Low Carbon Energy Generates Public Health Savings in California

3 Christina B. Zapata¹, Chris Yang², Sonia Yeh², Joan Ogden², Michael J. Kleeman¹

4 ¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California – Davis, Davis, California, USA

² Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California – Davis, Davis, California, USA

6 Correspondence to: Michael J. Kleeman (mjkleeman@ucdavis.edu)

7 Abstract. California's goal to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions 80% below 1990 levels by the year 2050 will 8 require adoption of low carbon energy sources across all economic sectors. In addition to reducing GHG emissions, 9 shifting to fuels with lower carbon intensity will change concentrations of short-lived conventional air pollutants, 10 including airborne particles with diameter less than $2.5 \,\mu m \,(PM_{2.5})$ and ozone (O₃). Here we evaluate how business-11 as-usual (BAU) air pollution and public health in California will be transformed in the year 2050 through the adoption 12 of low-carbon technologies, expanded electrification, and modified activity patterns within a low carbon energy 13 scenario (GHG-Step). Both the BAU and GHG-Step state-wide emission scenarios were constructed using the energy-14 economic optimization model, CA-TIMES, that calculates the multi-sector energy portfolio that meets projected 15 energy supply and demand at the lowest cost, while also satisfying scenario-specific GHG emissions constraints. 16 Corresponding criteria pollutant emissions for each scenario were then spatially allocated at 4 km resolution to support 17 air quality analysis in different regions of the state. Meteorological inputs for the year 2054 were generated under a 18 Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 future climate. Annual-average PM_{2.5} and O₃ concentrations were 19 predicted using the modified emissions and meteorology inputs with a regional chemical transport model. In the final 20 phase of the analysis, mortality (total deaths) and mortality rate (deaths per 100,000) were calculated using established 21 exposure-response relationships from air pollution epidemiology combined with simulated annual-average $PM_{2.5}$ and 22 O_3 exposure. Net emissions reductions across all sectors are -36% for PM_{0.1} mass, -3.6% for PM_{2.5} mass, -10.6% for 23 PM_{2.5} EC, -13.3% for PM_{2.5} OC, -13.7% for NOx, and -27.5% for NH₃. Predicted deaths associated with air pollution 24 in 2050 dropped by 24%–26% in California (1,537–2,758 avoided deaths yr⁻¹) in the "climate-friendly" 2050 GHG-25 Step scenario, which is equivalent to a 54%–56% reduction in the air pollution mortality rate (deaths per 100,000) 26 relative to 2010 levels. These avoided deaths have an estimated value of \$11.4B-\$20.4B USD per yr⁻¹ based on the 27 present-day Value of a Statistical Life (VSL) equal to \$7.6M. The costs for reducing California GHG emissions 80% 28 below 1990 levels by the year 2050 depend strongly on numerous external factors such as the global price of oil. Best 29 estimates suggest that meeting an intermediate target (40% reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2030) using a 30 non-optimized scenario would reduce personal income by \$4.95B yr⁻¹ (-0.15%) and lower overall state GDP by \$16.1B 31 yr^{-1} (-0.45%). The public health benefits described here are comparable to these cost estimates, making a compelling 32 argument for the adoption of low carbon energy in California, with implications for other regions in the United States 33 and across the world.

34 **1** Introduction

35 Implementation of California's climate policy (Executive Order S-3-05) to reduce GHG emissions 80% below 1990

36 levels by the year 2050 will require widespread adoption of low-carbon energy supply and demand technologies across

37 the state's entire economy. These changes will not only reduce California's contribution to climate change, they will

also alter the chemical composition, spatial pattern, and attributable adverse health effects of the state's serious air 39 pollution problem. Reducing long-term exposure to fine airborne particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) and ozone (O_3) will

40 improve public health through a reduction in premature mortality (Krewski, Jerrett et al. 2009, Lepeule, Laden et al.

41 2012).

38

42 California's near-term measures to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are required by the Global Warming 43 Solutions Act of 2006 (Assembly Bill (AB) 32). Since the adoption of AB 32, a wave of incentives, mandates, carbon 44 markets, fees, and standards have been implemented to curb the rate of the state's GHG emissions. Regulations 45 include the Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) for the electricity generation sector, the Low Carbon Fuel Standard 46 (LCFS) aimed at reducing carbon intensity of transport fuels, the Pavley Clean Car Standards for fuel economy and 47 CO₂ emissions, and the Cap-and-Trade Program. Zapata et al. (2012) analysed the air quality co-benefits of AB 32 48 and found that the GHG mitigation measures had the co-benefit of reducing $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in California by 49 ~6% in the year 2030 with a corresponding decrease in air-pollution mortality. Additional measures will be needed to 50 meet the targets included in California's Executive Order S-3-05 that calls for GHG emissions to decrease 80% below

51 1990 GHG levels by the year 2050.

52 Numerous previous studies have examined the relationship between climate policies and air quality using methods 53 tailored to match the region of interest (Table S1). For example, Jacobson et al. (Jacobson, Delucchi et al. 2014, 54 Jacobson, Delucchi et al. 2015) examined how a scenario of 100% wind, water, and solar would alter all economic 55 sectors leading to changes in air quality and health impacts for California and the United States in 2050. This 56 bounding analysis is extremely valuable since it quantifies the maximum possible air quality benefits associated with 57 climate policies but a recent analysis suggests that scenarios incorporating a broader range of technologies are-may 58 be more realistic (Clack, Qvist et al. 2017). The debate on this point is ongoing (Jacobson, Delucchi et al. 2017). For 59 studies that consider a broad range of technologies, m^Multiple approaches have been used to select between the 60 diverse technologies available in these future scenarios, but the majority of these studies rely on the expert opinions 61 of the authors rather than an objective analysis. For example, Shindell, Kuylenstierna et al. (2012) created a future 62 scenario by selecting measures that were "assumed to improve air quality" and mitigate both long-lived GHGs and 63 short-lived criteria pollutants after ranking them by climate impact. The extensive study by van Aardenne, Dentener 64 et al. (2010) explored 6 scenarios with wider levels of air and/or climate policy, as well as the option of biofuel 65 consumption, however, technology adoption is again largely dependent on author specified assumptions on shares of 66 existing technologies. Since the technology choices in each scenario strongly affect the air quality outcomes, the 67 author assumptions in these previous studies have a strong influence on the calculated health benefits stemming 68 from reduced air pollution concentrations. As a secondary limitation, many previous studies have been carried out 69 for regions much larger than California which requires the use of coarse grid cells that do not completely resolve

70 important spatial patterns of pollutants within the state's complex topography (West, Smith et al. 2013, Garcia-

71 Menendez, Saari et al. 2015).

72 Here we build on the previous work on climate policy – air quality interactions by conducting an optimized 73 emissions analysis at high spatial resolution for California. The state of California has a very large and diverse 74 economy and so it is difficult to design optimal GHG mitigation strategies using expert opinions alone. Energy -75 economic optimization models are needed to find least-cost scenarios that achieve GHG objectives within the 76 resource constraints of the state. California also has significant existing environmental regulations and so detailed 77 analysis is required to account for the impact of technology, fuel, and behavioural changes implied by broad GHG 78 policies on the landscape of pre-existing rules. All of this analysis must be carried out at high spatial resolution to 79 properly calculate air pollution exposure in major cities that often experience a sharp gradient of pollutant 80 concentrations across their boundaries.

81 Zapata et al. (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017) used the CA-REMARQUE (CAlifornia REgional Multisector AiR QUality

82 Emissions) model to predict criteria pollutant emissions associated with two economically optimized scenarios for

83 California in the year 2050: (i) a Business-as-Usual (BAU) scenario that includes all existing environmental laws in

84 California including AB 32 and (ii) a greenhouse gas mitigation (GHG-Step) scenario including additional least-cost

85 policy and technology adoption needed to achieve the 80% GHG reduction objective of Executive Order S-3-05

87 could cause decreases or increases in criteria pollutant emissions in different economic sectors/locations due to the

trade-offs involved in the state-wide cost minimization approach. As a further complication, switching to alternative

89 lower carbon intensive fuels in the GHG-Step scenario altered the composition of reactive organic gas (ROG)

90 emissions and the size and composition of particulate matter emissions. These finding re-enforce the need for

91 sophisticated analysis methods in complex regions like California.

92 The overall goal of the present study is to quantify air pollution and health implications associated with the BAU and 93 GHG-Step scenarios described by Zapata et al. (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017) acting across the entire California energy-94 economy in the year 2050. The air pollution concentrations associated with the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios are 95 calculated at 4 km resolution using a regional chemical transport model and the avoided mortality is estimated using 96 established relationships from air pollution epidemiology. Economic benefits are then calculated with the Value of a 97 Statistical Life (VSL). Finally, the total public health benefits from avoided air pollution are compared to the total 98 incremental cost for adoption of low carbon energy in California to better understand the net costs for the GHG

99 mitigation program.

100 2 Methodology

101 Air quality and health impacts associated with energy scenarios in the year 2050 were determined by combining

102 estimated changes to criteria pollutant emissions inventories with downscaled meteorology as inputs to a regional air

103 quality model to predict air quality with 4 km resolution over California. Epidemiology risk exposure functions and

104 mortality data were then used to estimate premature deaths. Figure 1 summarizes the calculations with additional

105 details provided below.

106 2.1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions

107 Criteria pollutant emissions were predicted with the California REgional Multisector AiR QUality Emissions (CA-108 REMARQUE) model (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017) for the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. Both scenarios were 109 constructed using CA-TIMES, a technology-rich, bottom-up, energy economics model that determines the least-cost 110 mix of technology/fuel options for all sectors of the state-wide economy. CA-REMARQUE translated these 111 behaviour, technology, and fuel changes into spatially- and temporally-resolved criteria pollutant emissions 112 inventories. CA-REMARQUE predicted that adoption of the GHG-Step policies in place of the BAU policies 113 would cause decreases in emissions of primary $\underline{PM}_{0.1}$ (-36%), $PM_{2.5}$ (-43.6%), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x, -13.74%), 114 and ammonia $(NH_3, -27.58\%)$ but cause increases in emissions of carbon monoxide (CO, +37%) and oxides of 115 sulfur (SOx, +14%). Some components of primary PM_{2.5} emissions responded more strongly to different 116 technology changes yielding non-uniform reductions of PM_{2.5} elemental carbon (EC, -10.64%), PM_{2.5} organic 117 carbon (OC, -13.3%), and PM_{2.5} copper (Cu, -63%). The spatial allocation of emission rates was determined by 118 either using existing 4km spatial patterns of emissions sources or finding new optimal locations for new emissions 119 sources such as biorefineries that were placed near high biomass feedstock regions. The future BAU and GHG-Step 120 scenarios considered in the present study do not include nuclear or coal-fired (with or without CCS) electricity 121 generation in California. Electricity generation in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario is dominated by wind (34%), solar 122 (34%), and natural gas (18%) with smaller contributions from tidal, geothermal, and hydro. A comprehensive

analysis of all emissions changes <u>including spatial plots</u> is provided by Zapata et al. (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017).

124 2.3 Meteorology Fields

125 Meteorology simulations using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model v3.2.1 (University Corporation 126 of Atmospheric Research 2010) conducted previously (Zhang, Chen et al. 2014) for years 2048–2054 were used as 127 meteorological inputs in this study. Hourly-averaged fields describing spatial and temporal wind speed and direction, 128 humidity, temperature, planetary boundary layer (PBL) height, downward shortwave radiation, air density, and 129 precipitation were formatted for use with the regional chemical transport model. The 2054 calendar year was the 130 median year over the period 2048-2054 for domain-average PM2.5 concentrations within the South Coast Air Basin 131 that contains the majority of the population in California. - The 2054 calendar year was selected as the median year within the 2048-2054 timespan based on population weighted average PM2.5-exposure with the least deviation from 132 133 the 7 year episodic mean (Mahmud, Hixson et al. 2010) based on analysis using the 2010 CARB emission inventory 134 (Zhang, Chen et al. 2014). The focus of the current study is to evaluate how the emissions changes lead to different 135 air quality outcomes. Both emissions scenarios are evaluated using the same meteorology, which minimizes the

136 <u>variability introduced by the climate signal.</u>

137 2.4 Regional Chemical Transport Model Configuration and Simulation

138 Air quality was simulated using the UCD-CIT (University of California, Davis – California Institute of Technology) 139 3D regional chemical transport model (Kleeman and Cass 2001, Ying, Fraser et al. 2007, Hu, Zhang et al. 2015)). 140 The SAPRC11 (Carter and Heo 2012, Carter, Heo et al. 2012) chemical mechanism was used to represent gas-phase 141 chemical reactions. Gas-to-particle conversion was simulated as a dynamic process based on the concentration of 142 semi-volatile gas-phase compounds at the particle surface in equilibrium with the condensed material inside each 143 particle. Thermodynamic equilibrium within each particle for inorganic species was calculated using the ISORROPIA 144 model (Nenes et al, 1998). Thermodynamic equilibrium within each particle for organic species was calculated using 145 a two-product model (Carlton et al., 2010). PM emissions profiles include 18 organic, inorganic, and metal particulate

species distributed across 15 size bins.

147 Air quality simulations were conducted over three horizontal domains, a coarse 24 km parent domain, and two 4 km 148 resolution child domains. The coarse domain covered all of California and the adjacent Pacific Ocean to provide 149 boundary inputs to the higher resolution child domains over populated regions in northern and southern California. 150 Sixteen telescoping vertical layers were used up to a total height of 5km above ground. Simulations were conducted 151 for the first 28–29 days of each month for the 2054 calendar year. The first 3 days of every month were excluded to

152 minimize the effects of initial conditions which are not known exactly, leaving 301 of simulation days to be used in

the statistical analysis.

154 2.5 Population Projections

155 A 2050 California population projection at 4 km spatial resolution was used for both population-weighted 156 concentration estimates and mortality estimates. This population projection is based on the highly-resolved block-157 group 2010 Census population data in shapefile format (U.S. Census Bureau) which was intersected with the regular 158 air quality grid. The 4 km resolution population field was then scaled according the projected populations for each 159 county in 2050 (California Department of Finance. Demographic Research Unit 2014) relative to 2010 (Table S2). 160 This procedure was conducted separately for population age >35 and for all ages (see Fig. S1) to be used for the 161 population-weighted code (all ages) and the mortality estimates (>35 years). The combined southern and northern 4 162 km resolution modeling domains encompassed 92% of California's projected 2050 population (summarized in Table 163 S3).

164 Population acts as a spatial surrogate for distributing emissions and as a receptor for calculating the public health

165 effects of air pollution. Consistent population fields were used for both of these tasks in the current study. Population

166 growth rates by county are summarized by (Zapata, Yang et al. 2017).

167 2.6 Statistical and Exceedance Analysis

168 Several statistical analyses were conducted across space, seasons, and scenarios. Annual average concentration plots

169 were estimated by taking the average of 301 daily concentrations fields. A two-tailed paired t-test was used to identify

170 significant differences between BAU and GHG-Step concentrations. Annual or seasonal concentration field plots

- 171 were condensed to a state-wide, air basin, or county population-weighted concentration estimate by summing the
- 172 concentration multiplied by the population in each cell and then dividing the resulting sum by the entire population
- 173 for the region of interest.

175

- 174 Daily maximum 8-h average O₃ concentrations were calculated for each model grid cell. Subsequent seasonal or
- 176 whether a county was in compliance with the 70 ppb O₃ National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), the fourth

annual averages used the daily maximum 8-h average concentrations for a given state, basin, or county. To determine

- 177 highest population-weighted maximum 8-h O₃ concentration was calculated. The number of days exceeding this
- 178 standard was also tabulated.

179 2.7 Mortality and Cost Estimates

Premature mortality estimates from long-term exposure to PM_{2.5} and O₃ were calculated using annual-average 4 km resolution concentration fields for the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. The attributable fraction (AF) is the portion of deaths or incidences that can be associated with the cause of interest, in this case the fraction of deaths due to annual PM_{2.5} and O₃ exposure. The AF quantifies the change in the relative risk.

184
$$AF_i = \frac{RR_i - 1}{RR_i} = \frac{e^{\beta(x_i - x_{i,bkg})} - 1}{e^{\beta(x_i - x_{i,bkg})}}$$
 (1)

185 The log-linear incidence rate function is assumed when calculating the risk ratio (RR) as shown in Eq. (1). The beta 186 coefficient (β), is derived from taking the natural log of the RR found in epidemiology literature. PM_{2.5} RR for allcause mortality associated with a 10 μ g m⁻³ increase in long-term PM_{2.5} exposure is estimated at 1.062 based on an 187 188 worldwide meta-analysis (Hoek, Krishnan et al. 2013) or 1.036 based on the American Cancer Society follow-up 189 (Krewski 2009). An O₃ RR of 1.04 for respiratory mortality from long-term O₃ exposure is based on (Jerrett, Burnett 190 et al. 2009). The change in concentration is based on taking the annual average concentration for a given grid cell (x_i) 191 and subtracting it from the background concentration ($x_{i,bkg}$). Background concentrations on the west coast of North 192 America are often measured at mountain sites that sample the free troposphere. Herner et al. (Herner, Aw et al. 2005) 193 measured PM1.8 concentrations of 4 µg m⁻³ at Sequoia National Park (elevation 535m) during periods when this site 194 was in the free troposphere. McKendry (McKendry 2006) surveyed published literature and reviewed monitoring 195 data in British Columbia on the west coast of North America and estimated that background PM2.5 concentrations 196 are 2 μ g m⁻³ with little evidence of change over time. A background PM_{2.5} concentration of 3 μ g m⁻³ (Ostro 2004) and 197 O_3 concentration of 35 ppb was assumed in the current study. The beta coefficient, change in cell concentration, is 198 then used to calculate the risk ratio (RR_i) and subsequently the attributable fraction.

$$E_{s} = \sum_{i} AF_{i} B_{c} P_{i}$$
(2)

The mortality (E_s) for each scenario for a given region, was calculated using Eq. (2) by taking the product of the population and mortality rate to get the deaths, followed by multiplying the fraction that is attributable to pollution (see Eq. (1)). Population (P_i) projections for ages 35 and older were used in this calculation due to high uncertainty for younger age groups. Averaged 2009–2013 California all-cause (all ICD 10 codes) and respiratory (ICD 10 codes J0–J98) mortality rates (B_c), calculated in deaths per 100,000, were determined for each California county for ages 35

- and older from the CDC WONDER database (United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS),
- 206 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) et al. 2014).
- 207 Costs associated from premature death from long-term air pollution exposure were estimated using the "value of a
- statistical life" (VSL) method, assuming that a death equates to \$7.6M USD, based on the distribution of 26 economic
- 209 reports (Viscusi and Aldy 2003) and the suggested value by the EPA (Industrial Economics 2011, Ostro 2015, RTI
- International 2015). This value can be adjusted to a future year with an average discount rate "i" by multiplying with
- the value $(1+i)^{\text{future year-base year}}$ where base year is 2006. VSL is estimated based on a "willingness to pay" for small
- reductions in mortality risk through the selection of different job types. "Willingness to pay" estimates are though to
- **213** incorporate "cost of illness" including morbidity but they do not capture non-health damage.

214 3 Results and Discussion

215 **3.1 Ozone (O₃) Concentration**

216 3.1.1 Annual Average and Seasonal Ozone Changes

Figure 2a shows the population-weighted daily maximum 8-h ozone concentrations for the 2054 meteorological year under the BAU and GHG-Step emissions scenarios. Box and whisker plots are shown for winter, summer, and annual time periods to consider both cyclical and yearly effects. Figure 3a illustrates the spatial distribution of ozone concentrations in the BAU scenario while Figure 3b illustrates the changes induced by the GHG-Step scenario. The annual-average BAU 8-h ozone concentration reaches a maximum of 61 ppb in Southern California downwind (east) of Los Angeles and San Bernardino. In the northern/central California domain, the annual-average BAU 8-h ozone concentration has a maximum value of 57 ppb along the Northern Central Coast air basin, around Santa Clara and San

- Benito County.
- Figure 3b illustrates that regional 8-h average ozone concentrations (annually averaged) in the San Joaquin Valley (SJV) air basin (containing the cities of Bakersfield and Fresno) decrease by 2 ppb–3 ppb under the GHG-Step scenario. GHG mitigation strategies did not reduce ozone concentrations in major population centres including the San Francisco (SF) air basin and the South Coast (SC) air basin (containing the city of Los Angeles). To the contrary, ozone concentrations increased in these dense urban regions because BAU conditions have excess NO_x concentrations that titrate ozone. The extent of NO_x emission reductions under the GHG-Step scenario is insufficient to shift the chemical regime to one where decreases in NO_x lead to O₃ reductions, instead favouring more ozone formation
- **232** (Seinfeld and Pandis 2006).
- 233 Figure 2a illustrates that population-weighted annual average 8-h ozone concentrations in the rural SJV decreased by
- -4.3% (52 ppb to 50 ppb) in the GHG-Step scenario with the greatest reductions occurring in the summer months (-
- 235 9.4%). In contrast, population weighted annual average 8-h ozone concentrations increased in urbanized regions (SC
- +5.1%, SD +2.8%, SF +6.5%) consistent with the regional trends illustrated in Figure 3b. Population-weighted ozone
- concentrations under the GHG-Step scenario increased in SC, SD, and SF during winter (+7.0 %, +9.3 %, and +17 %,
- respectively) but had mixed trends during summer: ozone concentrations in SC and SF (highest population density)

- increased by +3.2% and +6.1%, respectively, under the GHG-Step scenario but concentrations in SD (slightly lower
 population density) decreased -2.2% during the summer season.
- 241 Overall, a state-wide increase of +3.9 % in population-weighted annual-average 8-h ozone concentrations occurred
- 242 under the GHG-Step scenario because increased ozone concentrations in heavily populated SF, SC, and SD
- 243 overwhelmed decreased ozone concentrations in the SJV. The regulatory and health implications of this finding will
- be discussed in subsequent sections.

245 3.1.2 High Ozone Events and Number of Exceedance Days

- 246 Most benchmarks for ozone concentrations decrease strongly across California in the 2050 BAU scenario relative to
- 247 current 2010 levels. Simulations carried out using identical 2010 summer meteorological fields but different
- emissions inputs (2010 vs. 2050) demonstrate that emission changes rather than weather inputs were the primary
- cause of these decreasing O₃ concentrations. Table 1 summarizes the 4th highest maximum 8-h average ozone
- concentration and the number of days exceeding the 70 ppb 8-h average ozone standard for different California
- 251 counties. The 4th highest 8-h average O₃ concentration of each year, averaged over 3 years is used to determine if a
- 252 given area is in compliance with the NAAQS. Many California air districts violate the 8-h O₃ NAAQS, with
- 253 classifications ranging from moderate, serious, severe or extreme levels of O₃ (Table S4). The county median of the
- 4th highest 8-h simulated ozone concentration in 2010 is 92.2 ppb (IQR: 74.0 ppb–99.1 ppb) with 23 out of 26
- counties analyzed reaching levels \geq 70 ppb. The county median of the 4th highest 8-h average ozone concentration
- in the 2050 BAU scenario decreases to 69.2 ppb (IQR: 66.2 ppb–71.9 ppb) with a further decrease to 64.2 ppb (IQR:
- 257 62.8 ppb–66.4 ppb) in the GHG-Step scenario.
- Almost half (10 of 23) of the counties exceeding the O_3 NAAQS in 2010, would achieve attainment with the
- standards in the 2050 BAU scenario and nearly all (19 out of 23) counties would achieve attainment under the 2050
- 260 GHG-Step scenario. Only the SC counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino are predicted to
- remain out of attainment with the ozone NAAQS in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario.
- As noted above, some regions experience ozone dis-benefits under the GHG-Step scenario which has implications
- for compliance with the ozone NAAQs. Table 1 illustrates that increases in the 4th highest 8-h ozone concentrations
- under the GHG-Step scenario may prevent Orange and Los Angeles counties from complying with the 70 ppb
- standard. The 4^{th} highest 8-h ozone concentrations in San Bernardino County would not comply with the O_3
- 266 NAAQS under either emissions scenario, with concentrations increasing from 80 ppb in the BAU scenario to 82 ppb
- 267 in the GHG-Step scenario. Both San Francisco and San Mateo counties were predicted to experience higher ozone
- 268 concentrations in the GHG-Step scenario but would remain in compliance, with maximum concentrations of 63 ppb
- and 61 ppb, respectively.
- Figure 4 illustrates the number of days exceeding the 8-h ozone standard of 70 ppb in California under 2010
- 271 conditions, the 2050 BAU scenario, and the 2050 GHG-Step scenario. Most counties in central California have ~60
- 272 ozone exceedance days in 2010, ~5–10 ozone exceedance days in the 2050 BAU scenario, and zero ozone
- 273 exceedance days in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario. North Central Coast (NCC) basin ozone reductions at Monterey,

274 San Benito, and Santa Cruz counties also enabled those counties to comply with the O₃ standards in the GHG-Step

275 scenario. The relatively small increase in ozone exceedance days in southern California counties like Los Angeles,

276 Orange, San Bernardino, and San Diego will require extra mitigation strategies to achieve compliance with the

ozone NAAQS.

278 3.2 PM2.5 Mass Concentration

279 PM_{2.5} concentrations can be analyzed on time scales ranging from seconds to years, but annual average PM_{2.5} 280 concentrations are most commonly used to calculate mortality and health damages. Figure 5 illustrates annual average 281 PM_{2.5} concentrations in Northern/Central California and Southern California in 2054 under the BAU scenario (Figure 282 5a) and the differences induced by the GHG-Step scenario (Figure 5b). Both results use identical 2054 meteorology, 283 ensuring that the concentration differences reflect changes between each scenario's emissions inventory. The highest 284 BAU annual-average $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in southern California is ~18 µg m⁻³ in the city of San Bernardino located 285 east of Los Angeles, with the next highest PM2.5 hot spots occurring at San Diego, and near the busy Port of Los 286 Angeles/Long Beach. In Northern California, the annual-average PM_{2.5} peaks at 25.3 µg m⁻³ between the cities of 287 Oakland and San Francisco (SF). Maximum PM_{2.5} reductions in the GHG-Step scenario (Figure 5b) occur between 288 Oakland and San Francisco (-6 µg m⁻³), in San Diego county (-5.3 µg m⁻³), and in San Bernardino county (-3.5 µg m⁻³) 289 ³). Overall, the reductions are significant (p-value ≤ 0.1) over the majority of Northern and Southern California; the 290 only non-significant PM2.5 changes are two locations inland in northern Los Angeles around Lancaster and in Midwestern San Bernardino where BAU concentrations were low. Significant PM_{2.5} increases of +0.5 μ g m⁻³ do 291 292 occur in ocean shipping routes because more fossil fuel is used for marine vessels in the GHG-Step scenario than in 293 the BAU scenario. The GHG-Step scenario requires increased bio-fuel use as part of the overall strategy to reduce 294 GHG emissions. This increased biofuel production is associated with higher biofuel costs since the least expensive 295 biofuel feedstocks are used first followed by progressively more expensive feedstocks. As biofuels utilization 296 increases, the demand and cost for conventional fossil fuels decreases. The decreased cost for fossil fuels in the GHG-297 Step scenario makes these fuels attractive for use by marine sources.

- 298 Population-weighted PM_{2.5} concentrations (Fig. 2b) decrease for all regions in all seasons under the 2050 GHG-Step
- 299 scenario relative to the BAU scenario. Variability in PM_{2.5} concentrations is highest during the winter, with periods
- 300 of intense stagnation intermixed with periods of vigorous atmospheric mixing. $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are less variable
- in the summer months as demonstrated by the smaller Inter Quartile Range (IQR) in Figure 2b. The annual populationweighted $PM_{2.5}$ concentration drops from 6.0 to 4.8 µg m⁻³ (-20%) in the San Joaquin Valley (SJV), 8.3 to 6.2 µg m⁻³
- 303 (-25%) in San Diego (SD), 9.5 to 7.8 μ g m⁻³ (-18%) in San Francisco Bay Area (SF), and 7.6 to 6.5 μ g m⁻³ (-14%) for
- the South Coast (SC) air basins. Additional detail of the $PM_{2.5}$ species that decreases the most (e.g. nitrate) and the
- 305 changes in the particulate size distribution are further described in SI and summarized in Table S5.
- 306 Certain PM_{2.5} spatial patterns illustrated in Figure 5 were difficult to anticipate based exclusively on state-wide
- 308 significantly to state-wide emissions reductions, but these changes were distributed over a larger area than the benefits
- 309 associated with the decarbonization of freight modes (e.g. rail, aviation and marine). Most on-road vehicles in

- 310 California already have relatively low emissions rates for criteria pollutants. Further vehicular emissions savings
- result from small reductions that are distributed over the large number of vehicles across the entire state. This spreads
- the air quality improvements associated with vehicles over a large area. In contrast, freight modes use fuel with higher
- sulfur content burned in engines with less aftertreatment control (e.g. particulate filter) leading to higher PM emission
- rates per energy consumed (e.g. mg J⁻¹). These sources are localized to goods movement corridors (shipping lanes,
- rail lines, etc.) that intersect at transport distribution hubs near ports. This leads to localized reductions in particulate
- 316 matter concentrations associated with freight modes compared to more diffuse reductions associated with on-road
- 317 sources. These trends were not obvious from state-wide emissions tables but are clearly illustrated by the results from
- 318 regional air quality modeling.

319 3.3 Associated PM_{2.5} and O₃ Mortality, Mortality Rate, and Costs

- 320 Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the deaths, death rate, and cost associated with premature deaths from long-term annual
- **321** exposure of both $PM_{2.5}$ and ozone (O₃).

322 **3.3.1** Mortality

323 County and state-wide $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 associated deaths are displayed in Figure 6a and Figure 7a. The calculations 324 summarized in Figure 7a predict that 6,400-10,600 people would die annually in the California 2050 BAU scenario 325 due to exposure to PM_{2.5} and O₃ depending. The medium estimate for mortality falls between these low and high 326 estimates. The range is estimate includes population growth through 2050. In the California GHG-Step scenario, total 327 PM_{2.5} and O₃ mortality would decrease to 4,800–7,900 deaths annually (24%–26% reduction) due to reductions in 328 pollutant concentrations. More than 95% of the premature mortality is associated with PM_{2.5} while only 2.0%-4.4% 329 is attributed to O_3 . As a result, the O_3 increases associated with the GHG-Step scenario have a minor effect on 330 mortality relative to $PM_{2.5}$. Spatial trends for $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 mortality are similar, with the highest rates occurring in 331 highly populated regions (see Figure 3a and Figure 5a). Likewise, most of the avoided mortality in the GHG-Step 332 scenario also occurs in the regions with the highest populations.

333 3.3.2 Mortality Rate

334 Air pollution mortality rates (deaths per 100,000 people) plotted in Figure 6b and Figure 7b help to compare health 335 effects across urban and rural areas (both of which can experience high pollution events in California). The 2050 336 state-wide air pollution mortality rate drops by 54%-56% in the 2050 GHG-Step scenario vs. the 2010 scenario and 337 24%-26% in the GHG-Step scenario vs. the BAU scenario. Reductions in the air pollution mortality rate were 338 predicted in all counties under the GHG-Step scenario vs. the BAU scenario (Figure 6b). In the 2050 BAU scenario, 339 San Francisco, San Mateo, Alameda, Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Diego and San Bernardino counties are predicted 340 to have air pollution mortality rates higher than the state-wide average of 19.3–32.2 deaths per 100k people (see Figure 341 6b). Under the GHG-Step scenario, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Alameda counties continue to have the highest 342 death rates associated with PM_{2.5} and O₃. Mortality rates in SF are more than double the state-wide average due to 343 the proximity of major construction projects and growing populations. Overall, Sacramento, Solano, Contra Costa,

- and San Francisco counties are predicted to have the greatest reduction in PM_{2.5} and O₃ mortality rates due to the adoption of GHG mitigation strategies. These patterns reflect a reduction in the emissions of criteria pollutants from construction projects but an increase in emissions from locations that produce new energy sources such as biofuels.
- 347 O_3 mortality is expected to increase from 260 deaths yr⁻¹ in the BAU scenario to 490 deaths yr⁻¹ in the GHG-Step
- 348 scenario due to the increase of O_3 in key populated areas (mainly greater Los Angeles). The largest number of O_3
- associated deaths (~25%) are estimated to occur in southern California due to the combination of high population and
- 351 Step scenario. The portion of air pollution deaths due to O_3 would increase from 2.4%–4% in the BAU scenario to
- 6.2%-10.1% in the GHG-Step scenario, but overall mortality still decreases due to the overwhelming effect of PM_{2.5}
- 353 reductions.

354 3.4 Benefits

355 Using a Value of a Statistical Life (VSL) equal to \$7.6M per avoided death (Industrial Economics 2011, Ostro 2015), 356 total costs for premature deaths in California equal ~\$47.0B-\$78.5B per year in the 2050 BAU emissions scenario, 357 with a savings of \$11.4B-\$20.4B per year in the GHG-Step emissions scenario (right axis Figure 7a). Los Angeles 358 County has the highest premature mortality associated with air pollution (25% of California) and thus the highest air 359 pollution mortality cost under all emissions scenarios. Air pollution damages in Los Angeles County are valued at 360 \$15.2B-\$25.5B per year in 2010, which decreases to \$12.1B-\$19.6B per year in 2050 BAU. Adoption of the GHG 361 mitigation strategies in California reduces air pollution damages in Los Angeles County by \$1.9B-\$3.6B per year 362 (17%-18% reduction). Other major counties also experience reduced air pollution costs under the GHG-Step scenario 363 relative to BAU, including San Diego (\$1.7B-\$2.9B per year reduction; 15%-16%), and Sacramento (\$0.70B-\$1.3B 364 per year reduction; 6.4%). However, the largest cost savings per capita are predicted to occur in and around counties 365 near San Francisco based on the higher mortality rate reductions.

366 3.5 Implications

367 The costs for reducing California GHG emissions 80% below 1990 levels by the year 2050 depend strongly on 368 numerous assumptions about external factors such as the global price of oil. Only a few California energy models are 369 available that attempt to calculate costs across the entire economy (Morrison, Eggert et al. 2014, Morrison, Yeh et al. 370 2015). Analysis produced by the E3 Pathways model (Williams, DeBenedictis et al. 2012, Energy+Environmental 371 Economics (E3) 2015) suggest that meeting an intermediate target (40% reduction in GHG emissions by the year 372 2030) using a non-optimized energy portfolio scenario would reduce personal income by $4.95B \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (-0.15%) and 373 lower overall state GDP by \$16.1B yr⁻¹ (-0.45%). Analysis produced by the CA-TIMES model (Yang, Yeh et al. 374 2014, Yang, Yeh et al. 2015) indicates that the optimized GHG-Step scenario is less expensive than the BAU 375 scenarios.

The air pollution analysis carried out in the current study predicts that the GHG-Step scenario will provide public
health benefits equivalent to \$11.4B-\$20.4B per year relative to the BAU scenario in 2050. The public health benefits

- described here have relatively tight uncertainty ranges with median values that are comparable to the more pessimistic
- 379 of these two cost estimates for the adoption of low carbon energy.

380 Figure 8 illustrates the public health savings associated with the GHG-Step scenario alongside the "fair-share" benefits 381 of Federal Programs (United States Office of Management and Budget 2016) that affect California. Fair-share benefits 382 are calculated using the fraction of US residents living in California multiplied by the total US benefits. The GHG-383 Step scenario yields benefits that are larger than those from of any program under the Federal Department of 384 Agriculture, Energy, Health & Human Services, Labor, and Transportation. Only the National Ambient Air Quality 385 Standards (NAAQS) under the US EPA have greater public health savings associated with reduced concentrations of 386 air pollution. As shown throughout Sect. 3, strategies to reduce GHG emissions have benefits that overlap with 387 NAAQS objectives and produce air quality improvements that that would otherwise be challenging or impossible to 388 achieve under the BAU scenario.

Taken together, the immediate and long-term savings associated with the GHG-Step scenario make a compelling casefor the shift to a low carbon energy system in California.

391 4 Conclusion

- 392 Measures to reduce GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels in California under the GHG-Step scenario altered 393 emissions of criteria pollutants (or their precursors) that generally brought nearly all regions of California into 394 compliance with the O₃ NAAQS. A few of the dense urban areas experienced minor ozone dis-benefits due to the 395 effects of reduced NO_x concentrations leading to slightly higher ozone concentrations. Additional O₃ abatement 396 strategies may be required to offset these minor effects, but the overall improvements in O_3 concentrations across the 397 rest of the state appear to largely solve California's O_3 non-attainment problem. The non-linear nature of the O_3 398 response to emissions changes emphasizes the need for the research community to include realistic chemical reaction 399 models as a function of location in mitigation exercises.
- 400 The GHG-Step scenario reduced PM_{2.5} concentrations across all regions of California through decreases in primary 401 emissions and secondary formation pathways. PM_{2.5} concentrations increased over ocean shipping lanes in the GHG-402 Step scenario but this has negligible health impact. The inland $PM_{2.5}$ reductions drive the majority of the mortality 403 reductions associated with the climate-friendly scenario. Total air pollution deaths in California decreased from 404 6,400–10,600 per year in the 2050 BAU scenario to 4,800–7,900 per year in the GHG-Step scenario. These avoided 405 deaths have a value of \$12.2B-\$20.5B per year using a Value of a Statistical Life equal to \$7.6M. The avoided 406 mortality benefits of low carbon energy adoption in California exceed the present-day "fair share" benefits of the 407 combined programs under the Federal Department of Agriculture, Energy, Health & Human Services, Labor, and 408 Transportation. Only the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) under the US EPA have greater public 409 health benefits than adoption of low carbon energy in California. These GHG measures and air quality programs 410 complement and enhance one another, since adoption of Low Carbon Energy helps achieve compliance with the 411 NAAQS that would otherwise be challenging or impossible to achieve under the BAU scenario. The public health 412 benefits described here are comparable in value to published "worst-case" cost estimates for the adoption of low

- 413 carbon energy in California. Combined with other potential long-term benefits, these immediate health benefits
- 414 strengthen the argument for the adoption of scenarios that reduce GHG emissions in California.

415 5 Acknowledgements

- 416 This study was funded by a National Center for Sustainable Transportation Dissertation Grant and the United States
- 417 Environmental Protection Agency under Grant No. R83587901. Although the research described in the article has
- 418 been funded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency it has not been subject to the Agency's required
- 419 peer and policy review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the reviews of the Agency and no official
- 420 endorsement should be inferred.

421 References

- 422 California Department of Finance. Demographic Research Unit (2014). P-2: State and County Population
- 423 Projections Race/Ethnicity and 5-Year Age Groups. 2010 through 2060. W. Schwarm. Sacramento, CA.
- 424 Carter, W. P. L. and G. Heo (2012). Development of Revised SAPRC Aromatic Mechanisms. Center for
- 425 Environmental Research and Technology, University of California, Riverside, California 92521.
- 426 Carter, W. P. L., G. Heo, D. R. Cocker III and S. Nakao (2012). SOA Formation: Chamber Study and Model
- 427 Development. Center for Environmental Research and Technology, University of California Riverside,
- 428 California 92521.
- 429 Clack, C. T. M., S. A. Qvist, J. Apt, M. Bazilian, A. R. Brandt, K. Caldeira, S. J. Davis, V. Diakov, M. A.
- 430 Handschy, P. D. H. Hines, P. Jaramillo, D. M. Kammen, J. C. S. Long, M. G. Morgan, A. Reed, V. Sivaram, J.
- 431 Sweeney, G. R. Tynan, D. G. Victor, J. P. Weyant and J. F. Whitacre (2017). "Evaluation of a proposal for
- 432 reliable low-cost grid power with 100% wind, water, and solar." Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 433 <u>Sciences of the United States of America</u> **114**(26): 6722-6727.
- 434 Energy+Environmental Economics (E3) (2015). California PATHWAYS: GHG Scenario Results (4/6/2015)
- 435 Garcia-Menendez, F., R. K. Saari, E. Monier and N. E. Selin (2015). "U.S. Air Quality and Health Benefits
- 436 from Avoided Climate Change under Greenhouse Gas Mitigation." <u>Environ Sci Technol</u> 49(13): 7580437 7588.
- 438 Herner, J. D., J. Aw, O. Gao, D. P. Chang and M. J. Kleeman (2005). "Size and composition distribution of
- 439 airborne particulate matter in northern California: I-particulate mass, carbon, and water-soluble ions."
- 440 Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association **55**(1): 30-51.
- 441 Hoek, G., R. M. Krishnan, R. Beelen, A. Peters, B. Ostro, B. Brunekreef and J. D. Kaufman (2013). "Long-
- term air pollution exposure and cardio- respiratory mortality: a review." <u>Environ Health</u> **12**(1): 43.
- Hu, J., H. Zhang, Q. Ying, S. H. Chen, F. Vandenberghe and M. J. Kleeman (2015). "Long-term particulate
- 444 matter modeling for health effect studies in California Part 1: Model performance on temporal and
- spatial variations." <u>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</u> **15**(6): 3445-3461.
- 446 Industrial Economics, I. (2011). Health and Welfare Benefits Analyses to Support the Second Section 812
- 447 Benefit-Cost Analysis of the Clean Air Act. Final Report. <u>Second Section 812 Prospective Analysis</u>, Office
- 448 of Air and Radiation, US Environmental Protection Agency: 164.
- Jacobson, M. Z., M. A. Delucchi, G. Bazouin, Z. A. F. Bauer, C. C. Heavey, E. Fisher, S. B. Morris, D. J. Y.
- 450 Piekutowski, T. A. Vencill and T. W. Yeskoo (2015). "100% clean and renewable wind, water, and sunlight
- 451 (WWS) all-sector energy roadmaps for the 50 United States." <u>Energy Environ. Sci.</u> 8(7): 2093-2117.

- 452 Jacobson, M. Z., M. A. Delucchi, M. A. Cameron and B. A. Frew (2017). "The United States can keep the
- 453 grid stable at low cost with 100% clean, renewable energy in all sectors despite inaccurate claims."
- 454 <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u> **114**(26): E5021-E5023.
- 455 Jacobson, M. Z., M. A. Delucchi, A. R. Ingraffea, R. W. Howarth, G. Bazouin, B. Bridgeland, K. Burkart, M.
- 456 Chang, N. Chowdhury, R. Cook, G. Escher, M. Galka, L. Han, C. Heavey, A. Hernandez, D. F. Jacobson, D.
- 457 S. Jacobson, B. Miranda, G. Novotny, M. Pellat, P. Quach, A. Romano, D. Stewart, L. Vogel, S. Wang, H.
- 458 Wang, L. Willman and T. Yeskoo (2014). "A roadmap for repowering California for all purposes with
- 459 wind, water, and sunlight." <u>Energy</u> **73**: 875-889.
- 460 Jerrett, M., R. T. Burnett, C. A. Pope, K. Ito, G. Thurston, D. Krewski, Y. Shi, E. Calle and M. Thun (2009).
- 461 "Long-Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality." <u>New England Journal of Medicine</u> **360**(11): 1085-1095.
- Kleeman, M. J. and G. R. Cass (2001). "A 3D Eulerian Source-Oriented Model for an Externally Mixed
 Aerosol." Environmental Science & Technology 35(24): 4834-4848.
- Krewski, D. (2009). "Evaluating the Effects of Ambient Air Pollution on Life Expectancy." <u>New England</u>
 Journal of Medicine **360**(4): 413-415.
- 466 Krewski, D., M. Jerrett, R. T. Burnet, R. Ma, E. Hughes, Y. Shi, M. C. Turner, C. A. P. III, G. Thursto, E. E.
- 467 Calle and a. M. J. Thun (2009). Extended Follow-Up and Spatial Analysis of the American Cancer Society
- Study Linking Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality. Boston, Massachusetts, Health Effects Institute(HEI).
- 470 Lepeule, J., F. Laden, D. Dockery and J. Schwartz (2012). "Chronic exposure to fine particles and
- 471 mortality: an extended follow-up of the Harvard Six Cities study from 1974 to 2009." <u>Environ Health</u>
 472 Perspect **120**(7): 965-970.
- 473 Mahmud, A., M. Hixson, J. Hu, Z. Zhao, S. H. Chen and M. J. Kleeman (2010). "Climate impact on airborne
- 474 particulate matter concentrations in California using seven year analysis periods." <u>Atmospheric</u>
- 475 <u>Chemistry and Physics</u> **10**(22): 11097-11114.
- 476 McKendry, I. G. (2006). Background concentrations of PM2.5 and Ozone in British Columbia, Canada,
- 477 British Coumbia Ministry of Environment.
- 478 Morrison, G., A. Eggert, S. Yeh, R. Isaac and C. Zapata (2014). Summary of California Climate Policy
- 479 Modeling Forum. D. University of California and I. o. T. Studies.
- 480 Morrison, G. M., S. Yeh, A. R. Eggert, C. Yang, J. H. Nelson, J. B. Greenblatt, R. Isaac, M. Z. Jacobson, J.
- 481 Johnston, D. M. Kammen, A. Mileva, J. Moore, D. Roland-Holst, M. Wei, J. P. Weyant, J. H. Williams, R.
- 482 Williams and C. B. Zapata (2015). "Comparison of low-carbon pathways for California." <u>Climatic Change</u>
 483 **131**(4): 545-557.
- 484 Ostro, B. (2004). Outdoor air pollution: assessing the environmental burden of disease at national and
- 485 local levels. Environmental Burden of Disease Series. A. Pruss-Ustun, D. Campbell-Lendrum, C. Corvalan
- 486 and A. Woodward. Geneva, World Health Organization. Protection.
- 487 Ostro, B. (2015). Personal communication on October 26, 2015.
- 488 RTI International (2015). BenMAP. Environmental Benefits Mapping and Analysis Program Community
- 489 Edition. User's Manual. Appendices. Research Triangle Park, NC, Office of Air Quality Planning and
- 490 Standards. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 491 Seinfeld, J. H. and S. N. Pandis (2006). <u>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate</u>
 492 Change. Hoboken, New Jersey, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 493 Shindell, D., J. C. I. Kuylenstierna, E. Vignati, R. van Dingenen, M. Amann, Z. Klimont, S. C. Anenberg, N.
- 494 Muller, G. Janssens-Maenhout, F. Raes, J. Schwartz, G. Faluvegi, L. Pozzoli, K. Kupiainen, L. Höglund-
- 495 Isaksson, L. Emberson, D. Streets, V. Ramanathan, K. Hicks, N. T. K. Oanh, G. Milly, M. Williams, V.
- 496 Demkine and D. Fowler (2012). "Simultaneously Mitigating Near-Term Climate Change and Improving
- 497 Human Health and Food Security." <u>Science</u> **335**(6065): 183.
- 498 U.S. Census Bureau (2011). 2010 Census Summary File 1. Table P12 SEX BY AGE.

- 499 United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and
- 500 Prevention (CDC) and National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) (2014). Compressed Mortality File
- 501 (CMF) 1999-2013 with ICD-10 Codes on on CDC WONDER Online Database. <u>The current release for years</u>
- 502 <u>1999 2013 is compiled from: CMF 1999-2013, Series 20, No. 2S, 2014.</u>
- 503 United States Office of Management and Budget, O. o. I. a. R. A. (2016). 2016 Draft Report to Congress
- 504 on the Benefits and Costs of Federal Regulations and Agency Compliance with the Unfunded Mandates 505 Reform Act.
- 506 University Corporation of Atmospheric Research. (2010, 12/05/2014). "WRF Model Version 3.2.1:
- 507 UPDATES." WRF Users Page. Retrieved 06/06/2016, 2016, from
- 508 www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/wrfv3.2/updates-3.2.1.html.
- van Aardenne, J., F. Dentener, R. Van Dingenen, G. Maenhout, E. Marmer, E. Vignati, P. Russ, L. Szabo
- and F. Raes (2010). Climate and air quality impacts of combined climate change and air pollution policy
- 511 scenarios. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union,
- 512 European Commission. Joint Research Centre. Institute for Environment and Sustainability.
- 513 Viscusi, W. K. and J. E. Aldy (2003). "The Value of a Statistical Life: A Critical Review of Market Estimates
- 514 Throughout the World." <u>Journal of Risk and Uncertainty</u> **27**(1): 5-76.
- 515 West, J. J., S. J. Smith, R. A. Silva, V. Naik, Y. Q. Zhang, Z. Adelman, M. M. Fry, S. Anenberg, L. W.
- 516 Horowitz and J. F. Lamarque (2013). "Co-benefits of mitigating global greenhouse gas emissions for
- 517 future air quality and human health." <u>Nature Climate Change</u> **3**(10): 885-889.
- 518 Williams, J. H., A. DeBenedictis, R. Ghanadan, A. Mahone, J. Moore, W. R. Morrow, 3rd, S. Price and M.
- 519 S. Torn (2012). "The technology path to deep greenhouse gas emissions cuts by 2050: the pivotal role of 520 electricity." <u>Science</u> **335**(6064): 53-59.
- 521 Yang, C., S. Yeh, K. Ramea, S. Zakerinia, D. McCollum, D. Bunch and J. Ogden (2014). Modeling Optimal
- 522 Transition Pathways to a Low Carbon Economy in California: California TIMES (CA-TIMES) Model. Davis,
- 523 CA., Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California, Davis.
- 524 Yang, C., S. Yeh, S. Zakerinia, K. Ramea and D. McCollum (2015). "Achieving California's 80% greenhouse
- 525 gas reduction target in 2050: Technology, policy and scenario analysis using CA-TIMES energy economic
- 526 systems model." <u>Energy Policy</u> **77**: 118-130.
- 527 Ying, Q., M. P. Fraser, R. J. Griffin, J. Chen and M. J. Kleeman (2007). "Verification of a source-oriented
- 528 externally mixed air quality model during a severe photochemical smog episode." <u>Atmospheric</u>
- 529 <u>Environment</u> **41**(7): 1521-1538.
- 530 Zapata, C., C. Yang, J. Ogden and M. Kleeman (2017). "Estimating Criteria Pollutant Emissions Using the
- California Regional Multisector Air Quality Emissions (CA-REMARQUE) Model version 1.0." <u>Geoscientific</u>
 <u>Model Development</u> in review.
- 533 Zhang, H., G. Chen, J. Hu, S. H. Chen, C. Wiedinmyer, M. Kleeman and Q. Ying (2014). "Evaluation of a
- seven-year air quality simulation using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF)/Community
- 535 Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) models in the eastern United States." <u>Sci Total Environ</u> **473-474**: 275-285.
- 536
- 537

538 Figures and Tables



Figure 1: Process diagram of sequence of stages for modelling and analysis.





545 Figure 2: (a) Population weighted 8-h average ozone concentration by region, (b) Population weighted PM_{2.5} mass

546 concentration by region. Averages are shown for the winter, summer, and annual time periods in the year 2054. SJV, SD,
547 SF, SC represent the San Joaquin Valley, San Diego, San Francisco, and South Coast respectively. P-value <0.0001 was

547 SF, SC represent the San Joaquin Valley, San Diego, San Francisco, and South Coast respectively. I value <0.0001 was
 548 found for each difference between concentrations calculated with the BAU emissions (white bars) versus the GHG-Step

549 emissions (gray bars).



Figure 3: (a) Annual average of daily 8-h average ozone concentration (ppb) under the BAU scenario, (b) change in 8-h average ozone concentrations (ppb) under the GHG-Step scenario, and p-value significance level of the difference between concentrations predicted using the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. All simulations for the year 2054. Both 24 km resolution results and the finer 4 km resolution results are shown, with the finer, smaller Southern California or Central/Northern California domains are overlaid upon the coarse California domain results.

Basin	County or State- wide	4th highest 8-h O ₃ Conc.			# of Days Exceeding 8-h std.		
		(ppb)			of 70 ppb		
		2010	2050 BAU	2050 GHG- Step	2010	2050 BAU	2050 GHG- Step
North Central Coast (NCC)	Monterey	75	72	64	12	3	0
	San Benito	97	75	65	44	31	0
	Santa Cruz	81	72	67	17	15	0
South Coast (SC)	Los Angeles	95	69	70	45	0	3
	Orange	92	63	70	43	0	4
	Riverside	123	80	79	62	47	43
	San Bernardino	121	80	82	63	45	49
South Central coast (SCC)	Ventura	83	66	63	46	0	0
San Diego (SD)	San Diego	93	68	67	48	1	2
San Francisco (SF)	Alameda	65	65	65	1	0	0
	Contra Costa	73	67	64	14	0	0
	Marin	70	65	64	2	0	0
	Napa	78	72	63	20	4	0
	San Francisco	52	53	63	0	0	0
	San Mateo	45	56	61	0	0	0
	Santa Clara	69	68	67	3	2	1
	Solano	82	71	64	36	10	0
	Sonoma	74	66	58	7	0	0
San Joaquin Valley (SJV)	Fresno	98	70	63	50	3	0
	Kern	111	68	60	66	1	0
	Kings	103	68	61	57	2	0
	Merced	98	71	63	59	5	0
	San Joaquin	95	72	65	55	13	0
	Stanislaus	100	71	65	63	7	0
	Tulare	112	71	62	70	6	0
Sacramento Valley (SV)	Sacramento	100	75	64	59	22	0
California (CA)	State-wide	87	66	66	42	0	0

Table 1: the 4th highest maximum daily 8-h average ozone concentration, and number of days exceeding the standard during June-August months. Counties with 4th highest 8-h ozone concentrations \geq 70 ppb are shaded in gray. See Table S4 for 2010 O₃ designation values and areas.



Figure 4: Number of days in the months of June-August 2054 in which the county population-weighted daily maximum 8h average ozone concentration exceeds the 8-h ozone NAAQS of 70 ppb for each current and future year scenario.



Figure 5: (a) Annual average PM_{2.5} mass concentration (μ g m⁻³) under the BAU scenario, (b) change in PM_{2.5} mass concentrations (μ g m⁻³) under the GHG-Step scenario, and p-value significance level of the difference between concentrations predicted using the BAU and GHG-Step scenarios. All simulations for the year 2054. Both 24 km resolution results and the finer 4 km resolution results are shown, with the finer, smaller Southern California or Central/Northern California domains are overlaid upon the coarse California domain results.



Figure 6: (a) PM_{2.5} and O₃ long-term exposure deaths and (b) mortality rate by county, year, and emission scenario, based on combined Krewski et al. 2009 all-cause deaths associated with PM_{2.5} risk ratio (RR) and Jerrett et al. 2009 respiratory deaths associated with ozone RR.



Figure 7: (a) deaths and cost and (b) death rate for the high-resolution modeling domains covering 93 % of California's population. PM_{2.5} damages are estimated using methods derived by Krewski et al. 2009 (blue bars) and Hoek et al. 2013 (gray bars). Ozone damages are estimated using the methods derived by Jerrett et al. 2009 (orange bars). Only bars with the same color should be compared between 2010, 2050 BAU, and 2050 GHG-Step. The "2050 Diff" category shows the difference between the 2050 GHG-Step and BAU scenarios.



Figure 8: Annual "fair-share" benefits of Federal programs that affect California in 2016. Fair-share fraction of US total is proportional to fraction of US population living in California. "Low Carbon Energy" represents the difference between the 2050 GHG-Step-BAU scenarios calculated in the present study.