Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1222-RC2, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Diverse Chemical Mixing States of Aerosol Particles in the Southeastern United States" by A. L. Bondy et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 22 April 2018

The paper presents a highly detailed study on quantification of mixing states of individual aerosol particles collected during the SOAS field campaign in Centreville – an array of complimentary microscopic techniques is used along with appropriate statistical analysis across different sampling episodes and as a function of particle size and type. The paper is very well written, such detailed data sets will be of high relevance to the community and I recommend it for publication to ACP after authors address the following minor revisions:

MOUDI sampling – was it wet/dry deposition, please add information on the relative humidity during collection; in terms of the storage – could authors please elaborate on the storage conditions, in particular how samples were sealed and then frozen/unfrozen.

Fig. 2 b – biomass burning aerosol particle – size is \sim 2-3 microns, not submicron –

perhaps select a smaller one

Fig. 3 – only supermicron classes of particles are shown – it would be valuable to add a similar figure but for submicron particles and discuss the differences/similarities SSA/aged SSA – how confident the authors are with this particle class assignment? Based on images shown in

Fig. 3 I am not sure I can see "aged" SSA, particles e-f don't appear to have noticeable amounts of CI, which one would expect for a NaCI core.

STXM-NEXAFS results – it would be valuable to include NEXAFS Carbon K-edge spectra of representative particles (authors refer to these results throughout the paper yet do not show actual data) + chemical mapping to illustrate mixing states – in particular over similar particles as those analyzed by SEM/EDX – I would be very curious to see, if possible, same particles analyzed using these complimentary microscopic techniques

Fig 4 + corresponding text - The average particle specific diversity is calculated for each submicron and supermicron classes of particles – could authors comment how reproducible these values are? Perhaps add a standard deviation for each class?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1222, 2018.