Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1224-EC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Global evaluation and calibration of a passive air sampler for gaseous mercury" by David S. McLagan et al.

## A. Dommergue (Editor)

aurelien.dommergue@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Received and published: 26 February 2018

The authors should state how the overall uncertainty budget for the measurements made by the samplers are expected to compare with the precision based uncertainties that they have calculated. i.e. is repeatability the dominant factor in the uncertainty or are their contributions that they may have missed or cannot easily estimate.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1224, 2018.

C1