sea and ocean" exposure does not seem to contribute to the measured mass of molecular BSOA compounds. Similarly, the "non-irrigated arable land" contributes to a significant fraction during 16th-18th of July (8-12%) and most probably does not contribute to the mass of measured BSOA species either.

10 **3.3 Connection between surface type and measured species**

To further investigate the impact of surface types on measured BSOA species a principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted as described in the Methods section. A 4 principal component (PC) VARIMAX-rotated solution was chosen. This solution explained 80.3% of the total variance. Table 7 shows the individual parameter contribution to the respective PC. PC1 accounts for 49.1% of the total variance and has strong positive contributions from several of the monoterpene-derived

- 15 dicarboxylic acids and both monoterpene- and isoprene-derived OSs and NOSs. The strongest positive surface category in PC1 is "coniferous forest", suggesting that the species with a bold number in PC1 within Table 7 are originating, or that their mass concentration have a positive response, from coniferous forest. Coniferous forests are mainly known as large-scale emitters of monoterpenes. Despite this, the PCA illustrates that isoprene oxidation products are positively correlated to this surface category. Steinbrecher et al. (1999) observed negligible emissions of isoprene from common conifers as Scots pine
- 20 (*Pinus sylvestris*) and common juniper (*Juniperus communis*). However, they found significant emissions from Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) which may explain some of the isoprene derived compounds in this study. Although the less strong positive contribution of 0.53, isoprene emitting "broad-leaved forest" may also have contributed to the above described pattern in PC1.

PC2 accounts for 14.9% of the total variation and can roughly be classified as surface categories with low contribution to

25 measured BSOA compounds. Six of the ten investigated surface categories show strong positive contribution to PC2 while many of the measured compounds show low and in some cases negative contribution to PC2. The observed pattern of high "sea and ocean" and "non-irrigated arable land" exposure when the mass concentration of BSOA compounds was low, further strengthens the explanation of PC2.

PC3 accounts for 9.3% of the total variance. The main contributors are suberic acid, azelaic acid and pimelic acid. They are

30 all similar in chemical structure, although suberic and azelaic acid probably originate from fatty acids while pimelic acid likely is of anthropogenic origin (Table 1). Further, azelaic acid has been found to be involved in the triggering of the plant immune system (Jung et al., 2009). Hyder et al. (2012), who also found these three acids to be highly correlated in ambient aerosol, inferred that pimelic acid was either produced from the same source as suberic and azelaic acid or that pimelic acid is produced by continued oxidation of suberic and azelaic acid down to lower carbon numbered acids. None of the land surface categories displayed high contribution to PC3: "broad-leaved forest" had the highest contribution of 0.21 while the other forest category, "conifer forest", had a one order of magnitude lower contribution of -0.04.

PC4 accounted for 6.9% of the total variance and is harder to interpret than the previous three PCs. The anthropogenic derived adipic acid has a positive PC contribution (0.59) as well as the surface categories "sparsely vegetated areas" (0.86)

- 5 and "moors and heath" (0.85). The used land cover maps reveals that both "sparsely vegetated areas" and "moors and heath" are mainly found in Norway and northern Sweden, i.e. in the north and north-westerly direction of Vavihill measurement station. The overall interpretation of PC4 is difficult since adipic acid is thought to be of anthropogenic origin but, in this case, seem to correlate with landscape surface types that are sparsely populated and are associated with low human activity (i.e. "sparsely vegetated areas" and "moors and heath").
- 10 The complexity in PC4 may be caused by the concentration peaks in adipic acid that occurred the 27th of June and 6th of July (Fig. 1A). During the 27th of June, the air mass mainly arrived from the Atlantic Ocean and southern Norway, while the air mass during 6th of July mainly originated from the Baltic countries and central Europe (partially illustrated in Fig. 2). Removing the two concentration peaks in adipic acid gave a different PCA solution. Adipic acid now falls into the same PCA as pimelic, suberic and azelaic acid with PC contributions of 0.52, 0.66, 0.70 and 0.73, respectively. Further, the new
- 15 PC solution show that the aforementioned acids are associated to "pastures" (PC contribution=0.82), "discontinuous urban fabric" (0.84), "non-irrigated arable land" (0.82), "broad-leaved forest" (0.81), "sea and ocean" (0.69) and the "other" category (0.66). Hence, the nature of adipic acid remains unclear since it shows good agreement with the other acids when concentration peaks are removed, implying that adipic is derived from fatty acids or salicylic acid. On the other hand, including the concentration peaks, neither this study nor the study by Hyder et al. (2012) found any strong correlation
- 20 between adipic and pimelic acid. It can speculated whether the observed concentration peaks in adipic acid have their explanation in local emission sources of benzene or cyclohexene, followed by a fast oxidation into adipic acid. Future studies should repeat the presented methodology to focus on heavy anthropogenic influenced surface categories (i.e. cities, industries etc.) and their impact on anthropogenic acids and newly discovered anthropogenic OSs (Riva et al., 2016;Riva et al., 2015).
- 25

3.4 Uncertainties and limits

In this study, our analysis approach relies on two steps: first the calculation of the exposures, using FLEXPART, and then the estimation of land type contributions using a PCA analysis. Both steps suffer from uncertainties which limit the robustness of our results:

30 The longer the back-trajectories used in FLEXPART, the larger the error is likely to be. On the other hand, shorter back-trajectories lead to neglecting a larger proportion of "older" aerosols. We tested the impact of the footprint length choice on the exposure time series by repeating the analysis with footprints of 3 and 5 days (instead of 7 days in our default setup). Overall, the exposures are not significantly affected, except for the exposure to the "sea and ocean" surface type during the 8-10 July peak, which show an uncertainty of 6% (Fig. S1).

Besides the length of the simulations, a number of FLEXPART settings can impact the results. The size of the aerosols particles has a strong impact on the lifetime of the aerosols in the atmosphere, and therefore on the footprints. We have repeated the experiment with mean aerosol sizes of 50 nm and 1 μ m, and the results of the PCAs remained reasonably similar (Table S1 and S2). This is mainly because the PCA is sensitive to correlations, and not to absolute values.

- 5 The calculation of the observation exposures is based on the assumption that the measured aerosol compositions scale linearly with the aerosol production within the back-plume of the observation. This is not the case in reality: processes such as coagulation, nucleation, chemical reactions between aerosols and surrounding reactive gas species, photo-dissociation and wet and dry deposition (removal of aerosols from the atmosphere by the rain and by gravitational settling) alter the aerosol composition and concentration all along the air mass trajectory. Our approach also ignores the influence of aerosol particles
- 10 (or precursors) older than seven days on the observations. Accounting adequately for all these processes would require a comprehensive (much heavier) aerosol model, which is out of the scope of this study. This mainly means that our approach cannot be used to quantify the aerosol production associated to, for example, a specific forest type.
 The use limit to the DCA and his is the day to use of the time using the study.

The main limit to the PCA analysis is the shortness of the time series. In particular, there is only one strong event during the campaign (6-8 July), which is not enough for drawing strong conclusions. Our study can however be regarded as a proof-of-15 concept: computing FLEXPART footprints is relatively easy and lightweight, and could be performed routinely. The conclusions of a PCA analysis are likely to be a lot more robust with longer time series with more observations included,

and/or multi-sites observation campaigns (provided that the footprints of the different sites overlap sufficiently).

4 Conclusions

- 20 Nine carboxylic acids along with eleven organosulfates (OSs) and two nitrooxy organosulfates (NOSs) were analyzed from 38 daily aerosol samples sampled at Vavihill measurement station in southern Sweden during June and July 2012. Most of the measured compounds can be considered as photo-oxidation products from biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs), hence derived from terrestrial plants. The FLEXPART model was used to identify exposure of the aerosol samples to several different surface categories. For easier interpretation, the study was focused on four potential source-
- 25 specific components using 22 chemical species and the nine largest surface categories. The "sea and ocean" category was found to dominate the exposure, and other important categories were "non-irrigated arable land" and "pastures". A principal component analysis (PCA) of four principal components (PC) was used to explore the impact and connection of surface categories on mass concentration of measured biogenic secondary organic aerosol compounds. It was found that coniferous forest had a positive effect on several of the measured monoterpene-derived compounds. The remaining three PCs were
- 30 harder to interpret, however future studies should aim to investigate the sources of azelaic, suberic and pimelic acids which dominate in mass concentration but showed no clear correlation to surface categories.

This study demonstrates the interest of using an atmospheric transport model in aerosol source apportionment on specific chemical compounds. With the presented methodology it is possible to connect single chemical tracer compounds to potential local and long range aerosol sources, i.e. surface categories. More advanced applications may include particle age

estimation and its relation to surface categories; this could be achieved by measuring first- and second-generation BVOC oxidation products and relate these to its measureable gas-phase precursor.

5 Data availability

5 All data are accessible through the supporting information.

Author contribution

Johan Martinsson designed the study, compiled all data, performed the PCA and wrote most of the paper. Guillaume Monteil ran the FLEXPART simulations. Moa K. Sporre ran the HYSPLIT simulations. Anne Maria Kaldal Hansen and Marianne

10 Glasius ran the chemical analysis. Adam Kristensson, Erik Swietlicki and Kristina Eriksson Stenström assisted in the writing process.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council FORMAS (project 2011-743).

15

References

Almeida, S. M., Pio, C. A., Freitas, M. C., Reis, M. A., and Trancoso, M. A.: Source apportionment of atmospheric urban aerosol based on weekdays/weekend variability: evaluation of road re-suspended dust contribution, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2058-2067, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.046, 2006.

20

30

Castro, L. M., Pio, C. A., Harrison, R. M., and Smith, D. J. T.: Carbonaceous aerosol in urban and rural European atmospheres: estimation of secondary organic carbon concentrations, Atmos. Environ., 33, 2771-2781, Doi 10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00331-8, 1999.

Chan, T. W., and Mozurkewich, M.: Application of absolute principal component analysis to size distribution data: identification of particle origins, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 887-897, 2007.

Claeys, M., Iinuma, Y., Szmigielski, R., Surratt, J. D., Blockhuys, F., Van Alsenoy, C., Boge, O., Sierau, B., Gomez-Gonzalez, Y., Vermeylen, R., Van der Veken, P., Shahgholi, M., Chan, A. W. H., Herrmann, H., Seinfeld, J. H., and Maenhaut, W.: Terpenylic Acid and Related Compounds from the Oxidation of alpha-Pinene: Implications for New Particle Formation and Growth above Forests, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 6976-6982, 10.1021/es9007596, 2009.

Copernicus Land Monitoring Services: http://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover/clc-2012, 2012.

Cruz, C. N., and Pandis, S. N.: The effect of organic coatings on the cloud condensation nuclei activation of inorganic atmospheric aerosol,
 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 13111-13123, Doi 10.1029/98jd00979, 1998.

de Reus, M., Ström, J., Curtius, J., Pirjola, L., Vignati, E., Arnold, F., Hansson, H. C., Kulmala, M., Lelieveld, J., and Raes, F.: Aerosol production and growth in the upper free troposphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 24751-24762, Doi 10.1029/2000jd900382, 2000.

40 Dockery, D. W., Pope, C. A., Xu, X. P., Spengler, J. D., Ware, J. H., Fay, M. E., Ferris, B. G., and Speizer, F. E.: An Association between Air-Pollution and Mortality in 6 United-States Cities, New Engl. J. Med., 329, 1753-1759, Doi 10.1056/Nejm199312093292401, 1993.

Draxler, R. R., and Hess, G. D.: An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modelling system for trajectories, dispersion and deposition, Aust. Meteorol. Mag., 47, 295-308, 1998.

Gelencsér, A., May, B., Simpson, D., Sanchez-Ochoa, A., Kasper-Giebl, A., Puxbaum, H., Caseiro, A., Pio, C., and Legrand, M.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 organic aerosol over Europe: Primary/secondary, natural/anthropogenic, and fossil/biogenic origin, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, Artn D23s0410.1029/2006jd008094, 2007.

Genberg, J., Hyder, M., Stenström, K., Bergström, R., Simpson, D., Fors, E. O., Jönsson, J. A., and Swietlicki, E.: Source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosol in southern Sweden, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11387-11400, 10.5194/acp-11-11387-2011, 2011.

- 10 Gomez-Gonzalez, Y., Surratt, J. D., Cuyckens, F., Szmigielski, R., Vermeylen, R., Jaoui, M., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Blockhuys, F., Van Alsenoy, C., Maenhaut, W., and Claeys, M.: Characterization of organosulfates from the photooxidation of isoprene and unsaturated fatty acids in ambient aerosol using liquid chromatography/(-) electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, J. Mass. Spectrom., 43, 371-382, 10.1002/jms.1329, 2008.
- 15 Gomiscek, B., Hauck, H., Stopper, S., and Preining, O.: Spatial and temporal variations Of PM1, PM2.5, PM10 and particle number concentration during the AUPHEP-project, Atmos. Environ., 38, 3917-3934, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.056, 2004.

Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., Erickson, D., Fall, R., Geron, C., Graedel, T., Harley, P., Klinger, L., Lerdau, M., Mckay, W. A., Pierce, T., Scholes, B., Steinbrecher, R., Tallamraju, R., Taylor, J., and Zimmerman, P.: A Global-Model of Natural Volatile Organic-Compound Emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 8873-8892, Doi 10.1029/94jd02950, 1995.

Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181-3210, 2006.

25 Guenther, A. B., Zimmerman, P. R., Harley, P. C., Monson, R. K., and Fall, R.: Isoprene and Monoterpene Emission Rate Variability -Model Evaluations and Sensitivity Analyses, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98, 12609-12617, Doi 10.1029/93jd00527, 1993.

Hakola, H., Tarvainen, V., Laurila, T., Hiltunen, V., Hellen, H., and Keronen, P.: Seasonal variation of VOC concentrations above a boreal coniferous forest, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1623-1634, 10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00014-1, 2003.

30

20

5

Hakola, H., Hellen, H., Hemmila, M., Rinne, J., and Kulmala, M.: In situ measurements of volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11665-11678, 10.5194/acp-12-11665-2012, 2012.

- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C.,
 Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A.,
 Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236, 2009.
- Hansen, A. M. K., Kristensen, K., Nguyen, Q. T., Zare, A., Cozzi, F., Nøjgaard, J. K., Skov, H., Brandt, J., Christensen, J. H., Ström, J.,
 Tunved, P., Krejci, R., and Glasius, M.: Organosulfates and organic acids in Arctic aerosols: speciation, annual variation and concentration levels, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 7807-7823, 10.5194/acp-14-7807-2014, 2014.

Hansen, A. M. K., Hong, J., Raatikainen, T., Kristensen, K., Ylisirnio, A., Virtanen, A., Petaja, T., Glasius, M., and Prisle, N. L.: Hygroscopic properties and cloud condensation nuclei activation of limonene-derived organosulfates and their mixtures with ammonium sulfate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 14071-14089, 10.5194/acp-15-14071-2015, 2015.

Hatakeyama, S., Ohno, M., Weng, J. H., Takagi, H., and Akimoto, H.: Mechanism for the Formation of Gaseous and Particulate Products from Ozone-Cycloalkene Reactions in Air, Environ. Sci. Technol., 21, 52-57, Doi 10.1021/Es00155a005, 1987.

50 Hettiyadura, A. P. S., Stone, E. A., Kundu, S., Baker, Z., Geddes, E., Richards, K., and Humphry, T.: Determination of atmospheric organosulfates using HILIC chromatography with MS detection, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 2347-2358, 10.5194/amt-8-2347-2015, 2015.

55

Hyder, M., Genberg, J., Sandahl, M., Swietlicki, E., and Jönsson, J. A.: Yearly trend of dicarboxylic acids in organic aerosols from south of Sweden and source attribution, Atmos. Environ., 57, 197-204, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.027, 2012.

Iinuma, Y., Muller, C., Berndt, T., Boge, O., Claeys, M., and Herrmann, H.: Evidence for the existence of organosulfates from beta-pinene ozonolysis in ambient secondary organic aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 6678-6683, 10.1021/es070938t, 2007.

Ito, K., Xue, N., and Thurston, G.: Spatial variation of PM2.5 chemical species and source-apportioned mass concentrations in New York City, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5269-5282, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.02.063, 2004.

Jung, H. W., Tschaplinski, T. J., Wang, L., Glazebrook, J., and Greenberg, J. T.: Priming in Systemic Plant Immunity, Science, 324, 89-91, 10.1126/science.1170025, 2009.

10 Kawamura, K., and Gagosian, R. B.: Implications of Omega-Oxocarboxylic Acids in the Remote Marine Atmosphere for Photooxidation of Unsaturated Fatty-Acids, Nature, 325, 330-332, Doi 10.1038/325330a0, 1987.

Kawamura, K., and Kaplan, I. R.: Motor Exhaust Emissions as a Primary Source for Dicarboxylic-Acids in Los-Angeles Ambient Air, Environ. Sci. Technol., 21, 105-110, Doi 10.1021/Es00155a014, 1987.

15

5

Kawamura, K., and Ikushima, K.: Seasonal-Changes in the Distribution of Dicarboxylic-Acids in the Urban Atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 27, 2227-2235, Doi 10.1021/Es00047a033, 1993.

Kawamura, K., and Sakaguchi, F.: Molecular distributions of water soluble dicarboxylic acids in marine aerosols over the Pacific Ocean including tropics, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 3501-3509, Doi 10.1029/1998jd100041, 1999.

Kerminen, V. M.: Relative roles of secondary sulfate and organics in atmospheric cloud condensation nuclei production, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 17321-17333, Doi 10.1029/2001jd900204, 2001.

25 Kristensen, K., and Glasius, M.: Organosulfates and oxidation products from biogenic hydrocarbons in fine aerosols from a forest in North West Europe during spring, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4546-4556, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.05.063, 2011.

Kristensen, K.: Anthropogenic Enhancement of Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosols - Investigation of Organosulfates and Dimers of Monoterpene Oxidation Products, Department of Chemistry and iNano, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, 2014.

30

Kristensson, A., Dal Maso, M., Swietlicki, E., Hussein, T., Zhou, J., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Characterization of new particle formation events at a background site in Southern Sweden: relation to air mass history, Tellus B, 60, 330-344, 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2008.00345.x, 2008.

35 Kundu, S., Kawamura, K., Andreae, T. W., Hoffer, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Molecular distributions of dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids and alpha-dicarbonyls in biomass burning aerosols: implications for photochemical production and degradation in smoke layers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2209-2225, 2010.

Laothawornkitkul, J., Taylor, J. E., Paul, N. D., and Hewitt, C. N.: Biogenic volatile organic compounds in the Earth system, New Phytol, 183, 27-51, 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02859.x, 2009.

Ma, Y., Willcox, T. R., Russell, A. T., and Marston, G.: Pinic and pinonic acid formation in the reaction of ozone with alpha-pinene, Chem. Commun., 1328-1330, 10.1039/b.617130c, 2007.

45 Mentel, T. F., Wildt, J., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kleist, E., Tillmann, R., Dal Maso, M., Fisseha, R., Hohaus, T., Spahn, H., Uerlings, R., Wegener, R., Griffiths, P. T., Dinar, E., Rudich, Y., and Wahner, A.: Photochemical production of aerosols from real plant emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4387-4406, 2009.

Mochida, M., Kawabata, A., Kawamura, K., Hatsushika, H., and Yamazaki, K.: Seasonal variation and origins of dicarboxylic acids in the marine atmosphere over the western North Pacific, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, Artn 419310.1029/2002jd002355, 2003.

Monson, R. K., Jones, R. T., Rosenstiel, T. N., and Schnitzler, J. P.: Why only some plants emit isoprene, Plant Cell Environ., 36, 503-516, 10.1111/pce.12015, 2013.

55 Müller, A.: Pimelic acid from salicylic acid, Organic Syntheses, 11, 42, DOI:10.15227/orgsyn.011.0042, 1931.

Nguyen, Q. T., Christensen, M. K., Cozzi, F., Zare, A., Hansen, A. M. K., Kristensen, K., Tulinius, T. E., Madsen, H. H., Christensen, J. H., Brandt, J., Massling, A., Nøjgaard, J. K., and Glasius, M.: Understanding the anthropogenic influence on formation of biogenic secondary organic aerosols in Denmark via analysis of organosulfates and related oxidation products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8961-8981, 10.5194/acp-14-8961-2014, 2014.

5

Nyanganyura, D., Maenhaut, W., Mathuthua, M., Makarau, A., and Meixner, F. X.: The chemical composition of tropospheric aerosols and their contributing sources to a continental background site in northern Zimbabwe from 1994 to 2000, Atmos. Environ., 41, 2644-2659, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.015, 2007.

10 Olson, C. N., Galloway, M. M., Yu, G., Hedman, C. J., Lockett, M. R., Yoon, T., Stone, E. A., Smith, L. M., and Keutsch, F. N.: Hydroxycarboxylic Acid-Derived Organosulfates: Synthesis, Stability, and Quantification in Ambient Aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 6468-6474, 10.1021/es201039p, 2011.

Penuelas, J., and Llusia, J.: BVOCs: plant defense against climate warming?, Trends Plant Sci., 8, 105-109, 10.1016/S1360-15 1385(03)00008-6, 2003.

Pope, C. A., Thun, M. J., Namboodiri, M. M., Dockery, D. W., Evans, J. S., Speizer, F. E., and Heath, C. W.: Particulate Air-Pollution as a Predictor of Mortality in a Prospective-Study of Us Adults, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 151, 669-674, 1995.

20

Putaud, J. P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., Bauer, H., Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje, H., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H. C., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hitzenberger, R., Huglin, C., Jones, A. M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Loschau, G., Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen, J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., Puxbaum, H., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Salma, I., Schwarz, J., Smolik, J., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Tursic, J., Viana, M., Wiedensohler, A., and Raes, F.: A European aerosol phenomenology-3: Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside sites across

Europe, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1308-1320, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.011, 2010.

Räisänen, T., Ryyppö, A., and Kellomäki, S.: Effects of elevated CO_2 and temperature on monoterpene emission of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.), Atmos. Environ., 42, 4160-4171, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.023, 2008.

30

25

Riva, M., Tomaz, S., Cui, T. Q., Lin, Y. H., Perraudin, E., Gold, A., Stone, E. A., Villenave, E., and Surratt, J. D.: Evidence for an Unrecognized Secondary Anthropogenic Source of Organosulfates and Sulfonates: Gas-Phase Oxidation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Presence of Sulfate Aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 6654-6664, 10.1021/acs.est.5b00836, 2015.

35 Riva, M., Barbosa, T. D., Lin, Y. H., Stone, E. A., Gold, A., and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical characterization of organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol derived from the photooxidation of alkanes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11001-11018, 10.5194/acp-16-11001-2016, 2016.

Schindelka, J., Iinuma, Y., Hoffmann, D., and Herrmann, H.: Sulfate radical-initiated formation of isoprene-derived organosulfates in atmospheric aerosols, Faraday Discuss., 165, 237-259, 10.1039/c3fd00042g, 2013.

40

Seibert, P., and Frank, A.: Source-receptor matrix calculation with a Lagrangian particle dispersion model in backward mode, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 51-63, 2004.

Shalamzari, M. S., Ryabtsova, O., Kahnt, A., Vermeylen, R., Herent, M. F., Quetin-Leclercq, J., Van der Veken, P., Maenhaut, W., and
 Claeys, M.: Mass spectrometric characterization of organosulfates related to secondary organic aerosol from isoprene, Rapid Commun.
 Mass Sp., 27, 784-794, 10.1002/rcm.6511, 2013.

Sharkey, T. D., Wiberley, A. E., and Donohue, A. R.: Isoprene emission from plants: Why and how, Ann. Bot.-London, 101, 5-18, 10.1093/aob/mcm240, 2008.

50

Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: Noaa's Hysplit Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, B Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 2059-2077, 10.1175/Bams-D-14-00110.1, 2015.

Steinbrecher, R., Hauff, K., Hakola, H., and Rössler, J.: A revised parameterisation for emission modelling of isoprenoids for boreal plants., Luxembourg, 29-43, 1999.

Stephanou, E. G., and Stratigakis, N.: Oxocarboxylic and Alpha,Omega-Dicarboxylic Acids - Photooxidation Products of Biogenic Unsaturated Fatty-Acids Present in Urban Aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 27, 1403-1407, Doi 10.1021/Es00044a016, 1993.

Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P., and Wotawa, G.: Technical note: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2461-2474, 2005.

Surratt, J. D., Kroll, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Claeys, M., Sorooshian, A., Ng, N. L., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Evidence for organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol, Environ Sci Technol, 41, 517-527, 10.1021/es062081q, 2007a.

10

20

25

5

Surratt, J. D., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., Jaoui, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Effect of acidity on secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 5363-5369, 10.1021/es0704176, 2007b.

Surratt, J. D., Gomez-Gonzalez, Y., Chan, A. W. H., Vermeylen, R., Shahgholi, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Offenberg, J. H.,
 Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Maenhaut, W., Claeys, M., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Organosulfate formation in biogenic secondary organic aerosol, J. Phys. Chem. A, 112, 8345-8378, 10.1021/jp802310p, 2008.

Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Eddingsaas, N. C., Chan, M. N., Loza, C. L., Kwan, A. J., Hersey, S. P., Flagan, R. C., Wennberg, P. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Reactive intermediates revealed in secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 6640-6645, 10.1073/pnas.0911114107, 2010.

Szmigielski, R., Surratt, J. D., Gomez-Gonzalez, Y., Van der Veken, P., Kourtchev, I., Vermeylen, R., Blockhuys, F., Jaoui, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., Edney, E. O., Seinfeld, J. H., Maenhaut, W., and Claeys, M.: 3-methyl-1,2,3-butanetricarboxylic acid: An atmospheric tracer for terpene secondary organic aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, Artn L2481110.1029/2007gl031338, 2007.

Tolocka, M. P., and Turpin, B.: Contribution of Organosulfur Compounds to Organic Aerosol Mass, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 7978-7983, 10.1021/es300651v, 2012.

30 Tuttle Musser, M.: Adipic Acid, Ullman's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 10.1002/14356007.a01_269, 2000.

van Pinxteren, D., Bruggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Muller, K., Thiel, C., and Herrmann, H.: A GIS based approach to back trajectory analysis for the source apportionment of aerosol constituents and its first application, J. Atmos. Chem., 67, 1-28, 10.1007/s10874-011-9199-9, 2010.

35

van Pinxteren, D., Neususs, C., and Herrmann, H.: On the abundance and source contributions of dicarboxylic acids in size-resolved aerosol particles at continental sites in central Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3913-3928, 10.5194/acp-14-3913-2014, 2014.

Wehner, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Long term measurements of submicrometer urban aerosols: statistical analysis for correlations with 40 meteorological conditions and trace gases, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 867-879, 2003.

Viana, M., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Gil, J. I., and Menendez, M.: Identification of PM sources by principal component analysis (PCA) coupled with wind direction data, Chemosphere, 65, 2411-2418, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.04.060, 2006.

45 Yttri, K. E., Simpson, D., Nøjgaard, J. K., Kristensen, K., Genberg, J., Stenström, K., Swietlicki, E., Hillamo, R., Aurela, M., Bauer, H., Offenberg, J. H., Jaoui, M., Dye, C., Eckhardt, S., Burkhart, J. F., Stohl, A., and Glasius, M.: Source apportionment of the summer time carbonaceous aerosol at Nordic rural background sites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 13339-13357, 10.5194/acp-11-13339-2011, 2011.

Zhang, R. Y., Wang, L., Khalizov, A. F., Zhao, J., Zheng, J., McGraw, R. L., and Molina, L. T.: Formation of nanoparticles of blue haze enhanced by anthropogenic pollution, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 17650-17654, 10.1073/pnas.0910125106, 2009.

Zhang, Y. Y., Müller, L., Winterhalter, R., Moortgat, G. K., Hoffmann, T., and Pöschl, U.: Seasonal cycle and temperature dependence of pinene oxidation products, dicarboxylic acids and nitrophenols in fine and coarse air particulate matter, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7859-7873, 10.5194/acp-10-7859-2010, 2010.

Table 1: Analyzed organic acids in the Vavihill aerosol samples. Measured m/z, molecular formula, possible molecular structure, suggested precursor and assigned precursor class. a) Hatakeyama et al. (1987), b) Stephanou and Stratigakis (1993), c) Kawamura and Gagosian (1987), d) Szmigielski et al. (2007), e) Ma et al. (2007), f) Claeys et al. (2009).

Precursor class	Name	Measured	Molecular	Possible	Suggested
		m/z	formula	structure	precursor
Anthropogenic	Adipic acid	145.050	$C_6H_{10}O_4$	ноусон	Cyclohexene ^a
	Pimelic acid	159.065	$C_7H_{12}O_4$	HOYOH	Cycloheptene ^a
Fatty acid-derived	Suberic acid	173.081	$C_8H_{14}O_4$	НОДОСН	Unsaturated fatty acid ^{b.c}
	Azelaic acid	187.097	C ₉ H ₁₆ O ₄	но	Unsaturated fatty acid ^{b,c}
	Pinic acid	185.081	C ₉ H ₁₄ O ₄	HOOO	α-/β-pinene ^{d.e}
1. generation Monoterpene	Pinonic acid	183.102	$C_{10}H_{16}O_3$	НОСО	α-/β-pinene ^{d,e}
	Terpenylic acid	171.065	$C_8H_{12}O_4$	ОН	α-pinene ^f
2. generation	3-methyl-1,2,3- butane- tricarboxylic acid (MBTCA)	203.055	C ₈ H ₁₂ O ₆	HO OH OH	α-pinene ^d
Monoterpene	Diaterpenylic acid acetate (DTAA)	231.086	$C_{10}H_{16}O_{6}$	HO OH	α-pinene ^f

Table 2: Analyzed organosulfates (OSs) and nitrooxy organosulfates (NOSs) in the Vavihill aerosol samples. Measured m/z, molecular formula, possible molecular structure, suggested precursor and assigned precursor class. a) Surratt et al. (2007a), b) Schindelka et al. (2013), c) Olson et al. (2011), d) Shalamzari et al. (2013), e) Gomez-Gonzalez et al. (2008), f) Surratt et al. (2008), g) Hettiyadura et al. (2015), h) (Surratt et al. (2010)). The OSs and NOSs were quantified with D-mannose 6-sulfate (1), β-pinene

Precursor	Name	Measured	Molecular	Possible	Suggested
class		m/z	formula	structure	precursor
	OS 140 ¹	138.970	$C_2H_4O_5S$	OOSO3H	Glycolaldehyde ^a
-	08 1541	152.095		0	Hydroxyacetone ^a /Methacrolein ^b /
	03 154	152.965	U ₃ Π ₆ U ₅ S	OSO₃H	Methyl vinyl ketone ^b
-	08 4561	154.001	01100	O	Glycolic acid ^{c,d} /
Isoprene/	05 156	154.901	U2H4U6S	HO OSO3H	Methyl vinyl ketone ^b
Anthropogenic	OS 170 ¹	168.979	$C_3H_6O_6S$	но осозн	Methylglycolic acid ^{c,d}
-	OS 200 ¹	198.991	$C_4H_8O_7S$	HO HO OSO3H	2-methylglyceric acid ^{a,e}
- Isoprene	OS 212 ¹	210.991	$C_5H_8O_7S$	он Основозн Основозн	Isoprene ^{f.g}
	OS 214 ¹	213.007	$C_5H_{10}O_7S$	OH O → → → OH OSO ₄ H More isomers	Isoprene ^f
	OS 216 ¹	215.021	$C_5H_{12}O_7S$		C_5 -epoxydiols from isoprene (IEPOX) ^h
	OS 250 ²	249.080	$C_{10}H_{18}O_5S$	More isomers	$\alpha\text{-/}\beta\text{-pinene}$ and limonene f
Monoterpene	OS 268 ²	267.053	$C_9H_{16}O_7S$	O O O O H	Limonene ^f
	OS 280 ²	279.054	$C_{10}H_{16}O_7S$	OSO ₃ H OH	α-/β-pinene ^f
	NOS 295 ³	294.062	$C_{10}H_{17}O_7NS$	HO ₃ SO ONO ₂ More isomers	α -/ β -pinene, Limonene ^{a,f}
Monoterpene NOS	NOS 297 ²	296.044	$C_9H_{15}O_8NS$	OSO3H ONO2 More isomers	Limonene ^f

Compound	Ν	Minimum (ng m ⁻³)	Maximum (ng m ⁻³)	Mean (ng m ^{⁻³})	±SD (ng m ⁻³)
Adipic acid	36	0.03	19.27	1.76	3.87
Pimelic acid	36	0.02	1.21	0.38	0.28
Suberic acid	31	0.05	9.03	2.45	2.42
Azelaic acid	35	0.03	55.27	10.52	13.83
Pinic acid	38	0.28	4.71	1.31	1.04
Pinonic acid	38	0.82	10.66	2.89	2.00
Terpenylic acid	38	0.72	8.86	2.57	1.87
DTAA	38	0.04	5.67	0.84	1.23
MBTCA	38	0.38	29.42	6.18	7.00
OS 140	38	0.02	0.28	0.11	0.07
OS 154	38	0.15	2.95	0.76	0.64
OS 156	32	0.02	2.35	0.65	0.61
OS 170	38	0.08	0.78	0.33	0.17
OS 200	38	0.06	2.02	0.41	0.40
OS 212	38	0.16	4.63	0.91	0.95
OS 214	38	0.06	3.08	0.50	0.58
OS 216	38	0.06	5.83	0.63	1.07
OS 250	38	0.02	3.48	0.51	0.64
OS 268	38	0.01	0.48	0.13	0.12
OS 280	32	0.01	0.70	0.09	0.17
NOS 295	38	0.02	0.53	0.12	0.11
NOS 297	37	0.01	0.18	0.05	0.03

Table 3: Ranges of concentrations, means and standard deviation (SD) of the analyzed compounds in aerosol samples collected at the Vavihill measurement station 10th June to 18th of July 2012.

	Adipic acid	Pimelic acid	Suberic acid	Azelaic acid	Pinic acid	Pinonic acid	Terpenylic acid	DTAA	MBTCA	OS 140	OS 154	OS 156	OS 170	OS 200	OS 212	OS 214	OS 216	OS 250	OS 268	OS 280	NOS 295	NOS 297
Adipic acid																						
Pimelic acid	0.16																					
Suberic acid	0.02	0.95																				
Azelaic acid	0.01	0.87	0.95																			
Pinic acid	0.25	0.20	0.01	0.20																		
Pinonic acid	0.05	0.02	0.32	0.00	0.81																	
acid	0.33	0.35	0.18	0.40	0.80	0.39																
DTAA	0.35	0.29	0.18	0.37	0.66	0.20	0.89															
MBTCA	0.32	0.22	0.06	0.26	0.71	0.29	0.94	0.92														
OS 140	0.13	0.41	0.27	0.50	0.47	0.06	0.90	0.83	0.70		_											
OS 154	0.33	0.36	0.22	0.43	0.67	0.19	0.92	0.94	0.93	0.82												
OS 156	0.22	0.36	0.26	0.34	0.62	0.21	0.83	0.87	0.84	0.76	0.92											
OS 170	0.24	0.24	0.00	0.31	0.58	0.21	0.77	0.73	0.80	0.84	0.86	0.83										
OS 200	0.27	0.32	0.19	0.41	0.58	0.10	0.81	0.93	0.86	0.80	0.96	0.93	0.84									
OS 212	0.34	0.35	0.22	0.43	0.65	0.17	0.86	0.97	0.88	0.76	0.97	0.92	0.81	0.98								
OS 214	0.33	0.30	0.18	0.38	0.61	0.15	0.80	0.96	0.82	0.70	0.92	0.89	0.74	0.97	0.98							
OS 216	0.33	0.26	0.21	0.33	0.50	0.06	0.65	0.89	0.68	0.55	0.80	0.79	0.57	0.89	0.91	0.96						
OS 250	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.26	0.56	0.45	0.51	0.51	0.54	0.59	0.63	0.53	0.50	0.45	0.31					
OS 268	0.19	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.63	0.36	0.80	0.72	0.87	0.63	0.78	0.67	0.72	0.71	0.69	0.64	0.45	0.55				
OS 280	0.38	0.24	0.15	0.14	0.56	0.08	0.84	0.83	0.93	0.65	0.88	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.82	0.75	0.66	0.39	0.75			
NOS 295	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.56	0.53	0.38	0.62	0.35	0.44	0.44	0.50	0.32	0.33	0.27	0.09	0.33	0.70	0.55		
NOS 297	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.14	0.53	0.35	0.67	0.57	0.77	0.57	0.68	0.61	0.68	0.59	0.57	0.50	0.31	0.42	0.85	0.70	0.88	

Table 4: Correlation matrix displaying the Pearson product-moment coefficient (r) for measured chemical species. Colours represent degree of correlation: yellow: |0.7-0.8|; green: |0.8-0.9|; red: |0.9-1.0|.

Table 5: Ranges, means and standard deviations (SD) of the FLEXPART surface type exposure of incoming air masses during 10th June to 18th of July 2012.

Surface type	Ν	Minimum (%)	Maximum (%)	Mean (%)	±SD (%)
Pasture	38	0	13	4.4	3.6
Discontinous urban fabric	38	1	7	2.6	1.7
Non-irrigated arable land	38	7	35	18.8	8.3
Sparsely vegatated areas	38	0	3	0.4	0.9
Broad leaved forest	38	0	8	2.6	1.7
Lakes and ponds	38	0	3	0.9	0.6
Moors and heath	38	0	3	0.5	0.7
Coniferous forest	38	0	22	5.5	5.2
Sea and ocean	38	24.6	86	56.0	16.3
Other	38	3	15	8.3	3.2

Table 6: Correlation matrix displaying the Pearson product-moment coefficient (r) for surface types. Colours represent degree of correlation: yellow: |0.7-0.8|; green: |0.8-0.9|; red: |0.9-1.0|.

	Pasture	Discontinous urban fabric	Non-irrigated arable land	Sparsely vegatated areas	Broad leaved forest	Lakes and ponds	Moors and heath	Coniferous forest	Sea and ocean	Other
Pasture Discussion (chain	0.00									
Discontinous urban fabric	0.92									
Non-irrigated arable land	0.89	0.9								
Sparsely vegatated areas	-0.47	-0.42	-0.49							
Broad leaved forest	0.48	0.32	0.53	-0.13						
Lakes and ponds	0	-0.12	-0.13	0.18	0.2					
Moors and heath	-0.46	-0.4	-0.47	0.98	-0.17	0.14				
Coniferous forest	-0.17	-0.31	-0.22	0.23	0.43	0.8	0.17			
Sea and ocean	-0.84	-0.78	-0.84	0.27	-0.73	-0.31	0.28	-0.28		
Other	0.59	0.57	0.53	-0.16	0.42	0.29	-0.18	0.23	-0.77	

	1	2	. 3	4
Adipic acid	0.37	-0.25	0.08	0.59
Pimelic acid	0.24	0.20	0.75	-0.21
Suberic acid	0.20	0.26	0.82	-0.19
Azelaic acid	0.21	0.39	0.74	-0.17
Pinic acid	0.70	-0.04	-0.25	0.14
Pinonic acid	0.19	-0.15	-0.37	0.16
Terpenylic acid	0.88	0.29	-0.11	0.04
DTAA	0.93	0.24	0.04	0.09
МВТСА	0.89	0.28	-0.26	-0.02
OS 140	0.76	0.30	0.12	-0.41
OS 154	0.96	0.22	0.04	-0.10
OS 156	0.93	0.06	0.04	-0.14
OS 170	0.79	0.20	-0.17	-0.28
OS 200	0.92	0.18	0.10	-0.12
OS 212	0.95	0.18	0.13	-0.01
OS 214	0.92	0.13	0.15	0.04
OS 216	0.87	0.03	0.26	0.11
OS 250	0.48	-0.06	-0.38	-0.06
OS 268	0.67	0.24	-0.51	-0.18
OS 280	0.87	0.13	-0.20	-0.05
NOS 295	0.43	0.16	-0.69	-0.25
NOS 297	0.59	0.28	-0.48	-0.35
Pastures	0.22	0.85	0.15	-0.37
Discontinous urban fabric	-0.02	0.92	0.12	-0.29
Non-irrigated arable land	0.20	0.94	0.10	-0.14
Broad leaved forest	0.53	0.77	0.21	0.11
Sparsely vegetated areas	-0.11	-0.10	-0.18	0.86
Lakes and ponds	0.76	0.34	0.02	0.42
Moors and heath	-0.16	-0.04	-0.23	0.85
Coniferous forest	0.79	0.35	-0.04	0.39
Sea and ocean	0.37	0.62	0.27	0.34
Other	0.60	0.65	0.19	0.19

Table 7: Principal component (PC) loadings. The loadings display the variation (between -1 and 1) explained by the PC. Numbers in bold indicates absolute number >0.6. PC1 explained 49.1%, PC2 14.9%, PC3 9.3% and PC4 6.9%.



Figure 1: A) Total concentration of all measured carboxylic acids, organosulfates (OSs) and nitrooxy organosulfates (NOSs) in PM₁ collected at the Vavihill measurement station. The thick grey line displays the PM_{2.5} concentration. Capital letters in parenthesis in the legend is the precursor class given in Table 1 and 2. A=Anthropogenic, F=Fatty acid, I=Isoprene and M=Monoterpenes. B) FLEXPART generated mean exposure from the nine mean largest surface categories. The exposure is a mean of 3, 5 and 7 days back trajectories. The category "Other" represents the remaining 34 surface categories. More detailed information on the surface categories can be found in the supplemental information.



Figure 2: 120 hour back-trajectory air mass covering the concentration peak dates; 6-8th July. FLEXPART are shown in shaded colors. The colorbar displays the FLEXPART footprint, normalized to 1 (the color range has been limited to 0-0.3 to highlight grid points with low but a non-zero contribution). Together, the grid points with a value larger than 0.1 contribute 17% of the total sensitivity while grid boxes with a value larger than 0.01 contribute 81% of the total sensitivity. 120 h back-trajectory was chosen for easier interpretation of the illustration.