### 1 Satellite-based estimate of the variability of warm cloud

## 2 properties associated with aerosol and meteorological 3 conditions

- 7 2Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 8 3University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 9 4Finish Meteorological Institute, Climate Change Unit, P.O. Box 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland
- 10 5Department of Physics, P.O. Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- 11 6Xiamen Key Lab of Urban Metabolism, Xiamen 361021, China
- 12 7Institute for Environmental and Climate Research, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 511443, China
- 13 *Correspondence to*: Jiahua Zhang (zhangjh@radi.ac.cn)
- 14

15 Abstract. Aerosol-cloud interaction is examined using ten years of data from the MODIS/Terra (morning 16 orbit) and MODIS/Aqua (afternoon orbit) satellites. Aerosol optical depth (AOD) and cloud properties 17 retrieved from both sensors are used to explore in a statistical sense the morning-to-afternoon variation of 18 cloud properties in conditions with low and high AOD, over both land and ocean. The results show that the 19 interaction between aerosol particles and clouds is more complex and of greater uncertainty over land than 20 over ocean. The variation in d(Cloud\_X), defined as the mean change in cloud property Cloud\_X between 21 the morning and afternoon overpasses in high AOD conditions minus that in low AOD conditions, is 22 different over land and ocean. This applies to cloud droplet effective radius (CDR), cloud fraction (CF) and 23 cloud top pressure (CTP), but not to cloud optical thickness (COT) and cloud liquid water path (CWP). Both 24 COT and CWP increase over land and ocean after the timestep, irrespective of the AOD. However, the 25 initial AOD conditions can affect the amplitude of variation of COT and CWP. The effects of initial cloud 26 fraction and meteorological conditions on the change in CF under low and high AOD conditions after the 3 27 hours timestep over land are also explored. Two cases are considered: (1) when the cloud cover increases; (2) 28 when the cloud cover decreases. For both cases, we find that almost all values of d(CF) are positive, 29 indicating that the variations of CF are larger in high AOD than that in low AOD after the 3 hours timestep. 30 The results also show that large increase of cloud fraction occurs when scenes experience large AOD and 31 stronger upward motion of air parcels. Furthermore, the increase rate of cloud cover is larger for high AOD 32 with increasing RH when RH is larger than 20%. We also find that smaller increase of cloud fraction occurs 33 when scenes experience larger AOD and larger initial cloud cover. Overall, the analysis of the diurnal 34 variation of cloud properties provides a better understanding of aerosol-cloud interaction over land and 35 ocean. 36 Key words: MODIS, cloud development, aerosol-cloud interaction, urban clusters, ocean

37 **1 Introduction** 

38 Clouds and cloud systems are crucial elements in the energy cycle of our planet (Hartmann et al., 1992;

39 Webb et al., 2006). Clouds affect the global energy budget by reflecting incoming solar radiation, and thus

<sup>4</sup> Yuqin Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiahua Zhang<sup>2,3</sup>, Putian Zhou<sup>5</sup>, Tao Lin<sup>1,6</sup>, Juan Hong<sup>7</sup>, Lamei Shi<sup>2,3</sup>,

<sup>5</sup> Fengmei Yao<sup>3</sup>, Huadong Guo<sup>2</sup>, Gerrit de Leeuw<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>6 1</sup>Key Lab of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China

1 cool the Earth surface, and by absorption and re-emitting outgoing terrestrial radiation which contributes to 2 warming of the surface. In addition to the radiative effects, clouds also influence the hydrological cycle of 3 the Earth through precipitation (Stephens et al., 2002). Due to interactions with aerosols, the climatic effects 4 of clouds are further complicated (Rosenfeld, 2000; Twomey, 1974; Twomey, 1977). Aerosols can serve as 5 cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), depending on their hygroscopic properties, and when activated they can 6 change the cloud microphysical properties. The increase of CCN, while the liquid water path remains 7 constant, usually results in more numerous cloud droplets with smaller cloud droplet radius (CDR) due to 8 the competition for the same amount of water vapour. Thus, cloud albedo increases and the smaller cloud 9 droplet effective radius in most cases results in the suppression of precipitation, which in turn results in a 10 longer cloud lifetime, and maintaining a larger liquid water path (Albrecht, 1989; Feingold et al., 2001). 11 Therefore, it is important to understand the interaction between aerosols and clouds and the effect of 12 different processes on cloud development.

13 Numerous studies have shown that aerosol particles can affect cloud properties on regional and global scales 14 (Krüger and Graßl, 2002; Menon et al., 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Sporre et al., 2014; Saponaro et al., 15 2017). Satellite measurements suggest that the cloud droplet effective radius (CDR) decreases with 16 increasing aerosol optical depth (AOD, which is used in this paper as a proxy for aerosol concentration), 17 which is consistent with Twomey's theory (Kaufman et al., 2005; Matheson et al., 2005; Meskhidze and 18 Nenes, 2010). However, other observational and model studies reported that CDR tends to increase with 19 aerosol loading in some study areas, especially over land (Feingold et al., 2001; Yuan et al., 2008; Grandey 20 and Stier, 2010; Liu et al., 2017). A different behaviour of cloud cover as a function of AOD for different 21 aerosol loadings (low or high) has been found by Kaufman and Koren (2006) and Koren et al. (2008). 22 However, the observed correlations between aerosol and cloud cannot be simply attributed to the effects of 23 aerosols on clouds alone since other factors such as variations in meteorological conditions could play a role 24 (Loeb and Schuster, 2008; Reutter et al., 2009; Koren et al., 2010; Su et al., 2010; Stathopoulos et al., 2017). 25 "Snapshot" studies, where the aerosol and cloud properties are retrieved at the same time, have the 26 advantage that they represent the total time-integrated effect of aerosols on cloud properties (Meskhidze et 27 al., 2009; Gryspeerdt et al., 2014). However, the use of "snapshot" correlations is limited to a single 28 overpass time and limits the ability to distinguish aerosol-cloud interactions from meteorological 29 covariation or retrieval errors (Gryspeerdt et al., 2014). Therefore, the history of meteorological forcing is 30 an important determinant of cloud state. Matsui et al. (2006) investigated the properties of low clouds 31 derived from semiglobal observations by the Tropical Rainfall Measurement Mission (TRMM) and 32 explored the correlations of these cloud properties with aerosols (as indicated by the aerosol index or AI) 33 and with lower-tropospheric stability (LTS) on a diurnal scale. They found that aerosols affect the CDR 34 stronger for low LTS than for high LTS. Mauger and Norris (2007) used MODIS/Terra data to examine the 35 evolution of marine boundary layer clouds over several days but they may have missed important effects 36 occurring on a sub-daily timescale. Meskhidze et al. (2009) investigated the evolution of cloud properties 37 between the MODIS/Terra and MODIS/Aqua overpasses as a function of MODIS/Terra AOD and found an 38 apparent increase in the breakup rate of stratocumulus clouds in high AOD environments. However, they 39 did not explain meteorological covariation that may generate spurious correlations. 40

40 Considering the complex aerosol composition and increasing aerosol trend during the last decades over 41 eastern China (Guo et al., 2011), a systematic assessment of the effect of aerosols on the properties of warm

1 clouds is needed, over both land and ocean. In this paper, aerosol-cloud interaction is examined using 2 multi-year statistics of remotely sensed data from the two MODIS sensors aboard NASA's Terra (daytime 3 equator crossing time at 10:30 LT) and Aqua (daytime equator crossing time at 13:30 LT) satellites. The 4 retrieval of the AOD and cloud properties from both sensors allows us to explore the morning-to-afternoon 5 variation of cloud properties in conditions with either low or high AOD, over land and over ocean, and for 6 different climate regimes. This variety of conditions allows us to identify similarities and differences in the 7 effects of aerosols on clouds and thus better understand aerosol-cloud interaction. We also explore the effect 8 of meteorological history on the interaction between aerosols and clouds. We focus on low-level water 9 clouds. The paper is organized as follows. The data and region of interest are described in Section 2. The 10 main methodology is introduced in Section 3. The results and analysis are presented in Section 4. Overall 11 conclusions and potential future improvements are discussed in Section 5.

#### 12 2 Approach

#### 13 **2.1 Study area**

14 Aerosol concentrations in Eastern China are very high due to both direct emissions and secondary aerosol 15 formation from precursor gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and VOCs. They are produced by anthropogenic activities 16 such as industry, transportation and heating, black carbon and other carbonaceous aerosols produced by 17 biomass burning, dust aerosols produced from the deserts, etc. Aerosol particles influence the local climate 18 such as monsoon intensity and the distribution of precipitation. In eastern China, the monsoon in turn plays 19 an important role in the wet deposition and transport of aerosol particles (Li et al., 2016). The Asian 20 monsoon system plays an important role in the precipitation across the country (Kourtidis et al., 2015). In 21 early April, the pre-monsoonal rain period starts over southern China and the summer monsoon rain belt 22 moves northward to the Yangtze River basin in June. Further, the rain belt arrives in northern China in July 23 and the monsoon rain belt propagates back to southern China in August. The length of the rain season differs 24 between southern and northern China with the migration of the monsoon across China (Song et al., 2011). 25 Based on these characteristics, four regions with different aerosol emission levels and climate 26 characteristics were selected to study the indirect effects of aerosol particles on cloud micro- and 27 macro-physical properties. The Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH), Yangtze River Delta (YRD) and Pearl River 28 Delta (PRD) urban clusters are characterized as a temperate monsoon climate region, a subtropical monsoon 29 climate region, and a tropical monsoon climate region, respectively. The BTH domain (35.5°N-40.5°N, 30 113.5°E-120.5°E) is an area with high AOD levels due to rapid industrial and economic development (Fig. 31 1). The YRD domain (28°N-33°N, 117°E-122°E) is a major source region of black carbon (Streets et al., 32 2001; Bond et al., 2004) and sulfate (Lu et al., 2010). The PRD domain (21.5°N-24.5°N, 111.5°E-115.5°E) 33 is an area within the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) migration belt, with high anthropogenic aerosol 34 emissions (Streets et al., 2003; Streets et al., 2008; Lei et al., 2011). In addition, one domain (20°N-25°N 35 and 125°E-130°E), which is located in the Eastern China Sea (ECS for short), has been selected as study 36 area for comparison. The ECS domain is relatively clean, but it is often impacted by aerosol particles 37 transported from the highly industrialized eastern China (Wang et al., 2014). The study period is 10 years, 38 i.e. 2008-2017.



Figure 1. Map of MODIS/AQUA level 3 AOD over Eastern China averaged over the period from 2008 to 2017. The
location of the four clusters (three urban and one ocean) studied here (Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei: BTH, Yangtze River Delta:
YRD, Pearl River Delta: PRD and Eastern China Sea: ECS) are marked with black rectangles. The inset shows a
histogram for the occurrence of AOD values in each of the four clusters during the period 2008-2017.

#### 7 2.2 Data used

8 The aerosol and cloud properties used in this study were derived from the MODIS instruments on the Terra 9 and Aqua satellites. Since these instruments are of the same design, errors due to instrument differences are 10 minimal although some differences have been reported due to degradation of MODIS/Terra (Xiong et al., 11 2008; Levy et al., 2010). The MODIS L3 collection 6.1 data (which was downloaded from 12 https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/) provides daily aerosol and cloud parameters on a 1° by 1° spatial 13 grid. The time difference between the Terra and Aqua overpasses is about three hours, with variations due to 14 swath width. In the following, the time difference between the MODIS/Terra and Aqua observations is 15 referred to as the timestep. The application of daily MODIS satellite data on a 1° by 1° spatial grid in this 16 study on aerosol-cloud interaction (ACI) ensures that the aerosol and cloud retrievals are coincident. The 17 MODIS instruments have 36 spectral bands, the first seven of these (0.47-2.13  $\mu$  m) are used for the 18 retrieval of aerosol properties (Remer et al., 2005) while cloud properties are retrieved using additional 19 wavelengths in other parts of the spectrum (Platnick et al., 2003). More detailed information on algorithms 20 for the retrieval of aerosol and cloud properties is provided at http://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov. In this 21 study on ACI we use the AOD at 550 nm (referred to as AOD throughout this manuscript), CDR, cloud 22 liquid water path (CWP), cloud optical thickness (COT), cloud fraction (CF), cloud top pressure (CTP) and 23 cloud top temperature (CTT) from both instruments. AOD is used as a proxy for the amount of aerosol 24 particles in the atmospheric column to investigate ACI (Andreae, 2009; Kourtidis et al., 2015). To reduce a 25 possible over-estimation of the AOD, cases with AOD greater than 0.8 were excluded from further analysis. 26 The focus of this study is on warm clouds with CTP larger than 700 hPa, CTT larger than 273K and CWP 27 lower than 200 g m<sup>-2</sup>, as most aerosols exist in the lower troposphere (Michibata et al., 2014). 28 In addition, to explore the effect of meteorological conditions on ACI, we use the daily temperature at the 29 1000 hPa and 700 hPa levels, relative humidity at the 750hPa level and pressure vertical velocity (PVV) at

- 30 the 750 hPa level. LTS is defined as the difference in potential temperature between the free troposphere
- 31 (700hpa) and the surface, which can be regarded as a measure of the strength of the inversion that caps the

- 1 planetary boundary layer (Klein and Hartmann, 1993; Wood and Bretherton, 2006). These meteorological
- 2 data were obtained from daily ERA Interim Reanalysis data which contains global meteorological
- 3 conditions on a grid of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  with 37 levels in the vertical (1000-1 hPa) every six hours (00:00, 06:00, 12:00,
- 4 18:00 UTC) (http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/). The meteorological properties were
- 5 resampled to 10:30 (local time) by taking a weighted average of the properties at the two closest times
- 6 (00:00 UTC and 06:00 UTC) provided by ERA Interim.
- 7 In this study, high and low AOD are defined as the highest and lowest quartile for each  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  location to
- 8 reduce climatological spatial gradients in aerosol and cloud parameters. As a result, the difference between

9 high and low AOD varies by location. So, for each  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid cell, 3642 data samples are available for the

10 10-year study period.

#### 11 **3 Method**

#### 12 **3.1 Normalization for initial background**

13 For the comparison of the difference in cloud properties in high and in low AOD conditions and the change 14 in this difference during the time step, we need to ensure that the initial conditions are similar, i.e. the 15 probability distributions of a cloud parameter Cloud\_X at the start of the time step for the low and high AOD 16 cases should be similar. Any change in this distribution at the end of the time step can then be attributed to 17 changes in cloud properties due to aerosol and/or meteorological effects. To reduce the difference between 18 the initial probability distribution of Cloud X in high and low AOD conditions at the start of the timestep, 19 normalized histograms of cloud properties and meteorological parameters are made for high and low AOD 20 conditions following the method described by Gryspeerdt et al. (2014).

21 In Fig. 2 we illustrate the process to remove possible effects linking, as an example, CF and AOD. 22 Normalized histograms of CF are made for the high and low AOD conditions following Gryspeerdt et al. 23 (2014) with the difference that in the current study AOD is used instead of AI (Andreae, 2009; Kourtidis, et 24 al., 2015). The CF probability density functions for low and high AOD conditions at the start time are 25 different as illustrated in Fig. 2a. This difference indicates a link between CF and AOD at the start of the 26 time step which needs to be removed to detect the effect of changes during the time step. This is achieved, 27 following the process described in more detail by Gryspeerdt et al. (2014). In brief, for each bin data points 28 are drawn out randomly from the conditions with the larger probability density frequency until both 29 distributions match. This is performed independently for each bin and the entire process is repeated until the 30 normalised histograms in both AOD conditions are similar. As a result of this normalization process, the CF 31 distributions at the start of the timestep are nearly identical for both AOD conditions, i.e. the non-aerosol 32 effect linking CF and AOD has been removed. This technique has also been applied to ensure that the high 33 and low AOD conditions have the same probability distributions for CDR, COT, CWP and CTP at the start 34 time. Among those cloud properties, this process of normalization has the greatest effect on the cloud 35 fraction and its dependence on aerosol-cloud interaction. Throughout the work, we only take a subset of 36 original data by removing random samples until the histograms are similar. 37 Note that here and in the following sections, normalised histograms of cloud properties for the high and low

- 38 AOD populations are made for the whole region (Section 3.1), because the data volume based on each  $1^{\circ}$  x
- <sup>39</sup> 1° location is relatively small. However, the difference between the cloud properties for low and high AOD

- 1 at the start time is based on each 1° x 1° location (Section 4.1). So the difference of the cloud properties
- 2 between the low and high AOD at the start time is not zero.



3

Figure 2 An example of the probability density distribution of warm cloud fraction (CF) for low and high AOD conditions. (a) there is a strong link between AOD and CF before histogram normalization, (b) the link is reduced after histogram normalization.

#### 7 **3.2** The definition of d(Cloud\_X)

8 After removal of the potential relationships between AOD and cloud parameters at the time of the Terra 9 (morning) overpass, as described in Sect. 3.1, effects of aerosol particles on cloud properties are investigated 10 from the change in the relationship between AOD and cloud parameters over the timestep. For cloud property 11 Cloud\_X (where X = CF, COT, CWP, CDR or CTP), the change during the timestep is indicated by 12  $\Delta$ Cloud\_X. The mean  $\Delta$ Cloud\_X for high AOD is then indicated by  $\overline{\Delta$ Cloud\_X[High AOD]} and similar for 13 low AOD. The difference between the mean change in Cloud X during the timestep in high and low AOD 14 conditions is then indicated by d(Cloud\_X):  $d(\text{Cloud } X) = \overline{\Delta \text{Cloud} X[\text{High AOD}]} - \overline{\Delta \text{Cloud} X[\text{Low AOD}]}$ 15 16 The high AOD is representative of polluted atmospheric conditions, and the low AOD is representative of 17 clean atmospheric conditions. The difference (d(Cloud\_X)) between the mean values of the cloud property 18 Cloud X during clean (low AOD) and polluted (high AOD) conditions indicates the effect of these two 19 aerosol cases on the cloud property Cloud\_X. For example, d(CWP) would be the difference between the 20 mean change in CWP in high AOD conditions minus that in low AOD conditions. 21 Student's t test is used to determine whether two data sets are significantly different from each other. The

- 22 marker \*\* at the top right corner of symbol "+" (or "-") denotes that the difference between a change in cloud
- 23 property and zero is significant (at 95% confidence level).

#### 24 4 Results and Discussion

#### **4.1** The difference of cloud properties between the low and high AOD at the start time

The difference in the mean cloud properties (CDR, CF, COT, CWP and CTP) during high and low AOD conditions at the start time for each  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid cell, i.e.,

- 28  $\left\{ \overline{\text{Cloud}_X[\text{High AOD}]} \overline{\text{Cloud}_X[\text{Low AOD}]} \right\}_{i=0}^{i}$  represents the change in cloud properties due to the
- 29 higher AOD. Figure 3 shows the spatial distributions of these differences (left column) and sample series of

1 the difference (right column) for the four regions of interest. The selection of samples for each region is 2 according to the pixels in the region. Figures 3(a1-a2) show that over the ECS, CDR is smaller at high AOD 3 than at low AOD, which is consistent with Twomey's effect. In contrast, over the three urban clusters, CDR is 4 larger at high AOD. This behavior has been observed before for warm clouds in conditions with high AOD 5 (Liu et al., 2017) and may result from the intense competition for the available water vapour and the 6 evaporation of smaller droplets as a consequence of the high aerosol abundance over these regions (Yuan et 7 al., 2008; Tang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017). For COT (figures 3(b1-b2)) the values are 8 significantly higher at high AOD over the ECS and the BTH, however, COT does not show a significant 9 difference between the situations at low and high AOD over the YRD and PRD. These results indicate that 10 there is no clear dependence of COT on aerosol load, and also the aerosol type may influence the aerosol 11 effect on COT. Figures 3(c1-c2) show that CWP is lower at high AOD over the ECS, which is in clear 12 contrast with the so-called "lifetime effect" proposed by Albrecht in 1989. In contrast, over the BTH, CWP 13 behaves similar to COT and is higher at high AOD. Furthermore, CWP is also higher at high AOD. 14 Ackerman et al. (2004) reported that CWP is not generally observed larger, but significantly smaller in high 15 AOD conditions. They reported that CWP response to the increasing AOD is determined by the balance of 16 two competitive factors: moistening from precipitation decrease and drying from increasing entrainment of dry overlaying air. With increasing AOD, CF does not show any significant correlation between changes in 17 18 AOD and CDR variations over the BTH and YRD. However, CF is larger at high AOD over the PRD and 19 ECS. Wang et al., (2014) also found that when aerosol loading is relatively small, cloud cover is found to 20 increase over the YRD and ECS in response to aerosol enhancement regardless of RH conditions. Meanwhile, 21 over the YRD urban cluster CTP is higher at high AOD, as suggested by Liu et al. (2017). In contrast, CTP is 22 lower at high AOD over the BTH and ECS. Many studies have also reported that with higher cloud altitude 23 CTP decreases in most of the places as AOD increases except for some regions at low AOD (Myhre et al., 24 2007; Kaufman et al., 2005 and Alam et al., 2010). This might have resulted from the suppression of 25 precipitation by increasing cloud lifetime and thus also affecting the cloud albedo and cloud top pressure. 26





Figure 3 Spatial distribution of the differences in cloud properties (top to bottom: CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) between the highest and the lowest MODIS AOD quartiles (highest - lowest) at the start time of the timestep (MODIS/Terra) (left, a1-e1) and sample series of the differences in cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) between the highest and the lowest MODIS AOD quartiles (highest - lowest) at the start time of the timestep (MODIS/Terra) (right, a2-e2) over Eastern China for the time period 2008-2017. See legend at the bottom for the meaning of the colours identifying the different regions.

8 To better characterize the variation in cloud properties between high and low AOD, Table 1 summarizes the 9 difference in cloud properties between high and low AOD at start time for the four study areas. We find that

10 different regions with various aerosol emission levels and different climate characteristics show different

1 ACI patterns. Some links between aerosol and cloud in the four regions are different from those of previous 2 studies over China (Wang et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2014; Kourtidis et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017), which 3 might be due to the use of different data sets (MODIS C6.1 versus older versions), hypothesis and target 4 areas characterized by complex aerosol composition and varying meteorological conditions. Overall, the 5 result implies that the interaction between aerosol particles and clouds is more complex and of greater 6 uncertainty over land (BTH, YRD and PRD) than over ocean (ECS). Jin and Shepherd (2008) also noted that 7 aerosols affect clouds more significantly over ocean than over land. They suggested that dynamic processes 8 related to factors like urban land cover may play at least an equally critical role in cloud formation.

9

Table 1 The responses of cloud properties to the increasing AOE	)
---	---

Parameters	AOD	CDR	COT	CWP	CF	CTP
BTH	+	+**	+**	+**	+	**
YRD	+	+**	+	$+^{**}$	-	+**
PRD	+	+**	+	-	+**	+**
ECS	+	**	+**	**	$+^{**}$	**

10 Note: '+' indicates increasing, '-' indicates decreasing and \*\* at the top right corner of the symbol "+" (or "-") denotes

11 that the difference between a change in cloud property and zero is significant (at 95% confidence level).

#### 12 **4.2 The meteorology of the four target regions**

The meteorological and aerosol effects on clouds are reported to be tightly connected, and this connection must be accounted for in any study of aerosol-cloud interactions (Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Koren et al., 2010a). Although normalized histograms of meteorological parameters are made for high and low AOD conditions at the start time, the normalization described in Sect. 3.1 is based on the whole region. Differences in meteorological conditions may still occur between each 1° by 1° grid cell. In this study, we analyze the meteorology of the different regions, in support of the interpretation of the regional variation of the relationships between aerosols and clouds.



Figure 4 Spatial distributions of meteorological parameters (top to bottom: RH, LTS, positive PVV and negative PVV)
 at the start time of the timestep (MODIS/Terra) for low AOD conditions (left, a1-d1) and for high AOD conditions
 (right, a2-d2). All the data are averaged over all years between 2008 and 2017.

5 The spatial variations of the aerosol and cloud properties over the four regions, averaged over the years 6 2008-2017, are shown in Fig. 4. Over the urban clusters, we can see an increasing north-south pattern in 7 RH and LTS, with the lowest values found in the PRD. For the negative PVV, the spatial distributions for 8 the low and high AOD situations are remarkably similar, with the highest values over the BTH and 9 decreasing toward the south to near zero over the PRD. In contrast, the positive PVV is smallest over the 10 BTH, with little variation over the study area. Overall, the meteorological parameters over the YRD and 11 PRD are similar to those over the ECS, irrespective of the AOD. Furthermore, the LTS is significantly 12 larger in the high AOD conditions for all four regions. Zhao et al. (2006) proposed that the enhancement in 13 atmospheric stability tends to depress upward motion and precipitation, leading to an increase in aerosol particles. The spatial distributions of both positive and negative PVV in the low AOD conditions are 14 15 similar to those in high AOD conditions.

#### 16 4.3 The mean change in cloud properties over the timestep for low and high AOD

17 The differences between the mean afternoon and morning values of cloud properties in each  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid cell in

18 either low or high AOD conditions shows the variation of cloud properties during 3 hours of cloud evolution

1 at low/high aerosol concentrations. Figure 5 presents the spatial distributions (left, a1-e1) and the sample

2 series (right, a2-e2) of differences in cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) after this 3-hour

3 period for the lowest MODIS/Terra AOD quartiles. Figure 6 shows the spatial distributions (left, a1-e1) and

4 sample series (right, a2-e2) of these differences for the highest MODIS/Terra AOD quartiles.

5 Overall, we look at statistics for a large dataset of 10 years. Concerning the effect of aerosol loading on

6 cloud parameters in each urban cluster, a decrease of CF occurs over the BTH for low AOD conditions,

- 7 which is opposite to the CTP variation for both AOD conditions. For the variations of CDR over the YRD
- 8 urban cluster, a significant increase occurs under high AOD conditions, which may be attributed to the
- 9 higher RH (see figure 4(a1, a2)). As regards the variation of CF and CTP, a significant decrease occurs
  10 under low AOD conditions. Likewise, an increase of the CDR was observed for high AOD conditions over
- 11 the PRD urban cluster. Furthermore, decreases of CF and CTP were observed for low AOD conditions and
- 12 increases of CF and CTP were observed for high AOD conditions. From the perspective of considering all
- 13 urban clusters (BTH, YRD and PRD), both COT and CWP increase over land during the 3 hours timestep
- 14 for both low and high AOD. Overall, the variation in cloud properties after the timestep over BTH is less
- 15 significant than over the YRD and PRD for both low and high AOD conditions. This may result from less
- 16 humid and more unstable atmospheric environments over the BTH than over the other two urban clusters
- 17 (as shown in Section 4.2). Over the ECS, in both low and high AOD conditions, CDR, CF and CTP
- 18 decrease during the timestep while COT and CWP increase (see Figure 5).
- 19 In general, the variations over 3 hours in COT and CWP over land are similar to those over ocean for both
- 20 low and high AOD conditions. Another similarity is that CF decreases for low AOD conditions over land
- and ocean during the 3h timestep. Having a closer look at the CF variation over the YRD and PRD, we see
- that CF increases in high AOD conditions during the 3h timestep. This implies that the variation amplitude
- 23 of CF may depend on the initial AOD conditions. The decrease in afternoon cloud cover over ocean
- 24 confirms that the largest cover for marine clouds is reached early in the morning as was also concluded by
- 25 Meskhidze et al. (2009). Meanwhile, a significant difference is found between land and ocean areas, i.e. in
- high AOD conditions CDR increases over land but decreases over ocean during the 3h timestep. Table 2
- summaries the differences in cloud properties between the Aqua and Terra overpasses for high and low
- AOD conditions over land and ocean during the time period 2008-2017.



Figure 5. Spatial distributions of differences in cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) between Aqua and Terra overpasses (3 hours) for the lowest MODIS/Terra AOD quartiles (left, a1-e1). Sample series of the differences in cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) between the values at the start time and the end time of the timestep for the lowest MODIS AOD quartiles (right, a2-e2).



Figure 6. Spatial distributions of differences in cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) between Aqua and Terra overpasses (3 hours) for the highest MODIS/Terra AOD quartiles (left, a1-e1). Sample series of the differences in cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) between the values at the start time and the end time of the timestep for the highest MODIS AOD quartiles (right, a2-e2).

6	Table 2 Differences in cloud	properties between Ac	ua and Terra for high and lo	w AOD, over land and ocean.
---	------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------

	Parameters	CDR	COT	CWP	CF	CTP
BTH	L_AOD	-	+**	+	_**	+**
	H_AOD	+	+	$+^{**}$	-	+**
	d(Cloud_X)	-	-	-	_**	+**
YRD	L_AOD	-	+**	+**	_**	_**
	H_AOD	$+^{**}$	+**	$+^{**}$	+	-
	d(Cloud_X)	+	_**	_**	_**	-

	L AOD	+	+**	+**	_**	_**
PRD	H_AOD	+**	+**	+**	+**	+**
	d(Cloud_X)	+	_**	_**	+**	+**
ECS	L_AOD	_**	+**	+**	_**	_**
	H_AOD	_**	+**	+	_**	_**
	d(Cloud_X)	+**	_**	_**	_**	-

1 Note: '+' indicates increasing, '-' indicates decreasing and \*\* at the top right corner of symbol "+" (or "-") denotes

2 that the difference between a change in cloud property and zero is significant (at 95% confidence level).

3 The differences between the mean changes in cloud properties (CF, COT, CWP, CDR and CTP) between

4 the Terra and Aqua overpasses in high and in low AOD conditions (d (Cloud\_X) as defined in Section 3.2)

5 are investigated to identify the effect of aerosol particles on the cloud properties. Figure 7 shows the

6 differences between the mean change in cloud properties at low and high AOD conditions during the two

7 observations at 10:30 and 13:30.

8 Figure 7 shows that the values of d(CDR) over the three urban clusters are not mostly positive or negative, 9 which indicates that in high AOD conditions over land the variation in CDR during the three hours between 10 the MODIS/Terra and Aqua overpasses is similar. Over the ECS the values of d(CDR) are positive, which 11 indicates that the CDR in high AOD conditions decreases much more than during low AOD conditions over 12 ocean. Wang et al. (2014) also reported a negative correlation between CDR and AOD over the ECS, in 13 accordance with the Twomey effect. Furthermore, CDR tends to be smallest in polluted and 14 strong-inversion environments, an outcome in good agreement with the findings of Matsui et al. (2006). 15 Most of the d(COT) values are negative over the four regions, especially for the YRD, PRD and ECS. This 16 shows that the COT increases less in high AOD conditions than in low AOD conditions, over both land and 17 ocean, which is contrast with the findings of Meskhidze et al. (2009). Likewise, the values of d(CWP) are 18 almost all negative over the four regions although over the BTH urban cluster the values are not clear. This 19 indicates that in high AOD conditions the CWP increases less during the timestep than in low AOD 20 conditions, a result in accordance with the conclusion that higher LTS is linked with a slightly lower CWP 21 (Matsui et al., 2006). We can conclude that the variation trend of COT and CWP after 3 hours depends little 22 on the initial AOD, but the initial AOD conditions can affect the amplitude of variation of COT and CWP. 23 Meanwhile, the values of d(CF) are smaller than zero over the ECS. This shows that the cloud fraction 24 in high AOD conditions over the ECS decreases less than that in low AOD conditions. However, 25 Meskhidze et al. (2009) found that an increase of the aerosol concentration may lead to 26 enhanced reduction of afternoon cloud coverage and optical thickness for marine stratocumulus 27 regions off the coast of California, Peru, and southern Africa. Therefore, the connection between AOD 28 and variation of cloud cover could be a response to regional-scale changes in aerosol covarying 29 with meteorological conditions. The value of d(CF) is overall positive over the PRD, which indicates 30 that over the PRD in high AOD conditions the cloud cover increases much more than the cloud cover 31 decreases in low AOD conditions. Mauger and Norris (2007) have shown that scenes with large AOD and 32 large cloud fraction experienced greater LTS. As regards CTP, we find that the values of d(CTP) are positive 33 over the BTH and PRD urban cluster, but the values of d(CTP) over the other two regions do not show a clear pattern. This indicates that in high AOD conditions over the PRD region the CTP increases much more 34 35 than the CTP decreases in low AOD conditions. We can conclude that the variation in d(Cloud\_X) is different 36 for continental and oceanic clouds. This applies to CDR, cloud fraction (CF) and CTP, but not to COT and 37 CWP. Table 2 summarizes the differences between the mean changes in cloud properties for low and high

1 AOD over the timestep of 3 hours.

2 Based on the above findings, we conclude that over the ECS the values of CDR, CWP and CTP are smaller 3 but the values of COT and CF are larger in high AOD conditions. After the 3 hours timestep, CDR, CF 4 and CTP become smaller, irrespective of the AOD. Furthermore, CDR decreases much more in high AOD 5 conditions but CF and CTP decrease much more in high AOD conditions. In contrast, COT and CWP 6 become larger in both AOD conditions, more significantly in low AOD conditions. Over the urban 7 clusters, COT and CWP also increase over the timestep in both AOD conditions, especially for the low 8 AOD condition. For CF the values in low AOD conditions decrease over the timestep. The CTP change 9 behaves different among the three urban clusters during the 3 hours. б



10

11 Figure 7 Spatial distributions (left, a1-e1) and sample time series (right, a2-e2) of d(Cloud\_X) (as defined in sect.

12 3.2) for CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP over Eastern China during the time period 2008-2017.

#### 1 **4.4 Meteorological effects**

2 In order to explore the initial meteorological effects on the correlations between AOD and the cloud 3 fraction, we determine the difference in mean cloud parameters between the high and low AOD 4 conditions at the end of the timestep (d(Cloud X)) in meteorological variable space rather than in 5 longitude-latitude space. Therefore, we define high and low AOD as the highest and lowest quartile 6 for each bin of the meteorological parameters, respectively. Figure 8 shows the effect of meteorological 7 factors (PVV, RH, LTS and initial cloud fraction) on the d(CF) when the cloud cover increases 8 ( $\Delta$ Cloud\_X>0) under both low and high AOD conditions over land after the 3 hours timestep. Figure 9 9 shows the effect of meteorological factors on the d(CF) when the cloud cover deceases ( $\Delta$ Cloud X<0) 10 under both low and high AOD conditions over land after the 3 hours timestep. From both figures we find 11 that almost all d(CF) values are positive, indicating that the variations of CF are larger in high AOD than 12 that in low AOD after the 3 hours timestep.

13 The PVV, a measure of dynamic convection strength, is very important for cloud formation. The 14 presence of upward motion, as indicated by negative PVV, can enhance the interaction between aerosol 15 particles and clouds as it promotes vertical mixing of the aerosol particles and thus reach the cloud 16 condensation level where they grow into cloud droplets (Jones et al., 2009). Figure 8(a) shows that the 17 d(CF) decreases with the PVV over the range from -0.05 Pa s<sup>-1</sup> to 0.05 Pa s<sup>-1</sup>as cloud cover increases 18 in both conditions over the 3 hours timestep. This indicates that the weaker downward motion and 19 stronger upward motion of air parcels makes the difference between the increment of cloud cover in high 20 and low AOD conditions larger: In other words, the increase rate of cloud cover is larger for high AOD under 21 stronger upward motion of air parcels. Jones et al. (2009) showed that stronger upward motion of air 22 parcels can promote the cloud formation in both high and low AOD conditions, but they did not report 23 the increase rate of cloud formation in both AOD conditions. While cloud cover decreases in both 24 conditions over the 3 hours timestep, Figure 9(a) shows that the d(CF) increases with the PVV over the 25 range from -0.05 Pa s<sup>-1</sup> to 0 Pa s<sup>-1</sup> and decreases with the PVV over the range from 0 Pa s<sup>-1</sup> to 0.05 Pa s<sup>-1</sup>. 26 This indicates that the decrease rate of cloud cover is smaller for high AOD both under stronger upward 27 motion of air parcels and stronger downward motion of air parcels. Outside this range of PVV values 28 the relationship becomes harder to determine due to the reduced data volume in both cases.

1 Figure 8(b) shows that the d(CF) decreases with increasing RH when RH is lower than 20%. This implies 2 that the increase rate of cloud cover is smaller for high AOD with increasing RH. However, when RH is 3 larger than 20%, the increase rate of cloud cover is larger for high AOD with increasing RH. An increase 4 of d(CF) occurs due to activation of CCN and formation of clouds (Feingold et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2017). 5 It should be noted that the variation of d(CF) with increasing RH above around 80% is uncertain as the 6 sample sizes of high and low AOD conditions are small. In contrast, the d(CF) values become smaller 7 with increasing RH over the whole RH range (See Figure 9(b)), indicating that the decrease rate of cloud 8 cover is smaller for high AOD than that for low AOD with increasing RH.

9 The LTS is an indicator for the mixing state of the atmospheric layer adjacent to the surface. It describes 10 to some extent the atmosphere's tendency to promote or suppress vertical motion (Medeiros and Stevens, 11 2011), which in turn affects cloud properties (Klein and Hartmann, 1993). Low LTS represents an 12 unstable atmosphere and high LTS represents a stable atmosphere. Both Figure 8(c) and Figure 9(c) 13 show that the d(CF) increases and then decreases with increasing LTS when LTS is lower than 20, but 14 increases with increasing LTS for higher values (LTS >20). However, the sample sizes of high and low 15 AOD conditions are extremely disproportionate when LTS is larger than 20. Therefore, it is difficult to 16 reach a conclusion from the relationship between d(CF) and LTS when LTS is larger than 20.

17 Figure 8(d) shows a strong negative relationship between d(CF) and initial cloud fraction. The d(CF) 18 increases with increasing initial cloud cover, even though the data volume becomes smaller over the 19 range from 0 to 1.0. This implies that the increase rate of cloud cover becomes smaller for high AOD 20 with an increase of the initial cloud cover. Likewise, Figure 9(d) also shows that d(CF) decreases with 21 increasing initial cloud cover, indicating that the decrease rate of cloud cover becomes larger for high 22 AOD with increasing initial cloud cover. This phenomenon is different from the observed weak 23 relationship between d(CF) and initial cloud fraction in the oceanic shallow cumulus regime (Gryspeerdt 24 et al., 2014). It may result from the combination of above two cases.

17





Figure 8. Variation of d(CF) (red) as function of initial meteorological parameters and cloud fraction for warm clouds when the cloud cover increases under both low and high AOD conditions over land after the 3 hours timestep. The distribution of points for low (blue) and high (green) AOD as a function of meteorological parameters is shown by the solid lines. This plot is composed from MODIS data (including Terra and Aqua) for all warm cloud points over the years 2008-2017. Meteorological parameters are plotted along the horizontal axis, the left vertical axis denotes d(CF) and the right vertical axis denotes the number of high and low AOD samples.



8



10 conditions over land after the 3 hours timestep.

#### 1 5 Conclusions

2 The large anthropogenic emissions in eastern China render this area an important hotspot for studying 3 how cloud microphysical properties are affected by anthropogenic aerosols (Ding et al., 2013). In this 4 work, based on the near-simultaneous aerosol and cloud retrievals provided by MODIS, together with the 5 ERA Interim Reanalysis data, we investigated the effect of aerosol loading, using AOD as a proxy, on 6 aerosol-cloud interactions. Aerosol-cloud interaction was studied over three major urban clusters in 7 eastern China and over one area over the Eastern China Sea. These four areas are representative of 8 different climatic regions and pollution levels. Data over these four study areas were collected for the 9 years 2008 to 2017, and analyzed in a statistical sense. Both MODIS/Terra and MODIS/Aqua data were 10 used to study the difference in cloud properties between the morning and the early afternoon, i.e. with a 11 time difference of 3 hours.

12 In order to reduce differences in the initial distributions of cloud and meteorological parameters between 13 high and low AOD conditions at the start of the timestep, normalized histograms of these parameters 14 were made for high and low AOD conditions following the method described by Gryspeerdt et al., (2014). After that, the difference between cloud properties (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) in high and low 15 16 AOD conditions during the Terra overpass at 10:30 LT for each  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid was investigated. We looked 17 at statistics for the 10-years dataset and found that different regions with various aerosol emission levels, 18 aerosol types and different climate characteristics show different patterns of ACI. The ACI is more 19 complex over land (BTH, YRD and PRD) than over ocean (ECS). Next, the mean change in cloud 20 properties during the 3 hours between the observations in low and high AOD conditions, as provided by 21 the differences in the observations by MODIS/Terra (morning) and MODIS/Aqua (afternoon) 22 overpasses, were examined and differences were analyzed. The results show that the COT and CWP 23 over land and ocean were increased after the 3 hours timestep, irrespective of the initial AOD 24 conditions. Furthermore, we investigated the difference between the mean change in cloud properties 25 (CDR, COT, CWP, CF and CTP) in low and high AOD conditions between the two observations. We 26 found that the variation in d(Cloud\_X) is different for continental and oceanic clouds. This applies to 27 CDR, cloud fraction and CTP, but not to COT and CWP. Both COT and CWP increase over land and 28 ocean after the timestep, irrespective of the AOD. The variation trend of COT and CWP after 3 hours 29 depends little on the initial AOD, but the initial AOD conditions can affect the amplitude of variation of

1 COT and CWP. Constrained by relative humidity and boundary thermodynamic and dynamic conditions, 2 the variation of d(CF) in response to aerosol abundance over land was also analyzed. Two cases were 3 considered: (1) when the cloud cover increases under both low and high AOD conditions after the 3 hours 4 timestep; (2) when the cloud cover decreases under both low and high AOD conditions after the 3 hours 5 timestep. From both cases, we find that almost all d(CF) values are positive, indicating that the variations 6 of CF are larger in high AOD than that in low AOD after the 3 hours timestep. The results show that 7 cloud cover increases much more for high AOD under stronger upward motion of air parcels; Meanwhile, 8 the increase rate of cloud cover is larger for high AOD with increasing RH when RH greater than 20%. 9 With regarded to the effect of LTS on the change of cloud cover, scenes with large cloud fraction 10 variation experience large AOD and large LTS when LTS smaller than 10. Conversely, scenes with 11 smaller cloud fraction variation experience large AOD and large LTS when LTS larger than 10 and 12 smaller than 20. We also find that smaller increase rate of cloud fraction occurs when scenes 13 experience larger AOD and larger initial cloud cover.

In summary, whilst we have reduced the error due to meteorological effects on aerosol retrieval, meteorological covariation with the cloud and aerosol properties is harder to remove. As aerosol-cloud interaction is a complex problem, it is important to synergistically use multiple observation products and atmospheric models to explore the mechanisms of aerosol-cloud interaction. Therefore, further analysis can be carried out in future work.

19 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2016YFD0300101), Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences-A (No. XDA19030402), the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31571565,31671585), Key Basic Research Project of Shandong Natural Science Foundation of China (No. ZR2017ZB0422), and the 1-3-5 Innovation Project of RADI\_CAS (No. Y7SG0700CX). We are grateful to the easy access to MODIS data products provided by NASA. We also thank ECMWF for providing daily ERA Interim Reanalysis data.

#### 1 References

Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science, 245, 4923,
1227-1231, 1989.

4

# Andreae, M. O.: Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 2, 543-556 doi:10.5194/acp-9-543-2009, 2009.

8

Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J. H., Klimont, Z.: A technology-based
global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, Journal of Geophysical
Research: Atmospheres, 109(D14), 2004.

12

Ding, A. J., Fu, C. B., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Zheng, L. F., Xie, Y. N., Herrmann, E., Nie, W., Petäjä,
T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Ozone and fine particle in the western Yangtze River Delta: an
overview of 1 yr data at the SORPES station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5813–5830,
doi:10.5194/acp-13-5813-2013, 2013.

17

Feingold, G., Remer, L. A., Ramaprasad, J., and Kaufman, Y. J.: Analysis of smoke impact on clouds in
Brazilian biomass burning regions: an extension of Twomey's approach, J. Geophys. Res., 106,
22907-22922, 2001.

21

Grandey, B. S. and Stier, P.: A critical look at spatial scale choices in satellite-based aerosol indirect
 effect studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11459–11470, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11459-2010, 2010.

24

Gryspeerdt, E., Stier, P., and Partridge, D. G.: Satellite observations of cloud regime development: the
role of aerosol processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1141–1158, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1141-2014, 2014.

27

Guo, J. P., Zhang, X. Y., Wu, Y. R., Zhaxi, Y. Z., Che, H. Z., La, B., Wang, W., Li, X. W.:
Spatio-temporal variation trends of satellite-based aerosol optical depth in China during 1980–2008,
Atmospheric environment, 45(37): 6802-6811, 2011.

31

Hartmann, D. L., Ocker-Bell, M. E., Michelsen, M. L.: The effect of cloud type on Earth's energy
balance: Global analysis, Journal of Climate, 5(11): 1281-1304, 1992.

34

Jin, M. L. and Shepherd, J. M.: Aerosol relationships to warm season clouds and rainfall at monthly
scales over east China: Urban land versus ocean, JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, VOL.
113, D24S90, doi:10.1029/2008JD010276, 2008.

38

Jones, T. A., Christopher, S. A., and Quaas, J.: A six year satellitebased assessment of the regional
variations in aerosol indirect effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4091-4114, doi:10.5194/acp-9-4091-2009,
2009.

42

Kaufman, Y. J., Koren, I., Remer, L. A., Rosenfeld, D., and Rudich, Y.: The effect of smoke, dust, and
pollution aerosol on shallow cloud development over the Atlantic Ocean, PNAS, 102, 11207-11212,

1 2	doi:10.1073/pnas.0505191102, 2005.
2	Kaufman Y I and Koren I. Smoke and Pollution Aerosol Effect on Cloud Cover Science 313
4	655–658, doi:10.1126/science.1126232, 2006.
5	
6	Klein, S. A. and Hartmann, D. L.: The seasonal cycle of low stratiform clouds, J. Climate., 6,
7	1587-1606, 1993.
8	
9	Koren, I., Martins, J. V., Remer, L. A., and Afargan, H.: Smoke invigoration versus inhabitation of
10	clouds over the Amazon, Science, 321, 946-949, doi:10.1126/science.1159185, 2008.
11	
12	Koren, I., Feingold, G., and Remer, L. A.: The invigoration of deep convective clouds over the Atlantic:
13	aerosol effect, meteorology or retrieval artifact?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8855-8872,
14	doi:10.5194/acp-10-8855-2010, 2010.
15	
16	Kourtidis, K., Stathopoulos, S., Georgoulias, A. K., Alexandri, G., and Rapsomanikis, S.: A study of the
17	impact of synoptic weather conditions and water vapor on aerosol-cloud relationships over major
18	urban clusters of China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 10955-10964, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10955-2015,
19	2015.
20	
21	Krüger, O. and Graßl, H.: The indirect aerosol effect over Europe, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1925,
22	doi:10.1029/2001GL014081, 2002.
23	
24	Lei, Y., Zhang, Q., He, K. B., and Streets, D. G.: Primary anthropogenic aerosol emission trends for
25	China, 1990-2005, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 931-954, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-931-2011, 2011.
20	Lawy D.C. Damar I. A. Klaidman, D.C. Mattag, S. Jaholy, C. Kahn, D. and Eak, T. E. Clahal
27	evaluation of the Collection 5 MODIS dark target aerosol products over land Atmos Chem Phys. 10
20	10399-10420 doi:10.5194/acp-10-10399-2010 2010
30	10577 10+20, doi:10.5174/dep 10 10577 2010, 2010.
31	Li, Z., Lau, W. KM., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G., Ding, Y., Manoi, M. G., Liu, J., Oian, Y., Li, J., Zhou, T.,
32	Fan. J.: Aerosol and monsoon climate interactions over Asia Rev. Geophys. 54.
33	doi:10.1002/2015RG000500. 2016.
34	
35	Liu, Y., de Leeuw, G., Kerminen, VM., Zhang, J., Zhou, P., Nie, W., Qi, X., Hong, J., Wang, Y., Ding,
36	A., Guo, H., Krüger, O., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Analysis of aerosol effects on warm clouds over
37	the Yangtze River Delta from multi-sensor satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5623-5641,
38	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5623-2017, 2017.
39	
40	Loeb, N. G. and Schuster, G. L.: An observational study of the relationship between cloud, aerosol and
41	meteorology in broken lowlevel cloud conditions, J. Geophys. ResAtmos., 113, D14214,
42	doi:10.1029/2007JD009763, 2008.
43	
44	Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., Wang, S., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y. F., Wei, C., Chin, M., Diehl, T.,

1 and Tan, Q.: Sulfur dioxide emissions in China and sulfur trends in East Asia since 2000, Atmos. Chem.

2 Phys., 10, 6311-6331, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-6311-2010, 2010.

- 3
- Matheson, M. A., Coakley Jr., J. A., and Tahnk, W. R.: Aerosol and cloud property from relationships
  for summer stratiform clouds in the northeastern Atlantic from advanced very high resolution
  radiometer observations, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D24204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006165, 2005.
- 7
- Matsui, T., Masunaga, H., Kreidenweis, S. M., Pielke Sr., R. A., Tao, W.-K., Chin, M., and Kaufman, Y.
  J.: Satellite based assessment of marine low cloud variability associated with aerosol, atmospheric
  stability, and the diurnal cycle, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006097, 2006.
- 11
- Mauger, G. S. and J. R. Norris.: Meteorological bias in satellite estimates of aerosol-cloud relationships,
   Geophysical Research Letters, 34(16), 2007..
- 14
- Medeiros, B. and Stevens, B.: Revealing differences in GCM representations of low clouds, Clim.
  Dynam., 36, 385-399, 2011.
- 17
- 18 Menon, S., Del Genio, A. D., Kaufman, Y., Bennartz, R., Koch, D., Loeb, N., and Orlikowski, D.: 19 Analysis signatures of aerosolcloud interactions from satellite retrievals and the GISS GCM to 20 constrain the aerosol indirect effect, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113. D14S22, 21 doi:10.1029/2007jd009442, 2008.
- 22
- Meskhidze, N., et al. (2009).Exploring the differences in cloud properties observed by the Terra and
   Aqua MODIS sensors, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics Discussions, 9(1).
- 25
- Meskhidze, N. and Nenes, A.: Effects of ocean ecosystem on marine aerosol-cloud interaction, Adv.
  Meteorol., 2010, 239808, doi:10.1155/2010/239808, 2010.
- 28
- Michibata, T., Kawamoto, K., and Takemura, T.: The effects of aerosols on water cloud microphysics
  and macrophysics based on satellite-retrieved data over East Asia and the North Pacific, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 14, 11935–11948, doi:10.5194/acp-14-11935-2014, 2014.
- 32
- Platnick, S., King, M. D., Ackerman, S. A., Menzel, W. P., Baum, B. A., Riedi, J. C., and Frey, R. A.:
  The MODIS cloud products: algorithms and examples from Terra, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 41,
  459–473, 2003.
- 36
- Remer, L. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D. A., Martins, J. V., Li, R. R., Ichoku, C.,
  Levy, R. C., Kleidman, R. G., Eck, T. F., Vermote, E., and Holben, B. N.: The MODIS aerosol
  algorithm, products, and validation, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 947-973, doi:10.1175/JAS3385.1, 2005.
- 40
- 41 Reutter, P., Su, H., Trentmann, J., Simmel, M., Rose, D., Gunthe, S. S., Wernli, H., Andreae, M. O., and
- 42 Pöschl, U.: Aerosol- and updraft-limited regimes of cloud droplet formation: influence of particle
- 43 number, size and hygroscopicity on the activation of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), Atmos. Chem.
- 44 Phys., 9, 7067-7080, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7067-2009, 2009.

1	
2	Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, Science, 287,
3	1793-1796, 2000.
4	
5	Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Asmi, A., Chin, M., de Leeuw, G., Donovan, D., Kahn, R., Kinne, S.,
6	Kivekäs, N., Kulmala, M., Lau, W., Schmidt, S., Suni, T., Wagner, T., Wild, M., and Quaas, J.: Global
7	observations of aerosol-cloudprecipitation-climate interactions, Rev. Geophys., 52, 750-808,
8	doi:10.1002/2013RG000441, 2014.
9	
10	Saponaro, G., Kolmonen, P., Sogacheva, L., Rodriguez, E., Virtanen, T., and de Leeuw, G.: Estimates of
11	the aerosol indirect effect over the Baltic Sea region derived from 12 years of MODIS observations,
12	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 3133–3143, doi:10.5194/acp-17-3133-2017, 2017.
13	
14	Song, Y., Achberger, C., Linderholm, H.W.: Rain-season trends in precipitation and their effect in
15	different climate regions of China during 1961-2008, Environmental Research Letters, 6(3): 034025,
16	2011.
1/	
18	Sporre, M. K., Swietlicki, E., Glantz, P., and Kulmala, M.: A longterm satellite study of aerosol effects
19	on convective clouds in Nordic background air, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2203-2217, doi:10.5104/oor 14.2203-2014.2014
20	doi:10.5194/acp-14-2205-2014, 2014.
21	Stathonoulos S. Coorgoulias A. K. and Kourtidis K. Speecharma chearmations of corosol aloud
22	relations for cloud systems of different heights Atmos Pas. 183, 101, 201, 2017
23 24	relations for cloud systems of unreferr heights, Atmos. Res., 185, 191-201, 2017.
25	Stephens G. Vane, D. G. Boain, R. I. Mace, G. G. Sassen, K. Wang, Z. Illingworth, A. I. O'Connor
26	E I Rossow W B Durden S L Miller S D Austin R T Benedetti A and Mitrescu C: The
27	CloudSat mission and the A-Train: A new dimension of space-based observations of clouds and
28	precipitation. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 83, 1771-1790.
29	2002.
30	
31	Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered
32	system, Nature, 461, 607-613, doi:10.1038/nature08281, 2009.
33	
34	Streets, D. G., Gupta, S., Waldhoff, S. T., Wang, M. Q., Bond, T. C., Yiyun, B.: Black carbon emissions
35	in China, Atmospheric environment, 35(25): 4281-4296, 2001.
36	
37	Streets, D. G., Bond, T. C., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nelson, S.
38	M., Tsai, N. Y., Wang, M. Q., Woo, J. H., Yarber, K. F.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol
39	emissions in Asia in the year 2000, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108(D21), 2003.
40	
41	Streets, D. G., Yu, C., Wu, Y., Chin, M., Zhao, Z. C., Hayasaka, T., Shi, G. Y.: Aerosol trends over China,
42	1980-2000, Atmospheric Research, 88(2): 174-182, 2008.
43	

44 Su, W., Loeb, N. G., Xu, K.-M., Schuster, G. L., and Eitzen, Z. A.: An estimate of aerosol indirect effect

- from satellite measurements with concurrent meteorological analysis, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115,
   D18219, doi:10.1029/2010JD013948, 2010.
- 3
- Tang, J., Wang, P., Mickley, L. J., Xia, X., Liao, H., Yue, X., Sun, L., and Xia, J.: Positive relationship
  between liquid cloud droplet effective radius and aerosol optical depth over Eastern China from
  satellite data, Atmos. Environ., 84, 244-253, 2014.
- 7
- 8 Twomey, S.: Pollution and the planetary albedo, Atmos. Environ., 41, 120-125, 1974.
- 9

Twomey, S.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 34,1149-1152, 1977.

12

Wang, F., Guo, J., Zhang, J., Wu, Y., Zhang, X., Deng, M., and Li, X.: Satellite observed
aerosol-induced variability in warm cloud properties under different meteorological conditions over
eastern China, Atmos. Environ., 84, 122-132, 2014.

16

17 Webb, M. J., Senior, C. A., Sexton, D. M. H., Ingram, W. J., Williams, K. D., Ringer, M. A., McAvaney,

- B. J., Colman, R., Soden, B. J., Gudgel, R., Knutson, T., Emori, S., Ogura, T., Tsushima, Y., Andronova,
  N., Li, B., Musat, I., Bony, S., Taylor, K. E.: On the contribution of local feedback mechanisms to the
- 20 range of climate sensitivity in two GCM ensembles, Climate dynamics, 27(1): 17-38, 2006.
- 21

Wood, R., and Bretherton, C. S.: On the relationship between Stratiform Low Cloud Cover and
 Lower-Tropospheric Stability, Journal of Climate, 6425-6432, 2006.

24

Xiong, X., Wu, A., and Cao, C (2008). On-orbit calibration and inter-comparison of Terra and Aqua
MODIS surface temperature spectral bands, Int. J. Remote Sens., 29, 5347-5359,
doi:10.1080/01431160802036300.

- 28
- Yuan, T., Li, Z., Zhang, R., and Fan, J.: Increase of cloud droplet size with aerosol optical depth: an
  observation and modeling study, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D04201, doi:10.1029/2007JD008632, 2008.
- 31
- 32 Zhao, C.S., Tie, X.X., Lin, Y.P.: A possible positive feedback of reduction of precipitation and increase
- 33 in aerosols over eastern central China, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L11814, doi:
- 34 10.1029/2006GL025959, 2006.
- 35