



1 Low Carbon Energy Generates Public Health Savings in

2 California

- 3 Christina B. Zapata¹, Chris Yang², Sonia Yeh², Joan Ogden², Michael J. Kleeman¹
- 4 ¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California Davis, Davis, California, USA
- 5 ² Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California Davis, Davis, California, USA
- 6 Correspondence to: Michael J. Kleeman (mjkleeman@ucdavis.edu)

7 Abstract. California's goal to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions 80% below 1990 levels by the year 2050 will 8 require adoption of low carbon energy sources across all economic sectors. In addition to reducing GHG emissions, 9 shifting to fuels with lower carbon intensity will change concentrations of short-lived conventional air pollutants, 10 including airborne particles with diameter less than 2.5 μ m (PM_{2.5}) and ozone (O₃). Here we evaluate how business-11 as-usual (BAU) air pollution and public health in California will be transformed in the year 2050 through the adoption 12 of low-carbon technologies, expanded electrification, and modified activity patterns within a low carbon energy scenario (GHG-Step). Both the BAU and GHG-Step state-wide emission scenarios were constructed using the energy-13 14 economic optimization model, CA-TIMES, that calculates the multi-sector energy portfolio that meets projected 15 energy supply and demand at the lowest cost, while also satisfying scenario-specific GHG emissions constraints. 16 Corresponding criteria pollutant emissions for each scenario were then spatially allocated at 4 km resolution to support 17 air quality analysis in different regions of the state. Meteorological inputs for the year 2054 were generated under a 18 Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 future climate. Annual-average PM2.5 and O3 concentrations were 19 predicted using the modified emissions and meteorology inputs with a regional chemical transport model. In the final 20 phase of the analysis, mortality (total deaths) and mortality rate (deaths per 100,000) were calculated using established 21 exposure-response relationships from air pollution epidemiology combined with simulated annual-average PM2.5 and O₃ exposure. Predicted deaths associated with air pollution in 2050 dropped by 24%–26% in California (1,537–2,758 22 23 avoided deaths yr⁻¹) in the "climate-friendly" 2050 GHG-Step scenario, which is equivalent to a 54%-56% reduction 24 in the air pollution mortality rate (deaths per 100,000) relative to 2010 levels. These avoided deaths have an estimated 25 value of \$11.4B-\$20.4B USD per yr⁻¹ based on the present-day Value of a Statistical Life (VSL) equal to \$7.6M. The 26 costs for reducing California GHG emissions 80% below 1990 levels by the year 2050 depend strongly on numerous 27 external factors such as the global price of oil. Best estimates suggest that meeting an intermediate target (40% 28 reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2030) using a non-optimized scenario would reduce personal income by 29 \$4.95B yr⁻¹ (-0.15%) and lower overall state GDP by \$16.1B yr⁻¹ (-0.45%). The public health benefits described here 30 are comparable to these cost estimates, making a compelling argument for the adoption of low carbon energy in 31 California, with implications for other regions in the United States and across the world.





32 1 Introduction

Implementation of California's climate policy (Executive Order S-3-05) to reduce GHG emissions 80% below 1990 levels by the year 2050 will require widespread adoption of low-carbon energy supply and demand technologies across the state's entire economy. These changes will not only reduce California's contribution to climate change, they will also alter the chemical composition, spatial pattern, and attributable adverse health effects of the state's serious air pollution problem. Reducing long-term exposure to fine airborne particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and ozone (O₃) will improve public health through a reduction in premature mortality (Krewski, Jerrett et al. 2009, Lepeule, Laden et al. 2012).

40 California's near-term measures to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are required by the Global Warming 41 Solutions Act of 2006 (Assembly Bill (AB) 32). Since the adoption of AB 32, a wave of incentives, mandates, carbon 42 markets, fees, and standards have been implemented to curb the rate of the state's GHG emissions. Regulations 43 include the Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) for the electricity generation sector, the Low Carbon Fuel Standard 44 (LCFS) aimed at reducing carbon intensity of transport fuels, the Pavley Clean Car Standards for fuel economy and 45 CO₂ emissions, and the Cap-and-Trade Program. Zapata et al. (2012) analysed the air quality co-benefits of AB 32 46 and found that the GHG mitigation measures had the co-benefit of reducing PM2.5 concentrations in California by 47 ~6% in the year 2030 with a corresponding decrease in air-pollution mortality. Additional measures will be needed to 48 meet the targets included in California's Executive Order S-3-05 that calls for GHG emissions to decrease 80% below 49 1990 GHG levels by the year 2050.

50 Numerous previous studies have examined the relationship between climate policies and air quality using methods

tailored to match the region of interest (Table S1). For example, Jacobson et al. (Jacobson, Delucchi et al. 2014,

52 Jacobson, Delucchi et al. 2015) examined how a scenario of 100% wind, water, and solar would alter all economic

53 sectors leading to changes in air quality and health impacts for California and the United States in 2050. This

54 bounding analysis is extremely valuable since it quantifies the maximum possible air quality benefits associated with

55 climate policies but a recent analysis suggests that scenarios incorporating a broader range of technologies are more

56 realistic (Clack, Qvist et al. 2017). Multiple approaches have been used to select between the diverse technologies

57 available in these future scenarios, but the majority of these studies rely on the expert opinions of the authors rather

58 than an objective analysis. For example, Shindell, Kuylenstierna et al. (2012) created a future scenario by selecting

59 measures that were "assumed to improve air quality" and mitigate both long-lived GHGs and short-lived criteria

60 pollutants after ranking them by climate impact. The extensive study by van Aardenne, Dentener et al. (2010)

61 explored 6 scenarios with wider levels of air and/or climate policy, as well as the option of biofuel consumption,

- 62 however, technology adoption is again largely dependent on author specified assumptions on shares of existing
- 63 technologies. Since the technology choices in each scenario strongly affect the air quality outcomes, the author
- 64 assumptions in these previous studies have a strong influence on the calculated health benefits stemming from
- 65 reduced air pollution concentrations. As a secondary limitation, many previous studies have been carried out for
- regions much larger than California which requires the use of coarse grid cells that do not completely resolve





67 important spatial patterns of pollutants within the state's complex topography (West, Smith et al. 2013, Garcia-

- 68 Menendez, Saari et al. 2015).
- 69 Here we build on the previous work on climate policy air quality interactions by conducting an optimized
- 70 emissions analysis at high spatial resolution for California. The state of California has a very large and diverse
- 71 economy and so it is difficult to design optimal GHG mitigation strategies using expert opinions alone. Energy -
- 72 economic optimization models are needed to find least-cost scenarios that achieve GHG objectives within the
- 73 resource constraints of the state. California also has significant existing environmental regulations and so detailed
- 74 analysis is required to account for the impact of technology, fuel, and behavioural changes implied by broad GHG
- policies on the landscape of pre-existing rules. All of this analysis must be carried out at high spatial resolution to
- 76 properly calculate air pollution exposure in major cities that often experience a sharp gradient of pollutant
- 77 concentrations across their boundaries.
- 78 Zapata et al. (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017) used the CA-REMARQUE (CAlifornia REgional Multisector AiR QUality
- 79 Emissions) model to predict criteria pollutant emissions associated with two economically optimized scenarios for
- 80 California in the year 2050: (i) a Business-as-Usual (BAU) scenario that includes all existing environmental laws in
- 81 California including AB 32 and (ii) a greenhouse gas mitigation (GHG-Step) scenario including additional least-cost
- 82 policy and technology adoption needed to achieve the 80% GHG reduction objective of Executive Order S-3-05
- 83 using a CO₂ constrained step function. The results indicated that adoption of the measures in the GHG-Step scenario
- 84 could cause decreases or increases in criteria pollutant emissions in different economic sectors/locations due to the
- trade-offs involved in the state-wide cost minimization approach. As a further complication, switching to alternative
- 86 lower carbon intensive fuels in the GHG-Step scenario altered the composition of reactive organic gas (ROG)
- 87 emissions and the size and composition of particulate matter emissions. These finding re-enforce the need for
- 88 sophisticated analysis methods in complex regions like California.
- 89 The overall goal of the present study is to quantify air pollution and health implications associated with the BAU and 90 GHG-Step scenarios described by Zapata et al. (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017) acting across the entire California energy-91 economy in the year 2050. The air pollution concentrations associated with the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios are 92 calculated at 4 km resolution using a regional chemical transport model and the avoided mortality is estimated using 93 established relationships from air pollution epidemiology. Economic benefits are then calculated with the Value of a 94 Statistical Life (VSL). Finally, the total public health benefits from avoided air pollution are compared to the total 95 incremental cost for adoption of low carbon energy in California to better understand the net costs for the GHG 96 mitigation program.

97 2 Methodology

98 Air quality and health impacts associated with energy scenarios in the year 2050 were determined by combining

- 99 estimated changes to criteria pollutant emissions inventories with downscaled meteorology as inputs to a regional air
- 100 quality model to predict air quality with 4 km resolution over California. Epidemiology risk exposure functions and





101 mortality data were then used to estimate premature deaths. Figure 1 summarizes the calculations with additional

102 details provided below.

103 2.1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions

- 104 Criteria pollutant emissions were predicted with the California REgional Multisector AiR QUality Emissions (CA-
- 105 REMARQUE) model (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017) for the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. Both scenarios were
- 106 constructed using CA-TIMES, a technology-rich, bottom-up, energy economics model that determines the least-cost
- 107 mix of technology/fuel options for all sectors of the state-wide economy. CA-REMARQUE translated these
- 108 behaviour, technology, and fuel changes into spatially- and temporally-resolved criteria pollutant emissions
- 109 inventories. CA-REMARQUE predicted that adoption of the GHG-Step policies in place of the BAU policies
- 110 would cause decreases in emissions of primary PM_{2.5} (-4%), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x, -14%), and ammonia (NH₃, -
- 111 28%) but cause increases in emissions of carbon monoxide (CO, +37%) and oxides of sulfur (SOx, +14%). Some
- 112 components of primary PM_{2.5} emissions responded more strongly to different technology changes yielding non-
- uniform reductions of PM_{2.5} elemental carbon (EC, -11%), PM_{2.5} organic carbon (OC, -13%), and PM_{2.5} copper (Cu,
- 114 -63%). The spatial allocation of emission rates was determined by either using existing 4km spatial patterns of
- 115 emissions sources or finding new optimal locations for new emissions sources such as biorefineries that were placed
- 116 near high biomass feedstock regions. A comprehensive analysis of all emissions changes is provided by Zapata et
- 117 al. (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017).

118 2.3 Meteorology Fields

119 Meteorology simulations using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model v3.2.1 (University Corporation 120 of Atmospheric Research 2010) conducted previously (Zhang, Chen et al. 2014) for years 2048-2054 were used as 121 meteorological inputs in this study. Hourly-averaged fields describing spatial and temporal wind speed and direction, humidity, temperature, planetary boundary layer (PBL) height, downward shortwave radiation, air density, and 122 123 precipitation were formatted for use with the regional chemical transport model. The 2054 calendar year was selected 124 as the median year within the 2048–2054 timespan based on population-weighted average PM_{2.5} exposure with the 125 least deviation from the 7-year episodic mean (Mahmud, Hixson et al. 2010) based on analysis using the 2010 CARB 126 emission inventory (Zhang, Chen et al. 2014).

127 2.4 Regional Chemical Transport Model Configuration and Simulation

Air quality was simulated using the UCD-CIT (University of California, Davis – California Institute of Technology)
3D regional chemical transport model (Kleeman and Cass 2001, Ying, Fraser et al. 2007, Hu, Zhang et al. 2015)).
The SAPRC11 (Carter and Heo 2012, Carter, Heo et al. 2012) chemical mechanism was used to represent gas-phase
chemical reactions. Gas-to-particle conversion was simulated as a dynamic process based on the concentration of
semi-volatile gas-phase compounds at the particle surface in equilibrium with the condensed material inside each
particle. Thermodynamic equilibrium within each particle for inorganic species was calculated using the ISORROPIA
model (Nenes et al, 1998). Thermodynamic equilibrium within each particle for organic species was calculated using





a two-product model (Carlton et al., 2010). PM emissions profiles include 18 organic, inorganic, and metal particulate
 species distributed across 15 size bins.

137 Air quality simulations were conducted over three horizontal domains, a coarse 24 km parent domain, and two 4 km

138 resolution child domains. The coarse domain covered all of California and the adjacent Pacific Ocean to provide

boundary inputs to the higher resolution child domains over populated regions in northern and southern California.

140 Sixteen telescoping vertical layers were used up to a total height of 5km above ground. Simulations were conducted

141 for the first 28–29 days of each month for the 2054 calendar year. The first 3 days of every month were excluded to

- 142 minimize the effects of initial conditions which are not known exactly, leaving 301 of simulation days to be used in
- the statistical analysis.

144 2.5 Population Projections

145 A 2050 California population projection at 4 km spatial resolution was used for both population-weighted 146 concentration estimates and mortality estimates. This population projection is based on the highly-resolved block-147 group 2010 Census population data in shapefile format (U.S. Census Bureau) which was intersected with the regular 148 air quality grid. The 4 km resolution population field was then scaled according the projected populations for each 149 county in 2050 (California Department of Finance. Demographic Research Unit 2014) relative to 2010 (Table S2). 150 This procedure was conducted separately for population age >35 and for all ages (see Fig. S1) to be used for the 151 population-weighted code (all ages) and the mortality estimates (>35 years). The combined southern and northern 4 152 km resolution modeling domains encompassed 92% of California's projected 2050 population (summarized in Table 153 S3).

154 2.6 Statistical and Exceedance Analysis

Several statistical analyses were conducted across space, seasons, and scenarios. Annual average concentration plots were estimated by taking the average of 301 daily concentrations fields. A two-tailed paired t-test was used to identify significant differences between BAU and GHG-Step concentrations. Annual or seasonal concentration field plots were condensed to a state-wide, air basin, or county population-weighted concentration estimate by summing the concentration multiplied by the population in each cell and then dividing the resulting sum by the entire population for the region of interest.

Daily maximum 8-h average O₃ concentrations were calculated for each model grid cell. Subsequent seasonal or
 annual averages used the daily maximum 8-h average concentrations for a given state, basin, or county. To determine

163 whether a county was in compliance with the 70 ppb O₃ National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), the fourth

- 164 highest population-weighted maximum 8-h O₃ concentration was calculated. The number of days exceeding this
- standard was also tabulated.

166 2.7 Mortality and Cost Estimates

167 Premature mortality estimates from long-term exposure to PM_{2.5} and O₃ were calculated using annual-average 4 km

168 resolution concentration fields for the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. The attributable fraction (AF) is the portion of





169 deaths or incidences that can be associated with the cause of interest, in this case the fraction of deaths due to annual

170 PM_{2.5} and O₃ exposure. The AF quantifies the change in the relative risk.

171
$$AF_{i} = \frac{RR_{i}-1}{RR_{i}} = \frac{e^{\beta(x_{i}-x_{i,bkg})}-1}{e^{\beta(x_{i}-x_{i,bkg})}}$$
(1)

172 The log-linear incidence rate function is assumed when calculating the risk ratio (RR) as shown in Eq. (1). The beta coefficient (β), is derived from taking the natural log of the RR found in epidemiology literature. PM_{2.5} RR for all-173 174 cause mortality associated with a 10 µg m⁻³ increase in long-term PM_{2.5} exposure is estimated at 1.062 based on an 175 worldwide meta-analysis (Hoek, Krishnan et al. 2013) or 1.036 based on the American Cancer Society follow-up 176 (Krewski 2009). An O₃ RR of 1.04 for respiratory mortality from long-term O₃ exposure is based on (Jerrett, Burnett 177 et al. 2009). The change in concentration is based on taking the annual average concentration for a given grid cell (x_i) 178 and subtracting it from the background concentration ($x_{i,bkg}$). A background PM_{2.5} concentration of 3 μ g m³ (Ostro 179 2004) and O₃ concentration of 35 ppb was assumed. The beta coefficient, change in cell concentration, is then used 180 to calculate the risk ratio (RR_i) and subsequently the attributable fraction.

$$181 E_s = \sum_i AF_i B_c P_i (2)$$

The mortality (E_s) for each scenario for a given region, was calculated using Eq. (2) by taking the product of the population and mortality rate to get the deaths, followed by multiplying the fraction that is attributable to pollution (see Eq. (1)). Population (P_i) projections for ages 35 and older were used in this calculation due to high uncertainty for younger age groups. Averaged 2009–2013 California all-cause (all ICD 10 codes) and respiratory (ICD 10 codes J0–J98) mortality rates (B_c), calculated in deaths per 100,000, were determined for each California county for ages 35 and older from the CDC WONDER database (United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) et al. 2014).

- 189 Costs associated from premature death from long-term air pollution exposure were estimated using the "value of a
- statistical life" (VSL) method, assuming that a death equates to \$7.6M USD, based on the distribution of 26 economic
- reports (Viscusi and Aldy 2003) and the suggested value by the EPA (Industrial Economics 2011, Ostro 2015, RTI
- 192 International 2015).

193 3 Results and Discussion

194 3.1 Ozone (O₃) Concentration

195 3.1.1 Annual Average and Seasonal Ozone Changes

Figure 2a shows the population-weighted daily maximum 8-h ozone concentrations for the 2054 meteorological year under the BAU and GHG-Step emissions scenarios. Box and whisker plots are shown for winter, summer, and annual time periods to consider both cyclical and yearly effects. Figure 3a illustrates the spatial distribution of ozone concentrations in the BAU scenario while Figure 3b illustrates the changes induced by the GHG-Step scenario. The

200 annual-average BAU 8-h ozone concentration reaches a maximum of 61 ppb in Southern California downwind (east)





of Los Angeles and San Bernardino. In the northern/central California domain, the annual-average BAU 8-h ozone
 concentration has a maximum value of 57 ppb along the Northern Central Coast air basin, around Santa Clara and San
 Derive Constant Coast air basin, around Santa Clara and San

203 Benito County.

204 Figure 3b illustrates that regional 8-h average ozone concentrations (annually averaged) in the San Joaquin Valley 205 (SJV) air basin (containing the cities of Bakersfield and Fresno) decrease by 2 ppb-3 ppb under the GHG-Step 206 scenario. GHG mitigation strategies did not reduce ozone concentrations in major population centres including the 207 San Francisco (SF) air basin and the South Coast (SC) air basin (containing the city of Los Angeles). To the contrary, 208 ozone concentrations increased in these dense urban regions because BAU conditions have excess NOx concentrations 209 that titrate ozone. The extent of NO_x emission reductions under the GHG-Step scenario is insufficient to shift the 210 chemical regime to one where decreases in NOx lead to O3 reductions, instead favouring more ozone formation 211 (Seinfeld and Pandis 2006).

- Figure 2a illustrates that population-weighted annual average 8-h ozone concentrations in the rural SJV decreased by
- -4.3% (52 ppb to 50 ppb) in the GHG-Step scenario with the greatest reductions occurring in the summer months (-
- 214 9.4%). In contrast, population weighted annual average 8-h ozone concentrations increased in urbanized regions (SC
- +5.1%, SD +2.8%, SF +6.5%) consistent with the regional trends illustrated in Figure 3b. Population-weighted ozone
- concentrations under the GHG-Step scenario increased in SC, SD, and SF during winter (+7.0 %, +9.3 %, and +17 %,
- 217 respectively) but had mixed trends during summer: ozone concentrations in SC and SF (highest population density)
- increased by +3.2% and +6.1%, respectively, under the GHG-Step scenario but concentrations in SD (slightly lower
- 219 population density) decreased -2.2% during the summer season.

Overall, a state-wide increase of +3.9 % in population-weighted annual-average 8-h ozone concentrations occurred
 under the GHG-Step scenario because increased ozone concentrations in heavily populated SF, SC, and SD
 overwhelmed decreased ozone concentrations in the SJV. The regulatory and health implications of this finding will
 be discussed in subsequent sections.

224 3.1.2 High Ozone Events and Number of Exceedance Days

225 Most benchmarks for ozone concentrations decrease strongly across California in the 2050 BAU scenario relative to 226 current 2010 levels. Simulations carried out using identical 2010 summer meteorological fields but different 227 emissions inputs (2010 vs. 2050) demonstrate that emission changes - rather than weather inputs - were the primary 228 cause of these decreasing O_3 concentrations. Table 1 summarizes the 4th highest maximum 8-h average ozone 229 concentration and the number of days exceeding the 70 ppb 8-h average ozone standard for different California 230 counties. The 4th highest 8-h average O₃ concentration of each year, averaged over 3 years is used to determine if a 231 given area is in compliance with the NAAQS. Many California air districts violate the 8-h O₃ NAAQS, with 232 classifications ranging from moderate, serious, severe or extreme levels of O₃ (Table S4). The county median of the 4th highest 8-h simulated ozone concentration in 2010 is 92.2 ppb (IQR: 74.0 ppb–99.1 ppb) with 23 out of 26 233 234 counties analyzed reaching levels \geq 70 ppb. The county median of the 4th highest 8-h average ozone concentration





- in the 2050 BAU scenario decreases to 69.2 ppb (IQR: 66.2 ppb-71.9 ppb) with a further decrease to 64.2 ppb (IQR:
- 236 62.8 ppb–66.4 ppb) in the GHG-Step scenario.
- 237 Almost half (10 of 23) of the counties exceeding the O₃ NAAQS in 2010, would achieve attainment with the
- standards in the 2050 BAU scenario and nearly all (19 out of 23) counties would achieve attainment under the 2050
- 239 GHG-Step scenario. Only the SC counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino are predicted to
- remain out of attainment with the ozone NAAQS in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario.
- 241 As noted above, some regions experience ozone dis-benefits under the GHG-Step scenario which has implications
- 242 for compliance with the ozone NAAQs. Table 1 illustrates that increases in the 4th highest 8-h ozone concentrations
- 243 under the GHG-Step scenario may prevent Orange and Los Angeles counties from complying with the 70 ppb
- standard. The 4^{th} highest 8-h ozone concentrations in San Bernardino County would not comply with the O_3
- 245 NAAQS under either emissions scenario, with concentrations increasing from 80 ppb in the BAU scenario to 82 ppb
- 246 in the GHG-Step scenario. Both San Francisco and San Mateo counties were predicted to experience higher ozone
- 247 concentrations in the GHG-Step scenario but would remain in compliance, with maximum concentrations of 63 ppb
- and 61 ppb, respectively.
- 249 Figure 4 illustrates the number of days exceeding the 8-h ozone standard of 70 ppb in California under 2010
- conditions, the 2050 BAU scenario, and the 2050 GHG-Step scenario. Most counties in central California have ~60
- ozone exceedance days in 2010, ~5–10 ozone exceedance days in the 2050 BAU scenario, and zero ozone
- 252 exceedance days in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario. North Central Coast (NCC) basin ozone reductions at Monterey,
- $\label{eq:second} \text{San Benito, and Santa Cruz counties also enabled those counties to comply with the O_3 standards in the GHG-Step$
- scenario. The relatively small increase in ozone exceedance days in southern California counties like Los Angeles,
- Orange, San Bernardino, and San Diego will require extra mitigation strategies to achieve compliance with theozone NAAQS.

257 3.2 PM2.5 Mass Concentration

258 PM2.5 concentrations can be analyzed on time scales ranging from seconds to years, but annual average PM2.5 259 concentrations are most commonly used to calculate mortality and health damages. Figure 5 illustrates annual average 260 PM2.5 concentrations in Northern/Central California and Southern California in 2054 under the BAU scenario (Figure 5a) and the differences induced by the GHG-Step scenario (Figure 5b). Both results use identical 2054 meteorology, 261 262 ensuring that the concentration differences reflect changes between each scenario's emissions inventory. The highest BAU annual-average PM2.5 concentration in southern California is ~18 µg m⁻³ in the city of San Bernardino located 263 264 east of Los Angeles, with the next highest PM2.5 hot spots occurring at San Diego, and near the busy Port of Los 265 Angeles/Long Beach. In Northern California, the annual-average PM2.5 peaks at 25.3 µg m⁻³ between the cities of 266 Oakland and San Francisco (SF). Maximum PM2.5 reductions in the GHG-Step scenario (Figure 5b) occur between Oakland and San Francisco (-6 µg m⁻³), in San Diego county (-5.3 µg m⁻³), and in San Bernardino county (-3.5 µg m⁻³) 267 268 ³). Overall, the reductions are significant (p-value ≤ 0.1) over the majority of Northern and Southern California; the 269 only non-significant PM2.5 changes are two locations inland in northern Los Angeles around Lancaster and in





270 Midwestern San Bernardino where BAU concentrations were low. Significant PM_{2.5} increases of +0.5 µg m⁻³ do 271 occur in ocean shipping routes because more fossil fuel is used for marine vessels in the GHG-Step scenario than in 272 the BAU scenario. The GHG-Step scenario requires increased bio-fuel use as part of the overall strategy to reduce 273 GHG emissions. This increased biofuel production is associated with higher biofuel costs since the least expensive 274 biofuel feedstocks are used first followed by progressively more expensive feedstocks. As biofuels utilization 275 increases, the demand and cost for conventional fossil fuels decreases. The decreased cost for fossil fuels in the GHG-276 Step scenario makes these fuels attractive for use by marine sources.

Population-weighted PM2.5 concentrations (Fig. 2b) decrease for all regions in all seasons under the 2050 GHG-Step 277 278 scenario relative to the BAU scenario. Variability in PM_{2.5} concentrations is highest during the winter, with periods 279 of intense stagnation intermixed with periods of vigorous atmospheric mixing. PM2.5 concentrations are less variable in the summer months as demonstrated by the smaller Inter Quartile Range (IQR) in Figure 2b. The annual population-280 281 weighted $PM_{2.5}$ concentration drops from 6.0 to 4.8 μ g m⁻³ (-20%) in the San Joaquin Valley (SJV), 8.3 to 6.2 μ g m⁻³ 282 (-25%) in San Diego (SD), 9.5 to 7.8 µg m⁻³ (-18%) in San Francisco Bay Area (SF), and 7.6 to 6.5 µg m⁻³ (-14%) for 283 the South Coast (SC) air basins. Additional detail of the PM2.5 species that decreases the most (e.g. nitrate) and the 284 changes in the particulate size distribution are further described in SI and summarized in Table S5.

285 Certain PM2.5 spatial patterns illustrated in Figure 5 were difficult to anticipate based exclusively on state-wide 286 emissions totals. For example, the PM2.5 co-benefits from wide-spread adoption of new vehicle technology contribute 287 significantly to state-wide emissions reductions, but these changes were distributed over a larger area than the benefits 288 associated with the decarbonization of freight modes (e.g. rail, aviation and marine). Most on-road vehicles in 289 California already have relatively low emissions rates for criteria pollutants. Further vehicular emissions savings 290 result from small reductions that are distributed over the large number of vehicles across the entire state. This spreads 291 the air quality improvements associated with vehicles over a large area. In contrast, freight modes use fuel with higher 292 sulfur content burned in engines with less aftertreatment control (e.g. particulate filter) leading to higher PM emission 293 rates per energy consumed (e.g. mg J⁻¹). These sources are localized to goods movement corridors (shipping lanes, 294 rail lines, etc.) that intersect at transport distribution hubs near ports. This leads to localized reductions in particulate 295 matter concentrations associated with freight modes compared to more diffuse reductions associated with on-road 296 sources. These trends were not obvious from state-wide emissions tables but are clearly illustrated by the results from 297 regional air quality modeling.

298 3.3 Associated PM_{2.5} and O₃ Mortality, Mortality Rate, and Costs

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the deaths, death rate, and cost associated with premature deaths from long-term annual

 $\label{eq:source} 300 \qquad \text{exposure of both } PM_{2.5} \text{ and ozone } (O_3).$

301 3.3.1 Mortality

- 302 County and state-wide PM_{2.5} and O₃ associated deaths are displayed in Figure 6a and Figure 7a. The calculations
- summarized in Figure 7a predict that 6,400–10,600 people would die annually in the California 2050 BAU scenario
- due to exposure to PM_{2.5} and O₃. This estimate includes population growth through 2050. In the California GHG-





305 Step scenario, total PM_{2.5} and O₃ mortality would decrease to 4,800–7,900 deaths annually (24%–26% reduction) due 306 to reductions in pollutant concentrations. More than 95% of the premature mortality is associated with PM_{2.5} while 307 only 2.0%–4.4% is attributed to O₃. As a result, the O₃ increases associated with the GHG-Step scenario have a minor 308 effect on mortality relative to PM_{2.5}. Spatial trends for PM_{2.5} and O₃ mortality are similar, with the highest rates 309 occurring in highly populated regions (see Figure 3a and Figure 5a). Likewise, most of the avoided mortality in the 310 GHG-Step scenario also occurs in the regions with the highest populations.

311 3.3.2 Mortality Rate

312 Air pollution mortality rates (deaths per 100,000 people) plotted in Figure 6b and Figure 7b help to compare health 313 effects across urban and rural areas (both of which can experience high pollution events in California). The 2050 314 state-wide air pollution mortality rate drops by 54%-56% in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario vs. the 2010 scenario and 315 24%-26% in the GHG-Step scenario vs. the BAU scenario. Reductions in the air pollution mortality rate were 316 predicted in all counties under the GHG-Step scenario vs. the BAU scenario (Figure 6b). In the 2050 BAU scenario, San Francisco, San Mateo, Alameda, Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Diego and San Bernardino counties are predicted 317 318 to have air pollution mortality rates higher than the state-wide average of 19.3-32.2 deaths per 100k people (see Figure 319 6b). Under the GHG-Step scenario, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Alameda counties continue to have the highest 320 death rates associated with PM2.5 and O3. Mortality rates in SF are more than double the state-wide average due to 321 the proximity of major construction projects and growing populations. Overall, Sacramento, Solano, Contra Costa, 322 and San Francisco counties are predicted to have the greatest reduction in PM2.5 and O3 mortality rates due to the 323 adoption of GHG mitigation strategies. These patterns reflect a reduction in the emissions of criteria pollutants from 324 construction projects but an increase in emissions from locations that produce new energy sources such as biofuels.

325 O_3 mortality is expected to increase from 260 deaths yr⁻¹ in the BAU scenario to 490 deaths yr⁻¹ in the GHG-Step 326 scenario due to the increase of O_3 in key populated areas (mainly greater Los Angeles). The largest number of O_3 327 associated deaths (~25%) are estimated to occur in southern California due to the combination of high population and 328 excess NO_x in the BAU scenario leading to increased O_3 concentrations when NO_x emissions decrease in the GHG-329 Step scenario. The portion of air pollution deaths due to O_3 would increase from 2.4%–4% in the BAU scenario to 330 6.2%–10.1% in the GHG-Step scenario, but overall mortality still decreases due to the overwhelming effect of PM_{2.5} 331 reductions.

332 3.4 Benefits

Using a Value of a Statistical Life (VSL) equal to \$7.6M per avoided death (Industrial Economics 2011, Ostro 2015),
total costs for premature deaths in California equal ~\$47.0B-\$78.5B per year in the 2050 BAU emissions scenario,
with a savings of \$11.4B-\$20.4B per year in the GHG-Step emissions scenario (right axis Figure 7a). Los Angeles
County has the highest premature mortality associated with air pollution (25% of California) and thus the highest air
pollution mortality cost under all emissions scenarios. Air pollution damages in Los Angeles County are valued at
\$15.2B-\$25.5B per year in 2010, which decreases to \$12.1B-\$19.6B per year in 2050 BAU. Adoption of the GHG
mitigation strategies in California reduces air pollution damages in Los Angeles County by \$1.9B-\$3.6B per year





- 340 (17%–18% reduction). Other major counties also experience reduced air pollution costs under the GHG-Step scenario
- relative to BAU, including San Diego (\$1.7B-\$2.9B per year reduction; 15%-16%), and Sacramento (\$0.70B-\$1.3B
- 342 per year reduction; 6.4%). However, the largest cost savings per capita are predicted to occur in and around counties
- 343 near San Francisco based on the higher mortality rate reductions.

344 3.5 Implications

345 The costs for reducing California GHG emissions 80% below 1990 levels by the year 2050 depend strongly on 346 numerous assumptions about external factors such as the global price of oil. Only a few California energy models are available that attempt to calculate costs across the entire economy (Morrison, Eggert et al. 2014, Morrison, Yeh et al. 347 348 2015). Analysis produced by the E3 Pathways model (Williams, DeBenedictis et al. 2012, Energy+Environmental 349 Economics (E3) 2015) suggest that meeting an intermediate target (40% reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2030) using a non-optimized energy portfolio scenario would reduce personal income by \$4.95B yr⁻¹ (-0.15%) and 350 lower overall state GDP by \$16.1B yr⁻¹ (-0.45%). Analysis produced by the CA-TIMES model (Yang, Yeh et al. 351 352 2014, Yang, Yeh et al. 2015) indicates that the optimized GHG-Step scenario is less expensive than the BAU 353 scenarios.

The air pollution analysis carried out in the current study predicts that the GHG-Step scenario will provide public health benefits equivalent to \$11.4B-\$20.4B per year relative to the BAU scenario in 2050. The public health benefits described here have relatively tight uncertainty ranges with median values that are comparable to the more pessimistic of these two cost estimates for the adoption of low carbon energy.

- 358 Figure 8 illustrates the public health savings associated with the GHG-Step scenario alongside the "fair-share" benefits 359 of Federal Programs (United States Office of Management and Budget 2016) that affect California. Fair-share benefits 360 are calculated using the fraction of US residents living in California multiplied by the total US benefits. The GHG-361 Step scenario yields benefits that are larger than those from of any program under the Federal Department of 362 Agriculture, Energy, Health & Human Services, Labor, and Transportation. Only the National Ambient Air Quality 363 Standards (NAAQS) under the US EPA have greater public health savings associated with reduced concentrations of 364 air pollution. As shown throughout Sect. 3, strategies to reduce GHG emissions have benefits that overlap with 365 NAAQS objectives and produce air quality improvements that that would otherwise be challenging or impossible to 366 achieve under the BAU scenario.
- 367 Taken together, the immediate and long-term savings associated with the GHG-Step scenario make a compelling case368 for the shift to a low carbon energy system in California.

369 4 Conclusion

370 Measures to reduce GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels in California under the GHG-Step scenario altered

- emissions of criteria pollutants (or their precursors) that generally brought nearly all regions of California into
- 372 compliance with the O3 NAAQS. A few of the dense urban areas experienced minor ozone dis-benefits due to the
- 373 effects of reduced NO_x concentrations leading to slightly higher ozone concentrations. Additional O₃ abatement





strategies may be required to offset these minor effects, but the overall improvements in O₃ concentrations across the
rest of the state appear to largely solve California's O₃ non-attainment problem. The non-linear nature of the O₃
response to emissions changes emphasizes the need for the research community to include realistic chemical reaction

377 models as a function of location in mitigation exercises.

378 The GHG-Step scenario reduced PM2.5 concentrations across all regions of California through decreases in primary 379 emissions and secondary formation pathways. PM2.5 concentrations increased over ocean shipping lanes in the GHG-380 Step scenario but this has negligible health impact. The inland PM2.5 reductions drive the majority of the mortality reductions associated with the climate-friendly scenario. Total air pollution deaths in California decreased from 381 382 6,400-10,600 per year in the 2050 BAU scenario to 4,800-7,900 per year in the GHG-Step scenario. These avoided 383 deaths have a value of \$12.2B-\$20.5B per year using a Value of a Statistical Life equal to \$7.6M. The avoided mortality benefits of low carbon energy adoption in California exceed the present-day "fair share" benefits of the 384 385 combined programs under the Federal Department of Agriculture, Energy, Health & Human Services, Labor, and 386 Transportation. Only the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) under the US EPA have greater public 387 health benefits than adoption of low carbon energy in California. These GHG measures and air quality programs 388 complement and enhance one another, since adoption of Low Carbon Energy helps achieve compliance with the 389 NAAQS that would otherwise be challenging or impossible to achieve under the BAU scenario. The public health benefits described here are comparable in value to published "worst-case" cost estimates for the adoption of low 390 391 carbon energy in California. Combined with other potential long-term benefits, these immediate health benefits 392 strengthen the argument for the adoption of scenarios that reduce GHG emissions in California.

393 5 Acknowledgements

394 This study was funded by a National Center for Sustainable Transportation Dissertation Grant and the United States

- 395 Environmental Protection Agency under Grant No. R83587901. Although the research described in the article has
- 396 been funded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency it has not been subject to the Agency's required
- 397 peer and policy review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the reviews of the Agency and no official
- 398 endorsement should be inferred.

399 References

400 California Department of Finance. Demographic Research Unit (2014). P-2: State and County Population

401 Projections - Race/Ethnicity and 5-Year Age Groups. 2010 through 2060. W. Schwarm. Sacramento, CA.

402 Carter, W. P. L. and G. Heo (2012). Development of Revised SAPRC Aromatic Mechanisms. Center for

403 Environmental Research and Technology, University of California, Riverside, California 92521.

404 Carter, W. P. L., G. Heo, D. R. Cocker III and S. Nakao (2012). SOA Formation: Chamber Study and Model

405 Development. Center for Environmental Research and Technology, University of California Riverside,406 California 92521.

407 Clack, C. T. M., S. A. Qvist, J. Apt, M. Bazilian, A. R. Brandt, K. Caldeira, S. J. Davis, V. Diakov, M. A.

408 Handschy, P. D. H. Hines, P. Jaramillo, D. M. Kammen, J. C. S. Long, M. G. Morgan, A. Reed, V. Sivaram, J.





- Sweeney, G. R. Tynan, D. G. Victor, J. P. Weyant and J. F. Whitacre (2017). "Evaluation of a proposal for
 reliable low-cost grid power with 100% wind, water, and solar." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of</u>
- 411 <u>Sciences of the United States of America</u> **114**(26): 6722-6727.
- 412 Energy+Environmental Economics (E3) (2015). California PATHWAYS: GHG Scenario Results (4/6/2015)
- 413 Garcia-Menendez, F., R. K. Saari, E. Monier and N. E. Selin (2015). "U.S. Air Quality and Health Benefits
- from Avoided Climate Change under Greenhouse Gas Mitigation." <u>Environ Sci Technol</u> 49(13): 75807588.
- 416 Hoek, G., R. M. Krishnan, R. Beelen, A. Peters, B. Ostro, B. Brunekreef and J. D. Kaufman (2013). "Long-
- 417 term air pollution exposure and cardio- respiratory mortality: a review." Environ Health 12(1): 43.
- 418 Hu, J., H. Zhang, Q. Ying, S. H. Chen, F. Vandenberghe and M. J. Kleeman (2015). "Long-term particulate
- 419 matter modeling for health effect studies in California Part 1: Model performance on temporal and
- 420 spatial variations." <u>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</u> **15**(6): 3445-3461.
- 421 Industrial Economics, I. (2011). Health and Welfare Benefits Analyses to Support the Second Section 812
- 422 Benefit-Cost Analysis of the Clean Air Act. Final Report. <u>Second Section 812 Prospective Analysis</u>, Office
- 423 of Air and Radiation, US Environmental Protection Agency: 164.
- 424 Jacobson, M. Z., M. A. Delucchi, G. Bazouin, Z. A. F. Bauer, C. C. Heavey, E. Fisher, S. B. Morris, D. J. Y.
- 425 Piekutowski, T. A. Vencill and T. W. Yeskoo (2015). "100% clean and renewable wind, water, and sunlight
- 426 (WWS) all-sector energy roadmaps for the 50 United States." <u>Energy Environ. Sci.</u> **8**(7): 2093-2117.
- 427 Jacobson, M. Z., M. A. Delucchi, A. R. Ingraffea, R. W. Howarth, G. Bazouin, B. Bridgeland, K. Burkart, M.
- 428 Chang, N. Chowdhury, R. Cook, G. Escher, M. Galka, L. Han, C. Heavey, A. Hernandez, D. F. Jacobson, D.
- S. Jacobson, B. Miranda, G. Novotny, M. Pellat, P. Quach, A. Romano, D. Stewart, L. Vogel, S. Wang, H.
 Wang, L. Willman and T. Yeskoo (2014). "A roadmap for repowering California for all purposes with
- 431 wind, water, and sunlight." Energy **73**: 875-889.
- 432 Jerrett, M., R. T. Burnett, C. A. Pope, K. Ito, G. Thurston, D. Krewski, Y. Shi, E. Calle and M. Thun (2009).
- 433 "Long-Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality." New England Journal of Medicine **360**(11): 1085-1095.
- 434 Kleeman, M. J. and G. R. Cass (2001). "A 3D Eulerian Source-Oriented Model for an Externally Mixed
- 435 Aerosol." <u>Environmental Science & Technology</u> **35**(24): 4834-4848.
- 436 Krewski, D. (2009). "Evaluating the Effects of Ambient Air Pollution on Life Expectancy." <u>New England</u>
 437 Journal of Medicine **360**(4): 413-415.
- 438 Krewski, D., M. Jerrett, R. T. Burnet, R. Ma, E. Hughes, Y. Shi, M. C. Turner, C. A. P. III, G. Thursto, E. E.
- 439 Calle and a. M. J. Thun (2009). Extended Follow-Up and Spatial Analysis of the American Cancer Society
- 440 Study Linking Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality. Boston, Massachusetts, Health Effects Institute441 (HEI).
- 442 Lepeule, J., F. Laden, D. Dockery and J. Schwartz (2012). "Chronic exposure to fine particles and
- 443 mortality: an extended follow-up of the Harvard Six Cities study from 1974 to 2009." <u>Environ Health</u>
 444 Perspect **120**(7): 965-970.
- 445 Mahmud, A., M. Hixson, J. Hu, Z. Zhao, S. H. Chen and M. J. Kleeman (2010). "Climate impact on airborne
- 446 particulate matter concentrations in California using seven year analysis periods." Atmospheric
- 447 <u>Chemistry and Physics</u> **10**(22): 11097-11114.
- Morrison, G., A. Eggert, S. Yeh, R. Isaac and C. Zapata (2014). Summary of California Climate Policy
 Modeling Forum. D. University of California and I. o. T. Studies.
- 450 Morrison, G. M., S. Yeh, A. R. Eggert, C. Yang, J. H. Nelson, J. B. Greenblatt, R. Isaac, M. Z. Jacobson, J.
- 451 Johnston, D. M. Kammen, A. Mileva, J. Moore, D. Roland-Holst, M. Wei, J. P. Weyant, J. H. Williams, R.
- 452 Williams and C. B. Zapata (2015). "Comparison of low-carbon pathways for California." <u>Climatic Change</u> 453 **131**(4): 545-557.
- 454 Ostro, B. (2004). Outdoor air pollution: assessing the environmental burden of disease at national and
- 455 local levels. Environmental Burden of Disease Series. A. Pruss-Ustun, D. Campbell-Lendrum, C. Corvalan
- 456 and A. Woodward. Geneva, World Health Organization. Protection.

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions



- 457 Ostro, B. (2015). Personal communication on October 26, 2015.
- 458 RTI International (2015). BenMAP. Environmental Benefits Mapping and Analysis Program Community
- 459 Edition. User's Manual. Appendices. Research Triangle Park, NC, Office of Air Quality Planning and
- 460 Standards. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 461 Seinfeld, J. H. and S. N. Pandis (2006). <u>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate</u>
- 462 <u>Change</u>. Hoboken, New Jersey, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 463 Shindell, D., J. C. I. Kuylenstierna, E. Vignati, R. van Dingenen, M. Amann, Z. Klimont, S. C. Anenberg, N.
- 464 Muller, G. Janssens-Maenhout, F. Raes, J. Schwartz, G. Faluvegi, L. Pozzoli, K. Kupiainen, L. Höglund-
- 465 Isaksson, L. Emberson, D. Streets, V. Ramanathan, K. Hicks, N. T. K. Oanh, G. Milly, M. Williams, V.
- 466 Demkine and D. Fowler (2012). "Simultaneously Mitigating Near-Term Climate Change and Improving
- 467 Human Health and Food Security." <u>Science</u> **335**(6065): 183.
- 468 U.S. Census Bureau (2011). 2010 Census Summary File 1. Table P12 SEX BY AGE.
- 469 United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and
- 470 Prevention (CDC) and National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) (2014). Compressed Mortality File
- 471 (CMF) 1999-2013 with ICD-10 Codes on on CDC WONDER Online Database. The current release for years
- 472 <u>1999 2013 is compiled from: CMF 1999-2013, Series 20, No. 2S, 2014.</u>
- 473 United States Office of Management and Budget, O. o. I. a. R. A. (2016). 2016 Draft Report to Congress
- on the Benefits and Costs of Federal Regulations and Agency Compliance with the Unfunded MandatesReform Act.
- 476 University Corporation of Atmospheric Research. (2010, 12/05/2014). "WRF Model Version 3.2.1:
- 477 UPDATES." <u>WRF Users Page.</u> Retrieved 06/06/2016, 2016, from
- 478 www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/wrfv3.2/updates-3.2.1.html.
- 479 van Aardenne, J., F. Dentener, R. Van Dingenen, G. Maenhout, E. Marmer, E. Vignati, P. Russ, L. Szabo
- 480 and F. Raes (2010). Climate and air quality impacts of combined climate change and air pollution policy
- 481 scenarios. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union,
- 482 European Commission. Joint Research Centre. Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- 483 Viscusi, W. K. and J. E. Aldy (2003). "The Value of a Statistical Life: A Critical Review of Market Estimates
- 484 Throughout the World." Journal of Risk and Uncertainty **27**(1): 5-76.
- 485 West, J. J., S. J. Smith, R. A. Silva, V. Naik, Y. Q. Zhang, Z. Adelman, M. M. Fry, S. Anenberg, L. W.
- Horowitz and J. F. Lamarque (2013). "Co-benefits of mitigating global greenhouse gas emissions for
 future air quality and human health." <u>Nature Climate Change</u> 3(10): 885-889.
- 488 Williams, J. H., A. DeBenedictis, R. Ghanadan, A. Mahone, J. Moore, W. R. Morrow, 3rd, S. Price and M.
- 489 S. Torn (2012). "The technology path to deep greenhouse gas emissions cuts by 2050: the pivotal role of 490 electricity." <u>Science</u> **335**(6064): 53-59.
- 491 Yang, C., S. Yeh, K. Ramea, S. Zakerinia, D. McCollum, D. Bunch and J. Ogden (2014). Modeling Optimal
- 492 Transition Pathways to a Low Carbon Economy in California: California TIMES (CA-TIMES) Model. Davis,
- 493 CA., Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California, Davis.
- Yang, C., S. Yeh, S. Zakerinia, K. Ramea and D. McCollum (2015). "Achieving California's 80% greenhouse
 gas reduction target in 2050: Technology, policy and scenario analysis using CA-TIMES energy economic
- 496 systems model." <u>Energy Policy</u> **77**: 118-130.
- 497 Ying, Q., M. P. Fraser, R. J. Griffin, J. Chen and M. J. Kleeman (2007). "Verification of a source-oriented
- 498 externally mixed air quality model during a severe photochemical smog episode." <u>Atmospheric</u>
- 499 <u>Environment</u> **41**(7): 1521-1538.
- 500 Zapata, C., C. Yang, J. Ogden and M. Kleeman (2017). "Estimating Criteria Pollutant Emissions Using the
- California Regional Multisector Air Quality Emissions (CA-REMARQUE) Model version 1.0." <u>Geoscientific</u>
 Model Development in review.





- 503 Zhang, H., G. Chen, J. Hu, S. H. Chen, C. Wiedinmyer, M. Kleeman and Q. Ying (2014). "Evaluation of a
- seven-year air quality simulation using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF)/Community
- 505 Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) models in the eastern United States." <u>Sci Total Environ</u> 473-474: 275-285.

506







Figure 1: Process diagram of sequence of stages for modelling and analysis.

512







515 Figure 2: (a) Population weighted 8-h average ozone concentration by region, (b) Population weighted PM_{2.5} mass

516 concentration by region. Averages are shown for the winter, summer, and annual time periods in the year 2054. SJV, SD,

517 SF, SC represent the San Joaquin Valley, San Diego, San Francisco, and South Coast respectively. P-value <0.0001 was 518 found for each difference between concentrations calculated with the BAU emissions (white bars) versus the GHG-Step

⁵¹⁹ emissions (gray bars).







Figure 3: (a) Annual average of daily 8-h average ozone concentration (ppb) under the BAU scenario, (b) change in 8-h average ozone concentrations (ppb) under the GHG-Step scenario, and p-value significance level of the difference between concentrations predicted using the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. All simulations for the year 2054. Both 24 km resolution results and the finer 4 km resolution results are shown, with the finer, smaller Southern California or Central/Northern California domains are overlaid upon the coarse California domain results.





Table 1: the 4th highest maximum daily 8-h average ozone concentration, and number of days exceeding the standard during June-August months. Counties with 4th highest 8-h ozone concentrations ≥70 ppb are shaded in gray. See Table S4 for 2010 O₃ designation values and areas.

Basin	County or State- wide	4th highest 8-h O3 Conc.			# of Days Exceeding 8-h std.		
		(ppb)			of 70 ppb		
		2010	2050 BAU	2050 GHG- Step	2010	2050 BAU	2050 GHG- Step
North Central Coast (NCC)	Monterey	75	72	64	12	3	0
	San Benito	97	75	65	44	31	0
	Santa Cruz	81	72	67	17	15	0
South Coast (SC)	Los Angeles	95	69	70	45	0	3
	Orange	92	63	70	43	0	4
	Riverside	123	80	79	62	47	43
	San Bernardino	121	80	82	63	45	49
South Central coast (SCC)	Ventura	83	66	63	46	0	0
San Diego (SD)	San Diego	93	68	67	48	1	2
San Francisco (SF)	Alameda	65	65	65	1	0	0
	Contra Costa	73	67	64	14	0	0
	Marin	70	65	64	2	0	0
	Napa	78	72	63	20	4	0
	San Francisco	52	53	63	0	0	0
	San Mateo	45	56	61	0	0	0
	Santa Clara	69	68	67	3	2	1
	Solano	82	71	64	36	10	0
	Sonoma	74	66	58	7	0	0
San Joaquin Valley (SJV)	Fresno	98	70	63	50	3	0
	Kern	111	68	60	66	1	0
	Kings	103	68	61	57	2	0
	Merced	98	71	63	59	5	0
	San Joaquin	95	72	65	55	13	0
	Stanislaus	100	71	65	63	7	0
	Tulare	112	71	62	70	6	0
Sacramento Valley (SV)	Sacramento	100	75	64	59	22	0
California (CA)	State-wide	87	66	66	42	0	0







Figure 4: Number of days in the months of June-August 2054 in which the county population-weighted daily maximum 8h average ozone concentration exceeds the 8-h ozone NAAQS of 70 ppb for each current and future year scenario.







Figure 5: (a) Annual average PM_{2.5} mass concentration (µg m⁻³) under the BAU scenario, (b) change in PM_{2.5} mass concentrations (µg m⁻³) under the GHG-Step scenario, and p-value significance level of the difference between concentrations predicted using the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. All simulations for the year 2054. Both 24 km resolution results and the finer 4 km resolution results are shown, with the finer, smaller Southern California or Central/Northern California domains are overlaid upon the coarse California domain results.









Figure 6: (a) PM_{2.5} and O₃ long-term exposure deaths and (b) mortality rate by county, year, and emission scenario, based on combined Krewski et al. 2009 all-cause deaths associated with PM_{2.5} risk ratio (RR) and Jerrett et al. 2009 respiratory deaths associated with ozone RR.





5



Figure 7: (a) deaths and cost and (b) death rate for the high-resolution modeling domains covering 93 % of California's population. PM_{2.5} damages are estimated using methods derived by Krewski et al. 2009 (blue bars) and Hoek et al. 2013 (gray bars). Ozone damages are estimated using the methods derived by Jerrett et al. 2009 (orange bars). Only bars with the same color should be compared between 2010, 2050 BAU, and 2050 GHG-Step. The "2050 Diff" category shows the difference between the 2050 GHG-Step and BAU scenarios.







Figure 8: Annual "fair-share" benefits of Federal programs that affect California in 2016. Fair-share fraction of US total is proportional to fraction of US population living in California. "Low Carbon Energy" represents the difference between the 2050 GHG-Step-BAU scenarios calculated in the present study.