1 Foreign and domestic contributions to springtime ozone over China

2 Ruijing Ni¹, Jintai Lin¹, Yingying Yan¹, Weili Lin²

Correspondence to: J.-T. Lin (linjt@pku.edu.cn)

¹Laboratory for Climate and Ocean-Atmosphere Studies, Department of Atmospheric
 and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
 ²College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Minzu University of China, Beijing
 100081, China

10

9

Abstract. China is facing a severe ozone problem, but the origin of its ozone remains 11 12 unclear. Here we use a GEOS-Chem based global-regional two-way coupled model 13 system to quantify the individual contributions of eight emission source regions 14 worldwide to springtime ozone in 2008 over China. The model reproduces the observed 15 ozone from 31 ground sites and various aircraft and ozonesonde measurements in China and nearby countries, with a mean bias of 10-15% both near the surface and in the 16 troposphere. We then combine zero-out simulations, tagged ozone simulations, and a 17 linear weighting approach to accounting for the effect of nonlinear chemistry on ozone 18 19 source attribution. We find considerable contributions of total foreign anthropogenic 20 emissions to surface ozone over China (2-11 ppb). For ozone averaged over China of 21 anthropogenic origin, foreign regions together contribute 40-50% below the height of 22 2 km and 85% in the upper troposphere. For total foreign anthropogenic emissions 23 contributed ozone over China at various heights, the portion of transboundary ozone 24 produced within foreign emission source regions is less than 50%, with the rest 25 produced by precursors transported out of those source regions. Japan and Korea 26 contribute 0.6–2.1 ppb of surface ozone over the east coastal regions. South-East Asia 27 contributes 1–5 ppb over much of southern China and South Asia contributes up to 5– 28 10 ppb of surface ozone over border of southwestern China; and their contributions 29 increase with height due to strong upwelling over the source regions. European contribution reaches 2.1–3.0 ppb for surface ozone over the northern border of China 30 31 and 1.5 ppb in the lower troposphere averaged over China. North America contributes 32 0.9–2.7 ppb of surface ozone over most of China (1.5–2.1 ppb over the North China 33 Plain), with a China average at 1.5–2.5 ppb at different heights below 8 km, due to its 34 large anthropogenic emissions and the transport-favorable mid-latitude westerly. In 35 addition to domestic emission control, global emission reduction is critical for China's 36 ozone mitigation.

37 1. Introduction

38 Ozone is an important atmospheric oxidant and the primary source of the hydroxyl 39 radical (OH). At the surface, ozone also damages human health and reduces crop yield. China is currently facing a severe ozone pollution problem, with measured maximum 40 41 hourly ozone exceeding 200 ppb in many cities (Wang et al., 2006; Xue et al., 2014). 42 Even in the remote areas of western China, measured daily mean concentrations of ozone exceed 50 ppb frequently (Xue et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2015). Xu et al. (2016) 43 44 showed that daytime ozone at Waliguan, a global background station, grew significantly from 1994 to 2013 at a rate of 0.24 ± 0.16 ppb year⁻¹. The severe ozone 45 46 problem is largely associated with growth in anthropogenic emissions of nitrogen 47 oxides (NOx) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC). Chinese anthropogenic NOx emissions increased at a rate of 7.9% year⁻¹ from 2000 to 2010 48 49 (Zhao et al., 2013); and its anthropogenic NMVOC emissions increased from 22.45 Tg 50 in 2008 to 29.85 Tg in 2012 (Wu et al., 2016).

51 Ozone has a lifetime of several days to weeks in the troposphere (Young et al., 52 2013; Yan et al., 2016), which makes its long-distance transport across regions and even continents possible. Many observational and modeling studies have showed substantial 53 trans-Pacific and trans-Atlantic transport of ozone and precursors (Jacob et al., 1999; 54 55 Derwent et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2008; Cooper et al., 2010; Verstraeten et al., 2016). The 56 trans-Pacific transport of East Asian air pollutants enhances springtime surface ozone 57 concentrations over the western United States by 1-5 ppb (Zhang et al., 2008; Brown-58 Steiner and Hess, 2011; Lin et al., 2012b; Lin et al., 2014). Auvray and Bey (2005) 59 reported that North American and Asian ozone account for 10.9% and 7.7% of ozone 60 over Europe, respectively. The Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (HTAP) project studied the trans-continental pollution, by model sensitivity simulations applying a 20% 61 perturbation in anthropogenic emissions in four regions (North America, Europe, South 62 Asia, and East Asia, each defined as a broad rectangle-shaped area) (HTAP, 2010). 63 64 HTAP showed that the annual average impact of North American emissions on East 65 Asian surface ozone is comparable to the impact of East Asian emissions on North 66 America (0.22 ppb averaged over each rectangular region).

Several studies investigated the influence of transboundary transport on surface ozone 67 over Chinese territory (Wang et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016b; Zhu et al., 68 69 2016; Yin et al., 2017). Wang et al. (2011) used tagged ozone simulations with GEOS-70 Chem to study the global production of surface ozone over China for 2006. They 71 showed that in spring 2006, tropospheric ozone produced over India contributed up to 72 6 ppb to surface ozone over western China; and that ozone produced over Europe and 73 North America each contributed 2-5 ppb of ozone over northeastern China and North 74 China. Using an emission zero-out method with MOZART simulations (i.e., without 75 versus with emissions), Li et al. (2014) reported that modeled trans-Eurasian ozone 76 transport enhanced surface ozone over northwestern China by 2–6 ppb in spring 2000. 77 Using tagged ozone simulations with MOZART, Zhu et al. (2016) revealed significant 78 springtime ozone transport (~ 6 ppb) from Europe and Africa to Waliguan averaged 79 from 1997 to 2007 and 3-5 ppb ozone from North and South America together. Using a tagged ozone method based on the Nested Air Quality Prediction Modeling System 80 81 (NAQPMS), Li et al. (2016) found 0.5-3.0 ppb of ozone over northeastern China 82 produced over the Korean peninsula in 2010. Based on observational and back-83 trajectory analyses, Yin et al. (2016) found that ozone at the Nam Co site over Tibet in 84 spring is greatly affected by anthropogenic contributions from South Asia.

85 Transboundary ozone due to precursor emissions of a source region can be produced both within and outside the source region. The two mechanisms contribute roughly 86 equally for the case of trans-Pacific ozone from East Asia to the western United States 87 88 (Zhang et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2016). And the ozone production along the transport 89 pathway is largely associated with thermal dissociation of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) 90 that has been formed in the boundary layer of the NOx emission source region. The 91 transport of ozone precursors means that ozone produced within a region (from emitted 92 and transported precursors worldwide) differs from ozone produced from that region's 93 emissions. This difference affects how ozone over a receptor region is attributed to other 94 regions (Wang et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014). It is thus important that the contribution of ozone produced at a "producing region" from emissions of a source region be quantified 95 96 explicitly.

97 Here we simulate the contributions of anthropogenic emissions in individual regions 98 across the globe to ozone at various heights over China. As typically assumed, 99 anthropogenic contributions are associated with anthropogenic NOx, carbon monoxide 100 (CO) and NMVOC emissions, excluding the effect of methane. We use a GEOS-Chem 101 based two-way coupled modeling system (Yan et al., 2014; 2016) that integrates an 102 Asian nested model and a global model in a sense of two-way exchange, which better 103 simulates multi-scale interactions between the nested and global domains. Our study is 104 focused on spring 2008, in which season a comprehensive set of ground, aircraft and 105 ozonesonde measurements over China is available for model evaluation. Also, transboundary transport of ozone is most significant in spring due to active cyclonic 106 107 activities and strong westerly winds (Liang et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2011; HATP, 108 2010).

109 We explicitly identify ozone produced in 10 individual regions of the world from anthropogenic precursor emissions in each of eight source regions. These 10 producing 110 regions include the troposphere of the eight emitters, the troposphere of total oceanic 111 regions, and the stratosphere. For this purpose, we combine the emission zero-out 112 113 method and the tagged ozone approach (Wang et al., 1998). The zero-out or similar emission perturbation methods are widely used to quantify the contribution of 114 emissions in a source region to a receptor region as a combined result of the two 115 116 production-transport mechanisms aforementioned (Lin et al., 2008; HTAP, 2010; Lin et al 2012a; Li et al., 2014). The tagged ozone approach quantifies the ozone produced
in any designated region with no information about whether the associated precursors
are emitted in that region or are transported from somewhere else (Wang et al., 1998;
Wang et al., 2011; Li et al., 2016b). To account for ozone production nonlinearity, we
use a simple linear weighting method to adjusting simulation results, similar to Li et al.
(2016a).

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents model simulations, 123 124 measurement data, and the ozone source attribution method. Section 3 evaluates the 125 modeled ozone and CO using ground, aircraft and ozonesonde observations. Section 4 126 analyzes the modeled contributions to near-surface ozone over China by natural sources 127 as well as anthropogenic emissions in individual regions. Section 5 shows the ozone 128 source attribution at different heights of the troposphere. For each emission source 129 region, it also separates the contribution of ozone produced within that source region from the contribution produced outside of that source region. Section 6 concludes the 130 131 study.

132 **2.** Model simulations, measurements, and source attribution method

133 2.1 Two-way coupled GEOS-Chem modeling system

134 The two-way coupled system (Yan et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2016) is built upon version 135 9-02 of GEOS-Chem (http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-chem/index.php/Main_Page). Here we couple the global GEOS-Chem model (at 2.5° long. \times 2° lat.) with its 136 nested model covering Asia (70°E–150°E, 11°S–55°N, at 0.667° long. \times 0.5° lat.). 137 Through the PeKing University CouPLer (PKUCPL) for two-way coupling, for every 138 139 three hours the global model provides lateral boundary conditions for the nested 140 model, while the nested model results replace the global model results within the 141 nested domain (Yan et al., 2014; 2016). Both models are driven by the GEOS-5 142 assimilated meteorological fields at respective horizontal resolutions from National 143 Aeronautics and Space Administration Global Modeling and Assimilation Office. 144 There are 47 vertical layers for both models, and the lowest 10 layers are about 130 m 145 thick each. 146 Both the global and nested GEOS-Chem models include the full gaseous HOx-Ox-147 NOx-CO-NMVOC chemistry (Mao et al., 2013) and online aerosol calculations, with 148 further updates detailed in Lin et al. (2012) and Yan et al. (2016). As aromatics are not 149 explicitly represented in the model, following Lin et al. (2012), we approximate the 150 ozone production of aromatics by increasing anthropogenic emissions of propene by a factor of four, based on their reactivity differences, their similarity in emission spatial 151 152 variability, and recently estimated emission amounts of aromatics (Liu et al., 2010). We 153 use the Linoz scheme for ozone production in the stratosphere (McLinden et al., 2000). We adjust the stratospheric production rate in the nested model to ensure that the 154 155 stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) of ozone in the nested model matches the 156 STE in the global model over the same nested domain (Yan et al., 2016). Vertical

mixing in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) is parameterized by a non-local scheme(Holtslag and Boville, 1993; Lin and McElroy, 2010), and convection in the model

159 employs the relaxed Arakawa-Schubert scheme (Moorthi and Suarez, 1992).

160 Table 1 lists the emission inventories used here. Global anthropogenic emissions of NOx and CO in 2008 are from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research 161 (EDGAR v4.2). Anthropogenic NMVOC emissions are from the REanalysis of 162 TROpospheric chemical composition (RETRO) inventory for 2000. Anthropogenic 163 164 emissions over China, the rest of Asia, the United States, Canada, Mexico and Europe 165 are replaced by regional inventories MEIC (for 2008), INTEX-B (for 2006), NEI2005 166 (for 2005), CAC (for 2008), BRAVO (for 1999) and EMEP (for 2007), respectively. 167 Emissions of CO and NOx are scaled to 2008 in the United States and to 2006 in Mexico. 168 (http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-169 chem/index.php/Scale factors for anthropogenic emissions). We use daily biomass 170 burning emissions from Global Fire Emission Database version 3 (GFED3) (van der 171 Werf et al., 2010). Biogenic emissions of NMVOC are calculated online based on the MEGAN v2.1 scheme (Guenther et al., 2012). For lightning NOx emissions, flash rates 172

are calculated based on the cloud top height and constrained by climatological satellite observations (Murray et al., 2012), and the vertical profile of emitted NOx follows Otto

observations (Murray et al., 2012), and the vertical profile of emitted NOx follows Otto
et al. (2010). Online calculation of soil NOx emissions follows Hudman et al. (2012).

176 2.2 Zero-out simulations, tagged ozone simulations, and weighted adjustment

177 Table 2 presents 10 full-chemistry simulations to quantify Chinese and foreign anthropogenic contributions to springtime ozone over China in 2008. A base simulation 178 179 (CTL) includes all emissions. The second simulation excludes anthropogenic NOx, CO 180 and NMVOC emissions worldwide to determine the natural ozone (xANTH). Eight 181 additional simulations exclude anthropogenic emissions over China (xCH), Japan and 182 Korea (xJAKO), South-East Asia (xSEA), South Asia (xSA), Rest of Asia (xROA), 183 Europe (xEU), North America (xNA) and Rest of World (xROW), respectively (see 184 regional definitions in Fig. 1). All simulations cover November 2007 through May 2008, 185 with the first four months used for spin-up, except for additional CTL simulations in 186 other years for model evaluation purposes.

Table 2 also shows 10 tagged simulations (denoted as T_CTL, T_xANTH, etc.) with respect to CTL and other eight zero-out sensitivity simulations. Each tagged simulation includes 10 tracers to track ozone produced within the troposphere of eight source regions, produced within the troposphere of the oceanic regions, or transported from the stratosphere. Considering the time for STE of air, all tagged ozone simulations are spun up for 10 years.

Ozone production is nonlinearly dependent on its precursors, adding uncertainties to
the source attribution calculated by emission perturbation methods (Wu et al., 2009).
To account for this issue, we use a linear weighting method to adjust all ozone
attribution results, unless stated otherwise. Below is an example to determine the

197 contribution from Chinese anthropogenic emissions (here Ci represents the sensitivity 198 simulation for one of the eight emission source regions). The adjustment is done for 199 each grid cell over China. Equation 1 calculates the fractional Chinese contribution (α) 200 to the sum of ozone from individual anthropogenic source regions and from natural 201 sources; the simulations involved are all full-chemistry runs (CTL, xCH, xEU, ..., 202 xANTH). Equation 2 applies the fractional contribution α to the total ozone in CTL to 203 obtain the final adjusted Chinese contribution.

204
$$\alpha = \frac{\text{Con(CTL)-Con(xCH)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{8} [\text{Con(CTL)-Con(Ci)}] + \text{Con(xANTH)}}$$
(1)

205
$$C_{CH} = \alpha \times Con(CTL) = \frac{Con(CTL) - Con(xCH)}{\sum_{i=1}^{8} [Con(CTL) - Con(Ci)] + Con(xANTH)} \times Con(CTL)$$
(2)

Figure 2a shows the spatial distribution of the ratio of total surface ozone in CTL to 206 207 the pre-linear-weighting-adjustment sum of natural ozone, domestic anthropogenic 208 ozone and foreign anthropogenic ozone. The ratio is close to unity over central and 209 western China. Over most of the eastern regions, the ratio is between 1.05 and 1.10, 210 although it can reach 1.30 at a few locations. Figure 2b further compares the vertical 211 profile of China average total ozone in CTL and the profile of pre-linear-weighting-212 adjustment sum of natural ozone, domestic anthropogenic ozone and foreign 213 anthropogenic ozone. The difference between the two profiles is rather small. These 214 results suggest relative small effects of chemical nonlinearity. And the linear weighting 215 adjustment further removes these effects.

- A similar approach was used by Li et al. (2016a) to estimate the contribution of China
- to global radiative forcing, although in their study 20% (instead of 100%) of emissions
- 218 over individual emission source regions are removed in the sensitivity simulations.
- 219 2.3 Measurements
- 220 This study presents model evaluation over China and its neighboring countries in spring.
- 221 We also evaluate the simulation of CO, a relatively long-lived transport tracer. Figure
- 222 3 shows the suite of ground, aircraft and ozonesonde measurements.

223 2.3.1 Surface measurements

Measurements from a total of 32 ground sites are used here; see Tables 3 and 4 for 224 225 geographical information. Routine observations of ozone and CO in China were 226 scarcely available before 2013. Hourly data are available for this study from five 227 rural/background sites across China maintained by the Chinese Meteorological 228 Administration (Xu et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2009; Fang et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2014). 229 These sites include a rural site (Gucheng over North China Plain), three regional 230 background sites (Longfengshan over the northeast, Lin'an over the east, and Shangri-231 La over the southwest), and a Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) background site 232 (Waliguan over the west). Data are available for 2007 at Gucheng and Longfengshan and for 2008 at other three sites.

We also use hourly ozone and CO measurements in spring 2008 from six GAW
background sites in the vicinity of China from the World Date Center for Greenhouse
Gases (WDCGG, <u>http://ds.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/wdcgg/cgi-bin/wdcgg/catalogue.cgi</u>).
These sites include Issyk-Kul in Kyrgyzstan, Everest-Pyramid in Nepal, Bukit Koto
Tabang in Indonesia, and Yonagunijima, Tsukuba and Ryori in Japan.

239 To obtain a more comprehensive observation dataset for model evaluation, we further 240 use monthly mean ozone data in spring 2008 from 15 remote/rural sites from the Acid 241 Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET. 242 http://www.eanet.asia/product/index.html). We also collect monthly ozone observation data at six sites over China from the literature, including data at three mountain sites 243 244 (Mts. Tai, Hua, and Huang).

245 2.3.2 Measurements of vertical profiles

To evaluate vertical distribution of ozone and CO over China, we use observations from
the Measurements of Ozone and Water Vapor by Airbus In-Service Aircraft (MOZAIC)
program (Marenco et al., 1998). Data during both ascending and descending processes
of the aircrafts are available during spring 2000–2005 at three airports (Beijing,
Shanghai, and Hong Kong). The vertical resolution is 150 m.

251 We further use the ozonesonde data at six sites in spring 2008 from the World Ozone 252 Ultraviolet Date Center and (WOUDC. 253 http://www.woudc.org/data/explore.php?lang=en) operated by the Meteorological 254 Service of Canada. The six sites include Hanoi in Vietnam, Hong Kong in China, 255 Sepang Airport in Malaysia, and Sapporo, NAHA and Tateno in Japan. Ozonesondes 256 are launched every few days, thus the data are relatively scarce. We also use the GPSO3 257 ozonesonde data in spring 2008 over Beijing measured by the Institute of Atmospheric 258 Physics (IAP) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Wang et al., 2012). All ozonesonde 259 measurements were launched at around 14:00 local time.

260 **3. Model evaluation**

Here we focus on model evaluation over China and its neighboring area in spring.
Global ozone evaluation of the two-way coupled model system is detailed in Yan et al.
(2016) using 1420 ground sites, various aircraft observations and satellite
measurements, although the observations over China are sparse.

265 3.1 Surface ozone and CO over China and nearby countries

Figure 4 compares the springtime time series of modeled (solid red line) and observed (solid black line) maximum daily average 8-hour (MDA8) ozone concentrations at 10 sites with daily measurements. Model data are sampled at times and locations coincident with valid observations.

Figure 4a–b evaluates the model results at Gucheng and Longfengshan. To compare to observations in spring 2007 at these two sites, we conduct an additional full chemistry simulation for 2007. At these sites, the model captures the observed MDA8 ozone, with a normalized mean bias (NMB) of 3% at Gucheng and 5% at Longfengshan. The respective correlation coefficients (R) for day-to-day variability are 0.51 and 0.59; the modest correlation is primarily because the model does not capture a few short-term spikes.

277 At Lin'an (Fig. 4c), the modeled spring average MDA8 ozone matches the observed value (68.9 ppb versus 65.1 ppb, R = 0.64). The model cannot reproduce the observed 278 279 extreme low values on several days. This deficiency is likely due to representative errors of model meteorology. Located in a hilly area, this site often receives rains and 280 281 fogs in spring, which is not captured by the model meteorology at a resolution of 0.667° 282 long. $\times 0.5^{\circ}$ lat. We find that the extremely low observed ozone values normally occur on days with high relative humidity (black dashed line, reflecting rainy or foggy days), 283 284 when the model underestimates RH (red dashed line) and overestimates ozone.

285 At Shangri-La, Waliguan and Issyk-Kul (Fig. 4d–f), with high altitudes (1640–3816 m) 286 and little local anthropogenic sources, the model overestimates the MDA8 ozone by 7-8 ppb (12-14%). At Everest-Pyramid in Nepal (Fig. 4g, at 5079 m altitude), the 287 overestimate reaches 13 ppb (19%). These positive biases are due to overestimated 288 289 transport from the free troposphere and stratosphere. The model captures the temporal 290 variability of MDA8 ozone quite well (R = 0.72-0.78) at the three Japanese sites 291 (Yonagunijima, Tsukuba and Ryori, Fig. 4h-j). Its NMB is within 3% at Yonagunijima and Ryori. There is an overestimate at Tsukuba (NMB = 19%), mostly reflecting the 292 293 large positive biases on a few days.

Table 4 shows model comparisons with monthly mean EANET ozone data. These data represent daily mean rather than MDA8 values, based on the availability of observations. At seven sites, the model results exceed the observations with a mean difference by 7 ppb (16%). At the other eight sites, the model results are smaller than the observations with a mean difference by 7 ppb (11%). These differences reflect model biases as well as a sampling bias due to lack of knowledge on which days contain valid observations.

301 Table 4 further compares the modeled monthly mean daily mean ozone in spring 2008 302 to the observations in various years collected from the literature. Again, the comparison is affected by a sampling bias. Although not our primary focus, this extended 303 comparison gives a sense of how model ozone is situated in the general ozone pollution 304 305 phenomena in China. The model reproduces the average magnitude of ozone at the three mountainous sites (Mts. Tai, Hua and Huang) with a mean bias below 5 ppb (9%). 306 The model has a large overestimate by 48% at the Hok Tsui coastal rural site in Hong 307 Kong (36.0 versus 53.4 ppb), although the times are different (2008 versus 1994–2007). 308

Wang et al. (2009) shows that the springtime ozone concentration at this site increased from 1994 to 2007 at a rate of 0.41 ppb/yr, partly explaining this difference. The remaining difference may reflect that the model resolution is not able to represent the complex local terrain and land-sea contrast at this site. The model overestimates ozone at an urban site in Nanjing by 16%, although the observations were made in 2000–2002 when Chinese anthropogenic emissions of NOx were only about half of those in 2008 (Xia et al., 2016).

316 We also evaluate the modeled daily average CO at six sites within and outside China with available hourly observations (Fig. 5). Overall, the model captures the day-to-day 317 318 variability of daily mean CO fairly well (R = 0.40 at Lin'an, 0.60 at Shangri-La, 0.56 319 at Ryori, and 0.73–0.82 at other three sites). It has a small mean bias (within 4%) at Bukit Koto Tabang and Ryori, although with negative biases (by 13–33%) at other four 320 321 sites. Such an underestimate is typical in global simulations (Young et al., 2013), and 322 it may be related to excessive OH (Young et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2014; 2016) and/or underestimated emissions (Kopacz et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011). As compared to the 323 324 coarse-resolution global model alone, our two-way coupling results in less CO 325 underestimate (Yan et al., 2014), although it does not eliminate the bias.

326 *3.2 Vertical profiles of ozone and CO*

327 Figure 6a-c compares modeled ozone in 2008 to MOZAIC data over 2000-2005 at the 328 airports of Beijing, Shanghai and Hong Kong. Although model and MOZAIC data are in different years, to achieve best sampling consistency, we sample the model results at 329 330 times of day when the commercial aircrafts take off or land in with available MOZAIC 331 data. The timing information is shown in Fig. 6. GEOS-Chem reproduces the vertical 332 gradient of MOZIAC ozone in general. The model underestimates MOZIAC ozone in the PBL over Beijing Airport mainly due to inconsistent temporal sampling, as further 333 334 comparison with GPSO3 ozonesonde data (Bian et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2012), where 335 model results are sampled at times coincident with the observations, shows little model bias (within 4%, Fig. 6g). Over Hong Kong, the model captures the weak vertical 336 gradient between 2 km and 11 km, although it has a positive bias below 2 km due to its 337 338 inability to capture the complex terrains and local pollution source characteristics 339 around the airport. The model overestimates ozone in the middle and upper troposphere 340 over Shanghai, with larger biases at higher altitudes, likely indicating too strong STE. 341 Other causes may include differences in meteorology and growth in emissions between 342 2000–2005 and 2008, as discussed for the surface ozone in Sect. 3.1.

Figure 7 compares the modeled ozone profiles to WOUDC data at six sites. Here model
results are sampled at ozonesonde launch times, and ozonesonde data are regridded to
match the model vertical resolution. Overall, GEOS-Chem captures the vertical
gradient of ozone fairly well. The model reproduces the overall weak vertical gradients
at Hanoi, Hong Kong, Sepang and NAHA. It also reproduces the rapid increases above
8 km at Sapporo and Tateno, although it has positive biases at 10–20 ppb. GEOS-Chem

reproduces the observed middle and upper tropospheric ozone at Hong Kong andSepang, although it has an overestimate in the lower troposphere, consistent with the

bias shown in Fig. 6c.

352 Figure 6d-f also compares the modeled CO with the MOZAIC data. Similar to the

- 353 evaluation results for surface CO, GEOS-Chem generally underestimates the MOZAIC
- 354 CO at most heights above the three airports, although it captures the vertical shape fairly
- 355 well.

356 3.3 Summarizing remark on model evaluation

Our simulation has a small NMB for surface ozone, at about 10% averaged over 10 357 358 sites with hourly data (Fig. 4 and Table 3) and about 15% averaged over 21 sites with 359 monthly data from EANET and the literature (Table 4). The model also captures the 360 general vertical distribution of ozone at ten places over China and nearby regions, with 361 a tropospheric mean bias at 12%. These agreements allow using the model for source 362 attribution studies in the next sections. On the other hand, with a horizontal resolution 363 of about 50 km over Asia, the model often fails to simulate the complex terrains, local 364 meteorological conditions, and/or local emission characteristics at several hilly or airport sites. The model also tends to overestimate the STE influences over Asia. 365 366 Addressing these issues warrant future research with improved model resolutions and 367 STE representation.

368 GEOS-Chem tends to underestimate CO over Asia (by 20% on average), similar to 369 many other models (Kopacz et al., 2010; Young et al., 2013). We conduct a sensitivity 370 simulation by doubling Chinese anthropogenic CO emissions, which result in a slight 371 increase in surface ozone by 0.1–0.4 ppb and 2–3 ppb over clean and polluted areas of 372 China, respectively. The low sensitivity of ozone to CO emissions was also found by 373 Jiang et al. (2015). We thus conclude that our ozone simulations over China are 374 influenced insignificantly by the underestimate in CO.

4. Source attribution modeling for surface ozone over China

376 *4.1 Total, background and natural ozone*

Figure 8a shows the modeled spatial distribution of near-surface daily mean ozone in
spring 2008 over China from all natural and anthropogenic sources, i.e., the CTL case.
Ozone concentrations reach 75–80 ppb over the southern Tibetan Plateau, and they are
minimum (25–40 ppb) over the North China Plain and many populous cities across
eastern China. Ozone are about 45–60 ppb over the vast southeast, northwest and
northeast.

The simulated natural ozone (i.e., without anthropogenic emissions worldwide, the xANTH case) shows a strong gradient from the southern Tibetan Plateau (65–75 ppb) to the northwest (35–40 ppb) and the east (20–35 ppb) (Fig. 8c). Wang et al. (2011) shows similar gradients of natural ozone in 2006. Natural ozone contributes 80–90% of total surface ozone over Tibet and the northwest with low local anthropogenic emissions. The large natural ozone concentrations over Tibet are a result of vertical transport from the free troposphere and stratosphere due to its high altitudes and hilly terrains (that are conducive to vertical exchange) (Ding and Wang, 2006;Lin et al., 2015;Xu et al., 2017). They pose potential threats for public health and ecosystems there.

The simulated background ozone (i.e., without Chinese anthropogenic emissions, the xCH case) is shown in Fig. 8b. The background ozone is higher than the natural ozone by 2–11 ppb over most Chinese regions (Fig. 9b). This indicates large influences of foreign anthropogenic emissions through atmospheric transport of ozone and its precursors, as discussed in detail below.

398 4.2 Domestic versus foreign anthropogenic contributions to ozone

399 Figure 9a shows the spatial distribution of domestic anthropogenic contributions to daily mean surface ozone over China (difference between the control run and the 400 401 sensitivity simulation, CTL - xCH, followed by a linear weighting adjustment). Over 402 most of the west and northeast, Chinese anthropogenic emissions are relatively low, 403 and they result in ozone concentrations by 0-4 ppb. In contrast, domestic contributions 404 reach 16-25 ppb over the south due to more emissions and favorable conditions for 405 photochemistry. Over the North China Plain and many populous cities, Chinese 406 anthropogenic emissions lead to reductions (instead of enhancements) of surface ozone. 407 This is because of a weak ozone production efficiency and a strong titration effect by excessive domestic NOx emissions. Figure 9d–f shows that when $Ox (= O_3 + NO_2)$ is 408 409 considered, Chinese anthropogenic contributions vary from 2-4 ppb over the west to 410 6–12 ppb over the North China Plain and to 20–35 ppb over the southeast (Fig. 9d).

411 Figure 9b shows the simulated contributions to Chinese surface ozone by all foreign anthropogenic emissions. Foreign contributions reach 7–11 ppb along much of Chinese 412 413 borders, and they exceed 6 ppb over the vast northern regions. The foreign contribution 414 reduces from the border to the inner areas, with a minimum (2-3 ppb) over the Sichuan 415 Basin where the air is more isolated. In terms of anthropogenic ozone, foreign 416 contributions account for up to 90% over most of western and northeastern China (Fig. 417 9c), consistent with the findings by Li et al. (2015) for western China in 2000. Foreign 418 anthropogenic contributions to Ox over China are similar to their contributions to ozone 419 (Fig. 9e), except at places with strong Chinese NOx emissions that lead to titration of 420 ozone.

Figure 10 further shows the contributions to Chinese surface ozone by anthropogenic emissions in seven individual foreign regions. The pattern of influence differs among these source regions due to differences in the location of source region, emission magnitude, pollutant lifetimes and transport pathways. Anthropogenic emissions in Japan and Korea result in 0.6–2.1 ppb of ozone enhancement along the Chinese coast. 426 The tagged ozone simulation with NAQPMS by Li et al. (2016) also showed that about 427 0.5–3.0 ppb of ozone over northeastern China in spring 2010 were produced over Korea peninsula, although there is a difference between ozone produced over a region and 428 429 ozone produced from that region's emissions. Emissions from South-East Asia 430 contribute 1-5 ppb over much of the southern provinces. Emissions from South Asia 431 mostly affect southwestern China and Tibet (by up to 5–10 ppb over the border), due to 432 effective transport by strong southwesterly associated with the Indian Monsoon. The 433 "Rest of Asia" consists of many countries to the west of China, whose total 434 contributions are about 2–5 ppb over much of northwestern China.

- 435 European anthropogenic emissions contribute 2.1-3.0 ppb of ozone along the northern 436 border of China. The contributions decrease southward, and are above 1 ppb over half 437 of Chinese land areas. The Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers (MOZART) 438 simulations by Li et al. (2015) also showed a European contribution by 2 ppb to surface 439 ozone over North China in 2000. North American anthropogenic emissions increase 440 ozone by 1.8–2.7 ppb over much of western China, by 1.5–2.1 ppb over the populous 441 North China Plain, and by less than 0.9 ppb over the south. The contributions are 442 smaller than springtime Asian anthropogenic influences on western North America 443 (e.g., 1–5 ppb averaged over 2001–2005 (Brown-Steiner and Hess, 2011b)), although 444 the affected population is larger by roughly an order of magnitude.
- Influences from "Rest of World" are about 0.6–1.2 ppb over Tibet and smaller over
 other Chinese land territory. The larger values over Tibet reflect its higher altitude and
 greater sensitivity to long-range transport via the free troposphere.

Figure 11a shows whether domestic or foreign anthropogenic contributions are higher at individual locations. Domestic anthropogenic contributions are higher than foreign contributions over southern China and parts of northern China. However, foreign anthropogenic contributions exceed domestic contributions over western China and most of the north, including the populated North China Plain. Over western China, foreign emissions contribute 70–90% of the total anthropogenic ozone (Fig. 9c).

Figure 11b further highlights the largest foreign contributor to surface anthropogenic ozone at each location of China. North America is the largest foreign contributor over about half of Chinese land territory, including the populated North China Plain. Europe is the largest foreign contributor for the vast northeastern region, Rest of Asia for the western border region, South Asia for southwestern China, South-East Asia for southern China, and Japan and Korea for the eastern coast of China.

460 *4.3 Discussion of source attribution with an alternative 20% perturbation method, on*

461 *extreme ozone, and on other years*

The HTAP and several other studies have used 20% perturbation simulations (i.e., reducing anthropogenic emissions in each source region by 20%) to study the transboundary ozone problem. Such studies are source-receptor analyses that are more 465 relevant to the question of how much a modest cut in foreign emissions would reduce ozone pollution over a targeted receptor region. To compare with such a method, here 466 467 we ran one more set of full chemistry simulations by decreasing 20% anthropogenic 468 emissions over each of the eight emission source regions (see the detailed information 469 in Table A2). We also applied the linear weighting method to account for the non-470 linearity of ozone chemistry. Figures 9a and 12a compare the Chinese anthropogenic 471 contributed ozone calculated from zero-out and from 20%-perturbation simulations. 472 Compared to the zero-out method, the 20% perturbation method leads to less Chinese 473 contributed ozone, with negative values over more regions and smaller positive values 474 over southern China. This result confirms our general finding that in spring 2008, the excessive domestic NOx emissions lead to relatively weak ozone production and/or 475 476 strong ozone titration. Comparing with the zero-out method, the absolute foreign 477 anthropogenic ozone obtained from 20%-perturbation simulations are smaller by 2-3 ppb over the northern border of China (comparing Figs. 9b and 12b), whereas the 478 479 percentage foreign contributions increase from 10-20% to 20-40% over southeastern China (comparing Fig 9c and 12c). Nonetheless, the spatial patterns are similar 480 481 between the two methods for both the absolute and the relative foreign contributions.

482 As peak ozone is a critical problem for human health, here we show the domestic versus foreign contributions to modeled extreme ozone values in spring 2008 (defined as the 483 484 average of the top 5% hourly ozone concentrations) (Fig. 12d-f). As expected, Chinese 485 domestic contribution is larger for extreme ozone than for mean ozone; the negative 486 values also disappear over North China Plain and Northeast China (comparing Fig. 9a 487 and 12d). The absolute foreign contribution (in ppb) is also enhanced across China 488 (comparing Fig. 9b and 12e). The percentage foreign contribution is within 10% over 489 southern China, about 10-50% over the north, and above 70% over the west. 490 Nevertheless, these results for extreme ozone should be interpreted with more caution, 491 as the model cannot simulate the dates of extreme ozone very well (Fig. 4).

492 Previous studies have shown notable interannual variability in surface ozone over 493 China driven by changes in precursor emissions and meteorology (Xu et al., 2008; Jin 494 et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). To test how the interannual variability of meteorology 495 and emissions would affect our source attribution findings, we have repeated all zeroout runs for spring 2012, the latest year when the GEOS-5 meteorological fields are 496 497 available. Emissions for 2012 were adopted from the Community Emissions Data 498 System (CEDS) inventory (Hoesly et al., 2018); 2012 is also the latest year the CEDS 499 emissions for China are adjusted by the MEIC inventory. Table 5 shows the anthropogenic emissions in the two years. All zero-out simulation results in 2012 500 501 underwent the same linear weighting adjustment as for those in 2008. Figure 12g-i 502 show the results for domestic versus foreign contributed ozone in spring 2012, as 503 compared to the results for spring 2008 (Fig. 9a-c). In absolute terms, Chinese 504 contributed ozone are similar between 2008 and 2012 (comparing Fig. 12g and Fig. 505 9a), reflecting the slight changes in domestic precursor emissions (Table 5). From 2008 506 to 2012, the absolute foreign contributed ozone increase along the southern boarder 507 due to much enhanced emissions in South-East Asia and South Asia. The absolute 508 foreign contributions decrease over the north and south, reflecting the net effect of 509 changes in European and North American emissions (within 20% for both NOx and 510 NMVOC), increased emissions in Rest of Asia, and changes in meteorology. In relative terms (Figs. 9c and 12i), the percentage foreign anthropogenic contributions to total 511 anthropogenic ozone decrease from 2008 to 2012 over southern China. Nonetheless, 512 513 in both years the percentage foreign contributions exceed 50% over western China and 514 are 5-40% over southern China. Therefore our general finding that both foreign and 515 domestic contributions to Chinese anthropogenic ozone are important holds true for 516 these two years.

517 **5. Vertical distributions of domestic and foreign anthropogenic contributions**

518 Figure 13a shows the domestic and foreign anthropogenic contributions to daily mean 519 ozone at different heights above the ground averaged over China. The black line shows that Chinese emissions contribute 6.0-10.5 ppb of ozone below 2 km over China, with 520 521 a maximum value at 0.7 km. This average amount of contribution reflects compensation 522 between positive values over most regions and negative values over the North China 523 Plain and many populous cities (see Sect. 4.2). Above 0.7 km, Chinese contribution 524 decreases rapidly until 3 ppb at 5 km, above which height the contribution declines 525 slowly until a value at 1 ppb at 12 km. By comparison, Chinese contribution to Ox is 526 about 7–11 ppb below 2 km, and at higher altitudes the contribution is almost identical 527 to that for ozone (not shown). The small contributions above 2 km for both ozone and 528 Ox are because as ozone and precursors associated with Chinese emissions are lifted to 529 higher altitudes, they are transported out of Chinese territory and destroyed gradually.

530 The grey line in Fig. 13a shows that the total foreign contribution is about 5.2–7.8 ppb at different heights with a reverse "C" shape, i.e., higher values at 3-9 km and lower 531 values above or below that layer. The foreign contribution exceeds Chinese 532 533 contribution at all heights above 2 km. Nonetheless, the total (Chinese + foreign) 534 anthropogenic ozone is less than one third of natural ozone throughout the troposphere. 535 Figure 11c shows that of ozone over China produced from all anthropogenic emissions, 536 foreign emissions together contribute 50% at the surface, 40% at 0.7 km as a minimum, 537 and 85% in the upper troposphere.

538 Figure 13b specifies the contribution of each foreign emission source region. Figure 13c further separates the portion of ozone produced within each source region's 539 540 territory from the portion produced outside of that source region; results here were 541 derived from a combination of zero-out simulations (e.g., CTL and xEU) and tagged 542 simulations (e.g., T_CTL and T_xEU). South-East Asian contribution is about 0.5-2.5 543 ppb averaged over China, and it increases with height due to strong upwelling that lifts 544 pollutants to the middle and upper troposphere. The contribution from Japan and Korea 545 is below 0.5 ppb throughout the troposphere averaged over China (Fig. 13b). The share 546 of transboundary ozone produced within South-East Asian territory and transported to China is about 10–45% (mostly below 30%), and the share for ozone produced within 547 548 Japan and Korea is even smaller (5–25%) (Fig. 13c), highlighting the importance of 549 ozone produced by precursors transported out of these two emission source regions.

South Asian contribution is only about 0.5–1.2 ppb throughout the troposphere (Fig. 13b). Although South Asia has more anthropogenic emissions than South-East Asia
(Table 2), its contribution to ozone over China is smaller due to blocking of transport
by the Himalayas with high elevation (Fig. 3). In addition, the share of transboundary
ozone produced within South Asian territory reaches 70–90% below 6 km but declines
rapidly to 28% at 12 km (Fig. 13c), a characteristic drastically different from the share
for South-East Asia.

557 The contribution from Rest of Asia is below 1.8 ppb at all heights with a negative 558 vertical gradient (Fig. 13b). Above 3 km, the portion of transboundary ozone produced 559 within the territory of Rest of Asia is similar to that for South Asia (Fig. 13c). However, 560 the portion exhibits a strong vertical gradient below 3 km, with a minimum value at 45% 561 near the ground.

562 European contribution declines from 1.5 ppb in the lower troposphere to 0.2 ppb at 12 563 km, similar to that for Rest of Asia (Fig. 13b). In spring, Eurasian frontal activities 564 transport and gradually lift European pollutants to downwind areas. The portion of 565 transboundary ozone produced within European territory is about 55–65% at 3–10 km 566 but is as low as 20% below 1 km (Fig. 13c), suggesting that most Europe-contributed 567 near-surface ozone over China are produced from precursors transported out of Europe.

568 Figure 13b shows that North American anthropogenic emissions contribute about 1.5– 569 2.5 ppb of ozone below 8 km, although the contribution declines rapidly to 0.2 ppb at 570 12 km. Compared to Europe, North America is further away from China, but its pollutants can be transported via the strong mid-latitude westerly. Averaged over China, 571 572 North American contribution is larger than European contribution at all heights, e.g., by a factor of two in the middle and upper troposphere. The higher contribution is due 573 to much more anthropogenic emissions in North America than in Europe. Table 3 shows 574 575 that North America emits NMVOC nearly twice as much as Europe does; and Wu et al. 576 (2009) showed that the amount of transboundary ozone is nearly proportional to NMVOC emissions of the source region. In addition, Fig. 13c shows that the portion of 577 transboundary ozone produced within North American territory is only about 5-20% 578 579 below 8 km, reflecting the dominant contribution by ozone produced from transported 580 precursors. The low share of ozone produced within North America is primarily 581 because most of such ozone is destroyed during the transport from North America to 582 China (for about two weeks), given the tropospheric lifetime of ozone at about three 583 weeks (Yan et al., 2016).

The grey line in Fig. 13c shows the average portion of transboundary ozone from all foreign source regions that is produced within the territories of respective foreign regions. The average portion is less than 50% throughout the troposphere, is about 40% at 2 km, and is as low as 25% near the surface. This again highlights the dominant importance of ozone production along with the transport of precursors. 589 Figure 14 further shows the vertical profiles of ozone from different sources averaged 590 over regions where Chinese anthropogenic emissions contribute more surface ozone than total foreign anthropogenic emissions (i.e., southern China, Fig. 14a, b), as well 591 as averaged over regions where foreign anthropogenic emissions dominate (Fig. 14c, 592 593 d). Even over areas where domestic contributions to near-surface ozone exceed total 594 foreign contributions, the regional average ozone contributed by foreign emissions 595 exceeds those contributed by domestic emissions above 3.5 km (Fig. 14a). Figure 14b 596 and d further shows that the (relative) vertical shape of regional average ozone 597 contributed by each foreign source region is similar to the shape of China averaged 598 results in Fig. 13b, although the absolute values (in ppb) are different.

599 6. Conclusions

600 This study uses a GEOS-Chem based two-way coupled modeling system to simulate Chinese and foreign anthropogenic contributions to springtime ozone at different 601 heights over China. Anthropogenic contributions are associated with anthropogenic 602 603 NOx, CO and NMVOC emissions, excluding the effect of methane. We combine the 604 zero-out simulations and tagged ozone simulations to separate the transboundary ozone produced within the territory of each emission source region from the ozone produced 605 by anthropogenic precursors transported out of that source region. We use a weighting 606 607 approach to accounting for the effect of nonlinear ozone chemistry on source attribution 608 estimates. Model evaluation using a suite of ground, aircraft and ozonesonde 609 measurements show an overall small bias for ozone near the surface and in the 610 troposphere (10% at 10 surface sites with hourly measurements, 15% at 21 surface sites 611 with monthly observations, and 12% for vertical profiles). The model underestimates 612 CO by 20% on average over China and nearby areas, which however does not affect 613 the simulated ozone significantly.

614 Model simulations reveal that both total and natural ozone near the surface over China 615 show a decreasing gradient from the southern Tibetan Plateau to the northwest and the 616 east. Natural ozone contributes 80-90% of total surface ozone over Tibet and the 617 northwest with low local anthropogenic emissions. Chinese anthropogenic emissions 618 enhance surface ozone concentrations by 0-4 ppb over most of the west and northeast due to low emissions and by 16-25 ppb over the south due to more emissions and 619 620 chemically conducive conditions. Chinese anthropogenic emissions result in reduced 621 ozone, albeit with enhanced Ox, over the North China Plain and many populous cities, 622 as a result of weak ozone production efficiency and strong titration by excessive 623 Chinese NOx emissions.

Near the surface, foreign anthropogenic emissions contribute 2–11 ppb of Chinese
ozone, with peak contributions at 7–11 ppb over the border and coastal regions of China.
Over western and northeastern China, foreign emissions account for up to 90% of ozone
of anthropogenic origin. Anthropogenic emissions in Japan and Korea result in 0.6–2.1
ppb of ozone along the Chinese coast. Emissions in South-East Asia contribute 1–5 ppb
over much of southeastern China. South Asian emissions mostly affect southwestern

China and Tibet (by up to 5 ppb), due to effective transport by strong southwesterly
associated with the Indian Monsoon. European anthropogenic emissions contribute
2.1–3 ppb along the northern border of China and the contribution decreases southwards.
North American anthropogenic emissions increase ozone by 1.8–2.7 ppb over much of
the west, by 1.5–2.1 ppb over the populous North China Plain, and by less than 0.9 ppb
over the south.

636 Vertically, for ozone of anthropogenic origin averaged over China, Chinese emissions contribute ~ 6 ppb (50%) of ozone at the surface, 6.0-10.5 ppb below 2 km, decreasing 637 638 to 3 ppb at 5 km and 1 ppb at 12 km. The total foreign contribution increases from 40-50% below 2 km to 50–85% above that height. The contribution from Japan and Korea 639 640 is below 0.5 ppb throughout the troposphere averaged over China. Despite its large 641 emissions, South Asia contributes only about 0.5–1.2 ppb throughout the troposphere 642 due to blocking of transport by the Himalayas. South-East Asian contribution increases 643 with height due to strong upwelling that lifts pollutants to the upper troposphere. On 644 the contrary, European contributions decreases from 1.5 ppb in the lower troposphere to 0.2 ppb at 12 km. Despite the long transport distance, North American contribution 645 reaches as much as 1.5–2.5 ppb below 8 km due to its large anthropogenic emissions 646 647 and the strong mid-latitude westerly favorable for transboundary transport.

648 For ozone of foreign anthropogenic origin averaged over China, the portion of transboundary ozone produced within foreign source regions is less than 50% 649 650 throughout the troposphere, albeit with a strong vertical variability, indicating the 651 importance of ozone produced by precursors transported out of those source regions. The portion also differs among each foreign source region of South-East Asia (10–45%) 652 and Japan and Korea (5–25%), South Asia (from 70–90% below 6 km to 28% at 12 653 654 km), Europe (from 20% below 1 km to 55-65% at 3-10 km), and North America (5-655 20% below 8 km). Thus, tracing ozone produced within the territory of a particular 656 region is drastically different from tracing ozone associated with emissions in that 657 region.

In summary, although China is a major pollutant emitter, the ozone above its territory 658 659 consists primarily of natural sources, especially over western China with low local 660 anthropogenic emissions. Moreover, for ozone of anthropogenic origin, a large portion results from foreign emissions, as analyzed here for spring 2008. In more recent years, 661 662 Chinese anthropogenic NOx emissions have undergone a rapid decline as a result of 663 domestic emission control (Xia et al., 2016), along with continuous reductions in North America and Western Europe (Yan et al., 2018a; 2018b) and changes in other regions. 664 Future research is needed to quantify the resulting changes in ozone and its 665 geographical origin. In addition, this study does not account for that a substantial 666 667 portion of anthropogenic emissions in any region are associated with economic 668 production for foreign consumption (Lin et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015a), which would 669 affect how pollution is attributed to individual producing or consuming regions (Guan et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017). Nevertheless, our study suggests the 670 671 great importance of global collaboration on emission reduction to mitigate ozone 672 pollution in addition to domestic emission control efforts.

673 Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 674 (41775115) and the 973 program (2014CB441303). We acknowledge Dr. Chen 675 Hongbin's team at IAP LAGEO for providing the GPSO3 ozonesonde data. We 676 acknowledge 677 the free use of ozone data from WDCGG 678 (http://ds.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/wdcgg/), EANET 679 (http://www.eanet.asia/product/index.html), WOUDC 680 (http://www.woudc.org/data/explore.php?lang=en), and MOZAIC-IAGOS (http://www.iagos.fr/web/). We thank the European Commission for the support to the 681 682 MOZAIC project (1994–2003) and the preparatory phase of IAGOS (2005–2012) partner institutions of the IAGOS Research Infrastructure (FZJ, DLR, MPI, KIT in 683 Germany, CNRS, CNES, Météo-France in France and University of Manchester in 684 United Kingdom), ETHER (CNES-CNRS/INSU) for hosting the database, the 685 686 participating airlines (Lufthansa, Air France, Austrian, China Airlines, Iberia, Cathay 687 Pacific) for the transport free of charge of the instrumentation.

688 References

- Auvray, M., and Bey, I.: Long-range transport to Europe: Seasonal variations and
 implications for the European ozone budget, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110,
 10.1029/2004jd005503, 2005.
- Bian, J. C., Gettelman, A., Chen, H. B., and Pan, L. L.: Validation of satellite ozone
 profile retrievals using Beijing ozonesonde data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112,
 11, 10.1029/2006jd007502, 2007.
- Bond, T. C., Bhardwaj, E., Dong, R., Jogani, R., Jung, S., Roden, C., Streets, D. G.,
 and Trautmann, N. M.: Historical emissions of black and organic carbon aerosol
 from energy-related combustion, 1850–2000, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21,
 GB2018, doi:10.1029/2006GB002840, 2007.
- Brown-Steiner, B., and Hess, P.: Asian influence on surface ozone in the United States:
 A comparison of chemistry, seasonality, and transport mechanisms, J. Geophys.
 Res.-Atmos., 116, 13, 10.1029/2011jd015846, 2011.
- 702 Cooper, O. R., Parrish, D. D., Stohl, A., Trainer, M., Nedelec, P., Thouret, V., Cammas, 703 J. P., Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B. J., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., McDermid, I. S., 704 Jaffe, D., Gao, R., Stith, J., Ryerson, T., Aikin, K., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., and Avery, M. A.: Increasing springtime ozone mixing ratios in the free 705 706 troposphere over western North America, Nature, 463. 344-348. 707 10.1038/nature08708, 2010.
- Derwent, R. G., Stevenson, D. S., Collins, W. J., and Johnson, C. E.: Intercontinental transport and the origins of the ozone observed at surface sites in Europe, Atmospheric Environment, 38, 1891-1901, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.01.008, 2004.

- Ding, A. J., and Wang, T.: Influence of stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange on the
 seasonal cycle of surface ozone at Mount Waliguan in western China, Geophysical
 Research Letters, 33, 4, 10.1029/2005gl024760, 2006.
- Fang, S. X., Zhou, L. X., Tans, P. P., Ciais, P., Steinbacher, M., Xu, L., and Luan, T.:
 In situ measurement of atmospheric CO2 at the four WMO/GAW stations in China,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 2541-2554, 10.5194/acp-14-2541-2014,
 2014.
- Guan, D., Su, X., Zhang, Q., Peters, G. P., Liu, Z., Lei, Y., and He, K.: The
 socioeconomic drivers of China's primary PM2. 5 emissions, Environmental
 Research Letters, 9, 024010, 2014.
- Geng, G. N., Zhang, Q., Martin, R. V., Lin, J. T., Huo, H., Zheng, B., Wang, S. W., and
 He, K. B.: Impact of spatial proxies on the representation of bottom-up emission
 inventories: A satellite-based analysis, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17,
 4131-4145, 10.5194/acp-17-4131-2017, 2017.
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L.
 K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature
 version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling
 biogenic emissions, Geoscientific Model Development, 5, 1471-1492,
 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T.,
 Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L.,
 Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J.-I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke,
 P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive
 gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Geosci.
 Model Dev., 11, 369-408, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018, 2018
- Holtslag, A. A. M., and Boville, B. A.: LOCAL VERSUS NONLOCAL BOUNDARYLAYER DIFFUSION IN A GLOBAL CLIMATE MODEL, Journal of Climate,
 6, 1825-1842, 10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006<1825:lvnbld>2.0.co;2, 1993.
- 740 HTAP: Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution 2010 Executive Summary
- 741 ECE/EB.AIR/2010/10 Corrected, United Nations, available at:
- http://www.htap.org/publications/2010_report/2010_Final_Report/EBMeeting20
 10.pdf (last access: 1 February 2015), 2010.
- Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L.
 C., and Cohen, R. C.: Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide
 emissions: implementation and space based-constraints, Atmospheric Chemistry
 and Physics, 12, 7779-7795, 10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012, 2012.
- Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., and Murti, P. P.: Effect of rising Asian emissions on surface
 ozone in the United States, Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 2175-2178,
 10.1029/1999gl900450, 1999.
- Jiang, X., Zhang, Q., Zhao, H., Geng, G., Peng, L., Guan, D., Kan, H., Huo, H., Lin, J.T., Brauer, M., Martin, R. V., and He, K.: Revealing the hidden health costs
 embodied in Chinese exports, Environmental Science & Technology,
 10.1021/es506121s, 2015a.

- Jiang, Z., Worden, J. R., Jones, D. B. A., Lin, J. T., Verstraeten, W. W., and Henze, D.
 K.: Constraints on Asian ozone using Aura TES, OMI and Terra MOPITT,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 99-112, 10.5194/acp-15-99-2015, 2015b.
- Jiang, Z., Worden, J. R., Payne, V. H., Zhu, L. Y., Fischer, E., Walker, T., and Jones,
- D. B. A.: Ozone export from East Asia: The role of PAN, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,
 121, 6555-6563, 10.1002/2016jd024952, 2016.
- Jin, X., and Holloway, T.: Spatial and temporal variability of ozone sensitivity over
 China observed from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
 120, 7229–7246, doi:10.1002/2015JD023250, 2015.
- Kuhns, H., Knipping, E. M., and Vukovich, J. M.: Development of a United StatesMexico emissions inventory for the Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility
 Observational (BRAVO) Study, Journal of the Air & Waste Management
 Association, 55, 677-692, 2005.
- Kopacz, M., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Logan, J. A., Zhang, L., Megretskaia, I. A.,
 Yantosca, R. M., Singh, K., Henze, D. K., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Khlystova,
 I., McMillan, W. W., Gille, J. C., Edwards, D. P., Eldering, A., Thouret, V., and
 Nedelec, P.: Global estimates of CO sources with high resolution by adjoint
 inversion of multiple satellite datasets (MOPITT, AIRS, SCIAMACHY, TES),
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 855-876, 2010.
- Li, B. G., Gasser, T., Ciais, P., Piao, S. L., Tao, S., Balkanski, Y., Hauglustaine, D.,
 Boisier, J. P., Chen, Z., Huang, M. T., Li, L. Z., Li, Y., Liu, H. Y., Liu, J. F., Peng,
 S. S., Shen, Z. H., Sun, Z. Z., Wang, R., Wang, T., Yin, G. D., Yin, Y., Zeng, H.,
 Zeng, Z. Z., and Zhou, F.: The contribution of China's emissions to global climate
 forcing, Nature, 531, 357-361, 10.1038/nature17165, 2016a.
- Li, J., Yang, W. Y., Wang, Z. F., Chen, H. S., Hu, B., Li, J. J., Sun, Y. L., Fu, P. Q.,
 and Zhang, Y. Q.: Modeling study of surface ozone source-receptor relationships
 in East Asia, Atmospheric Research, 167, 77-88, 10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.07.010,
 2016b.
- Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., Woo, J. H., He, K. B., Lu, Z. F., Ohara, T., Song, Y.,
 Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y. F., Hong, C. P., Huo, H., Jiang, X. J.,
 Kang, S. C., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng, B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic
 emission inventory under the international collaboration framework of the MICSAsia and HTAP, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 935-963, 10.5194/acp17-935-2017, 2017.
- Li, X. Y., Liu, J. F., Mauzerall, D. L., Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Horowitz, L. W.,
 and Tao, S.: Effects of trans-Eurasian transport of air pollutants on surface ozone
 concentrations over Western China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 12338-12354,
 10.1002/2014jd021936, 2014.
- Liang, Q., Jaegle, L., Jaffe, D. A., Weiss-Penzias, P., Heckman, A., and Snow, J. A.:
 Long-range transport of Asian pollution to the northeast Pacific: Seasonal
 variations and transport pathways of carbon monoxide, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,
 109, 20, 10.1029/2003jd004402, 2004.

- Lin, J.-T., Wuebbles, D. J., and Liang, X.-Z.: Effects of intercontinental transport on
 surface ozone over the United States: Present and future assessment with a global
 model, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, 10.1029/2007gl031415, 2008.
- Lin, J.-T., Pan, D., Davis, S. J., Zhang, Q., He, K., Wang, C., Streets, D. G., Wuebbles,
 D. J., and Guan, D.: China's international trade and air pollution in the United
 States, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 1736-1741,
 10.1073/pnas.1312860111, 2014.
- Lin, J. T., and McElroy, M. B.: Impacts of boundary layer mixing on pollutant vertical
 profiles in the lower troposphere: Implications to satellite remote sensing,
 Atmospheric Environment, 44, 1726-1739, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.009,
 2010.
- Lin, J. T., Liu, Z., Zhang, Q., Liu, H., Mao, J., and Zhuang, G.: Modeling uncertainties
 for tropospheric nitrogen dioxide columns affecting satellite-based inverse
 modeling of nitrogen oxides emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12,
 12255-12275, 10.5194/acp-12-12255-2012, 2012a.
- Lin, J. T., Tong, D., Davis, S., Ni, R. J., Tan, X. X., Pan, D., Zhao, H. Y., Lu, Z. F.,
 Streets, D., Feng, T., Zhang, Q., Yan, Y. Y., Hu, Y. Y., Li, J., Liu, Z., Jiang, X. J.,
 Geng, G. N., He, K. B., Huang, Y., and Guan, D. B.: Global climate forcing of
 aerosols embodied in international trade, Nature Geoscience, 9, 790-794,
 10.1038/ngeo2798, 2016.
- Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Cooper, O. R., Naik, V., Holloway, J., Johnson,
 B. J., Middlebrook, A. M., Oltmans, S. J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Warner,
 J. X., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilson, J., and Wyman, B.: Transport of Asian ozone
 pollution into surface air over the western United States in spring, J. Geophys.
 Res.-Atmos., 117, D00v07, 10.1029/2011jd016961, 2012b.
- Lin, W., Xu, X., Zheng, X., Dawa, J., Baima, C., and Ma, J.: Two-year measurements
 of surface ozone at Dangxiong, a remote highland site in the Tibetan Plateau,
 Journal of Environmental Sciences, 31, 133-145,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2014.10.022, 2015.
- Lin, W. L., Xu, X. B., Ge, B. Z., and Zhang, X. C.: Characteristics of gaseous pollutants
 at Gucheng, a rural site southwest of Beijing, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, 17,
 10.1029/2008jd010339, 2009.
- Liu, Z., Wang, Y. H., Gu, D. S., Zhao, C., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R., Liao, J., Shao, M.,
 Zhu, T., Zeng, L. M., Liu, S. C., Chang, C. C., Amoroso, A., and Costabile, F.:
 Evidence of Reactive Aromatics As a Major Source of Peroxy Acetyl Nitrate over
 China, Environmental Science & Technology, 44, 7017-7022, 10.1021/es1007966,
 2010.
- Ma, J., Lin, W. L., Zheng, X. D., Xu, X. B., Li, Z., and Yang, L. L.: Influence of air mass downward transport on the variability of surface ozone at Xianggelila
 Regional Atmosphere Background Station, southwest China, Atmospheric
 Chemistry and Physics, 14, 5311-5325, 10.5194/acp-14-5311-2014, 2014.
- Mao, J. Q., Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Cohen, R. C., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O.,
 Keller, C. A., Hudman, R. C., Barkley, M. P., and Horowitz, L. W.: Ozone and

840 organic nitrates over the eastern United States: Sensitivity to isoprene chemistry, 841 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 11256-11268, 10.1002/jgrd.50817, 2013. Marenco, A., Thouret, V., Nedelec, P., Smit, H., Helten, M., Kley, D., Karcher, F., 842 843 Simon, P., Law, K., Pyle, J., Poschmann, G., Von Wrede, R., Hume, C., and Cook, 844 T.: Measurement of ozone and water vapor by Airbus in-service aircraft: The 845 MOZAIC airborne program, An overview, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 25631-25642, 10.1029/98jd00977, 1998. 846 McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S. C., Hannegan, B., Wild, O., Prather, M. J., and Sundet, J.: 847 Stratospheric ozone in 3-D models: A simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause 848 849 flux, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 14653-14665, 10.1029/2000jd900124, 2000. Moorthi, S., and Suarez, M. J.: Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert. A Parameterization of 850 851 Moist Convection for General Circulation Models, Monthly Weather Review, 120, 852 978-1002, 1992. 853 Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized 854 regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, 14, 855 10.1029/2012jd017934, 2012. 856 857 Ott, L. E., Pickering, K. E., Stenchikov, G. L., Allen, D. J., DeCaria, A. J., Ridley, B., 858 Lin, R. F., Lang, S., and Tao, W. K.: Production of lightning NOx and its vertical 859 distribution calculated from three-dimensional cloud-scale chemical transport model simulations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, 19, 10.1029/2009jd011880, 860 861 2010. Simone, N. W., Stettler, M. E. J., and Barrett, S. R. H.: Rapid estimation of global 862 863 civil aviation emissions with uncertainty quantification, Transport. Res. D-Tr. E., 864 25, 33-41, doi:10.1016/j.trd.2013.07.001, 2013. 865 Tu, J., Xia, Z., Wang, H., Li, W.: Temporal variations in surface ozone and its 866 precursors and meteorological effects at an urban site in China, Atmos. Res. 85, 310-337, 10.1016/j.atmosres.2007.02.003, 2007. 867 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. 868 S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire 869 870 emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 11707-11735, 871 10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010. 872 Verstraeten, W. W., Neu, J. L., Williams, J. E., Bowman, K. W., Worden, J. R., and 873 874 Boersma, K. F.: Rapid increases in tropospheric ozone production and export from 875 China (vol 8, pg 690, 2015), Nature Geoscience, 9, 643-643, 10.1038/ngeo2768, 876 2016. 877 Wang, W., Cheng, T., Gu, X., Chen, H., Guo, H., Wang, Y., Bao, F., Shi, S., Xu, B., 878 Zuo, X., Meng, C., and Zhang, X.: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns of 879 observed ground-level ozone in China, Sci. Rep., 7, 3651, 10.1038/s41598-017-03929-w, 2017. 880 881 Wang, T., Ding, A. J., Gao, J., and Wu, W. S.: Strong ozone production in urban plumes from Beijing, China, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, 5, 10.1029/2006gl027689, 882 883 2006.

- Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Hao, J., and Luo, M.: Seasonal and spatial variability of surface
 ozone over China: contributions from background and domestic pollution,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 3511-3525, 10.5194/acp-11-3511-2011,
 2011.
- Wang, Y., Konopka, P., Liu, Y., Chen, H., Muller, R., Ploger, F., Riese, M., Cai, Z.,
 and Lu, D.: Tropospheric ozone trend over Beijing from 2002-2010: ozonesonde
 measurements and modeling analysis, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12,
 8389-8399, 10.5194/acp-12-8389-2012, 2012.
- Wang, Y. H., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O-3NOx-hydrocarbon chemistry 1. Model formulation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103,
 10713-10725, 10.1029/98jd00158, 1998.
- Wu, R. R., Bo, Y., Li, J., Li, L. Y., Li, Y. Q., and Xie, S. D.: Method to establish the
 emission inventory of anthropogenic volatile organic compounds in China and its
 application in the period 2008-2012, Atmospheric Environment, 127, 244-254,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.015, 2016.
- Wu, S. L., Duncan, B. N., Jacob, D. J., Fiore, A. M., and Wild, O.: Chemical
 nonlinearities in relating intercontinental ozone pollution to anthropogenic
 emissions, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 5, 10.1029/2008gl036607, 2009.
- Xia, Y. M., Zhao, Y., and Nielsen, C. P.: Benefits of of China's efforts in gaseous
 pollutant control indicated by the bottom-up emissions and satellite observations
 2000-2014, Atmospheric Environment, 136, 43-53,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.04.013, 2016.
- Xu, W., Lin, W., Xu, X., Tang, J., Huang, J., Wu, H., and Zhang, X.: Long-term trends
 of surface ozone and its influencing factors at the Mt Waliguan GAW station,
 China Part 1: Overall trends and characteristics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 61916205, 10.5194/acp-16-6191-2016, 2016.
- Xu, W., Lin, W., Xu, X., Tang, J., Huang, J., Wu, H., and Zhang, X.: Long-term trends
 of surface ozone and its influencing factors at the Mt Waliguan GAW station,
 China Part 1: Overall trends and characteristics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 61916205, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-6191-2016, 2016.
- Xu, X., Lin, W., Wang, T., Yan, P., Tang, J., Meng, Z., and Wang, Y.: Long-term trend
 of surface ozone at a regional background station in eastern China 1991-2006:
 enhanced variability, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 2595-2607, 2008.
- Xue, L. K., Wang, T., Zhang, J. M., Zhang, X. C., Deliger, Poon, C. N., Ding, A. J.,
 Zhou, X. H., Wu, W. S., Tang, J., Zhang, Q. Z., and Wang, W. X.: Source of
 surface ozone and reactive nitrogen speciation at Mount Waliguan in western
 China: New insights from the 2006 summer study, Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Atmospheres, 116, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2010JD014735, 2011.
- Xue, L. K., Wang, T., Gao, J., Ding, A. J., Zhou, X. H., Blake, D. R., Wang, X. F.,
 Saunders, S. M., Fan, S. J., Zuo, H. C., Zhang, Q. Z., and Wang, W. X.: Groundlevel ozone in four Chinese cities: precursors, regional transport and
 heterogeneous processes, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 13175-13188,
 10.5194/acp-14-13175-2014, 2014.

- Yan, Y., Lin, J., Chen, J., and Hu, L.: Improved simulation of tropospheric ozone by a
 global-multi-regional two-way coupling model system, Atmospheric Chemistry
 and Physics, 16, 2381-2400, 10.5194/acp-16-2381-2016, 2016.
- Yan, Y., Lin, J., and He, C.: Ozone trends over the United States at different times of
 day, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 1185–1202, 10.5194/acp-18-1185-2018, 2018.
- Yan, Y., Pozzer, A., Ojha, N., Lin, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Analysis of European ozone
 trends in the period 1995–2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 5589–5605,
 10.5194/acp-18-5589-2018, 2018.
- Yan, Y. Y., Lin, J. T., Kuang, Y., Yang, D., and Zhang, L.: Tropospheric carbon monoxide over the Pacific during HIPPO: two-way coupled simulation of GEOSChem and its multiple nested models, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 12649-12663, 10.5194/acp-14-12649-2014, 2014.
- Yin, X. F., Kang, S. C., de Foy, B., Cong, Z. Y., Luo, J. L., Zhang, L., Ma, Y. M.,
 Zhang, G. S., Rupakheti, D., and Zhang, Q. G.: Surface ozone at Nam Co in the
 inland Tibetan Plateau: variation, synthesis comparison and regional
 representativeness, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 11293-11311,
 10.5194/acp-17-11293-2017, 2017.
- 944 Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J. F., Naik, V., Stevenson, 945 D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., 946 Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsoren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., 947 948 Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century 949 950 projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 951 952 13, 2063-2090, 10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.
- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Boersma, K. F., Jaffe, D. A., Olson, J. R., Bowman, K. W.,
 Worden, J. R., Thompson, A. M., Avery, M. A., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Flock,
 F. M., Fuelberg, H. E., Huey, L. G., McMillan, W. W., Singh, H. B., and
 Weinheimer, A. J.: Transpacific transport of ozone pollution and the effect of
 recent Asian emission increases on air quality in North America: an integrated
 analysis using satellite, aircraft, ozonesonde, and surface observations,
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 6117-6136, 2008.
- 960 Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A.,
- Klimont, Z., Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang,
 L. T., and Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission,
- 963 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5131–5153, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009, 2009.
- Zhang, Q., Jiang, X. J., Tong, D., Davis, S. J., Zhao, H. Y., Geng, G. N., Feng, T.,
 Zheng, B., Lu, Z. F., Streets, D. G., Ni, R. J., Brauer, M., van Donkelaar, A.,
 Martin, R. V., Huo, H., Liu, Z., Pan, D., Kan, H. D., Yan, Y. Y., Lin, J. T., He, K.
 B., and Guan, D. B.: Transboundary health impacts of transported global air
 pollution and international trade, Nature, 543, 705-709, 10.1038/nature21712,
 2017.

970	Zhao, B., Wang, S. X., Liu, H., Xu, J. Y., Fu, K., Klimont, Z., Hao, J. M., He, K. B.,
971	Cofala, J., and Amann, M.: NOx emissions in China: historical trends and future
972	perspectives, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9869-9897, 10.5194/acp-13-9869-2013,
973	2013.
974	Zhu, B., Hou, X. W., and Kang, H. Q.: Analysis of the seasonal ozone budget and the
975	impact of the summer monsoon on the northeastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, J.
976	Geophys. ResAtmos., 121, 2029-2042, 10.1002/2015jd023857, 2016.
977	

Region	Inventory	Resolution ^a	Year	Species ^b	References & Notes		
Anthropogenic emissions							
Global	EDGAR v4.2	0.1° x 0.1°, monthly	2008	NOx, SO2, CO, NH3	http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.p hp?v=42		
Global	BOND	1° x 1°, monthly	2000	BC and OC	Bond et al. (2007)		
Global	RETRO	0.5° x 0.5°, monthly	2000	NMVOC	ftp://ftp.retro.enes.org/pub/emissions/ag gregated/anthro/0.5x0.5/2000/		
Global	ICOADS, shipping	1° x 1°, monthly	2002	NOx, SO2, CO	Wang et al. (2008); http://coast.cms.udel.edu/GlobalShipE missions/		
Global	AEIC, aircraft	1° x 1°, annual	2005	NOx, SO2, CO, NMVOC, BC, OC	Simone et al. (2013)		
Asia	INTEX-B	1° x 1°, monthly	2006	NOx, SO2, CO, NMVOC, BC, OC, NH3	Zhang et al. (2009). NH3 only available for 2000.		
China	MEIC	0.25° x 0.25°, monthly	2008	NOx, SO2, CO, NMVOC, NH3	Li et al. (2017); Geng et al. (2017); http://www.meicmodel.org/.		
United States	NEI2005	4km x 4km, monthly & weekend/weekday	2005 °	NOx, SO2, CO, NMVOC, NH3, BC, OC	ftp://aftp.fsl.noaa.gov/divisions/taq/emi ssions_data_2005		
Canada	CAC	1° x 1°, annual	2008	NOx, SO2, CO, NH3	http://www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/cac/cac_home _e.cfm		
Mexico	BRAVO	1° x 1°, annual	1999°	NOx, SO2, CO	Kuhns et al. (2005)		
Europe	EMEP	1° x 1°, monthly	2007	NOx, SO2, CO	Auvray and Bey (2005); http://www.emep.int/index.html		
Biomass I	burning emissions						
Global	GFED3	0.5° x 0.5°, daily	2008	NOx, SO2, CO, NMVOC, NH3, BC, OC	van der Werf et al., 2010; http://www.globalfiredata.org		
Natural/S	emi-natural emissio	ons (online calculation)					
Global	MEGAN v2.1	Model resolution	2008	ISOP, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, MOH, ACET, ETOH, CH2O, ALD2, HCOOH, C2H4, TOLU, PRPE	Guenther et al. (2012)		
Global	Soil NOx	Model resolution	2008	NO	Hudman et al. (2012)		
Global	Lightning NOx	Model resolution	2008	NO	Murray et al. (2012)		

979 Table 1. Emissions used in the model.



981 http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-chem/index.php/Anthropogenic_emissions.

982 b. Notes for NMVOC: RETRO includes PRPE, C3H8, ALK4, ALD2, CH2O and

983 MEK; in the CTM, MEK emissions are further allocated to MEK (25%) and ACET

984 (75%). AEIC, INTEX-B and MEIC include PRPE, C2H6, C3H8, ALK4, ALD2,

985 CH2O, MEK and ACET. NEI05 includes PRPE, C3H8, ALK4, CH2O, MEK and

- ACET. EMEP includes PRPE, ALK4, ALD2 and MEK. Emissions of C2H6 outside
- 987 Asia are from Xiao et al. (2008).
- 988 c. Over the United States and Mexico, emissions of CO, NOx are scaled to 2008 and
- 989 2006 respectively. (http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-
- 990 <u>chem/index.php/Scale_factors_for_anthropogenic_emissions</u>).

991 Table 2. Model simulations.

Full chemistry simulation	Description	Tagged ozone simulation	Description
CTL	Full-chemistry simulation with all emissions	T_CTL	Driven by daily ozone production and loss rate archived from CTL
xANTH	Without global anthropogenic emissions	T_xANTH	With respect to xANTH
хСН	Without anthropogenic emissions of China	T_xCH	With respect to xCH
xJAKO	Without anthropogenic emissions of Japan and Korea	T_xJAKO	With respect to xJAKO
xSEA	Without anthropogenic emissions of South-East Asia	T_xSEA	With respect to xSEA
xSA	Without anthropogenic emissions of South Asia	T_xSA	With respect to xSA
xROA	Without anthropogenic emissions of Rest of Asia	T_xROA	With respect to xROA
xEU	Without anthropogenic emissions of Europe	T_xEU	With respect to xEU
xNA	Without anthropogenic emissions of North America	T_xNA	With respect to xNA
xROW	Without anthropogenic emissions of Rest of World	T_xROW	With respect to xROW

Table 3. Comparison of simulated and observed springtime MDA8 ozone and CO at

994 five regional background sites in China and six global background stations nearby

995 China with hourly measurements.

Country	Site	Location	Year	MDA8 ozone		СО			References & Notes	
				Obs	Model	NMB	Obs	Model	NMB	
				(ppb)	(ppb)	(%)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(%)	
	Gucheng	39.1°N, 115.7°E, 15m	2007	48.8	50.2	2.9				Lin et al., 2009
	Longfengshan	44.7°N, 127.6°E, 331m	2007	50.6	52.9	4.5	290	251	-13.4	
China	Lin'an	30.2°N, 119.7°E, 132m	2008	65.1	68.9	5.8	628	418	-33.4	Xu et al., 2008
	Shangri-La	28.0°N, 99.4°E, 3580m	2008	61.4	68.7	11.9	181	139	-23.2	Ma et al., 2014
	Waliguan	36.3°N, 100.9°E, 3816m	2008	56.5	64.4	14.0				Xu et al., 2016
Kyrgyzstan	Issyk-Kul	42.6°N, 77.0°E, 1640m	2008	52.8	59.0	11.7				
Nepal	Everest-Pyramid	28.0°N, 86.8°E, 5079m	2008	66.3	79.1	19.3				
Indonesia	Bukit Koto Tabang	0.2°S, 100.3°E, 865m	2008				141	146	3.5	http://ds.data.jma.go .jp/gmd/wdcgg/cgi-
	Yonagunijima	24.5°N, 123.0°E, 30m	2008	54.8	56.4	2.9	208	157	-24.5	bin/wdcgg/catalogue
Japan	Tsukuba	36.1°N, 140.1°E, 25m	2008	47.2	56.0	18.6				.cgi
	Ryori	39.0°N, 141.8°E, 260m	2008	54.6	54.7	0.2	211	203	-3.8	

	Site		x		Obs	Model	NMB		
Country		Year	Location	Characteristics	(ppb)	(ppb)	(%)	References & Notes	
	Rishiri	2008	45.5°N, 141.2°E, 40m	Remote	55.0	46.0	-16.5		
	Ochiishi	2008	43.1°N, 145.5°E, 49m	Remote	48.4	46.7	-3.6		
	Tappi	2008	41.3°N, 140.4°E, 105m	Remote	66.2	48.8	-26.2		
	Sado-seki	2008	38.2°N, 138.4°E, 136m	Remote	61.3	53.3	-13.0		
	Нарро	2008	36.7°N, 137.8°E, 1850m	Remote	62.0	53.8	-13.2		
Japan (FANET)	Ijira	2008	35.6°N, 136.7°E, 140m	Rural 30.7 47.8 55.7					
(EANEI)	Oki	2008	36.3°N, 133.2°E, 90m	Remote	58.8	55.7	-5.3		
	Banryu	2008	34.7°N, 131.8°E, 53m	Urban	48.5	52.1	7.5	http://www.eanet.asi	
	Yusuhara	2008	33.4°N, 132.9°E, 790m	Remote	53.7	53.1	-1.1	a/product/index.num	
	Hedo	2008	26.9°N, 128.3°E, 60m	Remote	53.6	54.2	1.1		
	Ogasawara	2008	27.1°N, 142.2°E, 230m	Remote	37.9	41.1	8.3		
	Kanghwa	2008	37.7°N, 126.3°E, 150m	Rural	52.3	47.4	-9.4		
Republic of Korea	Cheju	2008	33.3°N, 126.2°E, 72m	Remote	56.3	57.7	2.5		
(EANET)	Imsil	2008	35.6°N, 127.2°E	Rural	30.3	48.2	58.8		
Russia (EANET)	Mondy	2008	51.7°N, 101.0°E, 2000m	Remote	43.0	49.2	14.4		
	Miyun	2006	40.5°N, 116.8°E, 152m	Rural	48.7	35.3	-27.4	Wang et al. (2011)	
	Mt. Tai	2004	24.25°N, 117.10°E, 1533m	Rural	57.0	54.8	-3.9		
	Mt. Hua	2004	34.49°N, 110.09°E, 2064m	Rural	50.0	51.8	3.5	Li et al. (2007)	
China (literature)	Mt. Huang	2004	30.13°N, 118.15°E, 1836m	Rural	59.3	54.0	-9.0		
	Hok Tsui, HongKong	1994-2007	22.2°N, 114.2°E, 60m	Rural	36.0	53.4	48.2	Wang et al. (2009)	
	Nanjing	2000-2002	32.1°N, 118.7°E	Urban	27.0	31.3	16.0	Tu et al. (2007)	

Table 4. Comparison of simulated springtime monthly mean ozone with observationsfrom EANET and literature.

2008	China	Japan and Korea	South-East Asia	South Asia	Rest of Asia	Europe	North America	Rest of world
NOx (TgN)	2.0	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.7	1.2	1.3	1.0
CO (Tg)	42.3	1.7	10.9	16.7	10.0	12.5	17.7	25.5
NMVOC (TgC)	2.9	0.2	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1	2.1	1.9
2012								
NOx (TgN)	2.2	0.3	0.6	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.5
CO (Tg)	39.2	2.4	15.4	21.3	8.9	7.9	13.1	38.0
NMVOC (TgC)	3.0	0.2	3.0	2.4	2.3	1.2	1.8	6.8
1002								
1003								
1004								
1005								
1006								
1007								
1008								
1009								
1010								
1011								
1012								
1013								
1014								
1015								
1016								
1017								

Table 5. Springtime anthropogenic emissions of NOx, CO and NMVOC in 2008 and2012 in each source region defined in Fig. 1.



- 1019 Figure 1. Eight emission source regions.

- ----



1037 Figure 2. (a) Spatial distribution of the ratio of total surface ozone in CTL to the pre-

1038 linear-weighting-adjustment sum of natural ozone, domestic anthropogenic ozone and

1039 foreign anthropogenic ozone; (b) Vertical profile of China average total ozone from

1040 CTL and the profile of pre-linear-weighting-adjustment sum of natural ozone,

1041 domestic anthropogenic ozone and foreign anthropogenic ozone.



Figure 3. Observation sites overlaying upon the surface elevation map from the 2 min
Gridded Global Relief Data (ETOPO2v2) available at NGDC Marine Trackline
Geophysical database (http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/etopo2.html).



Figure 4. Time series of springtime MDA8 ozone at surface sites over (a–e) China and
(f–j) nearby countries. Due to lack of measurement data in 2008, comparisons at
Gucheng and Longfengshan are based in 2007. In (c), observed and modeled RH are
also compared; and the "F" and "R" symbols denote observed frog or rain,
respectively.



Figure 5. Time series of daily mean CO at six surface sites over (a–c) China and (d–f)
nearby countries.



Figure 6. Model and MOZAIC vertical profiles of (a–c) ozone and (d–f) CO over
airports of Beijing, Shanghai and Hong Kong, averaged over multiple profiles. (g)
Model and GPSO3 ozonesonde data over Beijing in spring 2008. Horizontal bars
indicate one standard deviation across multiple profiles. Mean bias (MB), normalized
mean bias (NMB), main fight times (local time) at each MOZAIC site and GPSO3
ozonesonde launch time (local time) are also shown.





Figure 7. Model and WOUDC ozone profiles at six sites, averaged over multipleprofiles. Horizontal lines indicate one standard deviation across multiple profiles.

- 1094 Mean bias (MB) and normalized mean bias (NMB) are shown in blue.



1102 Figure 8. Spatial distribution of springtime daily mean (a) total surface ozone, (b)

1103 background ozone and (c) natural ozone over China.







1119 Figure 9. Spatial distribution of springtime daily mean surface ozone over China

1120 contributed by (a) domestic and (b) foreign anthropogenic emissions. (c) Percentage

1121 contribution of foreign anthropogenic emissions to total anthropogenic ozone; areas

1122 with negative Chinese contributions (due to NOx titration) are marked in grey. (d–f)

1123 similar to (a–c) but for Ox (= $O_3 + NO_2$). The linear weighting adjustment is applied

to derive all results. Note that the color scales are different between (a, d) and (b, e).

- 1125
- 1126





1129 Figure 10. Spatial distribution of springtime daily mean surface ozone over China

1130 contributed by anthropogenic emissions of individual regions. The ozone

1131 enhancement over China by anthropogenic emissions of each region is determined by

1132 difference between the base case simulation CTL and zero-out simulation without that

- 1133 region's anthropogenic emissions, followed by the linear weighting adjustment.



1	1	4	-3

1144	Figure 11. (a) Indication of the largest anthropogenic contributor (domestic or
1145	foreign) to surface ozone at individual locations of China. (b) Indication of the largest

- foreign anthropogenic contributor to surface ozone at individual locations of China.
- (c) Vertical distribution of percentage contribution of each region to total
- anthropogenic ozone over China.



Figure 12. (a–c) similar to Fig. 9a–c but for springtime daily mean ozone calculated by 20% perturbation method. (d–f) similar to Fig. 9a–c but for springtime extreme ozone value (defined as the average of the top 5% hourly ozone concentrations). (g–i) similar to Fig. 9a–c but for springtime daily mean ozone in 2012. The linear weighting adjustment is applied to derive all results. Note that the color scales are different in each panel.

1167

1168



Figure 13. (a) Vertical distribution of China average daily mean ozone contributed by domestic anthropogenic emissions, foreign anthropogenic emissions, natural sources (scaled by 0.1) and total sources (scaled by 0.1). (b) Contribution by anthropogenic emissions of each foreign source region. (c) Of the ozone over China due to anthropogenic emissions of each foreign region, the portion produced within each foreign source region's territory calculated based on a combination of zero-out and tagged simulations. The linear weighting adjustment is applied to derive all results.





Figure 14. (a) Vertical distribution of regional average daily mean ozone contributed 1179 1180 by domestic anthropogenic emissions, foreign anthropogenic emissions, natural 1181 sources (scaled by 0.1) and total sources (scaled by 0.1) over regions where Chinese 1182 anthropogenic emissions contribute more surface ozone than total foreign 1183 anthropogenic emissions. (c) Contribution by anthropogenic emissions of each foreign 1184 source region over regions where Chinese anthropogenic emissions contribute more 1185 surface ozone than total foreign anthropogenic emissions. (b, d) similar to (a, c) but 1186 for regional average daily mean ozone over regions where foreign anthropogenic emissions dominate. The linear weighting adjustment is applied to derive all results. 1187