



- 1 Estimation of bubbled-mediated air/sea gas exchange from
- 2 concurrent DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> transfer velocities at
   3 intermediate-high wind speeds
- 4
- 5 Thomas G. Bell<sup>1\*</sup>, Sebastian Landwehr<sup>2</sup>, Scott D. Miller<sup>3</sup>, Warren J. de Bruyn<sup>4</sup>,
- Adrian Callaghan<sup>5</sup>, Brian Scanlon<sup>2</sup>, Brian Ward<sup>2</sup>, Mingxi Yang<sup>1</sup> and Eric S.
   7 Saltzman<sup>6</sup>
- 8 [1] Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth, PL1 3DH, UK
- 9 [2] School of Physics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
- 10 [3] Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York at Albany, NY, USA
- 11 [4] Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, Orange, California, CA, USA
- 12 [5] Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La
- 13 Jolla, CA 92093
- 14 [6] Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA
- 15 \*Correspondence to: T.G. Bell (tbe@pml.ac.uk)

## 16 Abstract

Simultaneous air/sea fluxes and concentration differences of dimethylsulfide (DMS) and 17 18 carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) were measured during a summertime North Atlantic cruise in 2011. 19 This dataset reveals significant differences between the gas transfer velocities of these two 20 gases ( $\Delta k_w$ ) over a range of wind speeds up to 21 m s<sup>-1</sup>. These differences occur at and above the approximate wind speed threshold when waves begin breaking. Whitecap fraction (a 21 22 proxy for bubbles) was also measured and has a positive relationship with  $\Delta k_w$ , consistent 23 with enhanced bubble-mediated transfer of the less soluble CO<sub>2</sub> relative to that of the more 24 soluble DMS. However, the correlation of  $\Delta k_w$  with whitecap fraction is no stronger than with 25 wind speed. Models used to estimate bubble-mediated transfer from in situ whitecap fraction 26 under-predict the observations, particularly at intermediate wind speeds. Examining the 27 differences between gas transfer velocities of gases with different solubilities is a useful way 28 to detect the impact of bubble-mediated exchange. More simultaneous gas transfer





29 measurements of different solubility gases across a wide range of oceanic conditions are 30 needed to understand the factors controlling the magnitude and scaling of bubble-mediated 31 gas exchange.

#### 32 1 Introduction

33 Air/sea exchange is a significant process for many compounds that have biogeochemical and climatic importance. Approximately 25% of the carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) released into the 34 35 atmosphere by anthropogenic activities has been taken up by the world oceans, which has 36 tempered its climate forcing while leading to ocean acidification (Le Quéré et al., 2015). The 37 biogenic gas dimethylsulfide (DMS) is a major contributor to the mass of marine atmospheric 38 aerosol (Virkkula et al., 2006). Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as isoprene, 39 acetone and acetaldehyde alter the oxidising capacity of the troposphere (Carpenter et al., 40 2012). The solubility differences between these VOCs mean that their exchange is controlled 41 to differing degrees by processes on the water and air side of the air/sea interface (Yang et al., 42 2014). Many of the factors influencing air/sea gas exchange will be altered by future changes 43 in climate, ocean circulation and biology. Earth system models and air quality models require 44 more accurate understanding of the processes that influence air/sea gas transfer.

45 Air/sea gas exchange is typically parameterised as a function of the ocean/atmosphere bulk 46 concentration difference ( $\Delta C$ ) and the physical mixing induced by wind stress at the interface 47 (Liss and Slater, 1974). The air/sea flux is typically described using the expression:

48  $Flux = K(C_w - \alpha C_a)$  Equation 1

49 where  $C_w$  and  $C_a$  are the trace gas bulk concentration on either side of the interface,  $\alpha$  is the 50 dimensionless water/air solubility of the gas in seawater and K is the gas transfer velocity. 51 The physics of gas transfer are implicitly represented by the gas transfer velocity, which is commonly expressed in water-side units of velocity (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) and parameterized as a function 52 53 of wind speed  $(U_{10})$  and Schmidt number (Sc). The simplicity of Equation 1 belies the 54 complexity of the processes involved in air/sea gas transfer. These processes include 55 diffusion, surface renewal, buoyancy effects, wave-induced mixing, wave breaking and 56 bubble-mediated transport. A variety of theoretical, laboratory, and field approaches have 57 been used to study these processes but we do not yet have a firm understanding of factors that 58 control air/sea transfer under a range of oceanic conditions.





59 The gas transfer-wind speed relationships for gases of different solubility may be affected by 60 breaking waves and bubbles (Woolf, 1993; Keeling, 1993; Woolf, 1997). Gas transfer via 61 bubbles  $(k_{bub})$  is sensitive to the void fraction (ratio of air volume to total volume) of the 62 bubble plume as well as the bubble size distribution. Bubble injection depth and cleanliness 63 of the surface (influenced by surfactants) affect bubble rise velocity and residence time. 64 Bubble residence time determines the time available for equilibration to occur while bubble 65 volume and gas diffusivity (Sc) govern the time needed for a bubble to equilibrate. The 66 magnitude of  $k_{bub}$  is expected to be greater for sparingly soluble gases (e.g. CO<sub>2</sub>, dimensionless solubility ~1) than for more soluble gases such as DMS (dimensionless 67 68 solubility ~15), particularly when bubbles are fully equilibrated. Bubble-mediated gas transfer has been studied in the laboratory (Rhee et al., 2007; Asher et al., 1996) and using 69 70 models (e.g. Woolf, 2005; Fairall et al., 2011; Woolf et al., 2007; Goddijn-Murphy et al., 71 2016).

72 Deliberate, dual-tracer techniques have estimated gas transfer by measuring the evasion of a pair of sparingly soluble gases with different diffusivity (<sup>3</sup>He and  $SF_6$ , dimensionless 73 74 solubility  $\leq 0.01$ ). These studies indicate non-linear wind speed dependence of the gas transfer 75 velocity, in qualitative agreement with earlier studies in wind-wave tanks (e.g. Watson et al., 76 1991; Wanninkhof et al., 1985; Liss and Merlivat, 1986). Direct, shipboard measurements of 77 waterside gas transfer have also been made by eddy covariance (e.g. Bell et al., 2013; 78 Marandino et al., 2007; McGillis et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2010; Huebert et al., 2004). These 79 measurements typically show DMS gas transfer velocities that are lower and exhibit more 80 linear wind speed dependence than those estimated for  $CO_2$  based on dual tracer studies (e.g. 81 Bell et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2011; Goddijn-Murphy et al., 2012). It has been suggested that 82 the difference between the open ocean gas transfer velocities of  $CO_2$  and DMS is due to the 83 reduced importance of bubble-mediated exchange for DMS (Goddijn-Murphy et al., 2016; 84 Blomquist et al., 2006; Fairall et al., 2011).

Only one set of concurrent  $CO_2$  and DMS gas transfer velocity measurements have been published to date (Miller et al., 2009). In that study, no statistically significant difference was observed in gas transfer-wind speed relationships of  $CO_2$  and DMS for winds below 10 m s<sup>-1</sup>. This study presents a more extensive set of  $CO_2$  and DMS gas transfer velocities that were measured simultaneously aboard the R/V Knorr in the 2011 summertime North Atlantic in both oligotrophic and highly productive waters. The DMS and  $CO_2$  gas transfer velocities





- 91 are discussed separately in detail by Bell et al. (2013) and Miller et al., In Prep. Here we
- 92 focus specifically on what can be learned about gas transfer from the differences in behaviour
- 93 of two different solubility gases at intermediate and high wind speeds.

## 94 2 Methods

#### 95 2.1 Seawater, atmospheric and flux measurement systems

96 The measurement setups for DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in air and water and the eddy
97 covariance flux systems have been discussed in detail elsewhere (Bell et al., 2015; Bell et al.,
98 2013; Miller et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2008; Landwehr et al., 2014; Landwehr et al., 2015;
99 Saltzman et al., 2009). We provide a summary and some additional details in the Appendix
100 (Section 6).

## 101 **2.2 Gas transfer velocity calculations**

102 In this section we describe the calculation of DMS and  $CO_2$  gas transfer velocities from the 103 Knorr\_11 cruise data. Measured gas transfer velocities are transformed into water side only 104 gas transfer velocities in order to remove the influence of air-side resistance. Air-side 105 resistance is a function of solubility and thus different for the two gases. Finally, we discuss 106 the most appropriate approach for comparing the water-side gas transfer velocities, given that 107 the two gases have different molecular diffusivity and solubility.

Total gas transfer velocities (K) are calculated for CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS for each 10-minute flux interval of the Knorr\_11 cruise using Equation 1. The temperature-dependent dimensionless solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS in seawater is calculated following Weiss (1974) and Dacey et al. (1984). These gas transfer velocities reflect the result of resistance on both sides of the interface (Liss and Slater, 1974). The water side contribution to the total resistance is determined as follows:

114 
$$k_{w} = \left[\frac{1}{K} - \frac{\alpha}{k_{a}}\right]^{-1}$$
 Equation 2

where  $k_w$  and  $k_a$  are the air side and water side gas transfer velocities and  $\alpha$  is dimensionless water/air solubility. Note that we use the  $\alpha$  reported by Dacey et al. (1984) in these calculations rather than *H* as there appears to be an error in conversion between  $\alpha$  and *H* in that study (see Supplemental information for discussion). CO<sub>2</sub> solubility is sufficiently low





119 that air side resistance is negligible and the water side gas transfer is assumed equal to the 120 total transfer velocity ( $k_{CO_2} = K_{CO_2}$ ). The air side resistance for DMS needs to be accounted for because it is a moderately soluble gas (McGillis et al., 2000). Air side gas transfer 121 velocities  $(k_a)$  for DMS were calculated for each 10 minute flux interval with the NOAA 122 123 COAREG 3.1 model, using sea surface temperature (SST) and horizontal wind speed 124 measured during the cruise. The NOAA COAREG 3.1 model (Fairall et al., 2011) is an 125 extension of the COARE bulk parameterization for air/sea energy and momentum fluxes to 126 simulate gas transfer (Fairall et al., 1998; Fairall et al., 2000). The air side gas transfer 127 contributes about 5% on average to the total resistance for DMS. NOAA COAREG 3.1 128 model calculations were carried out using a turbulent/molecular coefficient, A = 1.6, and 129 bubble-mediated coefficient, B = 1.8 (Fairall et al., 2011). Knorr 11 measurements of SST, 130 air temperature, relative humidity, air pressure, downward radiation and wind speed were 131 used as input parameters to the model.

132 To facilitate comparison of transfer coefficients for the two gases across a range of sea 133 surface temperatures, gas transfer velocities are corrected for changes in molecular diffusivity 134 and viscosity. The correction typically involves the normalisation of water side gas transfer 135 velocities to a common Schmidt number (*Sc*=660), equivalent to  $CO_2$  in seawater at 20°C:

136 
$$k_{X,660} = k_X \left(\frac{660}{Sc_X}\right)^{-0.5}$$
 Equation 3

where subscript  $_X$  refers to CO<sub>2</sub> or DMS (i.e.  $k_{DMS,660}$  and  $k_{CO_2,660}$ ). Temperature-dependent *Sc*<sub>CO2</sub> and *Sc*<sub>DMS</sub> were obtained using the *in situ* seawater temperature from the ship's bow sensor and parameterisations from Wanninkhof (1992) and Saltzman et al. (1993).

140 The *Sc* number normalization (Equation 4) is commonly used across the whole range of wind 141 speeds. In fact, it is appropriate only for low or moderate winds in which interfacial gas 142 transfer dominates over bubble-mediated gas exchange. If bubbles are an important 143 component of gas transfer then solubility also plays a role and normalization based on *Sc* 144 alone may not be sufficient.

To develop a more rigorous comparison of  $k_{DMS}$  and  $k_{CO_2}$ , we normalized the water side transfer velocities of DMS to the Schmidt number of CO<sub>2</sub> at the *in situ* sea surface temperature of each 10-minute flux interval, as follows:





148

$$k_{DMS,Sc} = k_{DMS} \left( \frac{Sc_{CO_2}}{Sc_{DMS}} \right)^{-0.5}$$

Equation 4

where  $Sc_{CO_2}$  and  $Sc_{DMS}$  are the Schmidt numbers of CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS at the *in situ* sea surface temperature. Compared to normalizing both DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> to Sc=660, this approach has the advantage of correcting only  $k_{DMS}$ , with no correction to  $k_{CO2}$ . The *Sc* correction for DMS should be reasonably accurate, assuming that the bubble-mediated transfer for the more soluble DMS is relatively small.

154 On the Knorr\_11 cruise, the variability in sea surface temperature was small ( $1\sigma = \pm 1^{\circ}$ C). As 155 a result, there is little difference in the variability or wind speed dependence of *Sc*-corrected 156  $k_{CO2}$  compared to  $k_{CO2}$  at the *in situ* temperature (Figure 5 vs. Figure S1 in Supplemental 157 information). In Section 3.4, the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS gas transfer velocities 158 and wind speed is examined using  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  and kCO<sub>2</sub>.

# 159 **2.3 Calculation of** $k_{bub,CO_2}$

160 The water-side controlled gas transfer velocity  $(k_w)$  is comprised of interfacial and bubble-161 mediated transfer mechanisms, which operate in parallel, i.e.  $k_w = k_{int} + k_{bub}$  (Woolf, 1997). 162 We assume that turbulence and diffusive mixing at the sea surface operate similarly upon the 163 interfacial air/sea transfer of CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS (i.e.  $k_{int,CO2} = k_{int,DMS}$ ), given appropriate 164 normalization for the differences in molecular diffusivity. Observed differences between 165  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  and  $k_{CO_2}$  should therefore be a measure of the difference between the bubble-mediated 166 contributions to DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> gas transfer:

167 
$$\Delta k_w = k_{bub,CO_2} - k_{bub,DMS}$$
 Equation 5

168  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  and  $k_{bub,DMS}$  are related by the influence of solubility and diffusivity upon bubble-169 mediated transfer. We parameterize this relationship simply as  $k_{bub,DMS} = f \cdot k_{bub,CO_2}$ . 170 Substitution into Equation 6 yields:

171 
$$k_{bub,CO_2} = \frac{\Delta k_w}{1 - f}$$
 Equation 6





172 The value of f depends on seawater temperature and the complex dynamics of bubble 173 formation and cycling (size distributions, surfactants, etc.). At the mean SST encountered in 174 this study (9.8°C), the Woolf (1997) and Asher et al. (2002) bubble gas transfer models yield 175 values for f of 0.14 and 0.27, respectively (see Supplemental information for model

176 equations).

## 177 2.4 Sea surface imaging

178 Whitecap areal fraction was measured using images of the sea surface recorded with a digital 179 camera (5 mega pixel Arecont Vision, 16 mm focal length lens) mounted 14.6 m above the ocean surface at an angle of  $\sim 75^{\circ}$  from the nadir. Image footprints represent  $\sim 7600 \text{ m}^2$  of sea 180 surface. Images were collected at a sample period of about 1 second and post-processed for 181 182 whitecap fraction according to the Automated Whitecap Extraction algorithm method (Callaghan and White, 2009). Images were further processed to distinguish whitecap pixels 183 184 as either stage A or stage B whitecaps by applying a spatial separation technique (Scanlon and Ward, 2013). The whitecap fraction measurements were averaged in the same way as the 185 gas transfer velocities (i.e. time-averaged mean values as well as  $2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  wind speed bins). 186

## 187 3 Results

### 188 **3.1** Cruise location and environmental conditions

This study took place in the summertime North Atlantic (June 24 – July 18, 2011; DOY 175-189 190 199), departing and returning to Woods Hole, MA. Most of the data were collected north of 191 50°N, including the occupation of four 24-36 hr stations – ST181, ST184, ST187 and ST191 192 (Figures 1 & 2). The cruise track was designed to sample regions with high biological 193 productivity and phytoplankton blooms, with large air/sea concentration differences for CO2 194 and DMS. The cruise meteorology and physical oceanography is discussed in detail by (Bell 195 et al., 2013). A series of weather systems travelling from West to East passed over the region during the cruise. Wind speeds ranged from  $\sim 1$  to 22 m s<sup>-1</sup>, with strongest winds during the 196 197 frontal passages at stations ST184 and ST191 (Figure 1b). Atmospheric boundary layer 198 stability was close to neutral for most of the cruise (|z/L| < 0.07; 75% of the time), with 199 infrequent stable conditions (z/L > 0.05; <8% of the time). There was no evidence that the 200 stable periods affected the flux measurements (Bell et al., 2013). Whitecap areal fraction 201 increased up to a maximum of ~0.06 in response to high wind speeds (Figure 1b).





## **3.2 Whitecaps**

Whitecaps were observed during Knorr\_11 when wind speeds exceeded 4.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, a typical 203 204 wind speed threshold for whitecap formation in the open ocean (Schwendeman and 205 Thomson, 2015; Callaghan et al., 2008). Whitecap areal fraction is a strong, non-linear 206 function of wind speed (Figure 3a). The whitecap vs. wind speed relationship for Knorr\_11 is 207 similar in shape, but considerably lower than recent previously published wind speed-based 208 whitecap parameterisations (Schwendeman and Thomson, 2015; Callaghan et al., 2008). At 209 intermediate wind speeds the Knorr\_11 whitecap data are as much as an order of magnitude 210 lower than the parameterisations (Figure 3a).

211 Total whitecap coverage is a function of (i) active 'stage A whitecaps'  $(W_A)$  produced from recent wave breaking and (ii) maturing 'stage B whitecaps'  $(W_R)$  that are decaying foam from 212 previous breakers. The Stage A whitecap fraction data is highly variable at ~11 m s<sup>-1</sup> wind 213 speeds (Figure 3b), which is driven by the difference in the wind-wave conditions during 214 215 Knorr 11 (ST184 vs ST191, Figure 4a). Stage A whitecap fraction data does not show the same differences between ST184 and ST191 when plotted against the dimensionless 216 217 Reynolds number,  $R_H$ , which describes breaking waves using Knorr\_11 measurements of 218 significant wave height (Zhao and Toba, 2001). The relationship between Stage A whitecap fraction and  $R_H$  is more scattered when Stage A whitecaps are below ~10<sup>-4</sup> (Figure 4b). Wave 219 220 development and steepness (slope) influence the likelihood of breaking waves. Breaking 221 waves are more closely associated with steep, young waves. At a given wind speed and wave 222 height, older, swell-dominated waves do not produce as many stage A whitecaps compared to 223 young wave systems (Callaghan et al., 2008; Sugihara et al., 2007).

#### 224 **3.3** Concentrations, fluxes and gas transfer velocities

Seawater pCO<sub>2</sub> was consistently lower than the overlying atmosphere throughout the study region due to biological uptake (Figure 1c). As a result, the air/sea concentration difference  $(\Delta pCO_2)$  was large and always into the ocean, with  $\Delta pCO_2 < 45$  ppm for more than 80% of the measurements. Periods with particularly enhanced  $\Delta pCO_2$  into the ocean were during the transit between ST181 and ST184 ( $\Delta pCO_2$  as large as -120 ppm) and during ST191 ( $\Delta pCO_2$ consistently -75 ppm).





231 Seawater DMS levels were much higher than atmospheric levels, reflecting the biogenic 232 sources in seawater and the relatively short atmospheric lifetime (~1 day; Kloster et al., 233 2006). The largest air/sea DMS concentration differences ( $\Delta DMS$ ) of 6-12 ppb were 234 observed during DOY 185-190 (Figure 2a). The  $\Delta DMS$  and  $\Delta pCO_2$  did not co-vary 235 (Spearman  $\rho = 0.11$ , n=918, p<0.001). This is not surprising because, although seawater 236 DMS and  $CO_2$  signals are both influenced by biological activity, they are controlled by 237 different processes. Seawater  $CO_2$  levels reflect the net result of community photosynthesis 238 and respiration, while DMS production is related to metabolic processes that are highly 239 species-dependent (Stefels et al., 2007).

240  $CO_2$  fluxes ( $F_{CO_2}$ ) were generally into the ocean, as expected given the direction of the air/sea 241 concentration difference (Figure 1d). The variability in  $F_{CO2}$  observed on this cruise reflects dependence on both wind speed and  $\Delta pCO_2$ . For example, during DOY182 air-to-sea  $CO_2$ 242 243 fluxes increase due to a gradual increase in  $\Delta pCO_2$  with fairly constant wind speed. More commonly,  $\Delta pCO_2$  was fairly constant and variability in  $F_{CO2}$  reflected changes in wind 244 speed. For example, from DOY 185-187 wind speeds gradually declined from ~10 to 5 m s<sup>-1</sup> 245 with a concurrent decline in  $F_{CO2}$ . DMS eddy covariance fluxes were always out of the ocean. 246 247 Ten minute averaged DMS fluxes ( $F_{DMS}$ ) clearly show the influence of both  $\Delta DMS$  (e.g. 248 DOY 188) and wind speed (e.g. DOY 184).

249 Gas transfer velocities of  $CO_2$  and DMS from this cruise exhibit two systematic differences: 250 i)  $k_{DMS}$  values are generally lower than  $k_{CO_2}$ , particularly during episodes of high wind speed; 251 and ii)  $k_{CO_2}$  is characterized by much larger scatter than  $k_{DMS}$ . We attribute the large scatter in 252  $k_{co,}$  to the greater random uncertainty associated with the eddy covariance measurement of 253 air/sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes compared to those of DMS. As shown by Miller et al. (2010), the 254 analytical approach used in this study (dried air, closed path LI7500) has sufficient precision 255 to adequately resolve the turbulent fluctuations in pCO<sub>2</sub> associated with the surface flux over 256 most of the cruise ( $\Delta pCO_2 < -30$  ppm). The scatter in the CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements is more 257 likely due to environmental variability resulting from fluctuations in boundary layer CO2 258 mixing ratio arising from horizontal and/or vertical transport unrelated to air/sea flux (Edson 259 et al., 2008; Blomquist et al., 2014). These effects likely have a much smaller effect on 260 air/sea DMS fluxes, because the air/sea DMS concentration difference is always much larger 261 than the mean atmospheric DMS concentration (due to the short atmospheric lifetime of





262 DMS). For example, a  $\Delta pCO_2$  of 100 ppm at a wind speed of 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> will produce turbulent 263 fluctuations that are ~0.02% of the background CO<sub>2</sub> on average. In contrast, a typical 264 seawater DMS concentration (2.6 nM) at 6 m s<sup>-1</sup> generates fluctuations of 20% of the 265 background (Table 1; Blomquist et al., 2012). Thus,  $F_{CO2}$  measurements are highly sensitive 266 to small fluctuations in background CO<sub>2</sub> and the relative uncertainty is expected to be much 267 larger than that for  $F_{DMS}$ .

## 268 **3.4** Comparison of $k_{CO_2}$ and $k_{DMS,Sc}$

The differences between  $CO_2$  and DMS gas transfer velocities observed in the time series are 269 270 also evident when the data are examined as a function of wind speed. From the 10-minute 271 averaged data, it is clear that  $k_{CO_2}$  is greater than  $k_{DMS}$  and has a stronger wind speed-272 dependence over most of the wind speed range (Figure 5a,b). These broad trends are also 273 easily seen in longer time-averaged data. Flux and  $\Delta C$  measurements were averaged into 4 274 hour periods (minimum of 3 flux intervals per 4 hour period), which reduced the scatter in 275  $F_{CO2}$  while preserving the temporal variability (Figure S3). Gas transfer velocities were then recalculated from the 4 hour averaged data. 10-minute  $k_{CO_2}$  and  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  data were also 276 averaged into 2 m s<sup>-1</sup> wind speed bins, with a minimum of 5 ten minute periods per bin. The 4 277 hour averaged data and the wind speed binned data show  $k_{CO,}$  and  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  diverging at 278 intermediate wind speeds, differing by a factor of roughly two at 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 5c,d). 279

280 DMS gas transfer velocities on this cruise exhibit complex behaviour at intermediate to high wind speeds, as discussed in Bell et al. (2013). k<sub>DMS,Sc</sub> increases linearly with wind speed up 281 282 to  $\sim 11 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (Figure 5). Under the high wind, high wave conditions encountered during ST191, the wind speed-dependence of  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  was lower than expected, with a slope roughly 283 half that of the rest of the cruise data. This effect was not observed at ST184. Such coherent 284 285 spatial-temporal variation means that wind speed bin averaging of the higher wind speed 286 k<sub>DMS,Sc</sub> may mask real variability in the relationship with wind speed. Relationships 287 developed from wind speed bin-averaged gas transfer data should be interpreted with caution, 288 especially when it comes to developing generalizable air/sea gas transfer models.

The Knorr\_11  $k_{co_2}$  data also demonstrate a clear wind speed dependence (Figure 5). The NOAA COARE model for CO<sub>2</sub> has been tuned to previous eddy covariance flux





291 measurements (McGillis et al., 2001), with bubble-mediated transfer determining the non-292 linear relationship with wind speed (Fairall et al., 2011). There is reasonable agreement between the COARE model gas transfer velocity predictions and the Knorr\_11  $k_{CO_2}$  data 293 until ~11 m s<sup>-1</sup> wind speed. Above 11 m s<sup>-1</sup>, the COARE model over predicts  $k_{CO}$ . This 294 295 could be interpreted as indicating high wind speed suppression of gas transfer for  $CO_2$  as 296 observed for DMS (as discussed by Bell et al., 2013). However, it is important to note that the number of high wind speed (>15 m s<sup>-1</sup>) gas transfer measurements in this study is limited 297 298 to 9 hours and 16 hours of data for DMS and CO2 respectively. Much more data are needed 299 in order to firmly establish the high wind speed behaviour.

The COAREG 3.1 model parameterizes interfacial gas transfer by scaling to *Sc* and friction velocity and estimates bubble-mediated gas transfer following Woolf (1997). The lower solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> leads to enhanced gas transfer relative to that of DMS at high wind speeds where bubble transport is significant (Fairall et al., 2011). There is good agreement between the COAREG model gas transfer velocity predictions and the Knorr\_11  $k_{CO_2}$  and  $k_{DMS}$  data until ~11 m s<sup>-1</sup> wind speed.

306 Earlier in this paper we introduced the quantity  $\Delta k_w$  as an observational measure of the 307 difference in gas transfer velocities of CO2 and DMS (Section 2.3, equation 6). The 308 relationship between  $\Delta k_w$  and wind speed is positive and shows no systematic differences 309 related to temporal variability (Figure 6). Sea surface temperature (SST) is indicated by 310 symbol size. Some of the scatter in Figure 6 could be driven by changes in Sc due to SST variability. Nearly all of the data in Figure 6 is from periods when SST was relatively 311 312 constant (9.8±1.0°C). Many of the  $k_{CO_2}$  data with warm seawater (i.e. ST181, SST > 12°C) 313 were rejected by our quality control criteria (see Section 6.3). These data were collected when 314 wind speeds were low, which resulted in small CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes with large variability at low 315 frequencies. Of the periods with SST >  $12^{\circ}$ C that passed the quality control criteria, the 316 majority contributed fewer data within a 4 hour averaging period than the minimum threshold 317 (three 10 minute averaged data points). Only one 4 hour period passed the thresholds for flux 318 quality control and number of points, and this was associated with the most negative  $\Delta k_w$ 319 value.





## 320 **4 Discussion**

321 The bubble-mediated component of gas transfer is a strong function of wind speed and 322 breaking waves. Previous estimates of bubble-mediated air/sea gas exchange have been based on laboratory experiments (Asher et al., 1996; Woolf, 1997; Keeling, 1993). The 323 differences between gas transfer velocities for DMS and CO2 provide a unique way to 324 325 constrain the importance of bubble-mediated transfer under natural conditions. This study shows that  $\Delta k_w$  is near zero at very low wind speeds (U<sub>10</sub>  $\leq$  4.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>), which is consistent 326 with the wind speed at which whitecap fraction becomes significant (>  $10^{-5}$ , Figure 3a). 327 328 Above 4.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta k_w$  increases non-linearly, consistent with an increase in bubble-mediated CO<sub>2</sub> transfer associated with wave breaking. The relationship between  $\Delta k_w$  and wind speed is 329 non-linear, and the quadratic wind speed-dependence yields a good fit ( $R^2 = 0.77$ ; Figure 6): 330

331 
$$\Delta k_w = 0.157 U_{10}^2 - 0.535 U_{10} + 4.289$$
 Equation 7

The functional form of this relationship is qualitatively consistent with those found between  $U_{10}$  and breaking waves/wave energy dissipation (Melville and Matusov, 2002) and  $U_{10}$  vs. whitecap areal fraction (e.g. Callaghan et al., 2008; Schwendeman and Thomson, 2015). Bubble-mediated gas transfer is the only viable explanation for the magnitude and windspeed dependence of  $\Delta k_w$ . The only alternative explanation would require a large systematic bias in the measurement of relative gas transfer velocities of DMS and CO<sub>2</sub>. There are no obvious candidates for such biases.

339 During strong wind/large wave conditions, the Knorr\_11 data suggest that bubble-mediated 340 exchange is a dominant contributor to the total transfer of CO<sub>2</sub>. For example, when wind 341 speeds were 11-12 m s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta k_w$  was about 50% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> gas transfer ( $k_{CO_2}$ ). A 342 significant contribution by bubbles to the total gas transfer velocity means that bubble-343 mediated exchange must be included and adequately parameterised by gas transfer models. 344 The Schmidt number (Sc) normalisation (Equation 4) assumes that the gas transfer velocity is 345 purely interfacial. An alternative normalisation (involving Sc and solubility) is required when 346 bubble-mediated transfer is significant. Our data suggest that the current Sc normalisation 347 should be applied with caution to gas transfer data for different solubility gases at wind speeds greater than  $10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . 348

If  $\Delta k_w$  reflects the difference between the bubble-mediated contribution to the transfer of CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS, one would expect  $\Delta k_w$  to correlate with wave-breaking, and hence with the areal





351 coverage of whitecaps. Breaking waves generate plumes of bubbles (Stage A whitecaps, 352  $W_A$ ), which then rise to the surface and persist for a short period as foam (Stage B whitecaps, 353  $W_{B}$ ). Almost all whitecap measurements represent the fraction of the sea surface that is covered by bubble plumes and/or foam i.e.  $W_T = W_A + W_B$ .  $\Delta k_w$  is positively correlated with 354 355 both  $W_T$  (Spearman  $\rho = 0.81$ , n=32, p<0.001) and  $W_A$  (Spearman  $\rho = 0.82$ , n=26, p<0.001) 356 (Figure 7a,b). These correlations are approximately the same strength as the correlation 357 between  $\Delta k_w$  and wind speed (Spearman  $\rho = 0.83$ , n=55, p<0.001). The functional form of the 358 relationship between  $\Delta k_w$  and whitecap areal extent appears to be linear. However, the Knorr\_11 dataset is small and quite scattered. More data are required to fully test the validity 359 360 of whitecap areal fraction as a proxy for bubbles and bubble-mediated exchange.

361 Observations of the decaying white cap signal  $(W_B)$  suggest that the persistence of surface foam is related to sea surface chemistry (Callaghan et al., 2013).  $W_B$  is approximately an 362 363 order of magnitude larger than  $W_A$  and thus dominates the  $W_T$  signal. It is often assumed that gas exchange takes place in bubble plumes formed by active wave breaking (i.e.  $W_A$ ), while 364 365  $W_B$  may vary widely due to surfactant concentration with little or no impact upon bubble-366 mediated gas exchange (e.g. Pereira et al., 2016). In this case,  $\Delta k_w$  should be more strongly 367 correlated with  $W_A$  than  $W_B$  or  $W_T$ . The Knorr\_11 data do not suggest that  $W_A$  is an 368 improvement upon either  $W_T$  or even wind speed as a measure of bubble mediated exchange. 369 This may be because whitecaps do not fully represent the bubbles facilitating gas exchange as 370 these may dissolve before they reach the sea surface. Alternatively,  $W_T$  and  $W_A$  may be 371 equally good (or poor) proxies for bubbles because: (i) surfactant activity was minimal in the 372 study region (despite high biological productivity) such that  $W_B$  does not confound the 373 relationship between  $W_T$  and  $W_A$ ; (ii)  $W_A$  is no better than  $W_T$  at representing the volume of air 374 entrained by breaking waves; and/or (iii) bubbles residing at the surface (i.e.  $W_B$ ) continue to 375 contribute to gas transfer (Goddijn-Murphy et al., 2016).

As shown earlier, the bubble-mediated contribution to gas transfer  $(k_{bub,CO_2})$  can be obtained from  $\Delta k_w$  using information from mechanistic bubble gas transfer models (*f*, see Section 2.3). The  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  datasets derived from the Knorr\_11 data using the Asher et al. (2002) and Woolf (1997) models differ by about 15% (Figure 8). The field-based estimates of  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  can also be compared to model-only estimates for the Knorr\_11 conditions using the Asher et al. (2002) and Woolf (1997) models. Both models are based on whitecap areal fraction,  $W_T$ . A





non-linear fit of the Knorr\_11  $W_T$  and wind speed measurements ( $W_T = 1.9 \times 10^{-6} U_{10n}^{3.36}$ ) was used to drive both models (Figure 8). Asher et al. (2002) is based on laboratory tipping bucket gas evasion experiments (Asher and Wanninkhof, 1998) and the model was then adjusted to represent the flux of CO<sub>2</sub> into the ocean (invasion). Woolf (1997) scaled a single bubble model to the open ocean based on laboratory experiments.

Both models significantly underestimate  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  at wind speeds below about 11 m s<sup>-1</sup>. At higher wind speeds, the Asher et al. (2002) model increases rapidly with wind speed to agree slightly better with the Knorr\_11 data. In contrast, Woolf (1997) consistently underestimates  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  at all wind speeds. A 'dense plume model' was also developed by Woolf et al. (2007) to take account of the interaction of a bubble plume with the interstitial water between bubbles. This model yields estimates of  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  that are even lower than the original Woolf (1997) 'single bubble model' (data not shown).

394 It is likely that the Knorr\_11 cruise data will be compared with estimates of  $k_{bubCO}$  derived 395 from future field campaigns, which will be conducted under different environmental 396 conditions. Our  $k_{bubCO_2}$  data is at in situ seawater temperature (~10°C) and thus in situ CO<sub>2</sub> 397 solubility ( $\alpha$ =1.03) and diffusivity (Sc=1150). We use the Asher et al. (2002) and Woolf (1997) bubble models to make estimates of  $k_{bubCO}$ , normalised to a standard seawater 398 399 temperature of 20°C ( $k_{bub,CO_{2},20^{\circ}C}$ , where  $\alpha$ =0.78 and Sc=666). The 4 hour averaged Knorr\_11 400 cruise data, including estimates of  $\Delta k_w$ ,  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  and  $k_{bub,CO_2,20^{\circ}C}$ , are provided in Supplemental 401 Table S1.

402 The approach used in this study to estimate  $\Delta k_w$  and  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  from the Knorr\_11 field data 403 neglect the effect of sea surface skin temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> chemical enhancement. Skin 404 temperature is typically only a few tenths of a degree less than bulk seawater under the 405 conditions encountered in this study (Fairall et al., 1996). The impact upon  $k_{CO_2}$  due to skin 406 temperature effects on CO<sub>2</sub> solubility and carbonate speciation is likely on the order of 3% 407 (Woolf et al., 2016). There is a chemical enhancement of the  $CO_2$  flux due to ionization at 408 the sea surface (Hoover and Berkshire, 1969). The effect on  $k_{CO_2}$  has been estimated to be up to about 8% at a wind speed of 4-6 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Wanninkhof and Knox, 1996), which amounts to a 409 maximum impact of a few cm hr<sup>-1</sup>. By neglecting these effects we have slightly 410





- 411 overestimated  $\Delta k_w$  and  $k_{bub,CO_2}$ , but the magnitude of these corrections would be small relative
- 412 to the environmental scatter or measurement uncertainty.

## 413 **5** Conclusions

414 The Knorr\_11 concurrent measurements of DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> gas transfer velocities show 415 significant differences in gas transfer between the two gases at intermediate-high wind 416 speeds. These data indicate that: i) bubble-mediated gas transfer becomes significant for CO<sub>2</sub> 417 at or above the threshold for wave-breaking; and ii) the wind speed-dependence is non-linear, 418 with a similar functional form to proposed relationships predicting whitecap areal extent from 419 wind speed. However, existing models of bubble-mediated gas transfer using the Knorr\_11 in 420 situ observations of whitecap fraction significantly underestimate the importance of this 421 process.

422 There are a number of assumptions behind model estimates of bubble-mediated gas exchange 423 (Goddijn-Murphy et al., 2016). Model bias can be crudely split into: i) uncertainties in the 424 scaling of whitecap fraction to the bubble population (e.g. using Cipriano and Blanchard, 425 1981); and ii) the relationship between gas exchange and bubble properties, which are 426 predicted as a function of air entrainment into the surface ocean by a breaking wave, bubble 427 injection depth, size distribution and mobility through the water (a function of surface 428 cleanliness and surfactants). The underestimation of bubble-mediated  $CO_2$  gas transfer by 429 both models is particularly apparent at low-intermediate wind speeds and low whitecap 430 fraction. This could indicate that either bubble production during microscale breaking is an 431 important process for gas transfer or the relationship between whitecap fraction and the 432 bubble population is poorly constrained.

433 In summary, the approach of using simultaneous measurements of multiple gases with 434 different solubility appears to be a viable way to constrain the magnitude of bubble-mediated 435 gas transfer. Analysis of additional sparingly soluble gases, such as methane or oxygenated 436 hydrocarbons would further strengthen this approach. A much larger data set, under a wider 437 range of oceanographic conditions is certainly needed. In particular, it would be useful to 438 examine DMS and  $CO_2$  gas transfer velocities in ocean regions with different temperatures, 439 where the solubility of each gas is significantly different from this study.





## 441 Appendix A

#### 442 A.1 Seawater CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS measurements

443 Seawater  $CO_2$  and DMS were monitored in the supply of seawater pumped continuously 444 through the ship from an intake on the bow located 6 m below the sea surface.  $CO_2$  was equilibrated with air in a recirculating showerhead-type system. Alternate air and water side 445 446 pCO<sub>2</sub> were each measured for 5 min by the same Infrared Gas Analyser (IRGA). Seawater 447 DMS was equilibrated with DMS-free air in a tubular porous membrane equilibrator, 448 operated in a single-pass, counterflow mode. DMS was measured at 1 Hz using chemical 449 ionization mass spectrometry and bin-averaged at 1 minute intervals (UCI miniCIMS; 450 Saltzman et al., 2009). DMS was calibrated by continuously pumping an internal standard of 451 tri-deuterated, DMS (d3-DMS) into the seawater flow just before the equilibrator. Details of 452 the methods and instrumentation used for equilibration and detection of seawater DMS are 453 described in Saltzman et al. (2009).

#### 454 A.2 Mast-mounted instrumentation and data acquisition

The eddy covariance setup was mounted 13.6 m above the sea surface on the bow mast. 455 456 Platform angular rates and accelerations were measured by two Systron Donner Motion Pak 457 II (MPII) units. Three dimensional winds and sonic temperature were measured by two Campbell CSAT3 sonic anemometers. Air sampling inlets for DMS and CO2 were located at 458 459 the same height as the anemometers and within 20 cm of the measurement region. GPS and digital compass output were digitally logged at 1 Hz. Winds were corrected for ship motion 460 461 and orientation as described in Miller et al. (2008) and Landwehr et al. (2015). The eddy covariance data streams were logged in both analog and digital format as described in Bell et 462 463 al. (2013) and Miller et al., In Prep.

### 464 A.3 High frequency atmospheric DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> measurements

465 Atmospheric DMS measurements were made at 10 Hz using an atmospheric pressure 466 chemical ionisation mass spectrometer located in a lab van (UCI mesoCIMS; Bell et al. 467 (2013)). Air was drawn to the instrument through a 28 m long ½ in OD Teflon tube. A 468 subsample of the air stream was passed through a Nafion drier prior to entering the mass





spectrometer. The measurement was calibrated using an internal gas standard of tri-deuterated DMS added to the inlet (see Bell et al., 2013).

Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> measurements were made on air drawn at 8 L min<sup>-1</sup> through a filtered inlet 471 (90 mm diameter with 1 micron pore size, Savillex) near the sonic anemometers on the bow 472 473 mast, through 5 m of 5.9 mm ID polyethylene-lined Dekabon tubing to two fast-response CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O IRGAs in an enclosure on the bow mast. The IRGAs were open-path style sensors 474 475 (LI7500, Licor Inc.) converted to a closed-path configuration (see Miller et al., 2010) and 476 were plumbed in series. A Nafion multi-tube membrane drier (PD-200T, PermaPure) with 6 477 L min<sup>-1</sup> dry air counter flow was installed between the two IRGAs such that the upstream IRGA sampled undried air and the downstream IRGA sampled the same air after drying. This 478 479 technique removes 97% of the Webb Correction from the measured CO<sub>2</sub> flux (first shown by 480 Miller et al. (2010) and confirmed by Landwehr et al. (2014)).

The air flow through both the CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS inlets was fully turbulent (Re > 10,000). The inlets used in this study introduced a small delay ( $\Delta t = 2.2$  s for DMS,  $\Delta t = 1.2$  s for CO<sub>2</sub>) between measured wind and atmospheric measurements, as well as minor loss of covariance at high frequencies (<5%). The methods used to estimate the delay and loss of flux are given in Bell et al. (2013).

486 Eddy covariance fluxes were computed for DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> as  $F_{DMS}$  or  $F_{CO2} = \sigma_{air} \langle w'c' \rangle$ 

where  $\sigma_{air}$  is the dry air density, w' is the fluctuation in vertical winds and c' is the delayadjusted fluctuation in gas concentration. Average covariance fluxes were processed in 10 minute and 9.5 minute intervals for DMS and CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively (hereafter referred to as 10 minute intervals). Momentum and sensible heat fluxes were also computed for 10 minute intervals (see Bell et al., 2013).

492 Sampling intervals with a mean wind direction relative to the bow of >90° were excluded 493 from the final data set. CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were also excluded from intervals when either: i) relative 494 wind direction changed excessively (SD > 10°); ii) relative wind speed was low (< 1 m s<sup>-1</sup>); 495 or iii)  $\Delta CO_2$  was low (< |30| ppm). DMS and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were quality controlled for excessive 496 low frequency flux as described in the Supplemental information of Bell et al. (2013). These 497 quality control criteria excluded 62% of the intervals for CO<sub>2</sub> and 55% for DMS and 498 significantly reduced the scatter in the data.





- 500 Acknowledgements. We thank the Captain and crew of the R/V Knorr and the Woods Hole
- 501 Marine Department for their assistance in carrying out this cruise. Funding for this research
- 502 was provided by the NSF Atmospheric Chemistry Program (AGS-0851068, -0851472, -
- 503 0851407 and -1134709) and the NSF Independent Research and Development program.
- 504 B.W. acknowledges support from Science Foundation Ireland under grant 08/US/I1455 and
- 505 from the FP7 Marie Curie Reintegration programme under grant 224776. This study is a
- 506 contribution to the Surface Ocean Lower Atmosphere Study (SOLAS).
- 507

## 508 References

- Asher, W., Edson, J., McGillis, W., Wanninkhof, R., Ho, D. T., and Litchendor, T.: Fractional area whitecap
   coverage and air-sea gas transfer velocities measured during GasEx-98, in: Gas Transfer at Water Surfaces,
   American Geophysical Union, 199-203, 2002.
- 512 Asher, W. E., Karle, L. M., Higgins, B. J., Farley, P. J., Monahan, E. C., and Leifer, I. S.: The influence of 513 bubble plumes on air-seawater gas transfer velocities, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 101, 12027-12041, 1996.
- 514 Asher, W. E., and Wanninkhof, R.: The effect of bubble-mediated gas transfer on purposeful dual-gaseous tracer 515 experiments, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 103, 10555-10560, 10.1029/98jc00245, 1998.
- 516 Bell, T. G., De Bruyn, W., Miller, S. D., Ward, B., Christensen, K., and Saltzman, E. S.: Air/sea DMS gas
  517 transfer in the North Atlantic: evidence for limited interfacial gas exchange at high wind speed, Atm Chem
  518 Phys, 13, 11073-11087, 2013.
- 519 Bell, T. G., De Bruyn, W., Marandino, C. A., Miller, S. D., Law, C. S., Smith, M. J., and Saltzman, E. S.:
  520 Dimethylsulfide gas transfer coefficients from algal blooms in the Southern Ocean, Atm Chem Phys, 15, 1783521 1794, 10.5194/acp-15-1783-2015, 2015.
- Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Huebert, B. J., Kieber, D. J., and Westby, G. R.: DMS sea-air transfer velocity: Direct measurements by eddy covariance and parameterization based on the NOAA/COARE gas transfer model, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, art. no.-L07601, 10.1029/2006gl025735, 2006.
- 525 Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Huebert, B. J., and Wilson, S. T.: Direct measurement of the oceanic carbon 526 monoxide flux by eddy correlation, Atmos Meas Tech, 5, 3069-3075, 10.5194/amt-5-3069-2012, 2012.
- Blomquist, B. W., Huebert, B. J., Fairall, C. W., Bariteau, L., Edson, J. B., Hare, J. E., and McGillis, W. R.:
  Advances in air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurement by eddy correlation, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 152, 245-276,
  10.1007/s10546-014-9926-2, 2014.
- 530 Callaghan, A. H., de Leeuw, G., Cohen, L., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Relationship of oceanic whitecap coverage to 531 wind speed and wind history, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2008gl036165, 2008.
- Callaghan, A. H., and White, M.: Automated processing of sea surface images for the determination of whitecap
   coverage, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 26, 383-394, 10.1175/2008jtecho634.1, 2009.
- Callaghan, A. H., Deane, G. B., and Stokes, M. D.: Two regimes of laboratory whitecap foam decay: Bubble plume controlled and surfactant stabilized, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 43, 1114-1126, 10.1175/Jpo-D 12-0148.1, 2013.
- Carpenter, L. J., Archer, S. D., and Beale, R.: Ocean-atmosphere trace gas exchange, Chem Soc Rev, 41, 6473 6506, 10.1039/c2cs35121h, 2012.
- Cipriano, R. J., and Blanchard, D. C.: Bubble and aerosol spectra produced by a laboratory 'breaking wave',
   Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 86, 8085-8092, 10.1029/JC086iC09p08085, 1981.





- 541 Dacey, J. W. H., Wakeham, S. G., and Howes, B. L.: Henry's law constants for dimethylsulfide in fresh water 542 and seawater, Geophysical Research Letters, 11, 991-994, 1984.
- Edson, J. B., DeGrandpre, M. D., Frew, N. M., and McGillis, W. R.: Investigations of air-sea gas exchange in
   the CoOP Coastal Air-Sea Chemical Exchange Project, Oceanography, 21, 34-45,
   http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2008.03, 2008.
- Fairall, C. W., Bradley, E. F., Godfrey, J. S., Wick, G. A., Edson, J. B., and Young, G. S.: Cool-skin and warmlayer effects on sea surface temperature, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 101, 1295-1308, 10.1029/95jc03190, 1996.
- 548 Fairall, C. W., Yang, M., Bariteau, L., Edson, J. B., Helmig, D., McGillis, W., Pezoa, S., Hare, J. E., Huebert,
- B., and Blomquist, B.: Implementation of the Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Response Experiment flux algorithm
   with CO2, dimethyl sulfide, and O3, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 116, C00F09, 10.1029/2010jc006884, 2011.
- 551 Goddijn-Murphy, L., Woolf, D. K., and Marandino, C.: Space-based retrievals of air-sea gas transfer velocities 552 using altimeters: Calibration for dimethyl sulfide, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 117, 10.1029/2011jc007535, 2012.
- Goddijn-Murphy, L., Woolf, D. K., Callaghan, A. H., Nightingale, P. D., and Shutler, J. D.: A reconciliation of
   empirical and mechanistic models of the air-sea gas transfer velocity, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
   121, 818-835, 10.1002/2015jc011096, 2016.
- 556 Hoover, T. E., and Berkshire, D. C.: Effects of hydration on carbon dioxide exchange across an air-water 557 interface, Journal of Geophysical Research, 74, 456-464, 1969.
- Huebert, B. J., Blomquist, B. W., Hare, J. E., Fairall, C. W., Johnson, J. E., and Bates, T. S.: Measurement of the
  sea-air DMS flux and transfer velocity using eddy correlation, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L23113,
  10.1029/2004gl021567, 2004.
- Keeling, R. F.: On the role of large bubbles in air-sea gas exchange and supersaturation in the ocean, Journal of
   Marine Research, 51, 237-271, 10.1357/0022240933223800, 1993.
- 563 Kloster, S., Feichter, J., Reimer, E. M., Six, K. D., Stier, P., and Wetzel, P.: DMS cycle in the marine ocean-564 atmosphere system - a global model study, Biogeosciences, 3, 29-51, 2006.
- Landwehr, S., Miller, S. D., Smith, M. J., Saltzman, E. S., and Ward, B.: Analysis of the PKT correction for
  direct CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements over the ocean, Atm Chem Phys, 14, 3361-3372, 10.5194/acp-14-3361-2014,
  2014.
- Landwehr, S., O'Sullivan, N., and Ward, B.: Direct flux measurements from mobile platforms at sea: Motion and airflow distortion corrections revisited, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 32, 1163-1178, 10.1175/jtech-d-14-00137.1, 2015.
- 571 Le Quéré, C., Moriarty, R., Andrew, R. M., Peters, G. P., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Jones, S. D., Sitch, S., 572 Tans, P., Arneth, A., Boden, T. A., Bopp, L., Bozec, Y., Canadell, J. G., Chini, L. P., Chevallier, F., Cosca, C. 573 E., Harris, I., Hoppema, M., Houghton, R. A., House, J. I., Jain, A. K., Johannessen, T., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., 574 Kitidis, V., Klein Goldewijk, K., Koven, C., Landa, C. S., Landschützer, P., Lenton, A., Lima, I. D., Marland, 575 G., Mathis, J. T., Metzl, N., Nojiri, Y., Olsen, A., Ono, T., Peng, S., Peters, W., Pfeil, B., Poulter, B., Raupach, 576 M. R., Regnier, P., Rödenbeck, C., Saito, S., Salisbury, J. E., Schuster, U., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., 577 Segschneider, J., Steinhoff, T., Stocker, B. D., Sutton, A. J., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G. R., 578 Viovy, N., Wang, Y. P., Wanninkhof, R., Wiltshire, A., and Zeng, N.: Global carbon budget 2014, Earth System 579 Science Data, 7, 47-85, 10.5194/essd-7-47-2015, 2015.
- 580 Liss, P. S., and Slater, P. G.: Flux of gases across the air-sea interface, Nature, 247, 181-184, 1974.
- Liss, P. S., and Merlivat, L.: Air-sea gas exchange rates: introduction and synthesis, in: The role of air-sea
   exchange in geochemical cycling, edited by: Buatmenard, P., Reidel, 113-127, 1986.
- 583 Marandino, C. A., De Bruyn, W. J., Miller, S. D., and Saltzman, E. S.: Eddy correlation measurements of the
- air/sea flux of dimethylsulfide over the North Pacific Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres,
   112, art. no.-D03301, 10.1029/2006jd007293, 2007.
- 586 McGillis, W. R., Dacey, J. W. H., Frew, N. M., Bock, E. J., and Nelson, R. K.: Water-air flux of 587 dimethylsulfide, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 105, 1187-1193, 2000.
- McGillis, W. R., Edson, J. B., Hare, J. E., and Fairall, C. W.: Direct covariance air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, J Geophys
   Res-Oceans, 106, 16729-16745, 2001.





590 Melville, W. K., and Matusov, P.: Distribution of breaking waves at the ocean surface, Nature, 417, 58-63, 591 2002.

- Miller, S. D., Hristov, T. S., Edson, J. B., and Friehe, C. A.: Platform motion effects on measurements of
   turbulence and air-sea exchange over the open ocean, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 25,
   1683-1694, 10.1175/2008jtecho547.1, 2008.
- Miller, S. D., Marandino, C., de Bruyn, W., and Saltzman, E. S.: Air-sea gas exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> and DMS in the
   North Atlantic by eddy covariance, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, art. no.-L15816, 10.1029/2009gl038907,
   2009.
- 598 Miller, S. D., Marandino, C., and Saltzman, E. S.: Ship-based measurement of air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by eddy 599 covariance, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 115, art. no.-D02304, 10.1029/2009jd012193, 2010.
- Pereira, R., Schneider-Zapp, K., and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: Surfactant control of gas transfer velocity along an
  offshore coastal transect: results from a laboratory gas exchange tank, Biogeosciences, 13, 3981-3989,
  10.5194/bg-13-3981-2016, 2016.
- Rhee, T. S., Nightingale, P. D., Woolf, D. K., Caulliez, G., Bowyer, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Influence of
  energetic wind and waves on gas transfer in a large wind-wave tunnel facility, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 112, art.
  no.-C05027, 10.1029/2005jc003358, 2007.
- Saltzman, E. S., King, D. B., Holmen, K., and Leck, C.: Experimental determination of the diffusion coefficient
   of dimethylsulfide in water, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 98, 16481-16486, 1993.
- Saltzman, E. S., De Bruyn, W. J., Lawler, M. J., Marandino, C. A., and McCormick, C. A.: A chemical ionization mass spectrometer for continuous underway shipboard analysis of dimethylsulfide in near-surface seawater, Ocean Science, 5, 537-546, 2009.
- Scanlon, B., and Ward, B.: Oceanic wave breaking coverage separation techniques for active and maturing
   whitecaps, Methods in Oceanography, 8, 1-12, 10.1016/j.mio.2014.03.001, 2013.
- Schwendeman, M., and Thomson, J.: Observations of whitecap coverage and the relation to wind stress, wave
  slope, and turbulent dissipation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120, 8346-8363,
  10.1002/2015jc011196, 2015.
- 616 Stefels, J., Steinke, M., Turner, S., Malin, G., and Belviso, S.: Environmental constraints on the production and
  617 removal of the climatically active gas dimethylsulphide (DMS) and implications for ecosystem modelling,
  618 Biogeochem, 83, 245-275, 10.1007/s10533-007-9091-5, 2007.
- Sugihara, Y., Tsumori, H., Ohga, T., Yoshioka, H., and Serizawa, S.: Variation of whitecap coverage with
  wave-field conditions, Journal of Marine Systems, 66, 47-60, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.01.014</u>,
  2007.
- Virkkula, A., Teinilä, K., Hillamo, R., Kerminen, V.-M., Saarikoski, S., Aurela, M., Koponen, I. K., and
  Kulmala, M.: Chemical size distributions of boundary layer aerosol over the Atlantic Ocean and at an Antarctic
  site, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 111, art. no.-D05306, 10.1029/2004jd004958, 2006.
- Wanninkhof, R., Ledwell, J. R., and Broecker, W. S.: Gas exchange-wind speed relation measured with sulfur
   hexafluoride on a lake, Science, 227, 1224-1226, 10.1126/science.227.4691.1224, 1985.
- Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J Geophys Res-Oceans,
   97, 7373-7382, 1992.
- 629 Wanninkhof, R., and Knox, M.: Chemical enhancement of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in natural waters, Limnology and 630 Oceanography, 41, 689-697, 10.4319/10.1996.41.4.0689, 1996.
- Watson, A. J., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., and Liss, P. S.: Air-sea gas exchange in rough and stormy seas measured
   by a dual-tracer technique, Nature, 349, 145-147, 1991.
- 633 Weiss, R. F.: Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: The solubility of a non-ideal gas, Marine Chemistry, 2, 634 203-215, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(74)90015-2, 1974.
- 635 Woolf, D. K.: Bubbles and the air-sea transfer velocity of gases, Atmosphere-Ocean, 31, 517-540, 1993.
- Woolf, D. K.: Bubbles and their role in gas exchange, in: The Sea Surface and Global Change, edited by: Liss,
  P. S., and Duce, R. A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 173-205, 1997.





- Woolf, D. K.: Parametrization of gas transfer velocities and sea-state-dependent wave breaking, Tellus Series B Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 57, 87-94, 2005.
- Woolf, D. K., Leifer, I. S., Nightingale, P. D., Rhee, T. S., Bowyer, P., Caulliez, G., de Leeuw, G., Larsen, S. E.,
  Liddicoat, M., Baker, J., and Andreae, M. O.: Modelling of bubble-mediated gas transfer: Fundamental
  principles and a laboratory test, Journal of Marine Systems, 66, 71-91,
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.02.011, 2007.
- 644 Woolf, D. K., Land, P. E., Shutler, J. D., Goddijn-Murphy, L. M., and Donlon, C. J.: On the calculation of air-
- sea fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> in the presence of temperature and salinity gradients, Journal of Geophysical Research:
   Oceans, 121, 1229-1248, 10.1002/2015jc011427, 2016.
- Yang, M., Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Archer, S. D., and Huebert, B. J.: Air-sea exchange of
  dimethylsulfide in the Southern Ocean: Measurements from SO GasEx compared to temperate and tropical
  regions, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 116, art. no.-C00F05, 10.1029/2010jc006526, 2011.
- 450 Yang, M., Beale, R., Liss, P., Johnson, M., Blomquist, B., and Nightingale, P.: Air-sea fluxes of oxygenated
- volatile organic compounds across the Atlantic Ocean, Atm Chem Phys, 14, 7499-7517, 10.5194/acp-14-7499 2014, 2014.
- Zhao, D., and Toba, Y.: Dependence of whitecap coverage on wind and wind-wave properties, Journal ofOceanography, 57, 603-616, 2001.
- 655
- 656







657

**Figure 1:** Time series of ten minute averaged data collected during the Knorr\_11 cruise. Dashed black line in panel (a) indicates neutral atmospheric stability (z/L = 0). Grey shaded regions represent intervals when the ship occupied stations ST181, ST184, ST187, and ST191. Panels (c), (d) and (e) are the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration difference ( $\Delta pCO_2$ ), flux ( $F_{CO2}$ ) and gas transfer velocity ( $k_{CO2}$ ) (water-side only, no *Sc* correction), respectively. Panel (e) also shows  $k_{CO2}$  calculated using the NOAA COARE model (black line). Note that negative  $k_{CO2}$  data points in (e) were omitted for clarity (see Supplemental Figure S2 for full data set).







666

667Figure 2: Knorr\_11 cruise time series of ten minute averaged DMS: (a) air/sea concentration668difference (ΔDMS); (b) flux ( $F_{DMS}$ ); and (c) gas transfer velocity normalised to the *in situ* CO<sub>2</sub> Sc669number ( $k_{DMS,Sc}$ ). Panel (c), shows  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  calculated using NOAA COARE model output (black line).670Grey shaded regions represent periods on station.







673

**Figure 3:** Semi-log plots of whitecap areal fraction as a function of mean horizontal wind speed at 10 m above the sea surface  $(U_{10})$  during the Knorr\_11 cruise. 10 min average (black dots) and 4 hour average (red triangles) data are shown on both panels. Left panel shows total whitecap area versus  $U_{10}$ bin averaged data (open squares, 2 m s<sup>-1</sup> bins). Wind speed parameterisations from the recent literature are shown for reference. Right panel is the whitecap area considered to be solely from wave breaking (Stage A whitecaps, see text for definition).







682 Figure 4: Semi-log plots of Stage A whitecap areal fraction as a function of wind speed ( $U_{10}$ , left 683 panel) and as a function of a non-dimensional Reynolds breaking wave parameter  $R_H$  (right panel), 684 calculated from Knorr\_11 measurements of significant wave height (Zhao and Toba, 2001). Plots 685 show data only from when the ship was on station, segregated into ST181 (blue), ST184 (red), ST187 686 (green) and ST191 (black). The highly variable Stage A whitecap fraction vs.  $U_{10}$  at ~11 m s<sup>-1</sup> is driven by differences in the wave environment during ST184 and ST191. Stage A whitecap fraction 687 688 vs.  $R_H$  exhibits no bimodal behaviour and there is no clear difference between ST184 and ST191. The 689 relationship between Stage A whitecap fraction and  $R_H$  is more scattered when Stage A whitecaps are 690 below  $\sim 10^{-4}$ . 691









695 Figure 5: Gas transfer velocities plotted against mean horizontal wind speed  $(U_{10})$  from the 696 Knorr\_11 cruise. Ten minute average data for  $CO_2$  (a) and DMS (b). DMS gas transfer velocities are 697 normalised to the *in situ* CO<sub>2</sub> Sc number. Data are averaged into 4 hour periods (c) and 2 m s<sup>-1</sup> wind 698 speed bins (d). Note that negative  $k_{CO2}$  data in (a) and (c) have not been plotted for clarity (see 699 Supplemental Figure S4 for full data set). For reference, the NOAA COAREG3.1 model output for 700 CO<sub>2</sub> (magenta line) and DMS (green line) is plotted on all four panels. The COARE model was run 701 with the turbulent/molecular coefficient, A = 1.6, and the bubble-mediated coefficient, B = 1.8, and 702 used mean Knorr\_11 data for the input parameters. 703







704

Figure 6: Difference  $(\Delta k_w)$  between 4 hour average  $k_{CO_2}$  and  $k_{DMS,Sc}$  plotted against  $U_{10}$ . Data are coloured by the date of measurement (Day of Year). The solid grey line describes a cubic fit to the data (see text for coefficients).









713







714

**Figure 8:** Bubble-mediated transfer velocity of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $k_{bub,CO_2}$ ) as a function of wind speed. Individual points are Knorr\_11 observations using solubility and diffusivity scaling from Woolf (1997) (black squares) and Asher et al. (2002) (red circles). Continuous lines are model calculations of  $k_{bub,CO_2}$  using the Knorr\_11 wind speed-whitecap areal fraction relationship and mean SST (Woolf (1997), black; Asher et al. (2002), red).