

## *Interactive comment on* "Characterization of atmospheric trace gases and particle matters in Hangzhou, China" by Gen Zhang et al.

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 30 October 2017

The manuscript 'Characterization of atmospheric trace gases and particle matters in Hangzhou, China' by G. Zhang et al. reports the observational results from one-year monitoring of several trace gases and particulate matter at an urban site in the YRD region. The characteristics of these trace gases and particulate matter are discussed in association with meteorological conditions. Process analysis is also performed for case studies under photochemical pollution and haze condition. The measurement data are valuable, but the manuscript needs to be more concise and more logically structured. Further proofreading is also needed to correct grammar mistakes and inappropriate description.

Specific comments:

1. 'Particle matter' is used almost through the entire manuscript, it should be particulate

C1

## matter.

2. Was the air sample dried when measuring PM2.5? How about the drying system?

3. What is the temporal resolution of the meteorological data in the HYSPLIT model? Will the temporal resolution and also the spatial resolution as  $0.50 \times 0.5$  o influence your conclusions?

4. P9 L246-249, the author suggested comparable photochemical levels in different regions only based on measurements of NO2 and O3, I am afraid it is insufficient to draw this conclusion.

5. The discussion on NOx or VOCs limitation of ozone photochemical production is based on measured CO. The author stated that VOCs and CO share common origins and play similar roles in ozone production in this region. Is there any data or previous study in this region to support this assumption?

6. The correlations of O3 and PM2.5 in warm and cold seasons were analyzed. The author attributed the positive correlation in warm seasons to secondary aerosol formation under high O3 levels and negative correlation in cold seasons to NO titration effect. However, the ambient level of either O3 or PM2.5 is a result of emission, sinks, physical processes and complicated chemical reactions. The explanation has no solid foundation and also needs other supporting data.

7. The backward trajectory and PSCF analysis is not suitable for short-lived species such as O3 and is specially not suitable in urban area with high local emission. So it's strange that those clean mountain area in south of Hangzhou could have more contributions? As well as that air masses coming from open seas contained higher concentrations of NOx and O3?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-777, 2017.