Air quality modelling in the summer over the Eastern Mediterranean using WRF/Chem: Chemistry and aerosol mechanisms intercomparison

George K. Georgiou¹, Theodoros Christoudias², Yiannis Proestos¹, Jonilda Kushta¹, Panos Hadjinicolaou¹, and Jos Lelieveld^{3,1}

¹Energy, Environment and Water Research Center, The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia Cyprus
 ²Computation-based Science and Technology Research Centre (CaSToRC), The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus
 ³Atmospheric Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

Correspondence to: George K. Georgiou (g.georgiou@cyi.ac.cy)

Abstract. We employ the WRF/Chem model to study summertime air pollution, the intense photochemical activity and their impact on air quality over the Eastern Mediterranean. We utilize three nested domains with horizontal resolution of 80km-16km-4km, with the finest grid focusing on the island of Cyprus, where the CYPHEX campaign took place in July, 2014. Anthropogenic emissions are based on the EDGAR HTAP global emission inventory, while dust and biogenic emissions are

- 5 calculated online. Three simulations utilizing the CBMZ-MOSAIC, MOZART-MOSAIC, and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM gas-phase and aerosol mechanisms are performed. The results are compared with measurements from a dense observational network of 14 ground stations in Cyprus. The model simulates T_{2m} , P_{surf} , and WD_{10m} accurately, with minor differences in WS_{10m} between model and observations at coastal and mountainous stations attributed to limitations in the representation of the complex topography in the model. It is shown that the south-eastern part of Cyprus is mostly affected by emissions from
- 10 within the island, under the dominant (60%) westerly flow during summertime. Clean maritime air from the Mediterranean can reduce concentrations of local air pollutants over the region during westerlies. Ozone concentrations are overestimated by all three mechanisms (9% \leq NMB \leq 23%) with the smaller mean bias (4.25 ppbV) obtained by the RADM2-MADE/SORGAM mechanism. Differences in ozone concentrations can be attributed to the VOC treatment by the three mechanisms. The diurnal variability of pollution and ozone precursors is not captured (hourly correlation coefficients for O₃ \leq 0.29). This might be
- 15 attributed to the underestimation of NO_x concentrations by local emissions by up to 50%. For the fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$), the lowest mean bias (9 μ gm⁻³) is obtained with the RADM2-MADE/SORGAM mechanism, with overestimates in sulphate and ammonium aerosols. Overestimation of sulphate aerosols by this mechanism may be linked to the SO₂ oxidation in clouds. The MOSAIC aerosol mechanism overestimates $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations by up to 22 μ gm⁻³ due to a more pronounced dust component compared to the other two mechanisms, mostly influenced by the dust inflow from the global model. We
- 20 conclude that all three mechanisms are very sensitive to boundary conditions from the global model for both gas-phase and aerosols pollutants, in particular dust and ozone.

1 Introduction

Many years of intense population growth have rendered the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East (EMME) region into a very densely populated area with more than 350 million inhabitants over an area with a 2,000 km radius. Strong industrialisation and a lack of air pollution policy in the countries in the region have resulted, in recent decades, in an increase of anthropogenic

5 emissions to the atmosphere. Compared to other regions in the Northern Hemisphere, background concentrations of important trace gases and aerosols over the EMME region are very high (Lelieveld et al., 2002), whilst the Mediterranean basin is found to be the region with the highest background ozone (O_3) levels in Europe. Several locations in the Middle East are characterised by much higher nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) column densities than major cities in Europe (Lelieveld et al., 2009).

The Eastern Mediterranean atmospheric O_3 concentrations are characterised by seasonal variability with the maxima ob-

- 10 served during the summer (Kouvarakis et al., 2000; Gerasopoulos et al., 2005; Kalabokas et al., 2008; Kleanthous et al., 2014) due to intense photochemical activity and the prevailing meteorological conditions. The collocation of the Southeastern Europe/Balkan Peninsula high pressure system and the Asian monsoon low pressure regime to the east causes northerly circulation over the Aegean Sea that sheers to north-westerly over the Eastern Mediterranean (Tyrlis et al., 2013; Anagnostopoulou et al., 2014). As a result, the EMME region is affected by near-surface transport of polluted air masses from various distance
- sources such as Near-Asia, East and Central Europe (Lelieveld et al., 2002; Gerasopoulos et al., 2005; Ladstätter-Weißenmayer et al., 2007; Kalabokas et al., 2008; Kanakidou et al., 2011). Kleanthous et al. (2014) reported that long-range transport (LRT) has important impacts on the air quality over the island of Cyprus and it is directly linked to high O_3 levels. Local precursor emissions such as nitrogen oxide (NO) and carbon monoxide (CO) have been found to account only for 6% of the observed O_3 levels.
- 20 Downward transport from the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere associated with enhanced subsidence and limited horizontal divergence has been found to be another important mechanism, which increases the already elevated O_3 concentrations over the EMME region (Zanis et al., 2014). During the summer period, the contribution of tropopause folds in midtropospheric and lower tropospheric O_3 concentrations is more significant over the southeastern Mediterranean (Akritidis et al., 2016). LRT also enhances carbon monoxide (CO) surface concentrations, with 60% to 80% of the boundary-layer CO over the
- 25 Mediterranean attributed to polluted air masses originating from western and eastern Europe, while the Eastern Mediterranean is mainly affected by emissions from Ukraine and Russia (Lelieveld et al., 2002).

During the second phase of the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII), the nine working groups using the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with chemistry (WRF/Chem) operationally reported an overall underestimation of the annual surface ozone (O_3) levels reaching up to 18% over Europe and 22% over North America (?)

30 with autumn overestimation and winter underestimation. The meteorological and chemical configurations of the different groups were found to have a considerable effect on simulated O_3 levels. Model performance was strongly influenced from the boundary conditions, especially during autumn and winter. Regarding particulate matter 2.5 micrometer or less in diameter (PM_{2.5}) concentrations, large overestimations over Europe were reported (Im et al., 2015). Tuccella et al. (2012) compared WRF/Chem model output against ground-based observations over the European domain for the year 2007 with time-invariant boundary conditions. The model simulated temperature satisfactorily with a small negative bias, but wind speed was highly overpredicted. O_3 daily maxima were underestimated, while mean O_3 concentrations during spring (fall) were underestimated (overestimated). Ritter et al. (2013) applied the model over a Swiss domain for two years on a 2km horizontal resolution. The model reproduced well temperature and solar radiation, but failed to capture short-term peaks in pollutant concentrations for

several days. 5

> In the literature various gas-phase chemistry and aerosol mechanisms have shown different behaviour in terms of predicting the atmospheric concentrations of pollutants over specific regions. Gupta and Mohan (2015) compared the Carbon Bond Mechanism (CBM-Z) and the Regional Atmospheric Chemical Model (RACM) gas-phase chemistry mechanisms over the mega city of Delhi, India on a horizontal grid resolution of 10 km for the innermost model domain. Results showed that both

- mechanisms tend to overestimate O_3 concentrations. It was noted that the use of a finer grid resolution may improve the over-10 all model performance. Mar et al. (2016) evaluated the performance of the Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM2) and MOZART-4 gas-phase chemistry mechanisms on a horizontal grid resolution of 45km over Europe. Simulated O_3 consecrations by MOZART-4 were found to be up to $20\mu gm^{-3}$ higher than RADM2 during the summer due to a higher photochemical O₃ production rate. On the other hand, RADM2 showed a negative bias for the whole year, while both mechanisms slightly
- underestimated nitrogen oxide (NO_x) concentrations. Knote et al. (2014) performed box-model intercomparison of several 15 formulations for tropospheric gas-phase chemistry under idealized meteorological conditions in the framework of the second phase of AQMEII. They found significant variabilities in the prediction of gaseous pollutants and key radicals and they highlight that the choice of gas-phase mechanism is a crucial component in modelling studies. Balzarini et al. (2015) showed that predicted total PM mass concentrations as well as aerosol subcomponents vary between the MADE/SORGAM and MOSAIC
- aerosol mechanisms. Differences were attributed to the approach each mechanism uses to simulate the aerosol size distribution 20 (modal or sectional bin) and the gas-phase chemistry mechanisms these are coupled with in the WRF/Chem model since they affect the concentrations of aerosol precursors.

A very limited number of studies have dealt with on-line air quality modelling over the EMME region, with apparent limitations. Safieddine et al. (2014) employed the WRF/Chem model to study the tropospheric O_3 over the Mediterranean 25 during the summer season on a horizontal grid resolution of 50km. The coarse model horizontal grid resolution was proposed by the authors as a possible reason for model biases in their study. Other studies in the region that utilize coupled meteorological and chemistry models are usually short term. For example, Bossioli et al. (2016) carried out WRFC/Chem simulations for a limited time period focusing on the contribution of biomass burning on PM levels. However they reported an increase in O_3 levels by 50% when boundary conditions from the MOZART-4 global model were used. Kushta et al. (2014) highlighted the importance of natural aerosols when simulating the photochemical state of the atmosphere during a dust episode in April 2004.

30

In this study we employ and intercompare three coupled gas-phase chemistry and aerosol mechanisms to study the longrange transport of air pollutants and the intense photochemical activity over the Eastern Mediterranean with focus on the island of Cyprus, over the summer period, using high temporal and spatial resolution down to 4 km. During July 2014, the CYprus Photochemical Experiment (CYPHEX) Campaign took place near Ineia, Paphos, a background measurement site on

the western coast of Cyprus, to investigate the photochemistry and air mass transport of the eastern Mediterranean, providing us with an extensive observation data set.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we briefly describe the three gas-phase chemistry and aerosols mechanisms used in the simulations, the basic model configuration including the model domains, the common parameterizations and the

5

emission data used. In Section 3 we present the results from sensitivity tests dealing with the effects of boundary conditions on the concentrations of gas-phase pollutants and aerosols (Sec. 3.1). We examine the ability of the model to predict the basic meteorological parameters (Sec. 3.2), the concentrations of gas-phase pollutants (Sec. 3.3) and fine particulate matter (Sec. 3.4). Our conclusions are given in Sec. 4.

2 WRF/Chem model and observations

10 2.1 Gas-phase chemistry and aerosol mechanisms

The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model is a state-of-the-art regional meteorological model. Various gas-phase chemistry and aerosol mechanisms have been implemented into the WRF model, creating the on-line WRF/Chem model (Grell et al., 2005). In this study, we employ WRF/Chem version 3.61 with three widely-used gas-phase chemistry and two aerosol mechanisms to simulate air quality over the Eastern Mediterranean:

- 15 CBMZ-MOSAIC (CM) The lumped CBM-Z chemical mechanism (Zaveri and Peters, 1999) is based on the Carbon Bond Mechanism (CBM-IV) developed by Gery et al. (1989). The Carbon Bond Mechanism includes 73 chemical species and 237 reactions. CBM-Z is coupled with the Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC) developed by Zaveri et al. (2008). MOSAIC uses a sectional bin approach for the representation of the aerosol size distribution. In the WRF/Chem model the user can choose between four and eight size bins which are defined by their lower and upper dry particle diameters. In both cases, only one bin is dedicated to aerosols with diameter between 2.5 and 10 μm. Therefore, when four aerosol bins are used, three bins are dedicated to aerosols less than 2.5 μm in diameter and when eight aerosol bins are used, seven bins are dedicated to aerosols with diameters within this range. Since this study focuses on the total PM_{2.5} mass concentrations and not on detailed aerosol microphysics or effects on clouds, it is sufficient to use the four-bin option to reduce computational complexity.
- 25 MOZART-MOSAIC (MM) The MOZART gas chemical mechanism, developed by Emmons et al. (2010), is also used coupled with the MOSAIC aerosol scheme. It includes 85 chemical species and 196 reactions and is consistent with the chemistry used in the global model that provides the chemical boundary conditions for our simulations. The MOZART mechanism has been widely used with WRF/Chem for simulations outside Europe but only a limited number of studies have applied it over the European domain.
- 30 RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (RMS) The second generation Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM2) chemical mechanism for regional air quality modelling (Stockwell et al., 1990), includes 59 chemical species and 157 reactions. RADM2

Table 1. Physics options used, common in all simulations

Atmospheric Process	Scheme
Cloud microphysics	Morrison double moment (Morrison et al., 2005)
Cumulus parametrization	Grell 3D (Grell, 1993, 2002)
Land-surface physics	Noah Land Surface Model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)
Longwave radiation	RRTM scheme (Mlawer et al., 1997)
Photolysis	Fast-J Photolysis
Planetary Boundary Layer	Yonsei University PBL (Hong et al., 2006)
Shortwave radiation	RRTM scheme (Mlawer et al., 1997)

is a widely used mechanism over the European domain and it is coupled with the Modal Aerosol Dynamics for Europe (MADE) (Ackermann et al., 1998). MADE uses a modal approach for aerosol treatment and is coupled with the Secondary Organic Aerosol Model (SORGAM) (Schell et al., 2001). SORGAM is capable of simulating secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation including the production of low-volatility products and their subsequent gas/particle partition-

5

2.2 Model configuration

ing.

All our simulations are conducted with the same model physics configuration (Table 1) to facilitate intercomparison. We modified the WRF/Chem v3.6.1 code to take into account dust particles in the accumulation size mode (0.1 µgm⁻³ ≤ particle size ≤ 2.5 µgm⁻³) for the calculation of the total PM mass concentration in the RMS mechanism. Three nested domains are used, as shown in Figure 1 (left panel). The outermost domain (d1) with a horizontal grid resolution of 80km extends from 16° to 4° north and from 10° west to 50° east in order to include a large part of Europe and the Black Sea region which have a significant contribution to the pollution of air masses that reach the EMME region, as well as a large part of the Sahara and Middle East deserts in order to utilize the dust emission schemes included in the WRF/Chem model. The second domain (d2) with a horizontal grid resolution of 16 km is located over the Levantine Basin, including all the surrounding major urban centres. The third innermost domain (d3) is located over the island of Cyprus (Figure 1, right panel) with a horizontal grid resolution of 4km allowing for a more accurate representation of the state of the atmosphere over the complex terrain of the island close to the surface observation stations. The WRF/Chem model uses a terrain-following hydrostatic-pressure vertical coordinate system. In our study 29 layers are used from the surface up to 50 hPa. The first layer on average extends to a height of 70 m. Control experiments that were conducted during the model set-up, showed that increasing the number of vertical

20 layers (in the lowest 70 m or throughout the vertical extent of the atmosphere) does not significantly alter the concentrations of pollutants near the surface, at the station locations, due to mixing within the boundary layer.

Meteorological initial and boundary conditions are provided by the Global Forecast System (GFS) on a horizontal grid resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$. Time-variant chemical boundary conditions are provided from the global Model for OZone And



Figure 1. Model simulation domains, terrain elevation, mean wind direction at 850 hPa for July 2014, and the location of the Finokalia station (left) and meteorological stations (squares), air pollution stations (circles), CYPHEX Campaign (star), mean nighttime (red vectors), and mean daytime (green vectors) wind direction at 10m (right). Monitoring station details are shown in Table 2

Related chemical Tracers (MOZART-4; Emmons et al. (2010)). The MOZART-4 model output datasets are available on a horizontal grid resolution of $1.9^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ and interpolated in space every six hours to our model domain and the chemical species of each mechanism. Biogenic emissions are calculated on-line by the the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1) by Guenther et al. (2012). We use the Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA) dust scheme that

- 5 was developed based on the Marticorena and Bergametti (1995) dust emission scheme in the Goddard Global Ozone Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport (GOCART) model (Chin et al., 2000). The EDGAR-HTAP (Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research for Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution) Version 2, compiled by the European Commission, Joint Research Center (JRC)/Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (LRTAP-Wiki, 2014) is utilized. This dataset includes emissions of gaseous pollutants sulphur dioxide (SO₂), NO_x, CO, non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) and
- 10 ammonia (NH₃) and particulate matter with carbonaceous speciation (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, black carbon (BC) and organic carbon (OC)) from anthropogenic and biomass burning sectors (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2012). PM_{2.5} is a subset of PM₁₀ and includes BC, OC, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , crustal material, metal, and other dust particles. The dataset used in this study is available in $0.1^o \times 0.1^o$ emission grid maps for the year 2010 and can be downloaded from the EDGAR JRC website per year, per substance, and per sector. Anthropogenic emissions were interpolated in space and time to produce daily emissions using the
- 15 anthro_emiss utility (Kumar, 2017).

Table 2. Air	pollution	monitoring	and	meteoro	logical	stations.
	1	U U			<u> </u>	

Code	Station Name	lat (°)	$lon (^{\circ})$	alt (m)	Measurements
СҮРН	CYPHEX Campaign	34.96	32.39	629	O_3 , NO_x , CO , SO_2 , $PM_{2.5}$, $T2$, $PSFC$, WS_{10}
AQ01	Ayia Marina	35.04	33.06	532	$\mathrm{O}_3, \mathrm{NO}_x, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{SO}_2, \mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$
AQ02	Cavo Greco	34.96	34.08	17	O_3, NO_x
AQ03	Ineia Village	34.96	32.38	664	O ₃ , NO _x
AQ04	Stavrovouni	34.88	33.44	512	O ₃ , NO _x
AQ05	Troodos	34.92	32.88	1745	O ₃ , NO _x
AQ06	Finokalia	35.32	25.67	250	O ₃
MET01	Athalassa	35.14	33.40	158	T2, PSFC, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET02	Larnaca	34.87	33.62	2	T2, PSFC, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET03	Limassol	34.87	33.62	3	T2, PSFC, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET04	Pafos	34.72	32.48	10	T2, PSFC, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET05	Paralimni	35.06	33.97	68	T2, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET06	Polis	35.04	32.44	22	T2, PSFC, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET07	Prodromos	34.95	32.83	1401	T2, WS_{10} , WD_{10}
MET08	Zygi	34.75	33.33	40	T2, WS_{10} , WD_{10}

2.3 Observational data

The model output is compared against observational data from a dense station network which spans the island of Cyprus and covers a large variety of monitoring sites, including sea-side and mountainous areas. Specifically, the modelled meteorology is compared against meteorological hourly observations from eight ground stations operated by the Cyprus Department of Meteorology (Figure 1, squares), and meteorological data from the CYPHEX campaign which took place from July 7th to July 31st, 2014, near the Ineia village (Figure 1, star). Modelled pollutant concentrations are compared against observational data from five background air quality monitoring ground stations operated by the Cyprus Department of Labour Inspection - DLI (Figure 1, circles) and data from the CYPHEX campaign. The Finokalia station in Crete, which is part of the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) network is used as a reference station to discuss O₃ discrepancies on measurements

10 over Cyprus. The frequency of all air pollutant concentrations measurements is hourly, except for $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations measurements by the CYPHEX Campaign, which are provided every six hours. The location of the air pollution and meteorology monitoring stations and the measurements carried out at each station are given in detail in Table 2.



Figure 2. O_3 observed and modelled concentrations using the RADM2 chemical mechanism with 100% (blue markers) and 70% (red markers) O_3 inflow from MOZART-4 (left), and O_3 observed and modelled concentrations using the CBMZ chemical mechanism with (blue markers) and without (red markers) dust influx from MOZART-4 (right)

3 Results and discussion

5

3.1 Boundary conditions sensitivity tests

Previous studies have shown that lateral boundary conditions effect the modelled near surface O_3 concentrations. Akritidis et al. (2013) highlighted the importance of time variant chemical boundary conditions on O_3 concentrations over Europe. Kushta et al. (2017) (accepted for publication) showed that chemical boundary conditions from the MOZART-4 global model have an important effect on the modelled concentrations over the region of study. More specifically, in their study, an important O_3 overestimation by the WRF/Chem model was attributed to the effect of chemical boundary conditions. When the O_3 inflow from the boundaries was reduced by 30%, model results were closer to observations. Based on these results and the MOZART-4 model evaluation (Emmons et al., 2010), O_3 inflow from the global model was reduced by 30% in our study.

- Figure 2 (left panel) shows the observed O_3 concentrations at the five air quality stations and the CYPHEX campaign and the modelled concentrations from: a) the base run using the RMS mechanism (blue color) and b) a simulation where initial O_3 concentrations and O_3 inflow from the global model were reduced by 30% (red color). The average NMB decreased from 21% to 9% when O_3 from the global model was reduced. Similar results appear at the Finokalia background station, where NMB was reduced from 18% to 7% when O_3 inflow from the global model was reduced by 30%. The results for the CM and MM
- 15 mechanisms are analogous. O_3 overestimation due to the effect of boundary conditions from the MOZART-4 model was also reported by Abdallah et al. (2016). In their study, the Polyphemus chemical transport model was found to highly overestimate O_3 concentrations over Lebanon when using boundary conditions from the MOZART-4 model. Bossioli et al. (2016) also reported a significant contribution of boundary conditions over the O_3 levels in the area. Therefore, O_3 from the global model was reduced by 30% for the simulations of this study.
- 20 Since dust is an important parameter of air quality in the region of study and important dust sources are not included in our outermost domain, dust inflow from the global model was taken into account in our simulations. The effect of dust from



Figure 3. Observed (grey markers) and modelled $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from the CM (blue line), MM (red line), and RMS (green line) mechanisms. The dashed blue line represents the CM simulation without dust influx from the global model. All model simulation output and observations are given in hourly resolution, expect for the CYPHEX Campaign measurements which are provided in 6-hourly resolution

the boundaries by the MOZART-4 on the WRF/Chem $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations is examined. In the CM mechanism much higher $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations than those observed occur between July 11 to July 13. To investigate this discrepancy we performed two CM simulations (Figure 3) with (continuous blue line) and without (dashed blue line) dust influx from the boundaries. Incoming dust results in an increase of the order of 19% in $PM_{2.5}$ modelled concentrations during the whole study period. This increase is more pronounced from July 11th to July 13th (40%). Dust presence also influences O₃ concentrations though aerosol-radiation feedbacks and their impact on photolysis rates. Figure 2 (right panel) shows the observed and modelled O₃ concentrations with (blue markers) and without (red markers) dust influx from the global model. The inclusion of dust particles results in a decrease of 10% in modelled O₃ concentrations due to changes in solar radiation through aerosol-radiation interactions.

5

The comparison of model to station data is performed using the first free model layer. The actual altitude of four stations, located in regions with very complex terrain, was found to differ from the model terrain height (CYPHEX campaign, Ineia village, Troodos air quality monitoring station, and the nearby Prodromos meteorological station). As a test, we performed the comparison using modelled concentrations taking into account the actual altitude of the stations. This resulted only in a slightly better agreement in the predicted surface pressure at the CYPHEX campaign and the Prodromos station. Results in all other locations were not influenced because of the mixing within the model boundary layer.

3.2 Meteorology

We evaluate the model performance regarding basic meteorological parameters. Statistical metrics are derived by comparing the output of the three model simulations to hourly measurements at ground stations. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (R), Mean Bias (MB), Normalized Mean Bias (NMB), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) for temperature at 2m (T_{2m}), wind speed

- 5 at 10m (WS_{10m}), and surface pressure (P_{surf}) averaged over all stations are shown on Table 3. Meteorology statistical metrics for individual stations can be found in the supplement (Table S1). Modelled T_{2m} is in good agreement with observations (NMB = 2% to 3%) with similar RSME values (2.72 to 2.78 °C) for all three mechanisms. The diurnal cycle of T_{2m} is reproduced at the majority of the stations (R ~ 0.66). The model though does not capture the T_{2m} diurnal variability at the Larnaca meteorological station (R < 0.20). The station is very close to the sea and the Larnaca Salt Lake that might influence the 10 thermal circulation in the area
- 10 thermal circulation in the area.

The model tends to overestimate WS_{10m} at the majority of the stations by an average of 1.71 to 1.83 m/s (R ~ 0.46) for all three mechanisms. Mar et al. (2016) and Zhang et al. (2013) also reported WS_{10m} overpredictions by the WRF model over the Mediterranean. The latter study attributed this model behaviour to the poor representation of surface drag exerted by the unresolved topography (mountains, hills and valleys) and other smaller scale terrain features.

- 15 Local circulation is successfully predicted by the model. Figure 1 (right) shows the average 10 m wind direction from 12:00 to 17:00 LST in green and from 00:00 to 05:00 LST in red color. The model simulates sea breezes during daytime and katabatic winds during the night in agreement with observations. The windroses at the Athalassa station from the observational data (top left panel) and the three simulations show that wind direction is reproduced quite well by the model (Figure 4). The inland dominating wind direction is mainly westerly and nort-westerly with frequency of occurrence 60% and 20% respectively.
- 20 Similar results appear for the majority of the stations (not shown here). Some discrepancies between model and observations at the Prodromos station are attributed to the complex mountainous topography of the Troodos area. Both model simulations and observational data reveal predominant south-westerly winds at the southern coastline of the island during day and night. The summertime general circulation pattern over the Eastern Mediterranean with predominant northerly and westerly winds, as well as the anticyclonic flow over Western Africa (Figure 1, left panel) are also resembled by the model.
- There is very good agreement between observed and modelled P_{surf} with high hourly correlation coefficients ($R \ge 0.87$) and normalized mean bias of 1%. Some negligible discrepancies exist between the three mechanisms. The differences in the meteorological components are attributed to the inclusion of the aerosol-radiation feedbacks in the simulations. The model performance regarding aerosol concentrations is discussed later in the paper.



Figure 4. Windroses (monthly mean wind speed and direction at 10 m) at the Athalassa Meteorological station (top left), and from the CM (top right), MM (bottom left), and RMS (bottom right) simulations

Table 3. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (R), Mean Bias (MB), Normalized Mean Bias (NMB), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of hourly values of temperature at 2m, wind speed at 10m, and surface pressure for the CBMZ-MOSAIC (CM), MOZART-MOSAIC (MM), and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (RMS) mechanisms, averaged over all stations, with O₃ inflow (reduced by 30%), and dust inflow from the boundaries. Hourly data availability exceeds 90% at all stations.

	2m Temperature (°C)					m Wind	d Speed (m/s)	Surface Pressure (hPa)				
Mechanism	R	MB	NMB	RMSE	R	MB	NMB	RMSE	R	MB	NMB	RMSE	
СМ	0.66	-0.59	-0.02	2.73	0.47	1.76	1.28	2.77	0.88	3.46	0.01	12.18	
MM	0.66	-0.76	-0.03	2.78	0.47	1.83	1.32	2.82	0.87	3.67	0.01	12.08	
RMS	0.67	-0.63	-0.02	2.72	0.46	1.71	1.26	2.74	0.87	3.41	0.01	12.24	

3.3 Main gaseous pollutants

30

Observed average monthly O_3 concentrations for July 2014 fall within the climatological averaged summer values given by (Kleanthous et al., 2014). In their study, July monthly means of O_3 concentrations over a period of 15 years, were found to be 54.3 \pm 4.7 ppbV over all stations. The mean observed value during our simulation period at the DLI stations is 52 ppbV.

- 5 The mean modelled values vary from 56.2 ppbV (NMB = 9%) in the RMS mechanism to 63 ppbV (NMB = 22%) and 65.2 ppbV (NMB = 23%) in the CM and MM mechanism respectively showing a strong overestimation of the latter two. Figure 5 shows the average O₃ ground-level modelled concentrations for the three mechanisms for the outermost domain (Europe Mediterranean and North Africa). Differences between the three mechanisms are more pronounced over southern Europe and the Mediterranean. Over these regions O₃ concentrations predicted by the MM mechanisms are up to 10 ppbV and 20 ppbV
- 10 higher compared to the CM and RMS mechanisms respectively.

The CYPHEX campaign station has been excluded from the analysis of O_3 . This station gives significantly higher average monthly O_3 concentration (71.40 ppbV) that deviates from the climatological and observed mean, even though the station of the campaign was located only a few hundred meters away from the Ineia site of DLI (51.93 ppbV). A direct comparison between these two stations is shown on Figure S1 in the supplement. We further investigated this deviation by comparing

- 15 with the mean monthly O₃ for July 2014 at the Finokalia station (from the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme - EMEP) that reaches 52.43 ppbV. We choose Finokalia because it is located on the island of Crete (approximately 600km west of Ineia) with no pollution sources in between (Figure 1, left panel, red asterisk). Thus Ineia and Finokalia have similar pollution features, being subject to air mass transports from eastern Europe.
- Table 4 shows the statistical performance of the three gas-phase and aerosol mechanisms against hourly observations from
 six ground stations. The CM and MM mechanisms significantly overestimate O₃ concentrations with normalized mean biases of 22% and and 23% respectively. A normalized mean bias of 9% appears for the RMS mechanism which corresponds to 4.25 ppbV. This mechanism shows the lowest RMSE (10.77 ppbV) compared to CM and MM (14.79 ppbV and 15.30 ppbV respectively), which is a significant improvement compared to the global MOZART-4 model (NMB = 37%, RMSE = 20.96 ppbV). A further improvement of the order of 3% is shown when moving from the coarse to the finer WRF/Chem domain on O₃ concentrations (supplement Table S2, Figure S4).

Air quality modelling studies over the Eastern Mediterranean in the literature mainly focus on O_3 . During the second phase of the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII), the majority of the modelling groups using the RMS and CM mechanisms with the WRF/Chem model also reported O_3 concentrations overestimation over the Eastern Mediterranean (Im et al., 2015). On the contrary, Mar et al. (2016) reported an underestimation of about 5 ppbV on summertime O_3 concentrations WRF/Chem model using the RMS mechanism.

Our sensitivity tests showed that high $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations affect the O_3 concentrations through the aerosol-radiation feedbacks by altering the radiation budget and as a consequence, the photochemical activity and the concentration of secondary pollutants. Specifically, when the dust inflow from the boundaries for the CM mechanism was not taken into account, O_3 concentrations at the stations locations increased by 10%. Since the CM mechanism shows the higher $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations



Figure 5. O₃ monthly average ground-level concentrations for CM (left), MM (center), and RMS (right) mechanisms

5

and relatively high O_3 concentrations, we conclude that we can rule out the aerosol concentrations and therefore the different aerosol mechanisms, as the responsible factor for the differences in O_3 concentrations between the three simulations. Knote et al. (2014) attributed the differences in pollutants concentrations between these mechanisms to the differences in the treatment of VOCs, since the rate constants for the basic O_3 production and loss reactions are similar. Hourly correlation coefficients for O_3 are low (less than 0.30) for all three mechanisms which is comparable to the findings of Mar et al. (2016). In their study, summertime O_2 hourly correlation coefficients at the Avia Marina station (AOO1) were close to 0.2. The low correlation

- study, summertime O₃ hourly correlation coefficients at the Ayia Marina station (AQ01) were close to 0.2. The low correlation coefficients for O₃ in combination with underestimated NO_x concentrations (NMB varies from -54% to -44%) and low hourly correlation coefficients for NO_x as well, suggest that nearby anthropogenic emission sources are not represented in the emission inventory used in the simulations. The monthly average diurnal cycles for O₃ and NO_x (supplement, Figures S2 and S3
 respectively) show a weak diurnal cycle from observational data at the majority of the stations, indicating that long-range
- transport is an important aspect of air quality over Cyprus. A more pronounced diurnal cycle for NO_x appears at the Stavrovouni station due to the fact that the station is located close to the highway.

The hourly modelled and observed O_3 concentrations at six background stations over Cyprus are depicted in Figure 6. The three mechanisms show similar behaviour for July 1^{st} to July 13^{th} . However, from July 13^{th} until the end of the month O_3 con-

- 15 centrations from CM and MM appear slightly higher than RMS. The fact that such differences do not appear for O₃ precursors NO_x (Figure 7) indicates that the different behaviour during this period is possibly due to aerosol-radiation interactions and changes in photolysis rates. Similar patterns appear for CO (Figure 8). There is a good agreement between the 3 mechanisms from July 1st to July 13th. The RMS mechanism gives higher CO concentrations for the rest of the simulation period. Due to the long CO atmospheric residence time, these differences can be attributed to long-range transport, and therefore the effect
 22 the three lifeteeners appear here is a provide the simulation period.
- 20 the three different gas-phase chemistry and aerosol mechanisms have on the predicted meteorology.

An abrupt decrease in O_3 concentrations in observations from July 11^{th} to July 13^{th} is also captured by all three mechanisms at all stations except Cavo Greco, which is located in the eastern part of the island (6). This decrease in O_3 concentrations is accompanied with a decrease in CO concentrations as demonstrated from the observational data from the CYPHEX Campaign and the WRF/Chem model. No abrupt changes are shown in NO_x concentrations either by the observational data or the model.

25 During this period model results reveal that westerlies account for more than 70% at the stations where decreases in O_3 and CO concentrations occur, indicating the transfer of cleaner maritime air from the Mediterranean. In general, wind direction

Table 4. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (R), Mean Bias (MB), Normalized Mean Bias (NMB), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of hourly values of O_3 , NO_x , CO, $PM_{2.5}$, and SO_2 for the CBMZ-MOSAIC (CM), MOZART-MOSAIC (MM), and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (RMS) mechanisms, with O_3 inflow (reduced by 30%), and dust inflow from the boundaries. The CYPHEX campaign was excluded from the monthly mean calculations for O_3 . Hourly data availability exceeds 90% at all stations except the Ineia station (>72%) and the CYPHEX campaign (>82%).

		CBMZ-MOSAIC				MOZART-MOSAIC				RADM2-MADE/SORGAM			
	Station	R MB NMB RMSE					MB	NMB	RMSE	R	MB	NMB	RMSE
O ₃	CYPHEX	0.28	-6.72	-0.09	13.66	0.34	-6.63	-0.09	13.46	0.39	-15.76	-0.22	19.29
	AQ01	0.22	12.46	0.25	16.14	0.30	13.50	0.27	16.83	0.19	6.07	0.12	11.71
	AQ02	0.20	15.00	0.32	17.53	0.11	15.10	0.32	18.07	0.03	7.65	0.16	12.36
	AQ03	0.28	12.20	0.24	15.05	0.42	12.88	0.25	15.32	0.49	4.24	0.08	8.76
	AQ04	0.24	12.95	0.26	15.86	0.32	13.81	0.28	16.63	0.31	7.17	0.14	11.34
	AQ05	0.28	2.29	0.04	9.37	0.29	3.07	0.05	9.64	0.22	-3.90	-0.07	9.69
	Average	0.24	10.98	0.22	14.79	0.29	11.67	0.23	15.30	0.25	4.25	0.09	10.77
	CYPHEX	0.09	-0.32	-0.45	5.14	0.09	-0.31	-0.43	5.14	0.08	-0.29	-0.40	5.14
	AQ01	0.03	-0.93	-0.71	1.16	0.03	-0.90	-0.69	1.16	0.02	-0.83	-0.64	1.10
	AQ02	0.17	-0.12	-0.10	1.36	0.24	0.07	0.06	1.38	0.22	0.08	0.07	1.51
NO_x	AQ03	0.29	-1.35	-0.77	1.76	0.30	-1.33	-0.76	1.74	0.42	-1.32	-0.75	1.71
	AQ04	-0.10	-0.96	-0.42	2.39	-0.08	-0.75	-0.32	2.47	-0.09	-0.61	-0.27	2.49
	AQ05	0.06	-0.54	-0.72	0.65	0.06	-0.55	-0.73	0.66	-0.16	-0.47	-0.63	0.62
	Average	0.09	-0.70	-0.53	2.08	0.11	-0.63	-0.48	2.09	0.08	-0.57	-0.44	2.10
	CYPHEX	0.03	-9.85	-0.10	43.82	0.02	-7.04	-0.07	43.28	0.06	-0.62	-0.01	42.26
CO	AQ01	0.29	-21.41	0.18	27.85	0.27	-18.48	-0.16	25.80	0.39	-13.53	-0.12	21.79
	Average	0.16	-15.63	-0.14	35.84	0.15	-12.76	-0.12	34.54	0.23	-7.08	-0.07	32.03
	CYPHEX	0.02	19.24	0.91	43.08	0.11	13.55	0.64	29.64	0.15	15.40	0.73	30.15
$\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$	AQ01	-0.01	25.49	1.94	37.33	0.04	20.40	1.55	25.66	0.17	2.32	0.18	13.00
	Average	0.01	22.37	1.43	39.71	0.08	16.98	1.10	27.65	0.16	8.86	0.46	21.58
	CYPHEX	0.39	0.90	2.89	1.16	0.32	0.39	1.25	0.65	0.36	0.36	1.17	0.83
SO_2	AQ01	0.12	0.57	1.33	0.84	0.21	0.19	0.43	0.46	0.11	0.30	0.70	0.62
	Average	0.26	0.74	2.11	1.00	0.27	0.29	0.84	0.56	0.24	0.33	0.94	0.73

appears to have an important impact on pollutant concentrations over Cyprus. Kleanthous et al. (2014) showed that at the Ayia Marina station northerlies are associated with 3-5% higher O_3 concentrations compared to westerlies and southerlies during



Figure 6. Observed (grey markers) and modelled O₃ concentrations from the CBMZ-MOSAIC (blue line), MOZART-MOSAIC (red line), and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (green line) mechanisms.

all seasons. Similar results appear for modelled O_3 concentrations at this station. More specifically, northerlies are associated with 4-12% higher O_3 concentrations compared to westerlies and southerlies for July 2014.



Figure 7. Observed (grey markers) and modelled NO_x concentrations from the CBMZ-MOSAIC (blue line), MOZART-MOSAIC (red line), and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (green line) mechanisms.

All three mechanisms tend to underestimate NO_x concentrations at the majority of the stations (NMB varies from -53% to -44%). The Ayia Marina and the Troodos stations are located in a mountainous region which is characterized by steep changes



Figure 8. Observed (grey markers) and modelled CO concentrations from the CBMZ-MOSAIC (blue line), MOZART-MOSAIC (red line), and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (green line) mechanisms.

in altitude within short distances. The complexity of the terrain results in inaccuracies in the representation of the local wind circulation by the model which affects the transport of pollutants. This is also supported by the CO model underestimation at the Ayia Marina station. Modelled NO_x concentrations are significantly higher, and in better agreement with observations at the Cavo Greco and Stavrovouni stations. The latter is located a few kilometres to the east of an industrial area, which is

- 5 represented in the anthropogenic emission inventory used in the simulations. On the other hand, a large nearby highway is not captured by the anthropogenic emission inventory, resulting in peaks in NO_x concentrations from traffic, which are not captured by the model. The Cavo Greco station is located in the eastern part of the island. Model results showed that when westerlies occur at this location, NO_x concentrations are significantly higher compared to southerlies for all three mechanisms, indicating that the eastern part of Cyprus is affected by transported pollutants, which are emitted within the island. Average 10 NO_x concentrations during northerlies are also higher compared to southerlies since the station is located south of the main
- power station of the island (Dekeleia).

3.4 Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

As shown previously in Figure 3, all three mechanisms overestimate PM_{2.5} concentrations at the Ayia Marina station and the CYPHEX Campaign site. The lowest MB appears for MADE/SORGAM (MB = 8.96 μgm⁻³) whereas the MB for MOSAIC
15 is 22.37 μgm⁻³ when coupled with CBMZ and 16.98 μgm⁻³ when coupled with MOZART.

Differences in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations between the three simulations are more pronounced from July 11th to July 13th. During this period, the average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at the Ayia Marina station were 93.95 μgm^{-3} , 53.81 μgm^{-3} , and 7.17 μgm^{-3}



Figure 9. Timeseries of observed and modelled $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from the CM, MM, and RMS mechanisms (left) and scatter plots (right) at the Ayia Marina station and the CYPHEX Campaign. The dust component from the modelled concentrations has been removed from all simulations

for CM, MM, and RMS respectively, whereas the average $PM_{2.5}$ concentration from observational data was 13.72 μgm^{-3} . Investigating the inorganic mass in the MOSAIC aerosol mechanism, that represents fine dust particles (Zaveri et al., 2008), we find the overestimation is drve by the dust component. Since observations do not show elevated aerosol levels near the surface from July 11th to July 13th, the dust component has been subtracted from the simulated total $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (Figure 9).

5 PM_{2.5} concentrations were significantly reduced and are in better agreement with observations for CM and MM simulations, especially from July 11th to July 13th. This indicates that in the MOSAIC aerosol mechanism dust has a great contribution to PM_{2.5} concentrations during this period. On the other hand, smaller differences are shown for the MADE/SORGAM aerosol mechanism. The large difference in PM_{2.5} from mid-month coincides with the time-frame where large discrepancies in gaseous pollutants occur between the three mechanisms, as discussed in Section 3.3. This underlines the importance of the interactions
10 between aerosols and radiation and consequently photolytic reactions and air quality.

In order to better understand individual components of $PM_{2.5}$, and examine differences in behaviour by the aerosol mechanisms, we analyse the aerosol species that dominate the $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations separately. Figure 10 presents the components from observed and modelled sulphate (SO_4^{2-}), ammonium (NH_3^+), and nitrate (NO_3^-) aerosols mass concentrations and elemental carbon concentrations at the Ayia Marina station during the study period. Monthly mean sulphate aerosol concentrations

15 for the three mechanisms vary from 5.14 μ gm⁻³ to 7.02 μ gm⁻³ which is close to observed values (5.05 μ gm⁻³). The lowest monthly mean concentrations are produced by the CM mechanism. This mechanism shows the highest sulphate dioxide (SO₂) concentrations during the whole study period (Figure 11). Since this simulation uses the same aerosol mechanism with the MM simulation, and therefore the same heterogeneous nucleation rates from sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) to sulphate aerosols, the differences between the CM and MM simulations are attributed to the chemical processes that act as sources/sinks of H_2SO_4 . The RMS mechanism includes the heterogeneous SO_2 cloud oxidation (De Brugh et al., 2011; Balzarini et al., 2015) which results in higher sulphate aerosol concentrations compared to CM and MM.

Elemental carbon is underestimated by all three simulations. The lowest NMB appears for RMS (-34%) followed by CM
(-35%). Since the three simulations use the same anthropogenic emission inventory, these differences between RMS and MM can be partially attributed to the different treatment of aerosols by the modal and sectional bin approaches. The MM mechanism highly underestimates EC concentrations due to the absent of anthropogenic emissions in this mechanism.

Ammonium aerosols mean monthly values are close to observed 1.43 μgm^{-3} for the CM and MM simulations (1.74 μgm^{-3} and 1.87 μgm^{-3} respectively), while higher value is shown for RMS (3.24 μgm^{-3}). Nitrate aerosols are highly overestimated

- 10 by the RMS mechanism with maximum values and outliers well above the period average. These outliers are due to nitrate aerosols transport from the north, when favoured by wind speed and direction. In contrast, nitrate aerosols are slightly underestimated by CM and MM. These differences lie in the differrent treatment of the gas-to-particle partitioning from the nitric acid to ammonium nitrate as a function of humidity from the two aerosol mechanisms used (Balzarini et al., 2015). MADE uses the Mozurkewich (1993) approach and MOSAIC uses the Zaveri et al. (2008) method. The diurnal cycle of compounds
- 15 that are crucial to night-time chemistry (NO₃, N₂O₅) vary significantly between the three mechanisms (not shown). The RMS mechanism exhibits three times higher night-time NO₃ concentrations than the CM mechanism. This indicates considerable uncertainty in the representation of this important part of tropospheric chemistry that also affects aerosol formation. These results are supported by the findings of Knote et al. (2014) that also report three times higher pan-European averaged NO₃ in the RADM2 mechanism compared to CBMZ in the middle of the night-time chemistry cycle.

20 4 Conclusions

25

We simulated atmospheric gases and aerosols using the WRF/Chem model over the Eastern Mediterranean during the summer. The performance of three gas-phase chemistry and aerosol mechanisms is investigated during the CYPHEX campaign in July 2014. Model output is compared with meteorological and air quality observational data from 14 ground stations. The model reproduces the summertime synoptic wind circulation over the region and the local circulation. It overestimates wind speed at the majority of the stations by an average of 1.71 to 1.83 m/s. Near surface temperature and pressure are reproduced accurately both in magnitude and diurnal variation. Some discrepancies in modelled and observed meteorological parameters may be attributed to the limited representation of the topography by the model.

Monthly average concentrations of O₃ are overestimated by the CM and MM mechanisms by 22 and 23% respectively, whereas a small underestimation is obtained by RMS (9%). Sensitivity tests showed that PM_{2.5} concentrations can affect
secondary pollutant formation though aerosol-radiation feedbacks. A decrease of the order of 19% in PM_{2.5} concentrations, as a result of setting the dust inflow from the global model to zero, resulted in 10% increase in O₃ concentrations. The differences in O₃ concentrations are attributed to the different treatment of VOCs as suggested Knote et al. (2014). Hourly correlation coefficients are low for all three mechanisms. NO_x concentrations do not differ between the simulations, with underestimation



Figure 10. Box and whisker plots of observed and modelled sulphate, ammonium, and nitrate aerosols and elemental carbon at the Ayia Marina station for July, 2014



Figure 11. Observed (grey markers) and modelled SO₂ concentrations from the CBMZ-MOSAIC (blue line), MOZART-MOSAIC (red line), and RADM2-MADE/SORGAM (green line) mechanisms.

at the majority of the stations, suggesting that nearby/local anthropogenic emission sources are not well represented in the emission inventory.

Differences in O_3 and CO concentrations between the three simulations, during the second half of the simulation period, are attributed to differences in meteorology that derive from the aerosol-radiation interactions. Concurrent abrupt decreases

5 in O_3 and CO concentrations (observations and model) during specific days are accompanied with dominance of westerlies carrying clean maritime air from the Mediterranean. Northerlies at the Ayia Marina station are associated with 4-12% higher O_3 modelled concentrations compared to westerlies and southerlies for July 2014, which is in good agreement with previous studies.

The terrain complexity in the mountainous areas is the reason for the inaccuracies in the representation of the local wind circulation by the model that affects the transport and vertical mixing of pollutants. As a result, the model performance at these stations (Ayia Marina, Troodos) regarding all pollutants is less satisfactory. On the other hand, the model performance is better in locations with less complex terrain such as the Stavrovouni and Cavo Greco stations. Increased NO_x concentrations at the Cavo Greco station when westerlies occur indicate that the eastern part of Cyprus is affected by emission sources located on the island.

- 15 The model skill to reproduce $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations is examined. The MOSAIC aerosol mechanism highly overestimates $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (NMB \geq 100%). When the dust component is subtracted from the total $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from all mechanisms there is a better agreement with observations. The RMS mechanism slightly overestimates sulphate and ammonium aerosol at the Ayia Marina station. The CM and MM modelled concentrations of these species are closer to observations (NMB=2% and 34% respectively). The lowest sulphate aerosol concentrations are produced by the CM mechanism and are
- accompanied with higher SO_2 concentrations. The differences between the two simulations using the MOSAIC aerosol mechanism may be attributed to the chemical processes that act as sources/sinks of SO_2 . The inclusion of the heterogeneous SO_2 cloud oxidation in the RMS mechanism results in higher sulphate aerosol concentrations (NMB = 38%), as described in De Brugh et al. (2011) and Balzarini et al. (2015). Elemental carbon is underestimated by all three mechanisms indicating lack of emission sources. Differences between the RMS (NMB = -34%) and the CM (NMB = -34%) mechanisms are attributed to
- to the different approach for the simulation of the aerosol size distribution. Observed and modelled (by CM and MM) nitrate aerosols concentrations at the Ayia Marina site are negligible. RMS simulations yield higher values, probably attributed to nitrate aerosols formation upwind of the measurement site. It is found that key night-time compounds like NO_3 and N_2O_5 differ significantly between the three mechanisms.

We conclude that all three mechanisms are very sensitive to boundary conditions from the global model for both gas-phase
 and aerosols pollutants. Care has to be taken, for ozone in particular, which has an important impact on the modelled gas-phase
 pollutants for all mechanisms. In addition, dust has a great contribution to PM_{2.5} concentrations from the MOSAIC aerosol
 mechanism, while the corresponding concentrations from CBMZ-MOSAIC were found to be very sensitive to dust from the

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the CYprus PHotochemical EXperiment (CYPHEX) Campaign, the Cyprus Department of Meteorology (DoM) and the Cyprus Department of Labour Inspection (DLI), and the EMEP network for providing the observational data used for model evaluation in this study. Plots and diagrams were produced using the NCAR Command Language (NCL) version 6.3.0 (http://www.ncl.ucar.edu/), the openair R package (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012), and the Qtiplot (http://www.qtiplot.com/). The Computational resources and support were provided by the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme VI-SEEM project

5

References

30

- Abdallah, C., Sartelet, K., and Afif, C.: Influence of boundary conditions and anthropogenic emission inventories on simulated O3 and PM2.5 concentrations over Lebanon, Atmospheric Pollution Research, pp. 1–9, doi:10.1016/j.apr.2016.06.001, http://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S1309104215305705, 2016.
- 5 Ackermann, I. I. J., Hass, H., Memmesheimer, M., Ebel, A., Binkowski, F. F. S., and Shankar, U.: Modal aerosol dynamics model for Europe: Development and first applications, Atmos. Environ., 32, 2981–2999, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00006-5, 1998.
 - Akritidis, D., Zanis, P., Katragkou, E., Schultz, M. G., Tegoulias, I., Poupkou, A., Markakis, K., Pytharoulis, I., and Karacostas, T.: Evaluating the impact of chemical boundary conditions on near surface ozone in regional climate-air quality simulations over Europe, Atmospheric Research, 134, 116–130, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.07.021, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.07.021, 2013.
- 10 Akritidis, D., Pozzer, A., Zanis, P., Tyrlis, E., Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., and Lelieveld, J.: On the role of tropopause folds in summertime tropospheric ozone over the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 14025–14039, doi:10.5194/acp-16-14025-2016, 2016.
 - Anagnostopoulou, C., Zanis, P., Katragkou, E., Tegoulias, I., and Tolika, K.: Recent past and future patterns of the Etesian winds based on regional scale climate model simulations, Climate Dynamics, 42, 1819–1836, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1936-0, 2014.
- 15 Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., ??abkar, R., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., San Jos??, R., Tuccella, P., and Grell, G. A.: WRF-Chem model sensitivity to chemical mechanisms choice in reconstructing aerosol optical properties, Atmospheric Environment, 115, 604–619, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.033, 2015.
 - Bossioli, E., Tombrou, M., Kalogiros, J., Allan, J., Bacak, A., Bezantakos, S., Biskos, G., Coe, H., Jones, B. T., Kouvarakis, G., Mihalopoulos, N., and Percival, C. J.: Atmospheric composition in the Eastern Mediterranean: Influence of biomass burning during summertime using the
- 20 WRF-Chem model, Atmospheric Environment, 132, 317–331, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.011, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.
 2016.03.011, 2016.
 - Carslaw, D. C. and Ropkins, K.: openair An R package for air quality data analysis, Environmental Modelling & Software, 27-28, 52–61, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.09.008, http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1364815211002064, 2012.
- Chen, F. and Dudhia, J.: Coupling an Advanced Land Surface–Hydrology Model with the Penn State–NCAR MM5 Mod eling System. Part I: Model Implementation and Sensitivity, Monthly Weather Review, 129, 569–585, doi:10.1175/1520 0493(2001)129<0569:CAALSH>2.0.CO;2, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129{%}3C0569:CAALSH{%}3E2.0.
 CO{%}5Cn2http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129{%}7B{%}25{%}7D3C0569:CAALSH{%}7B{%}25{%}7D3E2.0.
 CO{%}7B{%}25{%}7D5Cn2, 2001.

Chin, M., Rood, R. B., Lin, S.-J., Müller, J.-F., and Thompson, A. M.: Atmospheric sulfur cycle simulated in the global model GOCART: Model description and global properties, Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 24 671, doi:10.1029/2000JD900384, 2000.

- De Brugh, J. M., Schaap, M., Vignati, E., Dentener, F., Kahnert, M., Sofiev, M., Huijnen, V., and Krol, M. C.: The European aerosol budget in 2006, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 1117–1139, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1117-2011, 2011.
 - Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C., Guenther, A., Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando, J., Tie, X., Tyndall, G., Wiedinmyer, C., Baughcum, S. L., and Kloster, S.: Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone
- 35 and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4), Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, pp. 43–67, doi:10.5194/gmdd-2-1157-2009, 2010.

- Gerasopoulos, E., Kouvarakis, G., Vrekoussis, M., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Ozone variability in the marine boundary layer of the eastern Mediterranean based on 7-year observations, Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, 110, 1–12, doi:10.1029/2005JD005991, 2005.
- Gery, M. W., Whitten, G. Z., Killus, J. P., and Dodge, M. C.: A photochemical kinetics mechanism for urban and regional scale computer mod-
- 5 eling, Journal of Geophysical Research, 94, 12 925, doi:10.1029/JD094iD10p12925, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/JD094iD10p12925, 1989.
 - Grell, G. a.: A generalized approach to parameterizing convection combining ensemble and data assimilation techniques, Geophysical Research Letters, 29, 10–13, doi:10.1029/2002GL015311, 2002.
 - Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry
- 10 within the WRF model, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 6957–6975, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/ retrieve/pii/S1352231005003560, 2005.
 - Grell, G. a. G.: Prognostic Evaluation of Assumptions Used by Cumulus Parameterizations, Monthly Weather Review, 121, 764–787, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1993)121<0764:PEOAUB>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
 - Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The model of emissions of gases
- 15 and aerosols from nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): An extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geoscientific Model Development, 5, 1471–1492, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
 - Gupta, M. and Mohan, M.: Validation of WRF/Chem model and sensitivity of chemical mechanisms to ozone simulation over megacity Delhi, Atmospheric Environment, 122, 220–229, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.039, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.039, 2015.
- 20 Hong, S.-Y., Noh, Y., and Dudhia, J.: A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit treatment of entrainment processes., Monthly Weather Review, 134, 2318–2341, doi:10.1175/MWR3199.1, http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR3199.1, 2006.
 - Im, U., Bianconi, R., Solazzo, E., Kioutsioukis, I., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Bar??, R., Bellasio, R., Brunner, D., Chemel, C., Curci, G., Flemming, J., Forkel, R., Giordano, L., Jim??nez-Guerrero, P., Hirtl, M., Hodzic, A., Honzak, L., Jorba, O., Knote, C., Kuenen, J. J. P., Makar, P. A., Manders-Groot, A., Neal, L., P??rez, J. L., Pirovano, G., Pouliot, G., San Jose, R., Savage, N., Schroder, W., Sokhi, R. S.,
- 25 Syrakov, D., Torian, A., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Wolke, R., Yahya, K., Zabkar, R., Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., Hogrefe, C., and Galmarini, S.: Evaluation of operational on-line-coupled regional air quality models over Europe and North America in the context of AQMEII phase 2. Part I: Ozone, Atmospheric Environment, 115, 404–420, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.042, 2015.
 - Janssens-Maenhout, G., Dentener, F., Van Aardenne, J., Monni, S., Pagliari, V., Orlandini, L., Klimont, Z., Kurokawa, J.-I., Akimoto, H., Ohara, T., Wankmüller, R., Battye, B., Grano, D., Zuber, A., and Keating, T.: EDGAR-HTAP: a harmonized gridded
- 30 air pollution emission dataset based on national inventories, Tech. rep., doi:10.2788/14069, 2012, http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/htap/ EDGAR-HTAP{_}v1{_}final{_}jan2012.pdf, 2012.
 - Kalabokas, P. D., Mihalopoulos, N., Ellul, R., Kleanthous, S., and Repapis, C. C.: An investigation of the meteorological and photochemical factors influencing the background rural and marine surface ozone levels in the Central and Eastern Mediterranean, Atmospheric Environment, 42, 7894–7906, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.009, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.009, 2008.
- 35 Kanakidou, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Kindap, T., Im, U., Vrekoussis, M., Gerasopoulos, E., Dermitzaki, E., Unal, A., Koçak, M., Markakis, K., Melas, D., Kouvarakis, G., Youssef, A. F., Richter, A., Hatzianastassiou, N., Hilboll, A., Ebojie, F., Wittrock, F., von Savigny, C., Burrows, J. P., Ladstaetter-Weissenmayer, A., and Moubasher, H.: Megacities as hot spots of air pollution in the East Mediterranean, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 1223–1235, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.048, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.048, 2011.

Kleanthous, S., Vrekoussis, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Kalabokas, P., and Lelieveld, J.: On the temporal and spatial variation of ozone in Cyprus, Science of the Total Environment, 476-477, 677–687, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.101, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12. 101, 2014.

Knote, C., Tuccella, P., Curci, G., Emmons, L., Orlando, J. J., Madronich, S., Bar??, R., Jim??nez-Guerrero, P., Luecken, D., Hogrefe, C.,

- 5 Forkel, R., Werhahn, J., Hirtl, M., P??rez, J. L., San Jos??, R., Giordano, L., Brunner, D., Yahya, K., Zhang, Y., Baró, R., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Luecken, D., Hogrefe, C., Forkel, R., Werhahn, J., Hirtl, M., Pérez, J. L., San José, R., Giordano, L., Brunner, D., Yahya, K., and Zhang, Y.: Influence of the choice of gas-phase mechanism on predictions of key gaseous pollutants during the AQMEII phase-2 intercomparison, Atmospheric Environment, 115, 553–568, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.066, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231014009388, 2014.
- 10 Kouvarakis, G., Tsigaridis, K., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Temporal variations of surface regional background ozone over Crete Island in the southeast Mediterranean, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 105, 4399–4407, doi:10.1029/1999JD900984, 2000.
 - Kumar, R.: anthro emiss utility, WRF/Chem Tools for the Community, https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/wrf-chem/wrf-chem-tools-community, 2017.
- 15 Kushta, J., Kallos, G., Astitha, M., Solomos, S., Spyrou, C., Mitsakou, C., and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of natural aerosols on atmospheric radiation and consequent feedbacks with the meteorological and photochemical state of the atmosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 1463–1491, doi:10.1002/2013JD020714, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2013JD020714, 2014.
 - Kushta, J., Georgiou, G. K., Proestos, Y., Christoudias, T., and Lelieveld, J.: A modelling study of the atmospheric composition over Cyprus, Atmospheric Pollution Research, 2017.
- 20 Ladstätter-Weißenmayer, A., Kanakidou, M., Meyer-Arnek, J., Dermitzaki, E. V., Richter, A., Vrekoussis, M., Wittrock, F., and Burrows, J. P.: Pollution events over the East Mediterranean: Synergistic use of GOME, ground-based and sonde observations and models, Atmospheric Environment, 41, 7262–7273, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.05.031, 2007.
 - Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, H., Borrmann, S., Crutzen, P. J., Dentener, F. J., Fischer, H., Feichter, J., Flatau, P. J., Heland, J., Holzinger, R., Korrmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Levin, Z., Markowicz, K. M., Mihalopoulos, N., Minikin, A., Ramanathan,
- V., De Reus, M., Roelofs, G. J., Scheeren, H. A., Sciare, J., Schlager, H., Schultz, M., Siegmund, P., Steil, B., Stephanou, E. G., Stier, P., Traub, M., Warneke, C., Williams, J., and Ziereis, H.: Global air pollution crossroads over the Mediterranean., Science, 298, 794–799, doi:10.1126/science.1075457, http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/298/5594/794http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/298/5594/794{%}7B{#}{%}7Dotherarticleshttp://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/atmoshttp://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtlhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, 2002.
- 30 Lelieveld, J., Hoor, P., Jöckel, P., Pozzer, A., Hadjinicolaou, P., Cammas, J.-P., and Beirle, S.: Severe ozone air pollution in the Persian Gulf region, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 1393–1406, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1393-2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/1393/2009/, 2009.
 - LRTAP-Wiki: HTAP harmonized emissions database 2006–2010, http://iek8wikis.iek.fz-juelich.de/HTAPWiki/WP1.1?highlight= {%}2528{%}2528WP1.1{%}2529{%}2529http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/htap{_}v2/, 2014.
- 35 Mar, K. a., Ojha, N., Pozzer, A., and Butler, T. M.: Ozone air quality simulations with WRF-Chem (v3.5.1) over Europe: Model evaluation and chemical mechanism comparison, Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 1, 1–50, doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-131, http://www. geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/gmd-2016-131/, 2016.

- Marticorena, B. and Bergametti, G.: Modeling the atmospheric dust cycle: 1. Design of a soil-derived dust emission scheme, Journal of Geophysical Research, 100, 16415, doi:10.1029/95JD00690, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/95JD00690, 1995.
- Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., and Clough, S. A.: Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmosphers: RRTM, a validated correlated0k model for the long-wave, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16663–16682, 1997.
- 5 Morrison, H., Curry, J. a., Shupe, M. D., and Zuidema, P.: A New Double-Moment Microphysics Parameterization for Application in Cloud and Climate Models. Part II: Single-Column Modeling of Arctic Clouds, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62, 1678–1693, doi:10.1175/JAS3447.1, 2005.
 - Mozurkewich, M.: The dissociation constant of ammonium nitrate and its dependence on temperature, relative humidity and particle size, Atmospheric Environment Part A, General Topics, 27, 261–270, doi:10.1016/0960-1686(93)90356-4, 1993.
- 10 Ritter, M., Müller, M. D., Jorba, O., Parlow, E., and Liu, L.-J. S.: Impact of chemical and meteorological boundary and initial conditions on air quality modeling: WRF-Chem sensitivity evaluation for a European domain, Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 119, 59–70, doi:10.1007/s00703-012-0222-8, http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00703-012-0222-8, 2013.
 - Safieddine, S., Boynard, A., Coheur, P. F., Hurtmans, D., Pfister, G., Quennehen, B., Thomas, J. L., Raut, J. C., Law, K. S., Klimont, Z., Hadji-Lazaro, J., George, M., and Clerbaux, C.: Summertime tropospheric ozone assessment over the Mediterranean region using the thermal
- 15 infrared IASI/MetOp sounder and the WRF-Chem model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 10119–10131, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10119-2014, 2014.
 - Schell, B., Ackermann, I. J., Hass, H., Binkowski, F. S., and Ebel, A.: Modeling the formation of secondary organic aerosol within a comprehensive air quality model system, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 28 275–28 293, doi:10.1029/2001JD000384, 2001.
- Stockwell, W. R., Middleton, P., Chang, J. S., and Tang, X.: The second generation regional acid deposition model chemical mechanism for
 regional air quality modeling, Journal of Geophysical Research, 95, 16 343, doi:10.1029/JD095iD10p16343, 1990.
- Tuccella, P., Curci, G., Visconti, G., Bessagnet, B., Menut, L., and Park, R. J.: Modeling of gas and aerosol with WRF/Chem over Europe: Evaluation and sensitivity study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, 1–15, doi:10.1029/2011JD016302, 2012.
 - Tyrlis, E., Lelieveld, J., and Steil, B.: The summer circulation over the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East: Influence of the South Asian monsoon, Climate Dynamics, 40, 1103–1123, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1528-4, 2013.
- 25 Zanis, P., Hadjinicolaou, P., Pozzer, A., Tyrlis, E., Dafka, S., Mihalopoulos, N., and Lelieveld, J.: Summertime free-tropospheric ozone pool over the eastern Mediterranean/Middle East, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 115–132, doi:10.5194/acp-14-115-2014, http: //www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/115/2014/, 2014.
 - Zaveri, R. a. and Peters, L. K.: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for large-scale applications, Journal of Geophysical Research, 104, 30 387, doi:10.1029/1999JD900876, 1999.
- 30 Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., and Peters, L. K.: Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC), Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, D13 204, doi:10.1029/2007JD008782, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JD008782, 2008.
 - Zhang, Y., Sartelet, K., Wu, S. Y., and Seigneur, C.: Application of WRF/Chem-MADRID and WRF/Polyphemus in Europe Part 1: Model description, evaluation of meteorological predictions, and aerosol-meteorology interactions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 6807–6843, doi:10.5194/acp-13-6807-2013, 2013.