1 2 3 4 5	Journal: ACP MS No.: acp-2017-150 Title: Sources of Submicrometre Particles Near a Major International Airport Author(s): Mauro Masiol et al.
6 7	RESPONSE TO EDITOR
8	Co-Editor Decision: Reconsider after minor revisions (Editor review)
9 10	(27 Aug 2017) by Andreas Petzold
11 12 13	Comments to the Author: Dear Roy Harrison
14 15	The manuscript requires two minor revisions before being accepted for ACP:
16 17	1. The abstract is overly long at >350 words. I suggest cutting this down to ~250.
18	<b>RESPONSE:</b> This has been reduced in length by 87 words.
19	
20	2. Line 198: Define eBC.
21	<b>RESPONSE:</b> This is now defined by a reference.

23	
24	
25	
26	Sources of Submicrometre Particles
27	Near a Major International Airport
28	
29	
30	
31	Mauro Masiol <sup>1,2</sup> , Roy M. Harrison <sup>1*†</sup> ,
32	Tuan V. Vu <sup>1</sup> , David C.S. Beddows <sup>1</sup>
33	
34	
35	<sup>1</sup> Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management,
36	School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences
37	University of Birmingham
38	Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT
39	United Kingdom
40	
41	<sup>2</sup> Division of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health
4 <u>1</u>	Sciences, University of Rochester Medical Center.
42 //2	265 Crittenden Boulevard, CU 420644
чJ ЛЛ	Rochester NV 14642 United States
45	Rochester, 111 11012, Chited States
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
	* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Tele: +44 121 414 3494; Fax: +44 121 414 3709; Email: r.m.harrison@bham.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Also at: Department of Environmental Sciences / Center of Excellence in Environmental Studies, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

## 53 ABSTRACT

54 Major airports are often located within or close to large cities; their impacts on the deterioration of air quality at ground level are amply recognised. The international airport of Heathrow is a major 55 source of nitrogen oxides in the Greater London area, but its contribution to the levels of 56 submicrometre particles is unknown, and is the objective of this study. Two sampling campaigns 57 were carried out during warm and cold seasons at a site close to the airfield (1.2 km). Size spectra 58 were largely dominated by ultrafine particles: nucleation particles (<30 nm) were found to be ~10 59 times higher than those commonly measured in urban background environments of London. A set 60 61 of chemometric tools was used to discern the pollution arising from aircraft operations and those 62 from other sources within the city or from the traffic generated by the airport. Five clusters and 6 factors were identified by applying k-means cluster analysis and positive matrix factorization (PMF) 63 respectively to particle number size distributions; their interpretation was based on their modal 64 structures, wind directionality, diurnal patterns, road and airport traffic volumes and on the 65 relationship with weather and other air pollutants. Airport emissions, fresh and aged road traffic, 66 67 urban accumulation mode and two secondary sources were then identified and apportioned. The comparison of cluster and PMF analyses allowed extraction of further information. The fingerprint 68 of Heathrow has a characteristic modal structure peaking at <20 nm and accounts for 30-35% of 69 70 total particles in both the seasons. Other main contributors are fresh (24-36%) and aged (16-21%) road traffic emissions and urban accumulation from London (around 10%). Secondary sources 71 accounted for less than 6% in number concentrations but for more than 50% in volume 72 concentration. The analysis of a strong regional nucleation event was also performed to detect its 73 74 effects upon concentrations and source apportionment methods: results showed that both the cluster categorisation and PMF contributions were affected during the first 6 hours of the event. In 2016, 75 the UK government provisionally approved the construction of a third runway; therefore the direct 76 and indirect impact of Heathrow on local air quality is expected to increase unless mitigation 77 78 strategies are applied successfully.

79

## 81 1. INTRODUCTION

82 Emerging markets, developing economies and globalisation have driven a fast and continuing growth of civil aviation in the last decades (Lee et al., 2009); this trend is still growing by  $\sim 5.5\%$  y<sup>-1</sup> 83 (ICAO, 2017). As a consequence, the aircraft and road traffic at airports is also increasing, but the 84 85 information available on the impact of airport emissions upon air quality at ground level is still inadequate (Webb et al., 2008; Masiol and Harrison, 2014). The quantification of airport impacts on 86 local air quality is complicated by the complexity of multiple mobile and static emission sources, 87 88 with many airports being located near to major cities, highways or industrial plants. Consequently, the development of successful strategies for emission mitigation and the implementation of 89 measures for air quality improvement to meet regulatory standards require a detailed quantification 90 of the contribution of airport and other emissions to the total air pollution load. 91

Keywords: Airport; black carbon; size distributions; source apportionment; ultrafine particles

92

93 Biological evidence associates the exposure to ultrafine particles (UFPs, <100 nm) with adverse effects upon human health (e.g., Knibbs et al., 2011; Strak et al., 2012; Ostro et al., 2015; Lanzinger 94 et al., 2016). At the current time, there is still limited knowledge of what specific characteristic or 95 96 association of characteristics may dominate the particle toxicity, and the consequent health outcomes (Atkinson et al., 2010; Strak et al., 2012, Vu et al., 2015a); nevertheless it is well 97 recognised that UFPs can reach the deepest regions of the lung (Salma et al., 2015) and may have 98 orders of magnitude higher surface area to mass ratios compared to larger particles. They offer 99 100 more surface for the absorption of volatile and semi-volatile species (Kelly and Fussell, 2012; Strak 101 et al., 2012).

102

Several studies have reported large increases of UFPs near airports (e.g., Westerdahl et al., 2008;
Hu et al., 2009; Klapmeyer et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2012a;b). For example, Hsu et al. (2013) and

Stafoggia et al. (2016) detected substantial increases of total particle number concentration (PNC) at 105 the airports of Los Angeles (CA, USA) and Rome Ciampino (Italy), respectively, in the few 106 minutes after take-offs, especially downwind, while landings made only a modest contribution to 107 108 ground-level PNC observations. Hsu et al. (2014) observed that departures and arrivals on a major runway of Green International Airport (Warwick, RI, USA) had a significant influence on UFP 109 110 concentrations in a neighborhood proximate to the end of the runway. In a study carried out at the Los Angeles international airport (CA, USA), Hudda et al. (2014) concluded that emissions from 111 the airport increase PNC by 4- to 5-fold at 8–10 km downwind of the airfield, while 112 Shirmohammadi et al. (2017) reported that the daily contributions of the airport to PNC were 113 114 approximately 11 times greater than those from three surrounding freeways. Hudda et al. (2016) reported that average PNC were 2- and 1.33-fold higher at sites 4 and 7.3 km from the Boston (MA, 115 USA) airport when winds were from the direction of the airfield compared to other directions. 116

117

Despite the strong evidence that airports are major sources of UFPs, their fingerprint within the 118 119 particle number size distribution (PNSD) may be difficult to identify due to: (i) the nature of semivolatile compounds emitted by aircraft; (ii) the possible mechanisms of secondary aerosol 120 formation; (iii) the dilution effect; and (iv) the similar modal structures of other emission sources 121 122 concurrently found in cities, such as road traffic (Masiol and Harrison, 2014). Generally, studies performed within or close to airports have reported increases of particles ranging from 4 to 100 nm 123 in diameter and mostly distributed in the nucleation range (<30 nm). For example, Mazaheri et al. 124 (2009) showed a main nucleation mode and an accumulation mode (40-100 nm) more evident 125 during take-offs; Keuken et al. (2015) reported PNSD dominated by 10-20 nm particles in an area 126 127 affected by emissions from Schiphol airport (The Netherlands); Hudda and Fruin (2016) found strong increases in particles smaller than 40 nm downwind from the Los Angeles International 128 Airport; Ren et al. (2016) showed that particles peaking at 16 nm dominate the PNSD at various 129 distances from the runway of Tianjin International Airport, China; Masiol et al. (2016) reported that 130

the fingerprint of aircraft emissions sampled under real ambient conditions at the airport of Venice
(Italy) has a main mode at approx. 80 nm and a second mode in the nucleation range below 14 nm.

The Greater London area is home to more than 8.5 million inhabitants and is one of the few UK locations not fully achieving the EU and national air quality standards: in 2015 nitrogen dioxide breached the hourly and annual limit values for health, while ozone exceeded the long-term objective (DEFRA, 2016). However, the standards were fully met for both PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

138

London Heathrow (LHR) is one of the world's busiest international airports: it is ranked 1st in 139 140 Europe for total passenger traffic (ACI, 2016). It accommodates more than 1250 flights every day and serves a total of 72.3 million passengers year<sup>-1</sup>. LHR is composed of 5 terminals and 2 runways: 141 northern (3.9 km-long) and southern (3.7 km). Currently, runways operate near their maximum 142 capacity, with a consequent increase in the potential for delays when flights are disrupted. Since 143 2007, the proposal for expanding LHR with a 3rd runway and a 6th terminal has been intensely 144 145 debated in the UK. In 2016 the UK government provisionally approved the construction of a third runway (UK Department for Transport, 2017). 146

147

LHR is located west of London (Figure SI1). Consequently, air quality in the surroundings of the 148 airport may be affected by the advection of air masses from the city, with the associated high levels 149 of pollutants emitted from traffic, energy demand for domestic heating and local industries. Airport 150 activities may also contribute to air pollution advected to the city when LHR is upwind, with 151 consequent potential impacts upon public health. In addition, as LHR attracts a large number of 152 153 passengers and workers, the emissions from large volumes of road traffic generated by the airport and the nearby M4 and M25 motorways are difficult to discriminate from non-airport-related road 154 traffic. Due to this complex scenario, the contribution of LHR is difficult to differentiate from the 155

urban background pollution, as already reported by previous modelling and experimental studies

157 (Farias and ApSimon, 2006; Masiol and Harrison, 2015).

158

159 Various studies have attempted to quantify the effect of LHR upon air quality, mainly focusing on the nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x=NO+NO_2$ ), which are well-known tracers for aircraft engine exhausts 160 (e.g., Herndon et al., 2008; Masiol and Harrison, 2014 and references therein), but also arise from 161 other combustion sources. For example, Carslaw et al. (2006) estimated that airport operations in 162 2001/4 accounted for ~27% of the annual mean  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  at the airfield boundary and less than 163 15% ( $<10 \mu g m^{-3}$ ) at background locations 2-3 km downwind of the airport. Similar results were 164 165 found for the 2008/9 period using model evaluation (AEA, 2010) and for the 2005/12 period using experimental data analysis (Masiol and Harrison, 2015). This latter study also reported that PM 166 mass concentrations at eight sites all around LHR were always well below the EU and UK limit. 167

168

This study aims to investigate the impacts of a major airport (LHR) serving a megacity (London) 169 170 upon the levels of submicrometre particles and to apportion those impacts to aircraft, road traffic and other sources typical of large cities with airports. The main particle size distributions modes are 171 simplified by applying cluster analysis; then, the modal structures of the main potential sources are 172 173 disaggregated and the submicron particle number concentrations (PNC) are quantified through the positive matrix factorisation (PMF). In addition, the origin of the airport plumes was spatially 174 175 assessed by matching results with local meteorological data, air mass movements, levels of common air pollutants, PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentration and its chemical speciation as indicators of source 176 177 location and formation mechanisms.

178

The atmospheric chemistry and physical properties of UFPs have been extensively investigated in
London (e.g., Harrison et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2012; von Bismarck-Osten et al., 2013) with

several studies using cluster analysis (Beddows et al., 2009; Brines et al., 2014; 2015) or PMF

(Beddows et al., 2015; Vu et al., 2016). However, this study is the first one carried out in SouthWest London to characterise and quantitatively apportion the impacts of LHR under real ambient
conditions. Moreover, only one earlier study (Masiol et al., 2016) has used both cluster analysis and
PMF to directly assess the airport contributions to UFPs. In addition, this study also investigated the
effects of a regional nucleation event on the results of the two source apportionment methods.

- 187
- 188

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 189 2.1 Experimental

Two sampling campaigns (each 1 month-long) were carried out during warm (August-September 190 191 2014) and cold (December 2014-January 2015) periods at Harlington (Figure SI1). The site was selected as well located to sample the plumes from the airport emissions: it lies 1.2 km N of the 192 northern runway and is located inside a playground, close to a secondary road and near the village 193 of Harlington. This is the location selected for the construction of the 3rd runway. The site is 194 categorised as "urban industrial" by DEFRA and it is therefore more indicative of community 195 196 exposure rather than direct fresh aircraft emissions. Consequently, it is a good point to quantify the particles generated by the airport after a relatively short ageing and dispersion in the atmosphere, 197 and is more indicative of the fingerprint of aircraft emissions affecting communities than data 198 collected alongside the runway or in the airport apron areas. In addition, previous studies have 199 reported that the site is strongly affected by the plume from the airport (Carslaw et al., 2006; Masiol 200 and Harrison, 2015). Prevailing winds from the 3rd and 4th quadrants are recorded in both summer 201 and winter (Figure SI2): under such circulation regimes, Harlington lies just downwind of LHR. 202 The site is also affected by pollutants arising from the large volumes of road traffic within London, 203 204 from the local road network as well as those generated by the airport. Tunnel Rd., the main access to LHR from the M4 motorway lies 800 m west, as well as the nearby M4 (640 m north) and M25 205 (~3.5 km east) motorways, major roads (Bath Rd, part of A4, passes 900 m south; A30 lies 2.8 km 206

SE). The village of Harlington (~400 m west) and advection of air masses from the conurbation of
London are other potential external sources.

210	Ultrafine particle counts and their size distributions from 14.3 to 673.2 nm were measured at 5 min
211	time resolution using a SMPS (scanning mobility particle sizer spectrometer) comprising an
212	electrostatic classifier TSI 3080 with a long differential mobility analyser (TSI 3081) and a CPC
213	(condensation particle counter, TSI 3775) based on condensation of <i>n</i> -butyl alcohol (Fisher
214	Scientific, ACS). The SMPS operated at a sheath air to aerosol flow ratio of 10:1 (sheath and
215	sample air flow rates were 3.0 and 0.3 L min <sup>-1</sup> respectively, voltage 10-9591 V; density 1.2 g/cc;
216	scan time 120 s, retrace 15 s; number of scan 2) while the CPC operated at low flow rate (0.3 L
217	min <sup>-1</sup> ). The use of 5 min resolved spectra has already been used successfully for source
218	apportionment purposes at an airport (Masiol et al., 2016).
219	
220	Equivalent Black Carbon (eBC) as defined by Petzold et al. (2013) was also measured at 5 min
221	resolution using a 7-wavelength aethalometer (Magee Scientific AE31). The aethalometer operated
222	with an inlet cut-off head to collect PM with aerodynamic diameter of <2.5 $\mu$ m (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ). eBC was
223	derived from the absorbance at 880 nm wavelength (Petzold et al., 2013); raw data were post-
224	processed with the Washington University Air Quality Lab AethDataMasher V7.1 to perform data
225	validation and correct data for non-linear loading effects (Virkkula et al., 2007; Turner et al., 2007).
226	
227	Instruments were installed into a plastic/metal case designed for sampling purposes: (i) air inlets
228	were ~1.8 m above the ground and were composed of conductive materials to avoid particle losses
229	and sampling artefacts; (ii) the case was cooled by fans in summer and was warmed by an electrical
230	tubular heater in winter for maintaining an indoor air temperature within an acceptable range for
231	running the equipment (temperature inside the case was recorded and periodically checked); (iii)
232	instruments were isolated from vibration using rubber pads and foam foils. Devices were fully

- serviced, calibrated by authorised companies and underwent internal cross-calibrations with other
  similar instruments under lab conditions. Moreover, frequent periodic checks, maintenance of
  instruments and cleaning of inlets was performed throughout the sampling campaign.
- 236

Routine air pollutants (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>) were measured at Harlington with 1 h time 237 238 resolution by the UK Automatic Urban and Rural Network under the auspices of the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA; http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/). 239 Gaseous species were analysed using automatic instruments according to European standards and 240 National protocols: EN 14211:2012 for nitrogen oxides and EN 14625:2012 for ozone. PM<sub>10</sub> and 241 PM<sub>2.5</sub> were analysed using tapered element oscillating microbalance and filter dynamics 242 measurement system (TEOM-FDMS) to provide measurements accounting for volatile (VPM<sub>10</sub>, 243 VPM<sub>2.5</sub>) and non-volatile (NVPM<sub>10</sub>, NVPM<sub>2.5</sub>) fractions. Quality assurance and quality control 244 procedures followed the standards applied for the Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN) 245 and the London Air Quality Network (LAQN). Instruments were routinely calibrated, and every six 246 247 months were fully serviced and underwent intercalibration audits.

248

Some additional variables are also computed from the air pollutants to help the interpretation of 249 250 results. The NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio is indicative of the partitioning of nitrogen oxides, while the levels of oxidants (OX=O<sub>3</sub>+NO<sub>2</sub>, expressed in ppby) can be used to roughly assess the oxidative potential in 251 the atmosphere (Kley et al., 1999; Clapp and Jenkin, 2001). These two new variables are useful in 252 investigating the atmospheric chemistry behind the NO-NO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> system. Delta-C (the difference 253 between absorbance at 378 and 880 nm, also called UVPM) was also computed. This variable was 254 255 largely used as a proxy to estimate the fraction of carbonaceous material emitted by biomass burning (e.g., Sandradewi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011). However, Delta-C results should be used 256 with caution: Harrison et al. (2013) showed that there are probably other UV absorbing contributors 257

than wood-smoke to the aethalometer signal. Consequently, Delta-C is used here only forqualitative purposes.

260

Weather data were measured hourly by the Met Office at LHR; met data include wind direction and speed, atmospheric pressure, air temperature, relative humidity (RH), visibility, rain and solar irradiance.

264

During the two campaigns, 24-h PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples were also collected on quartz filters using a high volume air sampler (TE-6070, Tisch Environmental, Inc.) and analysed for the daily concentrations of major PM<sub>2.5</sub> components: organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) by thermo-optical analysis (EUSAAR\_2 protocol) and major inorganic ions (Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, ammonium, nitrate, sulphate, oxalate) by ion chromatography. Analytical methods are reported in detail in Yin et al. (2010). The results of the chemical speciation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> are presented in a companion paper (in preparation) and are used in this study only to assist the interpretation of PMF results.

272

# 273 2.2 Data Handling and Chemometric Approaches

Data were analysed using R version 3.3.1 (R Core Team, 2015) and a series of supplementary 274 275 packages, including 'Openair' (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012). Preliminary data handling and cleanup were carried out to check the robustness of the dataset, detect anomalous records and to delete 276 277 extreme outliers. SMPS data with unreliable behaviour or instrument errors were completely deleted. An in-depth analysis of the dataset revealed few records with anomalously high PNC, 278 279 which were likely related to probable instrumental issues, extreme weather conditions (e.g., high 280 wind gusts, heavy rain striking the inlet), or infrequent local emissions, e.g., maintenance, painting and recreational activities (including fires) on the playground where the site is located, road 281 maintenance close the site and probable short-term parking of high-emission vehicles near the site. 282 283 Since this study aims to investigate the overall contributions of LHR, all data are used for

descriptive statistics, but data greater than the 99.5th percentile were further removed for
explorative, cluster and PMF analyses. This data exclusion successfully removed the extremely high
events occurring during the sampling campaigns and significantly improved the stability and
physical meaning of PMF solutions. Missing data for other variables were linearly interpolated
between the nearest values of the time series.

289

The particle number size distributions (PNSDs) were firstly grouped by applying a k-means cluster 290 analysis. The full method is exhaustively discussed in Beddows et al. (2009; 2014) and aims to 291 assemble single spectra into k clusters. The clustering groups observations with spectra similar to 292 293 their cluster centroids (means), i.e. observations that are likely generated by the same set of formation processes or emission sources. The optimum number of clusters (k) was determined by an 294 optimisation algorithm based on the spectral shapes (Beddows et al., 2009). The choice to apply the 295 k-mean clustering method was based on several reasons: (i) Salimi et al. (2014) reported that k-296 means is the best performing clustering among others methods tested on PNSD data; (ii) k-means is 297 298 a well-established method which has been widely applied over a number of different sites (e.g., Dall'Osto et al., 2012; Wegner et al., 2012; Beddows et al., 2014; Brines et al., 2014; 2015); and 299 (iii) the method was previously applied successfully to airport data (Masiol et al., 2016). 300 301 PMF analysis was performed by applying the USEPA PMF5 model. Details of the PMF model are reported elsewhere (Paatero and Tapper, 1994; Paatero, 1997; USEPA, 2014), while the best 302 practice and standards are extensively reviewed in several papers (e.g., Reff et al., 2007; Belis et al., 303 2014; Brown et al., 2015; Hopke, 2016). SMPS data at 5 min resolution were used as the PMF input 304 matrix. Uncertainties associated with SMPS data were estimated according to the empirical method 305 306 proposed by Ogulei et al. (2007). Uncertainty for the total variable (total particle number concentration, PNC) was set at 300% of the PNC concentration and also marked as "weak" to avoid 307 308 it driving the profiles.

309

The best PMF solutions were identified: (i) by investigating solutions between 3 and 10 factors; (ii) 310 by considering the minimization of the objective function Q with respect to the expected 311 (theoretical) value and its stability over multiple (n=100) runs, (iii) by obtaining low values for the 312 313 sum of the squares of the differences in scaled residuals for each base run pair by species; (iv) by minimizing the number of absolute scaled residuals over  $\pm 3$  and by keeping them symmetrically 314 315 distributed; (v) by keeping the result uncertainties calculated by bootstrap (BS, n=200) and 316 displacement (DISP) methods within an acceptable range (Paatero et al., 2014); (vi) by obtaining modelled total variable (PNC) successfully predicted ( $R^2 > 0.9$  and slopes  $\approx 1$ ); and (vii) by avoiding 317 the presence of edges in the G-space plots (Paatero et al., 2002) and, then, the presence of 318 319 hidden/unresolved sources.

320

A series of additional tools were used to analyse the raw data, link source apportionment results to 321 other variables, such as local atmospheric circulation and regional/transboundary transport of air 322 masses. Briefly, polar plots aim to map pollutant average concentrations by wind speed and 323 direction as continuous surfaces (Carslaw et al., 2006), while polar annuli plot by wind direction 324 and hours of the day. The potential locations of distant sources were assessed using back-trajectory 325 analysis and a concentration weighted trajectory (CWT) model (Stohl, 1998). Back-trajectories 326 were computed with the HYSPLIT4 model (Stein et al., 2015; Rolph, 2016) using NCEP/NCAR 327 reanalysis gridded meteorological data. Set-up: -96 h with a starting height of 500 m a.g.l. CWT is a 328 method of weighting trajectories with associated concentrations to detect the most probable source 329 areas of long-range transports of pollutants; it has been used and reviewed in a number of prior 330 331 studies (e.g., Stohl, 1996; Lupu and Maenhaut, 2002; Squizzato and Masiol, 2015). 332

- ...
- 333
- 334
- 335

## **3**36 **3**.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 337 **3.1 Overview of Data**

The wind roses during the two sampling periods are provided in Figure SI2. Descriptive statistics of 338 339 all collected variables are reported as boxplots in Figure SI3. PNSDs were initially split into 3 ranges: nucleation (14-30 nm), Aitken nuclei (30-100 nm) and accumulation (>100 nm). On 340 average the total PNC during the warm season was  $1.9 \times 10^4$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup>, of which  $1.1 \times 10^4$ ,  $6.4 \times 10^4$ 341  $10^3$  and  $1.5 \ge 10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> were classified as nucleation, Aitken and accumulation ranges, 342 respectively (Figure SI3). During the cold season, the total average PNC was  $2.2 \times 10^4$  particles 343 cm<sup>-3</sup>, composed of 1.4 x  $10^4$ , 6.3 x  $10^3$  and 1.4 x  $10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> as nucleation, Aitken and 344 accumulation ranges, respectively (Figure SI3). Concentrations lie between those of London, 345 Marylebone Road (kerbside) and London, North Kensington (background), and nucleation particles 346 were ~10 times higher than the annual average measured in North Kensington as reported by Vu et 347 al. (2016), while Aitken particles were 1.9 times higher. It is therefore evident that the main 348 349 difference lies in the concentration of the finest size ranges: in both seasons, spectra were dominated by UFP ( $D_p < 100$  nm) particles (~92% of total PNC), which only accounted for ~12% of 350 total particle volume concentration (PVC, computed by approximation to spherical particles). On 351 352 the other hand, accumulation mode particles accounted for ~8% of PNC and ~88% of PVC volume. The high levels of total PNC are not surprising: several studies carried out into or close to airports 353 (e.g., Hsu et al., 2013;2014; Hidda et al., 2014; 2016; Stafoggia et al., 2016; Shirmohammadi et al., 354 2017) reported significant increases in the concentrations of UFPs. 355

356

<sup>357</sup> During the two sampling campaigns, air pollutants measured in Harlington (Figure SI3) were <sup>358</sup> similar to the average concentrations measured over an 8 year period (2005-2012) in the vicinity of <sup>359</sup> LHR (Masiol and Harrison, 2015). Consequently, despite the two short campaigns carried out in <sup>360</sup> this study, results may be considered representative of the average levels of air pollution recorded at <sup>361</sup> Harlington. The average concentrations of eBC were 2.4 and 2.1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> during the warm and cold season, respectively. The average concentration of Delta-C was 0.1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> during the warm season and 0.36  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> in winter.

364

Analysis of the data showed a non-normal distribution for most of the variables: the nonparametric
Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance was therefore used to test the difference of
concentrations over the two periods (Kruskal and Wallis, 1952): almost all variables are different at
the 0.05 significance level, except NO, NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. This result indicates a seasonal effect upon air
quality in the LHR area and suggests investigating the sources over the two periods separately.

The average PNSDs are shown in Figure 1 as well as their median distributions and interquartile 371 ranges. Spectra are categorised by time of day (7am-7pm and 7pm-7am local time). In addition, the 372 particle volume size distributions (PVSDs) are also provided. Results for the warm season show 373 that the average daytime PNSD is dominated by a main peak in the nucleation range (extending 374 below 14 nm) and a second mode in the Aitken range (between 30 and 50 nm). The nocturnal 375 376 spectrum is characterised by a drop of the nucleation mode to concentration values similar to the Aitken peak (mode around 35 nm). During the cold season, the average diurnal and nocturnal 377 PNSDs present a main peak at 15-25 nm and a second mode at 70-100 nm. In summary, both 378 379 seasons show reductions of the finest modes during nighttime, while the second mode is almost constant throughout the day. As a consequence, the modal structure of PNVDs is also almost 380 constant throughout the day. 381

382

The diurnal cycles of the 3 particle ranges, eBC, solar irradiation and airport movements are shown in Figure 2. A comprehensive overview of the patterns for all the variables is provided in Figure SI4. Generally, diurnal cycles derive from the interplay of emissions, dispersion and atmospheric chemical processes. Consequently, they need to be investigated along with patterns for airport and motorway traffic (Figure 2 and Figure SI5, respectively), and as polar annuli (Figures SI6 and SI7)

and polar plots (Figures SI8 and SI9), which give preliminary insights into the origin and spatial 388 location of most probable emission sources. During nighttime, airport traffic is restricted to limit 389 noise and community disturbance: flights are generally constant from 6 am to 8 pm and are kept at 390 391 minimum overnight, with no departures normally scheduled between 11 pm and 6 am (Figure 2). Road traffic is more difficult to define. Data for M4 and M25 motorways are provided by the UK 392 393 Department for Transport: data for the M4 motorway show typical morning (7-8 am) and evening (5-6 pm) peaks due to rush hours, but this pattern is not well-resolved for the M25 (Figure SI5). In 394 addition, despite it being likely that traffic on minor and local roads also follows patterns dominated 395 by rush hours, traffic generated by the airport is more difficult to characterise, with Tunnel Rd. and 396 397 other busy roads serving LHR being frequently congested.

398

Nucleation particles are likely associated with aircraft movements. The daily pattern shows high 399 and almost constant concentrations between 7 am and 11 pm (Figure 2): hourly averages ranged 400 from  $10 \times 10^3$  to  $15 \times 10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> during the warm season and from  $10 \times 10^3$  to  $21 \times 10^3$ 401 particles cm<sup>-3</sup> during the cold season. On the contrary, the concentrations of nucleation particles 402 significantly (Kruskal-Wallis at p < 0.05) drop overnight (hourly averages ranging from 5 x  $10^3$  to 403  $6 \cdot 10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> and from 1 x 10<sup>3</sup> to  $5 \cdot 10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> during the warm and cold season, 404 respectively); the maximum average concentrations are recorded for winds blowing from the SW 405 quadrant (polar plots and polar annuli in Figures SI6-9), i.e. the airfield and, in particular, the 406 location of the main LHR terminals (Figure SI1). As a consequence of the dominance of nucleation 407 particles over size spectra, also total PNC follows the pattern (Figures 2) and wind directionality 408 409 (Figures SI8-9) of nucleation particles. On the contrary, accumulation particles appear to be more associated with road traffic. These particles increase for winds blowing from northern sectors 410 (Figures SI6-9), i.e. toward the M4. Accumulation particles also present the morning (6-8 am) and 411 evening (6-11 pm) rush hour peaks during the warm season, but only the evening peak (from 6 pm 412 to the night) was found in the cold season (Figure 2). Generally, the evening peaks start around 6 413

414 pm, which is consistent with the peak of traffic (Figure SI5) but they extend late in the evening and 415 night probably because the drop of the mixing layer top and the consequent concentration of 416 pollutants close to the ground level. Aitken nuclei exhibit a mixed behaviour between nucleation 417 and accumulation particles (Figure 2): two different patterns can be found, which are more 418 consistent with road traffic in summer and with aircraft traffic in winter.

419

Despite some studies indicating that airports are strong sources of black carbon (Dodson et al., 420 2009), other studies report no strong relationships with the flight activity (Masiol et al., 2016; Hsu 421 et al., 2016). Similarly to NO<sub>2</sub> (Figure SI4) and accumulation particles (Figure 2), aethalometer data 422 423 also shows typical patterns of road traffic-influenced sites for all wavelengths, with two daily peaks corresponding to the hours with higher traffic (Figure 2). However, Delta-C does not present any 424 evident pattern (Figure SI4). eBC shows increased concentrations when winds blow from northern 425 sectors (plus SE in winter, Figure SI7 and SI9); which excludes airport activities as being a 426 dominant source in the study area. 427

428

Particulate matter mass concentration (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) has very weak diurnal patterns (Figure SI4).
Its wind directionality shows evident increases for northerly winds (Figure SI8-9). It is therefore
evident that PM mass concentrations are dominated by non-airport sources, i.e. regional secondary
pollutants, traffic from the nearby M4 or background pollution from London. PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations
normally do not exceed the Limit Values in the Greater London area (DEFRA, 2016).

434

## 435 **3.2** *k*-means Cluster Analysis

The clustering algorithm extracted 5 clusters for both periods. The number of clusters was selected
according to the optimisation algorithm, i.e. local maxima in the Dunn indices and silhouette
(Beddows et al., 2009). The extraction of 5 clusters represents a good compromise for the
interpretation of spectral observations. Hussein et al. (2014) reported that is not prudent to describe

the spectra with few clusters (2-4), which are not sufficient to explain variations and detailed
differences in the PNSD observed in the urban atmosphere. On the other hand, they also reported
that extracting too many (>10) clusters may make the aerosol source attribution more challenging.

The cluster centroids (mean spectra of each cluster), the 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th percentile, the hourly counts patterns and resulting wind roses are shown in Figures 3 and 4 for the warm and cold season campaigns, respectively. Despite extracted clusters exhibiting significantly different modal structures for PNC, no differences can be observed for the particle volume size spectra, which all show a unimodal peak at approx. 200-300 nm. Clusters accounted for 14%-25% of total observations for both the seasons: Table SI1 summarises the percentage of the total observations for each cluster.

451

Three clusters (cluster 1 during the warm season and clusters 1 and 5 in winter) are likely shaped 452 by the airport emissions. The modal structures present sharp peaks for nucleation particles which 453 454 extend below the SMPS detection limit (14 nm) and drop at 30-40 nm; no secondary modes are present in the Aitken or accumulation ranges. These clusters show a large increase in frequency 455 during the afternoon and evening hours (cluster 1 for the warm season and cluster 5 for the cold 456 457 season) or extended over the daytime (cluster 1 for the cold season), similarly to the airport aircraft movement profiles (Figure 2). Aircraft are known to emit particles in the nucleation range (e.g. 458 Mazaheri et al., 2009;2013; Masiol and Harrison, 2014; and references therein; Lobo et al., 2015) 459 and the wind roses are also compatible with an origin from the airfield and the main LHR terminals 460 (Figures 3 and 4). However, daytime regional photochemical nucleation events in London occur 461 462 around noon-2 pm and are mostly recorded from June to September (Vu et al., 2016). Consequently, the modal structure of cluster 1 for the warm season could be additionally shaped by 463 regional photochemical nucleation. The reasons driving the split of the spectra likely shaped by 464

LHR into two clusters during the cold season are unclear. A further comparison of the cluster andPMF results will help in interpreting this outcome.

467

468 The modal structures of the *clusters* 4 for both seasons peak for nucleation particles and extend below 14 nm, but also show probable modes between 50 and 200 nm (Figures 3 and 4). They 469 470 represent the typical spectra associated with aged anthropogenic emissions, mostly due to road traffic. It is recognised that road traffic contributes to a large range (30-200 nm) of PNSD in the 471 urban atmosphere (e.g., Yue et al., 2008; Costabile et al., 2009; Harrison et al., 2011), which is 472 compatible with these spectra. The directional analysis for the warm season shows increased levels 473 474 when air masses move from the sectors more affected by traffic, i.e. London (NE), M4 (N) and M25 (W) motorways and Tunnel Rd (W), while the hour count profile presents a huge maximum during 475 daytime. In winter, this modal structure mostly occurred for westerly winds: the atmospheric 476 circulation during the cold season mostly experienced winds blowing from the SW quadrant, with 477 NE sectors poorly represented (Figure SI1). As a consequence, the limited number of observations 478 479 for air pollution advected from the Greater London area may have affected the detection of the urban background from London. This lack of data is also reflected by diurnal profile, which shows a 480 marked peak in the late afternoon, concurrent to the peak of traffic on M4 and M25 (Figure SI5). 481

482

Three clusters (*clusters 2* and *3* during the warm season and *cluster 2* in winter) exhibited similar 483 hourly profiles with most of the counts occurring overnight (Figures 3 and 4). This pattern is largely 484 attributable to the dynamics of the mixing layer, since the diurnal cycles are the mirror image of the 485 ambient air temperature (Figure SI4). Because of this, these clusters could be potentially affected by 486 487 the reduced height of the mixing layer occurring overnight. These clusters exhibit bimodal structures with the coarser modes with respect to the remaining clusters: cluster 2 for the warm 488 season shows a main peak in number concentrations at 30-40 nm and a second peak in the finest 489 490 range (<16 nm), clusters 3 for the warm season peaks at 14 and 60-70 nm, and cluster 2 for the cold

season extends over a wide size range with two modes around 20-30 nm and 100-150 nm.

Consequently, these clusters are likely representative of spectra mostly shaped by the drop of the 492 mixing layer height and the formation of secondary aerosols. In this context, the potential role of 493 494 nighttime nitrate formation through condensation of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and the heterogeneous reactions of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> on pre-existing particles cannot be ignored (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Bertram and 495 496 Thornton, 2009; Brown and Stutz, 2012). The wind roses reveal that both clusters 2 occur under 497 similar westerly wind regimes. Regional aerosols appear to be the most probable source. On the contrary, cluster 3 for the warm season occurs with winds from London (NE) and likely represents 498 particle size spectra mainly shaped by primary and secondary aerosols advected from the most 499 500 urbanised areas, i.e. it is most likely associated with the urban background of London.

501

*Cluster 5* for the warm season and *cluster 3* for the cold season may be associated with road traffic. 502 They reveal modal structures with a dominant peak around 20-35 nm (cluster 5 also shows a 503 possible second peak at 15 nm) and mostly occur when air masses blow from westerly sectors, 504 505 which are compatible with the location of motorways and Tunnel Rd, the main roadway linking LHR to the M4 motorway. In summer, the hourly count pattern exhibits two maxima (6-8 am and 4-506 8 pm) related to morning and evening rush hours; this pattern is compatible with fresh road traffic 507 508 emissions. However, the diurnal pattern in winter also presents a high number of counts at 3-5 am, i.e. not directly compatible with rush hours. A possible explanation involves the stronger effect of 509 the winter mixing layer dynamics on the air quality due to the presence of more frequent low level 510 thermal inversions, which may build up the pollutants at ground-level especially overnight. This 511 may increase the signal of the less intense, but still significant, nighttime traffic emissions present in 512 513 the study area.

514

515

## 517 **3.3 PMF Analysis**

The interpretation of PMF results was then attempted by considering: (i) the knowledge of sources 518 impacting the study area; (ii) the comparison with the results reported by Vu et al. (2016), who 519 520 performed a PMF analysis of SMPS data collected in North Kensington (London urban background); (iii) the shape of resulting profiles for both the particle number and volume 521 522 concentrations; (iv) the analysis of diurnal patterns; (v) the directional analysis using the polar plot and polar annuli; (vi) the correlations between the source contributions and the other air pollutants 523 monitored at the site or with weather variables, and (vii) the analysis of possible remote source 524 areas by applying the CWT model. 525

526

Six-factor solutions were extracted for both the seasons. The resulting factor profiles are presented 527 in Figures 5 and 6 for the warm and cold season, respectively. The factor profiles are expressed as: 528 (i) particle number concentrations and their DISP ranges; (ii) particle volume concentrations, and 529 (iii) explained variations showing how much of the variance (from 0 to 1) in the original dataset is 530 531 accounted for by each extracted factor. The Figures 5 and 6 also show the diurnal patterns and the polar plots computed from the hourly-averaged contributions. Table 1 summarises the PMF results 532 and spectral characteristics, while Table 2 shows the Pearson correlation matrices with weather and 533 air quality variables. Selected PMF solutions were very stable: no errors or unmapped factors and 534 few swaps (none in summer and <7% in winter) were found in BS; no swaps or errors even at 535  $dQ_{max}$ =25 were found for DISP, i.e. solutions were affected by small rotational ambiguity and, 536 therefore, their interpretation can be considered robust. 537

538

539 DISP analysis is designed to explore the realistic bounds on the optimal (base run) PMF solutions 540 that do not result in appreciable increases in the Q values (Brown et al., 2015). In this study, the 541 ranges calculated by DISP for the dQ=4 were used to assess the uncertainty boundaries associated 542 with the final PMF profiles, as suggested in Zikova et al. (2016) and Masiol et al. (2017). This

strategy is useful to better interpret the results, as the regions of spectra affected by high rotationalambiguity are disclosed in the resulting profiles.

545

#### 546 *3.3.1 Warm season*

*Factor 1* includes most of the particles in the nucleation range (<20 nm), exhibits a sharp mode in 547 548 the number distribution below the SMPS detection limit (14 nm) and makes the largest contribution to the total PNC (31.6%, DISP range 31-36%) (Figure 5). However, its contribution to the volume 549 distribution is ~1%. Several studies report that particles in the nucleation range are emitted from 550 aircraft engines (e.g., Anderson et al., 2005; Herndon et al., 2008; Kinsey et al., 2010; Mazaheri et 551 552 al., 2009;2013; Masiol and Harrison, 2014; Lobo et al., 2015) as well as from other anthropogenic (e.g., Schneider et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2011; Cheung et al., 2012; Stevens et al., 2012; Kumar et 553 al., 2013;2014; Vu et al., 2015b) and natural (e.g., Kulmala et al., 1998; O'Dowd et al., 1998;1999; 554 Kulmala and Kerminen, 2008; Riccobono et al., 2014) sources. This factor does not show any 555 significant (p < 0.05) and strong ( $r \ge |0.6|$ ) correlation with other measured species, but shows a 556 557 weak ( $|0.4| \le r < |0.6|$ ) correlation with Factor 2 (Table 2). Its diurnal variation (Figure 5) shows higher concentrations between 6 am and 10 pm, and well agrees with the airport flight movements 558 (Figure 2). The polar plot analysis also indicates enhanced levels when winds  $> 2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  blow from 559 560 the airfield sectors (SW). All these insights are consistent with the location of Heathrow, i.e. the most plausible interpretation is related to the aircraft engine exhaust emissions. This interpretation 561 is also supported by Keuken et al. (2015), which shows that the PNSD in an area affected by 562 emissions from Schiphol airport (The Netherlands) is dominated by ultrafine (10-20 nm) particles. 563 The large contribution of this factor to the total PNC is not surprising if compared to the results 564 565 reported for the Los Angeles international airport by Hudda et al. (2014) (emissions from the airport increased PNC 4- to 5-fold at 8-10 km downwind the airfield). Since the airport of Los Angeles and 566 LHR have comparable aircraft traffic, the quite high concentrations found in this study (on annual 567 568 average nucleation particles are ~10 times higher than those measured in North Kensington urban

background by Vu et al. (2016)) are consistent with the sampling location chosen in this study (~1.2
km to the airfield). In addition, this result also agrees with previous studies on the impacts of LHR
on local air quality; Carslaw et al. (2006) and Masiol and Harrison (2015) found comparable
percent contributions of LHR emissions on NO<sub>2</sub> levels in the study area (approx. 25-30%).
However, the lack of correlations with NO and NO<sub>2</sub> (tracers for aircraft emissions) is probably due
to the presence of several other sources of nitrogen oxides in the area, such as the heavy traffic
generated from the airport and from the nearby motorways.

576

Factor 2 is made up of ultrafine particles in the nucleation-Aitken range (one main peak at 20-35 577 nm) and accounts for 28% (DISP 25-30%) of PNC; its contribution to the volume distribution is 578 low (~2%) and peaks at 22-45 nm and at 140-220 nm (Figure 5; Table 1). Several insights seem to 579 link this factor to road traffic emissions: (i) the modal structure; (ii) the strong association with 580 morning and evening rush hours, and (iii) the significant increase for winds in the west and south-581 westerly sectors consistent with emissions generated from local busy roads close to LHR, Tunnel 582 583 Rd. and M25 motorway. A similar mode in the nucleation range has been extensively attributed to the size distribution from road traffic (e.g., Vogt et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2004; Ntziachristos et al., 584 2007; Vu et al., 2015b) and the growth of nucleation particles from diesel vehicles (Mayer and 585 586 Ristovski, 2007; Wehner et al., 2009). For example, Charron and Harrison (2003) reported that particles in the range 30–60 nm show a stronger association with light-duty traffic at a traffic 587 hotspot in central London (Marylebone Rd.); Janhäll et al. (2004) reported an average particle size 588 distribution peaking at 15-30 nm during morning peak high traffic intensity in the city of Göteborg 589 (Sweden), which has a car fleet comparable to the UK; Ntziachristos et al. (2007) found a sharp 590 591 mode at 20-30 nm in sampling from engine exhausts. In addition, PMF factors with similar modal structures were found in other studies and were attributed to road traffic emissions: among others, 592 Harrison et al. (2011) linked a factor peaking at 20 nm to primary road traffic emissions near a 593 major UK highway; Masiol et al. (2016) measured PNSD in an international airport in Northern 594

Italy during summer and interpreted a factor with a clear mode at 35-40 nm as road traffic from the 595 nearby city; Beddows et al. (2015) and Vu et al. (2016) found traffic factors with modal diameter at 596 around 30 nm in an urban background site in London (North Kensington); Sowlat et al. (2016) 597 598 reported a factor peaking at 20-40 nm in number concentration and at around 30-40 nm in volume concentration in Los Angeles (US) and interpreted it as traffic tailpipe emissions. However, this 599 600 factor lacks significant positive correlations with primary road traffic tracers (nitrogen oxides, eBC; 601 Table 2), while other studies have reported weak positive correlations with such species (Harrison et al., 2011; Masiol et al., 2016; Vu et al., 2016; Sowlat et al., 2016). Similarly to factor 1, this latter 602 result may be due to the difference in the time resolution between chemical species and PNSD and 603 604 the presence of several sources of nitrogen oxides in the area.

605

*Factor 3* is mostly represented by 25–90 nm particles and contributes about 19% (17-21%) to the 606 total number concentration (Figure 5; Table 1). It also shows a second mode below the SMPS 607 detection limit (14 nm), however, the DISP range clearly indicates that this part of the profile is 608 609 affected by a large amount of rotational ambiguity, so that the presence of this second mode should be interpreted with caution. The volume concentration peaks at around 40-100 nm and 250-450 610 nm. The factor contribution is higher during rush hours, but the morning peak occurs 1 h later than 611 in factor 2. The wind directionality shows increases for air masses blowing gently ( $<4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) from 612 W and for calm wind periods, suggesting a quite local source; however, also an increase of 613 concentrations is found for higher wind regimes (>6 m s<sup>-1</sup>) from the East (London). Factor 3 also 614 shows significant positive correlations with NO (0.43) and NO<sub>2</sub> (0.61) (Table 2). All these insights 615 seem to point to an aged road traffic source. This interpretation is also supported by Vu et al. 616 (2016), who found a similar factor in London (North Kensington) peaking at ~20–100 nm. In this 617 context, several source apportionment studies on PNSDs have attributed more than one factor to 618 road traffic (e.g. Kasumba et al., 2009; Thimmaiah et al., 2009; Harrison et al., 2011; Liu et al., 619 2014; Al-Dabbous and Kumar, 2015; Vu et al., 2016; Sowlat et al., 2016). This result is not 620

surprising in areas where heavy traffic is widespread, as particles may undergo condensation,
agglomeration, evaporation and dilution processes and, consequently, they may change modal
characteristics in time and space. Such atmospheric processes are the main mechanisms reshaping
PNSDs after primary exhaust is emitted into the atmosphere and have been discussed in several
studies (Shi et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2005; Kulmala and
Kerminen, 2008; Zhang et al., 2011; Harrison et al., 2016).

627

Factor 4 is made up of particles over a wide range (50-200 nm with a clear mode at ~80 nm for 628 PNC and 60-300 nm for PVC). The factor contributes 14% of PNC, but accounts for the main 629 630 percentage of the volume concentration (33%). This factor correlates well with gaseous pollutants linked to combustion sources (mostly road traffic), i.e. NO (0.6), NO<sub>2</sub> (0.76), and non-volatile 631 primary pollutants, such as eBC (0.62), NVPM<sub>2.5</sub> (0.62) and EC (0.75) (Table 2). The factor also 632 strongly correlates with OC (0.84) and sulphate (0.75). The diurnal pattern shows two main peaks in 633 the morning and evening rush hours (Figure 5), but the concentrations recorded between the two 634 635 maxima are higher overnight than during daytime. This pattern suggests that both local emission sources and the dynamics of the mixing layer may play a key role in shaping its diurnal cycle, i.e. 636 emitted pollutants undergo a wide dispersion within the expanded mixing layer during the daytime, 637 638 while the drop of the mixing layer top occurring overnight restricts those pollutants to a layer close to ground level. The polar plot indicates increased levels for calm wind conditions or winds blowing 639 from London (East sectors); in addition, the factor is strongly negatively correlated with wind speed 640 (-0.64) (Table 2). 641

642

All these insights suggest that Factor 4 represents the fingerprint of the London pollution. Several
studies carried out in London (Beddows et al., 2009;2015; Vu et al., 2016) and other megacities
(e.g., New York: Masiol et al., 2017) have reported similar results, all interpreting this source
profile as urban background (or urban accumulation mode). This source comprises both the solid

particle mode from traffic emissions (Harrison et al., 2011; Pant and Harrison, 2013; Dall'Osto et 647 al., 2012) and secondary species condensed upon pre-existing particles acting as condensation 648 nuclei, including secondary sulphate, nitrate and organic aerosols. Secondary sulphate is formed 649 650 through the atmospheric processing of local or distant SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Kerminen et al., 2000) and neutralisation with ammonia (Benson et al., 2011). Nitrate aerosol is formed through the oxidation 651 652 of NO<sub>2</sub> to nitrate and the consequent neutralization with ammonia (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006) and 653 occurs during both daytime and night-time; however the semivolatile nature of ammonium nitrate, makes its partitioning to the condensed-phase very weak. This behaviour also favours the 654 occurrence of negative artefacts in filter-based sampling, which may explain the lack of significant 655 656 correlations between the factor and the PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound nitrate (Table 2). On the contrary, the increase of the intensity of factor 4 during the night-time and the significant association with NO<sub>2</sub> are highly 657 consistent with the chemistry driving the heterogeneous reactions of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> on aerosol 658 surfaces (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Brown and Stutz, 2012). In view of this, Dall'Osto et al. 659 (2009) reported that most nitrate particles in London are: (i) locally produced in urban locations 660 661 during nighttime; (ii) mainly present in particles smaller than 300 nm and (iii) internally mixed with sulphate, ammonium, EC and OC. 662

663

Factors 5 and 6 make small contributions to PNC (4-7% and 1-4%, respectively), but are relevant
for the volume concentration (37% and 21%, respectively). Factor 5 shows a main accumulation
mode in number concentration at 110-250 nm and two more modes at ~30-70 nm and below 14 nm
(Figure 5; Table 1); however, the latter two modes suffer of large rotational ambiguity and should
be interpreted with care. On the contrary, it exhibits a wide mode in volume concentration ranging
from ~100 to ~500 nm. Factor 6 has two relevant modes in number concentration at 55-120 nm and
230-400 nm, and two modes in volume concentration at 260-500 nm and 75-140 nm.

671

These factors still present two peaks corresponding to the rush hours, but the morning peak occurs 1-2 h earlier than in the road traffic-related factors, i.e. when ambient temperature reaches its daily minimum. Both factors correlate well with secondary aerosol tracers (nitrate, sulphate, OC) and non-volatile components (eBC, EC, NVPM<sub>2.5</sub>), but Factor 6 exhibits much higher correlation coefficients (Table 2). Despite the polar plots indicating the main wind directionality toward N-E sectors, the analysis of air mass histories though the CWT model (Figure 7) clearly indicates likely continental origin areas rather than local sources.

679

Vu et al. (2016) observed two factors in North Kensington with very similar modal structures, daily 680 patterns, correlations with PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound species and external source areas maps. Therefore, their 681 interpretation is confirmed also in this study, i.e. mixed secondary aerosol (Factor 5) and inorganic 682 secondary aerosol (Factor 6). Both factors are clearly originated from continental Europe and are 683 consistent with a previous receptor modelling study carried out in a rural background site 684 representative of the southern UK (Charron et al., 2013). Similar origin and formation mechanisms 685 686 also explain their strong correlation (0.75). Although it is not reasonable to extract much more information from these data due to the short period of sampling and the large uncertainty associated 687 with back-trajectory analysis, it can be observed that Factor 5 shows a wide source area all over 688 689 Central Europe, while Factor 6 exhibits two distinct hotspots (Central and North-eastern Europe). 690

691

# 692 *3.3.2 Cold season*

The 6 factors identified during the cold period (Figure 6) are similar to those for the warm season. *Factor 1* is composed of a high proportion of particles in the nucleation range with a sharp mode at ~15 nm. It accounts for 33% (32-35%) of PNC and less than 2% of PVC. The polar plot reveals
increased concentrations for moderate winds blowing from the airport sector and the diurnal pattern is also compatible with the aircraft traffic. No statistically significant correlations are found with

any other monitored species (Table 3). Therefore, Factor 1 may be attributed to the airport
emissions related to aircraft engine exhaust. As in the warm season, factor 1 is moderately
correlated with factor 2 (fresh road traffic, r=0.55), indicating a quite clear relationship between the
two sources.

702

703 Factor 2 represents particles in the 15-35 nm range of number concentration, accounting for 35% 704 (33-37%) of total PNC (Figure 6; Table 1). Its importance for volume concentration is minimal (3%) with two modes at 30 and 200 nm. The diurnal pattern and the wind directionality are 705 compatible with LHR as a source and it shows a weak positive correlation with  $NO_2$  (0.42) and a 706 707 strong correlation with nitrate (0.63) (Table 3). Despite its similarity and relationship with Factor 1 and the consequent similar potential origin, Factor 2 may represent a different source: Factors 1 and 708 2 remain clearly separated even at solutions down to 4 factors, demonstrating their structural 709 robustness and the lack of potential artefacts affecting the PMF solution. Consequently, it can be 710 concluded that they to not represent over-resolved solutions (i.e. factor splitting). The most 711 712 plausible interpretation for Factor 2 is therefore the same as for the warm season, i.e. fresh road 713 traffic emissions. Furthermore, this factor can be attributed to the road traffic generated by the airport and nearby major roads. 714

715

*Factor 3* includes most of the particles in the Aitken range and accounts for 19% (18-20%) of PNC.
It contribution to particle volume concentration is relevant (9%) with a main peak at around 100 nm
and a secondary peak at 400 nm (Table 1). It presents two rush hours peaks and the polar plot
reveals an origin from the SW quadrant. However, as with the warm period, the wind directionality
suggests increases for slower wind regimes than the fresh road traffic factor and for more westerly
sectors, which are not compatible with the airfield location. Since factor 3 correlates well (Table 3)
with a number of other pollutants linked to primary emissions from road traffic (NO (0.51), NO<sub>2</sub>

(0.81), eBC (0.52), PM<sub>2.5</sub> (0.53), OC (0.79) and EC (0.83)), it represents a second road traffic
factor, more affected by aging in the atmosphere than factor 2.

725

726 Despite the wind regimes from NE sectors being poorly represented during the cold campaign, Factor 4 is the only one showing a possible origin from London and for calm wind periods. As with 727 728 the warm season, it is composed of a wide range of particles encompassing the Aitken and 729 accumulation modes (50 to 150 nm), while the peak in volume concentration is at 170 nm (Table 1). The diurnal pattern (Figure 6) is clearly related to the mixing layer dynamics and the correlation 730 analysis reveals strong relationships with many species (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, eBC, Delta-C, NVPM<sub>2.5</sub>, OC, 731 732 EC, nitrate, ammonium and potassium; Table 3). Consequently, it is concluded that it represents the urban accumulation mode, whose contribution to the total volume concentration is also similar to 733 the warm season (33%). It is interesting to note the large similarity with the urban accumulation 734 mode found in the warm season, from which it differs slightly only in the diurnal pattern (higher 735 overnight) and in the presence of a strong correlation with nitrate (r=0.88), possibly due to the 736 737 lesser extent of negative artefacts on PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples.

738

The last two factors are interpreted as due to secondary aerosols. Their modal structures, their
contributions to total PNC and PVC, and their correlations with PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound species (Table 3;
Figure 6) largely reflect the results obtained for the warm period. However, the CWT maps (Figure
7) highlight different source areas, i.e. the origin of the secondary aerosols is regional (UK and
Northern Europe). In addition, the presence of strong positive correlations with chloride may also
indicate a contribution from the transport of sea-salt aerosol.

745

## 746 **3.3** Comparison of *k*-means and PMF

The cluster analysis revealed the presence of 5 characteristic PNSD shapes during both the seasons.
These spectra have been linked to potential sources in the study area, i.e. road traffic, airport

activities, and secondary aerosol formation processes. However, the cluster analysis is mostly 749 driven by the spectral size regions with higher particle number concentrations, i.e. it has the 750 disadvantage of partitioning the single observations predominantly according to the finest region of 751 752 the size distribution. This limitation is well illustrated by the poor (almost null) separation of clusters based on the particle volume distributions (all clusters showed quite similar particle volume 753 754 spectra). In addition, cluster analysis also has the disadvantage of linking each cluster to a single 755 source and does not easily account for PNSD resulting from the mix of two or more different sources. 756

757

In contrast, the PMF analysis computed over the PNSD also accounts well for the sources with a small impact on the number distribution, but having a larger influence on the particle volume size distributions and, therefore, on the particle mass concentration. Despite the differences in the two methods, some further information can be extracted by combining the results of cluster and PMF analysis. Figure 8 shows the statistics of normalised PMF source contributions relating to each single cluster.

764

For the warm period, significantly higher (0.05 significance) PMF contributions of the airport factor 765 766 (F1) are measured for cluster 1 (average normalised contribution ~3.5). This result indicates that the airport fingerprint was well captured by both source apportionment methods. During the cold 767 season, the airport factor (F1) is significantly higher for both clusters 1 and 5 (average normalised 768 contributions of ~2 and ~3, respectively). While cluster 5 presents significant high PMF 769 770 contributions only for factor 1, cluster 1 also shows high contributions of factor 2 (fresh road 771 traffic). This result indicates that cluster 5 may be linked as the typical PNSD spectra for airport emissions, while cluster 2 likely represents mixed emissions from aircraft and airport-related traffic. 772 A close analysis of wind roses for the two clusters in the cold season (Figure 4) reveals that cluster 773 774 5 occurs at significantly higher wind speed regimes than cluster 1 (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test at

0.05 significance level), i.e. average wind speeds of 8.3 and 5.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. As a

consequence, the different wind regimes may well be responsible for the split between the twoclusters.

778

Results for fresh traffic emissions also agree between the two methods. Factors 2 exhibit the higher
normalised contributions to clusters 5 (normalised contribution 2.5) and 1 (normalised contribution
~3) for the warm and cold period, respectively (Figure 8). However, in winter it is evident that
PNSDs grouped on cluster 1 are also strongly influenced by airport emissions, probably due to the
lower mixing layer height and, thus, a lesser dispersion in the atmosphere.

784

Clusters 4 for both the periods show enrichments in the contributions for 4 PMF sources (aged road traffic, urban accumulation and the two secondary aerosols) (Figure 8). This further emphasises that cluster 4 represents the typical PNSD during daytime resulting from the mixing of different sources. In a similar way, clusters 3 and 2 in the warm and cold periods, respectively, represent the typical nighttime spectra (Figures 3 and 4), i.e. they exhibit similar partitioning over the PMF sources and similar daily cycles.

791

792 **3.4** Analysis of a Large Regional Nucleation Event

Regional photochemical nucleation episodes are regularly recorded in the Southern and Eastern 793 794 UK. Their general characteristics have been reported in a number of studies (e.g., Alam et al., 2003; Charron et al., 2007; 2008; Beddows et al., 2015; Vu et al., 2016) and can be summarised as 795 796 follows: (i) particle modality at around 20 nm; (ii) higher frequency around noon in association with 797 the peak in actinic flux intensities; (iii) clear seasonal cycles (higher average contribution levels in the summer, from June to September); (iv) marked directionality from the westerly sectors, 798 reflecting maritime atmospheric circulation regimes, with high wind speed and low PM<sub>2.5</sub> 799 800 concentrations.

A strong regional nucleation event occurred during the warm period sampling campaign (starting on 801 7th September at 1 pm UTC and lasting for about 12 h). Increases of PNC were almost 802 simultaneously recorded at Harlington and at Harwell, a national network rural background site 803 804 located approx. 60 km WNW of LHR and representative of the regional background levels of air pollution across the Southern UK. The comparison of PNC time series at the two sites is provided 805 as Figure SI10. Figure 9 shows the contour plots of SMPS data recorded at Harlington between 7th 806 807 and 8th September as well as the hourly averaged concentrations of nucleation, Aitken and accumulation particles, TEOM-FDMS PM2.5 mass and the contributions of Factors 1 to 4 extracted 808 by the PMF. Figure 9 also reports the hourly counts of number of clusters extracted by the k-means 809 810 analysis. The contour plot shows a typical "banana" shape with particle mode growing from ~20 nm (1 pm) to ~100 nm (overnight). The episode strongly influenced the PNSDs until around midnight; 811 however its effect is also visible over the first half of 8th September. The time series (Figure 9) 812 exhibits a clear peak in nucleation particles between 1 pm and 3 pm followed by peaks of Aitken (3-813 11 pm) and accumulation mode (8 pm-2 am) particles. The back-trajectory analysis (Figure SI11) 814 815 revealed that the event occurred when north-westerly fresh (and clean) maritime air masses were advected from the Atlantic. This is also supported by the PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass, which exhibited a fast drop of 816 concentrations just a few hours before the event (-30  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> in 3 hours, i.e. from 40  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> at 6 am 817 to 10  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> at 9 am, Figure 9), probably reducing the condensation sink and facilitating nucleation. 818 819

Both atmospheric nucleation and aircraft engines are recognised to produce particles in the
nucleation range. The analysis of this single –but strong– episode gives insights into how much the
source apportionment results can potentially be affected by regional nucleation. This latter analysis
is possible because the wind directionality during the entire episode was from N sectors, i.e. the
contribution of LHR can be considered negligible.

825

The results of cluster analysis were affected by the event. Before the episode, the PNSD spectra 826 were mostly categorised as clusters 3 and 4 (urban background and daytime pollution, respectively), 827 i.e. the clusters mostly recorded under north-easterly wind regimes (Figure 3). About 50% and 30% 828 829 of the clusters were then categorised as "airport" in the first and second hour of the episode, respectively (Figure 9). Since the wind directionality is inconsistent with an origin from the airfield, 830 this categorisation is likely the result of the nucleation event. The growing of particles in the hours 831 after the beginning of the event has further driven the cluster results: (i) about 60-80% of PNSDs 832 were categorised as "fresh road traffic" (cluster 5) after 2-3 hours, and (ii) 80-100% of PNSDs were 833 clustered as "nighttime regional pollution" (cluster 2) after 4-6 hours. In a similar way, PMF results 834 835 were affected by the event (Figure 9), with a sharp increase of contribution levels for: (i) factor 1 (airport) from 1.5 x 10<sup>3</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> at noon to 13.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> at 2 pm; (ii) factor 2 836 (fresh road traffic) from  $0.5 \ge 10^3$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> at 1 pm to 21 x 10<sup>3</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> at 3 pm; and (iii) 837 factor 3 (aged road traffic) from 2.1 x 10<sup>3</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> at 2 pm to approx. 15 x 10<sup>3</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> at 838 5-6 pm. 839

840

This episode was the main nucleation event recorded during the two sampling campaigns. Other 841 possible episodes also occurred (mostly during the warm season), but they were much less 842 843 significant and often hard to detect. This qualitative analysis points to some conclusions: (i) regional photochemical nucleation events may have an effect on clustering and PMF results; (ii) the 844 effect may lead to an "additive" bias, mostly over the "airport" and "road traffic" factors and 845 clusters; (iii) the effect of regional nucleation events in the study area is largely overwhelmed by the 846 strength of local sources, but in other locations with more frequent nucleation events it may be more 847 848 important to identify and separate them.

849

850

## 852 4 CONCLUSIONS

The effect of airport emissions upon the particle number concentration and size distribution was assessed at a site close to a major European airport (Heathrow) serving a megacity (London). The conclusions to be drawn are:

High particle number concentrations were recorded for the finest sizes (nucleation <30 nm and 856 Aitken nuclei 30-100 nm) if compared to an urban background site in London (N. Kensington). 857 Polar plot analysis indicates that Heathrow is a strong potential source for NO<sub>2</sub>, nucleation and 858 Aitken particles, but its contribution to the mass concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and eBC is very small. 859 On the contrary, the urban area of London appears to be the main source for PM and eBC. 860 The k-means cluster analysis has revealed that 20% of PNSDs are mostly shaped by airport 861 • direct emissions, but particle size spectra are also strongly affected by other local sources 862 (mostly fresh and aged road traffic during daytime) and the reduction of mixing layer depth 863

864 (during nighttime). Typical PNSD spectra have been identified for nighttime and daytime865 pollution as well. Such spectra are likely the result of multiple source mixtures.

PMF analysis revealed that the fingerprint of Heathrow has a peculiar modal structure peaking
 at <20 nm. The direct airport emissions account for 30-35% of total particles in both the</li>
 seasons. Such results are in line with percent estimations for NO<sub>2</sub> reported in previous studies.

• Other major contributors to PNC are fresh (24-36%) and aged (16-21%) road traffic emissions.

870 Despite both applied source apportionment methods failing to fully disaggregate the emissions

871 from the local traffic (including motorway) and traffic generated by the airport, results suggest

that road traffic sources may contribute to the total PNC more than Heathrow (40-56%).

However, making a clear distinction between the influence of traffic generated by the airportfrom other road traffic is not feasible from this analysis.

An urban accumulation mode was found. This source presents a wide mode between 50-150
 nm and accounts for around 10% of PNC. The wind directionality is consistent with the
 advection of air masses from London. It is more evident overnight due to the drop of the

mixing layer top, the subsequent increase in air pollutants at ground level and the generation ofnighttime secondary nitrate aerosols.

Secondary sources accounted for less than 6% in number concentrations but for more than 50%
 in volume concentration. Long-range transport has a key role in advecting polluted air masses
 from mainland Europe.

883

## 884 ACKNOWLEDGEMNTS

This study was carried out under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie project 'CHEERS' (Chemical and 885 Physical Properties and Source Apportionment of Airport Emissions in the context of European Air 886 Quality Directives, call: FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IEF, project no. 328542). The authors gratefully 887 acknowledge: (i) the European Union for funding the Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship for 888 career development to M. Masiol through the project 'CHEERS'; (ii) Heathrow Airport Ltd and 889 Ricardo-AEA for supplying aircraft movement data and for the valuable exchange of information 890 and discussion, in particular Katherine Rolfe, Elizabeth Hegarty (Heathrow), Brian Stacey 891 (Ricardo-AEA) and David Vowles; (iii) DEFRA Automatic Urban and Rural Network, and London 892 Air Quality Network for providing pollutant data; (iv) Met Office and BADC for weather data; (v) 893 894 the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory (ARL) for the provision of the HYSPLIT transport and dispersion model used in this publication; (vi) the UK Department for Transport, Road Traffic and 895 Road Freight Statistics, for providing M4 and M25 traffic data; and (vii) Dr. Stefania Squizzato 896 897 (University of Rochester, NY, USA) for the valuable exchange of information.

898

899

## 900 **REFERENCES**

- ACI (Airport Council International): ACI releases preliminary world airport traffic rankings.
   Airports Council International, Montreal. Available at: http://www.aci.aero/News/Releases/Most Recent/2016/04/04/ACI-releases-preliminary-world-airport-traffic-rankings- [last accessed: June
   2016].
- 905
  906 AEA: Heathrow Airport Air Quality Modelling for 2008/9: Results and Model Evaluation. Report
  907 by AEA Energy & Environment on behalf of BAA, July 2010. AEAT/ENV/R/2948/Issue 1.
- Al-Dabbous, A. N., Kumar, P.: Source apportionment of airborne nanoparticles in a Middle Eastern
  city using positive matrix factorization, Environ. Sci. Process Impacts, 17, 802-812, 2015.
- 911

914

921

924

927

930

908

- Alam, A., Shi, J. P. and Harrison, R. M.: Observations of new particle formation in urban air, J.
  Geophys. Res., 108, 4093-4107, 2003. doi:10.1029/2001JD001417
- Anderson, B. E., Branham, H.-S., Hudgins, C. H., Plant, J. V., Ballenthin, J. O., Miller, T. M.,
- 916 Viggiano, A. A., Blake, D. R., Boudries, H., Canagaratna, M., Miake-Lye, R. C., Onasch, T.,
- 917 Wormhoudt, J., Worsnop, D., Brunke, K. E., Culler, S., Penko P., Sanders, T., Han, H.-S., Lee, P.,
- 918 Pui, D. Y. H., Thornhill, K. L., Winstead, E. L.: Experiment to Characterize Aircraft Volatile
- 919 Aerosol and Trace-Species Emissions (EXCAVATE), NASA/TM-2005-213783, National
- 920 Aeronautics and Space Administration, Hampton, VA., 2005.
- Anttila, P., Tuovinen, J. P., Niemi, J. V.: Primary NO2 emissions and their role in the development
  of NO2 concentrations in a traffic environment, Atmos. Environ., 45, 986-992, 2011.
- Atkinson, R. W., Fuller, G. W., Anderson, H. R., Harrison, R. M., Armstrong, B.: Urban ambient
  particle metrics and health: a time-series analysis, Epidemiol., 21, 501-511, 2010.
- Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R. M.: Cluster analysis of rural, urban and curbside
  atmospheric particle size data, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4694–4700, 2009.
- Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R. M., Kulmala, M., Asmi, A., Wiedensohler, A., Laj,
- 932 P., Fjaeraa, A. M., Sellegri, K., Birmili, W., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U.,
- 233 Zdimal, V., Zikova, N., Putaud, J.-P., Marinoni, A., Tunved, P., Hansson, H.-C., Fiebig, M.,
- 934 Kivekäs, N., Swietlicki, E., Lihavainen, H., Asmi, E., Ulevicius, V., Aalto, P. P., Mihalopoulos, N.,
- 935 Kalivitis, N., Kalapov, I., Kiss, G., de Leeuw, G., Henzing, B., O'Dowd, C., Jennings, S. G., Flentje,
- H., Meinhardt, F., Ries, L., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Visschedijk, A. J. H.: Variations in
- tropospheric submicron particle size distributions across the European continent 2008–2009,
- 938 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4327-4348, 2014.
- Beddows D. C. S., Harrison R. M., Green D. C. and Fuller G. W.: Receptor modelling of both
  particle composition and size distribution from a background site in London, UK., Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 15, 10107-10125, 2015.
- 943

- Belis, C. A., Larsen, B. R., Amato, F., El Haddad, I., Favez, O., Harrison, R. M., Hopke, P. K.,
  Nava, S., Paatero, P., Prévôt, A., Quass, U., Vecchi, R. and Viana, M.: European guide on air
  pollution source apportionment with receptor models, JRC Reference Reports EUR26080 EN,
  2014.
- 948

949 Benson, D. R., Yu, J. H., Markovich, A., Lee, S.-H.: Ternary homogeneous nucleation of H2SO4, 950 NH3, and H2O under conditions relevant to the lower troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4755-4766, 2011. 951 Bertram, T. H. and Thornton, J. A.: Toward a general parameterization of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> reactivity on 952 953 aqueous particles: the competing effects of particle liquid water, nitrate and chloride, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8351-8363, 2009. 954 955 956 Bigi A and Harrison R. M.: Analysis of the air pollution climate at a central urban background site, 957 Atmos. Environ., 44, 2004-2012, 2010. 958 Brines, M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D. C. S., Harrison, R. M. and Querol, X.: Simplifying aerosol 959 960 size distributions modes simultaneously detected at four monitoring sites during SAPUSS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2973-2986, 2014. 961 962 963 Brines, M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D., Harrison, R., Gómez-Moreno, F., Núñez, L., Artíñano, B., Costabile, F., Gobbi, G. And Salimi, F.: Traffic and nucleation events as main sources of ultrafine 964 particles in high-insolation developed world cities, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5929-5945, 2015. 965 966 Brown, S. S. and Stutz, J.: Nighttime radical observations and chemistry, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 967 6405-6447, 2012. 968 969 Brown, S. G., Eberly, S., Paatero, P. and Norris, G. A.: Methods for estimating uncertainty in PMF 970 solutions: Examples with ambient air and water quality data and guidance on reporting PMF results, 971 Sci.Total Environ., 518, 626-635, 2015. 972 973 974 Carslaw, D. C. and Ropkins, K.: Openair - an R package for air quality data analysis, Environ. Model. Softw., 27-28, 52-61, 2012. 975 976 977 Carslaw, D. C., Beevers, S. D., Ropkins, K. and Bell, M. C.: Detecting and quantifying aircraft and other on-airport contributions to ambient nitrogen oxides in the vicinity of a large international 978 979 airport, Atmos. Environ., 40, 5424-5434, 2006. 980 Carslaw, D. C., Beevers, S. D. and Bell, M. C.: Risks of exceeding the hourly EU limit value for 981 982 nitrogen dioxide resulting from increased road transport emissions of primary nitrogen dioxide, Atmos. Environ., 41, 2073-2082, 2007. 983 984 985 Chandrasekaran, S. R., Hopke, P. K., Newtown, M. and Hurlbut, A.: Residential-scale biomass boiler emissions and efficiency characterization for several fuels, Energy & Fuels, 27, 4840-4849, 986 987 2013. 988 989 Charron, A. and Harrison, R. M.: Primary particle formation from vehicle emissions during exhaust dilution in the roadside atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 37, 4109–4119, 2003. 990 991 Charron, A., Degrendele, C., Laongsri, B. and Harrison, R. M.: Receptor modelling of secondary 992 993 and carbonaceous particulate matter at a southern UK site, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 1879-1894, 2013. 994 995 996 Charron, A., Birmili, W. and Harrison, R. M.: Factors influencing new particle formation at the rural 997 site, Harwell, United Kingdom, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D14210, 2007. doi:10.1029/2007JD008425. 998 999

Charron, A., Birmili, W. and Harrison, R. M.: Fingerprinting particle origins according to their size 1000 distribution at a UK rural site, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D07202, 2008. doi:10.1029/2007JD008562. 1001 1002 Chen, J. P., Tsai, T. S. and Liu, S. C.: Aerosol nucleation spikes in the planetary boundary layer, 1003 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7171-7184, 2011. 1004 1005 1006 Cheung, H. C., Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z. D., and Wainwright, D.: Influence of medium range transport of particles from nucleation burst on particle number concentration within the urban 1007 airshed, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4951-4962, 2012. 1008 1009 1010 Clapp, L. J. and Jenkin, M. E.: Analysis of the relationship between ambient levels of O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO as a function of NO<sub>x</sub> in the UK, Atmos. Environ., 35, 6391-6405, 2001. 1011 1012 Costabile, F., Birmili, W., Klose, S., Tuch, T., Wehner, B., Wiedensohler, A., Franck, U., 1013 Konig, K. and Sonntag, A.: Spatio-temporal variability and principal components of the particle 1014 number size distribution in an urban atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3163-3195, 2009. 1015 1016 Cyrys, J., Eeftens, M., Heinrich, J., Ampe, C., Armengaud, A., Beelen, R., Bellander, T., 1017 1018 Beregszaszi, T., Birk, M., Cesaroni, G., Cirach, M., de Hoogh, K., De Nazelle, A., de Vocht, F., Declercq C., Dedele, A., Dimakopoulou, K., Eriksen, K., Galassi, C., Grauleviciene, R., Grivas, G., 1019 Gruzieva, O., Hagenbjörk Gustafsson, A., Hoffmann, B., Iakovides, M., Ineichen, A., Krämer, U., 1020 Lanki, T., Lozano, P., Madsen, C., Meliefste, K., Modig, L., Mölterm, A., Mosler, G., 1021 Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Nonnemacher, M., Oldenwening, M., Peters, A., Pontet, S., Probst-Hensch, 1022 N., Quass, U., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Ranzi, A., Sugiri, D., Stephanou, E.G., Taimisto, P., Tsai, M.-1023 Y., Vaskövi, E., Villani, S., Wang, M., Brunekreef, B. and Hoek, G.: Variation of NO2 and NO<sub>x</sub> 1024 1025 concentrations between and within 36 European study areas: Results from the ESCAPE study, Atmos. Environ., 62, 374-390, 2012. 1026 1027 1028 Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R. M., Coe, H., Williams, P. I. and Allan, J.D.: Real time chemical 1029 characterization of local and regional nitrate aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3709-3720, 2009. 1030 1031 Dall'Osto, M., Thorpe, A., Beddows, D. C. S., Harrison, R. M., Barlow, J. F., Dunbar, T., Williams, P.I. and Coe, H.: Remarkable dynamics of nanoparticles in the urban atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. 1032 Phys., 11, 6623-6637, 2011. 1033 1034 Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D. C. S., Pey, J., Rodriguez, S., Alastuey, A., Harrison, R. M. and Querol, 1035 X.: Urban aerosol size distributions over the Mediterranean city of Barcelona, NE Spain, Atmos. 1036 Chem. Phys., 12, 10693-10707, 2012. 1037 1038 DEFRA: Air Pollution in the UK 2015. UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. 1039 Issue of September 2016. Available at: https://uk-1040 air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/annualreport/air pollution uk 2015 issue 1.pdf (last accessed: 1041 1042 November 2016). 1043 1044 Dodson, R. E., Houseman, E. A., Morin, B. and Levy, J. I.: An analysis of continuous black carbon concentrations in proximity to an airport and major roadways, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3764-3773, 1045 2009. 1046 1047 1048 Farias, F. and ApSimon, H.: Relative contributions from traffic and aircraft NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to exposure in West London, Environ. Modell. Softw., 21, 477-485, 2006. 1049 1050

Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts Jr, J. N.: Chemistry of the upper and lower atmosphere: theory, 1051 experiments, and applications. Academic press, 2000. 1052 1053 1054 Grice, S., Stedman, J., Kent, A., Hobson, M., Norris, J., Abbott, J., Cooke S.: Recent trends and projections of primary NO2 emissions in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2154-2167, 2009. 1055 1056 1057 Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C. S. and Dall'Osto, M.: PMF Analysis of wide-range particle size spectra collected on a major highway, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 5522-5528, 2011. 1058 1059 1060 Harrison, R.M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D.C.S., Thorpe, A.J., Bloss, W.J., Allan, J.D., Coe, H., 1061 Dorsey, J.R., Gallagher, M., Martin, C. and Whitehead, J.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics in the atmosphere of a developed megacity (London): an overview of the REPARTEE experiment and 1062 1063 its conclusions. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(6), 3065-3114, 2012. 1064 1065 Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C., Jones, A. M., Calvo, A., Alves, C. and Pio, C.: An evaluation of some issues regarding the use of aethalometers to measure woodsmoke concentrations, Atmos. 1066 Environ., 80, 540-548, 2013. 1067 1068 1069 Harrison, R. M., Jones, A. M., Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M. and Nikolova, I.: Evaporation of traffic-generated nanoparticles during advection from source, Atmos. Environ., 125, 1-7, 2016. 1070 1071 Herndon, S. C., Jayne, J. T., Lobo, P., Onasch, T. B., Fleming, G., Hagen, D. E., Whitefield, P. D. 1072 and Miake-Lye, R. C.: Commercial aircraft engine emissions characterization of in-use aircraft at 1073 1074 Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 1877-1883, 2008. 1075 1076 Hopke, P. K.: Review of receptor modeling methods for source apportionment. JAWMA, 66, 237-259, 2016. 1077 1078 1079 Hsu, H.H., Adamkiewicz, G., Houseman, E.A., Vallarino, J., Melly, S.J., Wayson, R.L., Spengler, 1080 J.D. and Levy, J.I.: The relationship between aviation activities and ultrafine particulate matter 1081 concentrations near a mid-sized airport, Atmos. Environ., 50, 328-337, 2012a. 1082 Hsu, H.H., Adamkiewicz, G., Houseman, E.A., Vallarino, J., Melly, S.J., Wayson, R.L., Spengler, 1083 1084 J.D. and Levy, J.I.: The relationship between aviation activities and ultrafine particulate matter 1085 concentrations near a mid-sized airport, Atmos. Environ., 50, 328-337, 2012b. 1086 1087 Hsu, H. H., Adamkiewicz, G., Houseman, E. A., Zarubiak, D., Spengler, J. D. and Levy, J. I.: Contributions of aircraft arrivals and departures to ultrafine particle counts near Los Angeles 1088 International Airport, Sci. Tot. Environ., 444, 347-355, 2013. 1089 1090 Hsu, H. H., Adamkiewicz, G., Houseman, E. A., Spengler, J. D., Levy and J.I.: Using mobile 1091 monitoring to characterize roadway and aircraft contributions to ultrafine particle concentrations 1092 1093 near a mid-sized airport, Atmos. Environ., 89, 688-695, 2014. 1094 1095 Hu, S., Fruin, S., Kozawa, K., Mara, S., Winer, A.M. and Paulson, S.E.: Aircraft emission impacts 1096 in a neighborhood adjacent to a general aviation airport in Southern California, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43(21), 8039-8045, 2009. 1097 1098 1099 Hudda, N., Gould, T., Hartin, K., Larson, T. V. and Fruin, S. A.: Emissions from an international airport increase particle number concentrations 4-fold at 10 km downwind, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1100 1101 48, 6628-6635, 2014. 1102

Hudda, N., Simon, M. C., Zamore, W., Brugge, D. And Durant, J. L.: Aviation emissions impact 1103 ambient ultrafine particle concentrations in the greater Boston area, Environ.Sci. Technol., 50, 1104 8514-8521, 2016. 1105 1106 Hudda, N., Fruin, S.A.: International airport impacts to air quality: size and related properties of 1107 1108 large increases in ultrafine particle number concentrations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 3362-3370, 1109 2016. 1110 Hussein, T., Molgaard, B., Hannuniemi, H., Martikainen, J., Jarvi, L., Wegner, T., Ripamonti, G., 1111 Weber, S., Vesala, T. and Hameri, K.: Fingerprints of the urban particle number size distribution in 1112 1113 Helsinki, Finland: local vs. regional characteristics, Boreal Env. Res., 19, 1-20, 2014. 1114 ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization), Annual Report of the ICAO Council: 2014. The 1115 World of Air Transport in 2014, Appendix 1: https://www.icao.int/annual-report-1116 2014/Documents/Appendix\_1\_en.pdf, last access: 20 June 2017. 1117 1118 Janhäll S., Jonsson Å. M., Molnár P., Svensson E. A. and Hallquist M.: Size resolved traffic 1119 emission factors of submicrometer particles, Atmos. Environ., 38, 4331-4340, 2004. 1120 1121 Jones, A.M., Harrison, R.M., Barratt, B. and Fuller, G.: A large reduction in airborne particle 1122 number concentrations at the time of the introduction of "sulphur free" diesel and the London Low 1123 Emission Zone, Atmos. Environ., 50, 129-138, 2012. 1124 1125 Kasumba, J., Hopke, P. K., Chalupa, D. C. and Utell, M. J.: Comparison of sources of submicron 1126 particle number concentrations measured at two sites in Rochester, NY, Sci. Total Environ., 407, 1127 1128 5071-5084, 2009. 1129 Kelly, F. J. and Fussell, J. C.: Size, source and chemical composition as determinants of toxicity 1130 1131 attributable to ambient particulate matter, Atmos. Environ., 60, 504-526, 2012. 1132 Kerminen, V. M., Pirjola, L., Boy, M., Eskola, A., Teinilä, K., Laakso, L., Asmi, A., Hienola, J., Lauri, A., Vainio, V. And Lehtinen, K.: Interaction between SO2 and submicron atmospheric 1133 1134 particles, Atmos. Res., 54, 41-57, 2000. 1135 Keuken, M. P., Moerman, M., Zandveld, P., Henzing, J. S. and Hoek, G.: Total and size-resolved 1136 1137 particle number and black carbon concentrations in urban areas near Schiphol airport (the Netherlands), Atmos. Environ., 104 132-142, 2015. 1138 1139 Kim, E., Hopke, P. K., Larson, T. V. and Covert, D. S.: Analysis of ambient particle size 1140 distributions using unmix and positive matrix factorization, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 202-209, 1141 1142 2004. 1143 Kinsey, J. S., Dong, Y., Williams, D. C. and Logan, R.: Physical characterization of the fine 1144 particle emissions from commercial aircraft engines during the aircraft particle emissions 1145 experiment (APEX) 1 to 3, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2147-2156, 2010. 1146 1147 Klapmever, M.E. and Marr, L.C.: CO2, NO x, and Particle Emissions from Aircraft and Support 1148 Activities at a Regional Airport, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46(20), 10974-10981, 2012. 1149 1150 1151 Kley, D., Kleinmann, M., Sanderman, H. and Krupa, S.: Photochemical oxidants: state of the science, Environ. Pollut., 100, 19-42, 1999. 1152 1153

particles and its health effects, Atmos. Environ., 45, 2611-2622, 2011. 1155 1156 1157 Kruskal, W.H. and Wallis, W.A., Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 47, 583-621, 1952. 1158 1159 1160 Kulmala, M., Toivonen, A., Mäkelä, J. M. and Laaksonen, A.: Analysis of the growth of nucleation mode particles observed in Boreal forest, Tellus B, 50, 449-462, 1998. 1161 1162 Kulmala, M. and Kerminen, V.-M.: On the formation and growth of atmospheric nanoparticles, 1163 1164 Atmos. Res., 90, 132–150, 2008. 1165 1166 Kumar, P., Morawska, L., Birmili, W., Paasonen, P., Hu, M., Kulmala, M., Harrison, R. M., Norford, L. and Britter, R.: Ultrafine particles in cities, Environ.Int., 66, 1-10, 2014. 1167 1168 Kumar, P., Pirjola, L., Ketzel, M. and Harrison, R M.: Nanoparticle emissions from 11 non-vehicle 1169 exhaust sources-A review, Atmos.Environ., 67, 252-277, 2013. 1170 1171 1172 Lanzinger, S., Schneider, A., Breitner, S., Stafoggia, M., Erzen, I., Dostal, M., Pastorkova, A., Bastian, S., Cyrys, J., Zscheppang, A. and Kolodnitska, T.: Associations between ultrafine and fine 1173 particles and mortality in five central European cities—Results from the UFIREG study, Environ. 1174 1175 Int., 88, 44-52, 2016. 1176 Lee, D. S., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Newton, P. J., Wit, R. C. N., Lim, L. L., Owen, B., Sausen 1177 and R.: Aviation and global climate change in the 21st century, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3520-3537, 1178 1179 2009. 1180 Liu, X., Wang, W., Liu, H., Geng, C., Zhang, W., Wang, H. and Liu, Z.: Number size distribution 1181 1182 of particles emitted from two kinds of typical boilers in a coal-fired power plant in China, Eng. 1183 Fuels, 24, 1677-1681, 2010. 1184 1185 Liu, Z. R., Hu, B., Liu, Q., Sun, Y. and Wang, Y. S.: Source apportionment of urban fine particle number concentration during summertime in Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 96, 359-369, 2014. 1186 1187 1188 Lobo, P., Hagen, D. E. and Whitefield, P. D.: Measurement and analysis of aircraft engine PM emissions downwind of an active runway at the Oakland International Airport, Atmos. Environ., 61, 1189 114-123, 2012. 1190 1191 Lobo, P., Hagen, D. E., Whitefield, P. D. and Raper, D.: PM emissions measurements of in-service 1192 commercial aircraft engines during the Delta-Atlanta Hartsfield Study, Atmos. Environ., 104, 237-1193 1194 245, 2015. 1195 Lupu, A. and Maenhaut, W.: Application and comparison of two statistical trajectory techniques 1196 1197 for identification of source regions of atmospheric aerosol species, Atmos. Environ., 36, 5607-5618, 1198 2002. 1199 1200 Masiol, M. and Harrison, R. M.: Aircraft engine exhaust emissions and other airport-related 1201 contributions to ambient air pollution: A review, Atmos. Environ., 95, 409-455, 2014. 1202 Masiol, M. and Harrison, R.M.: Quantification of air quality impacts of London Heathrow Airport 1203 1204 (UK) from 2005 to 2012, Atmos. Environ., 116, 308-319, 2015. 1205

Knibbs, L. D., Cole-Hunter, T. and Morawska, L.: A review of commuter exposure to ultrafine

Masiol, M., Vu, V. T., Beddows, D. C. S. and Harrison, R.M.: Source apportionment of wide range 1206 particle size spectra and black carbon collected at the airport of Venice (Italy), Atmos. Environ., 1207 139, 56-74, 2016. 1208 1209 Masiol M., Hopke P. K., Felton H. D., Frank B. P., Rattigan O. V., Wurth M. J. and LaDuke G. H.: 1210 1211 Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemically speciated mass and particle number concentrations in 1212 New York City, Atmos. Environ., 148, 215-229, 2017. 1213 Mazaheri, M., Johnson, G. R. and Morawska, L.: Particle and gaseous emissions from commercial 1214 1215 aircraft at each stage of the landing and takeoff cycle, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 441-446, 2009. 1216 Mazaheri, M., Bostrom, T. E., Johnson, G. R. and Morawska, L.: Composition and morphology of 1217 1218 particle emissions from in-use aircraft during takeoff and landing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 5235-5242, 2013. 1219 1220 Meyer, N. K. and Ristovski, Z.: Ternary nucleation as a mechanism for the production of diesel 1221 nanoparticles: experimental analysis of the volatile and hygroscopic properties of diesel exhaust 1222 using the volatilization and humidification tandem differential mobility analyser, Environ. Sci. 1223 1224 Technol., 41, 7309-7314, 2007. 1225 Ntziachristos, L., Ning, Z. Geller, M. D. and Sioutas, C.: Particle concentration and characteristics 1226 near a major freeway with heavy-duty diesel traffic, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2223-2230, 2007. 1227 1228 1229 O'Dowd, C. D., Geever, M., Hill, M. K., Smith, M. H. and Jennings, S. G.: New particle formation: 1230 Nucleation rates and spatial scales in the clean marine coastal environment, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 1231 1661-1664, 1998. 1232 O'Dowd, C., McFiggans, G., Creasey, D. J., Pirjola, L., Hoell, C., Smith, M. H., Allan, B. J., Plane, 1233 1234 J. M. C., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., Pilling, M. J. and Kulmala, M.: On the photochemical production 1235 of new particles in the coastal boundary layer. Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 1707-1710, 1999. 1236 1237 Ogulei, D., Hopke, P. K., Chalupa, D. C. and Utell, M. J.: Modeling source contributions to submicron particle number concentrations measured in Rochester, New York, Aerosol Sci. 1238 Technol., 41, 179-201, 2007. 1239 1240 Ostro, B., Hu, J., Goldberg, D., Reynolds, P., Hertz, A., Bernstein, L. and Kleeman, M. J.: Associations of mortality with long-term exposures to fine and ultrafine particles, species and 1241 sources: Results from the California Teachers Study Cohort, Environ. Health Perspect., 123, 549-1242 1243 556, 2015. 1244 Paatero, P.: Least squares formulation of robust non-negative factor analysis, Chemom. Intell. Lab., 1245 37, 23-35, 1997. 1246 1247 Paatero,, P. and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization: a non-negative factor model with optimal 1248 1249 utilization of error estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111-126, 1994. 1250 1251 Paatero, P., Hopke, P. K., Song, X. H. and Ramadan, Z.: Understanding and controlling rotations in 1252 factor analytic models, Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.. 60, 253-264, 2002. 1253 1254 Paatero, P., Eberly, S., Brown, S. G. and Norris, G. A.: Methods for estimating uncertainty in 1255 factor analytic solutions., Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 781-797, 2014. 1256

1257 1258 1259	Pant, P. and Harrison, R. M.: Estimation of the contribution of road traffic emissions to particulate matter concentrations from field measurements: a review, Atmos. Environ., 77, 78-97, 2013.
1260 1261 1262 1263 1264	Petzold, A., Ogren, J.A., Fiebig, M., Laj, P., Li, S.M., Baltensperger, U., Holzer-Popp, T., Kinne, S., Pappalardo, G., Sugimoto, N. and Wehrli, C. <u>Wiedensohler, A., Zhang, XY.</u> : Recommendations for reporting "black carbon" measurements- <u>,</u> Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8365-8379, 2013.
1265 1266 1267	R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2015. URL <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u> .
1268 1269 1270	Reff, A., Eberly, S. I. and Bhave, P. V.: Receptor modeling of ambient particulate matter data using positive matrix factorization: review of existing methods, JAWMA, 57, 146-154, 2007.
1271 1272 1273	Ren, J., Liu, J., Li, F., Cao, X., Ren, S., Xu, B. and Zhu, Y.: A study of ambient fine particles at Tianjin International Airport, China, Sci. Total Environ., 556, 126-135, 2016.
1274 1275 1276 1277	Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M. And David, A.: Oxidation products of biogenic emissions contribute to nucleation of atmospheric particles, Science, 344, 717-721, 2014.
1278 1279 1280	Rolph, G. D.: Real-time Environmental Applications and Display sYstem (READY) Website, <u>http://www.ready.noaa.gov</u> , NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, College Park, MD, 2016.
1280 1281 1282 1283 1284	Salimi, F., Ristovski, Z., Mazaheri, M., Laiman, R., Crilley, L. R., He, C., Clifford, S. and Morawska, L.: Assessment and application of clustering techniques to atmospheric particle number size distribution for the purpose of source apportionment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11883-11892, 2014.
1285 1286 1287 1288	Salma, I., Füri, P., Németh, Z., Balásházy, I., Hofmann, W. and Farkas, Á.: Lung burden and deposition distribution of inhaled atmospheric urban ultrafine particles as the first step in their health risk assessment, Atmos. Environ., 104, 39-49, 2015.
1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294	Sandradewi, J., Prévôt, A. S., Szidat, S., Perron, N., Alfarra, M. R., Lanz, V. A., Weingartner, E. and Baltensperger, U.: Using aerosol light absorption measurements for the quantitative determination of wood burning and traffic emission contributions to particulate matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 3316-3323, 2008.
1295 1296 1297	Schneider, J., Hock, N., Weimer, S., Borrmann, S., Kirchner, U., Vogt, R. and Scheer, V.: Nucleation particles in diesel exhaust: Composition inferred from in situ mass spectrometric analysis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 6153-6161, 2005.
1298 1299 1300 1301	Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics - From Air Pollution to Climate Change, second ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2006.
1302 1303 1304	Shi, J. P. and Harrison, R. M.: Investigation of ultrafine particle formation during diesel exhaust dilution, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 3730-3736, 1999.
1305 1306 1307 1308	Shi, L., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Coull, B. A., Koutrakis, P., Melly, S. J. and Schwartz, J. D.: Low- concentration PM2. 5 and mortality: Estimating acute and chronic effects in a population-based study, Environ. Health Perspect., 124, 46-52, 2015.

Shirmohammadi, F., Sowlat, M. H., Hasheminassab, S., Saffari, A., Ban-Weiss, G. and Sioutas, C.: 1309 Emission rates of particle number, mass and black carbon by the Los Angeles International Airport 1310 (LAX) and its impact on air quality in Los Angeles, Atmos. Environ., 151, 82-93, 2017. 1311 1312 Sowlat M.H., Hasheminassab S. and Sioutas C.: Source apportionment of ambient particle number 1313 1314 concentrations in central Los Angeles using positive matrix factorization (PMF), Atmos. Chem. 1315 Phys., 16, 4849-4866, 2016. 1316 Squizzato, S. and Masiol, M.: Application of meteorology-based methods to determine local and 1317 1318 external contributions to particulate matter pollution: A case study in Venice (Italy), Atmos. 1319 Environ., 119, 69-81, 2015. 1320 1321 Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R, Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D. and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 1322 1323 2059-2077, 2015. 1324 Stevens, R. G., Pierce, J. R., Brock, C. A., Reed, M. K., Crawford, J. H., Holloway, J. S., Ryerson, 1325 T. B., Huey, L. G. and Nowak, J. B.: Nucleation and growth of sulfate aerosol in coal-fired power 1326 1327 plant plumes: sensitivity to background aerosol and meteorology, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 189-1328 206, 2012. 1329 1330 Stohl A.: Trajectory statistics—a new method to establish source-receptor relationships of air pollutants and its application to the transport of particulate sulfate in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 30, 1331 579-587, 1996. 1332 1333 1334 Stohl, A.: Computation, accuracy and applications of trajectories- review and bibliography, Atmos. Environ., 32, 947-966, 1998. 1335 1336 1337 Stafoggia, M., Cattani, G., Forastiere, F., di Bucchianico, A. D. M., Gaeta, A. And Ancona, C.: 1338 Particle number concentrations near the Rome-Ciampino city airport, Atmos. Environ., 147, 264-1339 273, 2016. 1340 Strak, M. M., Janssen, N. A., Godri, K. J., Gosens, I., Mudway, I. S., Cassee, F. R., Lebret, E., 1341 Kelly, F. J., Harrison, R. M., Brunekreef, B. and Steenhof, M.: Respiratory health effects of 1342 1343 airborne particulate matter: the role of particle size, composition, and oxidative potential-the RAPTES project, Environ. Health Perspect., 120, 1183-1189, 2012. 1344 1345 Thimmaiah, D., Hovorka, J. and Hopke, P. K.: Source apportionment of winter submicron Prague 1346 aerosols from combined particle number size distribution and gaseous composition data. Aerosol 1347 1348 Air Qual.Res., 9, 209-236, 2009. 1349 Turner, J.R., Hansen, A.D.A., and Allen G.A.: Methodologies to compensate for optical saturation 1350 and scattering in aethalometer black carbon measurements, in: Proceedings from the Symposium on 1351 Air Quality Measurement Methods and Technology, San Francisco, CA, USA, 30 April-3 May 1352 1353 2007, Air and Waste Management Association, 2007. 1354 UK Department for Transport, Heathrow Airport expansion: 1355 1356 https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/heathrow-airport-expansion, last access: 20 June 1357 2017. 1358 1359 USEPA: EPA Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) 5.0 - Fundamentals and user guide. EPA/600/R-14/108, 2014 1360

1361 Virkkula, A., Mäkelä, T., Hillamo, R., Yli-Tuomi, T., Hirsikko, A., Hämeri, K. and Koponen, I.K.: 1362 A simple procedure for correcting loading effects of aethalometer data, J. Air Waste Manage. 1363 1364 Assoc., 57, 1214-1222, 2007. 1365 1366 Vogt, R., Scheer, V., Casati, R. and Benter, T.: Onroad measurement of particle emission in the 1367 exhaust plume of a diesel passenger car, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 4070-4076, 2003. 1368 von Bismarck-Osten, C., Birmili, W., Ketzel, M., Massling, A., Petäjä, T. and Weber, S.: 1369 1370 Characterization of parameters influencing the spatio-temporal variability of urban particle number 1371 size distributions in four European cities, Atmos. Environ., 77, 415-429, 2013. 1372 1373 Vu, T. V., Delgado-Saborit, J. M. and Harrison, R. M.: A review of hygroscopic growth factors of submicron aerosols from different sources and its implication for calculation of lung deposition 1374 1375 efficiency of ambient aerosols, Air Quality, Atmos. Health, 8, 429-440, 2015a. 1376 Vu, T. V., Delgado-Saborit, J. M. and Harrison, R. M.: Review: Particle number size distributions 1377 1378 from seven major sources and implications for source apportionment studies, Atmos. Environ., 122, 1379 114-132, 2015b. 1380 Vu, T. V., Beddows, D. C. S., Delgado-Saborit, J. M. and Harrison, R. M.: Source Apportionment 1381 of the Lung Dose of Ambient Submicrometre Particulate Matter, Aerosol Air Quality Res., doi: 1382 10.4209/aagr.2015.09.0553, 2016 1383 1384 1385 Yin, J., Harrison, R. M., Chen, Q., Rutter, A. and Schauer, J. J.: Source apportionment of fine 1386 particles at urban background and rural sites in the UK atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 44, 841-851, 2010. 1387 1388 1389 Yue, W., Stolzel, M., Cyrys, J., Pitz, M., Heinrich, J., Kreyling, W. G., Wichmann, H.-E., Peters, A., 1390 Wang, S. and Hopke, P.K.: Source apportionment of ambient fine particle size distribution using 1391 positive matrix factorization in Erfurt, Germany, Sci. Total Environ., 398, 133-144, 2008. 1392 Wang, Y., Hopke, P. K., Rattigan, O. V., Xia, X., Chalupa, D. C., Utell, M. J.: Characterization of 1393 1394 residential wood combustion particles using the two-wavelength aethalometer, Environ.Sci. 1395 Technol., 45, 7387-7393, 2011. 1396 Webb, S., Whitefield, P. D., Miake-Lye, R. C., Timko, M. T. and Thrasher, T. G.: Research needs 1397 associated with particulate emissions at airports, ACRP Report 6, Transportation Research Board, 1398 1399 Washington, D.C., 2008. 1400 1401 Wehner, B., Uhrner, U., Von Löwis, S., Zallinger, M. and Wiedensohler, A.: Aerosol number size distributions within the exhaust plume of a diesel and a gasoline passenger car under on-road 1402 1403 conditions and determination of emission factors, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1235-1245, 2009. 1404 1405 Wegner, T., Hussein, T., Hämeri, K., Vesala, T., Kulmala, M. and Weber, S.: Properties of aerosol signature size distributions in the urban environment as derived by cluster analysis, Atmos. 1406 Environ., 61, 350-360, 2012. 1407 1408 1409 Westerdahl, D., Fruin, S.A., Fine, P.L. and Sioutas, C.: The Los Angeles International Airport as a source of ultrafine particles and other pollutants to nearby communities, Atmos. Environ., 42(13), 1410 1411 3143-3155, 2008. 1412

- Wormhoudt, J., Herndon, S. C., Yelvington, P. E., Lye-Miake, R. C. and Wey, C.: Nitrogen oxide
  (NO/NO2/HONO) emissions measurements in aircraft exhausts, J. Propul. Power, 23, 906-911,
  2007.
- 1416

Zhang, K. M., Wexler, A. S., Zhu, Y. F., Hinds, W. C. and Sioutas, C.: Evolution of particle
number distribution near roadways. Part II: the 'Road-to-Ambient'process, Atmos. Environ., 38,
6655-6665, 2004.

1420

Zhang, K. M., Wexler, A. S., Niemeier, D. A., Zhu, Y. F., Hinds, W. C. and Sioutas, C.: Evolution
of particle number distribution near roadways. Part III: Traffic analysis and on-road size resolved
particulate emission factors, Atmos. Environ., 39, 4155-4166, 2005.

1424

1425 Zhang, R., Khalizov, A., Wang, L., Hu, M. and Xu, W.: Nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in1426 the atmosphere, Chem. Rev., 112, 1957-2011, 2011.

1427

1428 Zhou, L., Hopke, P. K., Stanier, C. O., Pandis, S. N., Ondov, J. M. and Pancras, J. P.: Investigation

- 1429 of the relationship between chemical composition and size distribution of airborne particles by
- partial least squares and positive matrix factorization, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D07S18, 2005,
  doi:10.1029/2004JD005050.
- 1432

1433 Zíková, N., Wang, Y., Yang, F., Li, X., Tian, M. and Hopke, P. K.: On the source contribution to

- 1434 Beijing PM 2.5 concentrations, Atmos. Environ., 134, 84-95, 2016.
- 1435

1440

- 1437 TABLE LEGENDS:
- 1439 **Table 1.** Summary of PMF results for both seasons.
- 1441**Table 2.**Results of Pearson's correlation analysis among extracted factor contributions and1442other measured variables recorded at different time resolutions. Only correlations1443significant at p<0.05 are reported, strong correlations ( $\rho>|0.6|$ ) are highlighted in bold.
- 1444 1445

1447

1454

1463

1468

1473

1481

# 1446 FIGURE LEGENDS:

1448Figure 1.Statistics of size distribution spectra for particle number (red) and volume (blue)1449concentrations categorised by sampling periods and time of the day (daytime= 7am-14507pm and nighttime=7pm- 7am local time). For the particle number spectra, solid lines1451represent the median concentrations, while shaded areas report the 1st-3rd quartile1452intervals (interquartile range, IQR). For the particle volume spectra, only medians are1453reported (dotted lines).

1455 Figure 2. Diurnal patterns of PNC, LHR traffic, solar irradiance and eBC. Plots report the 1456 average levels as a filled line and the associated 95th confidence interval calculated by bootstrapping the data (n=200). Outliers (data >99.5th percentile) were removed for 1457 1458 computing the diurnal patterns. Hours are given in UTC. LHR traffic movements 1459 (bottom right plot) are reported as arrivals (dotted lines) and departures (solid lines). The offset between the seasons is largely due to daylight saving time (BST = UTC +1460 1) in the summer data. The diurnal patterns of all the measured variables in reported in 1461 Figure SI4. 1462

# Figure 3. Results of cluster analysis for the warm season data. Average cluster PNSD spectra (left) are reported as solid red lines along with: (i) their 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th percentile spectrum as shaded areas; (ii) the volume size distributions (dotted blue line); (iii) the hourly counts and (iv) the wind roses associated with each cluster.

1469Figure 4.Results of cluster analysis for the cold season data. Average cluster PNSD spectra1470(left) are reported as solid red lines along with: (i) their 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th1471percentile spectrum as shaded areas; (ii) the volume size distributions (dotted blue1472line); (iii) the hourly counts and (iv) the wind roses associated with each cluster.

1474Figure 5.Results of PMF analysis for the warm season data. Factor profiles are reported on the1475left as: (i) number concentration in solid red lines; (ii) their DISP ranges in shaded red1476areas; (iii) volume concentrations in dotted blue lines; (iv) explained variation in1477dashed grey lines. The plots on the centre report the normalised daily patterns1478calculated on the hourly-averaged factor contributions along with their 95th1479confidence intervals (n=200 bootstrap). The plots on the right show the polar plot1480analysis (normalised average factor contributions). SA=secondary aerosol.

1482Figure 6.Results of PMF analysis for the cold season data. Factor profiles are reported on the1483left as: (i) number concentration in solid red lines; (ii) their DISP ranges in shaded red1484areas; (iii) volume concentrations in dotted blue lines; (iv) explained variation in1485dashed grey lines. The plots on the centre report the normalised daily patterns1486calculated on the hourly-averaged factor contributions along with their 95th

1487		confidence intervals (n=200 bootstrap). The plots on the right show the polar plot
1488		analysis (normalised average factor contributions). SA=secondary aerosol.
1489		
1490	Figure 7.	CWT maps of the secondary aerosol-related factors for both the seasons. Map scales
1491		refer to the average factor contributions to the total variable (PNC).
1492		
1493	Figure 8.	Comparison of k-means and PMF for the warm (upper plots) and cold (bottom plots)
1494		seasons. Boxplot statistics: lines= medians, crosses= arithmetic means, boxes= 25th-
1495		75th percentile ranges, whiskers $\pm 1.5^{*}$ inter-quartile ranges.
1496		
1497	Figure 9.	Analysis of the regional nucleation episode occurring on September 7th. The selected
1498		period is from 7 September midnight to 8 September 4 pm. The plots represent (from
1499		upper to the bottom): (a) contour plots of SMPS data; (b) Concentrations of some
1500		measured species (Nucl= particles in the nucleation range 14-30 nm; Ait= particles in
1501		the Aitken Nuclei range 30-100 nm; Acc= particles in the accumulation range >100
1502		nm; mass of PM2.5); (c) Source contributions from PMF for the Factors 1, 2, 3 and 4;
1503		(d) hourly counts of number of clusters. The arrows in the (b) and (c) plots show the
1504		wind direction (arrow direction) and speed (proportional to arrow length).
1505		
1506		

# **Table 1**. Summary of PMF results for both seasons.

## 1508

Factor number and interpretation	Particle Number (	Concentration	Particle Volume Concentration		
Warm season (Aug-Sep 2014)	No. modes <sup>a</sup> (peak ranges <sup>b</sup> )	Percent contribution (DISP range)	No. modes <sup>a</sup> (peak ranges <sup>b</sup> )	Percent contribution	
Factor 1: Airport	1 (<20 nm)	31.6 (30.8–36.2)	2 (60–160 nm;	1.2	
Factor 2: Fresh road traffic	1 (20–35 nm)	27.9 (24.7–30.2)	2 (22–45 nm; 140–220 nm)	1.7	
Factor 3: Aged road traffic	1 (30–60 nm)	18.9 (16.6–21.1)	2 (40–100 nm; 250–450 nm)	5.6	
Factor 4: Urban accumulation	1 (50–150 nm)	14.4 (13.8–18)	1 (80–250 nm)	33.2	
Factor 5: Mixed SA <sup>c</sup>	1 (110–250 nm)	5.2 (3.6-6.9)	1 (160–350 nm)	37.4	
Factor 6: Inorganic SA	2 (55–120 nm; 230–400 nm)	2.1 (1.1–3.5)	2 (260–500 nm; 75–140 nm)	20.8	
Cold season (Dec 2014-Jan 2015)					
Factor 1: Airport	1 (<20 nm)	33.1 (31.7–34.8)	2 (160–350 nm; 15–25 nm)	1.7	
Factor 2: Fresh road traffic	1 (18–35 nm)	35.2 (33.4–36.9)	2 (22–45 nm; 150–300 nm)	3.1	
Factor 3: Aged road traffic	1 (28–60 nm)	18.9 (17.9–19.7)	2 (40–150 nm; 330–450 nm)	8.7	
Factor 4: Urban accumulation	1 (55–170 nm)	7.6 (7.3–8.3)	1 (100–250 nm)	32.5	
Factor 5: Mixed SA	2 (130–280 nm, <17 nm)	2.3 (2.1–3.3)	1 (170–400 nm)	30.8	
Factor 6: Inorganic SA	3 (17–28 nm; 55–100 nm, 250–400 nm)	2.9 (2.4–3.9)	2 (280–550 nm; 90–140 nm)	23.3	

(a) Only modes above the DISP ranges are shown; (b) Range endpoints are taken at approx. half the mode height;

(c) SA = secondary aerosol

**Table 2**. Results of Pearson's correlation analysis among extracted factor contributions and other

1511 measured variables recorded at different time resolutions. Only correlations significant at p < 0.05

are reported, strong correlations ( $\rho \ge |0.6|$ ) are highlighted in bold.

	Warm period					
	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6
Variables	Airport	Fresh road	Aged road	Urban	Mixed SA	Inorganic
v al lables	Anport	traffic	traffic	accumulation	MIXED SA	SA
Weather param	eters (1 h-res	colution time)				
Solar irr.	0.12	-0.15	-0.24	-0.26	-0.24	-0.28
Air temp.	0.25	-0.21	-0.37	-0.1	0.1	
RH		0.1	0.32	0.22	0.26	0.33
Wind speed	0.38		-0.47	-0.64	-0.45	-0.49
5 min-resolutio	n time					
Factor 1	-					
Factor 2	0.46	-				
Factor 3	0.03	0.28	-			
Factor 4	-0.17	-0.04	0.47	_		
Factor 5	-0.15	-0.06	0.21	0.56	-	
Factor 6	-0.17	-0.14	0.15	0.56	0.75	-
eBC	-0.1	-0.03	0.33	0.62	0.52	0.53
Delta-C			0.13	-0.07		-0.06
1 h-resolution t	ime					
NO			0.43	0.6	0.32	0.33
$\mathbf{NO}_2$		0.18	0.61	0.76	0.52	0.52
NO <sub>x</sub>		0.11	0.58	0.77	0.48	0.48
<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	0.14	-0.19	-0.57	-0.54	-0.37	-0.43
<b>PM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	-0.23	-0.24	0.13	0.61	0.63	0.77
<b>NVPM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	-0.22	-0.22	0.17	0.62	0.61	0.75
<b>VPM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	-0.17	-0.24		0.42	0.54	0.65
1 day-resolution	n time PM <sub>2.5</sub> -1	bound species				
OC				0.84	0.74	0.83
EC	-0.47	-0.54		0.75	0.51	0.67
ТС	-0.45	-0.44		0.85	0.69	0.82
Chloride						
Nitrate		-0.45			0.83	0.85
Sulphate		-0.57		0.75	0.5	0.67
Oxalate		-0.47		0.59	0.89	0.93
Sodium						
Ammonium	-0.44	-0.52		0.57	0.54	0.71
Potassium		-0.47		0.46	0.5	0.66
Magnesium	0.5			-0.53		
Calcium						

	Cold period							
	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6		
		Fresh road	Aged road	Urban		Inorganic		
Variables	Airport	traffic	traffic	accumulation	Mixed SA	SA		
Weather parameters (1 h-resolution time)								
Solar irr.				-0.11				
Air temp.	0.38		-0.43	-0.67	-0.5	-0.59		
RH			0.23	0.38	0.46	0.46		
Wind speed	0.3		-0.49	-0.67	-0.54	-0.61		
5 min-resolution	ı time							
Factor 1	_							
Factor 2	0.55	_						
Factor 3	0.24	0.54	_					
Factor 4	-0.11	0.08	0.53	-				
Factor 5	-0.05	0.15	0.38	0.65	_			
Factor 6	-0.09	0.08	0.39	0.7	0.81	-		
eBC		0.16	0.52	0.77	0.60	0.63		
Delta-C			0.35	0.62	0.55	0.52		
1 h-resolution ti	me							
NO	-0.14		0.51	0.81	0.62	0.63		
$\mathbf{NO}_2$	0.13	0.42	0.81	0.82	0.61	0.66		
NO <sub>x</sub>		0.17	0.63	0.85	0.64	0.68		
$\mathbf{O}_3$		-0.29	-0.71	-0.78	-0.65	-0.7		
<b>PM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	-0.1	0.16	0.53	0.82	0.88	0.88		
<b>NVPM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	-0.11	0.16	0.53	0.82	0.85	0.85		
<b>VPM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>			0.19	0.39	0.49	0.48		
1 day-resolution	time PM <sub>2.5</sub> -bo	und species						
OC			0.79	0.79	0.76	0.8		
EC			0.83	0.8	0.64	0.66		
ТС			0.81	0.8	0.73	0.77		
Chloride				0.58	0.82	0.85		
Nitrate		0.63	0.73	0.88	0.93	0.9		
Sulphate					0.92	0.88		
Oxalate					0.87	0.81		
Sodium		-0.58	-0.74	-0.64				
Ammonium			0.63	0.78	0.99	0.97		
Potassium				0.71	0.98	0.97		
Magnesium								
Calcium								





Figure 1. Statistics of size distribution spectra for particle number (red) and volume (blue)
concentrations categorised by sampling periods and time of the day (daytime= 7am-7pm and
nighttime=7pm- 7am local time). For the particle number spectra, solid lines represent the median
concentrations, while shaded areas report the 1st-3rd quartile intervals (interquartile range, IQR).
For the particle volume spectra, only medians are reported (dotted lines).

- 1526
- 1527



1528

**Figure 2.** Diurnal patterns of PNC, LHR traffic, solar irradiance and eBC. Plots report the average levels as a filled line and the associated 95th confidence interval calculated by bootstrapping the data (n= 200). Outliers (data >99.5th percentile) were removed for computing the diurnal patterns. Hours are given in UTC. LHR traffic movements (bottom right plot) are reported as arrivals (dotted lines) and departures (solid lines). The offset between the seasons is largely due to daylight saving time (BST = UTC + 1) in the summer data. The diurnal patterns of all the measured variables in reported in Figure SI4.



1537

**Figure 3.** Results of cluster analysis for the warm season data. Average cluster PNSD spectra (left) are reported as solid red lines along with: (i) their 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th percentile spectrum as shaded areas; (ii) the volume size distributions (dotted blue line); (iii) the hourly counts and (iv) the wind roses associated with each cluster.



Figure 4. Results of cluster analysis for the cold season data. Average cluster PNSD spectra (left)
are reported as solid red lines along with: (i) their 10th, 25th, 75th and 90th percentile spectrum as
shaded areas; (ii) the volume size distributions (dotted blue line); (iii) the hourly counts and (iv) the
wind roses associated with each cluster.



Figure 5. Results of PMF analysis for the warm season data. Factor profiles are reported on the left
as: (i) number concentration in solid red lines; (ii) their DISP ranges in shaded red areas; (iii)
volume concentrations in dotted blue lines; (iv) explained variation in dashed grey lines. The plots
on the centre report the normalised daily patterns calculated on the hourly-averaged factor
contributions along with their 95th confidence intervals (n=200 bootstrap). The plots on the right
show the polar plot analysis (normalised average factor contributions). SA=secondary aerosol.



Figure 6. Results of PMF analysis for the cold season data. Factor profiles are reported on the left
as: (i) number concentration in solid red lines; (ii) their DISP ranges in shaded red areas; (iii)
volume concentrations in dotted blue lines; (iv) explained variation in dashed grey lines. The plots
on the centre report the normalised daily patterns calculated on the hourly-averaged factor
contributions along with their 95th confidence intervals (n=200 bootstrap). The plots on the right
show the polar plot analysis (normalised average factor contributions). SA=secondary aerosol.



**Figure 7.** CWT maps of the secondary aerosol-related factors for both the seasons. Map scales refer to the average factor contributions to the total variable (PNC).



**Figure 8.** Comparison of k-means and PMF for the warm (upper plots) and cold (bottom plots)

1579 seasons. Boxplot statistics: lines= medians, crosses= arithmetic means, boxes= 25th-75th percentile 1580 ranges, whiskers=  $\pm 1.5$ \*inter-quartile ranges.



Figure 9. Analysis of the regional nucleation episode occurring on September 7th. The selected
period is from 7 September midnight to 8 September 4 pm. The plots represent (from upper to the
bottom): (a) contour plots of SMPS data; (b) Concentrations of some measured species (Nucl=
particles in the nucleation range 14-30 nm; Ait= particles in the Aitken Nuclei range 30-100 nm;
Acc= particles in the accumulation range >100 nm; mass of PM<sub>2.5</sub>); (c) Source contributions from
PMF for the Factors 1, 2, 3 and 4; (d) hourly counts of number of clusters. The arrows in the (b) and
(c) plots show the wind direction (arrow direction) and speed (proportional to arrow length).