

Electron-induced chemistry in microhydrated sulfuric acid clusters

Jozef Lengyel^{1,2}, Andriy Pysanenko¹, Michal Fárník¹

¹J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry v.v.i., Czech Academy of Sciences, Dolejškova 3, 18223 Prague, Czech Republic

5 ²Institut für Ionenphysik und Angewandte Physik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 25, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Correspondence to: Jozef Lengyel (jozef.lengyel@jh-inst.cas.cz), Michal Fárník (michal.farnik@jh-inst.cas.cz)

Abstract. We investigate the mixed sulfuric acid-water clusters in a molecular beam experiment with electron attachment and negative ion mass spectrometry, and complement the experiment by DFT calculations. The microhydration of $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters is controlled by the expansion conditions, and the electron attachment yields the main cluster ion series $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ and $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$. The mass spectra provide an experimental evidence for the onset of the 10 ionic dissociation of sulfuric acid and ion-pair $(\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+)$ formation in the neutral $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters with $n \geq 5$ water molecules, in excellent agreement with the theoretical predictions. In the clusters with two sulfuric acid molecules $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ this process starts already with $n \geq 2$ water molecules. The $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ clusters are formed after the dissociative electron attachment to the clusters containing the $(\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+)$ ion-pair structure, which leads to the 15 electron recombination with the H_3O^+ moiety generating H_2O molecule and the H atom dissociation from the cluster. The $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ cluster ions point to an efficient *caging* of the H-atom by the surrounding water molecules. The electron energy dependencies exhibit an efficient electron attachment at low electron energies below 3 eV, and no resonances above this energy, for all the measured mass peaks. This shows that in the atmospheric chemistry only the *low-energy electrons* can be efficiently captured by the sulfuric acid-water clusters and converted into the negative ions. Possible atmospheric 20 consequences of the acidic dissociation in the clusters and the electron attachment to the sulfuric acid-water aerosols are discussed.

1 Introduction

Gas-phase sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) is a key precursor influencing atmospheric aerosol nucleation (Weber et al., 1997; Weber et al., 1999; Sihto et al., 2006; Kulmala et al., 2007). Efficient uptake of water molecules leads to its hydration and the ionic dissociation (Hanson and Eisele, 2000). Progressive hydration of sulfuric acid increases the probability for proton transfer from the acid to water. Theoretical calculations predict the covalently-bonded H_2SO_4 as the global minima for $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters with $n \leq 4$, while for $n = 3$ and 4 the hydrogen-bonded, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$, and ion-pair, $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, structures are energetically very close and both structures coexist for these clusters (Re et al., 1999; Temelso et al., 2012a). Upon further hydration $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ for $n \geq 5$ the ion-pair structures become the global energy minima. The ion formation can 30 significantly increase the nucleation rate due to the long-range dipole-charge interactions between the core ions and the polar

molecules (Raes and Janssens, 1985, 1986; Yu and Turco, 2000; Lovejoy et al., 2004). The ions can be also formed by the cluster ionization by cosmic radiation. The cosmic rays are the principal source of ionization and free electrons in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, where the electron collisions can influence the gas-phase chemistry. Typically, the electrons are effectively thermalized to low energies ($\gtrsim 1$ eV) via multiple inelastic collisions (Campbell and Brunger, 2016).
5 At these low energies, they are rapidly captured by abundant molecules, in particular O_2 . Recently, we have shown that the low-energy electrons can be also efficiently captured by hydrated acid clusters generating negative ions and induce a cascade of ion-molecule reactions (Lengyel et al., 2017a).

Ion-induced nucleation was invoked to explain the correlation between the global cloudiness and the cosmic rays intensity (Carslaw et al., 2002; Harrison, R. G., Carslaw, K. S., 2003). The temperature-dependent aerosol chamber
10 measurements on the binary H_2SO_4 - H_2O nucleation showed that the neutral particle formation is preferred at low temperatures, while ion-induced particle formation dominates at higher temperatures (Duplissy et al., 2016). A significant contribution of the ion-induced nucleation to the sulfuric acid aerosols was observed experimentally using a particle beam under atmospheric conditions (Engelhoff et al., 2011). Field measurements indicate that the ions are strongly involved in the atmospheric nucleation events (Hirsikko et al., 2007; Hirsikko et al., 2011). However, the ion-induced nucleation alone could
15 not explain the observed nucleation rates (Kirkby et al., 2011). Therefore, other compounds, such as bases (e.g., ammonia and amines) and organic acids, were proposed to contribute to the sulfuric acid aerosol formation (Zhang et al., 2004; Kirkby et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012; Almeida et al., 2013; Kürten et al., 2014; Schobesberger et al., 2015; Kürten et al., 2016).

The gas-phase reactions between thermalized electrons and H_2SO_4 molecules proceed rapidly yielding the bisulfate anion HSO_4^- (Adams et al., 1986). However, hydration dramatically change the nature of the electron driven processes
20 (Lengyel et al., 2017a; Lengyel et al., 2017b) in particular via the strong influence of hydration on ion-pair formation. The surrounding water molecules can also stabilize anions, which are otherwise electronically unstable in the gas-phase (Pluhařová et al., 2012). Therefore the electron induced chemistry in sulfuric acid-water clusters is fundamental to our molecular-level understanding of the cluster ion formation and reactivity of aerosol particles.

Recently, a new method for microhydration of molecules has been developed in our laboratory (Kočišek et al.,
25 2016). It enables the generation of the mixed clusters with water where the cluster composition can be relatively well controlled, e.g., clusters of single molecules solvated by one or a few water molecules could be prepared (Kočišek et al., 2016). By this method, we generate the mixed sulfuric acid-water clusters where the sulfuric acid microhydration is controlled. It ought to be mentioned, that it is difficult to control the particle hydration in aerosol experiments of the condensation chamber type, and often dehydrated sulfuric acid particles are obtained. Thus our experiments offer a unique
30 opportunity to investigate the electron induced processes in the binary sulfuric acid-water systems.

We investigate the low-energy electron attachment to $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters in a crossed-beam experiment where the cluster beam in ultrahigh vacuum is crossed by an electron beam of well-defined adjustable low energies. The formed negative ions are monitored via a time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometry. In addition, the small neutral complexes $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ are characterized by DFT calculations, and the energetics of the initial dissociation step is calculated.

2 Experimental and theoretical methods

The experiments were performed on the CLUster Beam (CLUB) apparatus in Prague (Kočišek et al., 2016; Lengyel et al., 2017a). The mixed $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters were generated in a home-build source via continuous supersonic expansion of the sulfuric acid/water vapor in helium buffer gas through a divergent conical nozzle (100 μm diameter, 2 mm long, and $\sim 30^\circ$ full opening angle) into the vacuum. The clustering conditions were controlled by heating the H_2SO_4 solution in reservoir and by the stagnation pressure of the buffer gas. Reservoir temperatures 180 $^\circ\text{C}$ and buffer gas pressures between 1 and 2 bar were employed. The nozzle was heated independently to a higher temperature (185-190 $^\circ\text{C}$) to avoid any condensation. To further increase the water content in the vapor, the humidification of the He buffer gas was performed using a commercially available Elemental Scientific Pergo gas humidifier, where the He-gas passes through a Nafion tube submerged in water reservoir kept at a constant temperature (25 $^\circ\text{C}$ in the present experiments) and water permeates through the tube and humidifies the buffer gas (Kočišek et al., 2016).

The cluster beam was skimmed and passed through three differentially pumped chambers before entering the ion source of the perpendicularly mounted reflectron TOF mass spectrometer. The voltages on the extraction plates were set for the negative ion detection. The clusters were ionized in the extraction region of the spectrometer using pulsed electron gun at 8 kHz frequency with pulse duration of 2 μs . The electron energy was scanned from 0 to 10 eV in 0.2 eV steps and the mass spectra were recorded at each step. The mass spectra presented here were integrated over the entire energy range, since there were no significant qualitative differences in the spectra measured at different electron energies. The extraction pulse to accelerate the negative ions was applied with 0.5 μs delay after the electron pulse to exclude effects of any free electrons in the ionization volume. The ions were detected on the Photonics MCP detector in the Chevron configuration. The electron-energy scale was calibrated using the 4.4 eV and 8.2 eV resonances in the O^- production from CO_2 molecule (Denifl et al., 2010). The energy spread of the electron beam was approximately 600 meV. Test measurements with SF_6 revealed that below 1.5 eV the electron current passing the ionization region quickly drops, and the current recorded on the Faraday cup does not reflect the actual current in the ionization region. This is caused by the construction of the electron gun which was optimized for operation at energies of several tens of electronvolts for positive ionization. The ion yields below the 1.5 eV can be biased when normalized on the electron current, and large error bars are expected at these low electron energies. The ion yield curves were therefore plotted just at energies above 1.5 eV.

Our experimental observations were supported with quantum chemistry calculations. The calculations were performed for the following clusters: $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, $m = 1-2$, $n = 0-5$, $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$, $m = 0-1$, $n = 0-5$ to describe the thermochemistry of the experimentally observed dissociative electron attachment process in which an H-atom left the cluster. We used previously observed energetic minima from literature as the initial structures (Zatula et al., 2011; Husar et al., 2012; Temelso et al., 2012a; Temelso et al., 2012b; Henschel et al., 2014) and equilibrated them in molecular dynamics runs to find the most stable isomers. Molecular dynamics was run on the BLYP/6-31+g* potential energy surface, nuclei were propagated according to classical equations of motion; the constant temperature of 298 K was maintained with Nosé-

Hoover thermostat. The total length of the simulations was 5 ps, with a time step of ~ 1 fs (i.e. 5000 steps). From the trajectory, several structures were taken and re-optimized at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory including zero-point-energy corrections (all structures represented local minima). Altogether 8 different isomers on average were optimized from various initial structures for each cluster type $((\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_{1-2}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{0-5}, (\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_{0-1}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{0-5}\text{HSO}_4^-)$ including the hydrogen-bonded, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$, and ion-pair, $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, structures in neutral clusters. Only the most stable isomers were considered for further calculations. We chose the M06-2X functional because of its performance for related systems (Walker et al., 2013; Lengyel et al., 2017a). Localized structures, including hydrogen-bonded, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$, and ion-pair, $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, structures, are in excellent agreement with literature (Re et al., 1999; Henschel et al., 2014). All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 software package (Frisch et al., 2013), ABIN code was used for molecular dynamics (Hollas et al., 2015).

3 Results and discussion

To investigate the formation of mixed sulfuric acid/water clusters, different concentrations of water and sulfuric acid were exploited in the expansions. Fig. 1 shows the negative ion mass spectra for three different water concentrations. Essentially, the same cluster ions of the types $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ and $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ could be identified in all three mass spectra (and in other spectra recorded under different expansion conditions, not shown here). However, their relative intensities vary significantly, reflecting the changes in the neutral cluster composition with water concentration in the expansions. At low water concentrations (molar fraction, $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \leq 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$; Fig. 1a), the mass spectrum is dominated by anhydrous $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m\text{HSO}_4^-$ ($m = 0-7$) series. The hydrated analogues $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ are less populated and observed only up to $m = 3$. Apart from these series, there is the minor series $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$. It ought to be mentioned that an uptake of H_2SO_4 molecule by $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ clusters is energetically more efficient than an addition of H_2O molecules (Table 1). The efficient sticking results from H_2SO_4 forming multiple hydrogen bonds in mixed clusters, as revealed by vibrational spectroscopy (Yacovitch et al., 2013). Upon hydration, the free energy of the addition of a single sulfuric acid molecule to the hydrated cluster is gradually increasing (1), while the free energy for the addition of a single water molecule is essentially decreasing (2). Probably saturation of sulfuric acid by the surrounding water molecules causes this trend. Nevertheless, the negative values of the free energies still favor the nucleation. Our calculated values are in a good agreement with previously published data (Kurtén et al., 2007; Loukonen et al., 2010; Henschel et al., 2014), which is shown in Table S2.



Table 1: Free energies (in kJ mol^{-1} , at $T=298\text{K}$ and $p^0=1\text{atm}$) of binary nucleation of H_2SO_4 (1) and H_2O (2) to small $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters calculated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory.

	H ₂ O	H ₂ SO ₄
H ₂ SO ₄	-11.2	-26.3
H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O)	-7.2	-31.4
H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₂	-3.4	-32.6
H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₃	-9.7	-45.8
H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₄	-4.8	-38.3

With increasing water vapor pressure (Fig. 1b, $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$), the larger clusters with $m > 3$ from the $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m\text{HSO}_4^-$ series disappear and the mass spectrum contains $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ ions with $m = 0-3$ and $n = 0-4$. The series $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ increased in relative intensity compared to the spectrum in Fig. 1a. Further, the water vapor

5 concentration was increased to $x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ by the helium buffer gas humidification. The mass spectrum corresponding to this increase of water content by almost an order of magnitude is shown in Fig. 1c. It is dominated by the hydrated $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ ($m = 0-3$, $n = 0-8$) cluster ions. Qualitatively, all the spectra exhibit essentially the same major series, which differ only by their relative intensities. Therefore we will analyze the last spectrum containing the most of the mixed water-sulfuric acid species.




Figure 1: Negative ion mass spectra integrated in the electron energy range 0-10 eV. Panels (a)-(c) show a decreasing H_2O mole fraction in the vapor coexpanding with constant H_2SO_4 mole fraction in helium. See Figure 2 for analysis of the spectrum (c).

Fig. 2 shows an analysis of the mass spectrum in Fig. 1c). There are three pronounced cluster ion series:
 5 $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ ($n = 0-6$), $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ ($n = 0-8$) and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ ($n = 0-8$). In addition, there are also weak $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ series with $m = 2$ and 3 discernible in the mass spectrum (the $m = 2$ series is indicated in Fig. 2). It is important to realize that the mass spectra reflect fingerprints of two different processes separated in space and time: (i) the neutral cluster generation in the supersonic expansion in the nozzle, and (ii) the cluster ionization via electron attachment in the mass spectrometer ionization region. Keeping this in mind, we can start analysing the mass spectra in Fig. 2.




Figure 2: Negative ion mass spectrum of humidified $\text{He}/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ($x=1.0\times 10^{-3}$)/ H_2O ($x=2.0\times 10^{-2}$) gas mixture.

First, we consider the major $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ series. The electron attachment to the pure water clusters is inefficient (Knapp et al., 1987; Lengyel et al., 2017a) thus we can see only the electron attachment to the mixed clusters. The ionization of an isolated sulfuric acid (Adams et al., 1986) proceeds via dissociative electron attachment (DEA):



yielding the relatively strong HSO_4^- ion peak and the $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ series. Nevertheless, we observe also the $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ series with the covalently-bonded sulfuric acid molecule. This can be due to the H-atom caging by the water molecules after the DEA process (3), which has been described in our recent paper (Kočišek et al., 2016). In the present case of the DEA process (3) in the cluster, the departing H-atom meets the H_2O molecule and bounces back to remain within the $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ complex:



The calculated structures of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters, Fig. 3, suggest that from $n = 2$ both acid hydrogens are shielded by the H_2O molecules from the direct departure after the DEA process, while in case $n = 1$ one hydrogen points to the water molecule and the other one can freely leave the cluster. Therefore the intensity of $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ series increases steeply from $n = 1$ to 2. The decrease of intensity for $n \geq 3$ is probably due to the neutral $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ cluster size distribution which has an exponentially decreasing character with n , typical for small clusters in general (Lengyel et al., 2012; Lengyel et al., 2014). The caging might be also accompanied by subsequent evaporation of water molecule(s). Such evaporative process could contribute to the mass peak at $m/z = 98$ generating H_2SO_4^- ion. However, the negligibly small intensity of this peak (less than 3% of the peak at $m/z = 97$) suggests insignificant contribution of the evaporative processes.

The DEA (3) can lead also to the processes where the H-atom leaves the clusters yielding the $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ series. This series exhibits interesting dependence on n with a secondary maximum at $n = 5$. We assign this maximum to the ion-pair generation in the neutral clusters. According to the theoretical calculations, the molecular structures of sulfuric acid in

the clusters for $n \leq 4$ either correspond to the global energy minima or are energetically very close to the ion-pair structures (Re et al., 1999). Thus, for the small clusters with covalently bound H_2SO_4 molecule, we assume the DEA process with hydrogen departure from the clusters:



5 The intensities of the corresponding $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ mass peaks then reflect the neutral $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ cluster size distribution, decreasing with the size as outlined above. However, upon hydration with sufficient number of water molecules, the $\text{HSO}_3\text{O}-\text{H}$ becomes polarized and heterolytic dissociation occurs yielding the ion-pair $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{n-1}\text{HSO}_4^-$ structure. The global minimum for ion-pair structure was reported for $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters with $n \geq 5$ (Re et al., 1999). The electron attachment to these clusters with ion-pair has different character for two reasons. **First, the incoming free electron can**
 10 **interact with the H_3O^+ moiety or with the dipole of the ion-pair structure of the cluster increasing the electron attachment cross section** (Fabrikant et al., 2017). Second, the attached electron will most likely recombine with the H_3O^+ in the cluster generating H_2O and H atom. The H-atom can subsequently depart from the cluster, carrying away the excess recombination energy, leaving behind the $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ ion:



15 Photodissociation studies of mixed water-hydrogen halide clusters with similar ion-pair structures demonstrated that the dissociating H-atom exits from the clusters efficiently (Poterya et al., 2007; Onćák et al., 2011; Poterya et al., 2014). Therefore it is plausible to assume, that in the present case the H-atom leaves the cluster after the recombination, and carries away the excess energy without any need for further water evaporation from the cluster. Thus the increase of the electron attachment cross section at $n = 5$ due to the ion-pair structure of the clusters leads to the increase of the ion intensity for
 20 $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{HSO}_4^-$. The further intensity decrease for $n > 5$ is again due to the decreasing neutral cluster size distribution.

Finally, there is the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ series with the intensity maximum at $n = 2$. This is again consistent with the ionic dissociation of the acid in the clusters. Shields and co-workers (Temelso et al., 2012b) observed the ion-pair formation for clusters containing two sulfuric acid molecules, in which one sulfuric acid molecule is ionically dissociated already in the presence of two water molecules. Thus the maximum at $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{HSO}_4^-$ is in agreement with the ion-pair
 25 structure of the neutral $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ precursor (i.e. $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{HSO}_4^-$) and with the model outlined above, Eq. (6).

Thus the observed intensity maxima for $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ cluster series can be induced by the chemical reactions in the corresponding neutral clusters. The agreement with theoretical predictions (Re et al., 1999; Temelso et al., 2012a; Temelso et al., 2012b) suggests that the acidic dissociation occurs. To our best knowledge, the H_2SO_4
 30 dissociation has not been studied in the mixed water-sulfuric acid clusters experimentally, yet. Therefore our study represents the first experimental observation which confirms the theoretical calculations of how many water molecules are necessary to induce the ionic dissociation of H_2SO_4 molecules.




Figure 3: The observed most stable energy isomers of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 0-5$) clusters with both hydrogen-bonded, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$, (c) and ion-pair, $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, (ip) structures optimized at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory.

5 Since the mass spectra were measured at different electron energies between up to 10 eV in 0.2 eV steps, the ion-yield curves could be constructed for all the mass peaks in the spectra. However, they were essentially the same for all the ions as exemplified by a few ion yield curves in Fig. 4. The negative ion formation is observed at low electron energies up to 3 eV, which is independent of the composition and degree of hydration of all measured ions. As outlined in the experimental section, we could not determine the position of the ion yield maximum exactly due to the larger error bars on our
10 measurements at the energies below approximately 1.5 eV. Nevertheless, the important point for atmospheric chemistry is that only the secondary electrons with low energy below 3 eV can be directly attached to the mixed sulfuric acid-water clusters. There are no resonant contributions at the higher energies above 3 eV.

 The present attachment of low-energy electrons to hydrated sulfuric acid clusters can be compared to other atmospherically abundant particles, namely the nitric acid hydrates. Those also exhibited a relatively high cross section for electron attachment at low energies measured in range of 0-10 eV. The DEA to $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ clusters induced three different
15 dissociation channels, namely NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and OH^- formation. The opening and closing of these reaction channels were specific to cluster size, composition, and degree of hydration (Lengyel et al., 2017a). In contrast to HNO_3 , the dissociation of hydrated H_2SO_4 clusters leads exclusively to HSO_4^- formation. However, some similarity in the electron attachment can be found between these two cases, namely the $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{e}^-$ recombination reaction of ion-pair and the caging of the dissociating
20 molecules by the surrounding cluster environment. As already mentioned, the ion-pair formation efficiently increases the cross section for electron attachment and the electron attachment cross sections are very sensitive to the electron energies (Fabrikant et al., 2017; Lengyel et al., 2017a). Therefore, even if there are only few electrons available for the electron attachment reactions with the cluster particles in atmosphere, the interaction can be very efficiently enhanced by the high cross section, and these reactions may actually contribute to the total budget of the atmospheric HSO_4^- .




Figure 4: Ion-yield curves for ionic fragments of $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ with different degree of hydration.

To support the experimental observation, the energetics for the reactions (5) and (6) was evaluated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level and both hydrogen-bonded, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$, and ion-pair, $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, structures were considered in our calculations. Note that the breaking of a specific bond upon the electron attachment primarily depends on the direction of the gradient of the anion potential energy hypersurface at the initial structure, and does not necessarily depend on the asymptotic energetics (Fabrikant et al., 2017). However, the overall thermochemistry gives an overview of how much energy could be released upon the reaction. Our calculations in Fig. 5 show that even the reaction of bare H_2SO_4 with free electron yielding bisulfate anion (blue dots) releases the energy of about 8.6 kJ mol^{-1} . Upon the hydration of H_2SO_4 , the energy release gradually increases with the number of water molecules due to the interaction of HSO_4^- with water. Similar behavior was found in the low-energy electron collision with the nitric acid hydrates (Lengyel et al., 2017a). Thus our calculations clearly show that the reactions (5) and (6) above can be driven energetically in the clusters.

Sulfuric acid dimer forms $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{HSO}_4^-$ upon the electron attachment quite efficiently with the energy release of $132.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (green dots) due to the higher binding energy of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{HSO}_4^-$ compared to $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_2$ dimer. With an increasing degree of hydration the energy release somewhat decreases, yet the reaction retains its exothermic character. Thus the reactions (5) and (6) in the clusters with more than one sulfuric acid can also be driven energetically.




Figure 5: Reaction energies for the HSO_4^- dissociation channels after electron attachment to $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ clusters optimized at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory.

4 Conclusion

5 We have investigated mixed sulfuric acid-water clusters in a molecular beam experiment where the cluster beam was crossed with an electron beam at different energies and electron attachment to the clusters was probed with the mass spectrometry of the negatively charged cluster ions. By the precise control of the sulfuric acid reservoir temperature and the buffer gas humidification we were able to produce the mixed clusters with *different water content*. In all the spectra the main cluster ion series appear: $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{HSO}_4^-$ and $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$. Their relative intensities depend on the degree of humidification

10 By the analysis of these series as a function of the number of surrounding water molecules, we have obtained experimental evidence for sulfuric acid ionic dissociation to form the ion-pairs in the clusters. This occurs in neutral $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters with $n \geq 5$ water molecules, in excellent agreement with the theoretical predictions. Similarly, in the clusters with two sulfuric acid molecules $(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ this process seems to start already with $n \geq 2$ water molecules again in agreement with theory. We introduce the first experimental measurements of the sulfuric acid ionic dissociation in
 15 dependence on the controlled stepwise microhydration in the mixed clusters. In relevance to the atmospheric processes the acidic dissociation in small clusters will lead to the increase of the probability that the cluster will capture further molecules from the ambient air. **The presence of charge in the cluster containing the ion-pair can increase the interaction between the cluster and the polar (or polarizable) molecules.**

Our theoretical investigations of the structure and energetics support the experimental results in several ways: The
 20 ion-pair structures are obtained as the energy minima at the degrees of hydration which correspond to the experimental observations. These structures exhibit solvent-separated ion-pairs with the H_3O^+ moiety at the cluster exterior. This gives an opportunity for the H-atom to leave the cluster after the electron attachment to these clusters and subsequent $e^- + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$

recombination, yielding the experimentally observed clusters with bisulfate anions HSO_4^- . For the small $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ clusters with the covalently bound sulfuric acid, the calculated structures suggest the possibility of an efficient H-atom *caging* after the dissociative electron attachment, which is demonstrated experimentally by the presence of $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4^-$ cluster ions. The calculations also provide support for the proposed reactions (5) and (6) which can be driven energetically in the clusters.

Finally, the energy dependencies of the measured mass peaks exhibit an efficient electron attachment at low electron energies below 3 eV, and there are no resonances above 3 eV. Therefore in the atmospheric chemistry only the low-energy electrons below 3 eV can be efficiently captured by sulfuric acid-water aerosols and converted into the negatively charged ions. It is also interesting to note, that the electron attachment efficiencies qualitatively exhibit the same energy dependencies for all the species independently of the degree of hydration (at least for electron energies above 1.5 eV).

Data availability. All of the presented data are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded by the Czech Science Foundation, grant no. 17-04068S. JL acknowledges the support through the Lise-Meitner Programme of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project no. M1983-N34.

References

- Adams, N. G., Smith, D., Viggiano, A. A., Paulson, J. F., and Henchman, M. J.: Dissociative attachment reactions of electrons with strong acid molecules, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 84, 6728–6731, doi:10.1063/1.450675, 1986.
- Almeida, J., Schobesberger, S., Kurten, A., Ortega, I. K., Kupiainen-Maatta, O., Praplan, A. P., Adamov, A., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Downard, A., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Guida, R., Hakala, J., Hansel, A., Heinritzi, M., Henschel, H., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kajos, M., Kangasluoma, J., Keskinen, H., Kupc, A., Kurten, T., Kvashin, A. N., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Leppa, J., Loukonen, V., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., McGrath, M. J., Nieminen, T., Olenius, T., Onnela, A., Petaja, T., Riccobono, F., Riipinen, I., Rissanen, M., Rondo, L., Ruuskanen, T., Santos, F. D., Sarnela, N., Schallhart, S., Schnitzhofer, R., Seinfeld, J. H., Simon, M., Sipila, M., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tome, A., Trostl, J., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Vaattovaara, P., Viisanen, Y., Virtanen, A., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wex, H., Williamson, C., Wimmer, D., Ye, P., Yli-Juuti, T., Carslaw, K. S., Kulmala, M., Curtius, J., Baltensperger, U., Worsnop, D. R., Vehkamäki, H., and Kirkby, J.: Molecular understanding of sulphuric acid-amine particle nucleation in the atmosphere, *Nature*, 502, 359–363, doi:10.1038/nature12663, 2013.
- Campbell, L. and Brunger, M. J.: Electron collisions in atmospheres, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 35, 297–351, doi:10.1080/0144235X.2016.1179002, 2016.

- Carlsaw, K. S., Harrison, R. G., and Kirkby, J.: Cosmic rays, clouds, and climate, *Science*, 298, 1732–1737, doi:10.1126/science.1076964, 2002.
- Denifl, S., Vizcaino, V., Märk, T. D., Illenberger, E., and Scheier, P.: High resolution electron attachment to CO₂ clusters, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 5219–5224, doi:10.1039/b924526j, 2010.
- 5 Duplissy, J., Merikanto, J., Franchin, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Kangasluoma, J., Wimmer, D., Vuollekoski, H., Schobesberger, S., Lehtipalo, K., Flagan, R. C., Brus, D., Donahue, N. M., Vehkamäki, H., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Barmet, P., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Dunne, E. M., Guida, R., Henschel, H., Junninen, H., Kirkby, J., Kürten, A., Kupc, A., Määttänen, A., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Praplan, A. P., Riccobono, F., Rondo, L., Steiner, G., Tome, A., Walther, H., Baltensperger, U., Carlsaw, K. S., Dommen, J., Hansel, A., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M.,
10 Stratmann, F., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Worsnop, D. R., Curtius, J., and Kulmala, M.: Effect of ions on sulfuric acid-water binary particle formation: 2. Experimental data and comparison with QC-normalized classical nucleation theory, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, 1752–1775, doi:10.1002/2015JD023539, 2016.
- Enghoff, M. B., Pedersen, J. O. P., Uggerhøj, U. I., Paling, S. M., and Svensmark, H.: Aerosol nucleation induced by a high energy particle beam, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L09805, doi:10.1029/2011GL047036, 2011.
- 15 Fabrikant, I. I., Eden, S., Mason, N. J., and Fedor, J.: Recent progress in dissociative electron attachment, *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 66, 545–657, doi:10.1016/bs.aamop.2017.02.002, 2017.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H. P., Izmaylov, A. F., Bloino, J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J. L., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y.,
20 Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Montgomery, J. A., Jr., Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J. J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K. N., Staroverov, V. N., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J. M., Klene, M., Knox, J. E., Cross, J. B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R. E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A. J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J. W., Martin, R. L., Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V. G., Voth, G. A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J. J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A.
25 D., Farkas, Ö., Foresman, J. B., Ortiz, J. V., Cioslowski, J., and Fox, D. J.: *Gaussian 09*, Revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford CT, 2013.
- Hanson, D. R. and Eisele, F.: Diffusion of H₂SO₄ in humidified nitrogen: Hydrated H₂SO₄, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 104, 1715–1719, doi:10.1021/jp993622j, 2000.
- Harrison, R. G., Carlsaw, K. S.: Ion-aerosol-cloud processes in the lower atmosphere, *Rev. Geophys.*, 41, 65,
30 doi:10.1029/2002RG000114, 2003.
- Henschel, H., Navarro, J. C. A., Yli-Juuti, T., Kupiainen-Määttä, O., Olenius, T., Ortega, I. K., Clegg, S. L., Kurtén, T., Riipinen, I., and Vehkamäki, H.: Hydration of atmospherically relevant molecular clusters: computational chemistry and classical thermodynamics, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 118, 2599–2611, doi:10.1021/jp500712y, 2014.

- Hirsikko, A., Bergman, T., Laakso, L., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I., Hörrak, U., and Kulmala, M.: Identification and classification of the formation of intermediate ions measured in boreal forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 201–210, doi:10.5194/acp-7-201-2007, 2007.
- Hirsikko, A., Nieminen, T., Gagné, S., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Ehn, M., Hörrak, U., Kerminen, V.-M., Laakso, L.,
5 McMurry, P. H., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Petäjä, T., Tammet, H., Vakkari, V., Vana, M., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric ions and nucleation: A review of observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 767–798, doi:10.5194/acp-11-767-2011, 2011.
- Hollas, D., Svoboda, O., Ončák, M., and Slaviček, P.: ABIN, Version 1.0, <https://github.com/PHOTOX/ABIN>, 2015.
- Husar, D. E., Temelso, B., Ashworth, A. L., and Shields, G. C.: Hydration of the bisulfate ion: Atmospheric implications, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 5151–5163, doi:10.1021/jp300717j, 2012.
- 10 Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., Almeida, J., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Franchin, A., Gagne, S., Ickes, L., Kurten, A., Kupc, A., Metzger, A., Riccobono, F., Rondo, L., Schobesberger, S., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wimmer, D., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Dommen, J., Downard, A., Ehn, M., Flagan, R. C., Haider, S., Hansel, A., Hauser, D., Jud, W., Junninen, H., Kreissl, F., Kvashin, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Lima, J., Lovejoy, E. R., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Mikkilä, J., Minginette, P., Mogo, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Pereira, P., Petaja, T., Schnitzhofer, R.,
15 Seinfeld, J. H., Sipila, M., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tome, A., Vanhanen, J., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Walther, H., Weingartner, E., Wex, H., Winkler, P. M., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Kulmala, M.: Role of sulphuric acid, ammonia and galactic cosmic rays in atmospheric aerosol nucleation, *Nature*, 476, 429–433, doi:10.1038/nature10343, 2011.
- Knapp, M., Echt, O., Kreisle, D., and Recknagel, E.: Electron attachment to water clusters under collision-free conditions, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 91, 2601–2607, doi:10.1021/j100294a031, 1987.
- 20 Kočišek, J., Pysanenko, A., Fárník, M., and Fedor, J.: Microhydration prevents fragmentation of uracil and thymine by low-energy electrons, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 7, 3401–3405, doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b01601, 2016.
- Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Sipila, M., Manninen, H. E., Petaja, T., Junninen, H., Maso, M. D., Mordas, G., Mirme, A., Vana, M., Hirsikko, A., Laakso, L., Harrison, R. M., Hanson, I., Leung, C., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Kerminen, V.-M.: Toward
25 direct measurement of atmospheric nucleation, *Science*, 318, 89–92, doi:10.1126/science.1144124, 2007.
- Kurtén, T., Noppel, M., Vehkamäki, H., Salonen, M., and Kulmala, M.: Quantum chemical studies of hydrate formation of H_2SO_4 and HSO_4^- , *Boreal. Environ. Res.*, 12, 431–453, 2007.
- Kürten, A., Bianchi, F., Almeida, J., Kupiainen-Määttä, O., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J., Williamson, C., Barmet, P., Breitenlechner, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Gordon, H., Hakala, J., Hansel, A.,
30 Heinritzi, M., Ickes, L., Jokinen, T., Kangasluoma, J., Kim, J., Kirkby, J., Kupc, A., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Makhmutov, V., Onnela, A., Ortega, I. K., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Riccobono, F., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Schobesberger, S., Smith, J. N., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Tomé, A., Tröstl, J., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wagner, P. E., Wimmer, D., Ye, P., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K., Kulmala, M., and Curtius, J.: Experimental particle

- formation rates spanning tropospheric sulfuric acid and ammonia abundances, ion production rates, and temperatures, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, 12,377–12,400, doi:10.1002/2015JD023908, 2016.
- Kürten, A., Jokinen, T., Simon, M., Sipilä, M., Sarnela, N., Junninen, H., Adamov, A., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Hakala, J., Hansel, A., Heinritzi, M., Hutterli, M., Kangasluoma, J., Kirkby, J., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Riccobono, F., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Schobesberger, S., Seinfeld, J. H., Steiner, G., Tomé, A., Tröstl, J., Winkler, P. M., Williamson, C., Wimmer, D., Ye, P., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K. S., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Curtius, J.: Neutral molecular cluster formation of sulfuric acid-dimethylamine observed in real time under atmospheric conditions, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 111, 15019–15024, doi:10.1073/pnas.1404853111, 2014.
- Lengyel, J., Med, J., Slaviček, P., and Beyer, M. K.: Communication: Charge transfer dominates over proton transfer in the reaction of nitric acid with gas-phase hydrated electrons, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 147, 101101, doi:10.1063/1.4999392, 2017b.
- Lengyel, J., Ončák, M., Fedor, J., Kočišek, J., Pysanenko, A., Beyer, M. K., and Fárník, M.: Electron-triggered chemistry in $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ complexes, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 11753–11758, doi:10.1039/c7cp01205e, 2017a.
- Lengyel, J., Pysanenko, A., Kočišek, J., Poterya, V., Pradzynski, C. C., Zeuch, T., Slaviček, P., and Fárník, M.: Nucleation of mixed nitric acid-water ice nanoparticles in molecular beams that starts with a HNO_3 molecule, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 3, 3096–3101, doi:10.1021/jz3013886, 2012.
- Lengyel, J., Pysanenko, A., Poterya, V., Kočišek, J., and Fárník, M.: Extensive water cluster fragmentation after low energy electron ionization, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 612, 256–261, doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2014.08.038, 2014.
- Loukonen, V., Kurtén, T., Ortega, I. K., Vehkamäki, H., Pádua, A. A. H., Sellegri, K., and Kulmala, M.: Enhancing effect of dimethylamine in sulfuric acid nucleation in the presence of water – A computational study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4961–4974, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4961-2010, 2010.
- Lovejoy, E. R., Curtius, J., and Froyd, K. D.: Atmospheric ion-induced nucleation of sulfuric acid and water, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D08204, doi:10.1029/2003JD004460, 2004.
- Ončák, M., Slaviček, P., Fárník, M., and Buck, U.: Photochemistry of hydrogen halides on water clusters: Simulations of electronic spectra and photodynamics, and comparison with photodissociation experiments, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 115, 6155–6168, doi:10.1021/jp111264e, 2011.
- Pluhařová, E., Ončák, M., Seidel, R., Schroeder, C., Schroeder, W., Winter, B., Bradforth, S. E., Jungwirth, P., and Slaviček, P.: Transforming anion instability into stability: Contrasting photoionization of three protonation forms of the phosphate ion upon moving into water, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 116, 13254–13264, doi:10.1021/jp306348b, 2012.
- Poterya, V., Fárník, M., Slaviček, P., Buck, U., and Kresin, V. V.: Photodissociation of hydrogen halide molecules on free ice nanoparticles, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 126, 71101, doi:10.1063/1.2709635, 2007.
- Poterya, V., Lengyel, J., Pysanenko, A., Svrčková, P., and Fárník, M.: Imaging of hydrogen halides photochemistry on argon and ice nanoparticles, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 141, 74309, doi:10.1063/1.4892585, 2014.

- Raes, F. and Janssens, A.: Ion-induced aerosol formation in a H_2O - H_2SO_4 system—I. Extension of the classical theory and search for experimental evidence, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 16, 217–227, doi:10.1016/0021-8502(85)90028-X, 1985.
- Raes, F. and Janssens, A.: Ion-induced aerosol formation in a H_2O - H_2SO_4 system—II. Numerical calculations and conclusions, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 17, 715–722, doi:10.1016/0021-8502(86)90051-0, 1986.
- 5 Re, S., Osamura, Y., and Morokuma, K.: Coexistence of neutral and ion-pair clusters of hydrated sulfuric acid $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n=1-5$): A molecular orbital study, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 103, 3535–3547, doi:10.1021/jp984759x, 1999.
- Schobesberger, S., Franchin, A., Bianchi, F., Rondo, L., Duplissy, J., Kürten, A., Ortega, I. K., Metzger, A., Schnitzhofer, R., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Dommen, J., Dunne, E. M., Ehn, M., Gagné, S., Ickes, L., Junninen, H., Hansel, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Kirkby, J., Kupc, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Mathot, S., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Riccobono, F., Santos, F. D., Sipilä, M., Tomé, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Viisanen, Y., Wagner, P. E., Wimmer, D., Curtius, J., Donahue, N. M., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: On the composition of ammonia–sulfuric-acid ion clusters during aerosol particle formation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 55–78, doi:10.5194/acp-15-55-2015, 2015.
- 10 Sihto, S.-L., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Dal Maso, M., Petäjä, T., Riipinen, I., Korhonen, H., Arnold, F., Janson, R., Boy, M., Laaksonen, A., and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and aerosol formation: Implications from atmospheric measurements for nucleation and early growth mechanisms, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 4079–4091, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4079-2006, 2006.
- 15 Temelso, B., Morrell, T. E., Shields, R. M., Allodi, M. A., Wood, E. K., Kirschner, K. N., Castonguay, T. C., Archer, K. A., and Shields, G. C.: Quantum mechanical study of sulfuric acid hydration: Atmospheric implications, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 2209–2224, doi:10.1021/jp2119026, 2012a.
- 20 Temelso, B., Phan, T. N., and Shields, G. C.: Computational study of the hydration of sulfuric acid dimers: Implications for acid dissociation and aerosol formation, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 9745–9758, doi:10.1021/jp3054394, 2012b.
- Walker, M., Harvey, A. J. A., Sen, A., and Dessent, C. E. H.: Performance of M06, M06-2X, and M06-HF Density Functionals for Conformationally Flexible Anionic Clusters: M06 Functionals Perform Better than B3LYP for a Model System with Dispersion and Ionic Hydrogen-Bonding Interactions, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 117, 12590–12600, doi:10.1021/jp408166m, 2013.
- 25 Weber, R. J., Marti, J. J., McMurry, P. H., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., and Jefferson, A.: Measurements of new particle formation and ultrafine particle growth rates at a clean continental site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 4375–4385, doi:10.1029/96JD03656, 1997.
- Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Mauldin, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Eisele, F. L., Clarke, A. D., and Kapustin, V. N.: New particle formation in the remote troposphere: A comparison of observations at various sites, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 307–310, doi:10.1029/1998GL900308, 1999.
- 30 Yacovitch, T. I., Heine, N., Brieger, C., Wende, T., Hock, C., Neumark, D. M., and Asmis, K. R.: Vibrational spectroscopy of bisulfate/sulfuric acid/water clusters: Structure, stability, and infrared multiple-photon dissociation intensities, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 117, 7081–7090, doi:10.1021/jp400154v, 2013.

- Yu, F. and Turco, R. P.: Ultrafine aerosol formation via ion-mediated nucleation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 883–886, doi:10.1029/1999GL011151, 2000.
- Zatula, A. S., Andersson, P. U., Ryding, M. J., and Uggerud, E.: Proton mobility and stability of water clusters containing the bisulfate anion, $\text{HSO}_4^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 13287–13294, doi:10.1039/c1cp21070j, 2011.
- 5 Zhang, R., Khalizov, A., Wang, L., Hu, M., and Xu, W.: Nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in the atmosphere, *Chem. Rev.*, 112, 1957–2011, doi:10.1021/cr2001756, 2012.
- Zhang, R., Suh, I., Zhao, J., Zhang, D., Fortner, E. C., Tie, X., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Atmospheric new particle formation enhanced by organic acids, *Science*, 304, 1487–1490, doi:10.1126/science.1095139, 2004.

Supplement of
Electron-induced chemistry in microhydrated sulfuric acid clusters

Jozef Lengyel^{1,2}, Andriy Pysanenko¹, Michal Fárník¹

¹J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry v.v.i., Czech Academy of Sciences, Dolejškova 3, 18223 Prague, Czech Republic

²Institut für Ionenphysik und Angewandte Physik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 25, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Correspondence to: Jozef Lengyel (jozef.lengyel@jh-inst.cas.cz), Michal Fárník (michal.farnik@jh-inst.cas.cz)

S1 Experimental setup

The experiments were performed on a versatile and unique experimental apparatus CLUB (cluster beam apparatus) which allows a variety of different experiments with a molecular beam of isolated clusters in vacuum. The apparatus and experiments have been described in numerous publications previously (e.g., mass spectrometry: (Lengyel et al., 2012; Kočišek et al., 2013a; Kočišek et al., 2013b); electron attachment: (Kočišek et al., 2016a; Kočišek et al., 2016b; Lengyel et al., 2016); etc.) and the details can be found in these references. The sketch of the CLUB apparatus is shown in Fig. S1 below. In the present work, the clusters were produced in the first vacuum chamber by supersonic expansion of the sulfuric acid vapor with buffer gas He, i.e. a mixture of H_2SO_4 , H_2O and He gas phase molecules. The present mass spectrometry was performed in the 4th vacuum chamber TOFMS, where the cluster beam was crossed by a low-energy electron beam. Further details are given in the experimental section of the present paper. The other options and features of the CLUB apparatus shown in Fig. S1 were not exploited in the present experiments.




Figure S1: Schematic overview of the CLUB apparatus: VMI –velocity map imaging for photodissociation of molecules in clusters; TOFMS –reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer with various ionization methods, e.g., electron ionization, electron attachment, photoionization; QMS –quadrupole mass spectrometer with electron ionization.

S2 Dipole moment of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5$ clusters

Our M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ calculations exhibit only a small change in the cluster dipole moment upon the acidic dissociation of the sulfuric acid molecule on water cluster and could be overlapped by dynamic effects. The calculated dipole moments summarized in Figure S2 were in very broad range from ~ 0.3 D to ~ 4.6 D for $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5$ clusters which depend rather on the cluster structure than on the acidic dissociation. Most likely, not only the energy minimum structure (see Figure S2 (a) for ion-pair and (e) for covalently-bonded H_2SO_4) but many different cluster structures are generated in the supersonic expansion.




Figure S2: Selected local minima of neutral, $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$, (a-d) and ion-pair, $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, (e-h) structures in $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5$ clusters and the corresponding dipole moments calculated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory.

S3 Thermochemistry

Table S1: Reaction energies (in kJ mol⁻¹) for the HSO₄⁻ dissociation channels after electron attachment to H₂SO₄/H₂O clusters optimized at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory.

N	H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) _{N}	(H ₂ SO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) _{N}
0	-8.6	-132.2
1	-16.2	-117.2
2	-30.3	-120.5
3	-39.6	-99.8
4	-43.5	-106.5
5	-46.3	-88.9

Table S2: Free energies (in kJ mol⁻¹, at $T=298\text{K}$ and $p^0=1\text{atm}$) of binary nucleation of H₂O/H₂SO₄ clusters

H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) _{$n-1$} + H ₂ O → H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) _{n} $\Delta_r G^0(1)$					
H ₂ SO ₄ + n H ₂ O → H ₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) _{n} $\Delta_r G^0(2)$					
$n =$	$\Delta_r G^0(1)$ our results	$\Delta_r G^0(1)$ (Kurtén et al., 2007)	$\Delta_r G^0(2)$ our results	$\Delta_r G^0(2)$ (Henschel et al., 2014)	$\Delta_r G^0(2)$ (Loukonen et al., 2010)
1	-11.3	-11.76	-11.3	-10.88	-12.26
2	-7.1	-7.82	-18.4	-18.41	-26.19
3	-3.3	-9.92	-21.8	-24.39	-29.75
4	-9.6	-3.77	-31.4	-29.50	-33.93
5	-5.0	-	-36.4	-28.49	-41.88

S4 Ion-yield curves for selected ionic fragments




Figure S3: Ion-yield curves for selected ionic fragments with different degree of hydration.

S5 Benchmarking the electronic structure calculations

Table 1 summarizes the benchmark calculations of electron affinity of HSO_4 , ionization potential of H_2SO_4 , and reaction enthalpies for deprotonation of gas-phase H_2SO_4 calculated at different levels of theory. The M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ energies are comparable with the CCSD/aug-cc-pVDZ values with the exception of the $\text{IP}(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4)$. The comparison of double-zeta with triple-zeta basis sets of the M06-2X functional shows that there is essentially constant shift from the experimental values and therefore we do not expect any significant shift in reaction energies even upon hydration. The calculated reaction enthalpies for deprotonation of gas-phase H_2SO_4 are in good agreement with the experimental value. The error of the DFT method is 0.1-0.2 eV. Please note that, in the present work, chemical trends with respect to hydration are of the main concern, and a possible systematic shift of few tenths of eV does not influence our conclusions.

Table S3: Electron affinity of HSO_4 , ionization potential of H_2SO_4 , and enthalpy of deprotonation at various levels of theory (all in kJ mol^{-1}). DZ and TZ represent aug-cc-pVDZ and aug-cc-pVTZ, respectively. Enthalpies were calculated at 298.15 K within the harmonic approximation.

	B3LYP/DZ	M06-2X/DZ	M06-2X/TZ	MP2/DZ	CCSD/DZ	Experiment
EA(HSO_4)	453	474	483	503	478	458 ± 10 (Wang et al., 2000)
IP(H_2SO_4)	1103	1117	1137	1195	1209	1196 ± 5 (Snow and Thomas, 1990)
$\Delta H(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{HSO}_4^-)$	1318	1304	1300	1294	1309	1295 ± 23 (Wang et al., 2000)

References

- Henschel, H., Navarro, J. C. A., Yli-Juuti, T., Kupiainen-Määttä, O., Olenius, T., Ortega, I. K., Clegg, S. L., Kurtén, T., Riipinen, I., and Vehkamäki, H.: Hydration of atmospherically relevant molecular clusters: computational chemistry and classical thermodynamics, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 118, 2599–2611, doi:10.1021/jp500712y, 2014.
- Kočišek, J., Grygoryeva, K., Lengyel, J., Fárník, M., and Fedor, J.: Effect of cluster environment on the electron attachment to 2-nitrophenol, *Eur. Phys. J. D*, 70, 98, doi:10.1140/epjd/e2016-70074-0, 2016a.
- Kočišek, J., Lengyel, J., and Fárník, M.: Ionization of large homogeneous and heterogeneous clusters generated in acetylene-Ar expansions: Cluster ion polymerization, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 138, 124306, doi:10.1063/1.4796262, 2013a.
- Kočišek, J., Lengyel, J., Fárník, M., and Slaviček, P.: Energy and charge transfer in ionized argon coated water clusters, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 139, 214308, doi:10.1063/1.4834715, 2013b.
- Kočišek, J., Pysanenko, A., Fárník, M., and Fedor, J.: Microhydration prevents fragmentation of uracil and thymine by low-energy electrons, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 7, 3401–3405, doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b01601, 2016b.
- Kurtén, T., Noppel, M., Vehkamäki, H., Salonen, M., and Kulmala, M.: Quantum chemical studies of hydrate formation of H_2SO_4 and HSO_4^- , *Boreal. Environ. Res.*, 12, 431–453, 2007.
- Lengyel, J., Kočišek, J., Fárník, M., and Fedor, J.: Self-scavenging of electrons in $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ aggregates deposited on argon nanoparticles, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 120, 7397–7402, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b00901, 2016.
- Lengyel, J., Pysanenko, A., Kočišek, J., Poterya, V., Pradzynski, C. C., Zeuch, T., Slaviček, P., and Fárník, M.: Nucleation of mixed nitric acid-water ice nanoparticles in molecular beams that starts with a HNO_3 molecule, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 3, 3096–3101, doi:10.1021/jz3013886, 2012.
- Loukonen, V., Kurtén, T., Ortega, I. K., Vehkamäki, H., Pádua, A. A. H., Sellegri, K., and Kulmala, M.: Enhancing effect of dimethylamine in sulfuric acid nucleation in the presence of water – a computational study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4961–4974, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4961-2010, 2010.
- Snow, K. B. and Thomas, T. F.: Mass spectrum, ionization potential, and appearance potentials for fragment ions of sulfuric acid vapor, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 96, 49–68, doi:10.1016/0168-1176(90)80041-Z, 1990.
- Wang, X.-B., Nicholas, J. B., and Wang, L.-S.: Photoelectron spectroscopy and theoretical calculations of SO_4^- and HSO_4^- : Confirmation of high electron affinities of SO_4 and HSO_4 , *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 104, 504–508, doi:10.1021/jp992726r, 2000.

Structures optimized at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory (coordinates in Å)**H2SO4,**

S	0.000064	-0.000011	0.150574
O	-0.008803	1.287414	0.823392
O	0.008537	-1.287472	0.823344
O	-1.253357	-0.047337	-0.873079
O	1.253426	0.047310	-0.873222
H	-1.477412	0.867560	-1.107276
H	1.477544	-0.867564	-1.107433

H2SO4.H2O,

S	-0.002092	0.478526	0.019730
O	-1.122963	1.157172	-0.631673
O	1.114572	1.190582	0.618755
O	-0.562309	-0.538977	1.107359
O	0.584738	-0.519520	-1.120247
H	-1.483379	-0.811275	0.821010
H	1.486119	-0.765134	-0.858585
O	-2.970064	-0.852470	0.101511
H	-3.213990	-1.565378	-0.497780
H	-2.837433	-0.066926	-0.451981

H2SO4.2H2O,

S	0.000000	0.467392	0.000000
O	-1.127912	1.182899	-0.598603
O	1.127912	1.182899	0.598603
O	-0.544571	-0.525972	1.126354
O	0.544571	-0.525972	-1.126354
H	-1.457398	-0.819564	0.845467
H	1.457398	-0.819564	-0.845467
O	-2.952264	-0.863317	0.087315
H	-3.167318	-1.556293	-0.545456
H	-2.804014	-0.059401	-0.435852
O	2.952264	-0.863317	-0.087315
H	3.167318	-1.556293	0.545456
H	2.804014	-0.059401	0.435852

H2SO4.3H2O,

O	1.534809	1.462137	-1.001570
H	1.781577	0.721103	-1.570209
H	2.010710	1.291324	-0.171101
S	-1.254013	-0.027940	0.145515
O	-2.676737	-0.212944	0.334029
O	-1.037139	1.389948	-0.533069
O	-0.755988	-1.052734	-0.979924
O	-0.331366	-0.161830	1.295309
H	-0.055388	1.501559	-0.728110
H	0.213448	-1.230135	-0.856840
O	1.907043	-1.412438	-0.785868
H	2.255817	-1.097554	0.069469
H	2.246433	-2.302996	-0.917273
O	2.326583	0.197862	1.379342
H	2.786076	0.330487	2.212974
H	1.369934	0.132151	1.580426

H2SO4.4H2O,

O	0.369118	1.579893	-0.259299
H	1.202090	1.605634	0.346984
S	0.347838	0.202507	-1.049951
O	1.673499	-0.093974	-1.603650
O	0.109356	-0.873963	0.093257
H	-0.835252	-0.743440	0.484336
O	-0.815844	0.274657	-1.940218
O	3.128602	-1.008646	0.656279
H	2.514904	-1.630884	1.062595
H	2.800389	-0.920750	-0.255144
O	-2.695346	1.776866	-0.432679
H	-2.179271	1.430809	-1.181062

H	-2.166168	2.514883	-0.109840
O	2.413802	1.506984	1.243770
H	2.769558	0.589206	1.141609
H	3.139971	2.102662	1.033068
O	-2.207302	-0.418736	1.025772
H	-2.499986	0.413818	0.577955
H	-2.891058	-1.073226	0.850620

H2SO4.5H2O,

O	2.530094	-0.488264	0.456179
O	2.766862	1.149864	-1.439462
O	1.747942	-2.556670	-1.273177
O	-0.058200	0.544141	0.545237
S	-0.833135	-0.036079	-0.582157
O	-0.673560	0.720459	-1.870133
O	-0.672560	-1.504548	-0.771780
O	-2.372431	0.198128	-0.113351
O	0.908546	2.840738	-0.960190
H	1.624235	-0.272759	0.750816
H	2.451809	-1.361197	0.030348
H	1.818091	-3.515374	-1.300637
H	0.205597	2.354613	-1.433529
H	0.670757	2.675538	-0.036384
H	0.813063	-2.344304	-1.056790
H	-2.948688	-0.173522	-0.798367
H	2.094086	1.898372	-1.246379
H	2.755855	0.515316	-0.617185
H	2.352817	0.566049	-2.187776
H	1.724621	-1.281620	-2.713774
O	1.610395	-0.384716	-3.074888
H	0.679306	-0.153067	-2.895336

2H2SO4,

S	1.745825	0.029839	-0.059980
O	3.329326	0.099758	-0.237040
O	1.225540	1.341119	-0.440342
O	1.681857	-0.172605	1.515730
O	1.235030	-1.168892	-0.740880
H	3.685237	-0.804701	-0.247897
H	0.719563	-0.220633	1.736599
S	-1.924079	-0.019868	0.098364
O	-1.563209	-1.143575	-0.981219
O	-3.351604	-0.059240	0.306312
O	-1.584903	1.348763	-0.655891
O	-0.981346	-0.160287	1.227890
H	-0.592141	-1.293207	-0.969850
H	-0.616274	1.506078	-0.611788

2H2SO4.1H2O,

S	-1.353553	-0.511414	-0.087013
O	-1.227393	-0.561068	1.499813
O	-1.128619	0.883270	-0.538941
O	-2.864381	-0.884391	-0.260993
O	-0.530691	-1.558253	-0.696590
H	-0.279978	-0.347271	1.693110
H	-3.405755	-0.031417	-0.155546
S	2.251621	0.254920	0.108457
O	2.223385	-1.111167	-0.718891
O	1.345124	0.113600	1.267819
O	1.594297	1.312963	-0.894274
O	3.636755	0.618520	0.292603
H	1.294006	-1.430291	-0.763406
H	0.613364	1.264162	-0.813656
O	-3.918220	1.453703	-0.075381
H	-3.105060	1.963084	-0.204107
H	-4.331662	1.791292	0.726718

2H2SO4.2H2O,

S	1.133014	-0.781556	-0.436442
O	1.107072	-0.548213	1.139465
O	2.498648	-1.195467	-0.751781
O	0.831395	0.660660	-1.022179
O	0.001521	-1.622536	-0.845083
H	0.188558	-0.231213	1.368849
H	1.648914	1.315414	-0.812327
S	-2.450541	0.296644	0.340762
O	-1.979223	1.026965	-1.004861
O	-1.338323	0.400646	1.313497
O	-2.605880	-1.226151	-0.102257
O	-3.764970	0.783930	0.683121
H	-1.000647	0.985115	-1.071389
H	-1.718447	-1.558502	-0.372102
O	4.165046	0.366943	0.940214
H	3.793831	-0.421900	0.511638
H	3.970992	0.264946	1.878140
O	2.749942	2.106272	-0.451276
H	3.281491	2.434290	-1.184733
H	3.352697	1.559276	0.121564

2H2SO4.3H2O,

S	1.911406	0.302172	1.004299
O	2.002457	-0.519031	-0.354128
O	3.251986	0.516643	1.497074
O	1.163196	-0.684751	2.002934
O	1.013037	1.465973	0.766709
H	1.082924	-0.652053	-0.723374
H	0.231275	-0.829764	1.678738
S	-1.640653	-0.938902	-0.382259
O	-0.465014	-0.686075	-1.281778
O	-2.024913	-2.497877	-0.553992
O	-2.837837	-0.154923	-0.791105
O	-1.312562	-0.843515	1.068651
H	-2.305329	-2.646579	-1.470978
O	-2.325283	2.390213	-0.373368
H	-2.018102	2.289516	0.620738
H	-1.448985	2.403053	-0.944100
H	-2.714090	1.496154	-0.584884
O	-0.187319	2.160939	-1.662509
H	0.493477	2.109950	-0.966892
H	-0.257542	1.239238	-1.962555
O	-1.484569	1.913077	1.941515
H	-1.722947	0.978659	2.051025
H	-0.517672	1.880340	1.817961

2H2SO4.4H2O,

S	1.784275	0.547719	-0.487643
O	0.376649	1.048498	-0.879104
O	-0.981482	-1.044910	-1.422991
H	-0.520105	-0.142336	-1.325710
O	2.488009	-0.033265	-1.644329
O	1.504115	-0.718557	0.461352
O	1.067604	-2.379082	-2.044191
H	-0.208564	-1.691632	-1.666255
O	-0.628815	2.820358	0.642983
H	-1.347252	2.233968	1.056338
S	-1.585857	-0.132154	1.888293
O	-0.168479	0.160378	2.261477
O	-1.715621	-1.338022	1.009703
O	-2.321114	1.068096	1.404662
H	0.921306	-0.429200	1.224166
O	2.489556	1.589776	0.284729
H	1.374637	-2.963366	-1.340778
H	1.718500	-1.643959	-2.049245
H	-1.320159	-1.237200	-0.482771
H	-0.185312	2.196170	-0.033581
H	0.100541	3.046060	1.335600

O	-2.369059	-0.507824	3.251984
H	-2.013358	-1.347082	3.583243
H	1.775657	4.057102	2.168376
O	1.340476	3.198028	2.166567
H	1.931806	2.593921	1.671787

2H2SO4.5H2O,

S	2.463316	-0.007738	-0.264464
O	1.490393	-0.370175	0.797581
O	3.883207	-0.484662	0.361638
O	2.600433	1.455901	-0.521683
O	2.285757	-0.790802	-1.528680
H	4.582422	-0.267420	-0.274512
S	-2.346417	-0.293625	0.162067
O	-1.629343	-0.359861	-1.151363
O	-3.794822	-0.921159	-0.223972
O	-2.596308	1.106970	0.615838
O	-1.768006	-1.142111	1.232780
H	-4.357598	-0.882783	0.564910
O	0.165337	-2.193356	-1.420715
H	1.026470	-1.660524	-1.541397
H	0.229414	-2.605931	-0.459033
H	-0.579199	-1.512094	-1.369709
O	0.612327	2.885592	0.249474
H	1.428177	2.386092	-0.074238
H	0.269836	2.362126	1.089286
H	-0.121548	2.805885	-0.467326
O	-0.201685	1.490133	2.156993
H	-1.136652	1.294638	1.972911
H	0.271242	0.659281	1.979821
O	-1.311766	2.570989	-1.373026
H	-1.984887	2.240590	-0.746780
H	-1.170761	1.803888	-1.945146
O	0.302146	-2.945633	0.961722
H	-0.519241	-2.509320	1.258325
H	0.989487	-2.323997	1.251641