XBAER derived aerosol optical thickness from OLCI/Sentinel-3 observation

2 Linlu Mei¹, Vladimir Rozanov¹, Marco Vountas¹, John P. Burrows¹, Andreas Richter¹

¹ Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Germany

Abstract

 A prolonged pollution haze event occurred in the northeast part of China during December 16 - 21, 2016. To assess the impact of such events, the amounts and distribution of aerosol particles formed in such events need to be quantified. The newly launched Ocean Land Color Instrument (OLCI) onboard Sentinel-3 is the successor of the MEdium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS). It provides measurements of the radiance and reflectance at the top of the atmosphere which can be used to retrieve the Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT) on both synoptic to global scales. In this paper, the recently developed AOT retrieval algorithm - eXtensible Bremen AErosol Retrieval (XBAER) has been applied to data from the OLCI instrument for the first time to inlustrate the feasibility of transferring XBAER to new instrument. The first global retrieval results show similar patterns as MODIS and MISR aerosol products. The AOT retrieved from OLCI is validated by comparison with AERONET observations and a correlation coefficient of 81 0.819 and bias (root mean square) of 0.115 is obtained. The haze episode is well-captured by the OLCI-derived AOT product. XBAER is shown to retrieve AOT from the observations of MERIS and OLCI.

-
-

1 Introduction

 Haze is an atmospheric phenomenon which is associated with horizontal visibilities of less than l0 km and atmospheric relative humidity (RH) less than 90 % (Liu et al., 2013). Haze occurs as a 92 result of pollution i.e. the release of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) , nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and particles or the photochemical production of atmospheric particles (Sezer et al., 2005; Pudasainee et al., 2006). These particles are called aerosol. Aerosol has a variety of effects on climate and environment both directly and indirectly. The direct effect is through scattering which cools the atmosphere and surface system or by absorption of incoming solar radiation which also cools the surface but warms the atmosphere. Indirectly, aerosol impacts on cloud formation and the microphysical properties of clouds, which in turn influence cloud albedo and precipitation (Li et al., 2011) adding to therir negative health impacts. Aerosols are also the carriers of toxic substances such as heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Wilkomirski et al., 2011). In Beijing, under high pollution conditions, the concentrations of sulfate and nitrate have been shown to account for 102 1/3 of the particle matter (PM₁₀) mass and 2/3 of the PM_{2.5} mass, a part of which is attributed to 103 the additional secondary conversion of SO_4^2 from SO_2 and NO_3 from NO_x (Ji et al., 2012). Haze has a significant effect on regional climatic phenomena, such as monsoon (Chung et al., 2002; Evan, et al., 2011) and on the environment e.g. air quality (Lin et al., 2012) and visibility (Zhao et al., 2011). Aerosol can adversely affects human health (Evan, et al., 2011), especially for the elderly, children (American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Environmental Health, 1993), and even the new-born children (Dadvand et al., 2013).

 A thick smoke haze enveloped the Eastern and Northern part of China in December 2016. Pictures taken by cameras onboard the satellite TERRA/AQUA show that the area affected by

 haze exceeded about 1.5 million square kilometers area of China. The poor visibility resulted in several highways and regional airports being closed for extended periods. The situation deteriorated significantly during the haze event and became a matter of public concern.

 Satellite observations of the reflectance of solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere are used to determine Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT), which is used as an indicator of air quality (Kaufman et al., 2002). There are numerous attempts for the retrieval of aerosol properties from satellite observations. AOT retrieval algorithms have been developed for use with the measurements of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (e.g. Dark-Target (Levy et al., 2013), DeepBlue (Hsu et al., 2013), the Multiangle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC) (Lyapustin et al., 2011)), Advanced Along-Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR) (e.g. AATSR Dual-Viewing (ADV) (Kolmonen et al., 2016; Sogacheva et al., 2017), Oxford-RAL Aerosol and Cloud (ORAC) (Thomas et al., 2009) and Swansea University (SU) (North et al., 1999) algorithms). AOT is also derived from observations of the Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) (Diner et al., 2005), PARASOL's Polarization and Directionality of the Earth's Reflectances (POLDER) (Dubovik et al., 2014), Sea-Viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor (SeaWiFS) (Sayer et al., 2012) etc.

 One challenge for the derivation of AOT long term datasets from satellite observation is to generate comparable AOT data products from the different instruments, which have limited lifetimes. Consequently mature aerosol algorithms, which can be applied to data from instruments on different platforms, are required. For example, the three MODIS aerosol algorithms have been applied to the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) instrument and the three

 AATSR algorithms have been proposed to be applied to the observations of the Sea and Land Surface Temperature Radiometer (SLSTR) instrument (Popp et al., 2016).

 The MERIS instrument onboard Environmental Satellite (Envisat) provided valuable information for different applications (Verstraete et al., 2010). There are several previous attempts to develop AOT retrieval algorithms for MERIS, e.g. the Bremen AErosol Retrieval (BAER; von Hoyningen-Huene et al., 2003, 2011), and the European Space Agency (ESA) standard aerosol retrieval (Santer et al, 2007). These had mixed success (Mei et al., 2017a). BAER has limited accuracy away from dark-vegetated surfaces and primarily for non-absorbing aerosols (de Leeuw et al., 2015; Holzer-Popp et al., 2013) while the ESA standard AOT retrieval tends to overestimate AOT (de Leeuw et al., 2015). The recently developed eXtensible Bremen AErosol (XBAER) 142 algorithm (Mei et al., 2017a, 2017b) has been internally validated in the Aerosol- Climate Change

144 The newly launched (on 16th Feb, 2016) instrument Ocean Land Color Instrument (OLCI) takes the heritage of MERIS as it contains all MERIS channels. Theoretically it is possible to transfer the mature MERIS retrieval algorithms to the OLCI instrument. In this paper, the XBAER algorithm has been applied to OLCI instrument for the first time. To our best knowledge, this is the first publication of AOT retrieved from OLCI. Although Sentinel-3 has only recently been launched, applying XBAER to OLCI data we have identified a haze event over Beijing, China during December 2016. We use observations by OLCI during this episode to test our retrieval of AOT.

Initiative (Aerosol-CCI) project (Popp et al., 2016), and shows very promising results.

 In this manuscript, the characteristics of OLCI and MERIS instruments are presented and compared in Section 2. The XBAER algorithm is briefly explained in Section 3. Section 4 shows

删除的内容**:** 6

删除的内容**:** 6 删除的内容**:** 6

181 temperature (at least AATSR quality) , Sea surface topography data (at least Envisat RA quality)

182 (https://earth.esa.int/web/guest/missions/esa-eo-missions/sentinel-3).

183 The primary objective of OLCI is to observe the ocean and land surface in the solar spectral 184 region and thereby to harvest information related to biology. OLCI also provides information on 185 the atmosphere and contributes to climate studies. OLCI is a push-broom imaging spectrometer 186 that measures solar radiation reflected by the Earth, at a ground spatial resolution of 300 meter, in 187 21 spectral bands between 0.4 and 1.02 µm, with a swath width of 1270 km. A comparison 188 between the MERIS and OLCI instruments has been included in Table 1.

189 **Table 1 Spectral channels for MERIS and OLCI instruments**

3 XBAER algorithm

The XBAER algorithm was designed for the retrieval of AOT from MERIS and similar observations. It has its own cloud screening approach, aerosol type selection and surface 196 parameterization (Mei et al., 2017a, 2017b). The cloud screening algorithm minimizes cloud contamination for aerosol retrieval in XBAER. The XBAER cloud masking algorithm determines the presence of cloud by using i) the brightness of the scene, ii) the homogeneity or variability of 199 the top of the atmosphere reflectance and iii) cloud height information (Mei et al., 2017b). The threshold values in the XBAER cloud masking algorithm are selected by a two steps process. The ranges for the thresholds were determined by using accurate radiative transfer modeling with different surface and atmospheric scenarios. A histogram analysis has been used for different cloud, aerosol and surface scenarios to estimate the optimal threshold values for each criterion. The XBAER algorithm uses a generic one-parametric surface parameterization for both land and ocean. XBAER uses a set of space-time dependent spectral coefficients to describe surface properties. The spatial and temporal resolutions are 10 km and monthly, respectively. The surface spectral reflectance can be determined simultaneously with AOT in an iterative procedure (Mei et 208 al., 2017a). This approach assumes that the wavelength-dependent properties of surface spectral reflectance are constrained by space and time dependent spectral coefficients. The wavelength-independent single parameters (Soil-adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI) for land retrieval and Normalized Differential Pigment Index (NDPI) for ocean retrieval) have been used 212 as the "tuning" parameters. The definitions of SAVI and NDPI are given below.

213 | $SAV = \frac{1}{2} \left(1\right)$ (1) (1)

a..)- R a. $= \frac{R (l_{14}) - R (l_{7})}{R (l_{14}) + R (l_{7}) + L} (1 +$ 4 7 4 7

 R (Q_{14}) + R (Q_{7}) + L (+ L). $SAVI = \frac{R Q_{14} + R Q_{7}}{R Q_{14} + R Q_{7} + L} (1 + L).$ 删除的内容**:** 6

删除的内容**:** 6

删除的内容**:** 6

删除的内容**:** 6

245 nse-function-data) has been used. Differences between MERIS and OLCI SRF are identified but have negligible impact on the retrieved AOT.

 In order to quantitatively investigate the impact of different SRFs, the TOA reflectances have been simulated with and without taking SRF into account. The simulations have been determined by undertaking radiative transfer simulations using SCIATRAN for atmospheric and surface conditions (Rozanov et al., 2014).The MERIS observation geometry for the 2nd July 2009 over Paris was used to perform a forward simulation. In particular, the solar zenith angle, viewing angle and relative azimuth were set to (32.32°, 28.7°, 30.65°) as suggested in Mei et al. (2016a).

 In order to design representative simulated scenarios, we define a comprehensive set of aerosol optical parameters, surface spectral reflectances, and other atmospheric properties comprising temperature and pressure profiles, the profiles of the concentration of gaseous absorbers and scattering. Suitable ranges of values for all relevant inputs for the RTM are obtained by statistical analysis of corresponding global products (Mei et al., 2016a). For this purpose, we use:

 Surface reflectance: Three typical surface types representing vegetation, soil and water, i.e. relatively dark land (vegetation-covered city), bright land (desert), and water surface (ocean surface), were used. The typical vegetation and soil spectra are adapted from von Hoyningen-Huene et al. (2011), the liquid water spectrum comes from the SCIATRAN database (see references in Rozanov et al., 2014). Fig. 2 shows the corresponding surface reflectance spectra for selected surface types.

Aerosol Scenarios: Within the ESA Aerosol-CCI project, a representative value for global

 mean AOT of 0.25 has been selected (Holzer-Popp et al., 2013; de Leeuw et al., 2015). Thus an AOT of 0.25 was selected for the simulation of "vegetation" and "water" cases. An AOT value of 0.5 was used for the "soil" scenario to represent a 'real' case for the Sahara region. Moderately 269 absorbing (fine mode radius $r_{v,f} = 0.150 \mu m$, coarse mode radius $r_{v,c} = 3.19 \mu m$, fine mode variance $\sigma_f = 0.408$, coarse mode variance $\sigma_c = 0.754$, fine/coarse mode volumes (μ m³/ μ m³) are 0.055 and 271 0.038), pure maritime type ($r_{v,f} = 0.150 \mu m$, $r_{v,c} = 3.19 \mu m$, $\sigma_f = 0.408$, $\sigma_c = 0.754$, fine/coarse 272 mode volumes (μ m³/ μ m³) are 0.04 and 0.296) and dust aerosol model ($r_{v,f}$ = 0.140 μ m, $r_{v,c}$ = 1.74 μ m, σ_f = 0.454, σ_c = 0.687, fine/coarse mode volumes (μ m³/ μ m³) are 0.02 and 0.157) were used for aerosol types.

 Other atmospheric parameters: The profiles of temperature, pressure, and concentration of 276 the gases ozone, O_3 , nitrogen dioxide, NO_2 , and molecular oxygen, O_2 and water vapor, H_2O , which all absorb in the 400 – 900 nm spectral region were provided by the Bremen 2D chemical 278 transport model (Sinnhuber et al., 2009).

 In Table 1 the spectral channels of OLCI and MERIS are given. Fig. 2 (a) presents the surface spectral reflectance for the three surface types selected. Fig.2 (b) presents the simulated TOA differences for the above scenarios. The differences for all surface/atmospheric conditions are less than 1.5%. These are similar to the simulation with and without convolution for MERIS with the exception of the O2A and water vapor channels. However, the potential impacts of different SRFs may also introduce some uncertainties to the XBAER cloud mask due to relative strong impact of SRF to the O2A channels (about 20% difference).

292 Fig 2 (a) Surface reflectances of the three selected surface types; (b) Comparisons of the simulated 293 TOA reflectance for different combinations of MERIS and OLCI SRF values. Green, yellow and 294 blue colors in (a) and (b) represent vegetation, soil and water simulations. Filled circles in (b) are 295 differences for simulations using MERIS and OLCI SRFs. Circles in (b) are differences for 296 simulations with and without convolution.

4.2 First XBAER AOT retrieval for OLCI and its validation

 Fig. 3 is a plot which compares XBAER-derived and AERONET observed AOT at 0.55 µm. The collocations of Fig.3 contain various surface and aerosol types, which ensure a wide representativeness of the validation. 733 collocations were found for December 2016. The colour 314 of each ordered pair $(0.025 \times 0.025$ increment) represents the number of such matchups. Negative 315 AOT (> -0.1) values are possible and reasonable as a result of the noise in satellite observations (Levy et al., 2007) and uncertainties of surface parameterization. The comparison here excluded negative values and only AOT values between 0.0 and 2.5 are used following the validation method of other aerosol products (Sayer et al., 2012; Levy et al., 2013). The validation contains various surface and aerosol types, which ensures a wide representativeness of the validation. The 320 regression equation is $y = (0.81x \pm 0.04) + (0.11 \pm 0.01)$ with slightly higher correlation compared

 Fig. 4 shows the global monthly AOT of December 2016 for MODIS collection 6 (Levy et al., 2013), MISR (Diner et al., 2005) and OLCI (XBAER) algorithm. In order to identify biomass burning events, the active fire points of MODIS (https://lance.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/imagery/firemaps.cgi) are added to the figures. Please note that the MISR "FIRSTLOOK" product is used because the monthly Land Surface and Aerosol products are not yet processed for December 2016 (Personal communication with NASA Langley ASDC on 16 February 2017). MODIS/MISR on board of TERRA and OLCI on board of Sentinel-3 have very similar overpass time (within 30 minutes difference). Therefore, all four results should show similar patterns for large AOT from desert dust events over Sahara, biomass burning over West Africa and Amazon region and anthropogenic pollution over India and East Asia. In Fig.4, XBAER AOT from OLCI shows similar patterns as the AOT from MODIS and MISR for both land and ocean. However, there are differences in the magnitude of the AOTs. Biomass burning over Africa, as observed in the MODIS active fire product, produces a 'plume belt' of high AOT near the equator. This is observed in all three AOT products. The AOT distribution pattern over India, which depends on the unique meteorological conditions and emissions is captured by the three AOT data products as well. MODIS and OLCI show similar pattern and magnitude of large AOT over Eastern China while the values from MISR are slightly

 lower, which may be due to the relative small sampling compared to MODIS and OLCI. However, the retrievals from XBAER over Australia are higher than those of MODIS and MISR. In addition to potential contamination by thin clouds observed in the RGB composite figures, the calibration uncertainties associated with a new instrument may also contribute to the bias of XBAER derived AOT. The large AOT differences over Sahara may go, in part, back to different assumptions in the different algorithms for bright surfaces (Lyapustin et al., 2011b; Mei et al., 2016a). Different patterns over Amazon can most likely be attributed to the use of different cloud screening methods. The global patterns obtained indicate that the generic XBAER algorithm works over both dark and bright surfaces using its flexible surface parameterization approach. For relative dark surfaces, the one-parametric surface parameterization is dominated by the first term (SAVI or NDPI tuned term) making XBAER behave like the Dark-Target-like retrieval algorithm. For bright surfaces such as desert, XBAER becomes similar to the DeepBlue AOT retrieval algorithm.

 Fig.3 Global comparison of OLCI XBAER AOT with AERONET observations for 2016 December. R and 'match_ups' refer to the Pearson correlation coefficient and the number of 359 locations used in the validation respectively. The dashed lines are $\pm 15\% \tau \pm 0.10$

 Fig. 4 Comparison of the retrieved global monthly mean AOT at 0.55 µm for December 2016. Upper row: left – MODIS fire product, right-MISR. Lower row: left-MODIS (Dark-Target and DeepBlue combined), right- OLCI (XBAER)

4.3 Beijing Haze event observed by OLCI

 In the following we show the ability of the retrievals of XBAER used with OLCI data to resolve spatial aerosol patterns on a synoptic scale. A prolonged haze event was observed over Beijing during the period of 16 – 21 December 2016. The intention of applying XBAER to this event is to show the potential of the retrieval to resolve aerosol patterns at a local level and thus being able to

 support future studies analyzing such events. This event is investigated by both ground-based measurements and satellite observations. Fig.5 (a) shows that winds at the surface were weak with a daily averaged wind speed lower than 3.5 m/s during the period, causing the accumulation of pollutants on a regional scale. The low temperature and high surface pressure near the surface indicate relatively stable atmospheric conditions in the vertical direction (Fig. 5 (b) (c)). The dispersion of pollutants out of the boundary layer is therefore slow. The relative humidity remained high (Fig. 5 (b)) causing the aerosol particles size to increase by the uptake of water (*Winkler*, 1988), thus making the haze event stronger. Under these meteorological conditions, pollutants can accumulate over the North China Plain (NCP) (*Li et al*., 2011).

380 Fig. 5 (d) shows the time series of concentration of SO_2 and NO_2 in the boundary layer 381 provided by ground-based measurements. The concentrations of SO_2 and NO_2 for haze periods are three to five times larger than those on relatively clear days. We thus assume anthropogenic activities to be the major source of AOT. Fig. 5 (e) and (f) show the AOT from AERONET sites 384 and the time series of the daily mean concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing with clearly increased 385 values in the same timespan $(16 - 21$ December). The lack of larger AOT values observed by AERONET is likely going back to too strict cloud screening procedures. The daily mean 387 concentrations of PM_{2.5} during $16 - 21$ December 2016 ranged from 107.1 μ g/m³ to 394.5 μ g/m³, 388 which is far above the daily $PM_{2.5}$ limit of the new threshold value set as the Chinese Ambient Air 389 Quality standard $(75 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3)$ 390 (http://transportpolicy.net/index.php?title=China: Air Quality Standards). A large Angstrom coefficient (Fig. 5(e)) shows that fine particles dominate during this period. In summary, we find

that the cause of the haze event in Beijing and northeastern China goes back to: (1) The stable

 meteorological conditions (low wind sppeds and temperature inversion) (2) Local emissions (3) High relative humidity.

 Fig 6 shows the MODIS/Terra derived AOT for the haze period. According to Fig. 6, this intense part of the haze episode has been partly observed by MODIS. However, a large part of it (under cloud free conditions) during the first three days is missing, mainly due to cloud masking applied in the MODIS aerosol retrieval. Fig. 7 shows the AOT from Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2) simulation (Rienecker et al., 2011) in order to exclude the impact from cloud screening. According to Fig. 7, the shape of the area covered by high AOT in MERRA remains stable except for 20 December, indicating the relative stable meteorological condition during the haze period. Due to the narrower swath width of OLCI compared to that of MODIS (1270 km vs 2300 km), OLCI has a longer 'revisit' time for a repetitive observation of the ground scene in Beijing. According to Fig. 6 and Fig. 8, XBAER discards fewer clear sky ground scenes than the MODIS retrieval, in particular on the 18th and 19th of December over Eastern China. For this period, the aerosol over Eastern China has been characterized as 'moderately absorbing aerosol'. The SSA at 0.675 µm from AERONET has values between 0.88 and 0.91, indicating relatively strong absorption from anthropogenic activities. The magnitude of AOT for the overlap regions between OLCI and MERRIA are comparable according to Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The regions of high aerosol agree well with the aeras having high NO2 columns in Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment 2 (GOME2) (Richter et al., 2011) for the corresponding time period as presented in Fig. 9. Fig. 8 illustrates that cloud masking, surface treatment and aerosol type selection in XBAER all work well for the detection of extreme haze events. Studies like the one by Zheng et al. (2015), are usually focusing on the origin

 of such plumes and the speciation of aerosol particles on a city level. XBAER results utilizing multi-spectral imagery such as provided by OLCI can support this kind of studies to identify plume transport and extension. This implies that the OLCI instrument can provide important data on AOT for atmospheric research.

420 Fig. 5 Time series of meteorological parameters and pollutants during December 2016. (a)wind 421 direction and wind speed (km/h) , (b) temperature ($^{\circ}$ C) and relative humidity (%), (c) atmospheric 422 pressure (hPa) and visibility (m), (d) SO_2 and NO_2 concentration (μ g/m³) (e) AOT and Angstrom 423 coefficient (440-870nm) (Alpha)(f) PM_{2.5} hourly and daily concentration(μ g/m³). The atmospheric 424 components and meteorological data are from https://www.aqistudy.cn/historydata/index.php and 425 https://www.wunderground.com/

427 Fig. 6 Daily MODIS RGB and AOT for East China $[100^{\circ} - 125^{\circ}$ E, 25[°]-45[°] N] during $16 - 21$

December 2016 (from top left to bottom right)

5 Discussions

 In this study, we have applied XBAER to data from the OLCI instrument onboard Sentinel-3 for the first time on both synoptic and global scale. The potential differences caused by different spectral response functions for OLCI and MERIS have been investigated by using SCIATRAN to generate representative simulated scenarios for dust aerosol type over desert, moderately absorbing aerosol over vegetation regions and maritime aerosol over water. The overall differences for all selected channels for XBAER are smaller than 1.5%. This implies that XBAER can be used to retrieve AOT from OLCI. Although relatively large differences caused by SRFs 449 (approximately 20%) have been found for the $O₂A$ channels, the global retrieval of OLCI shows 450 that the original MERIS cloud masking, which includes the use of O₂A channels, works well for OLCI and can potentially even be improved as only MERIS-heritage channels have been used so far with OLCI.

 The global monthly mean XBAER AOT maps for December 2016 show good agreement with those by MODIS and MISR. The comparison with AERONET measurements reveals that XBAER can provide promising results over both dark and bright surface. The first comparison with AERONET shows acceptable agreement between the two data sets, with a regression 457 yielding $y = (0.81x \pm 0.04) + (0.11 \pm 0.01)$ and correlation of R=0.82. The global retrievals confirm that XBAER is valid for both dark and bright surfaces because of its use of an optimized monthly global SSR spectral coefficients dataset.

 A significant haze event during December 2016 over Beijing has been analyzed in this paper based on ground-based and satellite observations to show the potential of the retrieval to resolve aerosol patterns at a local level and thus being able to support future studies analyzing such events. This large haze event has been attributed to the large local emissions under unfavorable

 meteorological conditions (temperature inversion in vertical direction and no advection). The MODIS/Terra and OLCI derived AOT both detect the haze event. However, due to cloud screening, the MODIS AOT partly misses it while OLCI AOT is able to detect the main pattern of haze for clear conditions. The overlap retrieval for both MODIS and OLCI has similar values, indicating that OLCI provides another useful data source for air pollution monitoring.

 Although the study shows that XBAER can be applied to OLCI observations for synoptic to global applications, several important issues need to be addressed in the future work. Potential cloud contamination due to both the relative large calibration uncertainty of OLCI compared to MERIS as well as the impact of SRF on O2A channel need to be investigated with the new version of level 1 TOA reflectance dataset. Modification or improvement for OLCI cloud screening will be included besides the criteria of brightness, texturing/variability and cloud altitude of the scenes (Mei et al., 2016b). The underestimation of AOT over regions like Sahara could be explained by the spheroid dust model adapted from MODIS-DT algorithm due to the impact of non-sphericity of dust particles on the aerosol phase function (Mei et al., 2016a), a new spheroid model accounting for aerosol particle non-sphericity will be included in the new version (Dubovik et al., 479 2006). The cloud screening evaluation shows that approximately $5 - 10$ % clouds may be misclassified as retrievable clear cases for MERIS (Mei et al., 2016b) which introduces both bias and potential patchiness of XBAER derived AOT for OLCI. Thus a new cloud post-processing, following the AATSR dual-view (ADV) algorithm (Sogacheva et al., 2017), will be applied to discard the pixels that might potentially be affected by cloud (cloud edge, very thin cloud and so on).

Acknowledgements

 The authors would like to express their appreciation to Mr. Andreas Heckel from Swansea University, Dr. Bahjat Alhammoud / Dr. Manuel Arias from ARGANS Company and Dr. Debbie Richards from EUMETSAT for very valuable and detailed discussion about the OLCI instrument. The discussion of model simulations from Dr. Anne Blechschmidt and Dr. Abram Sanders from University of Bremen is highly appreciated. We would also like to express our gratitude to the AERONET PIs for establishing and maintaining the long-term AERONET stations used for the validation. The atmospheric components and meteorological data are from https://www.aqistudy.cn/historydata/index.php and https://www.wunderground.com/. The MODIS 496 fire point product is available from https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/. We would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments, which greatly improved the quality of this manuscript. The project is partly funded by the University and State of Bremen and the German Science Foundation (DFG) Trans Regio SFB "Arctic Amplification TR 172". This work was partly supported by the European Space Agency as part of the Aerosol_CCI project. This research is in part a contribution by IUP/UB to MARUM a DFG-Research Center/Cluster of Excellence "The Ocean in the Earth System" (OC-CCP1). **Reference**

American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Environmental Health (1993), Ambient Air

Pollution: Respiratory Hazards to Children., *Pediatrics*, 91 (6), 1993,1210-1213.

 and term birth weight : a multi-country evaluation of effect and heterogeneity., *Environ. Health Perspect*., 121, 367-373, doi :http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205575.

- Dubovik, O., Lapyonok, T., Litvinov, P., Herman, M., Fuertes, D., Ducos, F., Torres, B., Derimian, Y., Huang, X., Lopain, A., Chaikovsky, A., Aspetsberger, M., Federspiel, C., (2014), GRASP: a versatile algorithm for characterizing the atmosphere, *SPIE Newsroom*. 1-4, DOI: 10.1117/2.1201408.005558
-

 Diner, D. J., Martonchik, J. V., Kahn, R. A., Pinty, B., Gobron, N., Nelson, B. N., and Holben, B. N. (2005), Using angular and spectral shape similarity constraints to improve MISR aerosol and surface retrievals over land, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 94, 155–171.

 Dubovik, O., Sinyuk, A., Lapyonok, T., Holben, B.N., Mishchenko, M., Yang, P., Eck. T.F., Volten, H., Munoz, O., Veihelmann, B., van der Zande, W. J., Leon, J.-F., Sorokin, M., and Slutsker, I. (2006), Application of spheroid models to account for aerosol particle nonsphericity in the remote sensing of desert dust, *Journal of Geophysical Reserch*, 111 (D11208), doi :10.1029/2005JD006619.

 Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N. T., et al.: Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 104(D24), 31333–31349, 1999

 Evan, A.T., Kossin, J.P., Chung, C.E and Ramanathan, V.(2011), Arabian Sea tropical cyclones intensified by emissions of black carbon and other aerosols, *Nature*, 479, 94-97, doi:10.1038/nature10552.

Environment, 66, 1–16.

 Ichoku, C., Chu, D., Mattoo, S., Kaufman, Y. J., Remer, L., Tanre, D., et al.: A spatio-temporal approach for global validation and analysis of MODIS aerosol products, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(12), 1616, doi:10.1029/2001GL013206, 2002.

- Ji, D.S., Wang, Y.S., Wang, L.L., Chen, L.F., Hu, B., Tang, G. Q., Xin, J. Y., Song, T., Wen, T.X.,
- Sun, Y., Pan, Y. P. And Liu, Z. R.(2012), Analysisi of heavy pollution episodes in selected cities

of northern China, *Atmos. Res.*, 50, 338-348, doi :

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.053.

-
- Kaufman, Y.J., Tanre, D., Boucher, O. (2002), A satelite view of aerosols in the climate system, *Nature*, 419, 215-223 (12 September 2002) | doi:10.1038/nature01091
-
- Kolmonen, P., Sogacheva, L., Virtanen, T. H., de Leeuw G., Kulmala, M. (2016), The ADV/ASV AATSR aerosol retrieval algorithm: current status and presentation of a full-mission AOD dataset, *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 9(6), 545-561, DOI: 10.1080/17538947.2015.1111450
-

 Li, Z.Q., Li, C., Chen, H., Tsay, S. –C., Holben, B., Huang, J., Li, B., Maring, H., Qian, Y., Shi, G., Xia, X., Yin, Y., Zheng,Y. And Zhuang, G.(2011), East Asian Studies of Tropospheric Aerosols and their Impact on Regional Climate (EAST-AIRC): An overview, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D00K34, doi:10.1029/2010JD015257.

 Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Remer, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Patadia, F., Hsu, N. C. (2013), The Collection 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 6, 2989-3034.

North, P., Briggs, S., Plummer, S. & Settle, J. (1999). Retrieval of land surface bidirectional

- G., Schubert, S. D., Takacs, L., Kim, G-K, Bloom, S., Chen, J., Collins, D., Conaty, A., da Silva,
- A., Gu, W., Joiner, J., Koster, R. D., Lucchesi, R., Molod, A., Owens, T., Pawson, S., Pegion, P.,
- Redder, C. R., Reichle, R., Robertson, F. R., Ruddick, A. G., Sienkiewicz, M., and Woollen, J.(2011), MERRA: NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, J. Climate, 24, 3624–3648.
-
- Rozanov, V., Rozanov, A., Kokhanovsky, A., & Burrows, J. (2014). Radiative transfer through terrestrial atmosphere and ocean: software package SCIATRAN. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy & Radiative*, 133, 13–71.
-
- Santer, R., Ramon, D., Vidot, J., & Dilligeard, E. (2007). A surface reflectance model for aerosol

remote sensing over land. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 28, 737–760.

- Sezer, T.F., Nuhoglu, A., Bayraktar, H. (2005), Impacts of some meteorological parameters on SO2 and TSP concentrations in Erzurum, Turkey, *Chemosphere*, 59, 1633–1642, doi :
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.02.003.
-
- Sinnhuber, B.-M., Sheode, N., Sinnhuber, M., Chipperfield, M. P., and Feng, W.: The contribution
- of anthropogenic bromine emissions to past stratospheric ozone trends: a modelling study, *Atmos.*

 Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., Ahmad, Z., Holben, B., Smirnov, A., Thomas, G. E., and Zhang J. (2012), SeaWiFS Ocean Aerosol Retrieval (SOAR): Algorithm, validation, and comparison with other data sets, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, D03206, doi:10.1029/2011JD016599.

Chem. Phys., 9, 2863-2871, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2863-2009, 2009.

control algorithms for the AERONET database, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 73(3), 337–349, 2000

- Thomas, G.E., Poulsen, C.A., Sayer, A.M., Marsh, S.H., Dean, S.M., Carboni, E., Siddans, R.,
- Grainger, R.G., Lawrence, B.N. (2009), The GRAPE aerosol retrieval algorithm, *Atmospheric*

Measurement Techniques, **2**, 679—701, doi: 10.5194/amt-2-679-2009.

- Verstraete, M. M., Pinty, B., & Curran, P. J. (1999). MERIS potential for land applications.
- *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 20(9), 1747-1756.

- von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Freitag, M., & Burrows, J. P. (2003). Retrieval of aerosol optical thickness over land surface from top-of-atmosphere radiance. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108, D9, doi:10.1029/2001JD002018.
-
- von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Yoon, J., Vountas, M., Istomina, L. G., Rohen, G., Dinter, T.,
- Kokhanovsky, A. A., & Burrows, J. P. (2011). Retrieval of spectral aerosol optical thickness over
- land using ocean color sensors MERIS and SeaWiFS. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* , 4,

151-171.

- Wilkomirski, B., Sudnik-Wojcikowska, B., Galera, H., Wierzbicka, M. And Malawska, M. (2011),
- Railway transportation as a serious source of organic and inorganic pollutionn, *Water Air Soil*
- *Poll.*, 218 (1-4), 333-345, doi : 10.1007/s11270-010-0645-0
-
- Winkler, P. (1988), The growth of atmospheric aerosol particles with relative humidity, *Phys.*
- *Scripta*, 37, 223-230, doi:10.1088/0031-8949/37/2/008.
-
- Zhao, P.S., Zhang, X. L., Xu, X.F. and Zhao, X.J. (2011), Long-term visibility trends and
- characteristics in the region of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei, China, *Atmos. Res.*, 101, 711-718, doi :
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.04.019.
-
- Zheng, G. J., Duan, F. K., Su, H., Ma, Y. L., Cheng, Y., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., Huang, T., Kimoto,
- T., Chang, D., Pöschl, U., Cheng, Y. F., and He, K. B. (2015), Exploring the severe winter haze in
- Beijing: the impact of synoptic weather, regional transport and heterogeneous reactions, *Atmos.*
- *Chem. Phys.*, 15, 2969-2983, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2969-2015.