Referee 1

1. Comments from referee

Page 2 lines 87-88: Please provide technical definitions of the two different petcokes.

Authors' response

Additional description has been added to the manuscript.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line 88: "There are two major types of coking in the AOSR referred to as "delayed" and "fluid" coking processes. Both coking processes involve thermal cracking of the feedstock to extract lighter products and leave behind petcoke. In the delayed coking process, the cracking process continues, after a short thermal cracking in a furnace, in coke drums where solid coke is produced. In the fluid coking process, the coke produced in a heated reactor is circulated between the reactor and a burner to transfer heat. The delayed coking process occurs at lower temperature than the fluid coking process, therefore, the delayed petcoke contains more volatiles and potentially more PACs than the fluid petcoke.

2. Comments from referee

Figure 3 Panel A: change instances of "PHE/ANT" to perhaps "PHE + ANT" in order to prevent confusion with regards to compound ratios.

Authors' response

The suggested change has been made to the figure 3 Panel A.

Author's changes in manuscript

Figure 3. Enhancement ratios (expressed as a ratio of the concentration of an analyte in the PAS-DD sampler to that in the PAS sampler) for A.) alk-PAHs and alk-DBTs and B.) parent PAHs, DBT, and RET during October to November 2015 across five sites in the oil sands region.



Reader 1

1. Comments from referee

Spatial distribution of PACs was measured and illustrated at the 5 sampling sites across the Athabasca oil sands region in Canada. In this field campaign, authors used two passive sampling instruments, PAS and PAS-DD, to measure gas and particle phase PAHs and oxidated PAH derivatives. Although PACs in the Canadian oil sand have been extensively investigated, this paper provides a new insight into the understanding of sources of PACs from surface mining to the residential area, and validates passive sampling techniques in field air monitoring of gas and particle phase toxic chemicals. C1 ACPD Interactive comment Printer-friendly version Discussion paper Authors discussed potential sources of PACs. While their measured data were perhaps not sufficient enough to conduct a source apportionment analysis based on a robust statistical method such as the principal component analysis (PCA) analysis, authors might apply the PAH species ratio and NPAHs/pPAHs ratio to qualitatively discuss the origins of these PACs.

Authors' response

Thank you for your suggestion and we agree that NPAH/PAH ratios are useful for comparing chemical activity among sampling sites. Unfortunately, there were no parent PAH data available for the NPAHs (nitronapthalenes and nitrobiphenyls) that were detected in most of the samples. Naphthalene is commonly excluded due to its high background level in the oil sands region and high volatility. Biphenyl was not included in our target chemical list.

Author's changes in manuscript

None.

2. Comments from referee

Figure 5 was referred to in the paper before Figure 4, please check it.

Authors' response

The suggested change has been made to the figure.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line 278 "Figures 5 and S4" has been changed to "Figures 4 and S4.

Referee 2

1. Comments from referee

It would be helpful if the authors could provide more insight as to how the PAS-DD measurements would be converted into deposition measurements. In some sections, it appears that the argument is that the PAS-DD is designed to measure the deposition of the gas and particle-phase analytes, whereas in other sections, it appears that it is being used to measure their concentration. The authors should clarify which interpretation is correct, and if it's the latter, how are the measurements made by the PAS-DD used to calculate deposition.

Authors' response

The PAS-DD sampler provides a measure of deposition to a surrogate surface that can be used to compare deposition across sites, in a relative way. As with all deposition measurements, the deposition flux is surface dependent. In instances where we derive concentrations for the PAS-DD, these reflect 'enhanced concentrations' above ambient air levels (measured by coneventional samplers and PUF-PAS) that includes a contribution of larger depositing particles. These 'effective' concentrations derived for the PAS-DD sampler are used to quantify the differences in the PUF-PAS and PAS-DD through the calculation of an enhancement ratio.

Author's changes in manuscript

None

2. Comments from referee

Are the distributions of compounds measured by the PAS and PAS-DD samplers similar because gas phase compounds dominate the loadings for each type of sampler or because there is not a compound-specificity to the gas-particle partitioning?

Authors' response

The similar distributions of compounds measured by the PAS and PAS-DD are due to the dominance of gas-phase PACs in ambient air which is consistent with PAC distribution derived from active air samplers in other studies.

Author's changes in manuscript

None

3. Comments from referee

Section 3.2 discusses the enhancement ratios of concentrations measured on the dry deposition samplers relative to the traditional samplers. It makes sense that the less volatile compounds tend to have larger enhancement ratios, as explained by the authors. But don't the enhancement ratios also depend on the relative loading of coarse mode particles near the site? For a given total amount of an individual semi-volatile PAC in the air, the higher the coarse mode particle loading, the higher the enhancement ratio. This could be discussed (and perhaps explored quantitatively) in the context of the site-to-site variability.

Authors' response

The spatial variability is discussed in section 3.1, however, additional statements have also been added to the manuscript section 3.2.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line 251: "Comparison of the enhancement ratios of PACs among the sites indicates that the ratio is partly dependent on particle loadings. This is evident from higher enhancement ratios of higher ring PACs, except for BghiP, observed in AMS5, the near source site where ΣPACs, ΣNPAHs, and ΣOPAHs concentrations were highest."

5. **Comments from referee**

Line 78 – 'emissions' should be 'sources'

Authors' response

The suggested change has been made to the manuscript.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line 78: The major mining-related **sources** of PACs include stacks, mining fleet vehicle….

6. **Comments from referee**

Lines 107-113: Can the authors be confident that the sampling volume derived for gas phase species can also be applied to particle-bound material, especially for coarse mode particles? Given that diffusion is unlikely to be the main mechanism of mass transport, this assumption seems questionable.

Authors' response

The gas- and particle-phase PACs are sampled at similar rate of 4 m3/day, but effective sample volumes and air concentrations for each PAC are calculated and adjusted for temperature following the process described by Shoeib and Harner 2002. The effective sampling volumes for gas-phase PACs are lower than those for particle-bound PACs due to their lower PUF-air partition coefficients. These more volatile PAHs start to approach equilibrium with the PUF disk before the end of the deployment period.

In terms of sampling rates, several calibration studies of the PUF disk samplers of the type used here have demonstrated similar sampling rates for gas-phase and particle-phase compounds in ambient air. (Harner et al., 2013)

Author's changes in manuscript

The following reference regarding the effective air sample volume calculation has been added to Line 120.

Shoeib, M., and Harner, T.: Characterization and comparison of three passive air samplers for persistent organic pollutants, Environmental science & technology, 36, 4142-4151, 2002.

7. **Comments from referee**

Line 114 – What is COSIA?

Authors' response

The full name has been made to the manuscript.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line 121: ………..Canada's Oil Sands Innovation Alliance (COSIA)…………

8. **Comments from referee**

Line 120 – Chiron (Norway) appears twice

Authors' response

The error has been fixed.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line 128: Standards for the target analytes were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Labs (Andover, MA), Chiron (Trondheim, Norway), and AccuStandard (New Haven, CT), Chiron (Norway).

9. **Comments from referee**

Figure 3 legend – some bars are labelled 'ANS instead of 'AMS'

Authors' response

The error has been fixed.

Author's changes in manuscript

Figure 3. Enhancement ratios (expressed as a ratio of the concentration of an analyte in the PAS-DD sampler to that in the PAS sampler) for A.) alk-PAHs and alk-DBTs and B.) parent PAHs, DBT, and RET during October to November 2015 across five sites in the oil sands region.



10. Comments from referee

Table 1 – are the mass loadings in air in units of pg/m^3 or ng/m^3? The caption and the table are inconsistent

Authors' response

The unit in the caption has been changed from pg/m3 to ng/m3.

Author's changes in manuscript

Table 1. Concentrations of parent PAHs, dibenzothiophene (DBT), alk-PAHs, retene (RET), NPAHs, OPAHs, and potential NPAH markers in fluid petcoke, delayed petcoke, oil sands ore (ng g^{-1} on a dry weight basis) and air samples (ng m^{-3}, PAS and PAS-DD).

11. Comments from referee

Lines 149-150: The particle extraction method involved ultrasonication in dichloromethane. Is it possible that any components of the particles would have been dissolved in the dichloromethane and how would that impact the interpretation of the results?

Authors' response

Yes, this is a good point. Although the sonication method was applied briefly it could have altered some of the surface composition of the particles analyzed by SEM. However, in the

the current study we used SEM mainly to distinguish petcoke particles from other particle types and so the use of DCM is unlikely to have affected the results as the distinctions are quite clear.

Author's changes in manuscript

None

12. Comments from referee

Lines 172-174 and Figure 2 – The text states that the 2-3 ring PACs account for 65- 70% of the sum of the target compounds, but based on the figure, it looks more like 75 – 85%.

Authors' response

The suggested change has been made to the manuscript.

Author's changes in manuscript

Line xx: "……….. which accounted for **77%-87%** of the sum of all target compounds (Figure 2)."

Report 1 (from initial manuscript evaluation)

1. Comments from referee

According to the information provided by the editor, this manuscript was submitted to ACP for potential publication in a special issue on atmospheric emissions from oil sands development and their transport, transformation and deposition (ACP/AMT inter-journal SI). After reading the manuscript, I do not see the content of the manuscript falls within the scope of the journal and this special issue. ACP is for studies that address "underlying chemical and physical processes" of the Earth's atmosphere. The study presents measurement data of PAC in air but I cannot see much effort was made to relate the data to chemical and physical processes of PAC in air. I also found the writing of the manuscript is not so friendly to most readers of ACP. For example, in the introduction (Lines 38-41) the authors referred to some passive samplers using long terms such as "conventional double-domed polyurethane foam (PUF) disk passive air samplers" and "Global Atmospheric Passive Sampling (GAPS) Network-type sampler", I think similar to me, most readers of ACP can hardly figure out what the two samplers are and how they differ from each other when reading through the text.

Author's response

We understand that the terms "conventional double-domed polyurethane foam passive sampler" and "dry-deposition passive sampler" can be confusing for those who are not familiar with this area of study, however, we tried to clarify with figures (Figures 1 and S1) where they are mentioned and with description in line 52-57.

Author's changes in manuscript
Line 38: Illustrated in figures 1 and S1, conventional double domed polyurethane…….

2. Comments from referee

The authors mentioned they aimed to assess contribution of PAC to air from oil sands ore and petcoke but the result is rather qualitative than quantitative. The conclusion related to emissions is limited to that "oil sands ore is contributing substantially to burdens of PAC in air near mining areas…" Quite a few previous studies (cited by the authors) have indicated that oil sands ore is a source of PAC in air, so the information provided by the conclusion is no longer new. In order to claim whether the source is substantial or not, the authors need quantitative information on emissions and quantitative comparisons with other emission sources, which are not provided in the current manuscript.

Author's response

It is correct that our conclusion related to emissions is similar to what have been reported previously, however, this study attempted to add another aspect to air concentrations by quantifying PACs and PAC derivatives in emission sources (e.g. oil sands ore and petcoke). Besides the chemical profiles which suggested the dominance of the oil sands ore, we have identified 4-NBP as a potential marker of oil sands ore and delayed petcoke. This compound was quantified in the air samples in which it was found exclusively at the sites that are closer to the mining activity. We believe that this quantitative information supported our conclusion.

Author's changes in manuscript

None.

3. Comments from referee

The manuscript reports data from passive dry deposition samplers so it is somewhat related to atmospheric deposition, which is one theme of the special issue. However, the results are just semi-quantitative atmospheric concentrations derived by the passive samplers instead of quantitative atmospheric deposition fluxes. Atmospheric deposition of a chemical is related to the air concentration but also influenced by other factors such surface properties and potential re-evaporation from surface. Deposition fluxes onto the passive sampler itself would be different from that to the earth surface. So I do not think concentrations of gas-phase PAHs can be referred as dry gas-phase deposition (which was equalized in line 108)

Author's response

Unfortunately, we respectfully disagree with most of this reviewers comments and crtiticisms and what we fell is a narrow interpretation of the theme of the special issue. We think this may be in part due to an unfamiliarity on the part of the reviewer with passive samplers such as this which have been used for decades for studying the occurrence, transport and fate of contaminants in air..and have shown to be very useful.

Author's changes in manuscript

None.

4. Comments from referee

In the manuscript, the authors mentioned "these sampling sites are part of a larger 16-sites passive air monitoring network since 2010 (Schuster et al., 2015)" (Lines 60-61) and samples "were the same samples previously characterized and reported". I wonder if PAC concentrations in the sampling sites investigated in this study were reported in previous studies. As the ore samples were characterized previously, I also wonder what additional characterizations were done in this study. The authors should make it clear.

Author's response

The PAC concentrations derived from passive air samples have never been reported previously. The statement of "were the same samples previously characterized and reported" (shown below) refers to the delayed and fluid petcoke samples which have been characterized by a different lab at the University of Alberta. The samples we obtained were taken from the same batch as previously characterized, but they were analyzed using our method to quantify additional target analytes including alkylated PAHs and PAH derivatives.

Line 120-124 states "Oil sands ore was collected from an open pit mine and provided through the cooperation of Canada's Oil Sands Innovation Alliance (COSIA) and **samples of delayed and fluid petcoke** were obtained from the University of Alberta and were the same samples previously characterized and reported by Zhang et al., 2016.

Author's changes in manuscript

None

5. Comments from referee

According to Lines 108-109, PAS-DD sampler collect gas phase PAHs at similar rates as the PAS sampler (Eng et al., 2013). I took a look at the previous study by Eng et al. and found comparisons of the two samplers compared again in this study. Looking into the details, I did notice some differences between what was presented in this manuscript and the Eng et al. study. Eng et al. concluded PAS-DD and PAS have similar sampling rates for gas-phase PAHs such as phenanthrene. However, in Figure 3 of this study, the authors showed phenanthrene other gas-phase PAHs are two times more abundant in PAS-DD than PAS. Does this contradict the previous study?

Author's response

The difference in the enhancement ratios reported by Eng et al., 2013 and this study can be contributed meteorological factors such as temperature, particle concentration in air, and wind turbulence. Results from a computational fluid dynamic simulation of the PAS-DD sampler (Sajjadi et al., 2016) suggested that particle deposition velocity was dependent on angle of attack at the same wind speed; the sampler with an angle of attack resulted in higher deposition velocity in comparison to that with 0^o degree angle of attack. Therefore, the differences could be site-specific which is also evident from the variations of the enhancement ratios across the sampling sites and more obvious for particle-associated PACs.

Sajjadi, H., Tavakoli, B., Ahmadi, G., Dhaniyala, S., Harner, T., and Holsen, T.: Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation of a newly designed passive particle sampler, Environmental Pollution, 214, 410-418, 2016.

Author's changes in manuscript

We feel there is no need to raise this minor point related to a difference in the PAS-DD enhancement ratio for phenanthrene in the current study versus a calibration study in Toronto where the conditions were completely different.

Comparison of Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds in Air Measured by Conventional Passive and Passive Dry Deposition Samplers and Contributions from Petcoke and Oil Sands Ore

Narumol Jariyasopit[1], Yifeng Zhang[2], Jonathan W. Martin[2], Tom Harner[1,*]
[1]Air Quality Processes Research Section, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, Ontario, M3H 5T4 Canada
[2]Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2G3 Canada
Corresponding author: Tom Harner, phone: +1-416-739-4837, e-mail address: tom.harner@canada.ca

Abstract

Conventional passive air samplers (PAS) and passive dry deposition (PAS-DD) samplers were deployed along a 90 km south-north transect at five sites in the Athabasca oil sands region during October to November 2015. The purpose was to compare and characterize the performance of the two passive sampling methods for targeted compounds across a range of site types. Samples were analyzed for polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs), nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (NPAHs), and oxygenated PAHs (OPAHs). ΣPAC and ΣNPAH concentrations were highest in PAS and PAS-DD samplers at site AMS5, which is the closest sampling site to surface mining and upgrading facilities. The OPAHs were elevated at site AMS6, which is located in the town of Fort McMurray, approximately 30 km south of the main mining area. The PAS-DD was enriched relative to the PAS in particle associated target chemicals, which is consistent with the relatively more open design of the PAS-DD intended to capture particle- (and gas-phase) deposition. Petroleum coke (petcoke) (i.e. the carbonaceous by-product of bitumen upgrading) and oil sands ore (i.e. the material mined in open pit mines from which bitumen is extracted) were assessed for their potential to be a source of PACs to air in the oil sands region. The ore samples contained ~8 times and ~40 times higher ΣPACs concentrations (dry weight basis) than delayed and fluid petcoke, respectively. The residue analysis of ore and petcoke samples also revealed that the chemical 4-nitrobiphenyl (4-NBP) can be used to track gas-phase emissions to air. A comparison of chemical residues in ore, petcoke and air samples revealed that the ore is likely a major contributor to volatile PACs present in air and that both ore and petcoke are contributing to the particle-associated PACs in air near open pit mining areas. The contribution of petcoke particles in passive air samples was also confirmed

qualitatively using scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy.

1 Introduction

Application of passive air sampling techniques has become widespread due to their simplicity, convenience, and cost-effectiveness. It enables us to routinely monitor air pollutants at a larger scale and to extend air monitoring networks to strategic sites that are not feasible for active air sampler installation. Illustrated in figure S1, ~~C~~conventional double-domed polyurethane foam (PUF) disk passive air samplers (PAS) have been commonly used in several air monitoring programs, including the Athabasca oil sands air monitoring network, which uses the Global Atmospheric Passive Sampling (GAPS) Network-type sampler (Harner et al., 2006; Pozo et., 2004; Klánová., 2006; Jaward., 2004; Schuster et al., 2015). A recent study demonstrated that the GAPS-type polyurethane foam (PUF)-PAS sampler was capable of accumulating particles, ranging in size from 250 to 4140 nm, with no discrimination compared to conventional PS-1 type active air samplers (Markovic et al., 2015). The geometry of the PUF-PAS allows it to capture fine particles (aerodynamic diameter < 2.5 μm) in addition to gas-phase compounds which makes it suitable for investigating semi-volatile organic compounds (Deng et al., 2007; Albinet et al., 2008b; Chrysikou et al., 2009). However, coarse particles (aerodynamic diameter >10 μm) are excluded from collection since the overlapping double-dome design of the PUF-PAS does not allow direct flow of bulk air through the sampler (Thomas et al., 2006). The coarse particle component is the major contributor to the particle deposition flux, particularly in the settling zone near emission sources (Holsen et al., 1992). As such, a prototype passive dry deposition (PAS-DD) sampler was introduced in our recent study to assess dry deposition of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and related compounds (Eng et al., 2013). The design of the PAS-DD, which incorporates a PUF disk as the collection substrate, positioned between two open parallel flat plates that are shielded above, allows for dry particle deposition from bulk air as well as dry gas-phase deposition (Figure S1).

Starting in October 2015, PAS-DD samplers were co-deployed with PAS samplers at 5 sampling sites in the Athabasca oil sands region (AOSR) in order to compare the performance of the two samplers. These sampling sites are part of a larger 16-site passive air monitoring network that has been operating since 2010 (Schuster et al., 2015) under the Canada/Alberta Oil Sands Monitoring (OSM) plan and reporting on polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs) (i.e. parent and alkylated PAHs, dibenzothiophene (DBT), alkylated DBTs, retene (RET)), PAH derivatives, including nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (NPAH) and oxygenated PAH (OPAH), as well as an assessment of the toxicity potential of the chemical mixture (Schuster et al., 2015; Jariyasopit et al., 2016).

As a result of an increase in oil sands production, there has been growing concern over impacts of organic constituents in air, their transport and deposition, and the associated impact on the health of the environment and on humans. One of the important classes of organic pollutants in this context is the PACs. PACs are emitted from a variety of sources such as combustion processes (e.g. forest fires, trash burning) and also petrogenic sources; they are present in the bitumen-containing ore that is mined in the AOSR (Yang et al., 2011). Information on PAC sources can be obtained from the National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI) and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), but these are limited to PACs which do not account for compounds produced by transformation reactions (NPRI, http://ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/donnees-data/index.cfm?lang=En; Government of Alberta, https://exts2.aep.alberta.ca/DocArc/EIA/Pages/default.aspx). The major mining-related ~~emissions~~ sources of PACs include stacks, mining fleet vehicles, open mine pits, exposed ore deposits, and fugitive dusts such as petroleum coke (petcoke) (Zhang et al., 2016). Secondary and evaporative sources of PACs (e.g. tailings ponds) have also been suggested through measurements and models (Galarneau et al., 2014; Parajulee et al., 2014). The atmospheric deposition of PACs across the oil sands region has also been investigated using snow and lichens and estimated through modeling (Zhang et al., 2015; Studabaker et al., 2012; Kelly., 2009). Zhang et al., 2016 have also investigated the role of petcoke particle deposition to snow as a mechanism of the transport and deposition of PACs to terrestrial surfaces.

Petcoke is a solid residue and is a byproduct of the upgrading of bitumen after lighter hydrocarbon molecules have been fractionated. There are two major types of coking in the AOSR referred to as “delayed” and “fluid” coking processes (Anthony et al., 1995). Both coking processes involve thermal cracking of the feedstock to extract lighter products and leave behind petcoke.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

In the delayed coking process, the cracking process continues, after a short thermal cracking in a furnace, in coke drums where solid coke is produced. In the fluid coking process, the coke produced in a heated reactor is circulated between the reactor and a burner to transfer heat. The delayed coking process occurs at lower temperature than the fluid coking process, therefore, the delayed petcoke contains more volatiles and potentially more PACs than the fluid petcoke.

The majority of petcoke produced in the AOSR has been stockpiled while only a small percentage is re-used on-site as fuel (Alberta Energy Regulator, 2014). Recently petcoke has been used for capping decommissioned tailings ponds, which greatly enhances its surface area available for erosion and evaporation (Alberta Energy Regulator, https://www.aer.ca/documents/sts/ST98/ST98-2014.pdf). We hypothesize that secondary emissions to air of PACs from oil sands ore (open pit mines) and/or petcoke stockpiles, either through evaporation or particle suspension in air (e.g. wind transport) contribute substantially to PAC burdens in air, especially in areas nearby sources. Therefore in addition to comparing the performance of PUF-PAS and PAS-DD samplers, a secondary objective of this study is to assess the extent to which oil sands ore and petcoke contribute to the PAC burden of air in the AOSR.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling

Five sampling sites (Figure 1) are part of the passive air monitoring network in the AOSR. Details regarding site locations, sampling media preparation, and sample deployment have been previously described (Schuster et al., 2015). The samplers were mounted approximately 3 m above the ground. In brief, PUF disks were pre-cleaned with accelerated solvent extraction (Dionex ASE 350) using acetone, petroleum ether, and acetonitrile, prior to use. Since October 2015, the PAS-DD samplers have been deployed alongside the PAS samplers at a subset of 5 sites (Figure 1 and Figure S1). The PAS-DD sampler was previously demonstrated to collect gas-phase PAHs (i.e. dry gas-phase deposition) at similar rates as the PAS sampler (Eng et al., 2013). A sampling rate of about 5 $m^3 d^{-1}$ was derived previously using co-located PAS and high volume samplers for the gas- and particle-phase PACs (Harner et al., 2013). Concentrations measured by PAS-DD sampler were expressed as ng m^{-3} for comparison with PAS sampler, using the PAS sampler effective air sample volume,~~(Shoeib and Harner, 2002)~~ corrected for reduced exposure surface of the PAS-DD sampler.~~ ~~(Shoeib and Harner,

2002) Two field blanks were included in the sampling. Oil sands ore was collected from an open pit mine and provided through the cooperation of Canada's Oil Sands Innovation Alliance (COSIA) and samples of delayed and fluid petcoke were obtained from the University of Alberta and were the same samples previously characterized and reported by Zhang et al., 2016.

2.2 Chemicals and Materials

The monitored PACs, NPAHs, and OPAHs are listed in Table S1. Standards for the target analytes were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Labs (Andover, MA), Chiron (Trondheim, Norway), and AccuStandard (New Haven, CT)~~, Chiron (Norway)~~. Deuterium-labeled recovery and internal standards were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Labs (Andover, MA) and CDN Isotopes (Point-Claire, Quebec, Canada). The deuterated recovery surrogates included 2,6-dimethylnaphthalene-d_{12}, acenaphthene-d_{10}, anthracene-d_{10}, benzo(b)naphtho(2,1-d)-thiophene-d_{10}, 1-nitronaphthalene-d_7, 2-methyl-1-nitronaphthalene-d_9, 5-nitroacenaphthene-d_9, 9-nitroanthracene-d_9, 3-nitrofluoranthene-d_9,1-nitropyrene-d_9, and 6-nitrochrysene-d_{11}. The deuterated internal standards included fluorine-d_{10} and benz(a)anthracene-d_{12}, 2-nitrobiphenyl-d_9, and 2-nitrofluorene-d_9. PUF disks (TE-1014, 14 cm diameter × 1.35 cm thick) were purchased from Tisch Environmental (Village of Cleves, OH).

2.3 Sample Preparation and Analyses

2.3.1 Chemical analyses. PUF disk, fluid petcoke (~0.5 g), delayed petcoke (0.2 g), and oil sands ore (~0.15 g) samples were spiked with the labeled recovery PAC and NPAH surrogates (250 ng) prior to extraction by accelerated solvent extraction (Dionex ASE 350) using petroleum ether and acetone (75:25, v:v; 2 cycles). For the passive air and petcoke samples, the extracts were purified using 5 g silica columns (Mega BE-SI, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, DE), eluted with dichloromethane. The oil sands ore samples were purified using 20 g silica columns (Mega BE-SI, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, DE), eluted with dicholoromethane. Following solvent reduction under a purified N_2 stream with a Turbovap II (Biotage, NC), the sample extracts were spiked with the labeled PAC and NPAH internal standards (250 ng). PAC analysis was carried out using gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS, Agilent 6890 coupled with an Agilent 5975 MSD), by electron impact ionization in selected ion monitoring mode, on a DB-XLB column (30 m × 0.25 mm I.D., 0.25 µm film thickness, Agilent

Technologies). NPAH and OPAH analysis was conducted using a GC-MS (Agilent 7890A coupled with and Agilent 7000 MSD), in electron capture negative ionization (ECNI), on a DB-5 column (30 m × 0.25 mm I.D., 0.25 μm film thickness, Agilent Technologies) (Jariyasopit et al., 2016).

2.3.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy. Analysis using SEM-EDS (Zeiss Sigma 300 VP-FESEM) was carried out at the University of Alberta Earth and Atmospheric Sciences SEM lab. A pie-shaped wedge section of PUF disk (2 cm base) was used for the SEM-EDS analysis. Particles entrained in the PUF wedges were removed by ultrasonication in dichloromethane, which was subsequently dried by nitrogen gas. A portion of the dried particles was transferred to double sided adhesive conductive tape for SEM-EDS analysis. The EDS spectra were acquired by a Bruker energy EDS system with dual silicon drift detectors each with an area of 60 mm^2 and a resolution of 123 eV. Additional details on the SEM-EDS approach are provided in Zhang et al., 2016.

2.3.3 Quality Assurance and Quality Control. Results were corrected to account for the wedge portion removed for the SEM analysis and also for the area of the PUF disk covered by the open plate and perforated support that holds the PUF in place (representing about ~36% of the PUF area). All data were recovery and blank corrected. Average surrogate recoveries for PACs, PAC derivatives were 80% (±27%) and 65% (±15), respectively. The instrumental and method detection limits (IDL and MDL) are given in Table S1. Values below MDL were replaced by 2/3 of MDL for statistical purposes (Schuster et al., 2015).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Ambient concentrations of PACs, NPAHs, and OPAHs. The highest concentrations in air for ΣPACs$__{\text{PAS}}$ and ΣPACs$__{\text{PAS-DD}}$ were 58 and 150 ng m^{-3}, respectively (Table S2), and observed at site AMS5, which is in close proximity to upgrading facilities. The lowest concentrations of ΣPACs$__{\text{PAS}}$ and ΣPACs$__{\text{PAS-DD}}$ were 14 and 24 ng m^{-3}, respectively, and were observed at AMS14, which is ~35 km southeast of Fort McMurray and adjacent to a near-lake residential settlement (Anzac) that is well-removed from the open-pit mining (Table S2) but within several kilometers of a bitumen upgrading facility. For all the sites, the PAS and PAS-DD samples exhibited similar relative PAC compositions, with C1 Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes (C1-

PHEs+ANTs) and PHE being the most abundant alk-PAH and parent PAH, respectively (Figure S2). For both PAS and PAS-DD samplers, the PAC chemical compositions were dominated by the 2-3 ring PAHs and 2-3 ring alk-PAHs, which accounted for 77~~65~~%-87~~70~~% of the sum of all target compounds (Figure 2). Residential sites (AMS6 and AMS14) were characterized by lower concentrations in air of dibenzothiophene (DBT) and alk-DBTs (compounds that are enriched in bitumen) but higher retene (RET) concentrations (a marker of wood combustion) (Ramdahl et al., 1983), compared to other sampling sites that are closer to the main oil sands activities. This suggests reduced influence of petrogenic sources and an increased contribution from wood combustion at sites AMS6 and AMS14, which is consistent with their greater distance from open mining areas and greater proximity to residential area where wood is burned for heating and recreational activities. However, it should be noted that RET does not solely originate from wood burning and has also been reported for stack emissions in the oil sands region during the winter period, which challenges the explanation above (Watson et al., 2013). It is also possible that the small (relative to main mining area) upgrading facility, which is several kilometers southeast of AMS14, may play a role.

For all the sites, ΣNPAHs_$_{PAS}$ and ΣNPAHs_$_{PAS\text{-}DD}$ were dominated by 2 ring NPAHs, (Table S2). Concentrations in air of ΣNPAHs_$_{PAS}$ and ΣNPAHs_$_{PAS\text{-}DD}$ were highest at AMS5 (152 pg m^{-3} and 170 pg m^{-3}, respectively) and lowest at AMS14 (8.42 pg m^{-3} and 14.2 pg m^{-3}, respectively). At AMS 5, 1-methyl/2-methyl-5-nitronaphthalene (1M5NN/2M1NN) was the most abundant NPAH in the PAS sample, whereas 4-nitrobiphenyl (4-NBP) was the most abundant NPAH in the PAS-DD sample. The nitromethylnaphthalenes are known products of the gas-phase OH and NO$_3$ radical-initiated reactions with methylnaphthalenes (Reisen et al., 2004), while 4-NBP emission sources are not well understood and it is usually not included in air monitoring campaigns. Past studies reported that ambient 4-NBP concentrations were low or below detection even in urban areas (Crimmins et al., 2006; Wang and Jariyasopit et al., 2011). A study reported an average 4-NBP concentration in air of 45 pg m^{-3} in Texas, at a sampling site close to petrochemical manufacturing plants and oil refineries (Wilson et al., 1995). Previous chamber reaction experiments demonstrated that 3-nitrobiphenyl (3-NBP) was the only nitro product formed by the OH-radical initiated chamber reaction of biphenyl and that no nitro products were observed from the NO$_3$-radical initiated chamber reaction (Atkinson and Arey, 1994). In this study, 3-NBP was below the detection limit at all the sites, while 4-NBP was detected only at AMS5 and AMS9. It should be noted that in the same sampling period, the 4-NBP concentration measured in a PAS sample at site AMS11 (data not shown), designated as the main oil sands source region (Jariyasopit et al., 2016), was a factor of five higher than that observed at AMS5, suggesting that airborne 4-NBP is closely linked to oil sands industrial activities. However, according to our previous study, 4-NBP was below the detection limit at all 15 passive sampling sites during the reporting period April to May 2014 (Jariyasopit et al., 2016). Long-term monitoring of NPAHs could provide insight into seasonal and temporal trends of NPAHs in air in the AOSR and improve understanding of their formation and sources.

NPAHs have been previously measured in $PM_{2.5}$ released from stacks emissions in the AOSR (Watson et al., 2013). The majority of NPAH target compounds were below detection limits in the $PM_{2.5}$ collected from stacks in the oil sands region, with the exception of 9-nitroanthracene (9-NAN) (Watson et al., 2013). In general, 9-NAN was dominant NPAH in urban areas and present at approximately as high concentrations as 2-nitrofluoranthene (2-NF) which is the major particle-associated NPAH known to be formed by atmospheric OH and NO_3 radical-initiated reactions (Wang and Jariyasopit et al., 2011; Bamford et al., 2003; Albinet et al., 2007). In this study site AMS5, which is close to upgrading facilities, exhibited the highest ΣNPAHs concentration, however 9-NAN was below detection limits. This result suggests that stack emissions were not a major PM source contributing to NPAH concentrations measured by passive samplers.

For OPAHs, highest concentration was found at AMS6 which is located in the town of Fort McMurray, approximately 30 km south of the main mining area. This is consistent with our previous study. The elevated OPAHs at this site are due to local primary combustion sources (e.g. vehicular exhausts) as well as enhanced atmospheric transformation process which is dependent on gaseous oxidants emitted from the combustion sources (Jariyasopit et al., 2016). The highest concentrations for $\Sigma OPAHs\_{_{PAS}}$ and $\Sigma OPAHs\_{_{PAS\text{-}DD}}$ were 753 and 1480 pg m^{-3}. The lowest concentrations for $\Sigma OPAHs\_{_{PAS}}$ and $\Sigma OPAHs\_{_{PAS\text{-}DD}}$ were 213 pg m^{-3} and 352 pg m^{-3}, respectively, and were observed at AMS13. The dominant contributor was 2-3 ring OPAHs (Table S2). For both sampler types 9-fluorenone (FLO) was the dominant OPAHs at all sites. Different target OPAHs have been reported by various air monitoring campaigns making it challenging to compare the OPAH air concentrations in the AOSR to data available in the literature. On average, the ΣOPAHs concentrations derived from PAS and PAS-DD samplers in this study were comparable or lower than concentrations measured at urban sites (Wang and Jariyasopit et al., 2011; Ahmed et al., 2015; Albinet et al., 2008a).

3.2 PUF-PAS versus PAS-DD sampler. A key aspect of the study was to compare the performance of the PAS and PAS-DD sampler to capture PACs, NPAHs, and OPAHs. Their relative performance can be illustrated using the enhancement ratio which is defined as the ratio of the concentration of an analyte in the PAS-DD sampler to that in the PAS sampler. A value close to 1 indicates comparable ability of the two sampler types for capturing an analyte. Figure 3 shows enhancement ratios for PACs for all the sampling sites. Average ratios for 2-3 ring, 4 ring, and 5-6 ring PACs were 2.3, 2.8, and 3.6 respectively. Similarly, the enhancement ratio increased with molecular weight for the NPAHs (Figure S3) up to an average value of about 4. Comparison of the enhancement ratios of PACs among the sites indicates that the ratio is partly dependent on particle loadings. This is evident from higher enhancement ratios of higher ring PACs, except for BghiP, observed in AMS5, the near source site in where ΣPACs, ΣNPAHs, and ΣOPAHs concentrations were highest. This finding illustrates the enhanced ability of the PAS-DD to capture more of the higher molecular weight target compounds that are associated with the larger depositing particles in air, whereas the PUF-PAS preferentially samples the smaller airborne particles.

The enhancement ratios for the NPAHs and OPAHs were considerably more variable among sites compared to the patterns observed for the PACs (Figure S3). This may be due to multiple factors contributing to their presence in air, which can be by direct emission from primary sources as well as production in air through transformation processes. Transformation reaction rates will vary among NPAH and OPAH compounds and also spatially, depending on atmospheric conditions and oxidant concentrations.

3.3 Chemical compositions of Petcoke and Oil Sands Ore. The composition of PACs, NPAHs, and OPAHs in petcoke and oil sands ore samples was investigated in order to assess if these compositions are reflected in the passive air samplers, and thereby indicating potential contributions. Results of residue analysis are discussed below and summarized in Table 1. Individual PAC concentrations and composition are given in Table S3 and Figure S4.

3.4 PACs. The levels of ΣPACs (dry weight basis) were approximately five times higher in the delayed petcoke (85,300 ng g^{-1}) compared to the fluid petcoke (16,700 ng g^{-1}) (Table 1). The greater abundance of PACs in delayed petcoke (vs fluid petcoke) is likely due to the lower temperatures involved in the delayed coking process (Anthony et al., 1995), resulting in reduced losses of PACs due to degradation/volatilization, leaving PACs more concentrated in the residue. Furthermore, the residues of 2-3 ring alk-PAHs and 4-6 ring alk-PAHs were ~10 times and ~2 times higher than their parent PACs (Table 1). DBT and alk-DBTs concentrations in the delayed petcoke were ~5 times higher than the concentrations in the fluid petcoke. Despite the difference in absolute residue concentrations of PACs, the PAC profiles were similar in delayed and fluid petcoke: 4-6 ring compounds accounting for ~60% of the ΣPACs (Figures 4~~5~~ and S4); of which, 4-6 ring alk-PAHs was the dominant group. It is also noteworthy that chrysene (CHR) and the sum of alk-benzo(a)anthracenes, alk-triphenylenes, and alk-chrysenes (alk-BTCs) were major components of petcoke (Table S3 and Figure S4). The oil sands ore residue concentration of ΣPACs (dry weight basis) was 680,000 ng g^{-1} which was ~40 and ~8 times higher than ΣPACs determined in the fluid and delayed petcoke, respectively (Tables 1 and S3). The oil sands ore had the highest residue concentrations of 2-3 ring parent PAHs, alk-PAHs, RET, and alk-DBTs, however, the delayed petcoke had the greatest residue concentrations of 4-6 ring parent PAHs and DBT (Table 1). In contrast to the PAC compositions of the fluid and delayed petcoke, 2-3 ring PACs were the major component in the oil sands ore accounting for ~70% of the ΣPACs (Table 1 and Figure 4). A past study reported percent contribution of 2-3 ring PACs in oil sands samples ranging from 79%-94% of the ΣPACs (Yang et al., 2011). Variability in percent contribution was caused by the degree of biodegradation in the samples, with greater biodegradation leading to reductions in 2-3 ring alkylated PAHs (Yang et al., 2011).

3.5 NPAH and OPAH. Residues of the sum of NPAH and OPAH concentrations in the oil sands ore sample were 2 to 4 orders of magnitude lower than the PAC concentrations in the delayed and fluid petcoke samples, respectively (Table 1). Similar to the results for PACs in petcoke samples, the oil sands ore exhibited higher ΣNPAHs (332 ng g^{-1}), which were 1.6 times and 178 times higher, respectively than in the delayed (208 ng g^{-1}) and fluid petcoke (1.91 ng g^{-1}) (Table S3). However, the delayed petcoke was the most enriched in 4-6 ring NPAHs. The most abundant NPAHs in the delayed petcoke were 6-nitrobenzo(a)pyrene (6-NBaP) (138 ng g^{-1}), whereas the most abundant in the ore was 4-NBP (228 ng g^{-1}). The presence and absence of these compounds in the various source samples presents an opportunity to use these as markers to evaluate emission sources to air. Figure 5 summarizes the occurrence of the various NPAH marker compounds by showing extracted ion chromatograms for samples of delayed and fluid petcoke, ore, and two passive air samples. In the following section of the discussion, we consider the implication of these profiles for indicating potential contributions to air of petcoke and ore particles.

Despite having relatively lower residues of PACs and NPAHs, the delayed petcoke exhibited higher residues of ΣOPAHs compared to fluid petcoke and ore - approximately 10 times and 3 times higher, respectively (Tables 1 and S3). The most abundant OPAHs in fluid petcoke, delayed petcoke, and ore were 9,10-anthraquinone (ANQ, 31 ng g^{-1}), benzo(a)fluorenone (BaFL, 180 ng g^{-1}), and FLO (113 ng g^{-1}), respectively. All individual OPAH concentrations measured in the delayed petcoke were higher than those measured in the fluid petcoke and the oil sands ore except for FLO which was highest in the ore. The fluid petcoke and ore were enriched in lower ring OPAHs, however, the delayed petcoke was enriched in higher ring OPAHs. OPAHs have been identified as transformation products of biological and chemical processes and reported to be abundant in soils from PAH contaminated sites in Sweden and thought to be formed through PAH remediation processes including bioremediation and Fenton oxidation (Lundstedt et al., 2007).

3.6 Contribution of Petcoke and Oil sands ore to PAC burdens in air. Comparisons of PAC compositions for the delayed petcoke, fluid petcoke, and oil sands ore versus the PUF-PAS, and PAS-DD air samples revealed interesting differences as shown in Figure 4 and Figure S4. For instance, the petcoke particles exhibited enrichment in the higher molecular weight, semi-volatile and particulate-associated parent PAHs and alk-PAHs, while the oil sands ore and passive air samples were dominated by lower molecular weight and more volatile alk-PAHs. DBT makes up a small proportion (~0.1% - 1%) in all the samples. Alk-DBTs comprise 13% of the ΣPACs in the delayed and fluid petcoke but was 17% in the oil sands ore (Table S3); whereas small compositions of alk-DBTs were observed in the PAS and PAS-DD air samples (mean of 6-7%) (Table S4). RET accounted for 1%-3% of the ΣPACs in the oil sands ore, PAS, and PAS-DD but it accounted for much smaller proportions in the fluid petcoke and delayed petcoke. Furthermore, as illustrated in Figure S4, individual 2-3 ring PACs in the oil sands ore and passive air samples make up similar proportions of the ΣPAC burden. Given the relatively higher volatility of these 2-3 ring PACs, this suggests that oil sands ore is contributing substantially to these gas-phase PACs in air, most likely through volatilization from open mine faces.

To assess the potential contributions of particle-associated PACs in air, stemming potentially from either petcoke or the exposed ore from open pit mines, we focus on the higher molecular weight compounds which exist primarily in the particle-phase. These compounds dominate the PAC composition of petcoke (Figure S4), since the more volatile, lower molecular weight compounds, are depleted during the high temperature coking process. If petcoke and/or ore particles represent an important contributor to PACs present in air, then their compositions should be reflected in PAS and especially the PAS-DD samples. The compositions of the 4-6 ring PACs in delayed petcoke, fluid petcoke, oil sands ore, and passive air samples are compared in Figure S5. The parent 4-6 ring PAH composition of the passive air samples did not match the 4-6 ring PAH compositions of the petcoke and ore, suggesting a minimal contribution of these sources to parent PAH burdens in air. This implies that other source of parent PAHs (e.g. combustion, vehicle emissions) were more dominant; whereas the 4-6 ring alk-PAH compositions for all samples were more similar, suggesting some contribution of petcoke and oil sands ore particles in the passive air samples. However, these findings for parent PAHs and alk-PAHs are somewhat contradictory. If petcoke particles had contributed substantially to alk-PAHs in air, then the parent PAHs contained in these same particles (and making up ~25% of the PAC residue, Table S3) should have also been important contributors, especially since concentrations in air of parent PAHs are much lower and therefore more sensitive to the petcoke contribution. The results are less contradictory in the case of ore since ore residues are dominated by alk-PAHs (~95%, Table S3). In other words, ore particles could be contributing substantially to the alk-PAHs present in air but not substantially to parent PAHs in air, which is consistent with Figures S4 and S5. In fact, the relative proportions of alk-PAHs to parent PAHs in ore is consistent with the relative proportions observed in air, with a dominance of the alk-PAHs (Figure 4, Table S2).

In the case of NPAHs and OPAHs, their relatively low residue concentrations in petcoke and ore samples, complicates the assessment of potential contributions of these particles to air samples. As shown in Figure 5, the high molecular weight and particle-associated NPAH marker compounds, 2-NP, 1,6-DNP, and 6-NBaP, are detected in various petcoke and ore samples but not reflected in air. However, the gas-phase marker compound 4-NBP, which is present in delayed petcoke is also captured at site AMS5 and AMS9 (Table S2). These two sites are the closest to open pit mines which points to the potential importance of volatilization from open pit mines as a source to air of 4-NBP and other volatile PACs. This finding is supported by the results of a simple ore-air partitioning experiment showing that 4-NBP was in fact detected in air that has equilibrated with ore. Details of the experimental set-up which are based on Francisco et al., 2017 are provided in the Supplement.

We conclude that oil sands ore is contributing substantially to burdens of PACs in air near mining areas but not at sites further removed from open mines. This finding is consistent with air and snow monitoring studies that indicate that most of the deposition of mining related particles and associated chemicals occurs within the first several kilometers of mining areas (Schuster et al., 2015; Kelly et al., 2009).

3.7 Qualitative SEM-EDS analysis of PUF disks. In this aspect of the study, passive air samples were screened for petcoke particles using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Petcoke particles can be distinguished from ore and other particle types by their unique morphology (using SEM) and elemental composition (based on EDS spectra). An image and EDS spectrum of an authentic delayed petcoke particle is shown in Figure 6A, demonstrating the unique relative elemental abundance (excluding carbon) as S (Sulfur) > Si (Silicon) ≈ Al (Aluminum). Whereas ore particles have different relative elemental abundance (i.e. Si > S > Al) (Zhang et al., 2016). Based on their morphology and elemental composition, petcoke particles were screened for and identified in all of the passive air samples. It should be noted that elemental composition of delayed and fluid petcoke particles are indistinguishable, however, they can be distinguished from each other by morphology at 1000× magnification (Zhang et al., 2016). Figure 6B shows an image of petcoke-like particle in PAS-DD PUF deployed at AMS5, and the elemental profile with the dominance of sulfur. The results of the particle screening exercise carried out on all of the PAS and PAS-DD samples confirmed the presence of petcoke particles in PUFs collected at AMS5, AMS9, and AMS13, which are the sampling sites closest to mining activities (Figure 1). This indicates that surface-derived particles such as petcoke and ore particles can be suspended in air and transported away from mining areas, albeit over relatively short distances. Because volatile PAH residues in ore particles are much greater than in petcoke particles (Table S3), it is also very likely that ore makes a larger contribution to air burdens of PACs, which is consistent with the earlier discussion related to marker compounds and chemical profiles.

6 Summary

In summary, this study demonstrated the performance of the PAS-DD in capturing depositing particles that are enriched with the higher molecular weight PACs and PAC derivatives. Due to the design of PAS-DD where the PUF disk is shielded from precipitation and direct sunlight by a cover plate, PACs captured by PAS-DD reflects dry deposition of PACs that have been protected from photolytic degradation. Alternatively, environmental passive samplers such as peat and moss have been used to collect wet and dry depositions (Zhang et al., 2016). These environmental passive samplers are exposed to direct sunlight but potentially collect more of the dry deposited fraction, especially the very large particles which may be excluded by PAS-DD due to the top cover plate. The environmental samplers also accumulate PACs deposited in precipitation. Therefore we consider PAS-DD, peat and moss as complementary tools for assessing ecosystem impacts through atmospheric deposition. The comparisons of chemical composition of PACs in passive air samples with petcoke and oil sands ore samples demonstrated an important contribution of oil sands ore to PAC concentrations in air for sites that were closer to open pit mining areas. Further characterization of ore-air partitioning is identified as a topic for future experimental work and modelling. Lastly, in this study we identify 4-NBP (4-nitrobiphenyl) as a potential marker chemical of oil sands ore and delayed petcoke.

Data Availability. Data used in this study are provided in the Supplement.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This project was jointly supported by the Climate Change and Air Quality Program of Environment and Climate Change Canada and the Joint Oil Sands Monitoring program. The Wood Buffalo Environmental Association (WBEA) is acknowledged for their support in passive air sample collection. We thank E. Gaga, J. Schuster and E. Galarneau for their comments on the manuscript.

References

Ahmed, T. M., Ahmed, B., Aziz, B. K., Bergvall, C., Westerholm, R.: Native and oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ambient air particulate matter from the city of Sulaimaniyah in Iraq, Atmos. Environ., 116, 44-50, 2015.

Albinet, A., Leoz-Garziandia, E., Budzinski, H., ViIlenave, E.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nitrated PAHs and oxygenated PAHs in ambient air of the Marseilles area (South of France): Concentrations and sources, Sci. Total Environ., 384, 280-292, 2007.

Albinet, A., Leoz-Garziandia, E., Budzinski, H., Villenave, E., Jaffrezo, J. L.: Nitrated and oxygenated derivatives of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the ambient air of two French alpine valleys: Part 1: Concentrations, sources and gas/particle partitioning, Atmos. Environ., 42, 43-54, 2008a.

Albinet, A., Leoz-Garziandia, E., Budzinski, H., Villenave, E., Jaffrezo, J.-L.: Nitrated and oxygenated derivatives of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the ambient air of two French alpine valleys Part 2: Particle size distribution, Atmos. Environ., 42, 55-64, 2008b.

Anthony, E.: Fluidized bed combustion of alternative solid fuels; status, successes and problems of the technology, Prog. Energ. Combust., 21, 239-268, 1995.

Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry of Gas-Phase Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Formation of Atmospheric Mutagens, Environ. Health Persp., 102, 117-126, 1994.

Bamford, H. A., Baker, J. E.: Nitro-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations and sources in urban and suburban atmospheres of the Mid-Atlantic region, Atmos. Environ., 37, 2077-2091, 2003.

Chrysikou, L. P., Gemenetzis, P. G., Samara, C. A.: Wintertime size distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) in the urban environment: Street-vs rooftop-level measurements, Atmos. Environ., 43, 290-300, 2009.

Crimmins, B. S., Baker, J. E.: Improved GC/MS methods for measuring hourly PAH and nitro-PAH concentrations in urban particulate matter, Atmos. Environ., 40, 6764-6779, 2006.

Deng, W., Zheng, J., Bi, X., Fu, J., Wong, M.: Distribution of PBDEs in air particles from an electronic waste recycling site compared with Guangzhou and Hong Kong, South China, Environ. Int., 33, 1063-1069, 2007.

Eng, A., Harner, T., Pozo, K.: A prototype passive air sampler for measuring dry deposition of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol. Let., 1, 77-81, 2013.

Francisco, A. P., Harner, T., Eng, A.: Measurement of polyurethane foam – air partition coefficients for semivolatile organic compounds as a function of temperature: Application to passive air sampler monitoring, Chemosphere, 174, 638-642, 2017.

Galarneau, E., Hollebone, B. P., Yang, Z., Schuster, J.: Preliminary measurement-based estimates of PAH emissions from oil sands tailings ponds, Atmos. Environ., 97, 332-335, 2014.

Harner, T., Bartkow, M., Holoubek, I., Klanova, J., Wania, F., Gioia, R., Moeckel, C., Sweetman, A. J., Jones, K. C.: Passive air sampling for persistent organic pollutants: Introductory remarks to the special issue, Environ. Pollut., 144, 361-364, 2006.

Harner, T., Su, K., Genualdi, S., Karpowicz, J., Ahrens, L., Mihele, C., Schuster, J., Charland, J.-P.; Narayan, J.: Calibration and application of PUF disk passive air samplers for tracking polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs), Atmos. Environ., 75, 123-128, 2013.

Holsen, T. M., Noll, K. E.: Dry deposition of atmospheric particles: application of current models to ambient data, Environ. Sci. Technol., 26, 1807-1815, 1992.

Jariyasopit, N., Harner, T., Wu, D., Williams, A., Halappanavar, S., Su, K.: Mapping Indicators of Toxicity for Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds in the Atmosphere of the Athabasca Oil Sands Region, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 11282-11291, 2016.

Jaward, F. M., Farrar, N. J., Harner, T., Sweetman, A. J., Jones, K. C.: Passive air sampling of PCBs, PBDEs, and organochlorine pesticides across Europe, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 34-41, 2004.

Kelly, E. N., Short, J. W., Schindler, D. W., Hodson, P. V., Ma, M., Kwan, A. K., Fortin, B. L.: Oil sands development contributes polycyclic aromatic compounds to the Athabasca River and its tributaries, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 106, 22346-22351, 2009.

Klánová, J., Kohoutek, J., Hamplová, L., Urbanová, P., Holoubek, I.: Passive air sampler as a tool for long-term air pollution monitoring: Part 1. Performance assessment for seasonal and spatial variations, Environ. Pollut., 144, 393-405, 2006.

Lundstedt, S., White, P. A., Lemieux, C. L., Lynes, K. D., Lambert, I. B., Öberg, L., Haglund, P., Tysklind, M.: Sources, Fate, and Toxic Hazards of Oxygenated Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) at PAH- contaminated Sites, AMBIO, 36, 475-485, 2007.

Markovic, M. Z., Prokop, S., Staebler, R. M., Liggio, J., Harner, T.: Evaluation of the particle infiltration efficiency of three passive samplers and the PS-1 active air sampler, Atmos. Environ., 112, 289-293, 2015.

Parajulee, A., Wania, F.: Evaluating officially reported polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emissions in the Athabasca oil sands region with a multimedia fate model, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 111, 3344-3349, 2014.

Pozo, K., Harner, T., Shoeib, M., Urrutia, R., Barra, R., Parra, O., Focardi, S.: Passive-Sampler Derived Air Concentrations of Persistent Organic Pollutants on a North−South Transect in Chile, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 6529-6537, 2004.

Ramdahl, T.: Retene—a molecular marker of wood combustion in ambient air, Nature, 306, 580-582, 1983.

Reisen, F., Arey, J.: Atmospheric Reactions Influence Seasonal PAH and Nitro-PAH Concentrations in the Los Angeles Basin, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 64-73, 2004.

Schuster, J. K., Harner, T., Su, K., Mihele, C., Eng, A.: First Results from the Oil Sands Passive Air Monitoring Network for Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 2991-2998, 2015.

Shoeib, M., and Harner, T.: Characterization and comparison of three passive air samplers for persistent organic pollutants, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 4142-4151, 2002.

Studabaker, W., Krupa, S., Jayanty, R., Raymer, J.: Measurement of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Epiphytic Lichens for Receptor Modeling in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region (AOSR): A Pilot Study-Chapter 17, 2012.

Thomas, J., Holsen, T. M., Dhaniyala, S.: Computational fluid dynamic modeling of two passive samplers, Environ. Pollut., 144, 384-392, 2006.

Wang, W., Jariyasopit, N., Schrlau, J., Jia, Y., Tao, S., Yu, T.-W., Dashwood, R. H., Zhang, W., Wang, X., Simonich, S. L. M.: Concentration and Photochemistry of PAHs, NPAHs, and OPAHs and Toxicity of PM2.5 during the Beijing Olympic Games, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 6887-6895, 2011.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, (Complex) Arabic (Saudi Arabia)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Auto, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, (Complex) Arabic (Saudi Arabia), Not Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, (Complex) Arabic (Saudi Arabia)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, (Complex) Arabic (Saudi Arabia)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, (Complex) Arabic (Saudi Arabia)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, (Complex) Arabic (Saudi Arabia)

Watson, J., Chow, J., Wang, X., Kohl, S., Sodeman, D.: Measurement of real-world stack emissions in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region with a dilution sampling system during March, 2011, Renov. NV: Desert Research Institute 2013.

Wilson, N. K., McCurdy, T. R., Chuang, J. C.: Concentrations and phase distributions of nitrated and oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ambient air, Atmos. Environ., 29, 2575-2584, 1995.

Yang, C., Wang, Z., Yang, Z., Hollebone, B., Brown, C. E., Landriault, M., Fieldhouse, B.: Chemical fingerprints of Alberta oil sands and related petroleum products, Environ. Forensics, 12, 173-188, 2011.

Zhang, L., Cheng, I., Muir, D., Charland, J.-P.: Scavenging ratios of polycyclic aromatic compounds in rain and snow in the Athabasca oil sands region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1421-1434, 2015.

Zhang, Y., Shotyk, W., Zaccone, C., Noernberg, T., Pelletier, R., Bicalho, B., Froese, D. G., Davies, L., Martin, J. W.: Airborne petcoke dust is a major source of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 1711-1720, 2016.

Figure 1. Passive sampling site map (110 km × 180 km). AMS5 – Mannix, AMS6 – Fort McMurray, AMS9 – Barge landing, AMS13 – Syncrude, AMS14 – Anzac. A) Conventional PUF disk passive air samplers (PAS) and B) Passive dry deposition (PAS-DD) sampler.



Figure 2. Composition of target compounds in air samples from five sites in the oil sands region, collected using the conventional passive sampler (PAS) and the passive dry deposition (PAS-DD) sampler, collected during October to November 2015. "PAC" includes parent PAHs and alk-PAHs.



Figure 3. Enhancement ratios (expressed as a ratio of the concentration of an analyte in the PAS-DD sampler to that in the PAS sampler) for A.) alk-PAHs and alk-DBTs and B.) parent PAHs, DBT, and RET during October to November 2015 across five sites in the oil sands region.




A.
$[\text{Alk-PAC}]_{\text{PAS-DD}}/[\text{Alk-PAC}]_{\text{PAS}}$
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
C1-NAP
C2-NAP
C3-NAP
C4-NAP
C1-FLU
C2-FLU
C1-DBT
C2-DBT
C3-DBT
C4-DBT
C1-PHE+ANT
C2-PHE+ANT
C3-PHE+ANT
C4-PHE+ANT
C1-FLA+PYR
C2-FLA+PYR
C1-BTC
C2 BTC
C3-BTC
B.
$[\text{PAH}]_{\text{PAS-DD}}/[\text{PAH}]_{\text{PAS}}$
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
ACE
FLU
DBT
PHE
RET
FLA
PYR
BaA
CHR
BbF
BaP
BghiP
IcdP
🟥 AMS5
▨ AMS14
☐ AMS13
■ AMS6
▨ AMS9

Figure 4. PAC compositions of fluid petcoke, delayed petcoke, oil sands ore, and air samples (PAS and PAS-DD). PAC compositions of air samples are averages of five sites. Number at the top of each bar represents the total residue concentration (ng mg^{-1}, dry weight basis) or concentration in air (ng m^{-3}), for PAS and PAS-DD air samples.



Figure 5. GC-MS extracted ion chromatograms of 4-nitrobiphenyl (4-NP), 2-nitropyrene (2-NP), 1,6-dinitropyrene (1,6-NP), and 6-nitrobenzo(a)pyrene (6-NBaP) in a selection of samples including delayed petcoke, fluid petcoke, oil sands ore, passive dry deposition sample at AMS5, passive dry deposition sample at AMS14, and air sample collected from ore-air partitioning study. The phase distribution of each marker is also indicated as gas-phase or particle-phase.



Figure 6. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and energy dispersive X-ray (EDS) spectra of A) authentic delayed petcoke particles and B) a petcoke particle found in a PAS-DD sample collected at AMS5. Al = Aluminum; Si = Silicon; S = Sulfur.



Table 1. Concentrations of parent PAHs, dibenzothiophene (DBT), alk-PAHs, retene (RET), NPAHs, OPAHs, and potential NPAH markers in fluid petcoke, delayed petcoke, oil sands ore (ng g^{-1} on a dry weight basis) and air samples (~~pg~~ ng m^{-3}, PAS and PAS-DD).

	parent PAHs		**DBT**	**Alk-PAHs**		**RET**	**Alk-DBTs**	**ΣPACs**	**NPAHs**		**OPAHs**		**Potential markers**			
	2-3ring	**4-6ring**		**2-3ring**	**4-6ring**				**2-3ring**	**4-6 ring**	**2-3 ring**	**4-6 ring**	**4-NBP**	**2-NP**	**1,6-DNP**	**6-NBaP**
	ng/g	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**	**ng/g**
Fluid Petcoke	416	3200	184	4290	6440	1.34	2170	16700	0.514	1.35	60.8	0.742	N.D.	N.D.	1.34	N.D.
Delayed Petcoke	2148	16900	872	21500	32800	3.35	11100	85300	28.8	180	274	363	26.1	41.0	0.0	139
Oil sands ore	17015	6910	514	455000	85000	6.38	115000	680000	280	52	170	31.5	228	N.D.	52.1	N.D.
	ng/m^3	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**	**ng/m^3**
PAS (mean of 5 sites)	2.81	1.02	0.264	21.9	3.65	0.738	2.05	32.4	0.0828	N.D.	0.443	0.0315	0.0223	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
PAS-DD (mean of 5 sites)	6.37	2.71	0.542	46.5	12.3	2.06	6.24	76.7	0.119	N.D.	0.820	0.0809	0.0602	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.

N.D. = Non Detec~~t~~

~~Shoeib, M., and Harner, T.: Characterization and comparison of three passive air samplers for persistent organic pollutants, Environmental science & technology, 36, 4142-4151, 2002.~~